

Synesthesia of Machines (SoM)-Enhanced ISAC Precoding for Vehicular Networks with Double Dynamics

Zonghui Yang, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Shijian Gao, *Member, IEEE*,
Xiang Cheng, *Fellow, IEEE*, Liuqing Yang, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—Integrated sensing and communication (ISAC) technology plays a crucial role in vehicular networks. However, the communication channel within this context exhibits time-varying characteristics, and potential targets may move rapidly, resulting in double dynamics. These presents significant challenges for real-time ISAC precoding design that have not been thoroughly explored. While optimization-based precoding methods have been extensively studied, they are computationally complex and heavily rely on perfect prior information that is rarely available in situations with double dynamics. In this paper, we propose a synesthesia of machine (SoM)-enhanced precoding paradigm, where the base station leverages various modalities such as positioning and channel information to adapt to double dynamics, and effectively utilizes environmental information to stretch ISAC performance boundaries through a deep reinforcement learning framework. Additionally, a parameter-shared actor-critic architecture is tailored to expedite training in complex state and action spaces. Extensive experimental validation has demonstrated the multifaceted superiority of our method over existing approaches.

Index Terms—synesthesia of machine, integrated sensing and communication, deep reinforcement learning, hybrid precoding, double dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE Internet of vehicles (IoV) plays a critical role in facilitating an efficient and reliable intelligent transportation system, where high-speed communication and high-precision sensing serve as fundamental pillars [2]. In contrast to conventional separated systems, integrated sensing and communication (ISAC) holds the promise of mutual enhancement, thus garnering significant attention in recent research endeavors within the realm of vehicular networks [3].

To achieve ISAC, waveform design serves as one of the core techniques. Existing dual-functional waveform designs are typically categorized into three types: communication-centric, radar-centric, and joint design. Communication-centric designs focus on enhancing sensing based on existing communication

waveforms [4, 5]. However, the sensing capability is inherently limited due to the unregulated auto-correlation properties of communication symbols. In radar-centric designs, information is embedded into radar waveform parameters such as carrier frequency and antenna assignment [6, 7]. Nevertheless, these designs are constrained by radar pulse repetition rate, failing to satisfy the high-rate requirements in practical communications. To improve the sensing accuracy while guaranteeing communications, joint precoding designs by shaping the beam into desired patterns have been widely researched [8–10]. For instance [8] achieved the desired pattern by optimizing the precoding, satisfying the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) constraint at the user. [10] achieved a higher sensing SNR while guaranteeing signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) at users by jointly optimizing the precoding for communication and radar signal.

However, the dynamic nature of the road environment introduces both time-varying characteristics in the wireless channel and rapid movement of the targets, presenting a doubly-dynamic challenge for ISAC. To deal with the time-varying channels, [11] proposed a compressive sensing (CS)-based framework with specialized pilot, reducing the time overhead in channel state information (CSI) acquisition. [12, 13] designed pre-compensators to combat the Doppler effect, mitigating the inter-carrier interference (ICI) in wideband systems. [14] further decoupled the Doppler shifts in angle domain by precoding to effectively suppress the time-variation of communications. In terms of sensing the fast-moving targets, [15] utilized CSI to estimate the target’s velocities, and [16] designed Space-Delay-Doppler precoding by leveraging ICI to enhance the sensing accuracy. Meanwhile, to address the carrier frequency offset resulting from the sensing dynamics, [17] designed a Kalman filter (KF)-based sensing scheme using the estimated Doppler as a prior to filter out time-varying noise and enhance sensing accuracy.

Nevertheless, implementing ISAC under double dynamics remains unexplored and presents unique challenges. Firstly, the complex correlation between the dynamics of communications and sensing can lead to degraded spectrum efficiency (SE) and sensing accuracy. Secondly, existing optimization-based methods are complex and result in high latency, while the need for perfect environmental information such as instantaneous CSI and real-time target positions can be difficult to acquire in double dynamics.

In an effort to lower the dependence on instantaneous

Part of this paper has been accepted by the 2024 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM) [1].

Z. Yang and X. Cheng are with the State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, School of Electronics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (e-mail: yzh22@stu.pku.edu.cn; xiangcheng@pku.edu.cn).

S. Gao is with the Internet of Things Thrust, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), Guangzhou 511400, China (e-mail: shijiangao@hkust-gz.edu.cn).

L. Yang is with the Internet of Things Thrust & Intelligent Transportation Thrust, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China, and also with the Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China (Email: lqyang@ust.hk).

state information and mitigate the complexity issue, [18, 19] predicted the real-time environmental prior according to the historical positions, via particle filter (PF) and message passing (MP) respectively. The operating protocol have also been modified for facilitating the predictive precoding. However, these solutions still struggle to address the doubly-dynamic challenge, as they lack robustness in managing the mutual interference between communication and sensing. Moreover, their complexity escalates drastically with a growing number of users, thereby reducing the timeliness of ISAC systems. Additionally, these solutions assume perfect alignment between communication users and sensing targets, which may not be a realistic assumption in real-world doubly-dynamic scenarios. Although obtaining perfect channel-related parameters in double dynamics is challenging, other observable environmental information correlates with channel information, as demonstrated in synesthesia of machine (SoM) [20]. For instance, [21] integrated multi-modal sensing information to boost beamforming for communications. Inspired by this concept, data from diverse modalities has the potential in enhancing the performance of real-time ISAC precoding. However, research from this perspective is still in its early stages, and specific SoM operational modes for ISAC remain undefined.

Reinforcement learning (RL) has gained attention in communication systems design thanks to its adaptability to dynamics without prior assumptions. Existing research has employed RL for precoding design [22, 23]. For instance, deep deterministic policy gradient (DDPG) was utilized for the hybrid precoding transceivers design in [22]. However, these studies primarily focus on enhancing communications and overlook sensing tasks. Regarding sensing applications, [24] employed SARSA algorithm to jointly optimize precoders and array segmentation for balancing communications and sensing. [25] focused on flexible alternation between communication and sensing modes. Despite these efforts, the level of integration remains limited. Furthermore, applying RL to the considered problem encounters three main challenges: the high-dimensional and structurally complex action space, the significant difficulties in feature extraction and action selection due to diverse environmental state observations, and the challenges in updating policies due to the varying number of users.

To overcome the aforementioned barriers, we devise an efficient deep-RL (DRL)-aided framework to facilitate real-time wideband ISAC precoding design, in the absence of instantaneous CSI or target angle information. Inspired by SoM, this framework leverages various observations from the base station (BS), such as historical position estimates and initial CSI, as adaptable inputs to accommodate the double dynamics. We begin by formulating a partially observed Markov decision process (POMDP) for this scenario, defining the observed state space and reward function. To navigate the intricate decision space in hybrid precoding, we customize a hybrid action space for efficient precoding updates. Furthermore, we employ a parameter-shared actor-critic (PSAC) architecture to extract features from the state, reducing network parameters and speeding up training. To optimize model training, we tailor the updating procedure for both the actor and critic components.

Our proposed algorithm incorporates two carefully crafted precoding update schemes: update across the user dimension and update across the antenna dimension. It is noteworthy that the proposed scheme also exemplifies SoM-Enhance in [20], wherein channel information for both communication and sensing is enriched through observations from various modalities. Numerical experiments validate the effectiveness of our approach in improving both communications and sensing performance in a doubly dynamic environment.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We present an alternating optimization-based algorithm for ISAC hybrid precoding with complete environmental state information, designed to adapt to ICI caused by Doppler shift in wideband multi-user systems.
- To tackle the doubly-dynamic ISAC precoding challenge, we introduce a DRL-aided algorithm. We carefully craft the network architecture and training process to address the complex decision space issue. The dynamic update schemes proposed are compatible with existing hybrid precoding architectures.
- Through extensive simulation experiments, we demonstrate that our approach outperforms existing benchmarks, pushing the performance boundaries for ISAC in doubly-dynamic scenarios. This is achieved with reduced computational complexity and improved timeliness.

The remainder of this work is structured as follows. Section II introduces the system model. The proposed optimization-based wideband ISAC precoding design is illustrated in Section III as preliminary. Section IV includes the ISAC frame structure designed for doubly-dynamic scenarios, and the detailed DRL-aided algorithms for doubly-dynamic ISAC precoding is introduced in Section V. Section VI contains our simulation findings, and Section VII concludes our work.

Notation: a , \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{A} represent a scalar, a vector and a matrix respectively. $(\cdot)^T$, $(\cdot)^H$, $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$, $\text{rank}(\cdot)$, $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ denote transpose, conjugate transpose, trace, rank, 2-norm and Frobenius norm, respectively. $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$ denotes the expectation. $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$ denotes the probability. $\mathcal{CN}(m, \sigma^2)$ represents the complex Gaussian distribution whose mean is m and covariance is σ^2 . \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the set of real numbers and complex numbers respectively. $|z|$ and $\angle z$ denote the modulus and the phase of a complex number z respectively. \cap and \cup denote the intersection and the union, and \emptyset denotes an empty set.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider an ISAC system with wideband massive MIMO at the base station (BS) serving U downlink users, each with a single antenna, while simultaneously tracking a single moving target in the low-altitude environment. The BS is equipped with a uniform linear array (ULA) comprising N_t elements for transmission and N_r elements for echo reception. The ISAC signal is transmitted via orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) with M subcarriers. The number of data streams at each subcarrier is N_s , satisfying $U \leq N_s$ and $N_s \leq N_{\text{RF}}$, ($m = 1, \dots, M$). The sensing and communication functionalities are operated simultaneously enabled by an ISAC transmitter. Without loss of generality, we set $N_s = N_{\text{RF}} = U$.




