

Exact Polaron-Polaron interactions in a Quantum Hall Fluid

Jia Wang,¹ Xia-Ji Liu,¹ and Hui Hu¹

¹*Centre for Quantum Technology Theory, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne 3122, Australia*
(Dated: August 28, 2024)

We present an exact solution for effective polaron-polaron interactions between heavy impurities, mediated by a sea of non-interacting light fermions in the quantum Hall regime with highly degenerate Landau levels. For weak attraction between impurities and fermions, where only the manifold of lowest Landau levels is relevant, we obtain an analytical expression of mediated polaron-polaron interactions. Remarkably, polaron interactions are exactly zero when fermions in lowest Landau levels outnumber heavy impurities. For strong attraction, different manifolds of higher Landau levels come into play and we derive a set of equations that can be used to numerically solve the mediated polaron interaction potential. We find that the potential vanishes when the distance R between impurities is larger than the magnetic length, but strongly diverges at short range following a Coulomb form $-1/R$. Our exact results of polaron-polaron interactions might be examined in cold-atom setups, where a system of Fermi polarons in the quantum Hall regime is realized with synthetic gauge field or under fast rotation. Our predictions could also be useful to understand the effective interaction between exciton-polarons in electron-doped semiconductors under strong magnetic field.

Introduction - The exchange of particles leading to effective interactions is a profound conceptual advance in physics. Fundamentally, forces mediated by gauge bosons explain all interactions between elementary particles [1–3]. In superconductors, Cooper pairing is induced by exchanging phonons between electrons [4]. The nature of effective interactions depends on the dispersion relation of exchanged particles. For instance, relativistic massive bosons induce Yukawa potentials [5, 6], and non-relativistic fermions with parabolic dispersion mediate Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida (RKKY) interactions [7–9]. Recent developments in engineering quantum many-body systems make the investigation of these mediated interactions experimentally accessible [10–14], in the previously untouched territory of strong coupling. Specific interests are paid to the situations where the number of exchange particles is large, such as in a filled Fermi sea of fermions, so the whole system can be well described as a polaron problem [15], and the mediated interactions can be regarded as polaron-polaron interactions. In controllable cold-atom experiments, great efforts have been taken to measure fermion-mediated polaron interactions by immersing fewer impurity atoms into a Fermi sea [11, 13, 14]. In two-dimensional materials such as WS_2 , interaction effects between Fermi polarons have also been observed [12]. However, accurate theoretical descriptions of polaron-polaron interactions are notoriously difficult to establish [13, 14], particularly in the strong correlated regime beyond the perturbative RKKY paradigm.

In this Letter, we aim to explore an intriguing question: what happens to polaron interactions if the energy spectrum of exchange particles is highly degenerate? Energy degeneracy, where distinct quantum states share the same energy, is ubiquitous in quantum mechanics. The presence of degenerate energy levels typically indicates symmetry in the corresponding Hamiltonian, with higher degrees of symmetry leading to greater degeneracy. Notable examples include highly excited states in a spherical harmonic trap and Rydberg electronic states

in a Coulomb potential [16]. A highly excited Rydberg electron can mediate an exotic trilobite potential, binding another ground state atom into an ultra-long-range molecule [17, 18].

Here, we focus on a prime example of Landau levels (LL) of fermions such as electrons moving in two dimensions (2D) under a perpendicular magnetic field, which have a macroscopic degeneracy. These highly degenerate LLs play a crucial role in understanding the integer and fractional quantum Hall effects, known for the insensitivity of the quantization of Hall conductivity to material details, such as impurities [19, 20]. The presence of impurities in LLs has attracted strong interest due to their crucial role in understanding the robustness of the quantum Hall effect. For example, short-range impurities can induce significant level broadening effects [21–23]. However, the property modification of impurities from the polaron perspective and the associated induced polaron interactions between them in the presence of scattering particles with high energy degeneracy, such as LLs, have not been thoroughly considered [24].

Remarkably, we find that polaron-polaron interactions with Landau levels are exactly solvable when impurities are infinitely heavy. This situation arises in both cold-atom setups and 2D semiconductor experiments, where the mass ratio of impurities to fermions in the Fermi sea is selectable, so the heavy impurity limit can be approximately realized. In cold-atom setups, the quantum Hall regime is within reach either with strong synthetic gauge field [25, 26] or under fast rotation [27–29]. Experimentally, exciton-polariton-polarons in GaAs quantum wells were already studied via polariton spectroscopy in the integer and fractional quantum Hall regimes [30]. Our exact and sometime analytical solutions for polaron-polaron interactions, although restricted to the heavy polaron limit, offer crucial insights into fundamental principles of fermion-mediated interactions in the strongly correlated regime and serve as benchmarks for various approximations, which lead to debatable predictions on

polaron-polaron interactions so far [13, 14].

