Self-triggered strong-field QED collisions in laser-plasma interaction

Aimé Matheron,¹ Igor Andriyash,¹ Xavier Davoine,^{2,3} Laurent Gremillet,^{2,3} Mattys

Pouyez,⁴ Mickael Grech,⁵ Livia Lancia,⁵ Kim Ta Phuoc,⁶ and Sébastien Corde^{1,7,*}

¹LOA, ENSTA Paris, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique,

Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91762 Palaiseau, France

²CEA, DAM, DIF, 91297 Arpajon, France

³ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, LMCE, 91680 Bruyères-le-Châtel, France

⁴LULI, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, CEA, Ecole Polytechnique,

Institut Polytechnique de Paris, F-75255 Paris, France

⁵LULI, CNRS, CEA, Sorbonne Université, Ecole Polytechnique,

Institut Polytechnique de Paris, F-91120 Palaiseau, France

⁶Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, CEA, CELIA (Centre Lasers Intenses et Applications), UMR 5107, F-33400 Talence, France

⁷SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

Exploring quantum electrodynamics in the most extreme conditions, where electron-positron pairs can emerge in the presence of a strong background field, is now becoming possible in Compton collisions between ultraintense lasers and energetic electrons. In the strong-field regime, the colliding electron emits γ rays that decay into pairs in the strong laser field. While the combination of conventional accelerators and lasers of sufficient power poses significant challenges, laser-plasma accelerators offer a promising alternative for producing the required multi-GeV electron beams. To overcome the complexities of colliding these beams with another ultraintense laser pulse, we propose a novel scheme in which a single laser pulse both accelerates the electrons and collides with them after self-focusing in a dedicated plasma section and reflecting off a plasma mirror. The laser intensity boost in the plasma allows the quantum interaction parameter to be greatly increased. Using full-scale numerical simulations, we demonstrate that a single 100 J laser pulse can achieve a deep quantum regime with electric fields in the electron rest frame as high as $\chi_e \sim 5$ times the Schwinger critical field, resulting in the production of about 40 pC of positrons.

Recent progress in laser technology [1–4] have revived strong interest in experimental probing of strongfield quantum electrodynamics (SFQED) [5–7] in laserelectron interactions [8–19]. In this regime, ultrarelativistic electrons colliding with an intense enough laser experience a boosted electromagnetic (EM) field with $\chi_e \gtrsim 1$, where $\chi_e = E^*/E_{\rm S}$ is the electron quantum parameter that measures how deep the interaction is in the quantum regime. Here, E^{\star} denotes the electric field in the electron rest frame and $E_{\rm S} = m_e^2 c^3 / (q_e \hbar) \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{18} \, {\rm V \, m^{-1}}$ is the Schwinger critical field [20] (m_e and q_e are the electron mass and charge, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, and c the speed of light). When χ_e approaches 1 and the electromagnetic fields at play become very high, the usual perturbative approach of regular QED breaks down because of the no-longer negligible high order interaction terms between the Dirac field and the EM field. The theoretical framework of SFQED, characterized by $\chi_e \gtrsim 1$, relies on a classical description of the laser field in the socalled Furry picture and redefines a new spinor basis in which the in and out vacuum spinor states are replaced by Volkov's dressed states [21, 22], corresponding to particle states in the strong field. Feynman rules for SFQED can be computed from these dressed states, giving rise to new or modified processes, in particular nonlinear inverse Compton scattering (NICS) in a strong field where an electron absorbs n laser photons and emits a highenergy γ photon $(e^- + n\omega_{\text{las}} \rightarrow e^- + \gamma)$, and nonlinear Breit-Wheeler (NLBW) where the γ photon and n laser

photons convert into an electron-positron pair via a pure light-by-light interaction $\gamma + n\omega_{\text{las}} \rightarrow e^- + e^+$ [7, 23].