Fig. 1: Investigated system model where an ISAC BS serves multiple vehicles and senses a moving target.

One ISAC frame is divided into T subframes, each containing L OFDM symbols. We assume that the users and target's locations remain invariable within one subframe, and their velocities are constant within one frame.

A. Transmitting Signal

At the l -th symbol duration in the n -th subframe ($l = 1, \dots, L$, $n = 1, \dots, T$), the communication symbols for the U users at the m -th subcarrier is denoted as $\mathbf{s}_m^{(n,l)} = [s_{m,1}^{(n,l)}, \dots, s_{m,U}^{(n,l)}]^T$ with $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_m \mathbf{s}_m^H] = \mathbf{I}_U$. Specifically, $\mathbf{s} = [s_1^T, \dots, s_M^T]^T$ is processed by M frequency-dependent digital precoders $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{RF}} \times U}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$) respectively, followed by N_{RF} M -point inverse fast Fourier transforms (IFFT). The L_{CP} -length cyclic prefix (CP) is then added on the time-domain signal, before the time-domain analog precoder $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_{\text{RF}}}$, which is shared among all the subcarriers. Thus the discrete-time transmitted signal at the m -th subcarrier from the array in the n -th subframe can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}_m^{(n,l)} = \sqrt{\frac{P_t}{M}} \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)} \mathbf{s}_m^{(n,l)} = \sqrt{\frac{P_t}{M}} \mathbf{F}_m^{(n)} \mathbf{s}_m^{(n,l)}, \quad (1)$$

where P_t denotes the total transmitting power. The digital precoder $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}$ is set as $\text{diag}([p_{m,1}, \dots, p_{m,U}])$, used for power allocation among users. Since the analog precoder \mathbf{F}_{RF} is realized by the analog phase shifters (APS), it is constant-modulus. Specifically, when the B -bit APS is adopted, we have

$$\angle[\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}]_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B} \triangleq \left\{ \frac{2\pi b}{2^B} \mid b = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^B - 1 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

\mathcal{F} denotes the feasible set for all the \mathbf{F}_{RF} satisfying the B -bit finite-resolution constant-modulus constraint.

B. Communication Model

A doubly-selective channel similar to [26] is adopted, with N_d delay taps. At the d -th tap ($0 \leq d \leq N_d - 1$), the channel response for user- u during symbol- l is expressed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{u,d}(l) = \sqrt{\frac{N_t}{P_u}} \sum_{p=1}^{P_u} \beta_{u,p} g_{\text{rp}}(dT_s - \tau_{u,p}) e^{j\omega_{u,p} l} \mathbf{a}_t(\theta_{u,p}), \quad (3)$$

where P_u denotes the number of resolvable paths, T_s is the sampling period, $\beta_{u,p} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_\beta^2)$ is the path's complex gain varying across symbols and $\theta_{u,p}$ is the angle of departure (AoD) of the p -th path for the u -th user, and $[\mathbf{a}_t(\theta)]_i =$

TABLE I: Notations related to the ISAC system.

Notation	Definition
$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{u,d}(l) \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N_t}$	Communication channel per user in time domain
$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(l) \in \mathbb{C}^{U \times N_t}$	Overall communication channel in time domain
$\mathbf{H}_m[k] \in \mathbb{C}^{U \times N_t}$	Inter-carrier response in frequency domain
$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} \in \mathbb{C}^{UM \times N_t M}$	Wideband channel in frequency domain
$\mathbf{G}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times N_t}$	Target response at the m -th subcarrier
$\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m} \in \mathbb{C}^{U \times U}$	Frequency-dependent digital precoding matrix
$\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times U}$	Frequency-independent analog precoding matrix
$\mathbf{F}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times U}$	Equivalent digital precoding matrix
$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t M \times UM}$	Wideband overall precoding matrix
$\mathbf{h}_{m,k,u} = \mathbf{H}_m[k][u, :]$	Frequency-domain inter-carrier channel per user
$\mathbf{f}_{m,u} = \mathbf{F}_m[:, u]$	Equivalent precoding per user per subcarrier

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_t}} e^{-j(i-1)\pi \sin \theta}$ ($i = 1, \dots, N_t$). $g_{\text{rp}}(\cdot)$ is the pulse shaping filter; $\tau_{u,p} \sim \mathcal{U}(0, (N_d - 1)T_s)$ is the propagation delay. Denote the carrier frequency as f_c , the velocity of light as c_v , and the relative velocity of each path as $\mathbf{v}_{u,p}$, then the normalized Doppler shift is $\omega_{u,p} = 2\pi f_c |\mathbf{v}_{u,p}| T_s \sin \theta_{u,p} / c_v$. Consequently the multi-user channel in time domain is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(l) = [\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1,d}^T(l), \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{2,d}^T(l), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{U,d}^T(l)]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{U \times N_t}. \quad (4)$$

Accordingly, the inter-subcarrier channel response is computed by

$$\mathbf{H}_m[k] = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{d=0}^{N_d-1} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_d(i + L_{\text{CP}}) e^{-j2\pi \frac{kd + (m-k)i}{M}}. \quad (5)$$

By stacking the received signals at all subcarriers, we get

$$\mathbf{y}_c = [\mathbf{y}_1^T, \mathbf{y}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{y}_M^T]^T \quad (6)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{P_t}{M}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1[1] & \dots & \mathbf{H}_1[M] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_M[1] & \dots & \mathbf{H}_M[M] \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{F}_M \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_M \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{n}_c,$$

where $\mathbf{n}_c \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_c^2 \mathbf{I}_{UM})$ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

Note that at the m -th subcarrier, the u -th user receives not only the customized communication signals but also the inter-user interference (IUI) and inter-carrier interference (ICI). Hence, the corresponding signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR), $\gamma_{u,m}^{(n,l)}$, can be derived as

$$\frac{|\mathbf{h}_{m,m,u}^{(n,l)} \mathbf{f}_{m,u}^{(n,l)}|^2}{\sum_{k \neq m} \sum_{u=1}^U |\mathbf{h}_{m,k,u}^{(n,l)} \mathbf{f}_{k,u}^{(n,l)}|^2 + \sum_{i \neq u} |\mathbf{h}_{m,m,u}^{(n,l)} \mathbf{f}_{m,i}^{(n,l)}|^2 + \frac{N_t U M \sigma_c^2}{P_t}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{m,u} = \mathbf{F}_m[:, u]$ and $\mathbf{h}_{m,k,u} = \mathbf{H}_m[k][u, :]$. Consequently, the spectrum efficiency (SE) can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{R}^{(n)} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{u=1}^U \log_2(1 + \gamma_{u,m}^{(n,l)})}{LT_s M \Delta f}, \quad (8)$$

which is a crucial metric of the quality of services (QoS) for communications. $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^T \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$ is the averaged SE to be optimized during the whole frame.

C. Sensing Model

In the ISAC system, the transmitted signal can serve for target sensing. The variations in the echo carry valuable information about the targets. A dedicated echo processing

$$\mathcal{J}_m(\theta_s^{(n)}) = \frac{2\|\alpha_m\|^2(\text{Tr}(\dot{\mathbf{A}}^H(\theta_s^{(n)})\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{R}_{x,m}^{(n)})\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^H(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{A}(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{R}_{x,m}^{(n)}) - \|\text{Tr}(\dot{\mathbf{A}}^H(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{A}(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{R}_{x,m}^{(n)})\|^2)}{\sigma_s^2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^H(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{A}(\theta_s^{(n)})\mathbf{R}_{x,m}^{(n)})}. \quad (10)$$

module can be incorporated to extract target information at the transmitter, akin to a mono-static MIMO radar system.

We model the target's trajectory as a unidirectional curve with an orientation offset. $[\theta_s^{(n)}, d_s^{(n)}]$ denotes the target's position in polar domain. The target response matrix at the m -th subcarrier $\mathbf{G}_m^{(n)} = \alpha_m^{(n)} \mathbf{a}_r(\theta_s^{(n)}) \mathbf{a}_t^H(\theta_s^{(n)})$, where $\theta_s^{(n)}$ is the azimuth of the target relative to the BS and $\alpha_m^{(n)}$ denotes the complex reflection coefficient incorporating the round-trip path loss, the radar cross-section and the Doppler of the target. Accordingly the received echo becomes

$$\mathbf{y}_{r,m}^{(n,l)} = \mathbf{G}_m^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_m^{(n,l)} + \mathbf{z}_m^{(n,l)}, \quad (9)$$

with $\mathbf{z}_m^{(n,l)} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_s^2 \mathbf{I}_{N_r})$ being the ISAC receiver noise. Note that BS also receives clutter reflected by objects unrelated to the target in the environment. We consider whitening the received noise to ensure that the noise obeys the complex Gaussian distribution.

