

PERIODICITY OF TILES IN FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

SHILEI FAN AND TAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of periodic tiling (PT) property for finite abelian groups. A group has the PT property if any non-periodic set that tiles the group by translation has a periodic tiling complement. This property extends the scope beyond groups with the Hajós property. We classify all cyclic groups having the PT property. Additionally, we construct groups that possess the PT property but without the Hajós property. As byproduct, we identify new groups for which the implication “Tile \implies Spectral” holds. For elementary p -groups having the PT property, we show that a tile must be a complete set of representatives of the cosets of some subgroup, by analyzing the structure of tiles.

Keywords: periodic, translation tile, spectral set.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Fourier Transform	5
2.2. Equivalent characterization for tiling pairs	6
3. Periodic tiling abelian groups	7
3.1. Construction of tiles from the tiles in subgroups	7
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2	8
3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3	11
4. Extensions of the groups with uniformly periodic tiling property	11
5. Uniformly periodic tiling property.	13
5.1. Cyclic groups	14
5.2. Rank 2 p -groups	15
5.3. Other p -groups with the uniformly periodic tiling property	17
6. Proof of Theorem 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4	22
6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1	22
6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3	23
6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4	23
7. Structure of tiles in the groups with periodic tiling property	24
7.1. Spectrality of tiles	24
7.2. Ascending chain structure of tiles	26
8. Discussion and questions	28
8.1. Relationships between periodic tiling and other properties.	28

S. L. FAN was partially supported by NSFC (grants No. 12331004 and No. 12231013). T. Zhang was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant QTZX24082.

8.2. Questions	29
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact abelian group. Consider a Borel measurable subset Ω in G with $0 < \mathbf{m}(\Omega) < \infty$, where \mathbf{m} denotes the Haar measure on G (sometimes denoted dx). We say that Ω is a **tile** of G by translation if there exists a set $T \subset G$ of translates such that $\sum_{t \in T} 1_\Omega(x - t) = 1$ for almost all $x \in G$, where 1_A denotes the indicator function of a set A . Such a set T is called a **tiling complement** of Ω and (Ω, T) is called a **tiling pair**. A tiling pair (Ω, T) in G means that $G = \Omega + T$ forms a factorization, that is each element $g \in G$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $g = \omega + t, \omega \in \Omega, t \in T$. For a tile Ω in G , denote by \mathcal{T}_Ω the set of all tiling complements of Ω .

In this paper, we introduce the concept of periodic tiling property for finite abelian groups. Let G be a finite abelian group. A nonempty subset A of G is said to be **periodic** if there exists a non-zero element $g \in G$ such that $A + g = A$. We say that an abelian group G has the **periodic tiling property (PT property)** if for each tiling pair (Ω, T) of G , either Ω is periodic or T can be replaced by a periodic one (i.e. there exists a periodic set T' such that (Ω, T') is also a tiling pair).

In 1938, G. Hajós [16] reformulated a well-known conjecture by H. Minkowski. The original conjecture stated that if a Euclidean space of any dimension is tiled by lattice-positioned hypercubes, some pairs must meet face-to-face. In 1941, Hajós [17] proved the following statement which is equivalent to Minkowski's conjecture.

Theorem (Hajós). *Let G be a finite abelian group. If G can be written as a direct product of cyclic sets A_i ; that is, $G = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_n$, where A_i is of the form $A_i = \{0, a, 2a, 3a, \dots, ka\}$, $a \in G, i = 1, \dots, n$, and 0 being the unit element of G , then at least one of A_i is a subgroup of G .*

With this overture, factoring a finite abelian group into not necessary subgroup factors has entered into the scene. We say that an abelian group G has the **Hajós property** if for each tiling pair (Ω, T) of G , either Ω or T is periodic. In 1949, Hajós [49] called for classifying the finite abelian groups having the above property. The program has been completed and the complete list of finite abelian groups possessing the Hajós property was given in Sands [34]:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^n q}, \mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q r}, \mathbb{Z}_{p q r s}, \mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3^2, \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4^2, \mathbb{Z}_p^2,$$

here p, q, r, s are distinct primes and n is any positive integer.

