

Models of heat transport with microscopic reversibility

Piero Olla*

ISAC-CNR and INFN, Sez. Cagliari, I-09042 Monserrato, Italy.

The behavior of lattice models in which time reversibility is enforced at the level of trajectories (microscopic reversibility) is studied analytically. Conditions for ergodicity breaking are explored, and a few examples of systems characterized by an additional conserved quantity besides energy are presented. All the systems are characterized by ergodicity restoration when put in contact with a thermal bath, except for specific choices of the interactions between the atoms in the system and the bath. The study shows that the additional conserved quantities return to play a role in non-equilibrium conditions. The similarities with behaviors similar to those of some mesoscale systems, in which the transition rates satisfy detailed balance but not microscopic reversibility.

PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg,05.40.-a,05.10.Ln

I. INTRODUCTION

The derivation of equilibrium statistical mechanics starts in most textbooks from the assumption of microscopic Hamiltonian dynamics. The latter implies the conservation of phase space volume and that the equilibrium distribution of a macroscopic isolated system can only be a function of conserved quantities (Liouville's theorem). Not all conserved quantities, however, fit the job. "Good" conserved quantities must have clear macroscopic content, which means that they are the result of a coarse-graining of microscopic degrees of freedom or that they fix the value of macroscopic observables obtained by a coarse-graining procedure [1]. Another condition is robustness, which means that if the system is in contact with a thermal bath, the conserved quantity must continue to play a role, say, by entering explicitly the Gibbs distribution. However, it is also possible that the additional conserved quantity reveals its presence only in out-of-equilibrium conditions, as it happens, e.g., with enstrophy—the second moment of vorticity—in two-dimensional (2D) hydrodynamics [2, 3].

Understanding what makes a good conserved quantity requires diving into the guts of the coarse-graining procedure. The operation is hopeless in the case of atoms and molecules obeying a deterministic (or quantum) dynamics. Some progress is possible if atoms and molecules are replaced by microscopic agents obeying a stochastic dynamics. It is the approach utilized in innumerable microscopic models, with applications ranging from fluid dynamics [4] to the study of transport processes [5], to the dynamics of systems whose microscopic components are not molecules, such as granular media [6], crowds [7], trees in a forest [8], or traffic on a highway [9]. Contrary to the aforementioned models, which base their strength precisely on the insensitivity of the macroscopic processes on the details of the microscopic interactions, the focus here is on the information destruction in the coarse-graining operation. The microscopic dynamics of

the model is chosen accordingly. In particular, full invariance under time reversal (microscopic reversibility) and energy conservation in the interactions are enforced at the microscale to mimic the behavior of systems governed by a Hamiltonian dynamics.

The proposed approach can be used to model mesoscopic fluctuation in heat-conducting media. More interestingly, it provides a framework for including non-ergodic effects in generic stochastic systems. The example of a medium in which local defects constrain the structure of the heat currents and lead to the existence of an additional conserved quantity besides energy is discussed. Depending on the choice of parameters, an infinite hierarchy of conservation laws is established, analogous to those for vorticity in 2D ideal hydrodynamics. Putting the system in contact with a thermal bath typically restores ergodicity, and equilibrium is described by the standard Gibbs distribution for energy. The opposite requires careful tuning of the interaction between the atoms in the bath and the system. From the point of view of a description of the equilibrium state of the system, therefore, the new conserved quantity would not qualify as "good". The new conserved quantity, however, returns to play a role in non-equilibrium conditions. When the system is put in contact with thermostats at different temperatures, it starts to absorb part of the energy flowing from one thermostat to the other, through a process similar to that occurring in mesoscopic ratchets [10] and other mesoscopic systems [11–14].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the concept of microscopic reversibility is reviewed, and applied to the specific case of a system with two sites. The general formalism for the treatment of a system with an arbitrary number of sites and generic interactions is introduced in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the simplest example of ergodicity breaking in a system with three-atom interactions is presented. The interaction with a thermal bath is discussed in Sec. V. Applications of the techniques to linear chains with two- and three-atom interactions are illustrated in Secs. VI and VII. An extension of the analysis to non-equilibrium conditions is presented in Sec. VIII. Sec. IX is devoted to the conclusions. Technical details are left in the appendices.

* Email address for correspondence: olla@dsf.unica.it

II. MICROSCOPIC REVERSIBILITY. THE TWO-ATOM SYSTEM

The present study focuses on a class of lattice models with a dynamics governed by random energy exchange between the site of the lattice without any role played by linear momentum and other quantities describing the state of the system. A Markovian dynamics is assumed. Microscopic reversibility can then be expressed in terms of the transition PDF (probability density function) as

$$\rho(\mathbf{E}, t_f | \mathbf{E}', t_i) = \rho(\mathbf{E}', t_f | \mathbf{E}, t_i), \quad (1)$$

where t indicates time and $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_N)$ is the vector of the energies of the ‘‘stochastic atoms’’ in the lattice. The atoms are assumed to have a positive energy spectrum to guarantee that the dynamics is bounded.

Note that the condition in Eq. (1) is stronger than detailed balance (macroscopic reversibility)

$$\rho(\mathbf{E}, t_f | \mathbf{E}', t_i) \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{E}') = \rho(\mathbf{E}', t_f | \mathbf{E}, t_i) \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{E}), \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ is the equilibrium PDF. Equation (1) implies that $\bar{\rho}$ can only be a function of the total energy $E = \sum_k E_k$ and of the other conserved quantities of the system (if present),

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{E}) = g(E, \dots). \quad (3)$$

If E is the only conserved quantity, the microcanonical distribution is recovered.

The microscopic reversibility condition Eq. (1) is realized in the simplest way in a two-atom system. A time-independent dynamics is assumed. After discretizing time and energy at scales δt and δE , Eq. (1) takes then the form, inspired by second quantization,

$$\rho(\mathbf{E}, t + \delta t | \mathbf{E}, t) = \hat{\Gamma} f(\max(E_a, E'_a), \max(E_b, E'_b)), \quad (4)$$

with the rule

$$E'_a = E_a \pm \delta E, \quad E'_b = E_b \mp \delta E, \quad (5)$$

to establish energy conservation, and the condition

$$f(E, 0) = f(0, E) = 0, \quad \forall E, \quad (6)$$

to guarantee $E_{a,b} > 0$ at all times.