The sensing accuracy is characterized by Fisher information in Eq. (10), with $\mathbf{R}_{x,m}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=1}^L \mathbf{x}_m^{(n,l)} \mathbf{x}_m^{(n,l),H} \right] = \frac{P}{N_r U M} \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)H} \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)H}$ being the covariance matrix of \mathbf{x}_m , and $\mathbf{A}(\theta_s) = \mathbf{a}_r(\theta_s) \mathbf{a}_t^H(\theta_s)$ with $\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\theta_s) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(\theta_s)}{\partial \theta_s}$. The Fisher information for θ_s at t is the summation of all the Fisher information across subcarriers, as analyzed in [27]. Consequently, the Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB) of the target's azimuth is the expectation of the inverse of Fisher information given \mathbf{y}_r .

$$\text{CRLB}(\theta_s^{(n)}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{J}(\theta_s^{(n)})} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sum_{m=1}^M \mathcal{J}_m(\theta_s^{(n)})} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Accordingly, the averaged CRLB over time, i.e., $\overline{\text{CRLB}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^T \text{CRLB}(\theta_s^{(n)})$, is established as the performance metric for sensing.

III. OPTIMIZATION-BASED ISAC PRECODING WITH INSTANTANEOUS STATE

In this section, we propose an optimization-based algorithm for hybrid precoding to implement ISAC in wideband multi-user system, assuming that full state information for sensing and communications can be obtained at the BS.

A. Related Works

Extensive research has been conducted on precoding optimization for rate maximization [28] or CRLB optimization [29]. However, ISAC precoding presents a greater challenge due to its non-convex constraints. Recent studies, such as [30], have focused on digital precoding optimization for CRLB minimization while ensuring communication Quality of Service (QoS) through the use of the semi-definite relaxation (SDR) method. Furthermore, [31] delved deeper into CRLB optimization under SINR constraints from a subspace perspective, providing additional insights for digital precoding design. Addressing the non-convex constraint introduced by

the hybrid structure, [32] conducted the data rate maximization under CRLB constraints by the block coordinate descent (BCD) algorithm. However, these studies do not take into account wideband time-varying channels, which is challenging to address due to the significant ICI resulting from Doppler.

B. Alternating-based Optimization

The goal of the hybrid precoding in this doubly-dynamic scenario is to maximize ISAC utility throughout the trajectory, considering the power budget and constant-modulus constraint of the analog precoder. The utility is defined as the weighted sum of the averaged spectrum efficiency $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and the averaged Fisher information for angle estimation $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^T \sum_{m=1}^M \mathcal{J}_m(\theta_s^{(n)})$. Both indicators are normalized by the ideal performance boundaries $\overline{\mathcal{R}}^* = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^T \mathcal{R}^{(n)*}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^* = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^T \mathcal{J}^{(n)*}$ respectively, with $\mathcal{R}^{(n)*}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{(n)*}$ being the performance boundaries in the t -th subframe, obtained by individual maximization of SE without sensing constraints, and individual minimization of CRLB without communication requirements, respectively. Consequently, the problem can be formulated as

$$\max_{\{\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^T} \psi \frac{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}{\overline{\mathcal{R}}^*} + (1 - \psi) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{J}}}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}^*} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \|\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}\|_{\text{F}}^2 = 1, \quad (12a)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (12b)$$

given the perfect $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ and perfect \mathbf{G}_m in each subframe. ψ denotes the weighting coefficient between the sensing and communication performances. (12a) and (12b) denote the power constraint and the constant-modulus constraint respectively. The problem (12) is non-convex due to the complex objective function and constant-modulus constraints. Note that the time-averaged objective can be maximized when the utility at each single subframe is maximized. We first transform the sequential optimization on a subframe-by-subframe basis as

$$\max_{\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}, \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}} \psi \frac{\mathcal{R}^{(n)}}{\mathcal{R}^{(n)*}} + (1 - \psi) \frac{\mathcal{J}^{(n)}}{\mathcal{J}^{(n)*}} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \|\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}\|_{\text{F}}^2 = 1, \quad (13a)$$

$$|[\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}]_{i,j}| = 1. \quad (13b)$$

To tackle the coupled variables and non-convex constraints, we adopt an alternating-based optimization procedure. We first optimize the equivalent fully-digital precoding matrices $\mathbf{F}_m^{(n)} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$ for ISAC utility maximization, then optimize $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$ by decomposing $\mathbf{F}_m^{(n)}$ in an alternating manner. Specifically, in each alternating iteration, the phases in $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}$ are updated with fixed $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$, followed by updating $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$ with $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}$ held constant.

Stage I: Optimizing F_m

The problem with respect to F_m can be transformed as

$$\max_{F_m} \psi \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}^*} + (1 - \psi) \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{J}^*} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_m\|_F^2 = 1. \quad (14a)$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_m(\theta_s) \geq \zeta$ and $\gamma_{m,u} \geq \tau$, $\forall m, u$. Denote $W_{m,u} = f_{m,u} f_{m,u}^H$, $W_m = \sum_{u=1}^U W_{m,u}$ and $Q_{m,k,u} = h_{m,k,u}^H h_{m,k,u}$. Then the problem (14) can be transformed into

$$\max_{W_{m,u}} \omega \quad (15)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad W_{m,u} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{rank}(W_{m,u}) = 1, \quad (15a)$$

$$\omega \leq \psi \frac{UM \log(1 + \tau)}{\mathcal{R}^*} + (1 - \psi) \frac{M\zeta}{\mathcal{J}^*}, \quad (15b)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(\dot{A}^H \dot{A} W_m) - \zeta & \text{Tr}(\dot{A}^H A W_m) \\ \text{Tr}(A^H \dot{A} W_m) & \text{Tr}(A^H A W_m) \end{bmatrix} \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad (15c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}(Q_{m,m,u} W_{m,u}) - \tau \sum_{i=1, i \neq u}^U \text{Tr}(Q_{m,m,u} W_{m,i}) \\ & - \tau \sum_{k=1, k \neq m} Q_{m,k,u} W_{k,u} \leq \tau \sigma_c^2, \end{aligned} \quad (15d)$$

(14a).

where (15c) represents for the sensing constraint by applying Schur-complement theorem, (15b) represents for the SINR constraint, and ω serves as the lower bound for the ISAC utility per subframe. Subsequently, we employ the classical SDR technique by eliminating the rank-1 constraints in (15a). This problem is then transformed into a standard semi-definite programming (SDP) and can be solved by the off-the-shelf toolbox like CVX. As a result, the rank-1 constraint is restored as elaborated in [10] and the equivalent fully-digital precoding matrix F_m is obtained.

Stage II: Decomposing F_m

Upon obtaining F_m , the remaining task is to optimize $F_{BB,m}$ and F_{RF} to approximate F_m as

$$\min_{F_{BB,m}, F_{RF}} \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_m - F_{RF} F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 \quad (16)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_{RF} F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 = 1, \quad (16a)$$

$$F_{RF} \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (16b)$$

1) *Optimizing F_{RF}* : With fixed $F_{BB,m}$, the objective is upper-bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_m - F_{RF} F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \|(F_m F_{BB,m}^{-1} - F_{RF}) F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_m F_{BB,m}^{-1} - F_{RF}\|_F^2 \|F_{BB,m}\|_F^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

according to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Then F_{RF} and $F_{BB,m}$ are decoupled. The design problem in terms of F_{RF} can be transformed into

$$\min_{F_{RF}} \sum_{m=1}^M \|F_m F_{BB,m}^{-1} - F_{RF}\|_F^2 \|F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 \quad (18)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad |[F_{RF}]_{i,j}| = 1. \quad (18a)$$

The optimal solution can be readily obtained as

$$F_{RF} = \exp\left\{j \angle \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \|F_{BB,m}\|_F^2 F_m F_{BB,m}^{-1} \right)\right\}. \quad (19)$$

2) *Optimizing $F_{BB,m}$* : With F_{RF} fixed, the digital-part optimization problem equals to

$$\min_{p_{m,u}} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{u=1}^U \|f_{m,u} - p_{m,u} f_{RF,u}\|_2^2 \quad (20)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{u=1}^U p_{m,u}^2 = \frac{1}{N_t}, \quad (20a)$$

where $f_{RF,u} = F_{RF}[:, u]$. By briefly dropping the power constraint, $p_{m,u}$ has the close-form solution through least square (LS) method as

$$\hat{p}_{m,u} = f_{RF,u}^\dagger f_{m,u}, \quad (21)$$

where $f_{RF,u}^\dagger = \frac{1}{N_t} f_{RF,u}^H$ is the pseudo inverse of $f_{RF,u}$. Taking into account power budget, $\hat{p}_{m,u}$ can be further normalized as

$$p_{m,u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{u=1}^U \hat{p}_{m,u}^2}} \hat{p}_{m,u}. \quad (22)$$