It is clear that the Hajós property is stronger than the PT property, but the converse is not true. For example, each tile in $\mathbb{Z}_p^3 (p \geq 3)$ has a periodic tiling complement (see Proposition 5.7) but \mathbb{Z}_p^3 does not have the Hajós property. We aim to provide a complete list of groups with the PT property, but we have not yet achieved this. Firstly, we consider the following question: *If all proper subgroups of a group have the Hajós property, dose the group have the PT property?*

We show that this is not the case, even for some cyclic groups. In fact, we have classified all the groups having the PT property among cyclic groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Finite cyclic groups with the PT property are exactly the subgroups of the groups*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^n p_2 p_3 \cdots p_k}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^2 p_2^2},$$

where $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$ are distinct primes and $n \geq 1$ is any positive integer.

In the proof of that the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^n p_2 p_3 \cdots p_k}$ has the PT property, we use the fact that all the tiling complements of non-periodic tile in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^n}$ have a common period p_1^{n-1} . This idea could be extended to deal with other groups beyond cyclic groups.

We need the following properties for tiles in a finite abelian group G :

- A tile Ω is **uniformly periodic** if all its tiling complements have a common period, i.e. $T + g = T$ for some $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$ and for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.
- A tile Ω is **dual uniformly periodic** if there exists a periodic tile Ω' such that (Ω', T) is a tiling pair for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

We say that a finite abelian group G possess the **uniformly periodic tiling property (UPT property)** if every tile in G is either uniformly periodic or dual uniformly periodic. It is easy to prove that the UPT property is stronger than the PT property, see Lemma 4.1. We can construct groups with the PT property based on groups with the UPT property.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group with the UPT property. Suppose m is a square-free positive integer such that $\gcd(|G|, m) = 1$. Then*

- (1) *The direct product group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ has the PT property.*
- (2) *The direct product group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ also has the UPT property.*

Remark that the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^2 p_2^2}$ has the PT property but do not have the UPT property. As an application of Theorem 1.2, by showing that the p -groups $\mathbb{Z}_2^5, \mathbb{Z}_p^3, \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_{2^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_{2^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ have the UPT property, we obtain the following groups with the PT property other than the cyclic groups listed in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a subgroup of one of the following groups:*

$$\mathbb{Z}_2^5, \mathbb{Z}_p^3, \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_{2^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_{2^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3,$$

where p is a prime and n is a positive integer. If m is square-free and $\gcd(|G|, m) = 1$, then $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ has the PT property.

The UPT property of G is essential to guarantee that the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ has the PT property, see Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3. Let us present some groups which do not have the PT property.

Theorem 1.4. *Let p, q be two distinct primes and with q odd. Any group which contains one of the following subgroups*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_{q^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}^3$$

as a subgroup do not have the PT property.

We are going to see that there is a closed connection between the PT property and Fuglede's spectral set conjecture on finite abelian groups. The original spectral set conjecture was initially posed by Fuglede [12] states that a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of positive and finite Lebesgue measure tiles \mathbb{R}^n by translations if and only if the space $L^2(\Omega)$ admits an orthogonal basis consisting of exponential functions. This conjecture can be formulated to locally compact abelian groups.

Let G be a locally compact abelian group G and let \widehat{G} be its the dual group. We say that a Borel set Ω with positive and finite Haar measure is a *spectral set* if there exists a set $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ which is a Hilbert basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. Such a set Λ is called a **spectrum** of Ω and (Ω, Λ) is called a **spectral pair**. Fuglede's conjecture on the locally compact abelian G states: *a Borel set $\Omega \subset G$ of positive and finite Haar measure is a spectral set if and only if it is a tile*. There has been extensive work on this conjecture in the context of finite abelian groups [25, 1, 7, 11, 19, 36, 42, 22, 6, 20, 31, 32, 37, 43, 21].

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we obtain some new groups for which the implication "Tile \implies Spectral" holds.

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be a subgroup of one of the following groups:*

$$\mathbb{Z}_2^5, \mathbb{Z}_p^3, \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_{2^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_{2^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3,$$

where p is a prime and n is a positive integer. If m is square-free and $\gcd(|G|, m) = 1$, then tiles are spectral in the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.

