

A YOUNG TYPE INTEGRATION ON SELF-SIMILAR SETS IN INTERVALS

TAKASHI MARUYAMA AND TATSUKI SETO

ABSTRACT. We introduce a generalization of the Young integration on self-similar sets defined in a closed interval and give a sufficient condition of its integrability. We also prove integration by substitution, integration by parts and term-by-term integration and give examples of the properties.

INTRODUCTION

Integration is used in a wide range of fields. One representative integration is the integration by the Lebesgue measure, which serves as a fundamental ingredient in expanding on the theory of the Riemann integration. The Lebesgue integration has abundant applications for sets with positive measures, such as open sets or their closure in \mathbb{R}^n . On the other hand, the Lebesgue integration on a set with the Lebesgue measure 0 cannot have favorable applications since the Lebesgue integration of any functions on such a set is trivial. Examples of a set of the measure 0 can be found in fractal sets. Indeed, the middle-third Cantor set, a typical example of fractal sets, has the measure 0, which motivates one to seek other types of integration for fractal sets.

For fractal sets, some works employ the Hausdorff measure to define integration on fractal sets. In the context of noncommutative geometry, there are many studies that relate the Dixmier trace with the Hausdorff probability measure on self-similar sets; see for example [2, 3, 5, 8]. There is also a work [4] which introduces single and double integrals using Hausdorff measure and conducts numerical comparison of the integrands with the ground-truth value.

Apart from the integrations mentioned above, the Young integration [11] is another generalization of the Riemann integration on 1-dimensional smooth compact manifolds. The Young integral is defined as (the limit of) the Riemann sum of the point-wise difference of functions on subdivisions of a given interval I . As a corollary of the convergence of the limit, the Young integration on I turns out to be a bilinear functional from the product space $C^\alpha(I) \times C^\beta(I)$ of the Hölder continuous classes for $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ with $\alpha + \beta > 1$ to the complex numbers

$$Y: C^\alpha(I) \times C^\beta(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The Young integration on an interval can be also extended on a circle.

The definition of the Young integration would fit a class of fractal sets such as self-similar sets defined by iterated function systems (IFSs) built on a closed interval (resp. a circle) since IFSs generate the union of contracted intervals (resp. circles). Indeed, there is a study [7] that defines a cyclic cocycle based on the Young

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A42; Secondary 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Young integration, Self-similar set, Cantor set.

integration on the union of infinitely many closed curves or circles by introducing a new class of self-similar sets; note that the class does not contain self-similar sets in intervals such as the middle-third Cantor set. In a talk [10], a variant of the Young integration was defined on a new class of algebra of functions, that is the pullback of the continuous and bounded variation class on a unit circle by the Cantor function restricted on the middle-third Cantor set. There is also a study [9] which generalizes the result of [10] to “two dimension”. While the literature [9, 10] defined the Young type integration on non-differentiable spaces, they assume to use structures of smooth manifolds by connecting the disconnected components of self-similar sets with the Cantor function. In other words, the integration is equivalent to integration on manifolds. On the other hand, what we really would like to know is a definition of integration natively defined on self-similar sets in intervals.

In the present paper, we define and study the Young type integration on a class of self-similar sets in an interval I that includes intervals and the middle-third Cantor set as examples. Let $(I, S, \{f_s\})$ be IFS on I and K the self-similar set of $(I, S, \{f_s\})$. We define a variant of the Riemann sum $\phi_n(f, g)$ on $\cup_{s_1, \dots, s_n} (f_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{s_n})(I)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions f, g on K . Taking the limit $\phi(f, g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(f, g)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we define the Young type integration on K ; see for the details in Section 2. We show the integrability condition of the Hölder continuous functions in Section 3 in which we also give various examples of integrable pairs and its integral and nonintegrable pairs in Section 3.2 and 3.3. In Section 3.2, we need generalizations of the Cantor function; the definition and properties of the generalizations is explained in Appendix B. We further investigate properties of our integration: integration of substitution (Section 4), integration by parts (Section 5) and term-by-term integration (Section 6). In particular, we outline our integration of substitution since the property reveals an explicit connection of our integration to the variant of the Young integration studied in [10]. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} = (I^{(i)}, S, \{f_s^{(i)}\})$ be IFS on an interval $I^{(i)}$ and $K^{(i)}$ the associated self-similar set of $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. If a permutation of S with a condition induces a map $T: K^{(1)} \rightarrow K^{(2)}$, we can prove the integration of substitution $\phi^{(1)}(f \circ T, g \circ T) = \pm \phi^{(2)}(f, g)$, where the sign \pm depends only on the permutation but does not coincide with the signature. Note that the condition of permutations can not be removed; see Section 4.4. In particular, if $K^{(1)}$ equals the middle-third Cantor set and $K^{(2)}$ equals the unit interval $[0, 1]$, the idea using the pullback of functions on an interval by the Cantor function introduced in [10] can be interpreted as an example of the integration of substitution of our integration when the permutation equals identity; see Section 4.2. In Section 4.2, we also explain the concrete idea introduced in the talk [10] for the sake of readability.

We note that in order to generalize our results to higher dimension, we may use the Fredholm module introduced in [8] and need more general method. We will leave the study for future work.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we firstly recall the Fredholm module on an interval introduced by A. Connes [3]. Let $I = [a, b]$ (resp. $I = (a, b)$) be the bounded closed (resp. open) interval, $C(\{a, b\})$ the C^* -algebra of the continuous functions with sup norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the boundary $\partial I = \{a, b\}$, and $\ell^2(\{a, b\})$ the ℓ^2 -space on $\partial I = \{a, b\}$. Then $C(\{a, b\})$ acts on $\ell^2(\{a, b\})$ by pointwise multiplication. An identification

$\ell^2(\{a, b\}) = \ell^2(a) \oplus \ell^2(b) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ gives rise to the action of the matrix $F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ on $\ell^2(\{a, b\})$. Similarly, all 2×2 matrices acts on $\ell^2(\{a, b\})$. Under the identification, we consider $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\{a, b\})) = M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then the triple $(C(\{a, b\}), \ell^2(\{a, b\}), F)$ is a Fredholm module, so we call that it is the Fredholm module on I .

Next we recall the definition of self-similar sets in the interval $I = [a, b]$. Set $S = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let $f_s : I \rightarrow I$ ($s \in S$) be similitudes with the similarity ratio

$$r_s = \frac{|f_s(x) - f_s(y)|}{|x - y|} (< 1) \quad (x \neq y).$$

We assume $r_s > 0$ for all $s \in S$. An iterated function system (IFS) $(I, S, \{f_s\}_{s \in S})$ defines the unique non-empty compact set K called the self-similar set such that $K = \bigcup_{s=0}^{N-1} f_s(K)$. We denote by $\dim_S(K)$ the similarity dimension of K , that is, the unique solution d of the equation

$$\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^d = 1.$$

We here introduce some notation used throughout this paper. Take a tuple $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty S^{\times n}$, where we assume that $S^{\times 0} = \{\emptyset\}$. We define $f_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{s_n}$ and $f_\emptyset = \text{id}$. We set $I_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(I)$ and we note that $I_{(\mathbf{s}, t)}$ ($t \in S$) is a subset in $I_{\mathbf{s}}$. Then we have $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} I_{\mathbf{s}}$.

