

Cross-Modal Denoising: A Novel Training Paradigm for Enhancing Speech-Image Retrieval

Lifeng Zhou, Yuke Li*, Rui Deng, Yuting Yang, Haoqi Zhu

Netease Yidun AI Lab, Hangzhou, China

{hzzhoulifeng, liyuke, dengrui01, yangyuting04, zhuhaoqi}@corp.netease.com

Abstract

The success of speech-image retrieval relies on establishing an effective alignment between speech and image. Existing methods often model cross-modal interaction through simple cosine similarity of the global feature of each modality, which fall short in capturing fine-grained details within modalities. To address this issue, we introduce an effective framework and a novel learning task named cross-modal denoising (CMD) to enhance cross-modal interaction to achieve finer-level cross-modal alignment. Specifically, CMD is a denoising task designed to reconstruct semantic features from noisy features within one modality by interacting features from another modality. Notably, CMD operates exclusively during model training and can be removed during inference without adding extra inference time. The experimental results demonstrate that our framework outperforms the state-of-the-art method by 2.0% in mean R@1 on the Flickr8k dataset and by 1.7% in mean R@1 on the SpokenCOCO dataset for the speech-image retrieval tasks, respectively. These experimental results validate the efficiency and effectiveness of our framework.

Index Terms: speech-image retrieval, cross-modal fine-grained alignment, cross-modal denoising

1. Introduction

By harnessing plentiful labeled data and computational resources, speech processing systems have demonstrated remarkable performance [1][2]. Unfortunately, the scarcity of labeled data for the majority of languages, coupled with the expensive nature of transcribing large volumes of speech data, has fueled a rising interest in the development of techniques capable of extracting valuable insights from unlabeled data [3][4].

Recently, there has been a notable emergence of self-supervised learning (SSL) methods as a prominent strategy for acquiring representations from unlabeled audio data, as evidenced by studies such as [5], [6], and [7]. These methods have garnered attention for their effectiveness in this area, as demonstrated by the work of [1] and [8]. Furthermore, the exploration of multimodal data and the extraction of valuable information from it have been investigated as an alternative approach to improving the performance of speech processing systems. Pairing images with speech has been widely utilized to improve speech processing, ultimately resulting in the advancement of visually grounded speech (VGS) models, as exemplified in the work of [9]. These models have demonstrated their utility across a range of applications, such as speech recognition [10], [11], and [12], word discovery [13], and multilingual spoken language process-

ing [14]. Typically, VGS models undergo training and evaluation in the context of speech-image retrieval tasks.

The advancement of VGS models has led to a substantial improvement in the accuracy of speech-image retrieval systems, underscoring the significant potential of speech-image retrieval as a standalone application. In the FaST-VGS method [15], the authors utilize an innovative training and retrieval approach that integrates dual-encoder and cross-attention architectures, enabling a single model to achieve both rapid and accurate speech-image retrieval capabilities. SpeechCLIP, as detailed in [16], uses a speech encoder initialized with a pre-trained SSL model [17] and aligns it with a frozen CLIP image encoder using paired speech-image data. This enables SpeechCLIP to achieve SOTA performance in speech-image retrieval tasks.

While effective, these methods have limitations. For instance, in FaST-VGS, using an object detector as the image encoder can limit expressive power due to the constraints of the detector and its predefined visual vocabulary. SpeechCLIP replaces the object detector with an image encoder from CLIP to extract image features. It encodes speech and images separately, utilizing contrastive learning as the training objective. However, in contrastive learning, the interaction between modalities is managed solely through the cosine similarity of the speech and image features, which may pose challenges in achieving fine-grained alignment. As a result, this approach may lead to false positive matching during inference when images and speech share similar semantics but differ in details.

Therefore, designing a better interaction between modalities to facilitate fine-grained alignment between modalities is of crucial significance for the performance of speech-image retrieval systems. This paper introduces an innovative framework and a novel learning task cross-modal denoising (CMD) to enhance cross-modal interaction and achieve fine-grained cross-modal alignment. CMD is a denoising task designed to reconstruct semantic features from noisy features within one modality by interacting features from another modality. The objective of the CMD is to enhance speech representations, enabling them to focus on specific image-patch contexts, thereby achieving fine-grained cross-modal alignment.

Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a simple yet powerful framework with only 14M trainable parameters to achieve effective alignment between speech and image, ultimately leading to more accurate speech-image retrieval.
- We introduce a novel cross-modal learning task CMD to enhance cross-modal fusion, thereby achieving fine-grained cross-modal alignment. Importantly, CMD operates exclusively during model training and can be removed during inference without adding extra inference time.

*Corresponding author.




Figure 1: The overview of our proposed framework. Figure (a) showcases that our framework is optimized with speech-image contrastive learning tasks and CMD tasks. Figure (b) provides details of the CMD tasks, while Figure (c) presents the specifics of the three encoders used in our framework.

- Our framework has exhibited a significant improvement of 2.0% in mean R@1 on the benchmark dataset of Flickr8k Audio Captions Coupus and 1.7% in mean R@1 on the SpokenCOCO dataset, surpassing the performance of the current state-of-the-art approach.

2. Methods

2.1. Preliminaries

In this section, we will present a concise overview of the two pre-trained models used in our framework: HuBERT and CLIP. **Hidden-unit BERT (HuBERT)** [18] is a self-supervised learning speech model that employs a masked prediction objective, akin to the well-known BERT [19] model. By predicting masked speech frames based on the surrounding context, it captures essential speech representations. The model architecture includes a CNN feature extractor coupled with a transformer encoder, enabling it to effectively extract meaningful speech features for various downstream tasks [20].

CLIP [21] leverages contrastive learning to pre-train visual models at a large scale using natural language supervision [22][23][24], which is derived from paired image-text data. By employing two separate encoders for processing images and text, CLIP seeks to align semantically similar images and text captions. This enables CLIP to seamlessly transfer across a range of computer vision tasks with minimal supervision.

In our framework, pre-trained HuBERT and CLIP models are frozen and serve as feature extractors.

2.2. Architecture

As illustrated in Figure 1, we employ the speech encoder Ψ and the image encoder Φ to extract speech features $S = \{S_{cls}, s_1, \dots, s_T\}$ and image features $I = \{I_{cls}, i_1, \dots, i_N\}$,

where S_{cls} and I_{cls} represent the normalized global speech and image semantic features, and s_i and i_i represent the frame-level speech feature and patch-level image feature. The framework is optimized with multitasks, including speech-image contrastive learning and CMD tasks. The speech-image contrastive learning task is designed to align the speech and image features at a coarse level. The CMD task aims to achieve fine-grained alignment between speech and image modality.

As shown (b) in Figure 1, CMD can be viewed as a combination of feature denoising and speech-image contrastive learning tasks. Specifically, we first introduce noise to the image features F^I to get noisy image features F^{I*} , where $F^I \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times D}$ is reshaped from patch-level image features i_1, \dots, i_N . The noise addition process is as follows: for a given image feature F^I , we randomly select certain patch features and then replace them with patch features from other image features within the same batch. Subsequently, the noisy image features F^{I*} along with the speech semantic features S_{cls} interact in the multimodal fusion encoder Ω to reconstruct image global semantic feature $F^{I'}$. This process can be presented as follows:

$$Attn(I^* | s) = \text{Softmax} \left(\frac{Q_s K_{I^*}^T}{\sqrt{D}} \right) V_{I^*}, \quad (1)$$

$$F^{I'} = LN (FC (Attn(I^* | s)) + Attn(I^* | s))^T, \quad (2)$$

where Q, K, V denote the query, key, and value embeddings, Softmax refers to the normalization function, LN stands for the layer normalization layer, and FC represents a fully connected layer. The objective of the multimodal fusion encoder is to improve speech representations, enabling them to concentrate on specific image-patch contexts in order to achieve fine-grained cross-modal alignment. Lastly, we use speech-image contrastive learning to put paired speech semantic feature S_{cls} and denoised image semantic feature $F^{I'}$ close together in the latent space and to pull them apart from the other features.