It is established in [1, 11] that spectral sets exist that are not tiles in \mathbb{Z}_p^4 with $p \geq 3$ and also in \mathbb{Z}_2^{10} . However, no counterexample has been found among p -groups for the implication "Tile \implies Spectral". It is conjectured in [35] that the implication "Tile \implies Spectral" holds for p -groups. Focusing on elementary p -groups, one possible approach is to analyze the periodic structure of tiles. By investigating their structure, we show that a tile in an elementary p -group with the PT property is a complete set of representatives of the cosets of some subgroup.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose Ω is a tile of the elementary p -group \mathbb{Z}_p^n .*

- (1) *Case $p \geq 3$: If \mathbb{Z}_p^n has the PT property, then (Ω, T) is a tiling pair for some subgroup T .*
- (2) *Case $p = 2$: If \mathbb{Z}_2^n and \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} have PT property, then (Ω, T) is a tiling pair for some subgroup T .*

Corollary 1.7. *Let Ω be a tile in the elementary p -group \mathbb{Z}_p^n .*

- (1) *Case $p \geq 3$: If \mathbb{Z}_p^n has the PT property, then Ω is a spectral set*
- (2) *Case $p = 2$: If \mathbb{Z}_2^n and \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} have the PT property, then Ω is a spectral set.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly review some basic definitions, the Fourier transform, and the equivalent characterization of tiling on finite abelian groups.

Let G be a finite abelian group, and let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers. A character on G is a group homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The dual group of a finite abelian group G , denoted as \widehat{G} , is the character group of G .

For a finite abelian group G , it can be written as $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$. For $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s) \in G$, denote by χ_g the character

$$\chi_g(x_1, \dots, x_s) = e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{x_j g_j}{n_j}}.$$

For $g, h \in G$, it is clear that

$$\chi_{g+h}(x) = \chi_g(x) \cdot \chi_h(x).$$

The dual group \widehat{G} is isomorphic to itself, i.e.

$$\widehat{G} = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{n_2}} \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{n_s}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}.$$

For two finite abelian groups G_1, G_2 , let G be their product $G_1 \times G_2$. It is known that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G_1} \times \widehat{G_2} \cong G_1 \times G_2$, and each character in \widehat{G} can be written as

$$\chi_{(g_1, g_2)}(x_1, x_2) = \chi_{g_1}(x_1) \chi_{g_2}(x_2),$$

where $g_1 \in G_1$ and $g_2 \in G_2$.

2.1. Fourier Transform. The Fourier transform on G is a linear transformation that maps a function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to a function $\widehat{f} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as follows:

$$\widehat{f}(g) = \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \cdot \chi_g(x)$$

where χ_g is the character of G corresponding to g , and $f(x)$ is the value of the function f at the element x in G .

For $A \subset G$, denote by

$$\mathcal{Z}_A := \{x \in \widehat{G} : \widehat{\mathbb{1}_A}(x) = 0\}$$

the set of zeros of the Fourier transform of the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_A$. The set \mathcal{Z}_A determined by the condition that certain sums of roots of unity must be zero, is related to the structure of A .

Lemma 2.1. [9, Lemma 2.3] *Let p be a prime and set $\zeta = \zeta_{p^n}$, a primitive p^n -th root of unity. Let $c = c_{p^n-1} \zeta^{p^n-1} + c_{p^n-2} \zeta^{p^n-2} + \cdots + c_1 \zeta + c_0$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq i \leq p^n - 1$. Then $c = 0$ if and only if $c_i = c_j$ for any i, j with $i \equiv j \pmod{p^{n-1}}$.*

As consequence of Lemma 2.1, the following lemmas provide a sufficient condition for a set in $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times H$ to be periodic.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. If $1 \in \mathcal{Z}_A$, then $A + p^{n-1} = A$.*

Proof. Since $1 \in \mathcal{Z}_A$, then

$$\sum_{x \in A} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{p^n}} = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, if $x \in A$, then $x + jp^{n-1} \in A$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence

$$A + p^{n-1} = A.$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a finite abelian group and let $A \subset G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times H$. If $(1, h) \in \mathcal{Z}_A$ for each $h \in H$, then $A + (p^{n-1}, 0) = A$.*

Proof. Let $A_h = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} : (x, h) \in A\}$. Then we have

$$A = \bigcup_{h \in H} A_h \times \{h\}.$$

Since for each $\alpha \in H$, $(1, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Z}_A$, it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{(x,h) \in A} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{p^n}} \chi_\alpha(h) = \sum_{h \in H} \chi_\alpha(h) \sum_{x \in A_h} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{p^n}} = 0.$$