Our system consists of a quantum mixture of heavy impurities and light fermions, where the light fermions are governed by a Hamiltonian with highly degenerate single-particle energy levels, such as LLs, and with negligible intraspecies interactions. In a Born-Oppenheimer approximation or in the rigorous infinitely-heavy limit achievable by confinement in a deep optical trap or an optical tweezer, the heavy impurities are localized. The Hamiltonian for S impurities located at positions \mathbf{r}_s is thus given by $H = T + V \equiv T + \sum_{s=1}^S g_s \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s)$, where T is a non-interacting Hamiltonian describing certain highly degenerate manifolds. Here, \mathbf{r} is the position operator for the light fermions and g_s is the coupling strength. We focus on attractive coupling $g_s < 0$ and leave the discussions of the repulsive coupling cases to Appendix A. In the following, we always discuss the general situation of highly degenerate levels first and then consider LLs as a concrete example.

Weak impurity-fermion coupling - We start by investigating the simplest case of a single weakly interacting impurity, where the interaction term reduces to $g_1 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1)$, and g_1 is weak enough so that only the lowest degenerate manifold with D -fold degeneracy is relevant. Expanding the Hamiltonian with the degenerate eigenfunctions leads to a matrix form with elements $H_{nn'} = T_{nn'} + V_{nn'}$, where $T_{nn'} = \varepsilon \delta_{nn'}$ and $V_{nn'} = g_1 \sum_{n,n'=1}^D \phi_n^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_{n'}(\mathbf{r}_1)$. Here $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{r})$ are the eigenstates with degenerate energy ε . To solve the Hamiltonian, we only need to diagonalize V , as T is proportional to an identity matrix. A crucial observation is that V is a rank-1 matrix and can be expressed as $V = g_1 \mathcal{N}_1 \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^\dagger$, where $\vec{v}_1^\dagger = [\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1), \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_1), \dots, \phi_D(\mathbf{r}_1)] / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_1}$ is a row vector, and $\mathcal{N}_1 = \sum_{n=1}^D |\phi_n(\mathbf{r}_1)|^2$ can be regarded as a normalization constant. The diagonalization can then be obtained analytically as $V \vec{v}_1 = g_1 \mathcal{N}_1 \vec{v}_1$, making \vec{v}_1 an eigenvector with eigenvalue $g_1 \mathcal{N}_1$. The corresponding real-space wave-function is given by $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n=1}^D \phi_n^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_n(\mathbf{r}) / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_1}$. There exist $D - 1$ normalized vectors orthogonal to \vec{v}_1 in a D -dimension Hilbert space, denoted as \vec{u}_n , $n = 2, 3, \dots, D$ with $V \vec{u}_n = 0 \vec{u}_n$. These vectors, $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_D\}$, form a complete set of all the eigenvectors. This method for diagonalizing rank-1 matrices has been used to obtain trilobite potentials between a Rydberg atom and a ground state atom induced by high angular momentum Rydberg electrons [17, 18]. With known eigenstates and eigenenergies for T and H , the many-body problem for light fermions can be solved exactly. At zero temperature, the ground state energy is the Fermi sea filling from low to high levels. The inclusion of the interacting impurity shifts only one energy level from the manifold by $g_1 \mathcal{N}_1$, corresponding to the spatial wave-function $\psi_1(\mathbf{r})$. The polaron energy, defined as the total energy difference for N fermions governed by T and H , is $E_p = g_1 \mathcal{N}_1$.

For multiple impurities, the V matrix can be written as $V = \sum_{s=1}^S V_s \equiv \sum_{s=1}^S g_s \mathcal{N}_s \vec{v}_s \vec{v}_s^\dagger$, where

$\vec{v}_s^\dagger = [\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_s), \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_s), \dots, \phi_D(\mathbf{r}_s)] / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_s = \sum_{n=1}^D |\phi_n(\mathbf{r}_s)|^2$. This is a rank- S matrix if $S < D$, with S non-zero eigenvalues λ_s in ascending order. One can define orthogonal vectors \vec{u}_n for $n = S + 1, S + 2, \dots, D$, orthogonal to all \vec{v}_s , $s = 1, 2, \dots, S$. These vectors satisfies $V \vec{u}_n = 0 \vec{u}_n$ and the corresponding eigenenergies remain unchanged in the manifold despite the presence of impurities. The shifted eigenstates can be solved in the Hilbert space spanned by the non-orthogonal \vec{v}_n as a generalized eigenvalue problem $\tilde{V} \vec{c}_s = \lambda_s \tilde{S} \vec{c}_s$, where \tilde{S} and \tilde{V} are $S \times S$ matrices with elements $\tilde{S}_{ij} = \vec{v}_i^\dagger \vec{v}_j$ and $\tilde{V}_{ij} = \vec{v}_i^\dagger V \vec{v}_j = \sum_{s=1}^S g_s \mathcal{N}_s \tilde{S}_{is} \tilde{S}_{sj}$, respectively. The S eigenvalues λ_s correspond to the S eigenvectors $\vec{w}_s = \sum_{s'=1}^S \vec{v}_{s'} c_{s's}$, where $c_{s's}$ is the s' -th element of the column vector \vec{c}_s .