Let us denote $a_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(a)$ and $b_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(b)$ for any $\mathbf{s} \in S^\infty$. Then we have $I_{\mathbf{s}} = [a_{\mathbf{s}}, b_{\mathbf{s}}]$. In this paper, we allow “few overlaps” between any pair of $I_s = f_s(I)$ ($s = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$), that is, we assume the inequality $\sharp(I_{s_1} \cap I_{s_2}) \leq 1$ if $s_1 \neq s_2$. For the simplicity, we assume that the images $f_s(I)$ are ordered from left to right with respect to the indices s , that is,

$$a = a_0 < b_0 \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{N-1} < b_{N-1} = b.$$

Under this assumption, we get

$$a_{\mathbf{s}} = a_{(\mathbf{s}, 0)} < b_{(\mathbf{s}, 0)} \leq a_{(\mathbf{s}, 1)} < b_{(\mathbf{s}, 1)} \leq \dots \leq a_{(\mathbf{s}, N^n-1)} < b_{(\mathbf{s}, N^n-1)} = b_{\mathbf{s}}$$

for any $\mathbf{s} \in S^\infty$.




FIGURE 1. Labels of intervals

Let $J_{\mathbf{s},l}$ ($\mathbf{s} \in S^\infty$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, N-2$) be open intervals of the “holes” of $I_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(I)$ labeled by the ordering from left to right, that is, we define

$$J_{\mathbf{s},0} = (b_{(\mathbf{s},0)}, a_{(\mathbf{s},1)}) , \quad J_{\mathbf{s},1} = (b_{(\mathbf{s},1)}, a_{(\mathbf{s},2)}) , \quad \dots , \quad J_{\mathbf{s},N-2} = (b_{(\mathbf{s},N-2)}, a_{(\mathbf{s},N-1)}) .$$

We also use more simple notation $J_l = J_{\mathbf{s},l}$ if there is no confusion. Then we have $K = I \setminus (\cup_{\mathbf{s} \in S^\infty} \cup_{l=0}^{N-2} J_{\mathbf{s},l})$.

A typical example of IFS in the interval is the middle-third Cantor set. Its construction is as follows: Let $I = [0, 1]$ and define an IFS $\{f_0, f_1: I \rightarrow I\}$ by

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{3}x, \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}.$$

The middle-third Cantor set CS is defined as the unique non-empty compact set of I induced by this IFS and satisfies $CS = f_0(CS) \cup f_1(CS)$. The followings are some examples of the notations for CS introduced above:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 = f_0(I) &= \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right], & I_1 = f_1(I) &= \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], & J_0 &= \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \\ I_{(0,0)} = f_{(0,0)}(I) &= \left[0, \frac{1}{9}\right], & I_{(0,1)} = f_{(0,1)}(I) &= \left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{3}{9}\right], & J_{0,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}\right), \\ I_{(1,0)} = f_{(1,0)}(I) &= \left[\frac{6}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], & I_{(1,1)} = f_{(1,1)}(I) &= \left[\frac{8}{9}, 1\right], & J_{1,0} &= \left(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that we have

$$CS = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \{0,1\}^{\times n}} I_{\mathbf{s}} = I \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \{0,1\}^{\infty}} J_{\mathbf{s},0} \right).$$

2. DEFINITION OF THE YOUNG TYPE INTEGRATION

In this section, we define the Young type integration on K and prove a vanishing result for Hölder continuous functions with a sufficiently large Hölder exponent. Let $(C(\{a, b\}), \ell^2(\{a, b\}), F_I)$ be the Fredholm module on $I = [a, b]$ (resp. $I = (a, b)$). We define

$$M_I = -\frac{1}{b-a}[F_I, x] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the simplicity, we omit the subscript I if there is no confusion.

Lemma 2.1. *For any continuous function $f, g \in C(\{a, b\})$, we have*

$$\text{Tr}(f[F, g]M) = (f(a) + f(b))(g(b) - g(a)).$$

Proof. First, we have

$$[F, g] = (g(b) - g(a)) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(f[F, g]M) = \text{Tr}((g(b) - g(a))f) = (f(a) + f(b))(g(b) - g(a)).$$

□

Lemma 2.1 indicates that the trace of an operator $f[F, g]M$ gives rise to a discretized version of the Young integration on intervals. See also Appendix A for the definition of the Young integration on intervals.

From now on, we denote

$$\text{Tr}_I(f[F, g]M) = \text{Tr}(f[F_I, g]M_I)$$

for an bounded interval I and two functions f, g on ∂I . We define integrability of Young type integration on K .

Definition 2.2. For any functions f and g on K and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\phi_n(f, g) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}_{I_{\mathbf{s}}}(f[F, g]M).$$

We call a pair (f, g) is integrable on K if the sequence $\{\phi_n(f, g)\}$ is convergent. We denote the limit by $\phi(f, g)$ and call it the integral of (f, g) .

We first show a class of integrable functions that makes $\phi(f, g) = 0$. Denote by $C^\alpha(K)$ the α -Hölder continuous functions on K and set

$$|f|_\alpha = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \quad (f \in C^\alpha(K)).$$

Theorem 2.3. For any functions $f \in C(K)$ and $g \in C^\beta(K)$ with $\beta > \dim_S(K)$, we have $\phi(f, g) = 0$.

Proof. If $\beta > \dim_S(K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(f, g)| &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} |\text{Tr}_{I_{\mathbf{s}}}(f[F, g]M)| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} |f(a_{\mathbf{s}}) + f(b_{\mathbf{s}})| |g(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - g(a_{\mathbf{s}})| \\ &\leq 2\|f\| \|g\|_\beta \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} |b_{\mathbf{s}} - a_{\mathbf{s}}|^\beta = 2(b-a)^\beta \|f\| \|g\|_\beta \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \prod_{i=1}^n r_{s_i}^\beta \\ &= 2(b-a)^\beta \|f\| \|g\|_\beta \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^\beta \right)^n \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

□

As the end of this section, we compare our integration on intervals with the Young integration and the Lebesgue integration.

Example 2.4. As the first example of our integration, we deal with the correspondence of our integration with the Young integration; see Appendix A for the Young integration. Let $I = [a, b]$ be the closed interval and we set

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - a) + a \text{ and } f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - b) + b \quad (x \in I).$$

Then a tuple $(I, \{0, 1\}, \{f_0, f_1\})$ defines an IFS and its self-similar set of the IFS equals I . Take two functions f, g on I such that the Stieltjes integral $Y(f, g)$ exists in Riemann sense. By the definition of our integral, the pair (f, g) is integrable in the sense of Definition 2.2 and we have

$$\phi(f, g) = 2 \int_a^b f(x) dg(x).$$

On the other hand, let f be the Dirichlet function on I . Then a pair (f, x) is integrable and its integral satisfies $\phi(f, x) = 2$, but the Stieljes integral $Y(f, x)$ does not exist. Therefore, our integral is different from the both of the Young integration and Lebesgue integration.

3. CONVERGENCE OF INTEGRATION

In this section, we prove a sufficient condition for Theorem 3.2 that ensures the existence of the limit of ϕ_n . We also calculate examples of our integration.