Speech Encoder	Speech Encoder	Image Encoder	Fusion Encoder	Trainable Params	Total Params
Hubert Large (316M)	Transformer encoder (13.4M)	ViT-L/14 (422M)	FC (0.6M)	14M	752M

Table 1: *The model details of our framework.*

The details of the three encoders used in our framework are shown (c) in Figure 1, the speech encoder Ψ comprise a self-supervised learning speech model Hubert [18] and 1 layer transformer encoder. Drawing inspiration from SUPERB [20], we integrate the CNN output of HuBERT with the hidden representations from its transformer encoder using learnable weights. This weighted combination forms a sequence of speech features. These features, along with the CLS token, are subsequently fed into the transformer encoder to extract speech embeddings, denoted as $S = \{S_{cls}, s_1, \dots, s_N\}$. Additionally, we utilize the image encoder of CLIP [21] as Φ to extract image features. The multimodal fusion encoder Ω comprises an attentive pooling layer, a fully connected layer, and a layer normalization layer. Notably, the parameters of Hubert in Ψ and Φ are fixed during training process.

2.3. Training Objectives

Our model is trained with two primary objectives: speech-image contrastive learning on the unimodal encoders and CMD on the multimodal fusion encoder.

Speech-Image Contrastive Learning aims to align speech and image features at a coarse level, facilitating cross-modal learning in the multimodal fusion encoder. It involves learning a similarity function $s = S_{cls}^T I_{cls}$, ensuring that parallel speech-image pairs receive higher similarity scores than non-parallel pairs.

For each speech, we calculate the softmax-normalized similarity between the speech and image features as follows:

$$p_j^{s2i}(S) = \frac{\exp(s(S, I_j)/\tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^B \exp(s(S, I_j)/\tau)}, \quad (3)$$

where τ is a learnable temperature parameter, B is the mini-batch size. For each image, the softmax-normalized image and speech similarity is calculated as:

$$p_j^{i2s}(I) = \frac{\exp(s(I, S_j)/\tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^B \exp(s(I, S_j)/\tau)}. \quad (4)$$

Let $\mathbf{y}^{s2i}(S)$ and $\mathbf{y}^{i2s}(I)$ denote the ground-truth one-hot similarity vectors, where negative pairs are assigned a probability of 0 and positive pairs are assigned a probability of 1. The speech-image contrastive loss is then defined as the cross-entropy H between the predicted probabilities \mathbf{p} and the ground-truth similarities \mathbf{y} , as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sic}} = \frac{1}{2} [H(\mathbf{y}^{s2i}(S), \mathbf{p}^{s2i}(S)) + H(\mathbf{y}^{i2s}(I), \mathbf{p}^{i2s}(I))] \quad (5)$$

Cross-modal denoising is a denoising task which aims to align the speech and image features at a fine-grained level. As illustrated in Figure 1(b), CMD can be viewed as a combination of feature denoising and speech-image contrastive learning tasks. The training loss for CMD is similar with Equation 5 as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cmd}} = \frac{1}{2} [H(\mathbf{y}^{s2i}(S), \mathbf{p}^{s2i'}(S)) + H(\mathbf{y}^{i2s}(I), \mathbf{p}^{i'2s}(I))]. \quad (6)$$

The full pre-training objective of our framework is donated as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{sic}} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text{cmd}}, \quad (7)$$

where α is a hyper-parameter used to balance \mathcal{L}_{sic} and \mathcal{L}_{cmd} .

3. Experiment

3.1. Setup

Dataset. Our model is trained and evaluated on speech-image retrieval tasks using the Flickr8k Audio Captions Corpus [13] and the SpokenCOCO dataset [27]. In both datasets, each image is paired with five spoken captions, which are human utterances of text captions. The Flickr8k dataset comprises 8k images and 46 hours of speech, while SpokenCOCO includes 123k images and 742 hours of speech. Consistent with FaST-VGS [15], we utilize the Karpathy [28] split for the SpokenCOCO dataset.