For the fully filled lowest manifold, the total energy change due to S impurities is $E_S = \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_s = \text{Tr}[V]$, since the remaining $D - S$ eigenvalues of V are zero. Therefore, $E_S = \sum_{s=1}^S \text{Tr}[V_s] = \sum_{s=1}^S E_p^{(s)}$, where $E_p^{(s)} = g_s \mathcal{N}_s$ is the single polaron energy of the s -th impurity. We thus find that for the fully filled lowest manifold, the interaction energy between any two impurities is zero, so the polaron-polaron interactions are *exactly* zero. This conclusion holds for a non-fully-occupied lowest manifold if the number of fermions in the degenerate manifold exceeds the number of impurities.

As a solid example, let us consider weakly interacting impurities immersed in a 2D gas of non-interacting light fermions subjected to a perpendicular uniform effective magnetic field in the x - y plane with area $L_x L_y$. Using the Landau gauge $\mathbf{A} = Bx\hat{y}$, the Landau Hamiltonian of the light fermions is

$$T = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{qBp_y}{m}x + \frac{q^2 B^2}{2m}x^2, \quad (1)$$

which results in highly degenerate Landau levels $E_{k_y, n} = \hbar\omega_c (n + 1/2)$, recognizing the Hamiltonian as a harmonic oscillator centered at $x = k_y \ell_B^2$. Here the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c = |qB|/m$ and the magnetic length $\ell_B = \sqrt{\hbar/|qB|}$ are defined by the effective magnetic field strength B , effective charge q , and mass m of the light fermions. The momentum in the y -direction $p_y \equiv \hbar k_y$ is a good quantum number.

Focusing on the case where only the lowest manifold is completely filled, and assuming all impurity interactions are identical $g_s = g$, the vector \vec{v}_s for the impurity at $\mathbf{r}_s = (x_s, y_s)$ is then a column vector indexed by k_y as

$$(\vec{v}_s)_{k_y} = \frac{e^{ik_y y_s}}{\sqrt{\pi \mathcal{N}_s \ell_B^2 L_y^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x_s - k_y \ell_B^2)^2}{2\ell_B^2} \right]. \quad (2)$$

The normalization constant is $\mathcal{N}_s = \mathcal{N} \equiv 1/(2\pi\ell_B^2)$. The polaron energy for a single impurity is therefore given by

$$E_p = \frac{g}{2\pi\ell_B^2}. \quad (3)$$




FIG. 1. Single-particle energy levels shifted away from the degenerate manifolds due to the presence of impurities, as a function of a regularized coupling constant $C^{-1} \equiv \log[\ell_B^2/(2a_{2D}^2)]$. Black solid curves represent single impurity, while blue dashed and red dash-dotted curves represent E_+ and E_- (see text below Eq. (10) for definition) of two impurities separated by $R = 0.5\ell_B$, respectively. Black squares, blue circles, and red triangles indicate the results from numerical calculations with 2D van-der-Waals potentials (see text).

To investigate the induced interaction between two impurities, we place them at $(0,0)$ and $(0,R)$, respectively. The overlap can be analytically obtained as $\bar{v}_1^\dagger v_2 = \exp(-R^2/4\ell_B^2)$, which gives the two non-zero eigenvalues of V :

$$\lambda_{\pm}(R) = \frac{g}{2\pi\ell_B^2} \left[1 \pm \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{4\ell_B^2}\right) \right], \quad (4)$$

corresponding to the symmetric and antisymmetric solutions $\bar{w}_{\pm} = (\bar{v}_1 \pm \bar{v}_2)/\sqrt{2 \pm 2\exp(-R^2/4\ell_B^2)}$. If the lowest manifold is completely filled, the polaron interaction is $\lambda_+ + \lambda_- - 2E_p = 0$, following the general consideration stated earlier. It is convenient to define the contribution from each state as $U_{\pm}(R) \equiv \lambda_{\pm}(R) - \lambda_{\pm}(\infty)$, whose analytical expression reads as

$$U_{\pm}(R) = \pm \frac{g}{2\pi\ell_B^2} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{4\ell_B^2}\right). \quad (5)$$

It implies that the Born-Oppenheimer potential between two heavy impurities is an attractive Gaussian potential, if there is only one light fermion to mediate interactions.

Strong impurity-fermion coupling - For strong coupling between impurities and fermions, we must go beyond the lowest manifold approximation. We assume that there are M manifolds coupled by the impurity-fermion coupling, each with degeneracy $D_i > S$ and degenerate energy ε_i , where $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$. The dimension of the total Hamiltonian with the M manifolds is $G = \sum_{i=1}^M D_i$. For each manifold, we define $\bar{v}_s^{(i)\dagger} = [\phi_1^{(i)}(\mathbf{r}_s), \phi_2^{(i)}(\mathbf{r}_s), \dots, \phi_{D_i}^{(i)}(\mathbf{r}_s)]/\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_s^{(i)}}$, where the superscript (i) denotes the i -th manifold. The corresponding orthogonal vectors are denoted as $\bar{u}_n^{(i)}$, where $n = S, S+1, \dots, D_i$. Defining $S \times M$ vectors $\mathcal{V}_{s,i}^\dagger = [0_{D_1}, 0_{D_2}, \dots, \bar{v}_s^{(i)\dagger}, \dots, 0_{D_M}]$ and similarly for




FIG. 2. The spectral function $A(\omega)$ for a single infinitely heavy impurity immersed in a Fermi sea with fully populated lowest LLs at zero temperature, as a function of frequency ω and C^{-1} . The inset shows $A(\omega)$ in a logarithmic scale. All peaks have infinitesimally small widths, with an artificial spectral broadening of $0.1\omega_c$ added for visibility.