The theoretical development of SFQED received major contributions a few decades ago from Ritus, Narozhny and others [24–26]. However, experimental measurements of SFQED have been restrained due to the extreme laser intensities required. The experimental difficulty of breaking the quantum vacuum to create pairs lies in the exponential suppression of the NLBW cross section as $\chi_{\gamma} \exp\left(-8/3\chi_{\gamma}\right)$ at $\chi_{\gamma} \ll 1$ [27], that is, at low photon energy and field strength. Here χ_{γ} = $(2\hbar\omega_{\gamma}/m_ec^2)(E/E_{\rm S})$ is the photon quantum parameter, with $\hbar\omega_{\gamma}$ the γ photon energy and E the electric field in the laboratory frame seen by the γ photon. To date, the only experimental measurement of positrons created via multiphoton Breit-Wheeler was performed at SLAC [28, 29] where a 47 GeV electron beam collided with a $5 \times 10^{17} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ laser pulse. The electron quantum parameter reached up to ~ 0.3 with a total of hundred positrons detected throughout the duration of this seminal experiment and a production rate reaching up to 0.2 positron per shot.

With the development of multi-petawatt, ultraintense laser systems, it is now envisioned to probe electron-laser collisions at $\chi_e > 1$ in all-optical experiments based on laser-plasma accelerators (LPAs) [30–33]. The latter use an ultraintense laser pulse to drive a charge-density wave (the so-called plasma wave) that can sustain accelerating electric fields orders magnitude higher than those achievable with conventional (radiofrequency) accelerators. Experimentally, LPAs are now able to deliver electron energies up to 10 GeV [34, 35]. The standard approach for LPA-based SFQED experiments consists of accelerating electrons with a first laser pulse (the LPA driver) and then colliding them with a second intense laser pulse to trigger SFQED processes [15, 36, 37]. However, this scheme is hindered by shot-to-shot fluctuations and very demanding alignment and synchronization requirements between the electron beam and the second laser pulse, which have thus far prevented substantial experimental progress.

Here we present a novel concept that considerably simplifies the realization of LPA-based SFQED experiments with two critical advantages that should allow SFQED to be investigated in a much more systematic way than currently envisaged: first, by making use of a single laser beam only, and second, by ensuring automatic spatial and temporal overlap between the colliding electron and laser beams. This is done by placing a plasma mirror (a solid foil ionized by the laser) in the path of the laser pulse exiting the LPA in order to reflect it back into the trailing electron beam propagating just behind it [38]. However, the ultrarelativistic laser pulse required to induce SFQED processes in the Compton collision is not optimal for the LPA, which usually works best at mildly relativistic intensities. To circumvent this problem, we add a high-density underdense plasma just after the LPA to enhance the laser intensity through relativistic selffocusing of the laser pulse. This intensity booster allows to reach laser intensities nearly two orders of magnitude



FIG. 1. Concept for self-triggered Compton collisions in the SFQED regime. A multi-PW laser pulse first drives a laserplasma accelerator to produce a high-energy electron beam in a low-density underdense plasma (acceleration stage). It is then intensified via self-focusing in a high-density underdense plasma (intensity booster). Finally, it is reflected by an overdense plasma (a foil acting as a plasma mirror) and collides back with the electron beam. This collision takes place in the SFQED regime, leading to massive γ -ray photon and pair generation through NICS and NLBW.

higher than the initial vacuum laser intensity, so that the perfectly aligned Compton collision triggered by the plasma mirror can enter the SFQED regime when using a multi-PW laser.

The proposed scheme, depicted in Fig. 1, therefore comprises three sections: (i) a low-density underdense plasma to generate an electron beam at the 10-GeV scale, (ii) a high-density underdense plasma to boost the intensity, and (iii) an overdense plasma mirror to bring about the Compton interaction with 100 % collision probability. We emphasize that the intensity booster is pivotal to this setup, amplifying the effective χ_e by nearly an order of magnitude and thus enabling the Compton collision to occur under deep SFQED conditions.