3.1. Sufficient condition. In order to prove a sufficient condition for the integrability, we firstly prove an easy fact. Set $\Delta_I f = f(b) - f(a)$ for any function f on $\partial I = \{a, b\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $I = [a, b]$, $x \in (a, b)$, $A = [a, x]$ and $B = [x, b]$.*

- (1) *For any function g on $\{a, b, x\}$, we have $[F_I, g] - [F_A, g] = [F_B, g]$ in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.*
- (2) *For any function f, g on $\{a, b, x\}$, we have*

$$\text{Tr}_A(f[F, g]M) + \text{Tr}_B(f[F, g]M) = \text{Tr}_I(f[F, g]M) + \Delta_A f \Delta_B g - \Delta_B f \Delta_A g.$$

Proof. (1) The following easy calculation proves this part.

$$\begin{aligned} [F_I, g] - [F_A, g] &= \begin{bmatrix} & g(b) - g(a) \\ -(g(b) - g(a)) & \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} & g(x) - g(a) \\ -(g(x) - g(a)) & \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} & g(b) - g(x) \\ -(g(b) - g(x)) & \end{bmatrix} = [F_B, g]. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Denote by P_i the projection on to the i -th component of \mathbb{C}^2 . By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}_A(f[F, g]M) + \text{Tr}_B(f[F, g]M) - \text{Tr}_I(f[F, g]M) \\ &= \text{Tr}(f(a)P_1[F_A, g]M) + \text{Tr}(f(x)P_2[F_A, g]M) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(f(x)P_1[F_B, g]M) + \text{Tr}(f(b)P_2[F_B, g]M) \\ &\quad - \text{Tr}(f(a)P_1[F_I, g]M) - \text{Tr}(f(b)P_2[F_I, g]M) \\ &= -\text{Tr}(f(a)P_1[F_B, g]M) - \text{Tr}(f(b)P_2[F_A, g]M) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(f(x)P_2[F_A, g]M) + \text{Tr}(f(x)P_1[F_B, g]M) \\ &= (f(x) - f(a))\text{Tr}(P_1[F_B, g]M) - (f(b) - f(x))\text{Tr}(P_2[F_A, g]M) \\ &= (f(x) - f(a))(f(b) - f(x)) - (f(b) - f(x))(f(x) - f(a)) \\ &= \Delta_A f \Delta_B g - \Delta_B f \Delta_A g. \end{aligned}$$

So, this implies our lemma. □

By repeatedly using Lemma 3.1 (2), we can generalize Lemma 3.1 (2) for general number of pieces of divisions. For example, let $A = [a, x]$, $B = [x, y]$ and $C = [y, b]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}_A(f[F, g]M) + \text{Tr}_B(f[F, g]M) + \text{Tr}_C(f[F, g]M) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{A \cup B}(f[F, g]M) + \text{Tr}_C(f[F, g]M) + \Delta_A g \Delta_B g - \Delta_B f \Delta_A g \\ &= \text{Tr}_{A \cup B \cup C}(f[F, g]M) + \Delta_A f \Delta_B g + \Delta_{A \cup B} f \Delta_C g - \Delta_B f \Delta_A g - \Delta_C f \Delta_{A \cup B} g. \end{aligned}$$

To show Theorem 3.2, we define another sequence on $\cup_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \cup_{l=0}^{N-2} J_{\mathbf{s},l}$:

$$\psi_{n+1}(f, g) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} \text{Tr}_{J_{\mathbf{s},l}}(f[F, g]M).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in C^\alpha(K)$ and $g \in C^\beta(K)$ with $\alpha + \beta > \dim_S(K)$. The pair (f, g) is integrable on K if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(f, g)$ converges.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the condition for the sequence $\{\phi_n(f, g)\}$ to converge is being a Cauchy sequence. Recall that we denoted $(\mathbf{s}, k) = (s_1, \dots, s_n, k) \in S^{\times(n+1)}$ for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^{\times n}$ and $k \in S$. For the simplicity, we will use notations $I_k = I_{(\mathbf{s}, k)}$ and $J_l = J_{\mathbf{s}, l}$ as long as it is clear what we mean. Then, by Lemma 3.1 (2) and the comment after the lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \text{Tr}_{I_{(\mathbf{s}, k)}}(f, [F, g]M) + \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} \text{Tr}_{J_{\mathbf{s}, l}}(f, [F, g]M) - \text{Tr}_{I_{\mathbf{s}}}(f, [F, g]M) \\ &= (\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g + \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g) \\ & \quad - (\Delta_{J_0} f \Delta_{I_0} g + \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} g). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{n+1}(f, g) - \phi_n(f, g) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \text{Tr}_{I_{(\mathbf{s}, k)}}(f, [F, g]M) - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}_{I_{\mathbf{s}}}(f, [F, g]M) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g + \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (\Delta_{J_0} f \Delta_{I_0} g + \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} g) \\ & \quad - \psi_{n+1}(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{n+m}(f, g) - \phi_n(f, g) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} (\phi_{n+r+1}(f, g) - \phi_{n+r}(f, g)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times(n+r)}} (\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g + \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g) \\ & \quad - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times(n+r)}} (\Delta_{J_0} f \Delta_{I_0} g + \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g + \dots + \Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{N-2}} g) \\ & \quad - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \psi_{n+r}(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder continuity of f, g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g + \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g + \cdots + \Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g \right| \\ & \leq |\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g| + |\Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g| + \cdots + |\Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g| \\ & \leq |f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (2N+1)(b-a) \prod_{i=1}^N r_{s_i}^{\alpha+\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta_{J_0} f \Delta_{I_0} g + \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g + \cdots + \Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} g \right| \\ & \leq |\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g| + |\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g| + \cdots + |\Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} g| \\ & \leq |f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (2N+1)(b-a) \prod_{i=1}^N r_{s_i}^{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha + \beta > \dim_S(K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times(n+r)}} (\Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{J_0} g + \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} f \Delta_{I_1} g + \cdots + \Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} f \Delta_{I_{N-1}} g) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times(n+r)}} (\Delta_{J_0} f \Delta_{I_0} g + \Delta_{I_0} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup J_0} g + \cdots + \Delta_{I_{N-1}} f \Delta_{I_0 \cup \cdots \cup J_{N-2}} g) \right| \\ & \leq 2|f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (2N+1)(b-a) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times(n+r)}} \prod_{i=1}^N r_{s_i}^{\alpha+\beta} \\ & = 2|f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (2N+1)(b-a) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^{n+r} \\ & = 2|f|_\alpha |g|_\beta (2N+1)(b-a) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^r \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\phi_n(f, g)\}$ is Cauchy if and only if $\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \psi_{n+r}(f, g) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Thus we got the conclusion. \square

Theorem 3.2 implies the following reasonable sufficient condition for integrability.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f \in C^\alpha(K)$ and $g \in C^\beta(K)$ with $\alpha + \beta > \dim_S(K)$. Assume that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that g is constant on $\partial J_{\mathbf{s}, l}$ for any $\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}$ ($n \geq N$) and $l = 0, 1, \dots, N-2$. Then a pair (f, g) is integrable.*

3.2. Examples on the $(2k+1)$ -adic Cantor set. We study the integrability of some class of functions through our integration ϕ , taking $(2k+1)$ -adic Cantor set CS_k and generalizations of the Cantor function as examples. The definition and some properties for these notions are detailed in Appendix B. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p < 1$, let $c_{k,p}$ be a generalized Cantor function; see Definition B.1. Then, we can show conditions for the integrability and non-integrability of pairs $(1, c_{k,p})$ and $(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$ as well as the numeric results of their integration.

Theorem 3.4. *For any $0 < p, q < 1$, we have the followings:*

(1) *For $0 < p \leq 1/(k+1)$, a pair $(1, c_{k,p})$ is integrable and its integral is*

$$\phi(1, c_{k,p}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < p < 1/(k+1) \\ 2 & \text{for } p = 1/(k+1) \end{cases}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\phi(1, c_{k,p}) = \infty$ for any $1/(k+1) < p < 1$.