Let $X_h = \sum_{x \in A_h} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{p^n}}$ and let $\mathbf{X} = (X_h)_{h \in H}$ be the row vector with elements X_h . Let $M_H = (\chi_\alpha(h))_{\alpha, h \in H}$ be the Fourier matrix of H . By Equality (2.1), we obtain the following system of linear equations

$$M_H \cdot \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coefficient matrix M_H is of full rank, therefore $X_h = 0$ for each $h \in H$, which implies that

$$A_h + p^{n-1} = A_h.$$

Therefore $A + (p^{n-1}, 0) = A$. □

2.2. Equivalent characterization for tiling pairs. Assume that (Ω, T) is a tiling pair of G . Recall that this means that

$$G = \Omega + T$$

forms a factorization, which is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{1}_\Omega * \mathbb{1}_T \equiv 1,$$

where $\mathbb{1}_E$ the indicator function of E . For a finite set E , denote by $|E|$ the cardinality of E . By taking Fourier transform, it is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\mathbb{1}_\Omega} \cdot \widehat{\mathbb{1}_T} = |G| \cdot \delta_0.$$

We have the following equivalent conditions for a tiling pair [36], [39, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.4. *Let Ω, T be subsets in a finite subgroup G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) (Ω, T) is a tiling pair.
- (b) (T, Ω) is a tiling pair.
- (c) $(\Omega + g, T + h)$ is a tiling pair.
- (d) $|\Omega| \cdot |T| = |G|$ and $(\Omega - \Omega) \cap (T - T) = \{0\}$.
- (e) $|\Omega| \cdot |T| = |G|$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\Omega \cup \mathcal{Z}_T = G \setminus \{0\}$.

Recall that \mathcal{T}_Ω is the set of tiling complements of Ω . For a subset $A \in G$ and integer k , let $kA = \{k \cdot a : a \in A\}$. The following lemma states that a tiling complement T can be replaced by kT if k and $|T|$ are relatively prime.

Lemma 2.5. [39, Theorem 3.17] *Assume that (Ω, T) is a tiling pair of a finite abelian group G . If $(k, |T|) = 1$, then $kT \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.*

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group and let m be an integer. If $(m, |G|) = 1$, then Ω tiles G by translation if and only if $m\Omega$ tiles G by translation.*

3. PERIODIC TILING ABELIAN GROUPS

It is known that the subgroups of a group with the Hajós property also have the Hajós property. This naturally leads to the following question.

Question 3.1. *If a finite abelian group has the PT property, do all its subgroups has the PT property?*

We prove that this is true under the additional condition that the groups are not 2-group.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group that is not a 2-group. If G has the PT property, then all its subgroups have the PT property.*

For 2-groups, for example, it is unclear how the periodic tiling property of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$ implies that of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. However, we obtain a partial result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and H be a 2-group.*

- (1) *If $H \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ has the PT property, then $H \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$ has the PT property.*
- (2) *If $H \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ has the PT property, then H has the PT property.*

Note that \mathbb{Z}_2^n has the Hajós property for $n \leq 5$. As a consequence of Theorems 3.3 and 3.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group having the PT property. If the rank of G (the smallest cardinality of a generating set) is at most 5, then all its subgroups have the PT property.*

3.1. Construction of tiles from the tiles in subgroups. To prove Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, the first step is to construct non-periodic tiles based on tiles in the subgroups.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $G = H \times S$ be the direct product of two finite abelian groups, H and S . Suppose (Ω, T) is a tiling pair for the group H and identify H with the subset $H \times \{0\} \subset G$. For any subset $K \subset G$ of the form*

$$K = \{(h_s, s) : s \in S, h_s \in H\},$$

the pair $(\Omega + K, T)$ forms a tiling pair of G . Furthermore, if neither Ω nor K is periodic, then $\Omega + K$ is also non-periodic.

Proof. Represent elements in G as the form (h, s) , with $h \in H$ and $s \in S$. Remark that if H is consider as a subgroup of G , the elements in H take the form $(h, 0)$.

Let

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega + K.$$

Note that $H \cap (H + (h_s, s)) = \emptyset$ if $s \neq 0$ and $\Omega + T + (h_s, s) = H + (0, s)$ for $(h_s, s) \in K$. Hence, $(\tilde{\Omega}, T)$ forms a tiling pair of G .