To demonstrate its potential, we have developed a complete start-to-end numerical model of this scheme, by combining three particle-in-cell simulation codes to describe the relevant kinetic and SFQED processes for each interaction stage (see Supplemental Material for details on the simulation codes and parameters). The results are summarized in Fig. 2. In detail, we have considered a Gaussian laser pulse with $0.8\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ central wavelength, 100 J energy, and 20 fs full-width-athalf-maximum (FWHM) duration, focused to a $100 \,\mu \text{m}$ (FWHM) spot at the entrance of the low-density underdense plasma (LPA stage). Its peak intensity is $4.1 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$, corresponding to a normalized vector potential $a_0 = 4.4$. A plasma channel is used to guide the laser pulse, with a radial density profile of the form $n_e(r) = n_e^0 \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)$ with $r_c = 182 \,\mu\text{m}$ the characteristic channel radius and n_e^0 the on-axis plasma density. The LPA is simulated in two steps. The first models the injection of the electron beam by means of the FBPIC code [39], using a plasma density downramp, with n_e^0 dropping linearly from $1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $7 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ over a distance of $200 \,\mu\text{m}$. This results in the injection of a 186 pC electron bunch in the simulation. After a propagation distance of 1 cm, the interaction is described over an additional 40 cm with the HiPACE++ code [40]. The quasistatic approximation underlying this code allows the LPA to be treated at a very low computational cost. The on-axis plasma density is kept constant at $n_e^0 = 7 \times 10^{16} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ in this second stage. We use the LASY tool [41] to transfer the beam electron and laser field data from FBPIC to HiPACE++.

Figure 2(a) illustrates the LPA stage with a snapshot of the laser pulse, electron plasma density and injected electron beam from HiPACE++. Figure 2(d) plots the evolution of the electron beam spectrum and of the peak laser field strength a_0 as a function of the propagation distance. In the LPA stage, the electron beam energy increases linearly, reaching a final mean energy of 11 GeV, while the laser field strength does not vary substantially.

The central part of the concept, the intensity booster and SFQED collision, is then modeled with the three-



FIG. 2. Start-to-end, full-scale numerical model of the single-laser SFQED setup. (a) Spatial distributions of the laser pulse (characterized by its normalized electric field envelope), electron plasma density and electron beam in the LPA stage (HiPACE++ output at $c\tau_1 = 250$ mm). (b) Spatial distribution of the laser field E_x before (left) and after (right) self-focusing (CALDER output at $c\tau_2 = 406.2$ mm and $c\tau_3 = 407.32$ mm). (c) Successive snapshots of the Compton collision, showing the laser electric field E_x and electron beam density n_b (first row), the positron density n_{e^+} (second row) and the γ -ray photon density n_{γ} (third row) (CALDER outputs at $c\tau = 407.368$ mm, 407.370 mm, 407.381 mm). (d) Time evolution of the electron beam energy spectrum, laser a_0 and maximum of χ_e during the three interaction stages. (e) Energy spectra of the γ -ray photons and positrons at their times of emission and after the Compton collision, as well as incoming electron spectrum.

dimensional (3D) PIC-QED code CALDER [42, 43] using the electron beam and laser fields from HiPACE++ as an input. Here the laser intensity envelope and the linear frequency chirp induced in the LPA are accounted for in the code transition. A single CALDER simulation (Fig. 2 for $c\tau \ge 406 \,\mathrm{mm}$) is performed to describe both the nonlinear dynamics of the laser and electron beams in the high-density underdense plasma and their collision following the reflection of the laser off the plasma mirror. A moving simulation window is used throughout the underdense plasma. The density profile of the latter first rises linearly from the LPA density $(7 \times 10^{16} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3})$ to a value of $7 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ over $250 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$, and plateaus afterwards over a distance of 1.5 mm. The plasma density is uniform transversely. Due to relativistic self-focusing, the laser shrinks to a spot size of approximately $8\,\mu m$ (FWHM) while its normalized field strength a_0 increases from 5.6 to 41 [see Fig. 2(b) for snapshots of the laser pulse before and after self-focusing, and Fig. 2(d) for the time evolution of a_0]. This corresponds to a 50× intensity increase in the booster, and to a final intensity nearly

two orders of magnitude larger than the initial vacuum laser intensity. The laser pulse duration at the exit of the underdense plasma is 19 fs (FWHM), similar to its initial value. The electron beam remains approximately unperturbed by the high density over the small distance before its collision, mainly because of its initial high energy.

