

Exploring Nonlinear System with Machine Learning: Chua and Lorenz Circuits Analyzed

Zhe Wang,¹ Haixia Fan,² Jiyuan Zhang,^{3,*} and Xiao-Yun Wang^{1,4,†}

¹Department of physics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, China

²Xi'an High Technical University, Xi'an 713700, China

³Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China

⁴Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Gansu Province, and Key Laboratory of Quantum Theory and Applications of MoE, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China

Nonlinear circuits serve as crucial carriers and physical models for investigating nonlinear dynamics and chaotic behavior, particularly in the simulation of biological neurons. In this study, Chua's circuit and Lorenz circuit are systematically explored for the first time through machine learning correlation algorithms. Specifically, the upgraded and optimized SINDy-PI model, which is based on neural network and symbolic regression algorithm, is utilized to learn the numerical results of attractors generated by these two nonlinear circuits. Through error analysis, we examine the effects of the precision of input data and the amount of data on the algorithmic model. The findings reveal that when the input data quantity and data precision fall within a certain range, the algorithm model can effectively recognize and restore the differential equation expressions corresponding to the two circuits. Additionally, we test the anti-interference ability of different circuits and the robustness of the algorithm by introducing noise into the test data. The results indicate that under the same noise disturbance, the Lorenz circuit has better noise resistance than Chua's circuit, providing a starting point for further studying the intrinsic properties and characteristics of different nonlinear circuits. The above results will not only offer a reference for the further study of nonlinear circuits and related systems using deep learning algorithms but also lay a preliminary theoretical foundation for the study of related physical problems and applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

In biophysical research, biological neurons are crucial components [1, 2]. From the physical modeling perspective, a single neuron is a complex electrically charged body that generates nonlinear electrical activity in response to external stimuli. Researchers modulate nonlinear circuits so that when an external stimulus is applied, the circuit's output sequence shows firing characteristics similar to those of biological neurons, simulating neuronal activity [3]. Reliable neuronal models are very important for understanding the complex electrical activity within the nervous system and the collaborative behavior between neurons [4].

Constructing neuronal circuits requires considering many factors [5–7]. For different neurons, different functional devices must be embedded in neuronal circuits to achieve different configurations. By building nonlinear circuits to generate large-scale feedback networks, complex molecular interactions such as nonlinearity, stochasticity, rigidity, asynchrony, and non-mode coupling in individual cells can be simulated [8]. There are numerous nonlinear circuit models that can simulate some neurons, like the Chua's circuit model [9, 10], the FHN model [11], the PR model [12], the HR model [13], and the Lorenz circuit model [14]. However, constrained by the randomness and functionality of biological neurons, the construction of simulation circuits usually requires to consider the combination and coupling between different devices, which usually has certain limitations of such top-down construction methods. Therefore it is particularly important to find a bottom-up approach for neuronal circuit construction based on the target functionality [15].

With the development of computer science and technology, deep learning methods can provide a completely novel approach to construct the relationship between neuronal behavior and simulation circuits. Specifically, this method may link from known phase space sequences to specific circuit structures [16, 17]. For an unknown neuron, we can capture its output signal to inversely reconstruct the nonlinear circuit and further understand the physical properties of that neuron.

The sparse identification of nonlinear dynamics (SINDy) algorithm is a method for discovering dynamical systems models from data. Currently, researchers have developed a parallel and implicitly robust variant called SINDy-PI [18]. This algorithm can recognize differential equations from finite and noisy data. In the process of constructing nonlinear circuits, chaotic circuits can be discretized into multivariate dynamical systems. The algorithm is used to construct models from real chaotic state signals that can generate the dynamics of that data and thus construct nonlinear circuits. Although there is relatively little work on applying this algorithm to neural circuit identification, it shows great potential for identifying implicit dynamical equations in other areas [19–22].

In this paper, we focus on the application of the machine learning in neuronal circuit identification. This algorithm offers a unique approach to address the challenges in building neuronal circuits. Our work aims to explore its effectiveness and potential in this field. The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we present the corresponding circuit equations and dimensionless equations based on classical Chua's circuit and Lorenz circuit. We provide the parameters of the nonlinear circuit and generate attractor data from the circuit based on these parameters. In Section III, we introduce the fundamentals of the SINDy-PI algorithm. In Section IV, we investigate the impact of the amount of input data generation and data precision on the algorithm by controlling these factors. We also verify the robustness of the algorithm by adding Gaussian

* jiyuanzhang24@m.fudan.edu.cn

† xywang@lut.edu.cn




FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of Chua's circuit, where NR is the nonlinear element, C_1, C_2 are capacitors, L is the inductor, R is the resistor

white noise to the sample data. In Section V, we reduce the obtained dimensionless equations to the circuit equations and discuss the results. In Section VI, we summarize our work.

II. CIRCUIT MODEL

A. Chua's circuit model

The Chua's circuit is a classical chaotic model that produce rich chaotic behavior. The Chua's circuit can be used to obtain different types of chaos by varying some of the circuit parameters. The circuit structure of the Chua's circuit is shown in Fig. 1.

The circuit equation for Chua's circuit can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} C_1 \frac{dV_{C1}}{dt} = \frac{V_{C2} - V_{C1}}{R} - f(V_{C1}) \\ C_2 \frac{dV_{C2}}{dt} = \frac{V_{C1} - V_{C2}}{R} + i_L \\ L \frac{di_L}{dt} = -V_{C2}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where

$$f(V_C) = G_a V_C + 0.5(G_a - G_b)(|V_C + E| - |V_C - E|). \quad (2)$$

The $f(V_C)$ is the characteristic function of the Chua's nonlinear diode. In the above equation, G_a, G_b and E are parameters obtained from the intrinsic properties of the circuit. To facilitate later data processing, we dimensionless the Chua's circuit [23].