Suppose that both Ω and K are not periodic. We shall prove by contradiction that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is not periodic.

Assume that $\tilde{\Omega} + (h_0, s_0) = \tilde{\Omega}$ for some $(h_0, s_0) \in G \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that

$$\Omega + K + (h_0, s_0) = \Omega + K.$$

If $s_0 = 0$, then $\Omega + (h_0, 0) = \Omega$, implying $(h_0, s_0) = (0, 0)$. If $s_0 \neq 0$, then we have the following relations:

$$(3.1) \quad \forall s \in S, \quad \Omega + (h_s, s) + (h_0, s_0) = \Omega + (h_{s+s_0}, s + s_0).$$

As Ω is non-periodic, Equality (3.1) implies $h_{s+s_0} = h_s + h_0$. Hence,

$$K + (h_0, s_0) = \{(h_{s+s_0}, s + s_0) : s \in S, h_s \in H\} = K,$$

which implies K is periodic, leading to a contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{\Omega}$ is non-periodic. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Consider a finite abelian group S , and let $H = S \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $G = S \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1}$ where $n \geq 1$. Assume (Ω, T) is a tiling pair of H , and identify H with the subset $\{(s, pj) \in G : s \in S, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1\}\}$ of G . For any $h \in H$, let $K \subset G$ be of the form*

$$K = \{(0, i) + h : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}\}.$$

Then $(\Omega + K, T)$ forms a tiling pair of G . Moreover, if Ω is not periodic, then $\Omega + K$ is not periodic.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega + K$. Note that $H \cap (H + (0, i)) = \emptyset$ for any $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ and $\Omega + T + (0, i) + h = H + (0, i)$ for $(0, i) \in K$. Hence, we have $G = \tilde{\Omega} + T$.

Assume that Ω is not periodic in H and $\tilde{\Omega} + (s, i) = \tilde{\Omega}$ for some $(s, i) \in G$. Write $i = i_0 + pi_1$, where $i_0 \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$.

If $i_0 = 0$, then $\Omega + (s, pi_1) = \Omega$. So it follows that $(s, i) = (0, 0)$.

If $i_0 \neq 0$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega + (s, pi_1 + i_0) = \Omega + (0, i_0),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega + (0, p - i_0) + (s, pi_1 + i_0) = \Omega.$$

Equation (3.2) implies $i_1 = 0$ and Equation (3.3) implies $i_1 = -1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{\Omega}$ is non-periodic. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let H be a subgroup of G . Assume that H does not have the PT property. For simplicity, we can focus on the case where $[G : H] = p$, where p is a prime. This is because we can use induction on the index to prove the result for any finite index subgroup. Hence, Theorem 3.2 is a consequence of the following lemmas (Lemma 3.7, Lemma3.8, Lemma3.9).

3.2.1. Induction from H to $H \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let H be finite group which does not have the PT property. Then for any odd prime p , the group $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ does not have the PT property.*

Proof. Let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of H . Assume Ω is not periodic and T can not be replaced by a periodic set. Define a map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H$ by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ h_0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

for some $h_0 \neq 0$ and take

$$K = \{(\phi(j), j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

Hence, K is not periodic. By Lemma 3.5, it follows that $(\Omega + K, T)$ is a tiling pair of G , and $\Omega + K$ is not periodic.

Suppose that G has the PT property. Then, T can be replaced by a periodic set, say \tilde{T} , such that

$$G = \Omega + K + \tilde{T}.$$

Define

$$T' = \{(s, 0) : (s, j) \in \tilde{T} \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

We claim that $H = \Omega + T'$.

For any $(s_1, j_1), (s_2, j_2) \in \tilde{T}$, $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\Omega + K + (s_1, j_1) \cap \Omega + K + (s_2, j_2) = \emptyset.$$

Now, we shall show that $\Omega + (s_1, 0) \cap \Omega + (s_2, 0) = \emptyset$.

If $j_1 = j_2$, it is clear that $\Omega + (s_1, 0) \cap \Omega + (s_2, 0) = \emptyset$.