The similarity between Eq. (4) and the transition rules in second quantization becomes evident with the simple choice

$$f(\mathbf{E}) = E_a E_b. \quad (7)$$

Promoting f to the role of second quantization operator would give, indeed, $\hat{f} = \hat{c}_a^\dagger \hat{c}_a \hat{c}_b^\dagger \hat{c}_b$, where $\hat{c}_{a,b}$ and $\hat{c}_{a,b}^\dagger$ are destruction and creation operators acting on states $|N_a, N_b\rangle$, $N_{a,b} = E_{a,b}/\delta E$. One finds immediately $\langle N_a + 1, N_b - 1 | \hat{f} | N_a, N_b \rangle = (N_a + 1)N_b$ and $\langle N_a - 1, N_b + 1 | \hat{f} | N_a, N_b \rangle = N_a(N_b + 1)$, which reproduce Eqs. (4-6)

The dynamics of the system on the constant energy line $E_a + E_b = E$ is described naturally by the variable $E_{ab}^- = E_a - E_b$. The moments of the increment $\Delta E_a = -\Delta E_b = E_a(t + \Delta t) - E_a(t)$ read from Eq. (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta E_a | \mathbf{E} \rangle &= \frac{\Delta t \delta E \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta t} [f(E_a + \delta E, E_b) \\ &\quad - f(E_a, E_b + \delta E)] \simeq \frac{\Delta t \delta E^2 \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta t} \partial_{E_{ab}^-} f(\mathbf{E}), \quad (8) \\ \langle (\Delta E_a)^2 | \mathbf{E} \rangle &= \frac{\Delta t \delta E^2 \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta t} [f(E_a + \delta E, E_b) \\ &\quad + f(E_a, E_b + \delta E)] \simeq \frac{2\Delta t \delta E^2 \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta t} f(\mathbf{E}). \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

From Eqs. (8) and (9) one obtains, by taking a continuum limit, the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\dot{E}_{ab}^- = \Gamma \partial_{E_{ab}^-} f + \sqrt{2\Gamma f} \xi, \quad (10)$$

where $\Gamma = 2\hat{\Gamma}\delta E^2/\delta t$, $\langle \xi(t)\xi(0) \rangle = \delta(t)$, and the noise term is understood in the Itô sense [15]. The associated Fokker-Planck equation reads

$$\dot{\rho} \equiv \partial_t \rho = \Gamma \partial_{E_{ab}^-} (f \partial_{E_{ab}^-} \rho), \quad (11)$$

which has a uniform distribution as a stationary solution.

III. GENERAL FORMALISM. THE N -ATOM SYSTEM

In general, the dynamics of an N -atom system will be governed by interactions involving an arbitrary number of atoms. Each interaction l can be associated with a vector \mathbf{J}^l in the phase space of the system, such that $J^l_k \delta E$ is the increment of E_k produced by the interaction in the time interval δt (the Einstein summation convention over repeated covariant and contravariant indices is utilized throughout the section). One can write in general

$$\delta E_k = J^l_k \delta X_l, \quad \delta X_l = 0, \pm \delta E. \quad (12)$$

For an N -atom system with M conserved quantities (counting the total energy), there will be at most $L = N - M$ independent interactions, which will be here assumed to be also statistically independent, $\rho(\mathbf{E}', t + \delta t | \mathbf{E}, t) = \prod_l \rho(\delta X_l | \mathbf{E})$.

From the vectors \mathbf{J}^l , one can introduce curvilinear coordinates X_k on the hypersurface Ω of constant conserved quantities for the system [16]. To obtain the new coordinates, one introduces dual vectors \mathbf{H}^k , associating to vectors $d\mathbf{E}$ components $dX_l = H^k_l dE_k = H_{kl} dE^k$, such that $J^l_m dX_l$ is the component m of the projection of $d\mathbf{E}$ on Ω . This is accomplished by imposing $H^k_l dE_k = 0$ for any vector $d\mathbf{E}$ such that $J^l_k dE^k = 0$, and requiring that H and J are one the inverse of the other on Ω , i.e. $J^l_k H^k_m = \delta^l_m$.

Following a procedure analogous to that utilized for similar problems in classical mechanics [17], it is possible to associate to interactions, generators

$$\hat{\partial}_{X_l} = J^l_k \partial_{E_k}, \quad (13)$$

such that $\delta f = \delta X_l \hat{\partial}_{X_l} f$ is the increment of the function $f = f(\mathbf{E})$ in the timestep δt . One readily verifies that $\delta X_l \hat{\partial}_{X_l} f = \delta E_l \partial_{E_l} f$. Note that $\hat{\partial}_{X_l} = \partial_{X_l}$ only if $L = N$.

The conservation laws for the energy can be expressed in terms of generators as $\hat{\partial}_{X_l} E, \forall l$, and identical relations will hold for the other conserved quantities. In the case of the two-atom system of Sec. II, $\hat{\partial}_X = \partial_{E_{ab}^-}$ and $X = E_{ab}^-/2$.

The condition of microscopic reversibility is imposed as done in Eq. (4). Define [18]

$$\rho(\delta X_m | \mathbf{E}) = \hat{\Gamma} f^{(m)}(\{\max(E'_k, E_k)\}), \quad (14)$$

where $E'_k = E_k + \delta X_m J^m_k$ (no summation over m), and impose

$$E_l = 0 \Rightarrow f^{(m)}(\mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad \forall l, m, \quad (15)$$

which generalizes Eq. (6) to the case of the generic N -atom system. Indicate

$$f_{lm} = \delta_{lm} f^{(m)}. \quad (16)$$

Equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta X_m | \mathbf{E} \rangle &= \hat{\Gamma} \sum_{\delta X_m} \delta X_m f^{(m)}(\{\max(E_k, E'_k)\}) \\ &\simeq \hat{\Gamma} \sum_{\delta X_m} \delta X_m \delta X_l \partial_{E_k} (J^l_k f_{lm}) \\ &= \hat{\Gamma} \delta E^2 \partial_{E_k} (J^l_k f_{lm}), \\ &= \Gamma [\hat{\partial}_{X_l} + (\partial_{E_k} J^l_k)] f_{lm} \delta t, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where use has been made of Eq. (13). Proceeding in similar fashion for the increment correlation one gets

$$\langle \delta X_l \delta X_m | \mathbf{E} \rangle = 2\Gamma f_{lm} \delta t. \quad (18)$$

In the following, only interaction rules that are independent of \mathbf{E} will be considered, which means that the vectors \mathbf{J}^l and \mathbf{H}^l are constant, and the X_l 's are not curvilinear coordinates (although, in general, they will remain not orthogonal, $dX^i = J^i_k dE^k \neq dX_i = H^k_i dE_k$). Also, the conserved quantities of the system will be linear combinations of the E_k 's, and the Ω hypersurfaces will be hyperplanes. The SDE for the system thus becomes

$$\dot{X}_l = \Gamma \hat{\partial}_{X_k} f_{lk} + \xi_l, \quad \langle \xi_k(t) \xi_l(0) \rangle = 2\Gamma f_{lk} \delta(t). \quad (19)$$

and the associated Fokker-Planck equation will read

$$\dot{\rho} = \Gamma \hat{\partial}_{X_l} (f_{lk} \hat{\partial}_{X_k} \rho). \quad (20)$$

Extension of the formalism to the case of \mathbf{E} -dependent interaction rules is provided for reference in Appendix A.

IV. ERGODICITY BREAKING IN A THREE-ATOM SYSTEM

It is possible to show that in a system governed by binary interactions, energy is the only conserved quantity, provided any pair of atoms l and k can be connected by a path of binary interactions whose result is a transformation $(E_l, E_k) \rightarrow (E_l \pm \delta E, E_l \mp \delta E)$. Indeed, if the condition is satisfied, the transformation will span at any given point \mathbf{E} all the directions in the surface of constant E , and there will be no regions in that surface not accessible from \mathbf{E} , which means that the system is ergodic.