3) *Iteration*: The update of F_{RF} and $F_{BB,m}$ is performed alternatively until the maximal number of iterations N_{iter} is reached. Then F_{BB} and F_{RF} are obtained at each subframe, for time-averaged utility maximization. The pseudo code of the proposed optimization algorithm is illustrated in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Optimization-based Wideband ISAC Precoding

Input: \bar{H} , θ_s , P , σ_c , σ_s ;

Output: F_{RF} and $F_{BB,m}$;

Steps:

- 1: Compute the optimal solution $\{W_{m,u}^*\}$ by solving (16) with convex optimization solvers;
 - 2: Compute $\{f_{m,u}\}$ to construct $\{F_m^*\}$;
 - 3: Initialize $F_{BB,m} = I_U$;
 - 4: **for** $n = 1$ to N_{iter} **do**
 - 5: Update F_{RF} as (19);
 - 6: Update $F_{BB,m}$ as (22);
 - 7: **end for**
-

C. Remark on optimization-based schemes

The proposed optimization-based algorithm can serve as a candidate solution for the wideband ISAC precoding. However, it is far away from optimal in practical double dynamics, due to the following reasons:

- **Huge complexity**: The iteration in the solution introduces significant computational complexity, scaling up to $\mathcal{O}(M(N_t^{3.5} \log(1/\epsilon) + 2N_{iter} U^2 N_t))$ given a solution accuracy ϵ and N_{iter} alternating iterations. This results in an unacceptable delay, especially with large antenna arrays in studied scenarios.
- **Heavy reliance on perfect prior**: The doubly-dynamic scenarios impose significant challenges on instantaneous channel estimation and targets' position acquisition, rendering the optimization-based method, which heavily rely on perfect state information, no longer effective.
- **Mediocre performance**: The obtained solutions may incur potentially significant suboptimality for two main




Fig. 2: The frame structure of conventional, predictive, and proposed one for ISAC.

reasons. Firstly, the relaxation of the rank-1 constraint can lead to being stuck in local optimality when optimizing F_m . Secondly, the decomposition of F_m introduces additional loss in overall performance.

Therefore, it is crucial to solve this sequential optimization problem with low complexity and reduced reliance on perfect instantaneous state information.

IV. FRAME STRUCTURE FOR ISAC PROCESSING IN DOUBLE DYNAMICS

In this section, we introduce a dedicated frame structure to facilitate ISAC operations in double dynamics. Instead of relying solely on instantaneous environmental prior information, the dual-functional waveform possesses inherent sensing capabilities. Taking inspiration from this, the update of hybrid precoding is performed in advance based on historical observations from BS itself. The ISAC frame structure is illustrated in Fig. 2, where each frame begins with a frame preamble, followed by T subframes containing L OFDM symbols.

In the frame preamble, initial CSI can be obtained using existing channel estimation techniques. Simultaneously, an approximate prior on the target's angle can be estimated through omni-directional angle scanning. Utilizing this initial state, the optimization-based hybrid precoding procedure outlined in Algorithm 1 is implemented in the frame preamble for data transmission. In the subsequent subframes, multi-user communication occurs alongside mono-static target sensing conducted by the BS. To elaborate further, each subframe consists of two stages: Precoding update and data transmission.

1) *Stage I: Precoding update:* In this stage, historical estimates from the ISAC BS are utilized instead of acquiring real-time environmental state. Using the proactively-obtained estimates, the BS updates the observed state, enabling the hybrid precoders to be updated according to the current strategy.

2) *Stage II: Data transmission:* With F_{RF} and F_{BB} updated at the beginning of each subframe, the data signal is precoded and then transmitted to both users and the target. The echo is processed at BS for target estimation via existing algorithms. We assume that the transmitted signal does not interfere with the reception antennas, as this issue can be mitigated through various full-duplex radio techniques [33]. These target

estimates are then utilized for state update in the following subframes, creating a closed loop of sensing information.

Various frame structures that might be utilized in doubly-dynamic ISAC scenarios are compared, as depicted in Fig. 2. The operation in [34] conducts the precoding design based on the real-time channel estimation and target detection through downlink pilots and uplink feedback in each subframe. This leads to a decrease in SE due to high time overhead and is not suitable for double dynamics. In contrast, the frame structure in [19, 35] aims to predict CSI and the target's position proactively to reduce prior acquisition overhead. However, real-time optimization of precoding remains essential. The proposed ISAC frame structure mitigates the reliance on the real-time prior, greatly reducing the signal overhead. Moreover, precoding is updated without optimization from scratch, lowering the computational complexity.

V. DRL-AIDED ISAC PRECODING IN DOUBLE DYNAMICS

Based on the ISAC frame structure for doubly-dynamic scenarios in Section IV, we propose a DRL-aided approach for ISAC precoding with hybrid precoding structure in this section. We first formulate the problem as a POMDP, where the ISAC BS acts as an agent and explores the environment to gain experiences for refining its precoding strategies.

When the environment state is partially observed at subframe t , BS takes an action according to its strategy. Subsequently, the agent receives a reward and the environment evolves to the next state. This process continues, with the new state being observed at each step, and new actions are taken accordingly. The key components are detailed in this section.

A. Environmental State Space

The environmental state is expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)} \triangleq \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(n)}, \mathcal{U}^{(n)}, \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \right\} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (23)$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$, $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ denote the instantaneous state of channel information, users' positions and the target's position, respectively. $\mathcal{H}^{(n)} = [\mathbf{H}_0^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{N_d-1}^{(n)}]$ contains the time-domain channel in N_d delay taps. $\mathcal{U}^{(n)} = \{(\theta_u^{(n)}, d_u^{(n)})\}_{u=1}^U$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(n)} = \{(\theta_s^{(n)}, d_s^{(n)})\}$ contain the polar coordinates of the users and of the target in the n -th subframe, respectively.

BS-End Observation:

In doubly-dynamic scenarios, BS obtains part of the environmental state, which can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{S}^{(n)}) = \{\mathbf{S}_H^{(n)}, \mathbf{S}_P^{(n)}\}, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_H^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{S}_P^{(n)}$ denote the channel-related and the position-related observations.

1) *Channel-related Observation*: Considering that the instantaneous CSI at each subframe is prohibitive in fast-fading channels, only the initial CSI is estimated at each frame preamble. The initial CSI is pre-processed as

$$\mathbf{S}_H^{(n)} = [|\text{vec}(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_0^{(0)} \mathbf{D}_t)|, |\text{vec}(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_1^{(0)} \mathbf{D}_t)|, \dots, |\text{vec}(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{N_d-1}^{(0)} \mathbf{D}_t)|], \quad (25)$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d^{(0)}$ is the estimate of the d -th tap CSI $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_d^{(0)}(0)$ at the beginning of each frame ($n = 0$), $|\cdot|$ denotes the modulo operation, and $\mathbf{D}_t \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times G_t}$ is the angular dictionary defined in [26] with size G_t , to transform initial CSI into a sparser representation in beamspace and delay domain.

2) *Position-related Observation*: The position-related observation includes the BS-end position estimates of both users and the target, denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} &= \{(\hat{\theta}_u^{(n)}, \hat{d}_u^{(n)})|_{u=1}^U\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} &= \{(\hat{\theta}_s^{(n)}, \hat{d}_s^{(n)})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $(\hat{\theta}_u^{(n)}, \hat{d}_u^{(n)})$ and $(\hat{\theta}_s^{(n)}, \hat{d}_s^{(n)})$ are the estimated coordinates of the users and the target respectively. Denote $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} = \mathcal{U}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} \cup \mathcal{T}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} = \{(\theta_i^{\text{ob}(n)}, d_i^{\text{ob}(n)})|_{i=1}^{U+1}\}$ as the set of the estimated coordinates of the objects through echo processing in the n -th subframe. Note that BS itself lacks the ability to differentiate between $\mathcal{U}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$. In fact, users can periodically upload their locations from GPS to the BS every T_{fb} subframes, as operated in [36]. These feedbacks are denoted by $\{(\theta_u^{\text{fb}(n)}, d_u^{\text{fb}(n)})|_{u=1}^U\}$. In subframes with the real-time uploaded feedback, the BS differentiates U users from $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$ in sequence, based on the nearest neighbor criterion:

$$\{\hat{\theta}_u^{(n)}, \hat{d}_u^{(n)}\} = \underset{\theta_i^{\text{ob}(n)}, d_i^{\text{ob}(n)}}{\text{argmin}} \left[\frac{|\theta_i^{\text{ob}(n)} - \theta_u^{\text{fb}(n)}|^2}{\pi} + \left[\frac{d_i^{\text{ob}(n)} - d_u^{\text{fb}(n)}}{d_{\text{max}}} \right]^2 \right]. \quad (27)$$

Then the remaining element in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$ is the target's position estimate, composing $\mathcal{T}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)}$. In subframes without feedbacks, BS identifies the target and users based on their positions in the last subframe, since they exhibit slight movement across subframes. With the target and users identified in \mathcal{T}_{ob} and \mathcal{U}_{ob} per subframe, we represent the observation using a three-dimensional time-angle-range spectrum, $\mathbf{S}_P^{(n)}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{S}_P^{(n)} = \{\mathbf{P}^{(n-3)}, \mathbf{P}^{(n-2)}, \mathbf{P}^{(n-1)}\}, \quad (28)$$

where $\mathbf{P}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times N_y}$ denotes the estimated angle-range spectrum at n divided into $N_x \times N_y$ grids, with a sensing range $[\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}] \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$. Specifically,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(n_x, n_y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{\theta_{n_x}, \theta_{n_x+1}\} \times \{d_{n_y}, d_{n_y+1}\} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset, \\ 2, & \text{if } \{\theta_{n_x}, \theta_{n_x+1}\} \times \{d_{n_y}, d_{n_y+1}\} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\text{ob}}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

where $\theta_{n_x} = \theta_{\min} + \frac{\theta_{\max} - \theta_{\min}}{N_x} (n_x - 1)$ and $d_{n_y} = d_{\min} + \frac{d_{\max} - d_{\min}}{N_y} (n_y - 1)$. It is worth noting that this representation can adapt to varying numbers of users and targets.