Setup. The speech encoder Ψ consists of Hubert and a single-layer transformer encoder. The Hubert model utilized in our experiments is Hubert-Large, while the transformer encoder has eight attention heads, and the hidden dimension of the transformer encoder is the same as that of HuBERT. As for the CLIP image encoder Φ , we used ViT-L/14. Both the parameters of HuBERT and CLIP are kept frozen throughout the training process. Additionally, the input and output dimensions of the fully connected layer utilized in the fusion encoder Ω are both set at 768. For detailed model configurations, please refer to Table 1. During the noise addition process, we randomly select 30% of image patch-level features to add noise. Given that both datasets have 5 speech captions for each image, we modify the ground-truth labels for contrastive learning to account for multiple positives during training. Each positive sample is assigned a ground-truth probability of 1/5. All models are trained using the Adam optimizer with a weight decay of 10^{-6} , a batch size of 128, and a total of 60k training steps. The learning rate is linearly increased to 10^{-4} during the first 4k steps and then gradually decreased to 10^{-8} . All experiments are performed on a machine equipped with 8 32GB V100 GPUs. During inference, we remove the multimodal fusion encoder Ω and only compute the feature similarity score between speech and image semantic features S_{cls} and I_{cls} for all speech-image pairs.

Evaluation Metric. To evaluate the cross-modal retrieval performance of our framework, we use the widely adopted Recall at K (R@K) metric, where higher values indicate better performance. We report the results for both speech-to-image retrieval and image-to-speech retrieval

3.2. Speech-Image Retrieval

In this section, we assess the performance of our framework in speech-image retrieval tasks, thereby demonstrating the effectiveness of our models in aligning speech with image features. The cross-modal retrieval performance of our method is presented in Table 2. In comparison to previous methods, we have achieved the best retrieval performance in both speech-to-image retrieval and image-to-speech retrieval tests. Our model has shown significant improvements over the previous best model [16], with increases of 2.0% in mean R@1, 2.4% in mean R@5, and 1.9% in mean R@10 on the Flickr8k dataset. Besides, our

Method	Speech \rightarrow Image			Image \rightarrow Speech			Mean		
	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10
Flickr8k									
FaST-VGS _{CO} [15]	26.6	56.4	68.8	36.2	66.1	76.5	31.4	61.3	72.6
FaST-VGS _{CTF} [15]	29.3	58.6	71.0	37.9	68.5	79.9	33.6	63.6	75.5
MILAN [25]	33.2	62.7	73.9	49.6	79.2	87.5	41.4	71.0	80.7
Cascaded SpeechCLIP [16]	14.7	41.2	55.1	21.8	52.0	67.7	18.3	46.6	61.4
Parallel SpeechCLIP [16]	39.1	72.0	83.0	54.5	84.5	93.2	46.8	78.3	88.1
Ours	40.7	75.1	85.8	56.8	86.2	94.2	48.8	80.7	90.0
SpokenCOCO									
ResDAVEne [10]	17.3	41.9	55.0	22.0	50.6	65.2	19.65	46.3	60.1
FaST-VGS _{CO} [15]	31.8	62.5	75.0	42.5	73.7	84.9	37.2	68.1	80.0
FaST-VGS _{CTF} [15]	35.9	66.3	77.9	48.8	78.2	87.0	42.4	72.3	82.5
Cascaded SpeechCLIP [16]	6.4	20.7	31.0	9.6	27.7	39.7	8.0	24.2	35.4
Seg. SpeechCLIP [26]	28.2	55.3	67.5	28.5	56.1	68.9	28.4	55.7	68.2
Parallel SpeechCLIP [16]	35.8	66.5	78.0	50.6	80.9	89.1	43.2	73.7	83.5
Ours	37.5	67.3	78.6	52.3	81.4	89.7	44.9	74.4	84.2

Table 2: Recall scores for speech-image retrieval on Flickr8k and SpokenCOCO testing sets.

Method	Speech \rightarrow Image			Image \rightarrow Speech			Mean		
	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10
supervised	40.7	75.1	85.8	56.8	86.2	94.2	48.8	80.7	90.0
zero-shot	48.9	78.3	87.5	61.4	88.2	93.8	55.1	83.2	90.7

Table 3: Recall scores for zero-shot speech-image retrieval on Flickr8k testing sets.

model has demonstrated improvements of 1.7% in mean R@1, 0.7% in mean R@5, and 0.7% in mean R@10 on the SpokenCOCO dataset. These improvements can be primarily attributed to our model’s ability, achieved through joint training with contrastive learning and CMD tasks, to identify shared semantics between images and speech while also capturing their subtle differences.