FIG. 1. Interaction rule for the three-atom system

Ergodicity breaking in a system that is not partitioned into isolated subsystems requires at least three-atom interactions. It is easy to verify that the rule

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\rightarrow E_a \pm (1-q)\delta E, \\ E_b &\rightarrow E_b \mp (1+q)\delta E, \\ E_c &\rightarrow E_c \pm 2q\delta E \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(see Fig 1) accomplishes the task. A new conserved quantity is indeed present,

$$\omega = E_c + (E_b - E_a)q, \quad (22)$$

loosely similar to the vorticity in 2D flows, and therefore here called pseudo-vorticity (the new quantity shares with its fluid counterpart an antisymmetric dependence on phase space variables at different points). The dynamics of the system is one-dimensional, and is described by the generator

$$\hat{\partial}_X = 2q\partial_{E_c} + (1-q)\partial_{E_a} - (1+q)\partial_{E_b}, \quad (23)$$

corresponding to

$$X = \frac{2qE_c + (1-q)E_a - (1+q)E_b}{2(1+3q^2)}. \quad (24)$$

It is possible to determine the range of variation of the different quantities describing the system dynamics. One readily finds from the condition $E_l > 0$ and Eq. (22),

$$-Eq < \omega < E, \quad (25)$$

and therefore also

$$\max(0, \omega - qE) < E_c < \omega + qE. \quad (26)$$

Of special interest is the range $q \ll 1$, in which the three-atom dynamics approximates that of the two-atom system. For $\omega/E = O(q)$, Eq. (26) implies $E_c/E = O(q)$, and therefore $E \simeq E_a + E_b$, $\omega \simeq (E - 2E_a)q + E_c$. For $\omega/E = O(q)$ with $\omega > qE$, the range of variation of the vector (E_a, E_b) is along the segment in the (E_a, E_b) plane with endpoints $(0, E)$ and $(E, 0)$, which reproduce the dynamics of the two-atom system. If instead $-qE < \omega < qE$, the vector (E_a, E_b) will vary along the segment with endpoints $((E - \omega/q)/2, E)$ and $((E + \omega/q)/2, 0)$. Finally, if $\omega = \chi E$ with $q \ll \chi < 1$, $\chi - (1 - \chi)q < E_c/E < \chi + (1 + \chi)q$, and the vector (E_a, E_b) varies along the segment with endpoints $(0, (1 - \chi)E)$ and $((1 - \chi)E, 0)$; the behavior of the two-atom system is retrieved again.

V. INTERACTION WITH A THERMAL BATH

Following standard practice, interaction with a thermal bath is modeled by imagining the system (A) as a part of a much larger isolated system, in which the state of the remnant (the bath, B) is known only in an average sense. In principle, system AB could be a homogeneous unit, possibly with multi-atom and long-range interactions. More typically, A and B are distinct units interacting by short-range forces. Assuming binary interactions with strength determined by Eq. (7), leads to a Fokker-Planck equation for $\rho(\mathbf{E}, t) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{E}^A, \mathbf{E}^B, t)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho} = & \Gamma_A \sum_k \hat{\partial}_{X_k} (f_k \hat{\partial}_{X_k} \rho) \\ & + \Gamma_{AB} \sum_{kl} \partial_{E_{kl}^-} (E_k^A E_l^B \partial_{E_{kl}^-} \rho) + \mathcal{L}_B^\dagger \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where kl labels the interacting pairs in A and B and $\mathcal{L}_B^\dagger \rho$ accounts for the internal dynamics of B .

Indicate with T the bath temperature and set the Boltzmann constant = 1, in such a way that $\langle E_l^B \rangle = T$. Integrating Eq. (27) over $[dE^B] = \prod_l dE_l^B$, one obtains after a little algebra the Fokker-Planck for the marginal ρ_A

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_A = & \Gamma_A \sum_\alpha \hat{\partial}_{X_\alpha} (f_\alpha \hat{\partial}_{X_\alpha} \rho_A) \\ & + \Gamma_{bath} \sum_k \partial_{E_k} [E_k (T \partial_{E_k} + 1) \rho_A], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\Gamma_{bath} = N_{ab} \Gamma_{ab}$ and N_{ab} is the number of interacting atom pairs in A and B . The atoms in A interacting with the bath will then obey the SDE

$$\dot{E}_k + \Gamma_{bath} (E_k - T) = \xi_k + \dot{E}_k^A, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\langle \xi_k(t) \xi_j(0) \rangle = 2\Gamma_{bath} T E_k \delta_{jk} \delta(t), \quad (30)$$

and \dot{E}_k^A accounts for the interactions of atom k with the other atoms in A . Averaging over the degrees of freedom

in B replaces the interaction between atoms in A and B , originally in the symmetric the form $\Gamma_{AB}(E_k - E_l)$, with the relaxation term $\Gamma_{bath}(E_k - T)$, which breaks microscopic reversibility, and establishes an arrow of time at the level of trajectories. Note that Eq. (29) is the same kind of energy SDE that would be produced in systems whose interaction with the heat bath is described by a linear Langevin equation in configuration space (in phase space if the system is underdamped).

If E_A is the only conserved quantity for system A , the stationary solution of Eq. (28) will be constant on the surfaces at fixed E^A . The condition $\rho(E_k) = T^{-1} \exp(-E_k/T)$ for the atoms in A which interact with B then implies that the stationary distribution has the Gibbs form

$$\rho_A = Z_A^{-1} \exp(-E^A/T). \quad (31)$$

The situation with more than one conservation law is less clear (a trivial example is that of B interacting with a portion of A isolated from the rest of the system), and one must analyze the situation on a case-by-case basis.

VI. SIMPLE ATOM CHAIN




FIG. 2. Sketch of the simple atom chain.

The two-atom system in II can be used as a building block for cubic lattices in an arbitrary number of dimensions. The simplest realization is that of a linear chain. The absence of a locally conserved linear momentum makes one expect that anomalous behaviors observed in low dimensional systems [19, 20] are not an issue here (see [21] for an example of a microscopically reversible stochastic model, which includes local momentum conservation). The dynamics of the linear chain in Fig. 2 could then be expected to be representative of that of higher dimensional lattice models [22].

Assume for definiteness a linear relaxation dynamics, as described by Eq. (7). The Fokker-Planck equation for the isolated atom chain will read

$$\dot{\rho} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Gamma_{k+1/2} \partial_{E_{k,k+1}^-} (E_k E_{k+1} \partial_{E_{k,k+1}^-} \rho), \quad (32)$$

corresponding to the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}_k = & \Gamma_{k-1/2} (E_{k-1} - E_k) \\ & + \Gamma_{k+1/2} (E_{k+1} - E_k) + \xi_k, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_k(t) \xi_k(0) \rangle = & 2E_k (\Gamma_{k+1/2} E_{k+1} + \Gamma_{k-1/2} E_{k-1}) \delta(t), \\ \langle \xi_k(t) \xi_{k+1}(0) \rangle = & -2\Gamma_{k+1/2} E_k E_{k+1} \delta(t), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and $\langle \xi_k \xi_j \rangle = 0$ for $|k - j| > 1$. To extend the expressions to the case of dimension D generic, it is sufficient to make in Eqs. (32-34) the substitutions $k \rightarrow \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_D)$, $k + 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_\alpha$, $e_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Gamma_{k+1/2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}_\alpha/2}$, and sum over α in the right hand side of Eqs. (32) and (33).