$(G - S \times M)$ orthogonal vectors $\mathcal{U}_{n,i}$ in the full Hilbert space, the contact interaction matrix $V = \sum_s g_s \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s)$ can be expanded as a block matrix with block elements as a $D_i \times D_j$ matrix given by $V_{ij} = \sum_s g_s \mathcal{V}_{s,i} \mathcal{V}_{s,j}^\dagger$. We find $V\mathcal{U}_{n,i} = 0$, indicating that $\mathcal{U}_{n,i}$ are eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian with the same eigenvalues as the non-interacting Hamiltonian T . Thus, only S states shift in each manifold, and these shifted eigenstates can be solved as a generalized eigenvalue problem in the Hilbert space spanned by the $S \times M$ unorthogonal vectors, which is much smaller than the full Hilbert space of dimension G . While this problem generally requires large-scale numerical solutions, interestingly we are able to derive a set of much simplified equations to exactly solve the case of heavy and strongly interacting impurities immersed in a Fermi sea of light fermions in LLs (see Eq. (10) below). In this case, the coupling constant g must be regularized by the 2D scattering length a_{2D} , which naturally couples infinitely many manifolds.

To derive Eq. (10), let us first examine the single impurity case using the symmetric gauge $\mathbf{A} = (-By\hat{x} + Bx\hat{y})/2$ and cylindrical coordinates centered at the impurity. Due to cylindrical symmetry, the angular quantum number m_ℓ is a good quantum number, and the non-interacting Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{m_\ell^2}{2mr^2} + \frac{m}{8} \omega_c^2 r^2 - \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2} m_\ell, \quad (6)$$

equivalent to a 2D isotropic harmonic trap problem with an energy shift of $-m_\ell \hbar\omega_c/2$. The eigenenergies are $E = \hbar\omega_c [n_r + 1/2 + (|m_\ell| - m_\ell)/2]$, with $n_r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $m_\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The degeneracy pattern matches that of the Landau gauge, with each manifold having one s -wave ($m_\ell = 0$). In the presence of an impurity at the origin with contact interaction, only the s -wave state is affected, agreeing with our analytical conclusion that only one state shifts away from each manifold.

Since $m_\ell = 0$, the s -wave problem is equivalent to the case in harmonic traps studied in Ref. [31, 32]. Solving the s -wave problem with the Bethe-Peierls boundary




FIG. 3. The potential between two heavy impurities, mediated by the light fermions filled in the lowest LLs, with (a) weak impurity-fermion coupling $\mathcal{C} = 0.01$ and (b) strong coupling $\mathcal{C}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. The symmetric and antisymmetric state potentials are shown by the blue dashed and red dash-dotted curves, respectively. In (a), the potentials are compared with the Gaussian potentials (thin solid curves) from Eq. (5) in the lowest manifold approximation. In (b), the polaron interaction $U_+(R) + U_-(R)$ for fully populated lowest LLs is depicted by the purple solid curve.

condition, the radial Schrödinger equation yields solutions that vanish at infinity: $\Phi(\mathbf{r}; \nu) = e^{-\xi/2} U(\nu, 1, \xi)$, where $\nu = -E/\hbar\omega_c + 1/2$, $\xi = r^2/(2\ell_B^2)$ and $U(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ is the Tricomi confluent hypergeometric function [32]. Near the origin,

$$e^{-\xi/2} U(\nu, 1, \xi) \rightarrow \frac{\log(\sqrt{2}\ell_B) - \psi[\nu/2] - \gamma - \log[r]}{\Gamma[\nu/2]}, \quad (7)$$

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant, $\psi[n, z]$ is the n -th derivative of digamma function $\psi[z]$ at z and $\Gamma[z]$ denotes the gamma function. Applying the Bethe-Peierls boundary condition by comparing with the free-space scattering wave solution

$$J_0(k\rho) - \tan(\delta)Y_0(k\rho) \rightarrow \frac{\log(2a_{2D}) - \gamma - \log(r)}{\log(ka_{2D})} \quad (8)$$

yields the transcendental equation:

$$\psi[\nu] = \mathcal{C}^{-1} \equiv \log\left(\frac{\ell_B^2}{2a_{2D}^2}\right), \quad (9)$$

matching Ref. [31], with results shown as the black solid curves of Fig. 1. If only the lowest LLs are fully populated, the polaron energy can be obtained as $E_p = E - \hbar\omega_c/2 = -\nu\hbar\omega_c$. In the weak coupling regime, we have $\psi[\nu] \rightarrow \hbar\omega_c/E_p$ and $g \rightarrow 2\pi\hbar^2\mathcal{C}/m$, leading to $E_p = g/2\pi\ell_B^2$, which agrees with Eq. (3).