The collision between the laser and electron beams is triggered by applying a perfectly reflective boundary condition for the electromagnetic fields at the desired position (z = 407.45 mm) of the plasma mirror. This method allows us to use the same spatial resolution as through the underdense plasma, thus keeping the computational cost reasonable. The simulation window no longer moves at this stage. The validity of the specular reflection approximation was verified in a separate simulation modeling only the laser-foil interaction with a mesh size resolving the skin depth at solid density (see Fig. 3 and Supplemental Material). The SFQED processes arising during the collision are modeled accurately using the SFQEDtoolkit [44] implemented into CALDER. Figure 2(d) reveals that the maximum of the electron quantum parameter first reaches a value of $\chi_e \simeq 5.5$, in good agreement with the theoretical value of $\chi_e = 5.4$ expected for $\mathcal{E} = 11 \text{ GeV}$ and $a_0 = 41$, and later peaks to a value of 8 due to the presence of a small number of higher-energy electrons at the rear of the bunch [see high-energy tail in electron spectrum of Fig. 2(e)] which have negligible contribution to the photon and pair generation. During the collision, the energy spectrum of the beam electrons drops suddenly from 11 GeV down to a few GeV due to strong γ -ray emission.

The head-on laser-electron collision is illustrated in Fig. 2(c) at three successive times, showing in particular the generation of γ photons and positrons via NICS and NLBW, which copropagate with the incident electron beam. Besides the automatic alignment between the laser and electron beams that guarantees stable collisions, another key advantage of our concept can be seen in Fig. 2(c), namely that the transverse size ($\sim 8 \,\mu \text{m}$) FWHM) of the self-focused laser is substantially larger than that of the electron beam (~ $1.5 \,\mu m$ FWHM). As a result, all beam electrons experience the same strong laser fields and thus the same SFQED conditions. This is particularly important to avoid background contributions such as linear inverse Compton scattering from electrons outside the central laser spot, which can dominate γ -ray generation in other collision geometries [45]. In turn, the emitted γ rays undergo the same strong laser fields, and thus pair creation via NLBW is very efficient: the large amount of positrons produced, 41 pC, i.e. 22 % of the initial 186 pC charge of the electron beam, should be easily detectable in a proof-of-principle experiment. This performance is in excellent agreement with the analytical modeling of pair production in electron-laser collisions described in Ref. [46], which predicts a charge ratio of 0.19 for $a_0 = 40$, $\mathcal{E}_{e^-} = 11 \text{ GeV}$, and a laser pulse duration of 20 fs (FWHM).

The energy spectra of the γ photons and positrons are shown in Fig. 2(e). During the collision, γ photons are emitted with energies as high as that of the beam electrons (~ $11 \,\mathrm{GeV}$), as represented by the dashed dark blue curve. However, upon interacting with the strong laser field, those photons can rapidly decay into e^-e^+ pairs. As the probability of the NLBW process increases with $\chi_{\gamma} \propto \hbar \omega_{\gamma}$, one expects the high-energy part of the final photon spectrum to significantly differ from its shape at the time of photon emission, as is confirmed in Fig. 2(e). Here, the pair kinetic energy at emission, $\mathcal{E}_{\text{pair}}^{k} = \hbar(\omega_{\gamma} + n\omega_{\text{las}}) - 2m_{e}c^{2}$ is essentially equal to that of the decaying photons $(\hbar\omega_{\gamma})$ since the pair rest energy $(2m_ec^2)$ and the extra energy from the laser photons $(n\hbar\omega_{\rm las})$ are negligible compared to the GeV-range photon energies. At their creation times, the positrons are characterized by a broad energy spectrum, peaked around 2.4 GeV and extending up to 8 GeV approximately [see dashed light red curve in Fig. 2(e)]. These energetic positrons also experience the intense laser field