The deterministic terms in Eq. (33) have the same form as in any models of heat transport based on random energy exchange among sites in the lattice. However, some differences between models exist at the level of interpretations, especially if the chain is spatially inhomogeneous.

Consider the case of the zero-range model [23]. By construction, the transition rate Γ in that model is associated with the sites rather than the links, which leads to spurious energy currents from sites with larger Γ to sites with smaller Γ . Different transition rates must be imposed to the left and the right of each site to cancel the currents, which makes the dynamics of the individual atoms dependent on the geometry of the chain. This does not occur in the present model, in which the transitions take place on the links rather than at the sites of the lattice.

A more substantial difference concerns the noise. Consider again the zero-range model. The transition rate and, hence, also the noise amplitude for the zero-range model are linear in E_k , while that of the present model is quadratic in E_k [see Eq. (34)]. By dimensional consistency, the noise amplitude in the zero-range model satisfies

$$\langle \xi \xi \rangle \sim \Gamma E \delta E, \quad \text{zero-range}, \quad (35)$$

and vanishes for $\delta E \rightarrow 0$, while that of the present model remains finite in the limit. Thus, a zero-range model could not be utilized to describe mesoscale fluctuations in heat transport, while Eqs. (32-34) in principle can.

A. Non-equilibrium steady states

Suppose the chain is in contact at its endpoints with thermal baths at temperatures $T_{0,N}$. Consider first the case of a system at equilibrium with $T_0 = T_N = T$. The conditions for Gibbs statistics discussed in Sec. V are satisfied, hence

$$\bar{E}_l = T, \quad \langle \tilde{E}_l \tilde{E}_k \rangle = T^2 \delta_{lk}, \quad (36)$$

where $\bar{E}_l \equiv \langle E_l \rangle$ and $\tilde{E}_l = E_l - \bar{E}_l$.

For $T_N \neq T_0$ a non-equilibrium stationary state (NESS) is established, with a linear profile for the mean energy

$$\bar{E}_k = \bar{E}_0 + \bar{E}' k, \quad (37)$$

where $\bar{E}_0 = T_0$ and $\bar{E}' = (T_N - T_0)/N$.

Non-equilibrium fluctuations are better analyzed by decomposing

$$\tilde{E}_k = \tilde{E}_k^{le} + \tilde{E}_k^r, \quad (38)$$

where \tilde{E}_k^{le} is part of the fluctuation that describes local thermal equilibrium,

$$\langle \tilde{E}_l^{le} \tilde{E}_k^{le} \rangle = \bar{E}_l \delta_{lk}. \quad (39)$$

The remainder \tilde{E}^r is assumed uncorrelated with \tilde{E}^{le} , in such a way that

$$\langle \tilde{E}_l \tilde{E}_k \rangle = \langle \tilde{E}_l^r \tilde{E}_k^r \rangle := C_{lk}, \quad l \neq k. \quad (40)$$

Consider the case of a spatially homogeneous chain for simplicity. A major question is whether the fluctuations have a long correlation component. A preliminary answer can be obtained by looking at the decay of C_{lk} for $0 \ll l \ll N$, similarly for k , with $1 \ll |l - k| \ll N$. In this range, $C_{lk} \simeq C(l - k)$ and the result extends to higher dimensions, $C_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}} \simeq C(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l})$. One can verify from Eqs. (32-34) that for $1 \ll |\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}|$ the fluctuation correlation obeys a discrete Laplace equation

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}}^2 C_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad (41)$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}}^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^D \partial_{j_\alpha}^2$, with $\partial_{j_\alpha} f_{\mathbf{j}} = f_{\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{e}_\alpha} - f_{\mathbf{j}}$ (see Appendix B). For $|\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}| \ll N$, the derivatives along $\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{k}$ do not contribute to $\nabla_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}}^2$, which, from $2D$ -dimensional, becomes a D -dimensional Laplacian, $\nabla_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{k}}^2 \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{k}}^2$. Equation (41) thus becomes $\nabla_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{k}}^2 C(\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}) = 0$, leading to the behaviors

$$C(\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}) \sim \begin{cases} |\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}|, & D = 1, \\ \ln |\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}|, & D = 2, \\ |\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{k}|^{-1}, & D = 3. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

An explicit calculation carried out in Appendix B for $D = 1$ confirms the result and gives the correlation fluctuation correction on the diagonal

$$C_{ll} = \frac{2}{3} E'^2. \quad (43)$$

The profile of the function C_{kl} in Fig. 3 shows that for $D = 1$ the energy fluctuations, indeed, have a long-correlation component analogous to those for momentum and displacement in low-dimensional systems with linear momentum conservation. It is interesting to note that momentum and displacement fluctuation have zero correlation in the case of the purely viscous chain [24], which shares with the present system a linear profile for the mean energy. Contrary to the case of a $D = 1$ system with linear momentum conservation, however, the amplitude of the long-range correlations vanishes like N^{-2} for N large and fixed value of the temperature gap $T_N - T_0$.

VII. THE DOUBLE CHAIN

By joining several three-atom units of the kind discussed in Sec. IV together, one can build a linear chain endowed with multiple conservation laws. A possible geometry is that of Fig. 4, in which the three-atom units




FIG. 3. Contour plot of the normalized non-equilibrium correlation component $\hat{C}_{kl} = 3C_{kl}/(2E^2)$.




FIG. 4. The double chain geometry. Blue for interaction X_k [Eqs. (21) and (23)]; green for $X_{k,k+1}$ [Eqs. (44) and (45)].

form “stochastic molecules”, whose internal dynamics is described by Eqs. (21) and (23). The interactions between the molecules, instead, are taken to obey the rule

$$\begin{aligned} E_k^b &\rightarrow E_k^b \pm (1-q)\delta E, \\ E_k^a &\rightarrow E_k^a \mp (1+q)\delta E, \\ E_k^c &\rightarrow E_k^c + q\delta E, \quad E_{k+1}^c \rightarrow E_{k+1}^c + q\delta E, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

corresponding to the generator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\partial}_{X_{k,k+1}} &= q(\partial_{E_k^c} + \partial_{E_{k+1}^c}) \\ &+ (1-q)\partial_{E_k^b} - (1+q)\partial_{E_{k+1}^a}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The Fokker-Planck equation for the isolated chain will read (spatial homogeneity assumed)

$$\dot{\rho} = \Gamma \sum_k [\hat{\partial}_{X_k} (f_k \hat{\partial}_{X_k} \rho) + \hat{\partial}_{X_{k,k+1}} (f_{k,k+1} \hat{\partial}_{X_{k,k+1}} \rho)], \quad (46)$$

where the X_k 's account for the interactions within the stochastic molecules.