Not only the polaron energy, but also the entire polaron spectrum can be exactly and analytically determined via the functional determinant approach [33–38].

At zero temperature, with only the lowest LLs fully populated, we have $A(\omega) = \text{Re} \int_0^\infty S(t)e^{i\omega t} dt/\pi$, where Re denotes the real part, and $S(t) = \sum_\nu e^{-i\nu\omega_c t}/(\nu^2\psi[1, \nu])$, with proofs given in Appendix B. The corresponding spectral function, $A(\omega)$, shown in Fig. 2, can be measured via polaron spectroscopy [39]. Notably, the polaron exhibits multiple branches in positive frequency (better illustrated in the inset with logarithmic scale), all with infinitesimal widths. This differs significantly from conventional repulsive polarons and possibly results from the suppression of particle-hole excitations due to the energy gaps between different LL manifolds.

For multiple impurities, inspired by the singularity regularization using a single set of harmonic states around the impurity [31], we express the total wave function as a superposition of single impurity states: $\Psi(\mathbf{r}; E) \sim \sum_s c_s \Phi_s(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s; \nu)$, where $\Phi_s(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s; \nu) = e^{i\varphi_s} e^{-\xi_s/2} U(\nu, 1, \xi_s)$, $\xi_s = (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_s)^2/(2\ell_B^2)$, and $\varphi_s = |\mathbf{r}_s \times \mathbf{r}|/(2\ell_B^2)$ is a phase compensating the gauge difference between the origin and \mathbf{r}_s (see Appendix C for details). $\Psi(\mathbf{r}; E)$ is an eigenstate with eigenenergy $\nu = -E/\hbar\omega_c + 1/2$ except at \mathbf{r}_s . Imposing the Bethe-Peierls boundary conditions at $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_s$ gives S transcendental equations,

$$(\psi[\nu] - \mathcal{C}_s^{-1}) c_s = \Gamma[\nu] \sum_{s' \neq s} \Phi(\mathbf{r}_s - \mathbf{r}_{s'}; \nu) c_{s'}. \quad (10)$$

The solutions of these equations provide the eigenenergies. Figure 1 shows the results for two impurities with the same a_{2D} separated by a distance $R = 0.5\ell_B$. We find that there are exactly two solutions shifted away in each manifold, agreeing with our previous analysis. The lowest two eigenenergies E_\pm correspond to the symmetric and antisymmetric wavefunctions $\Psi_\pm(\mathbf{r}) \sim \Phi(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}/2) \pm \Phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}/2)$.

Next, we investigate the distance dependency of the mediated interaction between two impurities. Figure 3 (a) shows the potential $U_\pm(R) \equiv E_\pm(R) - E_\pm(\infty)$ in the weak-coupling regime ($\mathcal{C} = 0.01$), which matches well with the Gaussian potentials from Eq. (5) in the lowest manifold approximation. Fig. 3 (b) presents the potentials in the strong-coupling regime ($\mathcal{C}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$). The asymptotic behavior of the symmetric state potential U_+ is obtained via fitting: $U_+(R) \rightarrow -\exp(-\tilde{c}R^2/\ell_B^2)$ with coefficient $\tilde{c} \approx 0.275$ for $R \gg \ell_B$, and $U_+(R) \rightarrow -\tilde{d}\ell_B/R$ with $\tilde{d} \approx 0.8$ for $R \ll \ell_B$. For a single light fermion, $U_+(R)$ describes the Born-Oppenheimer potential between two heavy impurities. The antisymmetric state potential $U_-(R)$ shows a repulsive tail $\exp(-\tilde{c}R^2/\ell_B^2)$ at large distance and goes to a constant at short range. For a fully populated manifold, the induced polaron interaction $U_+(R) + U_-(R)$ is essentially zero for $R \gg \ell_B$ and exhibits a strongly attractive $1/R$ behavior at short-range, with a potential barrier at $R \approx 0.685\ell_B$.

To verify our analytical results, we finally conduct direct, large-scale numerical calculations using 2D van-der-Waals potentials with a length scale $l_{\text{vdW}} \ll \ell_B$ (see Appendix D for details). The numerical results, shown in

Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 (b) as symbols, align perfectly with our analytical results, except for slight deviations at very small $R \sim l_{\text{vdW}}$, as expected.