(2) *For $0 < q \leq 1/(k+1)$, a pair $(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$ is integrable and its integral is*

$$\phi(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < q < 1/(k+1) \\ 1 & \text{for } q = 1/(k+1) \end{cases}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\phi(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q}) = \infty$ for any $1/(k+1) < q < 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k,p}(b\mathbf{s}) - c_{k,p}(a\mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1-p}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i p^{i-1} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} k p^{i-1} \right) - \frac{1-p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i p^{i-1} \\ &= (1-p) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p^{i-1} = p^n. \end{aligned}$$

(1) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(1, c_{k,p}) &= 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (c_{k,p}(b\mathbf{s}) - c_{k,p}(a\mathbf{s})) = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} p^n \\ &= 2((k+1)p)^n \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < p < 1/(k+1) \\ 2 & \text{for } p = 1/(k+1) \\ \infty & \text{for } 1/(k+1) < p < 1 \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

(2) For any $\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}$, we denote $\mathbf{s}' = (k - s_1, k - s_2, \dots, k - s_n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (c_{k,p}(a\mathbf{s}) + c_{k,p}(b\mathbf{s})) (c_{k,q}(b\mathbf{s}) - c_{k,q}(a\mathbf{s})) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (c_{k,p}(a\mathbf{s}) + c_{k,p}(b\mathbf{s})) q^n \\ &= q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (c_{k,p}(a\mathbf{s}) + c_{k,p}(b\mathbf{s}')) \\ &= q^n \frac{1-p}{k} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i p^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (k - s_i) p^{i-1} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} k p^{i-1} \right) \\ &= (1-p) q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{i-1} \right) = q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} 1 \\ &= ((k+1)q)^n \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < q < 1/(k+1) \\ 1 & \text{for } q = 1/(k+1) \\ \infty & \text{for } 1/(k+1) < q < 1 \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

By Theorem B.3, if $pq < 1/(k+1)$, then the Hölder exponent of $c_{k,p}$ plus the Hölder exponent of $c_{k,q}$ is greater than $\dim_S(CS_k)$. So this means that by Theorem 3.2, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$ diverges the case when $pq < 1/(k+1)$ and $1/(k+1) < q < 1$. We can also prove it directly. In fact, for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n+1}(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (c_{k,p}(b(\mathbf{s}, l)) + c_{k,p}(a(\mathbf{s}, l+1))) (c_{k,p}(a(\mathbf{s}, l+1)) - c_{k,p}(b(\mathbf{s}, l))) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (c_{k,p}(b(\mathbf{s}, l)) + c_{k,p}(a(\mathbf{s}, l+1))) \frac{1-q}{k} \left(q^n - \frac{k}{1-q} q^{n+1} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1-q}{k} - q \right) q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (c_{k,p}(b(\mathbf{s}, l)) + c_{k,p}(a(\mathbf{s}, l+1))) \\
&= \left(\frac{1-q}{k} - q \right) q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (c_{k,p}(b(\mathbf{s}, l)) + c_{k,p}(a(\mathbf{s}, l+1))) \\
&= \left(\frac{1-q}{k} - q \right) q^n \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{p^n}{k} - \frac{k+1}{k} p^{n+1} \right) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{p^n}{k} - \frac{k+1}{k} p^{n+1} \right) \left(\frac{1-q}{k} - q \right) q^n k(k+1)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$ diverges for $pq \geq 1/(k+1)$ or $1/(k+1) < q < 1$. Figure 2 presents integrability of $(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$. Here, (i) and (ii) mean the following.

- (i) the area of too small Hölder exponents.
- (ii) the area the series $\sum \psi_n$ diverges. But it has sufficiently big Hölder exponents.




FIGURE 2. Integrability of $(c_{k,p}, c_{k,q})$.

3.3. Integral of polynomials. In this section, we focus on the integration of polynomial defined on the middle third Cantor set CS . More precisely, we calculate $\phi(x^m, c)$ for non-negative integers $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where we denote $c : CS \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of the Cantor function onto CS . Note that the case for $m = 0$ is already calculated in Theorem 3.4 (1) and that for $m = 1$ is in Theorem 3.4 (2); see also Proposition B.2. The calculation for more general case $\phi(x^l, x^m c)$ is also included in Example 5.2.

Example 3.5. *We have*

$$\phi(x^m, c) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } m = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi(x^r, c) & \text{for } m: \text{ odd}, \\ \frac{3^m}{2(3^m - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi(x^r, c) & \text{for } m: \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We now prove our claims for $m \geq 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take the union of boundary points of $I_{n,k}$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$. Let A_n be the set of numerators of the fractions of denominator 3^n representing the boundary points. Then, A_n may be written as

$$A_n = A_{n-1} \cup \{3^n - a; a \in A_{n-1}\}.$$

Here we set $A_0 = \{0, 1\}$ for convention. Define $S_n^{(m)}$ to be $\sum_{a \in A_n} a^m$, and we have

$$(1) \quad \phi_n(x^m, c) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-1} ((a_{n,k})^m + (b_{n,k})^m) = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{S_n^{(m)}}{3^{nm}}.$$

Since

$$(3^n - a)^m = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} (3^n)^{m-r} a^r = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} 3^{nm-nr} a^r,$$

we have

$$S_n^{(m)} = \sum_{a \in A_{n-1}} a^m + \sum_{a \in A_{n-1}} (3^n - a)^m = S_{n-1}^{(m)} + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} 3^{nm-nr} S_{n-1}^{(r)}.$$

By dividing the formula by $2^n \cdot 3^{nm}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_n^{(m)}}{2^n \cdot 3^{nm}} &= \frac{S_{n-1}^{(m)}}{2^n \cdot 3^{nm}} + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} 3^{nm-nr} \frac{S_{n-1}^{(r)}}{2^n \cdot 3^{nm}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^m} \frac{S_{n-1}^{(m)}}{2^{n-1} \cdot 3^{m(n-1)}} + \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^r} \frac{S_{n-1}^{(r)}}{2^{n-1} \cdot 3^{r(n-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the equation (1), the above last equation may be written as

$$\phi_n(x^m, c) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^m} \phi_{n-1}(x^m, c) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^m \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi_{n-1}(x^r, c).$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^m, c) &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^m} \phi(x^m, c) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^m \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi(x^r, c) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi(x^r, c) & \text{for } m: \text{ odd}, \\ \frac{1}{3^m} \phi(x^m, c) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^r \binom{m}{r} \phi(x^r, c) & \text{for } m: \text{ even}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Here, we give some examples for Example 3.5.

$m = 1$: $\phi(x, c) = 1$.