The system conserves both total energy $E = \sum_k E_k = \sum_{k,\alpha} E_k^\alpha$ and total pseudo-vorticity $\omega = \sum \omega_k$. The latter conservation law can be extended to any function $H(\omega) = \sum_k h(\omega_k)$ by replacing the continuous dynamics in Eqs. (44) by jumps

$$(\omega_k, \omega_{k+1}) \rightarrow (\omega'_k, \omega'_{k+1}) = (\omega_{k+1}, \omega_k), \quad (47)$$

thus mimicking the behavior of vorticity in 2D flows [25]. The dynamics can be realized trivially by the exchange interaction $(\mathbf{E}_k, \mathbf{E}_{k+1}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{E}'_k, \mathbf{E}'_{k+1}) = (\mathbf{E}_{k+1}, \mathbf{E}_k)$, $\mathbf{E}_k \equiv (E_k^a, E_k^b, E_k^c)$. Energy exchange between molecules could still be incorporated into the dynamics without affecting their pseudo-vorticity, e.g., by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} E_k^a, E_k^b &\rightarrow E_k^a \pm \delta E, E_k^b \pm \delta E, \\ E_{k+1}^a, E_{k+1}^b &\rightarrow E_{k+1}^a \mp \delta E, E_{k+1}^b \mp \delta E, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

and the extended set of conservation laws for ω would be preserved.

A. Stationary solution

Back to the continuous dynamics of Eqs. (21,23,44-46), progress in the analysis is possible for small q by adopting a multiscale approach [26]. Inspection of Eqs. (23) and (45-46) suggests the ansatz

$$\rho(\mathbf{E}, t) = g(\mathbf{E}, q\mathbf{E}^a, q\mathbf{E}^b; t), \quad (49)$$

where $\mathbf{E}^\alpha = (E_1^\alpha, \dots, E_N^\alpha)$, and one assumes that both f_k and $f_{k,k+1}$ are $O(q^0)$. To lowest order in q , Eq. (46) yields, at stationarity,

$$f_k \partial_{E_k^{ab-}} \bar{\rho} = 0, \quad f_{k,k+1} \partial_{E_{k,k+1}^{ba-}} \bar{\rho} = 0, \quad (50)$$

where $E_{k,k+1}^{ba-} = E_k^b - E_{k+1}^a$, and, as before, $E_k^{ab-} = E_k^a - E_k^b$. Equation (50) implies

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{E}) = \exp[-(E_a + E_b)/T_{ab}] \hat{g}(\mathbf{E}^c, q\mathbf{E}^a, q\mathbf{E}^b), \quad (51)$$

pointing to the fact that to lowest order in q , the lower and upper portion of the chain decouple, with the lower portion behaving as a simple chain governed by binary interactions.

Going to the next order, one finds, again at stationarity,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k \left[\partial_{E_k^c} + \frac{1}{2q} \partial_{E_k^{ab-}} + \frac{1}{T_{ab}} \right] \hat{g} &= 0, \\ f_{k,k+1} \left[\partial_{E_k^c} + \partial_{E_{k+1}^c} + \frac{1}{q} \partial_{E_{k,k+1}^{ba-}} + \frac{2}{T_{ab}} \right] \hat{g} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

which imply $\partial_{E_k^{ab-}} \hat{g} = \partial_{E_{k,k+1}^{ba-}} \hat{g}$, and therefore also

$$\hat{g} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_k \frac{(1 + \Phi) E_k^c - q \Phi E_k^{ab-}}{T_{ab}} \right\}, \quad (53)$$

where Φ is an arbitrary constant. The equilibrium statistics of a portion A of an isolated chain with fixed values of E and ω will thus be described by a dual-temperature Gibbs distribution

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{E}^A) = Z_A^{-1} \exp \left[- \frac{E^A}{T} - \frac{\omega^A}{T'} \right], \quad (54)$$

where $T = T_{ab}$ and $T_\omega = T_{ab}/\Phi$. Dual-temperature systems have been studied indeed in a non-equilibrium context (see e.g. [14]). Equation (54) provides an example of the same phenomenon for systems at equilibrium (see [3] for a fluid mechanics example). It is possible to verify that including in the dynamics a pseudo-vorticity-preserving interaction like the one in Eq. (48), does not modify the result. The standard form of the Gibbs distribution is recovered for $\Phi = 0$, in which case $\langle \omega_k \rangle = T$.

B. Interaction with a thermal bath and ergodicity restoration (not always)

One may wonder whether the dual-temperature form of the Gibbs distribution [Eq. (54)] is maintained when the system is in contact with a thermal bath. The answer turns out to be that it depends.

Consider first the case in which only the endpoints of the lower chain are in contact with equal-temperature thermal baths. The Fokker-Planck equation for the double chain reads in this case [compare with Eqs. (28) and (46)]

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}_X^\dagger \rho + \Gamma_{bath} \left\{ \partial_{E_0^a} [E_0^a (T \partial_{E_0^a} + 1) \rho] + \partial_{E_N^b} [E_N^b (T \partial_{E_N^b} + 1) \rho] \right\}, \quad (55)$$

where the term $\mathcal{L}_X^\dagger \rho$ accounts for the internal dynamics of the chain, as described in Eq. (46), which conserves both E and ω .

Suppose the chain is initially in the state described by Eq. (54). Equation (55) then takes the form

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}_X^\dagger \rho + q \Gamma_{bath} \Phi \left\{ \partial_{E_0^a} (E_0^a \rho) - \partial_{E_N^b} (E_N^b \rho) \right\}, \quad (56)$$

from which one gets the evolution equation

$$\langle \dot{\omega} \rangle = q^2 \Gamma_{bath} \Phi (\bar{E}_0^a + \bar{E}_N^b) = \frac{2q^2 T \Gamma_{bath}}{3} \Phi. \quad (57)$$

On the other hand, Eq. (54) implies $\langle \omega \rangle = N \langle \omega_k \rangle \simeq T/(1 + \Phi)$, so that Eq. (57) becomes

$$\langle \dot{\omega} \rangle = \frac{2q^2 T \Gamma_{bath}}{3} \left(\frac{NT}{\langle \omega \rangle} - 1 \right), \quad (58)$$

which describes the relaxation of the system statistics towards its standard one-temperature form, in which $\langle \omega \rangle = NT$. Putting the endpoints of the lower portion of the double-chain in contact with a thermal bath is thus sufficient to restore ergodicity in the chain.

What if the previous operation is carried out with the upper portion of the chain? The Fokker-Planck equation, in the case only atoms $(c, 0)$ and (c, N) are connected with the thermal baths will read

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}_X^\dagger \rho - \frac{(1 - \Phi) q \Gamma_{bath}}{T} \left\{ \partial_{E_0^c} [\langle f_0^c | E_0^c \rangle \rho] - \partial_{E_N^c} [\langle f_N^c | E_N^c \rangle \rho] \right\}. \quad (59)$$

Spatial homogeneity of the chain and equal temperature of the thermostats allow one to set $\langle f(E_0^c | E_l) | E_0^c \rangle = \langle f(E_N^c | E_l) | E_N^c \rangle$, which implies $\langle \dot{E} \rangle = \langle \dot{\omega} \rangle = 0$. The dual-temperature form of the Gibbs distribution in Eq. (54) is thus maintained in this case.

The result is easy to understand in the case of a single three-atom unit. The interaction rule Eq. (21) requires that the relaxation of $\langle E_c \rangle$ toward T be accompanied by a current from a to b , which will have a positive or negative sign depending on whether $\langle E_c \rangle < T$ or $\langle E_c \rangle > T$.