 $z \, [\mu \mathrm{m}]$

 $[m_e c \omega/e]$

 E_x

FIG. 3. Laser reflection on the plasma mirror. On-axis laser electric field for incident (yellow) and reflected (purple) pulses.

and in turn radiate part of their energy into γ photons, as represented by the light blue curve. This extra radiation from pairs adds up to that from the primary beam electrons, preferentially in the low-energy part of the photon spectrum as seen in Fig. 2(e). These γ photons from primary pairs however do not contribute to the generation of a substantial number of secondary pairs. As a result of these radiation losses for pairs, the positron spectrum is shifted to lower energies, with a peak moving from 2.4 GeV (at emission times) to 1.2 GeV (after the collision).

Finally, the conclusions of this 3D PIC-QED CALDER simulation with perfect reflection have been validated in a separate simulation modeling the laser reflection on the plasma mirror and resolving the plasma skin depth at solid density (see details in Supplemental Material). This refined simulation predicts only a small degradation of the laser field sinusoidal profile and a slight (by 7%) reduction in its peak amplitude, as shown in Fig. 3, thus reducing χ_e by the same amount but still triggering an SFQED collision with $\chi_e \sim 5$.

In summary, we demonstrated a novel all-optical concept to achieve SFQED interactions with a single multi-PW laser in a considerably simplified setup. Free from alignment constraints and taking advantage of a laser intensity boost, it can reach a deep quantum regime at $\chi_e \sim 5$ with about 40 pC of e^+ created with clean QED observables as all high-energy electrons and emitted γ photons experience similar SFQED conditions. The relative simplicity of using a single laser and the guaranteed alignment and synchronization with the plasma mirror make this scheme a very promising shortcut towards measurements of SFQED processes at $\chi_e > 1$.

The work was supported by the ANR (g4QED project, Grant No. ANR-23-CE30-0011). We acknowledge the Grand Équipement National de Calcul Intensif (GENCI) and Très Grand Centre de Calcul (TGCC) for granting us access to the supercomputer Joliot-Curie on the Irene Rome and Skylake partitions, under Grants No. 2023-S1405spe00030, No. 2023-A0140510786, No. 2023-A0150510062, No. 2024-A0160510786 to run PIC simulations. * Corresponding authors: aime.matheron@polytechnique.edu sebastien.corde@polytechnique.edu