$m = 2$:

$$\phi(x^2, c) = \frac{9}{16} \left(\phi(x^0, c) - \frac{2}{3} \phi(x^1, c) \right) = \frac{9}{16} \left(2 - \frac{2}{3} \right) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

$m = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^3, c) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(x^0, c) - \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \phi(x^1, c) + \frac{1}{3^2} \cdot 3 \cdot \phi(x^2, c) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 - 1 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \right) = \frac{5}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

$m = 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^4, c) &= \frac{3^4}{2(3^4 - 1)} \left(\phi(x^0, c) - \frac{4}{3} \cdot \phi(x^1, c) + \frac{6}{3^2} \cdot \phi(x^2, c) - \frac{4}{3^3} \cdot \phi(x^3, c) \right) \\ &= \frac{3^4}{2(3^4 - 1)} \left(2 - \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4} - \frac{4}{3^3} \cdot \frac{5}{8} \right) = \frac{3}{2 \cdot 80} \cdot 29 = \frac{87}{160}. \end{aligned}$$

$m = 5$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^5, c) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(x^0, c) - \frac{5}{3} \cdot \phi(x^1, c) + \frac{10}{3^2} \cdot \phi(x^2, c) - \frac{10}{3^3} \cdot \phi(x^3, c) + \frac{5}{3^4} \cdot \phi(x^4, c) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{10}{3^2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} - \frac{10}{3^3} \cdot \frac{5}{8} + \frac{5}{3^4} \cdot \frac{87}{160} \right) = \frac{31}{64}. \end{aligned}$$

$m = 6$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^6, c) &= \frac{3^6}{2(3^6 - 1)} \left(\phi(x^0, c) - \frac{6}{3} \cdot \phi(x^1, c) + \frac{15}{3^2} \cdot \phi(x^2, c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{20}{3^3} \cdot \phi(x^3, c) + \frac{15}{3^4} \cdot \phi(x^4, c) - \frac{6}{3^4} \cdot \phi(x^5, c) \right) \\ &= \frac{729}{2 \cdot 728} \left(2 - \frac{6}{3} + \frac{15}{3^2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} - \frac{20}{3^3} \cdot \frac{5}{8} + \frac{15}{3^4} \cdot \frac{87}{160} - \frac{6}{3^4} \cdot \frac{31}{64} \right) \\ &= \frac{5278905}{11927552}. \end{aligned}$$

4. INTEGRATION BY SUBSTITUTION

4.1. Statement of integration by substitution. We study the integration by substitution for our integration. In order to do that, we use a modified version of isomorphism of self-similar structure of IFS; see also [6, Definition 1.3.2]. Recall that our self-similar structures satisfy the assumptions in Section 1.

Set $S^{(1)} = S^{(2)} = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} = \left(I^{(i)}, S^{(i)}, \{f_s^{(i)}\}_{s \in S^{(i)}} \right)$ be IFS on an interval $I^{(i)} = [a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}]$ and $K^{(i)}$ the associated self-similar set of $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. There exists unique continuous surjection $\pi^{(i)}: \Sigma(S^{(i)}) \rightarrow K^{(i)}$, where $\Sigma(S^{(i)}) = (S^{(i)})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the shift space with symbols $S^{(i)}$; see also [6, Definition 1.3.3]. For a permutation $\rho: S^{(1)} \rightarrow S^{(2)}$, we set $\iota_\rho(s_1 s_2 \dots) = \rho(s_1) \rho(s_2) \dots \in \Sigma(S^{(2)})$ for $s_1 s_2 \dots \in \Sigma(S^{(1)})$. We assume that $T_\rho := \pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho \circ (\pi^{(1)})^{-1}$ is a well-defined *map* from $K^{(1)}$ to $K^{(2)}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Integration by substitution). *For any functions f, g on $K^{(2)}$ such that the pair (f, g) is integrable on $K^{(2)}$, we have the followings.*

- (1) *Assume that ρ preserves 0 and $N-1$, respectively. Then a pair $(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho)$ is integrable on $K^{(1)}$ and we have $\phi^{(1)}(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho) = \phi^{(2)}(f, g)$, where $\phi^{(i)}$ is our integration on $K^{(i)}$.*
- (2) *Assume that ρ flips 0 and $N-1$. Then a pair $(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho)$ is integrable on $K^{(1)}$ and we have $\phi^{(1)}(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho) = -\phi^{(2)}(f, g)$.*

Proof. Take $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in (S^{(i)})^{\times n}$. By the definition of $\pi^{(i)}$, we have

$$\pi^{(i)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 00 \dots) = f_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}) = a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}$$

and

$$\pi^{(i)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n (N-1)(N-1) \dots) = f_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}) = b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(i)}.$$

This implies our theorem as follows.

- (1) If ρ satisfies $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\rho(N-1) = N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho \circ (\pi^{(1)})^{-1})(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \\ &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho)(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 00 \dots) \\ &= \pi^{(2)}(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)00 \dots) \\ &= a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho \circ (\pi^{(1)})^{-1})(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \\ &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho)(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n (N-1)(N-1) \dots) \\ &= \pi^{(2)}(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)(N-1)(N-1) \dots) \\ &= b_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote $\rho(\mathbf{s}) = (\rho(s_1), \dots, \rho(s_n))$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_n^{(1)}(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left((f \circ T_\rho)(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) + f \circ T_\rho(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \right) \left((g \circ T_\rho)(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) - (g \circ T_\rho)(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left(f(a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}) + f(b_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}) \right) \left(g(b_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}) - g(a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left(f(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}) + f(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}) \right) \left(g(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}) - g(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(2)}) \right) \\ &= \phi_n^{(2)}(f, g) \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the statement holds.

(2) If ρ satisfies $\rho(0) = N - 1$ and $\rho(N - 1) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho \circ (\pi^{(1)})^{-1})(a_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \\ &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho)(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 00 \cdots) \\ &= \pi^{(2)}(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)(N-1)(N-1) \cdots) \\ &= b_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho \circ (\pi^{(1)})^{-1})(b_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}) \\ &= (\pi^{(2)} \circ \iota_\rho)(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n (N-1)(N-1) \cdots) \\ &= \pi^{(2)}(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)00 \cdots) \\ &= a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\phi_n^{(1)}(f \circ T_\rho, g \circ T_\rho) = -\phi_n^{(2)}(f, g)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the statement holds. \square

4.2. Moriyoshi-Natsume's example. We here recall the Young-type integration introduced by Moriyoshi and Natsume [10] with our notation, which is the first example of the Young-type integration on CS , and we clarify it is given as an example of Theorem 4.1. Let $c: CS \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the restriction on CS of the Cantor function. In [10], they essentially stated that for any functions $f, g \in C^{BV}$, where C^{BV} is the continuous functions of bounded variation on $I = [0, 1]$, the pair $(f \circ c, g \circ c)$ is integrable and the following holds:

$$(2) \quad \phi(f \circ c, g \circ c) = 2 \int_0^1 f(x) dg(x),$$

where the right hand side is the Young integration on I . They also stated that ϕ satisfies the cyclic condition when CS is replaced with its quotient space $CS/\{0, 1\}$. The induced cyclic 1-cochain equals 0 on $c^*C^{Lip}(I/\{0, 1\})$ and defines a non-trivial cyclic 1-cocycle on $c^*C^{BV}(I/\{0, 1\})$.

We next show that equation (2) can be considered as an example of Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = (I, \{0, 1\}, \{f_0^{(1)}, f_1^{(1)}\})$ be the IFS of CS described in the last of Section 1 and $\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = (I, \{0, 1\}, \{f_0^{(2)}, f_1^{(2)}\})$ the IFS of I described in Example 2.4. Because of

$$f_0^{(1)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^{i+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_1^{(1)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^i}\right) = \frac{2}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^{i+1}}$$

for $a_i = 0$ or 1 , we have

$$f_s^{(1)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^i}\right) = \frac{2s}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2a_i}{3^{i+1}} \quad (s = 0, 1).$$

So we have

$$\pi^{(1)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{3^i} \quad (s_1 s_2 \cdots \in \Sigma(\{0, 1\})).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{2^i} \quad (s_1 s_2 \cdots \in \Sigma(\{0, 1\})).$$

Recall that the set CS is identified with the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{3^i}; s_i = 0, 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$T_{\text{id}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{3^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{2^i},$$

which means nothing but $T_{\text{id}} = c$. Therefore, we have

$$\phi^{(1)}(f \circ c, g \circ c) = \phi^{(1)}(f \circ T_{\text{id}}, g \circ T_{\text{id}}) = \phi^{(2)}(f, g)$$

when the pair (f, g) is integrable on I by Theorem 4.1 (1). For example, when we take f and g are continuous and in Wiener class of order p and q , respectively, with $1/p + 1/q > 1$, the Stieltjes integral $Y(f, g)$ exists in the Riemann sense; see Theorem A.2. Then, the pair (f, g) is integrable and we have

$$\phi^{(1)}(f \circ c, g \circ c) = \phi^{(1)}(f \circ T_{\text{id}}, g \circ T_{\text{id}}) = \phi^{(2)}(f, g) = 2 \int_0^1 f(x) dg(x).$$

Note that the equation (2) is the case for $p = q = 1$.