3.3. Zero-Shot Speech-Image Retrieval

To assess the generalization ability of our framework, we performed zero-shot retrieval by directly evaluating the model trained on SpokenCOCO with the testing sets of Flickr8k, marking the first exploration of the generalization capability of a speech-image retrieval model to the best of the authors’ knowledge. The results, shown in Table 3, indicate that the *supervised* model trained on the Flickr8k training sets is significantly outperformed by the model trained on the SpokenCOCO training sets. This highlights the excellent generalization ability of our model. The superior performance can be attributed to the model being trained on the larger SpokenCOCO dataset compared to the smaller Flickr8k dataset, demonstrating the model’s scalability.

3.4. Ablation Studies

In this section, we conduct ablation studies and report the results in mean R@1 on two datasets for simplicity.

Effectiveness of CMD. Table 4 studies the effect of CMD on cross-modal retrieval. In comparison to training without CMD, the inclusion of the CMD training task resulted in a 2.3% improvement on the Flickr8k dataset and a 1.9% improvement on the SpokenCOCO dataset, indicating the effectiveness of CMD.

The balance hyper-parameter α . The hyper-parameter determines the weight of CMD task. To assess its impact, we explore various scale ranges for α within the interval of [0.0, 1.0] on two datasets. The results, depicted in Figure 2, indicate that the optimal value of α varies across different datasets.

Training task	Flickr8k	SpokenCOCO
w/o CMD	46.5	43.0
w/ CMD	48.8	44.9

Table 4: Ablation study of CMD




Figure 2: Effect of the balance hyper-parameter α

4. Conclusions

We propose a simple yet powerful framework to enhance the alignment between speech and image, thereby leading to more accurate speech-image retrieval. The framework is trained with cross-modal contrastive learning and cross-modal denoising (CMD) tasks. Specifically, CMD is a novel denoising task designed to enhance speech representations, enabling them to focus on specific image-patch contexts, thereby achieving fine-grained cross-modal alignment. Importantly, CMD operates solely during model training and can be removed during inference without adding any inference time. The experimental results demonstrate that our framework outperforms the state-of-the-art method by 2.0% in mean R@1 on the Flickr Audio Captions Corpus and by 1.7% in mean R@1 on the SpokenCOCO dataset for the speech-image retrieval tasks, respectively. These experimental results validate the efficiency and effectiveness of our framework. In our future works, we aim to continue advancing speech-image retrieval performance, as the accuracy of speech-image retrieval systems has lagged behind their image-text counterparts.