Conclusions - We have derived analytical expressions for the induced interactions and polaron energies of heavy impurities mediated by light fermions with high energy-degeneracy at weak impurity-fermion coupling. We have also exactly solved the mediated polaron-polaron interactions by numerical calculations for strong impurity-fermion coupling. The short-range attractive polaron-polaron potential predicted at the strong coupling implies that impurities within ℓ_B will bind into molecules, while impurities at distances greater than ℓ_B will not interact at all. Our exact results can be directly examined with fermionic systems in the quantum Hall regime, to be realized in cold-atom experiments [26] or already observed in 2D condensed matter setups [30]. Furthermore, our general analysis of polaron-polaron interactions with highly degenerate levels is widely applicable to different situations including bosons as exchanged particles. Although bosonic statistics ensures that ideal or weakly interacting bosons mostly fill the lowest energy level at zero temperature, our results might be beneficial for engineering LL state occupations in recent experiments involving rotating Bose-Einstein condensates [25, 27–29] with point-like localized potentials induced by lasers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council's (ARC) Discovery Program, Grants Nos. FT230100229 (J.W.), DP240100248 (X.-J.L.), and DP240101590 (H.H.).

Appendix A: Repulsively weakly interacting impurities

In the main text, we have concluded that for attractive and weak impurity-fermion coupling, the heavy polaron energy is $E_p = g_1 \mathcal{N}_1$, if only the lowest manifold is involved. When $g_1 > 0$, the shifted energy level is higher than the degenerate manifold, and hence the polaron energy is zero, if the manifold is not fully populated. In this case, it turns out to be more convenient to consider holes instead of particles (i.e., the light fermions), that is, if there is a particle state is not occupied in the manifold, we understand it as an occupied hole state. In other words, the polaron energy is zero, if there is at least one hole in the manifold.

For multiple S impurities, the polaron energy is again exactly zero if the number of holes in the manifold is larger than the number of impurities. This observation is parallel to the the conclusion that we have made to the attractive coupling case in the main text, i.e., the polaron energy is precisely zero, when the light fermionic particles outnumber the impurities. Moreover, for the case of

two heavy impurities separated by a relative distance R in the lowest LLs with identical interaction $g > 0$, the polaron-polaron interaction mediated by a single hole is an attractive Gaussian potential,

$$U_-(R) = -\frac{g}{2\pi\ell_B^2} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{4\ell_B^2}\right). \quad (\text{A1})$$

Appendix B: Functional Determinant Approach

For an infinitely heavy impurity immersed in a non-interacting Fermi sea, the polaron spectroscopy can be obtained via an exact method, namely the functional determinant approach [33–38]. In such an approach, the spectral function is given by $A(\omega) = \text{Re} \int_0^\infty S(t) e^{i\omega t} dt / \pi$, where the overlapping function at zero temperature is given by

$$S(t) = \det[\mathbf{1} - \hat{n} + R(t)\hat{n}], \quad (\text{B1})$$

with $\mathbf{1}$ being an identity matrix, and \hat{n} being a diagonal matrix with matrix elements being the occupation number. The matrix

$$R(t) = e^{iTt/\hbar} e^{-iHt/\hbar} \quad (\text{B2})$$

are determined by the single-particle Hamiltonian T and H , and implies that the unshifted eigenstates do not contribute to $S(t)$. For the case where only the lowest LLs are fully populated,

$$S(t) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle n=0 | \nu \rangle|^2 e^{i\nu\omega_c t}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Here $|n=0\rangle$ denotes the lowest s -wave 2D harmonic oscillator, and $|\nu\rangle$ denote the shifted s -wave states due to the presence of the impurity with corresponding eigenenergies $\nu = -E/\hbar\omega_c + 1/2$. From Ref. [31], we have

$$\langle n | \nu \rangle = \frac{A_{\nu} \varphi_n(0)}{E - E_n}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where $\varphi_n(0) = 1/(2\sqrt{2}\ell_B)$ is the s -wave 2D harmonic oscillator wavefunction at the origin, and $E_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c$. The coefficient A_{ν} can be obtained from the normalization condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle n | \nu \rangle|^2 = 1$. We find that

$$\langle n | \nu \rangle = -\frac{1}{(\nu + n) \sqrt{\psi[1, \nu]}}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

and consequently,

$$S(t) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{e^{-i\nu\omega_c t}}{\nu^2 \psi[1, \nu]} \quad (\text{B6})$$

and

$$A(\omega) = \text{Re} \sum_{\nu} \frac{1/(\nu^2 \psi[1, \nu])}{\omega + i\eta - \nu\omega_c}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

where $\psi[n, z]$ is the n -th derivative of digamma function $\psi[z]$, and $\eta = 0^+$ is an infinitesimal positive number.

Appendix C: Local phase of wavefunction

In the main text, we have solved the problem for a single impurity at the origin with an interacting potential

$$\hat{H} = \frac{(\hat{p}_x + qBy/2)^2}{2m} + \frac{(\hat{p}_y - qBx/2)^2}{2m} + V(x, y). \quad (\text{C1})$$

If the impurity is shifted to (x_1, y_1) , the potential becomes $V(x - x_1, y - y_1)$. The eigenenergy remains the same, and the probability density of the eigenstate becomes $|\Phi(x - x_1, y - y_1)|^2$, requiring a local phase to be determined in the wavefunction.