If $j_1 \neq j_2$, then we have

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \Omega + (\phi(j), j) + (s_1, j_1) \cap \Omega + (\phi(j + j_1 - j_2), j + j_1 - j_2) + (s_2, j_2) = \emptyset,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \Omega + (\phi(j) - \phi(j + j_1 - j_2) + s_1 - s_2) \cap \Omega = \emptyset.$$

Take $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0, j_2 - j_1\}$, then we have

$$\Omega + (s_1, 0) \cap \Omega + (s_2, 0) = \emptyset.$$

Hence, T' and \tilde{T} has the same cardinality and $H = \Omega + T'$.

Recall that \tilde{T} is periodic and suppose that $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T} + (h, t)$ for $(h, t) \neq (0, 0)$. Note that for any two elements $(s_1, j_1), (s_2, j_2) \in \tilde{T}$, $s_1 \neq s_2$. Hence $h \neq 0$ and $T' = T' + (h, 0)$ which contradicts that T can not be replaced by a periodic set. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let H be a finite abelian group that is not a 2-group. Assume H does not have the PT property. Then the group $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not have the PT property.*

Proof. Any element of G can be represented by (h, i) , where $h \in H$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. All elements of H have the form $(h, 0)$.

Let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of H . Assume Ω is not periodic and T can not be replaced by a periodic set. Let h_0 be any element in H with an odd order p . Let

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cup (\Omega + (-h_0, 1)).$$

By Lemma 3.5, it follows that $G = \tilde{\Omega} + T$ is a factorization, and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is not periodic.

Suppose that G has the PT property. Then there exists a periodic subset \tilde{T} such that

$$G = \tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{T}.$$

If $\tilde{T} + (h', 1) = \tilde{T}$ with $\text{ord}(h', 1) = 2$, then there exists $T' \subset H$ such that $\tilde{T} = T' + \{(0, 0), (h', 1)\}$. Since $G = \tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{T}$, we have

$$H = \Omega + T' + \{(0, 0), (h' - h_0, 0)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$H = \Omega + T' + p\{(0, 0), (h' - h_0, 0)\},$$

which implies

$$H = \Omega + T' + \{(0, 0), (h', 0)\}.$$

Hence, $T' + \{(0, 0), (h', 0)\}$ is periodic, which is a contradiction.

Now, let's consider the case where $(h, 1)$ is not a period of \tilde{T} for any h with $\text{ord}(h) = 2$. For any (h_1, i_1) and (h_2, i_2) in \tilde{T} , we have

$$(\tilde{\Omega} + (h_1, i_1)) \cap (\tilde{\Omega} + (h_2, i_2)) = \emptyset.$$

If $i_1 = i_2$, then $(\Omega + (h_1, 0)) \cap (\Omega + (h_2, 0)) = \emptyset$. For $i_1 \neq i_2$, assuming $i_1 = 0$ and $i_2 = 1$, we obtain

$$(\Omega + (h_1, 0)) \cap (\Omega - (h_0, 0) + (h_2, 1) + (0, 1)) = \emptyset,$$

which results in $(\Omega + (h_1, 0)) \cap (\Omega + (h_2 - h_0, 0)) = \emptyset$. Define

$$T' = \{(h, 0) : (h, 0) \in \tilde{T}\} \cup \{(h - h_0, 0) : (h, 1) \in \tilde{T}\}.$$

It follows that $\Omega + T' = H$.

Since \tilde{T} is a periodic set, it follows that if $(h, 0)$ is a period of \tilde{T} , then $(h, 0)$ is also a period of T' , leading to a contradiction. Similarly, if $(h, 1)$ is a period of \tilde{T} , then $(2h, 0)$ becomes a period of T' , again resulting in a contradiction. \square

3.2.2. Induction from $S \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ and $S \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+1}}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let S be a finite abelian group, and let $H = S \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ with $n \geq 1$. If H does not have the PT property, then for any prime p , the group $G = S \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+1}}$ does not have the PT property.*

Proof. Any element in G can be expressed as (s, i) , where $s \in S$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+1}}$. The elements in H take the form (s, pi) . Let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of H . Assume Ω is not periodic and T can not be replaced by a periodic set.

Let

$$K = \{(0, 0), (0, 1 - p), \dots, (0, p - 1 - p)\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega + K.$$

By Lemma 3.6, by taking $h = (0, (p^n - 1)p + 1)$, $\tilde{\Omega}$ is non-periodic and $G = \tilde{\Omega} + T$ is a factorization.