VIII. NON-EQUILIBRIUM STEADY STATE FOR THE THREE-ATOM SYSTEM

The most conspicuous characteristic of the three-atom system is its lack of reflection invariance. The analysis in Sec. VII shows that lack of reflection invariance in a double chain is a microscopic property without a macroscopic counterpart at equilibrium unless the system is isolated or specific interactions are assumed with the surroundings. In other words, pseudo-vorticity is a “bad” conserved quantity, not contributing information on the macroscopic state of the system at equilibrium.

The situation changes dramatically in non-equilibrium conditions. Consider a single three-atom unit, with atoms a and b in contact with thermostats at temperatures $T_a \neq T_b$. The interaction rule in Eq. (21) dictates that if $T_a > T_b$, energy will flow out of atom c until $E_c \rightarrow 0$. At this point, the (mean) heat flow from a to b will vanish, and the system will act as a thermal insulator. Conversely, if $T_a < T_b$, a heat flow from b to a is established, associated with a possibly unbounded growth of E_c .

A. Vanishing heat flow regimes

Consider again a small q regime, and assume $\Gamma_{bath} \gg \Gamma$, in such a way that

$$\rho(E_a, E_b | E_c) \simeq \frac{1}{T_a T_b} \exp \left(- \frac{E_a}{T_a} - \frac{E_b}{T_b} \right). \quad (60)$$

The Fokker-Planck equation for the system is in the form [compare with Eq. (28)]

$$\dot{\rho} = \Gamma \hat{\partial}_X [f_X \hat{\partial}_X \rho] + \Gamma_{bath} \sum_{\alpha=a,b} \partial_{E_\alpha} [E_\alpha (T_\alpha \partial_{E_\alpha} + 1) \rho]. \quad (61)$$

One expects that a stationary state with vanishing heat flow will satisfy detailed balance [27], even though it is not, strictly speaking, a thermal equilibrium state. Substituting Eq. (60) into the zero-current equation for X yields

$$\hat{\partial}_X \bar{\rho} = 2q(\partial_{E_c} + 1/\bar{E}_c^s) \bar{\rho} = 0, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\bar{E}_c^s \simeq T \left(1 - \frac{\Delta T}{2qT}\right)^{-1} \quad (63)$$

and

$$T_a = T - \Delta T, \quad T_b = T. \quad (64)$$

A stationary solution only exists for $\Delta T < 2qT$,

$$\bar{\rho}(E_c) = (1/\bar{E}_c^s) \exp(-E_c/\bar{E}_c^s). \quad (65)$$

The system behaves in this case like a heat battery, in which \bar{E}_c^s plays the role of maximum charge. The process of ‘‘battery charging’’ is accompanied by the system mean pseudo-vorticity deviating from its equilibrium value $\bar{\omega} = T$ and taking the role of a sort of effective temperature for the non-equilibrium system [14, 28].

One would expect that only non-stationary solutions exist for $\Delta T \geq 2qT$, corresponding to growth without bound of \bar{E}_c . The situation, however, is more complex.

- i. The system will behave as a heat conductor if, for large E_c , $T^{-2}f_X$ tends to a constant $O(1)$ limit. One would have in this case an example of a microscopic heat diode, which conducts heat for $\Delta T > 2qT$ and behaves as an insulator otherwise [29]. If q vanishes at large E_c , the growth of \bar{E}_c will stop; otherwise, it will continue without a bound.
- ii. If $T^{-2}f_X$ vanishes at some E_c , the system will behave as an insulator.
- iii. If $T^{-2}f_X$ increases monotonically with E_c , the condition $\Gamma \ll \Gamma_{bath}$ leading to Eq. (60) must be replaced by $\Gamma T^{-2}f_X \ll \Gamma_{bath}$, which is going to be violated at sufficiently large E_c . When this happens, one must make in Eq. (63) the replacement $\Delta T \rightarrow \Delta T_{eff} = \bar{E}_b - \bar{E}_a \sim \Gamma f_X \Delta T / (T^2 \Gamma_{bath})$. The growth of \bar{E}_c will cease when $\Delta T_{eff} = 2qT$ (the same would occur for $\Delta T < 2qT$ if the value of E_c^s predicted by Eq. (63) is too large).

B. Example of time-dependent solution

More precise information on the non-equilibrium behavior of the three-atom system can be obtained if a specific form for the interaction strengths is selected, e.g.

$$f_X = E_a E_b E_c / E_r, \quad (66)$$

where E_r is a fixed energy scale. Breakup of the approximation $\Gamma_{bath} \gg \Gamma f_X / T^2$ will take place for $E_c \sim E_r \Gamma_{bath} / \Gamma$. However, if $E_r \gtrsim T$ and the system starts in a condition in which $E_c \sim T$, the condition $\Gamma_{bath} \gg \Gamma f_X / T^2$ will be satisfied in the initial transient. In this case, one can substitute Eq. (60) and (66) into Eq. (61) and the result is

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{4q^2 \Gamma T^2}{E_r} \partial_{E_c} [E_c (\partial_{E_c} + 1/\bar{E}_c^s) \rho]. \quad (67)$$

From here, one gets evolution equations for \bar{E}_c

$$\dot{\bar{E}}_c = \frac{\bar{E}_c^s - \bar{E}_c}{\tau}, \quad \tau = \frac{E_r \bar{E}_c^s}{4q^2 \Gamma T^2} \quad (68)$$

and for the fluctuations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \tilde{E}_c^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\tau} (\bar{E}_c^s \bar{E}_c - \langle \tilde{E}_c^2 \rangle), \quad (69)$$

leading to an exponential growth for \bar{E}_c , with e-folding time $|\tau|$. The growth will stop at \bar{E}_c^s for $\Delta T < 2qT$, while it will go on to infinity for $\Delta T > 2qT$. At the crossover $\Delta T = 2qT$, \bar{E}_c will grow linearly in time, $\bar{E}_c \simeq \Gamma (\Delta T)^2 t / E_r$. In all cases $\langle \tilde{E}_c^2 \rangle \sim \bar{E}_c^2$.

C. Similarity with the behavior of a thermal machine

The process whereby part of the energy flux from thermostat b to thermostat a is highjacked for $\Delta T > 0$ to atom c , resembles that of work production in a thermal machine. By identifying $\dot{W} = \dot{\bar{E}}_c$ with the exerted power, it is possible to define an efficiency

$$\eta = -\dot{W} / \dot{Q}_b \simeq 2q, \quad (70)$$

where \dot{Q}_b is the rate of energy loss by thermostat b , and use has been made of Eq. (21). Except for the case f_X and q tend to a finite limit for large E_c (see point i at the end of Sec. VIII A), η is a finite-time efficiency, with a time horizon fixed by either τ or the time required by f_X to drop to zero or to become $\sim T^2 \Gamma_{bath} / \Gamma$.