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{V_{C1}}{E}, & y &= \frac{V_{C2}}{E}, & z &= \frac{i_L R}{E}, & \tau &= \frac{t}{RC_2} \\ m_0 &= RG_a, & m_1 &= RG_b, & \alpha &= \frac{C_2}{C_1}, & \beta &= \frac{C_2 R^2}{L} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The dimensionless kinetic equations of a single the Chua's circuit can be converted [7]

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \alpha[y - x - f(x)] \\ \dot{y} = x - y - z \\ \dot{z} = -\beta y, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$f(x) = m_1 x + 0.5(m_0 - m_1)(|x + 1| - |x - 1|). \quad (5)$$

The parameters after transformation of the Chua's circuit are chosen as [24]

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 10\text{nF}, & C_2 &= 100\text{nF}, & E &= 1\text{V}, & L &= 18.75\text{mH}, \\ G &= \frac{1}{R} = 0.599\text{mS}, & G_a &= -0.76\text{mS}, & G_b &= -0.41\text{mS}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The corresponding dimensionless parameters are

$$\alpha = 10, \quad \beta = 14.87, \quad a = -1.27, \quad b = -0.68. \quad (7)$$

Variations in these parameters cause different nonlinear phenomena in the circuit, which further lead to the appearance of attractors. Attractor's data points are exactly what we need. We use these data as input data in the modeling process of unknown chaotic systems. The fourth-order Runge-Kutta approach is used to solve differential equations in numerical simulation processes. The defined circuit structure determines the parameters of the dimensionless equation for the nonlinear circuit. We can change the circuit structure and initial conditions to control the output of the circuit and create a variety of nonlinear phenomena.

Given different dimensionless parameters for the Chua's circuit, we obtain attractors of approximately the same shape. We numerically solve the circuit for different parameters and control the amount and accuracy of data generated during the data discretization process. This data can be used as the base data for obtaining regression equations using machine learning algorithms. It can likewise be used as validation data to test the accuracy of the learning results we obtain.

We choose Eq. (7) as the dimensionless parameter of the circuit. The data obtained by solving when the initial conditions are

$$x = 0.5, \quad y = 0.1, \quad z = 0.2. \quad (8)$$

The initial conditions for selecting test data are

$$x = 0.1, \quad y = 0.1, \quad z = 0.3. \quad (9)$$

We get the trajectory of the motion as shown in the Fig. 2.

B. Lorenz system

The next chaotic system we will discuss is the Lorenz system. It can be expressed in the form of




FIG. 2. The parameters are set to Eq. (7) and the initial condition is the double vortex attractor of the Chua's circuit of Eq. (8).

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sigma(y - x) \\ \dot{y} = rx - y - xz \\ \dot{z} = xy - bz, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where σ , r and b are the system parameters [25]. As shown in Eq. (10), the Lorenz system can be decomposed into two sets of subsystems with (x, z) and (y, z) as variables. The original system can be understood as the driving system, while the two subsystems are dynamic response systems driven by the signals of the original system. Therefore we can construct the electronic circuit based on the expression equation of the Lorenz system as shown in Fig. 3.

Based on Fig. 3, we can write the state equation for the kinetic behavior of the circuit as [26]

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = \frac{1}{R_5 C_1} \left[\frac{R_4}{R_1} v - \frac{R_3}{R_2 + R_3} \left(1 + \frac{R_4}{R_1} \right) u \right] \\ \dot{v} = \frac{1}{R_{15} C_2} \left[\frac{R_{11}}{R_{10} + R_{11}} \left(1 + \frac{R_{12}}{R_8} + \frac{R_{12}}{R_9} \right) \left(1 + \frac{R_7}{R_6} \right) u \right. \\ \quad \left. - \frac{R_{12}}{R_8} v - \frac{R_{12}}{R_9} uv \right] \\ \dot{w} = \frac{1}{R_{20} C_3} \left[\frac{R_{19}}{R_{16}} uv - \frac{R_{18}}{R_{17} + R_{18}} \left(1 + \frac{R_{19}}{R_{16}} \right) w \right]. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The time scale of the circuit can be controlled by adjusting the value of the capacitor in the circuit. The parameters of the Lorenz system can be controlled by adjusting the values of the resistors. We have chosen the coefficients $\sigma=16$, $r=45.6$, and $b=4$. In what follows, we discuss the data for different initial cases of attractors.

The dynamic trajectories of the nonlinear circuit are solved by a computer with the initial conditions of

$$x = 1, \quad y = 0.5, \quad z = 3, \quad (12)$$

and

$$x = 5, \quad y = 2, \quad z = 0.8. \quad (13)$$

The results derived from Eq. (12) serve as the base data for learning and the results obtained from Eq. (13) are used as the test data set. The results we obtained for the data are shown in Fig. 4.

III. ALGORITHMIC MODEL

In this section we focus on the machine learning algorithm—SINDy-PI used in this work. This algorithm is adapted from SINDy, which uses symbolic regression to determine the laws of physics. The idea behind the algorithm is explained as wanting to identify a potentially nonlinear model by describing the variables in the system as sparse combinations of several functions in a library of pre-selected functions.

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = f(x(t)) \quad (14)$$

The computer works through the data to find the time series of the variables. During the computation, it is assumed that the trends of the variables can all be represented by linear sparse combinations of functions from a library of pre-selected functions $\Theta(x) = [\theta_1(x) \theta_2(x) \cdots \theta_p(x)]$. Then the variable $x_k(t)$ can be expressed in the form $(\frac{d}{dt}x_k(t) = f_k(x(t)) \approx \Theta(x)\xi_k)$, where ξ_k is the sparse vector that indicates which function terms have an effect in the system we are looking for. For non-zero vectors in ξ_k , they can be solved by performing sparse regression on the trajectory data. Each of the functions in the pre-selected function library may be part of the candidate models that make up our model. When the pre-selected function does not agree with the trend of the unknown variable, the resulting coefficient vector will not be sparse and the prediction error will be large. Conversely, the prediction error is small, indicating that the function is likely to be part of the equation of motion of the constituent variables.