- C. Danson, D. Hillier, N. Hopps, and D. Neely, Petawatt class lasers worldwide, High Power Laser Sci. Eng. 3, e3 (2015).
- [2] C. N. Danson, C. Haefner, J. Bromage, T. Butcher, J.-C. F. Chanteloup, E. A. Chowdhury, A. Galvanauskas, L. A. Gizzi, J. Hein, D. I. Hillier, N. W. Hopps, Y. Kato, E. A. Khazanov, R. Kodama, G. Korn, R. Li, Y. Li, J. Limpert, J. Ma, C. H. Nam, D. Neely, D. Papadopoulos, R. R. Penman, L. Qian, J. J. Rocca, A. A. Shaykin, C. W. Siders, C. Spindloe, S. Szatmári, R. M. G. M. Trines, J. Zhu, P. Zhu, and J. D. Zuegel, Petawatt and exawatt class lasers worldwide, High Power Laser Science and Engineering 7, 10.1017/hpl.2019.36 (2019).
- [3] J. W. Yoon, Y. G. Kim, I. W. Choi, J. H. Sung, H. W. Lee, S. K. Lee, and C. H. Nam, Realization of laser intensity over 1023 W/cm2, Optica 8, 630 (2021).
- [4] C. Radier, O. Chalus, M. Charbonneau, S. Thambirajah, G. Deschamps, S. David, J. Barbe, E. Etter, G. Matras, S. Ricaud, and et al., 10 PW peak power femtosecond laser pulses at ELI-NP, High Power Laser Sci. Eng. 10, e21 (2022).
- [5] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Pair Production by a Photon and Photon Emission by an Electron in the Field of an Intense Electromagnetic Wave and in a Constant Field, Sov. Phys. JETP 19, 529 (1964).
- [6] T. Erber, High-Energy Electromagnetic Conversion Processes in Intense Magnetic Fields, Rev. Mod. Phys. 38, 626 (1966).
- [7] A. Di Piazza, C. Müller, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, Extremely high-intensity laser interactions with fundamental quantum systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1177 (2012).
- [8] A. R. Bell and J. G. Kirk, Possibility of Prolific Pair Production with High-Power Lasers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 200403 (2008).
- [9] C. P. Ridgers, C. S. Brady, R. Duclous, J. G. Kirk, K. Bennett, T. D. Arber, A. P. L. Robinson, and A. R. Bell, Dense electron-positron plasmas and ultraintense γ rays from laser-irradiated solids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 165006 (2012).
- [10] M. Vranic, J. L. Martins, J. Vieira, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, All-optical radiation reaction at 10²¹ W/cm², Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 134801 (2014).
- [11] M. Lobet, X. Davoine, E. d'Humières, and L. Gremillet, Generation of high-energy electron-positron pairs in the collision of a laser-accelerated electron beam with a multipetawatt laser, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 20, 043401 (2017).
- [12] J. Magnusson, A. Gonoskov, M. Marklund, T. Z. Esirkepov, J. K. Koga, K. Kondo, M. Kando, S. V. Bulanov, G. Korn, and S. S. Bulanov, Laser-Particle Collider for Multi-GeV Photon Production, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 254801 (2019).
- [13] H. Vincenti, Achieving Extreme Light Intensities using Optically Curved Relativistic Plasma Mirrors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 105001 (2019).
- [14] Z. Lécz and A. Andreev, Minimum requirements for electron-positron pair creation in the interaction of ultra-

short laser pulses with thin foils, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **61**, 045005 (2019).

- [15] T. G. Blackburn, Radiation reaction in electron-beam interactions with high-intensity lasers, Rev. Mod. Plasma Phys. 4, 5 (2020).
- [16] A. Mercuri-Baron, M. Grech, F. Niel, A. Grassi, M. Lobet, A. D. Piazza, and C. Riconda, Impact of the laser spatio-temporal shape on Breit–Wheeler pair production, New J. Phys. 23, 085006 (2021).
- [17] K. Qu, S. Meuren, and N. J. Fisch, Signature of Collective Plasma Effects in Beam-Driven QED Cascades, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 095001 (2021).
- [18] A. Di Piazza, T. N. Wistisen, M. Tamburini, and U. I. Uggerhøj, Testing strong field qed close to the fully nonperturbative regime using aligned crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 044801 (2020).
- [19] C. Baumann, E. N. Nerush, A. Pukhov, and I. Y. Kostyukov, Probing non-perturbative qed with electronlaser collisions, Scientific Reports 9, 9407 (2019).
- [20] J. Schwinger, On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951).
- [21] D. M. Wolkow, ber eine klasse von lsungen der diracschen gleichung, Zeitschrift fr Physik 94, 250–260 (1935).
- [22] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, <u>Quantum Electrodynamics (Second Edition)</u> (Butterworth-Heinemann, Berlin, 1982).
- [23] J. G. Kirk, A. R. Bell, and I. Arka, Pair production in counter-propagating laser beams, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 51, 085008 (2009).
- [24] V. I. Ritus, Radiative corrections in quantum electrodynamics with intense field and their analytical properties, Ann. Phys. 69, 555 (1972).
- [25] N. B. Narozhny, Expansion parameter of perturbation theory in intense-field quantum electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 21, 1176 (1980).
- [26] A. Fedotov, Conjecture of perturbative QED breakdown at $\alpha \chi^{2/3} \gtrsim 1$, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **826**, 012027 (2017).
- [27] A. Fedotov, A. Ilderton, F. Karbstein, B. King, D. Seipt, H. Taya, and G. Torgrimsson, Advances in qed with intense background fields, Phys. Rep. 1010, 1–138 (2023).
- [28] D. L. Burke, R. C. Field, G. Horton-Smith, J. E. Spencer, D. Walz, S. C. Berridge, W. M. Bugg, K. Shmakov, A. W. Weidemann, C. Bula, K. T. McDonald, E. J. Prebys, C. Bamber, S. J. Boege, T. Koffas, T. Kotseroglou, A. C. Melissinos, D. D. Meyerhofer, D. A. Reis, and W. Ragg, Positron production in multiphoton light-by-light scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1626 (1997).
- [29] C. Bamber, S. J. Boege, T. Koffas, T. Kotseroglou, A. C. Melissinos, D. D. Meyerhofer, D. A. Reis, W. Ragg, C. Bula, K. T. McDonald, E. J. Prebys, D. L. Burke, R. C. Field, G. Horton-Smith, J. E. Spencer, D. Walz, S. C. Berridge, W. M. Bugg, K. Shmakov, and A. W. Weidemann, Studies of nonlinear qed in collisions of 46.6 gev electrons with intense laser pulses, Phys. Rev. D 60, 092004 (1999).
- [30] T. Tajima and J. M. Dawson, Laser electron accelerator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 267 (1979).
- [31] J. Faure, Y. Glinec, A. Pukhov, S. Kiselev, S. Gordienko, E. Lefebvre, J.-P. Rousseau, F. Burgy, and V. Malka, A laser-plasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams, Nature 431, 541 (2004).
- [32] C. G. R. Geddes, C. Toth, J. van Tilborg, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, D. Bruhwiler, C. Nieter, J. Cary, and