Remark 4.2. By this section, we can regard T_p as a kind of generalizations of the Cantor function c .

4.3. Examples on an Interval. In this section, we study other examples of Theorem 4.1, in which IFSs have different similarity ratio. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = (I, \{0, 1\}, \{f_0^{(1)}, f_1^{(1)}\})$ be the IFS of $K^{(1)} = I$ described in Example 2.4 and $\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = (I, \{0, 1\}, \{f_0^{(2)}, f_1^{(2)}\})$ the IFS of $K^{(2)} = I$ such that

$$f_0^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{3}x \quad \text{and} \quad f_1^{(2)}(x) = \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{1}{3}.$$

When we denote $d_i = \sum_{k=1}^i s_k - 1$ for $s_1 s_2 \cdots \in \Sigma(\{0, 1\})$, we have

$$f_0^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^{i+1}} s_i \quad \text{and} \quad f_1^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i \right) = \frac{1}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i+1}}{3^{i+1}} s_i.$$

Thus we have

$$f_s^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i \right) = \frac{s}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{s+d_i}}{3^{i+1}} s_i.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i.$$

Next, we prove that T_ρ ($\rho = \text{id}$ or transposition $(0\ 1)$) induces a well-defined map from $K^{(1)}$ to $K^{(2)}$. For the purpose, it is only to show that we have

$$\pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 1000 \cdots) = \pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 0111 \cdots) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}).$$

It is clear by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 1000 \cdots) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i + \frac{2^{d_n+1}}{3^{n+1}} \\ \pi^{(2)}(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 0111 \cdots) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i + \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d_n+i-n-1}}{3^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i + \frac{2^{d_n-1}}{3^n} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^i}{3^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i + \frac{2^{d_n+1}}{3^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a well-defined map

$$T_{\text{id}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{2^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d_i}}{3^i} s_i \quad \text{and} \quad T_{(0\ 1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{2^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{i-d_i-2}}{3^i} (1 - s_i).$$

Next, we show that two pairs $(1 \circ T_{\text{id}}, x \circ T_{\text{id}})$ and $(1 \circ T_{(0\ 1)}, x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})$ are integrable on $K^{(1)}$. By definition, we have

$$(x \circ T_{\text{id}})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (x \circ T_{\text{id}})(a_{\mathbf{s}}) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d_n+i-n}}{3^i} = \frac{2^{d_n}}{3^n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^i}{3^i} = \frac{2^{d_n+1}}{3^n}$$

and

$$(x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})(a_{\mathbf{s}}) = - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{i-d_n-2}}{3^i} - \frac{2^{n-(d_n+1)}}{3^n}.$$

Since the number of $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 000 \cdots$ such that $d_i + 1 = r$ equals $\binom{n}{r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} ((x \circ T_{\text{id}})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (x \circ T_{\text{id}})(a_{\mathbf{s}})) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{2^r}{3^n} = 1 \\ \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} ((x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})(a_{\mathbf{s}})) &= - \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{2^{n-r}}{3^n} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\phi_n^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\text{id}}, x \circ T_{\text{id}}) = 2$ and $\phi_n^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{(0\ 1)}, x \circ T_{(0\ 1)}) = -2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we know that two pairs $(1 \circ T_{\text{id}}, x \circ T_{\text{id}})$ and $(1 \circ T_{(0\ 1)}, x \circ T_{(0\ 1)})$ are integrable on $K^{(1)}$ and its limit equals 2 and -2 , respectively. This implies the followings, which are examples of Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\text{id}}, x \circ T_{\text{id}}) &= 2 = 2 \int_0^1 dx = \phi^{(2)}(1, x), \\ \phi^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{(0\ 1)}, x \circ T_{(0\ 1)}) &= -2 = -2 \int_0^1 dx = -\phi^{(2)}(1, x). \end{aligned}$$

4.4. A permutation which does not ensure integration of substitution. In order to study a permutation which does not ensure integration of substitution, we deal with a 5-adic Cantor set CS_2 ; see Appendix B. Let $I = [0, 1]$ and we set

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{5}x, \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{2}{5}, \quad f_2(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}.$$

Then a tuple $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = (I, S = \{0, 1, 2\}, \{f_0, f_1, f_2\})$ defines an IFS, and we denote its self-similar set $CS_2 = K^{(1)} = K^{(2)}$. Similar to the calculation in Section 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 000 \cdots) &= f_{\mathbf{s}}(0) = a_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \pi(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 111 \cdots) &= f_{\mathbf{s}}(1/2) = \frac{a_{\mathbf{s}} + b_{\mathbf{s}}}{2} \\ \pi(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n 222 \cdots) &= f_{\mathbf{s}}(1) = b_{\mathbf{s}} \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in S^{\times n}$. We also have

$$\pi(s_1 s_2 \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{5^i} \quad (s_1 s_2 \cdots \in \Sigma(S)).$$

Since the map $\pi : \Sigma(S) \rightarrow K$ is bijective, the composition $T_{\rho} = \pi \circ \iota_{\rho} \circ \pi^{-1}$ induces a well-defined map for any permutation $\rho : S \rightarrow S$.

We study the case for the transposition $\rho = (1\ 2)$. In this case, we have

$$T_{\rho}(a_{\mathbf{s}}) = \pi(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)000 \cdots) = a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})}$$

and

$$T_{\rho}(b_{\mathbf{s}}) = \pi(\rho(s_1)\rho(s_2) \cdots \rho(s_n)111 \cdots) = a_{\rho(\mathbf{s})} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{5^i}.$$

Let us define $g = c_{2,1/3}$; see Definition B.1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ T_{\rho})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (g \circ T_{\rho})(a_{\mathbf{s}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\rho(s_i)}{3^i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\rho(s_i)}{3^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, g \circ T_{\rho}) &= 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} ((g \circ T_{\rho})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (g \circ T_{\rho})(a_{\mathbf{s}})) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \frac{1}{3^n} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that a pair $(1 \circ T_{\rho}, g \circ T_{\rho})$ is integrable on $K^{(1)}$ and its integral satisfies $\phi^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, g \circ T_{\rho}) = 1$. By Theorem 3.4 (1), we have

$$\phi_n^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, g \circ T_{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{(2)}(1, g) \quad (= 1 \neq 0).$$

On the other hand, we next define

$$h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{5^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{s_i} s_i}{3^i}$$

on CS_2 . By the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned} h(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - h(a_{\mathbf{s}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{s_i} s_i}{3^i} + 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{s_i} s_i}{3^i} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} = \frac{1}{3^n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (h \circ T_{\rho})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (h \circ T_{\rho})(a_{\mathbf{s}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{\rho(s_i)} \rho(s_i)}{3^i} - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{\rho(s_i)} \rho(s_i)}{3^i} \\ &= - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^i} = -\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^n}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\phi_n^{(2)}(1, h) = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (h(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - h(a_{\mathbf{s}})) = 2$$

and

$$\phi_n^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, h \circ T_{\rho}) = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} ((h \circ T_{\rho})(b_{\mathbf{s}}) - (h \circ T_{\rho})(a_{\mathbf{s}})) = -1.$$