During the run of this algorithm, multiple candidate models may be generated that meet the requirements. In the next step, these models are screened using validation data. A hyperparameter λ is defined as for the threshold value. The computational results of the screened models are compared with the test data by least squares. When the error is below λ , the candidate model is considered to pass the test at this point. Then the λ should be set up more carefully to reach the point where efficiency and accuracy are balanced. During testing some models provide more accurate sparse models in the local part. The algorithm cross-references different models to




FIG. 3. Schematic diagram of the construction of a Lorenz circuit, where three small parts make up the larger circuit and u, v, w are the measured data.




FIG. 4. The attractor of a Lorenz circuit with system parameters $\sigma=16$, $r=45.6$, $b=4$ and initial conditions $x = 1, y = 0.5, z = 3$.

determine if each model uses the same pre-selected function to further improve the accuracy of model selection. Since the initial Sindy-PI model encounters difficulties in directly learning circuit attractors, we have upgraded the model to a certain extent. These modifications are based on some transformations in the construction of traditional neuronal circuits, making the model more suitable for the identification and construction of neural networks. By enhancing the functions in the pre-selected function library and eliminating their ineffective parts, we have greatly improved the efficiency of this algorithm in recognizing attractors. At the same time, we have updated the logic for selecting pre-selected functions in the looping process to make the recognition process more reason-

able. Through the expansion and optimization of the model, we enable the algorithm to learn our attractor data with appropriate efficiency and accuracy, thus ensuring that the model can accurately capture the characteristics of attractors and provide more reliable results for neural network analysis.

IV. DATA REQUIREMENTS

A. Data volume and data accuracy

The algorithm was researched from experimental data, so the accuracy of the data and the size of the data are naturally important factors in the learning outcome. The change in data accuracy is achieved by controlling the integration step size during numerical simulation of the circuit. The amount of data is controlled by changing the integration time. The data from the Chua's circuit with initial conditions taken $x = 0.5, y = 0.1, z = 0.2$ are put into the computer as the base data for learning. The initial condition of the validation database we have chosen are $x = 0.4, y = 0.2, z = 0.3$. The integration steps DT are selected as 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0. The time T are selected as 300, 1000, 2000, 5000. The precision in filtering the model equations was set to 0.0001. In the resulting equations, we chose the precision to be 10^{-8} , and for ease of presentation we retained function terms with coefficients greater than 0.01. We have selected some representative calculations as shown in the Table. I (the data in the table has been processed).

The results show that it is not the case that the larger the amount of data, the better the learning effect. On the contrary, the learning effect of the algorithm decreases when the amount of data exceeds a critical value. The reason for this situation is that the trajectories of the equations are centered around the attractors in the phase space. When the amount of data is too large, there are overlapping of data points. Such a situation makes it impossible to recognize certain data points well. Since neighboring motion trajectory data are easily blurred, this situation can lead to errors in function recognition. The performance of the algorithm also does not increase linearly when decreasing the amount of data to increase the precision. When the step size is too small, it is prone to problems of resolving the data points with too high a precision or periodic steps leading to regular floating point numbers in the data. These problems during the recognition process tend to make the algorithm susceptible to interference, thus adding unnecessary pre-selected functions to fit these problematic data. In a dataset with the right step size, the proportion of valid data is larger, so the algorithm filters out a small amount of erroneous data.

Lorenz circuit is more difficult to fit using this algorithm compared to Chua's circuit. There are overlapping parts of the two attractors of the Lorenz circuit, which makes it necessary to be more precise in recognizing the data. The intrinsic parameters of the system selected during the learning process are $\sigma=10, r=28$, and $b=8/3$. In order to make the data more recognizable we increase the initial state in the z -direction. The learning results are shown in Table. II. Comparison results show that as the amount of data increases, the algorithm is disturbed by trivial data to a greater extent and is unable to focus on the main part of the data. Therefore we need to pick the right range of data for the algorithm to be able to focus more on the main part of the data without being distracted by trivial data.




FIG. 5. The absolute error between the numerical results of machine learning for Chua's circuit and the actual values under different parameter conditions. The value of T , the variable controlling the amount of data, is set from 100 to 2500 in steps of 50. The value of DT , the variable controlling the accuracy of data, is set from 0.01 to 0.5 in steps of 0.01. The portion marked by black triangle is the learned dataset with the smallest error, which is parameterized by $T = 300, DT = 0.3, error = 0.0014$.

B. Error analysis

In the previous section we briefly explored the impact of data volume and data accuracy on the algorithm. In order to more explicitly study the effect of both on the computational results of the algorithm, we here define an error function to judge the learning effect as shown in Eq. (15). The A, B, C in the expression is the variable coefficients of the equation that generates the input data, and the a, b, c are the coefficients of the variables of the equation learned by the algorithm.

$$error = \sqrt{(A - a)^2 + (B - b)^2 + (C - c)^2 + \dots} \quad (15)$$

When a disturbance term appeared in the calculation, we squared the coefficient of that variable and added it to the error calculation. We set the value of the variable T , which controls the amount of data, from 100 to 2500 with a step size of 50 during the calculation. And we set the value of the variable DT , which controls the accuracy of the data, from 0.01 to 0.5 in steps of 0.01. By calculating the data, we can get the error figure as shown in Fig. 5.