W. P. Leemans, High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding, Nature **431**, 538 (2004).

- [33] S. P. D. Mangles, C. D. Murphy, Z. Najmudin, A. G. R. Thomas, J. L. Collier, A. E. Dangor, E. J. Divall, P. S. Foster, J. G. Gallacher, C. J. Hooker, D. A. Jaroszynski, A. J. Langley, W. B. Mori, P. A. Norreys, F. S. Tsung, R. Viskup, B. R. Walton, and K. Krushelnick, Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laserplasma interactions, Nature 431, 535 (2004).
- [34] A. J. Gonsalves, K. Nakamura, J. Daniels, C. Benedetti, C. Pieronek, T. C. H. de Raadt, S. Steinke, J. H. Bin, S. S. Bulanov, J. van Tilborg, C. G. R. Geddes, C. B. Schroeder, C. Tóth, E. Esarey, K. Swanson, L. Fan-Chiang, G. Bagdasarov, N. Bobrova, V. Gasilov, G. Korn, P. Sasorov, and W. P. Leemans, Petawatt laser guiding and electron beam acceleration to 8 gev in a laserheated capillary discharge waveguide, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 084801 (2019).
- [35] C. Aniculaesei, T. Ha, S. Yoffe, L. Labun, S. Milton, E. McCary, M. M. Spinks, H. J. Quevedo, O. Z. Labun, R. Sain, A. Hannasch, R. Zgadzaj, I. Pagano, J. A. Franco-Altamirano, M. L. Ringuette, E. Gaul, S. V. Luedtke, G. Tiwari, B. Ersfeld, E. Brunetti, H. Ruhl, T. Ditmire, S. Bruce, M. E. Donovan, M. C. Downer, D. A. Jaroszynski, and B. M. Hegelich, The acceleration of a high-charge electron bunch to 10 GeV in a 10-cm nanoparticle-assisted wakefield accelerator, Matter Radiat. Extremes 9, 014001 (2023).
- [36] K. Poder, M. Tamburini, G. Sarri, A. Di Piazza, S. Kuschel, C. D. Baird, K. Behm, S. Bohlen, J. M. Cole, D. J. Corvan, M. Duff, E. Gerstmayr, C. H. Keitel, K. Krushelnick, S. P. D. Mangles, P. McKenna, C. D. Murphy, Z. Najmudin, C. P. Ridgers, G. M. Samarin, D. R. Symes, A. G. R. Thomas, J. Warwick, and M. Zepf, Experimental signatures of the quantum nature of radiation reaction in the field of an ultraintense laser, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031004 (2018).
- [37] J. M. Cole, K. T. Behm, E. Gerstmayr, T. G. Blackburn, J. C. Wood, C. D. Baird, M. J. Duff, C. Harvey, A. Ilderton, A. S. Joglekar, K. Krushelnick, S. Kuschel, M. Marklund, P. McKenna, C. D. Murphy, K. Poder, C. P. Ridgers, G. M. Samarin, G. Sarri, D. R. Symes, A. G. R. Thomas, J. Warwick, M. Zepf, Z. Najmudin, and S. P. D. Mangles, Experimental evidence of radiation reaction in the collision of a high-intensity laser pulse with a laser-wakefield accelerated electron beam,