By transforming the coordinates $x \rightarrow x - x_1$ and $y \rightarrow y - y_1$, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{H} \rightarrow \frac{(\hat{p}_x + qBy/2 - qBy_1/2)^2}{2m} + \frac{(\hat{p}_y - qBx/2 + qBx_1/2)^2}{2m} + V(x - x_1, y - y_1). \quad (\text{C2})$$

Introducing $\hat{p}_x \rightarrow \hat{p}_x + qBy_1/2$ and $\hat{p}_y \rightarrow \hat{p}_y - qBx_1/2$, the Hamiltonian converts to

$$\hat{H} \rightarrow \frac{(\hat{p}_x + qBy/2)^2}{2m} + \frac{(\hat{p}_y - qBx/2)^2}{2m} + V(x - x_1, y - y_1), \quad (\text{C3})$$

which is the desired Hamiltonian for an impurity at (x_1, y_1) . This transformation can be achieved by a gauge transformation: $\Phi(x - x_1, y - y_1) \rightarrow e^{iqB(xy_1 - x_1y)/2\hbar} \Phi(x - x_1, y - y_1)$. As a result, the wavefunction acquires a local phase $e^{i\varphi_1}$, where

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{|\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}|}{2\ell_B^2}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

and $\ell_B^2 = \hbar/|qB|$.

Appendix D: Large-scale numerical Investigation

To verify our analytical expressions, we have performed direct numerical calculations using a 2D Lennard-Jones potential

$$V(x, y) = -\frac{C_6}{r^6} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_0^6}{r^6} \right), \quad (\text{D1})$$

where the van der Waals length $l_{\text{vdW}} = \sqrt[4]{2mC_6/\hbar^2}/2$ characterizes the potential range, and the short-range parameter λ_0 is adjusted to achieve the desired 2D scat-

tering length $a_{2\text{D}}$. The eigenstate $\Phi(x, y)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}\Phi(x, y) = E\Phi(x, y)$, where the Hamiltonian in the symmetric gauge is:

tering length $a_{2\text{D}}$.

For numerical calculations of Landau levels in the presence of impurities, we adopted the Landau gauge and diagonalized the Hamiltonian in a large square box $L_{\text{Box}} \times L_{\text{Box}}$ in Cartesian coordinates, i.e., $L_x = L_y = L_{\text{Box}}$. Under the condition $l_{\text{vdW}} \ll \ell_B \ll L_{\text{Box}}$, the numerical results should match our analytical findings for impurities with contact interactions in free space. In practise, we set $L_{\text{Box}} = 100 \times \sqrt{2}\ell_B = 10^4 l_{\text{vdW}}$. Convergence was tested for box size, grid discretization, and the number of deep bound states supported by the Lennard-Jones potential.

-
- [1] Steven Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge university press, 1995).
 - [2] Gerard't Hooft, "Gauge theories of the forces between elementary particles," *Scientific American* **242**, 103–105 (1980).
 - [3] A. Leike, "The phenomenology of extra neutral gauge bosons," *Physics Reports* **317**, 143–250 (1999).
 - [4] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill, 1975).
 - [5] H. Yukawa, "On the interaction of elementary particles. I," *Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Jpn 3rd Ser.* **17**, 48–57 (1935).
 - [6] H. Yukawa and S. Sakata, "On the interaction of elementary particles. II," *Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Jpn 3rd Ser.* **19**, 1084–1093 (1937).
 - [7] M. A. Ruderman and C. Kittel, "Indirect exchange coupling of nuclear magnetic moments by conduction electrons," *Phys. Rev.* **96**, 99–102 (1954).
 - [8] Tadao Kasuya, "A theory of metallic ferro- and antiferromagnetism on zener's model," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16**, 45–57 (1956).
 - [9] Kei Yosida, "Magnetic properties of cu-mn alloys," *Phys. Rev.* **106**, 893–898 (1957).