From the information in the figure we can see that as the amount of data increases the error also increases and the relationship between the amount of data and the error is generally positive. The learning of the algorithm improves to some extent as the granularity increases, but too much granularity in the data can also lead to an increase in error. We should also note that this change is not linear; it is an overall trend, and as the amount of data increases and the precision of the data improves, the overall complexity of the data has a tremendous impact on learning outcomes. On this basis, we try to find the variance corresponding to each variable coefficient throughout




FIG. 6. The absolute error between the numerical results of machine learning for Lorenz circuit and the actual values under different parameter conditions. The value of T , the variable controlling the amount of data, is set from 100 to 2500 in steps of 50. The value of DT , the variable controlling the accuracy of data, is set from 0.01 to 0.5 in steps of 0.001. The portion marked by black triangle is the learned dataset with the smallest error, which is parameterized by $T = 150, DT = 0.075, error = 0.0037$.

the learning process to characterize the learning ability of the algorithm throughout the testing process. Finally, the learning equation we obtained for the Chua's circuit can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = A * y - B * x \\ \quad -C * |x - 1.00| + D * |x + 1.00| \\ \dot{y} = E * x - F * y + G * z \\ \dot{z} = -H * y, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 10.00 \pm 0.00000004, & B &= 3.20 \pm 0.00000005, \\ C &= 2.95 \pm 0.00002807, & D &= 2.95 \pm 0.00002824, \\ E &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000002, & F &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000001, \\ G &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000001, & H &= 14.87 \pm 0.00000014. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we have calculated the corresponding error figure for the Lorenz circuit learning results as in Fig. 6. From the error figure, we can see that the algorithm has different data requirements for Lorenz circuit and Chua's circuit.

From the error figure of the Lorenz circuit we can see that the general trend is that the error value increases as the amount of data increases. But then again the correlation between the data accuracy DT and the overall error value is not high in our computational interval. Analyzing the reasons for this situation, we believe that the attractor images formed by Lorenz circuit in space are more independent than the attractor graphs of Chua's circuit, so there is less interference between the data, and the algorithm is good enough to recognize the trend of the data. On the basis of the error values obtained above,

we further obtained the variance of each variable in the equation over the range, and the equation can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ay - Bx \\ \dot{y} = Cx - Dy - Exz \\ \dot{z} = Fxy - Gz. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 10.00 \pm 0.00000016, & B &= 10.00 \pm 0.00000017, \\ C &= 28.00 \pm 0.00000346, & D &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000160, \\ E &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000001, & F &= 1.00 \pm 0.00000234, \\ G &= 2.67 \pm 0.00077556. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From the error analysis of the two circuits we can see that the algorithm has requirements for the amount of data input and the accuracy of the data. The amount of data affects learning outcomes to a greater degree than data accuracy. From the overall learning effect, the algorithm is able to get the desired equations better in our space of values about T and DT .

C. Noise robustness

In this subsection, we verify the robustness of the algorithm. Gaussian white noise is added to the database of the Chua's circuit with the best learning outcome to simulate the possible adulteration of the data acquisition process. The noise levels were selected as $\sigma = 0.0001, 0.005, 0.001$ and the results are shown in Table. III. We can see that the results become blurred as the noise increases. When the noise level is $\sigma = 0.0001$ the overall structure of the system is still recognizable, but when the noise increases again the basic structure of the system and the error term are no longer distinguishable. The reason for this phenomenon is that data from different trajectories get mixed together when there is too much noise. This situation leads to the computer misjudging the connections between data points when they are put into algorithmic learning. This result demonstrates the strength of the robustness of the algorithm. Despite the fact that the attractor's trajectory itself is very difficult to recognize, the algorithm is able to identify the basic structure that the system has in spite of the noise.

The addition of noise to the Lorenz system is shown in Table. IV. The largest influence on its results is the z-direction component. As the noise increases, the number of erroneous pre-selected functions also increases. However, the overall form of the circuit equations does not change much, which is due to the fact that the two attractors of the Lorenz circuit are more unique in terms of their position in space compared to the Chua's circuit. The data points of the Lorenz circuit are less affected by the relative mixing effects of noise. Compared to the Chua's circuit, the Lorenz circuit has superior immunity to interference in the recognition process. At a noise level of 0.01, the components of the equation can still be discriminated. Comparison of the algorithm's learning results for two circuits at different noise levels shows that the algorithm has

different error tolerances for different circuits. So when confronted with an unknown system it is important to consider its attractor shape and data precision, which will have an impact on the learning effect.

It should be noted in particular that the pre-selected function libraries chosen for both circuits are the same when learning them. The core logic of the algorithm is to study the correlation between the data and describe the data with appropriate notation to construct the circuit equations. This means that if it is an unknown system in case the pre-selected function library is large enough and the amount of input data and accuracy meets the requirements we can try to find the dimensionless circuit equations that produce the system.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the previous section, we used a machine learning approach to find the dynamical equations from the circuit data that could have produced them. The best equations obtained during the learning process will be used to perform the reduction of nonlinear circuits. The prediction equations for the Chua's circuit can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 3.20 * x \\ \quad - 2.95 * |x - 1.00| + 2.95 * |x + 1.00| \\ \dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z \\ \dot{z} = -14.87 * y. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The inputs to our search for dimensionless equations corresponding to the data are discretized Chua's circuit attractor data. In the process of reducing the circuit, we first scale transform the time variable and make reasonable predictions about the other variables.

$$\tau = \frac{t}{RC_2}, \quad x = \frac{V_{C_1}}{E}, \quad y = \frac{V_{C_2}}{E}, \quad z = \frac{i_L R}{E}. \quad (21)$$