Fig. 3: An illustration of two types of precoding update schemes ($N_t = 8, U = 4, M = 2$).

B. Hybrid Action Space

Given that the environment typically does not undergo drastic changes between adjacent subframes, we suggest updating \mathbf{F}_{RF} and \mathbf{F}_{BB} progressively, instead of optimizing them from scratch in each subframe. To effectively update discrete phase shifters and continuous digital precoding simultaneously, we propose to update \mathbf{F}_{RF} and \mathbf{F}_{BB} in hybrid action spaces, including two operation types, i.e., update across user dimension and across antenna dimension respectively, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

Type I: Update Across User Dimension

Inspired from the progressive update in [1], we propose an incremental updating scheme across the user dimension. In each subframe, one of the columns in \mathbf{F}_{RF} is selected to be updated, followed by updating the corresponding parameters in \mathbf{F}_{BB} . The element-wise updating is operated in each column independently, corresponding to each user.

1) *Action Space Design*: The hybrid action combination can be expressed as $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \{\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},1}^{(n)}, \mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},2}^{(n)}, \mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)}\}$. $\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},1}^{(n)} \in [0, 1]^{U^{\text{max}}}$ represents for the probability distribution of the U columns in $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n-1)}$ to be selected, and $\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},2}^{(n)} \in [0, 1]^{N_t}$ represents for the incremental update of the N_t elements in the selected column. $\mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)} \in [-1, 1]^M$ denotes the increment of $p_{m,u}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}$ ($m \in [1, M]$).

2) *Precoding Update*: BS First chooses one column in $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n-1)}$ according to the probability distribution represented by $\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},1}^{(n)}$. Denote u^* as the index of the selected column in \mathbf{F}_{RF} , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(u^* = u) = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},1}^{(n)}[u]}{\|\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},1}^{(n)}\|_1}. \quad (30)$$

Then element-wise incremental update is performed on the u^* -th column as

$$\angle \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}[i, u^*] = \begin{cases} \angle \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n-1)}[i, u^*] + \Delta\theta, & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},2}^{(n)}[i] \geq 0, \\ \angle \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n-1)}[i, u^*] - \Delta\theta, & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{\text{RF},2}^{(n)}[i] < 0, \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

for $\forall i \in [1, N_t]$, and $\Delta\theta = \frac{2\pi}{2B}$. The digital precoder corresponding to the u^* -th user is updated as

$$p_{m,u^*}^{(n)} = p_{m,u^*}^{(n-1)} + \mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)}[m], \quad m \in [1, M]. \quad (32)$$

Then $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$ is normalized to satisfy the power constraint.

Type II: Update Across Antenna Dimension

Taking a step further, we introduce an additional updating strategy involving the antenna dimension. In each subframe, a single antenna is chosen, and all precoding parameters associated with that antenna are updated. This approach ensures that the size of the action space remains constant regardless of the number of users.

1) *Action Space Design*: In this updating scheme, the action is defined as $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = [\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}, \mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)}]$. $\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)} \in [0, 1]^{N_t}$ denotes the probability distribution of the N_t antennas being selected to be updated in the n -th subframe. $\mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)} \in [-1, 1]^M$ denotes the phases of the differentials at M subcarriers for digital update.

2) *Precoding Update*: Firstly, one of the N_t antennas is selected based on the probability given by $\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}$. Denote i^* as the index of the selected antenna, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(i^* = i) = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}[i]}{\|\mathbf{a}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}\|_1}. \quad (33)$$

Subsequently the hybrid precoding matrices corresponding to the i^* -th antenna, i.e., $\mathbf{F}_m[i^*, :]$ ($m \in [1, M]$) are updated simultaneously based on $\mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)}$. Specifically, the differential for $\mathbf{F}_m[i^*, u]$ is

$$g_{m,u}^{(n)} = |\mathbf{F}_m^{(n-1)}[i^*, u]| e^{j\pi \angle(\mathbf{a}_{\text{BB}}^{(n)}[m])}. \quad (34)$$

Then the element-wise update for $\mathbf{F}_m[i^*, :]$ ($m \in [1, M]$) is performed as

$$\mathbf{F}_m^{(n)}[i^*, u] = \mathbf{F}_m^{(n-1)}[i^*, u] + \eta_r g_{m,u}^{(n)}, \forall u \in [1, U], \quad (35)$$

where η_r represents the updating rate for the differentials. Both the amplitudes and the phases corresponding to the i^* -th antenna in the hybrid precoding are updated as

$$\begin{aligned} \angle \left\{ \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}[i^*, u] \right\} &= \angle \left\{ \mathbf{F}_m^{(n)}[i^*, u] \right\}, \\ |\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}[u, u]| &= |\mathbf{F}_m^{(n)}[i^*, u]|. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Subsequently the power normalization of $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}^{(n)}$ is performed, and the analog phases in $\mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}$ is quantized to the finite-resolution set according to the nearest neighbor criterion, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\angle \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}[i^*, u]) = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathcal{B}} |e^{j\theta} - e^{j\angle \mathbf{F}_{\text{RF}}^{(n)}[i^*, u]}|^2. \quad (37)$$

C. Reward Function

To guide the BS to maximize the long-term ISAC utility in double-dynamic scenarios, we design the immediate reward function as

$$r^{(n)} = \psi \frac{\mathcal{R}^{(n)}}{\mathcal{R}^{*(n)}} + (1 - \psi) \frac{\mathcal{J}(\theta_s^{(n)})}{\mathcal{J}^*(\theta_s^{(n)})}. \quad (38)$$

Following the strategy parameterized by μ , the long-term discounted reward starting from n_0 is

$$R(\mu) = \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\sum_{n=n_0}^T \gamma^{n-n_0} r^{(n)} \right], \quad (39)$$

where γ denotes the discounting factor. The objective of BS in this POMDP is to find

$$\mu^* = \arg \max_{\mu} R(\mu). \quad (40)$$

D. State transition

In the $(n+1)$ -th subframe, the environmental state is evolved into $\mathcal{S}^{(n+1)} = \{\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)}, \mathcal{U}^{(n+1)}, \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}\}$. Denote $\{\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}\}_{u=1}^U$ and $\mathbf{v}_s^{(n)}$ as the velocity of U users and of the target in the n -th subframe respectively. The environmental state varies according to these current velocities, as demonstrated in Fig. 4




Fig. 4: An illustration of the environmental state transition.

1) *Transition of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$* : The positions of users $\mathcal{U}^{(n+1)} = \{(\theta_u^{(n+1)}, d_u^{(n+1)})_{u=1}^U\}$ varies according to \mathbf{v}_u , and the specific evolution process is characterized by kinematic equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\theta_u^{(n+1)} - \theta_u^{(n)}) &= |\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}| \Delta T \sin(\theta_u^{(n)} - \angle(\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}) + \pi) / d_u^{(n+1)}, \\ (d_u^{(n+1)})^2 - (d_u^{(n)})^2 &= (|\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}| \Delta T)^2 \\ &\quad - 2d_u^{(n)} |\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}| \Delta T \cos(\theta_u^{(n)} - \angle(\mathbf{v}_u^{(n)}) + \pi). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The target position is $\mathcal{T}^{(n+1)} = \{(\theta_s^{(n+1)}, d_s^{(n+1)})\}$, evolving similarly according to $\mathbf{v}_s^{(n)}$.