This implies that the pair $(1, h)$ (resp. $(1 \circ T_{\rho}, h \circ T_{\rho})$) is integrable on $K^{(2)}$ (resp. $K^{(1)}$) and its integral satisfies $\phi^{(2)}(1, h) = 2$ (resp. $\phi^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, h \circ T_{\rho}) = -1$). Therefore, we have

$$\phi^{(1)}(1 \circ T_{\rho}, h \circ T_{\rho}) = -\frac{1}{2} \phi^{(2)}(1, h) \quad (= -1 \neq 0).$$

The above examples show that there is not a constant c depends only on $\rho = (1 \ 2)$ that makes the substitution integral $\phi^{(1)}(f \circ T_{\rho}, g \circ T_{\rho}) = c \phi^{(2)}(f, g)$ valid.

5. INTEGRATION BY PARTS

Our integration also inherits the integration by parts, which also derives examples for integrable pairs (f, g) (see Example 5.2).

Theorem 5.1 (Integration by Parts). *For any functions f and g on K , we have $\phi_n(1, fg) = \phi_n(f, g) + \phi_n(g, f)$. If two of $(1, fg)$, (f, g) and (g, f) are integrable, the rest is also integrable and we have $\phi(1, fg) = \phi(f, g) + \phi(g, f)$.*

Proof. $\phi_n(1, fg)$ can be written as follows:

$$(3) \quad \phi_n(1, fg) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}([F, fg] M) = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}((f[F, g] + [F, f]g) M).$$

Note that, for any interval $I = [a, b]$, we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} gM_I &= \begin{bmatrix} g(a) & 0 \\ 0 & g(b) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} g(b) & 0 \\ 0 & g(a) \end{bmatrix} = M_I \begin{bmatrix} g(b) & 0 \\ 0 & g(a) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) &= \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}(f[F, g]M) + \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}\left([F, f]M \begin{bmatrix} g(b_{\mathbf{s}}) & 0 \\ 0 & g(a_{\mathbf{s}}) \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \phi_n(f, g) + \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} g(b_{\mathbf{s}}) & 0 \\ 0 & g(a_{\mathbf{s}}) \end{bmatrix} [F, f]M\right) \\
&= \phi_n(f, g) + \phi_n(g, f).
\end{aligned}$$

□

By Theorem 5.1, we obtain as follows.

Example 5.2. *Let $l, m \geq 0$ be integers. Then we have*

$$\phi(x^l, x^m c) = \phi(x^{l+m}, c).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 (2), we have

$$\phi_n(1, x^m c) = \phi_n(x^m, c) + \phi_n(c, x^m).$$

By Example 3.5, $\phi_n(x^m, c)$ converges to $\phi(x^m, c)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, $\phi_n(c, x^m) \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 2.3 because x^m is a Lipschitz function. Hence, $\phi_n(1, x^m c)$ converges to $\phi(x^m, c)$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\phi_n(1, x^{l+m} c) = \phi_n(x^l, x^m c) + \phi_n(x^m c, x^l).$$

Since $\phi_n(x^m c, x^l) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), we obtain

$$\phi(x^l, x^m c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x^l, x^m c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(1, x^{l+m} c) = \phi(x^{l+m}, c).$$

□

6. TERM-BY-TERM INTEGRATION

6.1. Term-by-integration for self-similar set. We prove a theorem for term-by-term integration.

Theorem 6.1 (Term-by-term Integration). *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ such that $\alpha + \beta > \dim_S(K)$. Suppose that uniformly bounded sequences $\{f_m\} \subset C^\alpha(K)$ and $\{g_m\} \subset C^\beta(K)$ satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) $f_m \rightarrow f$ and $g_m \rightarrow g$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on K .
- (2) (f, g) is integrable.
- (3) A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi_n(f_m, g_m)|$ converges uniformly in m .

Then we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(f_m, g_m) = \phi(f, g)$.

Proof. By the multilinearity of ϕ , it suffices to show that $\phi(f_m, g_m)$ converges to 0 when one of f_m, g_m converges to 0.

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. As is the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\phi(f_m, g_m) - \phi_n(f_m, g_m)| \\
& \leq \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} |\phi_{r+1}(f_m, g_m) - \phi_r(f_m, g_m)| \\
& \leq 2|f|_{\alpha}|g|_{\beta}(2N+1)(b-a) \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^r + \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} |\psi_{r+1}(f_m, g_m)| \\
& = 2|f|_{\alpha}|g|_{\beta}(2N+1) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} r_s^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^r + \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} |\psi_{r+1}(f_m, g_m)|
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that we have

$$|\phi(f_m, g_m) - \phi_n(f_m, g_m)| < \epsilon$$

for any $n \geq n_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the above calculations imply

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(f_m, g_m)| & \leq |\phi(f_m, g_m) - \phi_n(f_m, g_m)| + |\phi_n(f_m, g_m)| \\
& < \epsilon + 4N^n \|f_m\| \|g_m\|
\end{aligned}$$

for any $n \geq n_0$. Therefor, we have

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\phi(f_m, g_m)| \leq \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem. \square

6.2. Necessity of (3) in Theorem 6.1. We give examples that the term-by-term integration does not hold when a sequence of functions does not meet (3) in Theorem 6.1. We first set $g_m = c_{1,m/(2m+1)}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$; see Definition B.1. By Theorem B.4, $g_m \rightarrow c_{1,1/2}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on CS_1 .

Let $f_m = 1$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the pair (f, g) of the respective limit of $\{f_m\}$ and $\{g_m\}$ is integrable, see Section 3.2. However, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi_n(f_m, g_m)|$ converges but not uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which concludes that $\{f_m\}$ and $\{g_m\}$ do not meet Theorem 6.1 (3). In fact, since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n+1}(f_m, g_m) & = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \text{Tr}_{J_{\mathbf{s},0}}([F, g_m]M) = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} (g_m(a(\mathbf{s},1)) - g_m(b(\mathbf{s},0))) \\
& = 2 \left(1 - \frac{m}{2m+1}\right)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S^{\times n}} \left(\frac{m}{2m+1}\right)^n \\
& = 2 \left(1 - \frac{m}{2m+1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2m}{2m+1}\right)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi_n(f_m, g_m)|$ converges for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ but not uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.4, the limit $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(f_m, g_m) = 0$ does not coincide with $\phi(f, g) = 2$. Therefore, the assumption (3) in Theorem 6.1 is essential in term-by-term integration.

7. A COMMENT ON NON-CONTINUOUS CASE

The Young integration introduced in [11] is defined on the space of Wiener class W_p . The class W_p is a set of functions whose p -variation is bounded, and in

general can include non-continuous functions. We calculate our integral for some non-continuous functions as follows: Set

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{3}, \\ 1 & \text{for } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

on CS . The function h is obviously non-continuous at $x = \frac{1}{3}$, and for each $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^{\times n}$, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{I_{\mathbf{s}}}(h[F, h]M) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } s_1 = 0 \text{ and } s_2 = \dots = s_n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, because of $\phi_n(h, h) = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can conclude that the pair (h, h) is integrable and we have $\phi(h, h) = 1$.