At this point we get a prediction equation that can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} C_1 \frac{dV_{C_1}}{dt} = 10.00 * \frac{V_{C_2}}{R} - 3.20 * \frac{V_{C_1}}{R} - 2.95 * f(V_{C_1}) & (22a) \\ C_2 \frac{dV_{C_2}}{dt} = 1.00 * \frac{V_{C_1}}{R} - 1.00 * \frac{V_{C_2}}{R} + 1.00 * \frac{i_L R}{R} & (22b) \\ L \frac{di_L}{dt} = -14.87 * V_{C_2}, & (22c) \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(V_C) = \frac{1}{R} * (|V_C + E| - |V_C - E|). \quad (23)$$

By examining the components of the system of Eq. (22), we can attempt to construct an RLC circuit in conjunction with Kirchhoff laws. First we reconstruct Eq. (22a), according to the KCL we can determine the input, output current of this circuit node. Based on the relationship between the voltage

and current through the capacitor, we can quantize $C_1 \frac{dV_{C_1}}{dt}$ as the capacitance in the circuit. The $f(V_C)$ denotes the nonlinear term about V_C obtained during the learning process, and we can realize this part in the circuit by building nonlinear components with appropriate parameters. Next we construct the structure of the circuit corresponding to Eq. (22b), which embodies the current situation at the other node. By looking at the composition of the equations we can see that there is not only a capacitor in this part of the circuit, but also an inductor $\frac{i_L R}{R}$. Based on the KCL we can determine the direction of the current in the equations. However, based on these two equations alone we are unable to fully reduce the entire circuit. We also need Eq. (22c) to determine the voltage relationship in the entire loop. Based on KVL, we can know the value of the voltage across the inductor. By combining the three equations we can reconstruct the entire circuit, and we draw a schematic of the reconstruction process as shown in Fig. 7.

From the structure of the circuit equation, we can see that the circuit we obtained contains components such as capacitors, inductive coils, and nonlinear resistors. This implies that our circuit contain multiple effects in the simulation of neurons producing nonlinear effects. The $C * V$ in the above equation represents the charging and discharging process of the capacitor, which causes the system electric field to change further producing energy changes. Changes in the energy of an inductive coil in the circuit $L \frac{di_L}{dt}$ correspond to changes in the physical properties of that system, such as the induced electromotive force in a magnetic field. The $f(V_C)$ in equation represents a nonlinear resistor or other nonlinear element, which represents the nonlinear effect brought about by the neuronal medium during electrical activity. Through Kirchhoff's theorem, the construction of neuronal circuits can be realized by means of Eq. (22) and the corresponding circuit elements.

For Lorenz circuit, we can also transform the dimensionless equations using a similar transformation of variables. Each equation in the transformed set of equations is then constructed separately so that we can reconstruct the entire circuit. We make reasonable predictions about the time variable and other variables:

$$x = \frac{V_u}{E}, \quad y = \frac{V_v}{E}, \quad z = \frac{V_w}{E}, \quad \tau = \frac{t}{RC}. \quad (24)$$

We substituted the scale transformations of the time variable and the scale transformations of the guessed other variables into the system of equations obtained by machine learning. We get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{CR}{E} \frac{du}{dt} = 10.00 * \frac{v}{E} - 10.00 * \frac{u}{E} - 0.02 \\ \frac{CR}{E} \frac{dv}{dt} = 27.99 * \frac{u}{E} + 1.00 * \frac{v}{E} - 0.99 * \frac{uv}{E^2} - 0.10 \\ \frac{CR}{E} \frac{dw}{dt} = -2.95 * \frac{w}{E} + 0.98 * \frac{uv}{E^2} + 4.88. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

After processing the equations again, we have the predicted circuit equations, which are obtained by the algorithm sum-




FIG. 7. The circuit is reconstructed based on the set of equations obtained from machine learning. The part of the circuit in the region I surrounded by the dashed line is constructed based on the KCL and Eq. (22a). The part of the circuit in the region II surrounded by the dash-dotted line is constructed based on the KCL and Eq. (22b). The part of the circuit in the region III surrounded by the dashed line is constructed based on the KVL and Eq. (22c).

marizing the data.

$$\begin{cases} C \frac{du}{dt} = 10.00 * \frac{1}{R}(v - u) - 0.02 \\ C \frac{dv}{dt} = 27.99 * \frac{u}{R} + 1.00 * \frac{v}{R} - 0.99 * \frac{uv}{ER} - 0.10 \\ C \frac{dw}{dt} = -2.95 * \frac{w}{R} + 0.98 * \frac{uw}{ER} + 4.88 \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Next, we construct each of the equations within the system of equations to obtain a nonlinear circuit that characterizes the input data. The construction of this circuit is similar to the construction of the Chua's circuit described above, so we will not repeat it.

With the two circuits mentioned above, we realize the reconstruction of a nonlinear circuit system. This represents the potential of the algorithm to identify and construct unknown neuronal circuit models. In the process of constructing nonlinear circuits, the input data in the equations are known to us, so it is reasonable to scale transform the variables in the process of reconstructing the circuits again. It is very important for researchers to adapt the representation of nonlinear circuits to different neurons and their structural characteristics. Researchers can add photodiodes, thermistors, piezoelectric ceramics, memristors, and other components to the circuit to achieve the characterization of the excitation and discharge modes of the corresponding circuits [27, 28]. When constructing circuits based on neuron output signals, we can first collect data and input them into the algorithm to obtain dimensionless kinetic equations, and then realize the construction of neuron circuits based on the neuron information and circuit components contained in the equations. This method provides a new

way for the identification and construction of unknown neurons.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, machine learning algorithms are introduced into the recognition and construction of neuronal circuits. Chua's circuit and Lorenz circuit are constructed to verify the feasibility of the method. By dimensionlessizing the circuits and using numerical computation, the output series of the nonlinear system is obtained. Two sets of data points from different initial conditions are used as test data and validation data respectively.