Indeed, for $\Delta T < 2qT$ —in which case \bar{E}_c reaches a finite limit— η does exceed the Carnot value $\eta_C = \Delta T / T$, corresponding to increasingly smaller values of τ ; in the case of the linear dynamics of Eq. (66),

$$\eta \simeq \frac{\Delta T}{T} \left(1 - \frac{E_r T}{(\Delta T)^2 \Gamma \tau}\right)^{-1}. \quad (71)$$

Carnot efficiency is associated with $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ and a finite mean power $\dot{W}_C > 0$ [in the case of the dynamics in Eq. (66), $\dot{W}_C = \Gamma \Delta T^2 / E_r$]. One could bypass the constraint $\Gamma_{bath} \gg \Gamma f_X / T^2$ by sending artificially $\Gamma_{bath} \rightarrow \infty$ for finite Γ , thus realizing a system with an infinite time horizon. In this case, the system would exert work with a finite mean power at Carnot efficiency at the price of diverging work fluctuations (recall that $\langle \tilde{E}_c^2 \rangle \sim \bar{E}_c^2$). Thus, there is no violation of the thermodynamic uncertainty relations [30, 31], although applying such a concept to the present microscopic context is perhaps unphysical. It is also to be noted that the dynamics of the system cannot be mapped onto that of a cyclic machine, as the latter would be characterized by the linear scaling for $\langle \tilde{W}^2 \rangle$ that would be produced by constant $\langle \delta W \rangle$ and $\langle (\delta W)^2 \rangle$, while in the present case $\langle \tilde{E}_c^2 \rangle$ scales quadratically in t [see Eq. (69)].

IX. CONCLUSION

A study of stochastic transport models with microscopic reversible dynamics has been carried out. The study has focused on systems without linear momentum, whose dynamics consists of the random exchange of energy between sites in a lattice. Particular attention has been paid to the effect of conserved quantities, and a general formalism has been devised to analyze non-ergodic effects and the conditions for such effects to be observable at a macroscopic scale.

As a first application, the case of a lattice governed by binary nearest-neighbour interactions has been considered. The general expectation is that low-dimensional systems devoid of linear momentum should not manifest anomalous behaviors. The analysis that has been carried out shows that the statement is valid only in part and that a low-dimensional lattice system in contact with thermal baths at different temperatures will develop fluctuations with a long-range component. Contrary to the case of systems endowed with a conserved linear momentum, however, the amplitude of the long-range correlation component vanishes in the continuum limit and is not associated with increase of the conductivity with the system size.

The general analysis shows that unless the system is formed by isolated components, at least three-atom interactions are required for ergodicity breakup. As a second application the study focused then on the dynamics of a quasi-one-dimensional chain with three- and four-atom interactions. The interaction parameters have been tuned in such a way that an additional conserved quantity, baptized pseudo-vorticity, is present besides energy. The new quantity describes the degree of microscopic asymmetry of the spatial energy distribution in the lattice. Depending on the choice of parameters, it is possible to form out of pseudo-vorticity an infinite hierarchy of conservation laws analogous to those for vorticity in 2D hydrodynamics. The new conservation laws do not appear robust, in the sense that the interaction with a thermal bath is sufficient—except for specific couplings—

to restore ergodicity. When this happens, the equilibrium statistics of the system is described by the standard Gibbs distribution for the energy.

The pseudo-vorticity returns to play a role away from equilibrium when the system is in contact with thermostats at different temperatures. Analysis of a version of the system with just one three-atom component shows that, depending on the sign of the temperature difference, the system may either behave as an insulator or a sort of a heat battery which can intercept and store into its body part of the heat flux. Depending on the choice of parameters, the system may reach a "maximum charge", at which point, depending again on the parameters, it may either turn into an insulator or continue to conduct heat, with a behavior that could be likened to that of a thermal diode [29].

Energy storage in the system has strong analogies with work production in the Brownian gyrator and similar mesoscopic systems [10, 11]. It is thus possible to introduce such concepts as the efficiency of the storage process, and determine the relations with the time horizon of the process, the mean power, and the amplitude of the work fluctuations. The result is of some interest because it shows that it is possible to extend some of the concepts of standard thermodynamics below the scale typically studied by stochastic thermodynamics [32, 33].

The non-equilibrium behaviors of the system are associated with a departure of the pseudo-vorticity from its value at thermal equilibrium. It is interesting to note that the departure from equilibrium comes with the creation of microscopic asymmetry in the energy distribution in the system. In other words, the new conserved quantity leads—in some sense—to the creation of structure in the system. One may recall that similar arguments have been utilized in turbulence theory to explain intermittency and the presence of vortex structures as a manifestation of helicity conservation [34].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Claudio Melis for interesting and helpful discussion.

-
- [1] I. Aleksandr and A. Khinchin, *Mathematical foundations of statistical mechanics* (Courier Corporation, 1949).
 - [2] R. H. Kraichnan and D. Montgomery, Two-dimensional turbulence, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **43**, 547 (1980).
 - [3] J. Miller, Statistical mechanics of euler equations in two dimensions, *Physical Review Letters* **65**, 2137 (1990).
 - [4] S. Succi, *The lattice Boltzmann equation: for fluid dynamics and beyond* (Oxford university press, 2001).
 - [5] C. Kipnis, C. Marchioro, and E. Presutti, Heat flow in an exactly solvable model, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **27**, 65 (1982).
 - [6] A. Lipowski and M. Droz, Urn model of separation of sand, *Physical Review E* **65**, 031307 (2002).
 - [7] L. Bruno, A. Tosin, P. Triccerri, and F. Venuti, Non-local first-order modelling of crowd dynamics: A multidimensional framework with applications, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **35**, 426 (2011).
 - [8] S. Clar, B. Drossel, and F. Schwabl, Scaling laws and simulation results for the self-organized critical forest-fire model, *Physical Review E* **50**, 1009 (1994).
 - [9] O. O’loan, M. R. Evans, and M. E. Cates, Jamming transition in a homogeneous one-dimensional system: The bus route model, *Physical Review E* **58**, 1404 (1998).

- [10] N. Sinitsyn and I. Nemenman, Universal geometric theory of mesoscopic stochastic pumps and reversible ratchets, *Physical Review Letters* **99**, 220408 (2007).
- [11] R. Filliger and P. Reimann, Brownian gyrator: A minimal heat engine on the nanoscale, *Physical Review Letters* **99**, 230602 (2007).
- [12] I. Sokolov, On the energetics of a nonlinear system rectifying thermal fluctuations, *Europhysics Letters* **44**, 278 (1998).
- [13] P. Pietzonka, A. C. Barato, and U. Seifert, Universal bound on the efficiency of molecular motors, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2016**, 124004 (2016).
- [14] V. Dotsenko, A. Maciolek, O. Vasilyev, and G. Oshanin, Two-temperature langevin dynamics in a parabolic potential, *Physical Review E* **87**, 062130 (2013).
- [15] Z. Schuss, *Theory and applications of stochastic processes: an analytical approach*, Vol. 170 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
- [16] In this framework, the energies E_k are interpreted as cartesian coordinates, thus $E_k = E^k$ and $J^k_l = J^{kl}$.
- [17] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Vol. 60 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [18] The E'_k 's in Eq. (14) contain implicitly a maximum condition on the (E_n, E'_n) 's through the relation $E'_k = E_k + \delta X_l J^l_k$ in which $\mathbf{J}^l = \mathbf{J}^l(\{\max(E_n, E'_n)\})$, which is ultimately responsible for the divergence term $(\partial_{E_k} J^l_k)$ in Eq. (17).
- [19] O. Narayan and S. Ramaswamy, Anomalous heat conduction in one-dimensional momentum-conserving systems, *Physical Review Letters* **89**, 200601 (2002).
- [20] S. Lepri, C. Mejia-Monasterio, and A. Politi, A stochastic model of anomalous heat transport: analytical solution of the steady state, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 025001 (2009).
- [21] G. Basile, C. Bernardin, and S. Olla, Momentum conserving model with anomalous thermal conductivity in low dimensional systems, *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 204303 (2006).
- [22] A. Dhar, Heat transport in low-dimensional systems, *Advances in Physics* **57**, 457 (2008).
- [23] M. R. Evans and T. Hanney, Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics of the zero-range process and related models, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**, R195 (2005).
- [24] P. Olla, Nonanomalous heat transport in a one-dimensional composite chain, *Physical Review E* **107**, L062104 (2023).
- [25] R. Salmon, Hamiltonian fluid mechanics, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **20**, 225 (1988).
- [26] C. M. Bender and S. A. Orszag, *Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers I: Asymptotic methods and perturbation theory* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [27] N. Ohga, S. Ito, and A. Kolchinsky, Thermodynamic bound on the asymmetry of cross-correlations, *Physical Review Letters* **131**, 077101 (2023).
- [28] S. Cerasoli, V. Dotsenko, G. Oshanin, and L. Rondoni, Asymmetry relations and effective temperatures for biased brownian gyrators, *Physical Review E* **98**, 042149 (2018).
- [29] B. Li, L. Wang, and G. Casati, Thermal diode: Rectification of heat flux, *Physical Review Letters* **93**, 184301 (2004).