To our knowledge there is no proper definition of “ p -variation” for the Cantor set yet. We leave the extension to the setting of ‘Wiener class’ for the future study.

APPENDIX A. THE YOUNG INTEGRATION ON THE INTERVAL

We recall the definition of the Young integration and its existence by referring to [11]. Let I be an interval $[a, b]$.

Definition A.1. Let $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that the Stieltjes integral

$$Y(f, g) = \int_a^b f(x)dg(x)$$

exists in the Riemann sense with the value J , if the sum $\sum_{r=1}^N f(\xi_r)(g(x_r) - g(x_{r-1}))$, in which $a = x_0 \leq \xi_1 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{N-1} \leq \xi_N \leq x_N = b$, differs from J by at most ϵ_δ in modulus, when all $[x_{r-1}, x_r]$ have lengths less than δ , where $\epsilon_\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

We associate with $f(x) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and with $p > 0$, $\delta > 0$, the quantity

$$V_p^{(\delta)}(f) = V_p^{(\delta)}(f; a, b) = \sup_{|\chi| \leq \delta} \left(\sum_r |f(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all subdivisions χ of $[a, b]$ into parts $[x_{r-1}, x_r]$ of lengths each less than δ . We write $V_p(f)$ as the value of $V_p^{(\delta)}(f)$ for δ exceeding $b - a$ and call $V_p(f)$ the mean variation of order p . We shall say that f belongs to the Wiener class W_p if $V_p(f)$ is finite. Note that if f is $1/p$ -Hölder continuous function on I then we have $f \in W_p$.

Theorem A.2 (Existence on Stieltjes integral). *Let $f \in W_p$ and $g \in W_q$. If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$ and f, g have no common discontinuities, their Stieltjes integral exists in the Riemann sense.*

APPENDIX B. GENERALIZATION OF THE CANTOR FUNCTION ON THE $(2k+1)$ -ADIC CANTOR SET

For a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in S = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, we set

$$f_s(x) = \frac{x}{2k+1} + \frac{2s}{2k+1} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then we call the self-similar set CS_k of the IFS $(I = [0, 1], S, \{f_s\}_{s \in S})$ the $(2k+1)$ -adic Cantor set. By definition, we have $\dim_S(CS_k) = \log_{(2k+1)}(k+1)$. It is easy to show that any element $x \in CS_k$ is given by the formula

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{(2k+1)^i} \quad (s_i \in S).$$

In particular, we have

$$a_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2s_i}{(2k+1)^i} \quad \text{and} \quad b_s = a_s + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2k}{(2k+1)^i} \quad (s \in S^{\times n}).$$

See Section 1 for the definition of a_s and b_s . We want to generalize the Cantor function on the middle-third Cantor set $CS = CS_1$ to CS_k . The following definition is almost the same but a little different as the one of [1].

Definition B.1. For $0 < p < 1$, we set

$$c_{k,p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{(2k+1)^i} \right) = \frac{1-p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i p^{i-1} \quad (s_i \in S).$$

A straightforward calculation implies the following basic properties:

Proposition B.2. For $0 < p < 1$, we have the followings:

- (1) $c_{1,1/2} = c$, the Cantor function on the middle-third Cantor set.
- (2) $c_{k,1/(2k+1)} = x|_{CS_k}$.
- (3) $c_{k,p}(0) = 0$.
- (4) $c_{k,p} \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^n} \right) = p^n$ for any non-negative integer $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, we have $c_{k,p}(1) = 1$.

Moreover, $c_{k,p}$ satisfies the followings:

Theorem B.3. For $0 < p < 1$, $c_{k,p}$ is a $\log_{(2k+1)}(p^{-1})$ -Hölder continuous function on CS_k .

Proof. Take any $x, y \in CS_k$ with $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{(2k+1)^i}$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2t_i}{(2k+1)^i}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(2k+1)^{n+1}} < |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{(2k+1)^n}$$

for a non-negative integer n . Then we have $s_i = t_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $s_{n+1} = t_{n+1}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{k,p}(x) - c_{k,p}(y)| &= \frac{1-p}{k} \left| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (s_i - t_i) p^{i-1} \right| \leq (1-p) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p^{i-1} \\ &= p^n = p^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^{n+1}} \right)^{\log_{(2k+1)}(p^{-1})} \\ &\leq p^{-1} |x - y|^{\log_{(2k+1)}(p^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the function $c_{k,p}$ is a Hölder continuous function with the Hölder exponent $\log_{(2k+1)}(p^{-1})$. \square

Theorem B.4. For any fixed $0 < p_0 < 1$, $c_{k,p}$ converges to c_{k,p_0} as $p \rightarrow p_0$ uniformly on CS_k .

Proof. Fix arbitrary $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon_0 < p_0 < 1 - \epsilon_0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$. For any $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s_i}{(2k+1)^i} \in CS_k$ and $0 < p < 1$ such that $|p - p_0| < \epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_{k,p}(x) - c_{k,p_0}(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i |(1-p)p^i - (1-p_0)p_0^i| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|p^i - p_0^i| + |p^{i+1} - p_0^{i+1}|) \\
&= |p - p_0| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p^k p_0^{i-1-k} + \sum_{k=0}^i p^k p_0^{i-k} \right) \\
&\leq 2|p - p_0| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i(p_0 + \epsilon_0)^{i-1} \\
&\leq 2|p - p_0| \frac{1}{(1 - (p_0 + \epsilon_0))^2} \\
&< \frac{2\epsilon}{(1 - (p_0 + \epsilon_0))^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. \square

Acknowledgments. Tatsuki Seto was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K13795.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baiocchi, V. Komornik, and P. Loreti. Cantor type functions in non-integer bases. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 153(1):83–108, 2017.
- [2] E. Christensen, C. Ivan, and M. L. Lapidus. Dirac operators and spectral triples for some fractal sets built on curves. *Adv. Math.*, 217(1):42–78, 2008.
- [3] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [4] A. Gibbs, D. Hewett, and A. Moiola. Numerical quadrature for singular integrals on fractals. *Numer. Algorithms*, 92(4):2071–2124, 2023.
- [5] D. Guido and T. Isola. Spectral triples for nested fractals. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 11(4):1413–1436, 2017.
- [6] J. Kigami. *Analysis on fractals*, volume 143 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [7] T. Maruyama. *Cyclic Cohomology Groups of Some Self-similar Sets*. Ph.d thesis, Nagoya University, Furocho, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan, March 2017. Available at <https://nagoya.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/23787>.
- [8] T. Maruyama and T. Seto. A combinatorial Fredholm module on self-similar sets built on n -cubes. *J. Fractal Geom.*, 10(3-4):303–332, 2023.
- [9] T. Maruyama and T. Seto. A combinatorial integration on the Cantor dust. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 66:115–124, 2024.
- [10] H. Moriyoshi. On a cyclic volume cocycle in fractal geometry. talk on “Further developement of Atiyah-Singer Index Theory”, 2013 (based on joint work with T. Natsume).
- [11] L. C. Young. An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with stieltjes integration. *Acta Mathematica*, 67:251–282, 1936.

NEC LABORATORIES EUROPE, KURFÜRSTEN-ANLAGE 36, 69115 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY
Email address: Takashi.Maruyama@neclab.eu

GENERAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH CENTER, MEIJI PHARMACEUTICAL UNIVERSITY, 2-522-1
 NOSHIO, KIYOSE-SHI, TOKYO, JAPAN
Email address: tatsukis@my-pharm.ac.jp