2) *Transition of $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$* : The channel state in the $(n+1)$ -th subframe is $\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} = [\mathbf{H}_0^{(n+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{N_d-1}^{(n+1)}]$. The parameters in \mathcal{H} evolves as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_u^{(n+1)} &\in \mathcal{U}^{(n+1)}, \\ \tau_u^{(n+1)} &= 2d_u^{(n+1)} / c_v, \\ \omega_u^{(n+1)} &= 2\pi f_c |\mathbf{v}_u| T_s \sin \theta_u^{(n+1)} / c_v, \\ \beta_u^{(n+1)} &= \beta_u^{(n)} + \Delta\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\Delta\beta \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_{\Delta\beta}^2)$ denote the variation of the complex gain of the paths. At BS, the channel-related observation $\mathcal{S}_H^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{S}_H^{(n)}$ remains the initial estimate, while the position-related observation $\mathcal{S}_p^{(n+1)} = \{\mathcal{P}^{(n-2)}, \mathcal{P}^{(n-1)}, \mathcal{P}^{(n)}\}$ is updated with the latest estimation, $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$, through echo processing in the n -th subframe.

E. Learning Architecture

In each subframe, updating both \mathbf{F}_{RF} and $\mathbf{F}_{\text{BB},m}$ results in a high-dimensional hybrid action space. Traditional algorithms like Deep Q-Network (DQN) may experience a decline in performance when faced with this challenge [37]. Additionally, the observations display intricate structures, making it difficult to extract features for decision-making. To address these challenges, we utilize an actor-critic framework with a tailored network architecture and specialized training procedures.

Due to the different sizes of $\mathcal{S}_H^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_p^{(n)}$, two input headers are required to process them separately. This will result in an increase in the number of parameters for the actor and critic networks, thereby increasing the difficulty of network training. It's worth noting that both the actor and critic networks require feature extraction of the state, so we share some of the parameters between them and adopt a parameters-shared actor-critic (PSAC) architecture. The overall network architecture comprises five parts: state encoder, action encoder, internal layer, action decoder, and value decoder. In this setup, the state encoder and internal layer are shared by both the actor and critic components, as illustrated in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5: The PSAC network architecture.

1) *State encoder*: The state encoder, parameterized by ϕ_{SE} , pre-processes the observation to extract the features for both the actor and the critic, including two parallel streams of convolutional blocks for processing S_H and S_P respectively. Each convolutional block consists of a convolution layer, a batch normalization (BN) layer and a maximum pooling layer, activated by rectified linear units (ReLU). The output feature is $v_s = \phi_{SE}(S_H, S_P)$.

2) *Action encoder*: The action encoder, parameterized by ϕ_{AE} , pre-processes the actions for the critic. It is constituted of fully-connected layers, each of which is followed by a BN layer to stabilize the training. The output of the action encoder is $v_a = \phi_{AE}(\mathbf{a}_{RF}, \mathbf{a}_{BB})$.

3) *Internal layer*: Parameterized by ϕ_{IN} , the internal layer concatenates v_s and v_a , and then processes them for the decoders through one fully-connected layer and one BN layer. The output is $v = \phi_{IN}(v_s, v_a)$.

4) *Action decoder*: The action decoder, parameterized by ϕ_{AD} , is constituted of two modules to cope with the hybrid action, namely, analog precoding updating network (APU-Net) parameterized by ϕ_{APU} and digital precoding updating network (DPU-Net) parameterized by ϕ_{DPU} . APU-Net processes v from the internal layer and outputs \mathbf{a}_{RF} for analog precoding update, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{RF} = \phi_{APU}(v)$. Then v and \mathbf{a}_{RF} are input into DPU-Net for digital precoding update and activated by hyperbolic tangent function, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{BB} = \phi_{DPU}(v, \mathbf{a}_{RF,1}, \mathbf{a}_{RF,2})$.

5) *Value decoder*: The value decoder, parameterized by ϕ_{VD} , assesses the observation-action pair $(\mathcal{O}^{(n)}, \mathbf{A}^{(n)})$ to output the Q-value $Q = \phi_{VD}(v)$, through fully-connected layers and BN layers, activated by ReLU functions.

In this PSAC, the actor network μ , parameterized by $\phi_A = \phi_{SE} \cup \phi_I \cup \phi_{AD}$, maps from the state space to an action $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ as $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mu(\mathcal{O}^{(n)} | \phi_A)$. The critic network, parameterized by $\phi_C = \phi_{SE} \cup \phi_{AE} \cup \phi_{IN} \cup \phi_{VD}$, maps from the joint observation space and action space into reward, playing a role of action evaluation as $Q(\mathcal{O}^{(n)}, \mathbf{A}^{(n)} | \phi_C)$. The PSAC network acts as actor when the green area is activated, and critic when the pink area is activated, with the brown area being the shared part. The overall parameters are expressed as $\phi = \phi_A \cup \phi_C$. In the offline training stage, the transition tuple $(\mathcal{O}^{(n)}, \mathbf{A}^{(n)}, r^{(n)}, \mathcal{O}^{(n+1)})$ is stored in a memory replay buffer \mathcal{M} for network updating.

Algorithm 2 DRL-aided PSAC-based ISAC Precoding in double dynamics

- 1: Initialize PSAC network with random weights $\phi = \phi_A \cup \phi_C$;
 - 2: Initialize the target network with $\phi' = \phi$;
 - 3: Initialize the experience replay buffer \mathcal{M} with size 0, mini-batch size N_b , discount factor γ and the adaptive variable with $\lambda = 0.5$;
 - 4: Initialize a random process \mathcal{N} for action exploration;
 - 5: **for** episode = 1 to N_{ep} **do**
 - 6: Initialize the observation $\mathbf{o}^{(1)}$;
 - 7: **for** $t = 1$ to T **do**
 - 8: Select action $\mathbf{a}^{(n)} = \mu(\mathbf{o}^{(n)} | \phi_A)$, update F_{RF} and $F_{BB,m}$ based on $\mathbf{a}^{(n)}$;
 - 9: Receive reward $r^{(n)}$ and observe the next state $\mathbf{o}^{(n+1)}$;
 - 10: Store the tuple $(\mathbf{o}^{(n)}, \mathbf{a}^{(n)}, r^{(n)}, \mathbf{o}^{(n+1)})$ into \mathcal{M} and update \mathcal{M} ;
 - 11: **end for**
 - 12: Initialize the total critic loss as $L_c = 0$;
 - 13: **for** $k = 1$ to K **do**
 - 14: Sample a batch of N_b tuples from \mathcal{M} randomly;
 - 15: Compute y_i and δ_i according to (43) and (44);
 - 16: Update the PSAC network parameters through gradient descend according to (48);
 - 17: Update critic loss as $L_c^{(k)} = L_c^{(k-1)} + \frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \delta_i$;
 - 18: **if** $k \bmod p$ **then**
 - 19: Average L_c , update λ as (47) and reset $L_c = 0$;
 - 20: **if** $\lambda > \lambda_{thres}$ **then**
 - 21: Update the target network as $\phi'^{(k)} = \phi^{(k)}$;
 - 22: **end for**
 - 23: **end for**
-

F. Network Update

The use of shared parameters in the PSAC architecture introduces an additional challenge in training stability compared to traditional actor-critic architectures. To address this challenge and enhance training stability, we have drawn inspiration from a previous study [38] and implemented an adaptive loss function specifically designed for the PSAC network. To reduce fluctuations in target values during training and expedite convergence, we employ target networks Q' and

TABLE II: System setup for environment generation

Parameter	value	Parameter	value
N_t	32	U^{\max}	16
N_r	32	G_t	64
M	32	N_d	8
f_c	28 GHz	P_u	8
Δf	30 kHz	σ_β	1
L_{cp}	8	σ_{RCS}	10
L	32	σ_c	-10 dBm
T	100	σ_s	-10 dBm
B	3	d_{\max}	150 m
$g_{rp}(\cdot)$	raised-cosine	v (m/s)	$\mathcal{U}[10, 30]$

TABLE III: Hyper-Parameters in proposed scheme

Parameter	value
Replay buffer size	1024
Batch size N_b	64
Learning rate κ	0.02
discount factor γ	0.6
Optimizer	Adam
Batches per episode K	16
Updating period p	4
Initial λ	0.5
Update weight τ	0.5
Precoding update step η_r	0.1

μ' parameterized by ϕ'_C and ϕ'_A respectively. During each episode, K batches are sampled successively from \mathcal{M} for network update. When the k -th batch ($k = 1, \dots, K$) of N_b transition tuples, $\{(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}_i^{(k)}, r_i^{(k)}, \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^{(k)})\}_{i=1}^{N_b}$, are randomly sampled, the target reward of the i -th sample ($i = 1, \dots, N_b$) is calculated as

$$y_i^{(k)} = r_i^{(k)} + \gamma Q'(\mathbf{o}_{i+1}^{(k)}, \mu'(\mathbf{o}_{i+1}^{(k)})). \quad (43)$$

The critic loss and actor loss are calculated respectively as

$$\delta_{C,i}^{(k)} = f(y_i^{(k)} - Q(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}_i^{(k)})), \quad (44)$$

$$\delta_{A,i}^{(k)} = f(\mu(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)}) - \mu'(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)})), \quad (45)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is the l -1 smoothing function for enabling a more stable training, as it provides steady gradients for large value and hence helps to avoid exploding gradients.