The resulting data are put into the SINDy-PI algorithm for learning simulations. The data volume is controlled by adjusting time T , and the data fineness is controlled by the integration step DT of the numerical solution. Comparing the simulated data shows that excessive data can affect the learning effect as data points may overlap. Low precision leads to extra floating point numbers, making the algorithm not focus well on the main part of the data and potentially causing over-fitting. Noise interference is also considered by adding Gaussian white noise to the database to test the system's robustness. Different circuits have different noise tolerances.

In general, the deep algorithmic approach for constructing neuronal circuits in these two nonlinear circuit systems is highly effective. Compared with traditional model construction methods, the deep learning approach for building neuron models greatly reduces the subjective influence of researchers

and can reflect the real electrical activity response of neurons more objectively. For unknown neurons, this method can predict possible corresponding neuronal circuits and reveal their implicit structures. The underlying dynamical system can explain specific neuronal properties. Reconstructing unknown neuronal circuits is crucial for understanding neural networks in living organisms. In this way, we can deeply explore the working principles of the nervous systems of living organisms and provide strong support for neuroscience research. For example, in some organisms, the connection methods and activity patterns of neurons may be different from those of other organisms, and the deep algorithmic method can help us reveal the laws behind these differences. In addition, this method can also provide new ideas and methods for the diagnosis and treatment of neurological diseases.

This work is the first step in our research plan. In the process of performing machine learning on actual neural signals and reconstructing nonlinear circuits, there may be problems in three aspects. For one thing, the number and types of neurons will increase significantly and different neurons are usually coupled and interact with each other. For another, the measured neural data may be relatively sparse, leading to insufficient data volume. Additionally, the noise interference may be relatively strong. These three types of potential problems not only increase physical complexity but also pose challenges to the current model. Future research should focus on more complex neural structures and coupling networks and upgrade the existing machine learning model. For instance, expanding the pre-selected function library can improve model performance and generalization ability but brings a huge workload. Balancing the learning accuracy and efficiency of the algorithm is a meaningful research topic. Obviously, there are many challenges in measuring real neural signals. We need to improve the model in aspects such as enhancing generalization ability, balancing algorithm accuracy and efficiency, and addressing data-related issues to achieve more accurate identification and reconstruction of neural circuits. In conclusion, the deep algorithmic approach has broad application prospects in neuronal circuit construction and neural network research and is worthy of further in-depth study and exploration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12047501, the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu province under Grant No. 22JR5RA266, and the West Light Foundation of The Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. 21JR7RA201.

-
- [1] J. J. Torres, I. Elices and J. Marro, “Efficient transmission of subthreshold signals in complex networks of spiking neurons”, *PLoS One*, **10**(3), e0121156 (2015).
- [2] A. Ben, Sarrah and O. Pascual, “Astrocyte–neuron communication: functional consequences”, *Neurochemical research*, **37**, 2464–2473 (2012).
- [3] G. V. Savino and C. M. Formigli, “Nonlinear electronic circuit with neuron like bursting and spiking dynamics”, *Biosystems*, **97**(1), 9–14 (2009).
- [4] L. Q. Luo, “Architectures of neuronal circuits”, *Science*, **373** (6559), eabg7285 (2021).
- [5] R. Rocha, J. Ruthiramorthy and T. Kathamuthu, “Memristive oscillator based on Chua’s circuit: stability analysis and hidden dynamics”, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **88**, 2577–2587 (2017).
- [6] S. Binczak, S. Jacquir and J. M. Bilbault et al, “Experimental study of electrical FitzHugh–Nagumo neurons with modified excitability”, *Neural Networks*, **19**(5), 684–693 (2006).
- [7] J. J. Lee, J. J. Park, and M. W. Kwon et al, “Integrated neuron circuit for implementing neuromorphic system with synaptic device”, *Solid-State Electronics*, **140**, 34–40 (2018).
- [8] JY. Teo, R. Sarpeshkar, “The merging of biological and electronic circuits”, *Iscience*, **23** (11) (2020).
- [9] L. O. Chua, “The Genesis of Chua’s Circuit”, *Archiv Elektronik Ubertragungstechnik*, **59**, 3057-3065 (1992).
- [10] Q. Ye and Z. X. Jiang and T. Chen et al, “Adaptive feedback control for synchronization of chaotic neural systems with parameter mismatches”, *Complexity*, **2018**(1), 5431987 (2018).
- [11] N. Tabekoueng, Z. Sriram and G. Rajagopal et al, “Energy computation and multiplier-less implementation of the two-dimensional FitzHugh–Nagumo (FHN) neural circuit”, *The European Physical Journal E*, **46**(7), 60 (2023).
- [12] N. Guglielmi, “Inexact Newton methods for the steady state analysis of nonlinear circuits”, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **6**(01), 43–57 (1996).
- [13] D. H. He and P. L. Shi and L.W. Stone, “Noise-induced synchronization in realistic models”, *Physical Review E*, **67**(2), 027201 (2003).
- [14] N. V. Kuznetsov and T. N. Mokaev and O. A. Kuznetsova et al, “The Lorenz system: hidden boundary of practical stability and the Lyapunov dimension”, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **102**, 713–732 (2020).
- [15] P. Miller, “Dynamical systems, attractors, and neural circuits [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]”, *F1000Research*, **5** (2016).
- [16] Z. Naghibi, S. A. Sadrossadat and S. Safari, “Dynamic behavioral modeling of nonlinear circuits using a novel recurrent neural network technique”, *International journal of circuit theory and applications*, **47**(7), 1071–1085 (2019).
- [17] B. Kia, A. Mendes and A. Parnami et al, “Nonlinear dynamics based machine learning: Utilizing dynamics-based flexibility of nonlinear circuits to implement different functions”, *Plos one*, **15**(3), e0228534 (2020).
- [18] K. Kaheman, J. N. Kutz and S. L. Brunton, “SINDy-PI: a robust algorithm for parallel implicit sparse identification of nonlinear dynamics”, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, **476**(2242), 20200279 (2020).
- [19] V. Nemani, L. Biggio and X. Huan et al, “Uncertainty quantification in machine learning for engineering design and health prognostics: A tutorial”, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, **205**, 110796 (2023).
- [20] Z. Chen, Y. Liu and H. Sun, “Physics-informed learning of governing equations from scarce data”, *Nature communications*, **12**(1), 6136 (2021).
- [21] B. Bhadriraju, J. S. I. Kwon and F. Khan, “An adaptive data-driven approach for two-timescale dynamics prediction and remaining useful life estimation of Li-ion batteries”, *Computers & Chemical Engineering* **175**, 108275 (2023).
- [22] S. L. Brunton, J. L. Proctor and J. N. Kutz, “Discovering governing equations from data by sparse identification of nonlinear dynamical systems”, *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, **113**(15), 3932–3937 (2016).
- [23] T. Matsumoto, L. Chua and M. Komuro, “The double scroll”, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, **32**(8), 797–818 (1985).
- [24] L. O. Chua and P. M. Lin, “Computer-Aided Analysis of Electronic Circuits: Algorithms and Computational Techniques”, Prentice-Hall, Professional Technical Reference(1975).
- [25] E. N. Lorenz, “Deterministic nonperiodic flow”, *Journal of atmospheric sciences*, **20**(2), 130–141 (1963).
- [26] K. M. Cuomo, A. V. Oppenheim and S. H. Strogatz, “Synchronization of Lorenz-based chaotic circuits with applications to communications”, *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems II: Analog and digital signal processing*, **40**(10), 626–633 (1993).
- [27] P. Bokinec, N. Zampieri and G. R. Lewin et al, “The neural circuits of thermal perception”, *Current opinion in neurobiology*, **52**, 98–106 (2018).
- [28] I. Hussain, S. Jafari, and D. Ghosh et al, “Synchronization and chimeras in a network of photosensitive FitzHugh–Nagumo neurons”, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **104**(3), 2711–2721 (2021).