- [30] A. C. Barato and U. Seifert, Thermodynamic uncertainty relation for biomolecular processes, *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 158101 (2015).
- [31] P. Pietzonka and U. Seifert, Universal trade-off between power, efficiency, and constancy in steady-state heat engines, *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 190602 (2018).
- [32] K. Sekimoto, *Stochastic energetics* (Springer, 2010).
- [33] U. Seifert, Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines, *Reports on progress in physics* **75**, 126001 (2012).
- [34] H. K. Moffatt and A. Tsinober, Helicity in laminar and turbulent flow, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **24**, 281 (1992).
- [35] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum field theory and critical phenomena*, Vol. 171 (Oxford university press, 2021) Chap. 34.

Appendix A: Treatment of E -dependent interactions

The Fokker-Planck associated with Eq. (19) can be obtained in the general case in which $J^l_k = J^l_k(\mathbf{E})$ from the expression of the generator of the stochastic dynamics (see also [35]). The action of the latter on the generic function $g = g(\mathbf{E})$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{g} = \mathcal{L}g &= \Gamma \{ [\partial_{E_k} (J^l_k f_{lm})] \partial_{E_j} (J^m_j g) \\ &+ f_{lm} \partial_{E_k} [J^l_k \partial_{E_j} (J^m_j \rho)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

(limited to this section, the summation convention over repeated covariant-contravariant indices is reinstated). The Fokker-Planck operator \mathcal{L}^\dagger is defined as the operator conjugate to \mathcal{L} from the relation

$$\int [dE] \rho \mathcal{L}g = \int [dE] g \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $[dE] = \prod dE_l$. One carries out the necessary integrations by parts, exploiting Eq. (15) to kill boundary terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho \mathcal{L}g}{g} &\rightarrow \Gamma J^m_j \partial_{E_j} \{ J^l_k \partial_{E_k} (\rho f_{lm}) - \rho \partial_{E_k} (J^l_k f_{lm}) \} \\ &= \Gamma \hat{\partial}_{X_m} \{ f_{lm} [\hat{\partial}_{X_l} \rho - (\partial_{E_k} J^l_k) \rho] \}, = \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

and obtains the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\dot{\rho} = \hat{\partial}_{X_m} \{ f_{lm} [\hat{\partial}_{X_l} \rho - (\partial_{E_k} J^l_k) \rho] \}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

As an application, one can check that the second law of thermodynamics holds for the isolated system. The Shannon entropy of the system is

$$S(t) = - \int [dE] \rho \ln \rho. \quad (\text{A5})$$

From Eq. (A4) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S} &= - \int [dE] (\mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho) \ln \rho \\ &= \Gamma \int [dE] \frac{f_{lm} [\hat{\partial}_{X_l} \rho - (\partial_{E_k} J^l_k) \rho] \partial_{E_j} (J^m_j \rho)}{\rho} \\ &= \Gamma \int [dE] f_{lk} \frac{\hat{\partial}_{X_l} \rho \hat{\partial}_{X_m} \rho}{\rho} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

where again use has been made of Eq. (15). At equilibrium, one recovers $S_{eq} = \ln \Omega(E, \dots)$, where $\Omega(E, \dots)$ is the area of Ω , and it is assumed that the system was initially in a state with well-defined values of the conserved quantities. The standard expression for the microcanonical entropy is recovered when E is the only conserved quantity.

Appendix B: Fluctuation spectrum for the simple chain

The equation for the fluctuation amplitude is obtained from either Eq. (32) or Eqs. (33) and (34), and reads

$$(1/2)\nabla_{kl}^2 \langle E_k E_l \rangle + \delta_{kl} \langle E_k [E_{k+1} + E_{k-1}] \rangle = \delta_{l,k+1} \langle E_k E_{k+1} \rangle + \delta_{l,k-1} \langle E_k E_{k-1} \rangle, \quad (\text{B1})$$

Substituting Eq. (38) into Eq. (B1) and exploiting the condition $\langle \tilde{E}_k^{le} \tilde{E}_l^r \rangle = 0$ yields

$$C_{k,k+1} + C_{k,k-1} - C_{kk} = 0, \quad l = k, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$E'^2 + C_{k+1,k+1} + C_{k+1,k-1} + C_{k,k+2} + C_{kk} - 6C_{k,k+1} = 0, \quad l = k + 1, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\nabla_{kl}^2 C_{lk} = 0, \quad l > k + 1. \quad (\text{B4})$$

By exploiting the symmetry $C_{kl} = C_{lk}$, Eqs. (B2) and (B3) can be expressed in terms of components $C_{k,l>k}$. Taylor expanding around the diagonal and exploiting again $C_{kl} = C_{lk}$, one is left with the two equations

$$C_{kk} - (\partial_l - \partial_k)C_{lk}|_{l=k} = 0, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$2E'^2 - 4C_{kk} + (\partial_l - \partial_k)C_{lk}|_{l=k} = 0, \quad (\text{B6})$$

from which one obtains the boundary condition on the diagonal

$$C_{kk} = \frac{2}{3}E'^2, \quad (\text{B7})$$

which is Eq. (43). Identify atoms at sites $k = 0$ and $k = N$ with atoms in the respective thermal baths, in such a way that $\bar{E}_0 \equiv T_0$, $\bar{E}_N \equiv T_N$ and $\bar{E}' = (T_N - T_0)/N$. Consistent with a hypothesis of zero correlation between atoms in the chain and in the bath, it is then natural to impose

$$C_{0k} = C_{lN} = 0, \quad (\text{B8})$$

Equation (B4) can then be numerically solved with the Dirichlet boundary conditions Eqs. (43) and (B8), and the result is shown in Fig. 3.