Then we set the unified loss function for PSAC as $Z^{(k)}(\phi) = \frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} Z_i^{(k)}$, where

$$Z_i^{(k)} = \delta_{C,i}^{(k)} + (1 - \lambda^{(k)})\delta_{A,i}^{(k)} - \lambda^{(k)}Q(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)}, \mu(\mathbf{o}_i^{(k)})), \quad (46)$$

including the critic loss, the actor loss along with the expected return based on the strategy. $\lambda^{(k)} \in [0, 1]$ is an adaptive variable to reflect the reliability of the critic, calculated as

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \tau e^{-L_c^{(k)2}} + (1 - \tau)\lambda^{(k-1)}, \quad (47)$$

where $L_c^{(k)} = \mathbb{E}_{k,i}[\delta_{C,i}^{(k)}]$ denotes the expected critic loss calculated in the k -th batch. $\tau \in [0, 1]$ is a hyper-parameter. Note that a larger $L_c^{(k)}$ results in a reduced λ , indicating a less reliable Q-value from the current critic. Within each batch, the PSAC



(a) Reward of different schemes. (b) Reward from different inputs.

Fig. 6: Cumulative reward comparison among different schemes.

networks are updated by minimizing the unified loss function through gradient descent as


$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(k)} &= \phi^{(k-1)} - \kappa \nabla_{\phi} Z^{(k)}(\phi) \\ &= \phi^{(k-1)} - \frac{\kappa}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \nabla_{\phi} Z_i^{(k)}(\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

with learning rate κ . λ is updated every p batches within one episode according to Eq. (47), to re-evaluate the confidence of the critic. Finally, the updating of the target networks as [37] is performed if λ exceeds a certain threshold λ_{thres} . The pseudo code of the whole training in detail is shown in Algorithm 2.


VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, experimental results are presented to evaluate the performance of the proposed ISAC precoding schemes in doubly-dynamic scenarios. The system setup for environment generation and the hyper-parameters setup for proposed DRL-aided scheme in simulations can be found in Table II and Table III, respectively. The methods to be evaluated includes:

- **DRL-PC**: The proposed scheme with both position-related and channel-related observations as the input.
- **DRL-PO**: The proposed scheme with only position-related observation as the input.
- **DRL-CO**: The proposed scheme with only channel-related observation as the input.
- **DRL-UU**: the proposed scheme with User-dimension Updating as the action.
- **DRL-AU**: The proposed scheme with Antenna-dimension Updating as the action.
- **Opt-based**: The optimization-based wideband precoding with perfect state information proposed in Sec. III.
- **MP-based**: Predictive precoding scheme in [19].
- **Greedy-based**: Precoding scheme proposed in [28].



(a) Averaged CRLB of different schemes.



(b) Averaged SE of different schemes.

Fig. 7: ISAC performance comparison among different schemes.




Fig. 8: Averaged CRLB versus ψ .




Fig. 9: Averaged CRLB versus U .




Fig. 10: Averaged CRLB versus velocity.




Fig. 11: Averaged SE versus ψ .




Fig. 12: Averaged SE versus U .




Fig. 13: Averaged SE versus velocity.

The proposed algorithm is developed using PyTorch and implemented on a Windows™-based machine equipped with an NVIDIA™ GeForce RTX4060 GPU for efficient processing.

A. Cumulative Reward Versus Episodes in the Training

Firstly, we verify BS’s achievable cumulative reward within one frame in the training process of the proposed schemes in Fig. 6. We set SNR as 0 dB and $U = 8$. As the number of episodes increases, the cumulative reward of the proposed algorithm gradually rises. After convergence, the cumulative reward of the DRL-PC can surpass the optimization-based method with perfect state information and MP-based benchmarks. This reflects that the proposed DRL-aided method can alleviate the performance loss from the relaxation in optimization-based algorithms and mitigate the reliance on instantaneous state information in double dynamics.

Compared to the training of the classic actor-critic frameworks, the adopted PSAC architecture exhibits much faster convergence speed, illustrating its advantage in accelerating training in complicated state space and action space. Additionally, DRL-AU scheme exhibits a faster convergence compared to DRL-UU scheme, reflecting its higher updating efficiency.

Even when relying solely on historical position estimates in DRL-PO version, the proposed scheme still achieves high reward, keeping a narrow gap between DRL-PC version. However, merely initial CSI as input in DRL-CO is not enough for BS in the training, leading to degraded and unstable cumulative reward.

B. ISAC Performance in Different Schemes

We then verify the ISAC performance of the proposed schemes versus SNR after convergence in Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b). In terms of target tracking, DRL-aided schemes may implicitly predict the current target position based on existing estimates, thereby reducing the averaged CRLB of the target’s

angle estimation. The DRL-aided schemes yield a performance gain of 2 dB compared to Opt-based, considerably improving the sensing accuracy.

With respect to communications, we compare the averaged SE of different schemes. Although BS has no access to the instantaneous CSI at each subframe, it can adjust the precoding matrix through interaction with the environment to gradually keep up with the changes of the channels. The proposed algorithm consistently outperforms the Opt-based method by a performance gain of almost 2.5 dB.

C. ISAC Performance Versus the Weighting Coefficient

We proceed to examine the influence of the weighting coefficient ψ on the ISAC performance after convergence in Fig. 8 and Fig. 11, fixing SNR= 0 dB. A larger ψ indicates a higher priority given to communication performance. As ψ increases, BS tends to prioritize boosting the SE to attain higher rewards during training. This leads to an enhancement in the averaged SE at the cost of a slight deterioration in CRLB. Conversely, when ψ is smaller, BS prioritizes sensing performance, which may result in a slight reduction in the SE but an improvement in CRLB. Therefore, the flexible adjustment of ψ enables the fulfillment of various ISAC performance indicators in real-world scenarios.

D. ISAC Performance Versus the Number of Users

Furthermore, we investigate the impact of the number of users on ISAC performance in Fig. 9 and Fig. 12, while keeping SNR at 0 dB, ψ at 0.5. In terms of sensing performance, an increased U introduces a more intricate trade-off between multi-user communications and target sensing. Consequently, the BS may compromise the accuracy of sensing to maintain the QoS for multi-user communications, resulting in an increased averaged CRLB.




Fig. 14: ISAC performance boundary of different methods.

Regarding communication performance, the average SE initially rises as the number of users increases. However, when the number of users surpasses a certain threshold, the difficulty of IUI elimination amplifies, resulting in a decline in the average SE. The proposed algorithm maintains its superiority over the benchmark algorithms across varying user counts. Thanks to the dedicated design of the hybrid action space for hybrid precoding combined with the PSAC architecture, the proposed DRL-aided algorithm exhibits greater adaptability to changes in the number of users, preventing the occurrence of the crash in the SE observed in non-PSAC architectures (DQN in the experiments) when the number of users becomes excessively large. Furthermore, the DRL-AU scheme demonstrates less sensitivity to an increase in the number of users compared to the DRL-UU scheme. This difference can be attributed to the action space design of the DRL-AU scheme, which remains independent of the number of users.

E. Impact of Environmental Double Dynamics

Additionally, we assess the robustness of the proposed schemes under double dynamics in Fig. 10 and Fig. 13. We conduct simulations of the ISAC performance while maintaining a fixed velocity ranging from 10 m/s to 30 m/s. In the MP-based tracking scheme, the ISAC performance shows a significant decline as the velocity increases. This decrease can be attributed to the increased difficulty of tracking time-varying multi-user channels and targets under high dynamics.

In contrast, the ISAC performance of the DRL-aided scheme demonstrates only a slight decrease at higher speeds, highlighting the potential of DRL-aided methods in effectively adapting to the challenges posed by double dynamics. This resilience to high-speed scenarios showcases the strength of DRL-based approaches in handling dynamic and complex environments.

F. Performance Boundary Comparison

As a step further, we describe the achievable ISAC performance boundary in Fig. 14, by changing the weighting coefficient in the reward function and connecting the achievable averaged SE-CRLB points into a curve. The proposed scheme achieves a significant gain compared to the existing benchmarks in terms of trade-off, approaching the ideal boundary. Compared to that under the completely orthogonal operations where the communication and sensing are separate, the achieved bound is considerably enhanced, reflecting the cooperation gain of ISAC, as analyzed in [39].




Fig. 15: Running time comparisons.

G. Complexity Analysis and Comparison

The computational complexity of different schemes are compared in Table. IV. The required number of floating point operations (FLOPs) is used as the metric for complexity. In the online deployment of the proposed DRL-aided scheme, only the trained actor is activated for updating F_{RF} and F_{BB} via forward pass. Denote L_c as the maximal number of convolutional layers, N_f as the maximal number of input and output feature maps, and N_k as the maximal side length of the filters in convolutional layers. N_w and N_L as the maximal number of neurons in the hidden layers and the total number of hidden layers in fully-connected layers. Then the complexity in the forward pass of the actor network is $\mathcal{O}(L_c(UG_tN_d + N_xN_y)N_k^2N_f^2 + N_L^2N_w)$, which is linear to U and independent with N_t , M . Based on the action, the precoding is updated with the complexity $\mathcal{O}(N_t + M)$ for DRL-UU and $\mathcal{O}(UM)$ for DRL-AU.