Appendix A: Formulas derived from machine learning

TABLE I. Comparison of learning outcomes for Chua's circuit, where D is the time, which controls the amount of data generated, and DT is the integration step size which controls the fineness of the data generated. The data in the table has been processed to retain two decimal places.

Learning Equations	$\dot{x} = 10 * [y - 0.32x + 0.295(x + 1 - x - 1)]$
	$\dot{y} = x - y - z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87y$
$T=300, DT=0.01$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 3.19 * x - 2.93 * x - 1.00 + 2.96 * x + 1.00 - 0.03$
	$\dot{y} = 0.99 * x - 0.99 * y + 0.99 * z$
	$\dot{z} = 0.01 * x^2 - 14.86 * y - 0.02 * x - 1.00 - 0.02 * x + 1.00 - 0.01 * y^2 + 0.04$
$T=1000, DT=0.01$	$\dot{x} = 10.03 * y - 3.18 * x - 2.79 * x - 1.00 + 3.06 * x + 1.00 - 0.51$
	$\dot{y} = 1.24 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.05 * z + 8.93 * x - 1.00 + 8.57 * x + 1.00 - 4.36 * x^2 + 5.26 * y^2 - 17.06$
	$\dot{z} = 0.03 * x - 14.84 * y + 2.03 * x - 1.00 + 1.97 * x + 1.00 - 0.99 * x^2 + 1.21 * y^2 - 3.90$
$T=300, DT=0.1$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 3.20 * x - 2.95 * x - 1.00 + 2.94 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 0.99 * x - 0.99 * y + 0.99 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y$
$T=1000, DT=0.1$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 3.20 * x - 2.95 * x - 1.00 + 2.94 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 0.99 * x - 0.99 * y + 0.99 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y + 0.01$
$T=1000, DT=0.5$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 3.20 * x - 2.95 * x - 1.00 + 2.95 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y$
$T=2000, DT=0.5$	$\dot{x} = 10.01 * y - 3.20 * x - 2.95 * x - 1.00 + 2.94 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y$
$T=3000, DT=0.5$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 3.19 * x - 2.96 * x - 1.00 + 2.93 * x + 1.00 + 0.02$
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y$
$T=5000, DT=1.0$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 3.19 * x - 2.94 * x - 1.00 + 2.95 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.86 * y - 0.01 * x - 1.00 - 0.01 * x + 1.00 - 0.02$
$T=10000, DT=1.0$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 3.19 * x - 2.94 * x - 1.00 + 2.95 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 0.99 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y - 0.02 * x - 1.00 - 0.02 * x + 1.00 + 0.01 * x^2 - 0.01 * y^2 + 0.04$

TABLE II. Comparison of learning outcomes for Lorenz circuit, where D is the time, which controls the size of the amount of data produced, and DT is the integration step size which controls the fineness of the data produced. The data in the table has been processed to retain two decimal places.