- [10] Jia Wang, Marko Gacesa, and R. Côté, “Rydberg electrons in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 243003 (2015).
- [11] B. J. DeSalvo, Krutik Patel, Geyue Cai, and Cheng Chin, “Observation of fermion-mediated interactions between bosonic atoms,” *Nature* **568**, 61 – 64 (2019).
- [12] Jack B. Muir, Jesper Levinsen, Stuart K. Earl, Mitchell A. Conway, Jared H. Cole, Matthias Wurdack, Rishabh Mishra, David J. Ing, Eliezer Estrecho, Yuerui Lu, Dmitry K. Efimkin, Jonathan O. Tollerud, Elena A. Ostrovskaya, Meera M. Parish, and Jeffrey A. Davis, “Interactions between fermi polarons in monolayer ws_2 ,” *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6164 (2022).
- [13] Cosetta Baroni, Bo Huang, Isabella Fritsche, Erich Dobler, Gregor Anich, Emil Kirilov, Rudolf Grimm, Miguel A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, Pietro Massignan, and Georg M. Bruun, “Mediated interactions between fermi polarons and the role of impurity quantum statistics,” *Nat. Phys.* **20**, 68 – 73 (2024).
- [14] Rosario Paredes, Georg Bruun, and Arturo Camacho-Guardian, “Perspective: Interactions mediated by atoms, photons, electrons, and excitons,” (2024), arXiv:2406.13795.
- [15] A. S. Alexandrov and J. T. Devreese, *Advances in Polaron Physics* (Springer, New York, 2010).
- [16] J. J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge university press, 2017).
- [17] Chris H. Greene, A. S. Dickinson, and H. R. Sadeghpour, “Creation of polar and nonpolar ultra-long-range rydberg molecules,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 2458–2461 (2000).
- [18] Brian E. Granger, Edward L. Hamilton, and Chris H. Greene, “Quantum and semiclassical analysis of long-range rydberg molecules,” *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 042508 (2001).
- [19] R. B. Laughlin, “Anomalous quantum hall effect: An incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395–1398 (1983).
- [20] R. B. Laughlin, “Nobel lecture: Fractional quantization,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 863–874 (1999).
- [21] Franz Wegner, “Exact density of states for lowest landau level in white noise potential superfield representation for interacting systems,” *Z. Phys. B.* **51**, 279–285 (1983).
- [22] T. C. Dorlas, N. Macris, and J. V. Pulé, “The nature of the spectrum for a landau hamiltonian with delta impurities,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **87**, 847 (1997).
- [23] T. C. Dorlas, N. Macris, and J. V. Pulé, “Characterization of the spectrum of the landau hamiltonian with delta impurities,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **204**, 367 (1999).
- [24] Dmitry K. Efimkin and Allan H. MacDonald, “Exciton-polarons in doped semiconductors in a strong magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 235432 (2018).
- [25] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jiménez-García, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, “Synthetic magnetic fields for ultracold neutral atoms,” *Nature* **462**, 628 – 632 (2009).
- [26] T.-W. Zhou, G. Cappellini, D. Tusi, L. Franchi, J. Paravicini, C. Repellin, S. Greschner, M. Inguscio, T. Giamarchi, M. Filippone, J. Catani, and L. Fallani, “Observation of universal hall response in strongly interacting fermions,” *Science* **381**, 427–430 (2023).
- [27] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, V. P. Mogenдорff, and E. A. Cornell, “Rapidly rotating bose-einstein condensates in and near the lowest landau level,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 040404 (2004).
- [28] Richard J. Fletcher, Airlia Shaffer, Cedric C. Wilson, Parth B. Patel, Zhenjie Yan, Valentin Crépel, Biswaroop Mukherjee, and Martin W. Zwierlein, “Geometric squeezing into the lowest landau level,” *Science* **372**, 1318 – 1322 (2021).
- [29] Biswaroop Mukherjee, Airlia Shaffer, Parth B. Patel, Zhenjie Yan, Cedric C. Wilson, Valentin Crépel, Richard J. Fletcher, and Martin Zwierlein, “Crystallization of bosonic quantum hall states in a rotating quantum gas,” *Nature* **601**, 58 – 62 (2022).
- [30] Sylvain Ravets, Patrick Knüppel, Stefan Faelt, Ovidiu Cotlet, Martin Kroner, Werner Wegscheider, and Atac Imamoglu, “Polaron polaritons in the integer and fractional quantum hall regimes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 057401 (2018).
- [31] Thomas Busch, Berthold-Georg Englert, Kazimierz Rzażewski, and Martin Wilkens, “Two cold atoms in a harmonic trap,” *Foundations of Physics* **28**, 4 (1998).
- [32] Xia-Ji Liu, Hui Hu, and Peter D. Drummond, “Exact few-body results for strongly correlated quantum gases in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 054524 (2010).
- [33] Leonid S. Levitov and Hyunwoo Lee, “Electron counting statistics and coherent states of electric current,” *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 4845 (1996).
- [34] I. Klich, *Full Counting Statistics: an Elementary Derivation of Levitov’s Formula* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003).
- [35] K. Schönhammer, “Full counting statistics for noninteracting fermions: Exact results and the Levitov-Lesovik formula,” *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 205329 (2007).
- [36] Jia Wang, Xia-Ji Liu, and Hui Hu, “Exact quasiparticle properties of a heavy polaron in bcs fermi superfluids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 175301 (2022).
- [37] Jia Wang, Xia-Ji Liu, and Hui Hu, “Heavy polarons in ultracold atomic fermi superfluids at the bec-bcs crossover: Formalism and applications,” *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 043320 (2022).
- [38] Jia Wang, “Functional determinant approach investigations of heavy impurity physics,” *AAPPS Bulletin* **33**, 20 (2023).
- [39] Marko Cetina, Michael Jag, Rianne S. Lous, Isabella Fritsche, Jook T. M. Walraven, Rudolf Grimm, Jesper Levinsen, Meera M. Parish, Richard Schmidt, Michael Knap, and Eugene Demler, “Ultrafast many-body interferometry of impurities coupled to a fermi sea,” *Science* **354**, 96–99 (2016).