We further compared the averaged running times within one frame of different schemes in Fig. 15. The proposed DRL-aided algorithm demonstrates minimal complexity and considerably shorter running times compared to other benchmarks, highlighting its advantage in reducing complexity. Additionally, the DRL-AU scheme boasts a shorter runtime in comparison to the DRL-UU scheme, demonstrating its higher precoding update efficiency.

TABLE IV: Complexity comparison

Method	Complexity
DRL-UU	$\mathcal{O}(L_c(UG_tN_d + N_xN_y)N_k^2N_f^2 + N_L^2N_w + N_t + M)$
DRL-AU	$\mathcal{O}(L_c(UG_tN_d + N_xN_y)N_k^2N_f^2 + N_L^2N_w + UM)$
Opt-based	$\mathcal{O}(M(N_t^{3.5} \log(1/\epsilon) + 2N_{iter}U^2N_t))$
MP-based	$\mathcal{O}(4UN_{iter} + U^2N_t)$
Greedy-based	$\mathcal{O}(M(U^4 + U^2)N_t)$

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper investigated the ISAC hybrid precoding for scenarios with time-varying communication and sensing channels. We proposed an optimization-based method for wideband multi-user ISAC systems with full environmental knowledge and a SoM-enhanced DRL-based precoding approach for cases with imperfect state information. By leveraging multimodalities, including CSI and GPS, our method adapts to dynamic changes effectively. The enhanced training algorithm is able to navigate complex action spaces and adjust to varying

user numbers. The devised hybrid precoding update scheme spans both user and antenna dimensions, leading to improved ISAC performance in doubly-dynamic scenarios while reducing reliance on real-time information and computational burdens. The multifaceted advantages were validated by extensive simulations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Yang, S. Gao, and X. Cheng, "Doubly-dynamic ISAC precoding for vehicular networks: A constrained deep reinforcement learning (CDRL) approach," 2024, *arXiv:2405.14347*.
- [2] X. Cheng, D. Duan, S. Gao, and L. Yang, "Integrated sensing and communications (ISAC) for vehicular communication networks (VCN)," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 23, pp. 23 441–23 451, Dec. 2022.
- [3] D. Ma, N. Shlezinger, T. Huang, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "Joint Radar-Communication strategies for autonomous vehicles: Combining two key automotive technologies," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 85–97, Jul. 2020.
- [4] C. Sturm and W. Wiesbeck, "Waveform design and signal processing aspects for fusion of wireless communications and radar sensing," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 1236–1259, Jul. 2011.
- [5] Q. Zhang *et al.*, "Time-division ISAC enabled connected automated vehicles cooperation algorithm design and performance evaluation," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 7, Jul. 2022.
- [6] T. Huang, N. Shlezinger, X. Xu, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "MAJoRCom: A dual-function radar communication system using index modulation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 3423–3438, May 2020.
- [7] D. Ma, N. Shlezinger, T. Huang, Y. Liu, and Y. C. Eldar, "FRaC: FMCW-based joint radar-communications system via index modulation," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1348–1364, Nov. 2021.
- [8] F. Liu, C. Masouros, A. Li, H. Sun, and L. Hanzo, "MU-MIMO communications with MIMO radar: From co-existence to joint transmission," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2755–2770, 2018.
- [9] F. Liu *et al.*, "Toward dual-functional radar-communication systems: Optimal waveform design," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 16, pp. 4264–4279, 2018.
- [10] X. Liu *et al.*, "Joint transmit beamforming for multiuser MIMO communications and MIMO radar," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 3929–3944, Jun. 2020.
- [11] Z. Gao *et al.*, "Integrated sensing and communication with mmwave massive MIMO: A compressed sampling perspective," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1745–1762, Mar. 2023.
- [12] J. Hao, J. Wang, and C. Pan, "Low complexity ICI mitigation for MIMO-OFDM in time-varying channels," *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 727–735, 2016.
- [13] Y. Fan, S. Gao, X. Cheng, L. Yang, and N. Wang, "Wideband generalized beamspace modulation (wGBM) for mmwave massive MIMO over doubly-selective channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 6869–6880, Jul. 2021.
- [14] W. Guo *et al.*, "High-mobility wideband massive MIMO communications: Doppler compensation, analysis and scaling laws," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 3177–3191, Jun. 2019.
- [15] X. Li, J. A. Zhang, K. Wu, Y. Cui, and X. Jing, "CSI-ratio-based Doppler frequency estimation in integrated sensing and communications," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 22, no. 21, pp. 20 886–20 895, Nov. 2022.
- [16] M. F. Keskin *et al.*, "Integrated sensing and communications with MIMO-OTFS: ISI/ICI exploitation and delay-doppler multiplexing," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, 2024, early access.
- [17] X. Chen, Z. Feng, J. A. Zhang, X. Yuan, and P. Zhang, "Kalman filter-based sensing in communication systems with clock asynchronism," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 403–417, Jan. 2024.
- [18] Z. Ying, Y. Cui, J. Mu, and X. Jing, "Particle filter based predictive beamforming for integrated vehicle sensing and communication," in *IEEE 94th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2021-Fall)*, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [19] W. Yuan *et al.*, "Bayesian predictive beamforming for vehicular networks: A low-overhead joint radar-communication approach," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1442–1456, 2021.
- [20] X. Cheng *et al.*, "Intelligent multi-modal sensing-communication integration: Synesthesia of machines," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 258–301, 1st Quart. 2023.
- [21] H. Zhang, S. Gao, X. Cheng, and L. Yang, "Integrated sensing and communications towards proactive beamforming in mmwave V2I via multi-modal feature fusion (MMFF)," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, 2024, early access.
- [22] Q. Wang, K. Feng, X. Li, and S. Jin, "Precodernet: Hybrid beamforming for millimeter wave systems with deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1677–1681, 2020.
- [23] M. Fozi, A. R. Sharafat, and M. Bennis, "Fast MIMO beamforming via deep reinforcement learning for high mobility mmwave connectivity," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 127–142, Jan. 2022.
- [24] W. Zhai, X. Wang, M. S. Greco, and F. Gini, "Reinforcement learning based integrated sensing and communication for automotive mimo radar," in *2023 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf23)*, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [25] L. Xu, R. Zheng, and S. Sun, "A deep reinforcement learning approach for integrated automotive radar sensing and communication," in *2022 IEEE 12th Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM)*, 2022, pp. 316–320.
- [26] S. Gao, X. Cheng, and L. Yang, "Estimating Doubly-Selective channels for hybrid mmwave massive MIMO systems: A doubly-sparse approach," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 5703–5715, Sept. 2020.
- [27] X. H. Wu, A. A. Kishk, and A. W. Glisson, "Antenna effects on a monostatic MIMO radar for direction estimation, a Cramèr-Rao lower bound analysis," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 2388–2395, Jun. 2011.
- [28] S. Gao, X. Cheng, and L. Yang, "Mutual information maximizing wideband multi-user (wMU) mmwave massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 3067–3078, May 2021.
- [29] J. Li, L. Xu, P. Stoica, K. W. Forsythe, and D. W. Bliss, "Range compression and waveform optimization for MIMO radar: A Cramèr-Rao bound based study," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 218–232, Jan. 2008.
- [30] F. Liu, Y.-F. Liu, A. Li, C. Masouros, and Y. C. Eldar, "Cramèr-Rao bound optimization for joint radar-communication beamforming," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 240–253, Dec. 2022.
- [31] S. Lu *et al.*, "On the performance gain of integrated sensing and communications: A subspace correlation perspective," in *ICC 2023 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2023, pp. 2735–2740.
- [32] J. Gong, W. Cheng, F. Chen, and J. Wang, "Hybrid beamforming for millimeter wave integrated sensing and communications," in *ICC 2023 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2023, pp. 2958–2963.
- [33] C. B. Barneto, S. D. Liyanaarachchi, M. Heino, T. Riihonen, and M. Valkama, "Full duplex radio/radar technology: The enabler for advanced joint communication and sensing," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 82–88, Feb. 2021.
- [34] D. Zhang *et al.*, "Codebook-based training beam sequence design for millimeter-wave tracking systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 5333–5349, Nov. 2019.
- [35] F. Liu, W. Yuan, C. Masouros, and J. Yuan, "Radar-assisted predictive beamforming for vehicular links: Communication served by sensing," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 7704–7719, Nov. 2020.
- [36] M. Koivisto *et al.*, "High-efficiency device positioning and location-aware communications in dense 5G networks," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 188–195, Aug. 2017.
- [37] T. P. Lillicrap *et al.*, "Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning," 2019.
- [38] J. Zheng, M. N. Kurt, and X. Wang, "Stochastic integrated actor-critic for deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 6654–6666, May 2024.
- [39] M. Kobayashi, H. Hamad, G. Kramer, and G. Caire, "Joint state sensing and communication over memoryless multiple access channels," in *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2019, pp. 270–274.