Learning Equations	$\dot{x} = 10 * (y - x)$
	$\dot{y} = 28 * x + y - x * z$
	$\dot{z} = x * y - 8/3 * z$
$T=300, DT=0.001$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.56$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.12$
	$\dot{z} = 0.04 * x - 0.02 * y - 3.00 * z + 0.97 * x * y + 0.04 * x^2 + 5.93$
$T=300, DT=0.005$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.55$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.12$
	$\dot{z} = 0.09 * x - 0.02 * y - 3.00 * z + 0.97 * x * y + 0.06 * x^2 + 5.84$
$T=700, DT=0.005$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.53$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.11$
	$\dot{z} = 0.01 * x - 2.98 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.04 * x^2 + 5.41$
$T=300, DT=0.01$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.57$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y + 0.99 * x * z - 0.12$
	$\dot{z} = 0.04 * x - 0.01 * y - 3.00 * z + 0.97 * x * y + 0.05 * x^2 + 6.06$
$T=700, DT=0.01$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.54$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.11$
	$\dot{z} = 0.02 * x - 2.98 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.04 * x^2 + 5.48$
$T=1000, DT=0.1$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 10.00 * x - 0.02$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.11$
	$\dot{z} = 0.02 * x - 2.97 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.03 * x^2 + 5.24$
$T=1000, DT=0.5$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 10.00 * x - 0.02$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.10$
	$\dot{z} = -2.95 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 4.88$
$T=5000, DT=1.0$	$\dot{x} = 10.04 * y - 9.37 * x + 18.04 * z + 2.35 * x * y - 0.01 * x * z - 4.26 * x^2 + 0.46 * y^2 - 0.01 * z^2 - 416.31$
	$\dot{y} = 27.98 * x + 0.99 * y + 0.12 * z - 0.02 * x * y - 1.00 * x * z + 0.01 * x^2 + 0.02 * y^2 - 5.91$
	$\dot{z} = 0.22 * x + 0.03 * y + 5.39 * z + 2.04 * x * y - 1.90 * x^2 + 0.20 * y^2 - 185.99$

TABLE III. Test the robustness of the algorithm using Chua's circuit and compare how well the algorithm recognizes the circuit structure by adding different levels of Gaussian white noise.

Learning Equations	$\dot{x} = 10 * [y - 0.32x + 0.295(x + 1 - x - 1)]$
	$\dot{y} = x - y - z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87y$
$T=300, DT=0.3, \text{Noise}=0.001$	$\dot{x} = 9.54 * y - 2.94 * x + 0.10 * z + 7.01 * x - 1.00 + 12.66 * x + 1.00 - 4.90 * x^2 + 6.93 * y^2 - 19.26$
	$\dot{y} = 0.94 * x - 0.95 * y + 0.99 * z - 0.08 * x - 1.00 - 0.19 * y^2 + 0.17$
	$\dot{z} = 3.48 * x^2 - 14.54 * y - 0.07 * z - 7.12 * x - 1.00 - 6.90 * x + 1.00 - 0.18 * x - 4.92 * y^2 + 13.68$
$T=300, DT=0.3, \text{Noise}=0.0005$	$\dot{x} = 10.09 * y - 3.25 * x - 0.02 * z - 4.91 * x - 1.00 + 1.05 * x + 1.00 + 0.96 * x^2 - 1.35 * y^2 + 3.77$
	$\dot{y} = 0.99 * x - 0.99 * y + 0.99 * z - 0.31 * x - 1.00 - 0.30 * x + 1.00 + 0.15 * x^2 - 0.22 * y^2 + 0.61$
	$\dot{z} = 0.08 * x - 15.01 * y + 0.03 * z + 3.13 * x - 1.00 + 3.03 * x + 1.00 - 1.53 * x^2 + 2.15 * y^2 - 6.02$
$T=300, DT=0.3, \text{Noise}=0.0001$	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 3.19 * x - 2.86 * x - 1.00 + 3.03 * x + 1.00 - 0.04 * x^2 + 0.06 * y^2 - 0.17$
	$\dot{y} = 0.99 * x - 0.99 * y + 0.99 * z - 0.02 * x - 1.00 - 0.02 * x + 1.00 + 0.01 * x^2 - 0.02 * y^2 + 0.06$
	$\dot{z} = 0.05 * x^2 - 14.86 * y - 0.12 * x - 1.00 - 0.11 * x + 1.00 - 0.08 * y^2 + 0.23$
$T=300, DT=0.3, \text{Noise}=0$	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 3.20 * x - 2.95 * x - 1.00 + 2.95 * x + 1.00 $
	$\dot{y} = 1.00 * x - 1.00 * y + 1.00 * z$
	$\dot{z} = -14.87 * y$

TABLE IV. Test the robustness of the algorithm using Lorenz circuit and compare how well the algorithm recognizes the circuit structure by adding different levels of Gaussian white noise.

Learning Equations	$\dot{x} = 10 * (y - x)$
	$\dot{y} = 28 * x + y - xz$
	$\dot{z} = xy - 8/3 * z$
<i>T=150, DT=0.075, Noise=0.1</i>	$\dot{x} = 9.98 * y - 9.98 * x - 0.07 * z + 0.09 * x * y - 0.08 * x^2 - 0.05 * y^2 + 10.64$
	$\dot{y} = 28.02 * x + 0.98 * y - 0.11 * z - 1.00 * x * z + 3.80$
	$\dot{z} = -3.87 * z + 0.87 * x * y + 0.25 * x^2 + 26.55$
<i>T=150, DT=0.075, Noise=0.01</i>	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.62$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 0.99 * y - 0.08 * z - 0.99 * x * z + 0.01 * x^2 + 2.06$
	$\dot{z} = -2.96 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.03 * x^2 + 5.07$
<i>T=150, DT=0.075, Noise=0.005</i>	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 10.00 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.54$
	$\dot{y} = 28.00 * x + 0.99 * y - 0.08 * z - 1.00 * x * z + 0.01 * x^2 + 1.99$
	$\dot{z} = -2.95 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.03 * x^2 + 4.92$
<i>T=150, DT=0.075, Noise=0.001</i>	$\dot{x} = 9.99 * y - 9.99 * x - 0.02 * z + 0.52$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.18$
	$\dot{z} = -2.95 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 0.03 * x^2 + 4.88$
<i>T=150, DT=0.075, Noise=0</i>	$\dot{x} = 10.00 * y - 10.00 * x - 0.02$
	$\dot{y} = 27.99 * x + 1.00 * y - 0.99 * x * z - 0.10$
	$\dot{z} = -2.95 * z + 0.98 * x * y + 4.88$