Phys. Rev. X 8, 011020 (2018).

- [38] K. Ta Phuoc, S. Corde, C. Thaury, V. Malka, A. Tafzi, J. P. Goddet, R. C. Shah, S. Sebban, and A. Rousse, All-optical compton gamma-ray source, Nat. Photon. 6, 308–311 (2012).
- [39] R. Lehe, M. Kirchen, I. A. Andriyash, B. B. Godfrey, and J.-L. Vay, A spectral, quasi-cylindrical and dispersionfree particle-in-cell algorithm, Comp. Phys. Commun. 203, 66–82 (2016).
- [40] S. Diederichs, C. Benedetti, A. Huebl, R. Lehe, A. Myers, A. Sinn, J.-L. Vay, W. Zhang, and M. Thévenet, Hipace++: A portable, 3d quasi-static particle-in-cell code, Comp. Phys. Commun. 278, 108421 (2022).
- [41] M. Thévenet, I. Andriyash, L. Fedeli, A. Ferran Pousa, A. Huebl, S. Jalas, R. Lehe, and R. Shalloo, Lasyorg/lasy: 0.4.0 (2023).
- [42] E. Lefebvre, N. Cochet, S. Fritzler, V. Malka, M.-M. A. onard, J.-F. Chemin, S. Darbon, L. Disdier, J. Faure, A. Fedotoff, O. Landoas, G. Malka, V. M. ot, P. Morel, M. R. L. Gloahec, A. Rouyer, C. Rubbelynck, V. Tikhonchuk, R. Wrobel, P. Audebert, and C. Rousseaux, Electron and photon production from relativistic laser-plasma interactions, Nucl. Fusion 43, 629 (2003).
- [43] M. Lobet, E. d'Humières, M. Grech, C. Ruyer, X. Davoine, and L. Gremillet, Modeling of radiative and quantum electrodynamics effects in PIC simulations of ultra-relativistic laser-plasma interaction, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 688, 012058 (2016).
- [44] S. Montefiori and M. Tamburini, Sfqedtoolkit: A highperformance library for the accurate modeling of strongfield qed processes in pic and monte carlo codes, Comp. Phys. Commun. 292, 108855 (2023).
- [45] P. San Miguel Claveria, D. Storey, G. J. Cao, A. D. Piazza, H. Ekerfelt, S. Gessner, E. Gerstmayr, T. Grismayer, M. Hogan, C. Joshi, C. H. Keitel, A. Knetsch, M. Litos, A. Matheron, K. Marsh, S. Meuren, B. O'Shea, D. A. Reis, M. Tamburini, M. Vranic, J. Wang, V. Zakharova, C. Zhang, and S. Corde, Commissioning and first measurements of the initial x-ray and γ-ray detectors at facet-ii, arXiv:2310.05535.
- [46] M. Pouyez, A. A. Mironov, T. Grismayer, A. Mercuri-Baron, F. Perez, M. Vranic, C. Riconda, and M. Grech, Multiplicity of electron- and photon-seeded electromagnetic showers at multi-petawatt laser facilities, arXiv:2402.04501.