5. References

- [1] Gabriel Synnaeve, Qiantong Xu, Jacob Kahn, Edouard Grave, Tatiana Likhomanenko, Vineel Pratap, Anuroop Sriram, Vitaliy Liptchinsky, and Ronan Collobert. End-to-end asr: from supervised to semi-supervised learning with modern architectures. *arXiv: Computation and Language, arXiv: Computation and Language*, Nov 2019.
- [2] Yongqiang Wang, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Due Le, Chunxi Liu, Alex Xiao, Jay Mahadeokar, Hongzhao Huang, Andros Tjandra, Xiaohui Zhang, Frank Zhang, Christian Fuegen, Geoffrey Zweig, and Michael L. Seltzer. Transformer-based acoustic modeling for hybrid speech recognition. In *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, May 2020.
- [3] Leonardo Badino, Claudia Canevari, Luciano Fadiga, and Giorgio Metta. An auto-encoder based approach to unsupervised learning of subword units. In *2014 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, May 2014.
- [4] Saurabhchand Bhati, Shekhar Nayak, and Kodukula Sri Rama Murty. Unsupervised speech signal to symbol transformation for zero resource speech applications. In *Interspeech*, 2017.
- [5] Andy T. Liu, Shu-wen Yang, Po-Han Chi, Po-chun Hsu, and Hung-yi Lee. Mockingjay: Unsupervised speech representation learning with deep bidirectional transformer encoders. In *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, May 2020.
- [6] AlexanderH. Liu, Yu-An Chung, and James Glass. Non-autoregressive predictive coding for learning speech representations from local dependencies. *arXiv: Computation and Language*, Nov 2020.
- [7] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. *Neural Information Processing Systems, Neural Information Processing Systems*, Jun 2020.
- [8] Steffen Schneider, Alexei Baevski, Ronan Collobert, and Michael Auli. wav2vec: Unsupervised pre-training for speech recognition. In *Interspeech 2019*, Sep 2019.
- [9] Puyuan Peng and David Harwath. Self-supervised representation learning for speech using visual grounding and masked language modeling, 2022.
- [10] Wei-Ning Hsu, David Harwath, and James Glass. Transfer learning from audio-visual grounding to speech recognition. In *Interspeech 2019*, Sep 2019.
- [11] Anmol Gulati, James Qin, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Niki Parmar, Yu Zhang, Jiahui Yu, Wei Han, Shibo Wang, Zhengdong Zhang, Yonghui Wu, and Ruoming Pang. Conformer: Convolution-augmented transformer for speech recognition. In *Interspeech 2020*, Oct 2020.
- [12] Yanzhang He, Tara N. Sainath, Rohit Prabhavalkar, Ian McGraw, Raziq Alvarez, Ding Zhao, David Rybach, Anjali Kannan, Yonghui Wu, Ruoming Pang, Qiao Liang, Deepti Bhatia, Yuan Shangguan, Bo Li, Golan Pundak, Khe Chai Sim, Tom Bagby, Shuo-yiin Chang, Kanishka Rao, and Alexander Gruenstein. Streaming end-to-end speech recognition for mobile devices. In *ICASSP 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, May 2019.
- [13] David Harwath and James Glass. Deep multimodal semantic embeddings for speech and images. *arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Nov 2015.
- [14] David Harwath, Galen Chuang, and James Glass. Vision as an interlingua: Learning multilingual semantic embeddings of untranscribed speech, 2018.
- [15] Puyuan Peng and David Harwath. Fast-slow transformer for visually grounding speech. In *ICASSP 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7727–7731, 2022.
- [16] Yi-Jen Shih, Hsuan-Fu Wang, Heng-Jui Chang, Layne Berry, Hung yi Lee, and David Harwath. Speechclip: Integrating speech with pre-trained vision and language model, 2022.
- [17] Sanyuan Chen, Chengyi Wang, Zhengyang Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Jinyu Li, Naoyuki Kanda, Takuya Yoshioka, Xiong Xiao, Jian Wu, Long Zhou, Shuo Ren, Yanmin Qian, Yao Qian, Jian Wu, Michael Zeng, Xiangzhan Yu, and Furu Wei. Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, page 1505–1518, Oct 2022.
- [18] Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhota, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units, 2021.
- [19] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North*, Jan 2019.
- [20] Shu-wen Yang, Po-Han Chi, Yung-Sung Chuang, Cheng-I Jeff Lai, Kushal Lakhota, Yist Y. Lin, Andy T. Liu, Jiatong Shi, Xuankai Chang, Guan-Ting Lin, Tzu-Hsien Huang, Wei-Cheng Tseng, Ko-tik Lee, Da-Rong Liu, Zili Huang, Shuyan Dong, Shang-Wen Li, Shinji Watanabe, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Hung-yi Lee. Superb: Speech processing universal performance benchmark. In *Interspeech 2021*, Aug 2021.
- [21] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [22] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models, 2023.
- [23] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation, 2022.
- [24] Junnan Li, Ramprasaath R. Selvaraju, Akhilesh Gotmare, Shafiq Joty, Caiming Xiong, and Steven C.H. Hoi. Align before fuse: Vision and language representation learning with momentum distillation. *Cornell University - arXiv*, Jul 2021.
- [25] Ramon Sanabria, Austin Waters, and Jason Baldrige. Talk, don't write: A study of direct speech-based image retrieval. *arXiv: Computation and Language, arXiv: Computation and Language*, Apr 2021.
- [26] Saurabhchand Bhati, Jesus Villalba, Laureano Moro-Velazquez, Thomas Thebaud, and Najim Dehak. Leveraging pretrained image-text models for improving audio-visual learning. Sep 2023.
- [27] Wei-Ning Hsu, David Harwath, Tyler Miller, Christopher Song, and James Glass. Text-free image-to-speech synthesis using learned segmental units. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Jan 2021.
- [28] Andrej Karpathy and Li Fei-Fei. Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, page 664–676, Apr 2017.