Suppose G has the PT property. Then there exists a periodic subset \tilde{T} such that $G = \tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{T}$ and $(0, 0) \in \tilde{T}$. For any $(s_1, pi_1 + j_1), (s_2, pi_2 + j_2) \in \tilde{T}$, $j_1, j_2 \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$, we have

$$(\Omega + K + (s_1, pi_1 + j_1)) \cap (\Omega + K + (s_2, pi_2 + j_2)) = \emptyset.$$

Now we shall show that

$$(3.4) \quad (\Omega + (s_1, pi_1)) \cap (\Omega + (s_2, pi_2)) = \emptyset.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $j_1 \leq j_2$. We distinguish two cases.

If $j_1 = j_2$, then by the choice of K , Equality (3.4) follows automatically. If $j_1 < j_2$, then

$$(\Omega + (s_1, pi_1 + j_1)) \cap (\Omega + (0, j_1 - j_2) + (s_2, pi_2 + j_2)) = \emptyset,$$

which implies that

$$(\Omega + (s_1, pi_1)) \cap (\Omega + (s_2, pi_2)) = \emptyset.$$

Let

$$T' = \{(s, pi) : (s, pi + j) \in \tilde{T} \text{ for some } 0 \leq j \leq p - 1\}.$$

It follows that $\Omega + T' = H$.

Recall that \tilde{T} is a periodic set. If (s, pi) is a period of \tilde{T} , then it is also a period of T' , leading to a contradiction. If (s, i) is a period of \tilde{T} for some i such that $p \nmid i$, then $(ps, pi) \neq (0, 0)$ is also a period of \tilde{T} , and consequently, (ps, pi) is a period of T' , once again resulting in a contradiction. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3. The first statement in Theorem 3.3 follows from Lemma 3.9. The second statement in Theorem 3.3 follows from Lemma 3.10.

Lemma 3.10. *Let H be a finite abelian group. If H does not have the PT property, then $H \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ does not have the PT property for any $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $S = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $G = H \times S$, where $n \geq 2$. Then, element of G can be represented as (h, s) , where $h \in H$ and $s \in S$.

Let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of H . Assume Ω is not periodic and T cannot be replaced by a periodic set. For $0 \neq h_0 \in H$, let

$$K = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(-h_0, s) : s \in S \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Note that K is not periodic. Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega + K$. Then, by Lemma 3.5, $\tilde{\Omega}$ is not periodic.

Suppose G has the PT property. Then there exists a periodic set \tilde{T} such that $G = \tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{T}$. For any $(h_1, s_1), (h_2, s_2) \in \tilde{T}$, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega} + (h_1, s_1) \cap \tilde{\Omega} + (h_2, s_2) = \emptyset.$$

If $s_1 = s_2$, then $(\Omega + h_1) \cap (\Omega + h_2) = \emptyset$. If $s_1 \neq s_2$, then there exist $s_3, s_4 \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $s_1 + s_3 = s_2 + s_4$. Hence $(\Omega - h_0 + h_1, s_1 + s_3) \cap (\Omega - h_0 + h_2, s_2 + s_4) = \emptyset$. Thus $(\Omega + h_1) \cap (\Omega + h_2) = \emptyset$. Define

$$T' = \{h : (h, s) \in \tilde{T} \text{ for some } s\}.$$

Then $\Omega + T' = H$.

Note that $(0, s)$, $s \neq 0$ cannot be a period of \tilde{T} since $s \in S \setminus \{0\} - S \setminus \{0\}$. If (h, s) is a periodic of \tilde{T} , then $(h, 0)$ is also a periodic of T' , which is a contradiction. \square

4. EXTENSIONS OF THE GROUPS WITH UNIFORMLY PERIODIC TILING PROPERTY

Recall that a group G to possess the UPT property if every tile in G is either uniformly periodic or dual uniformly periodic. It is easy to see that groups exhibiting the UPT property have the PT property.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group. If G has the UPT property, then G has the PT property.*

Proof. Let T be a tile of G . Consequently, there exists a tiling complement Ω . Therefore, T belongs to \mathcal{T}_Ω . If there does not exist a periodic Ω' such that (T, Ω') forms a tiling pair, then all elements in \mathcal{T}_Ω are periodic. Hence, either T is periodic or T has a periodic tiling complement. \square

We can construct more groups with the PT property based on the groups possessing the UPT property. On the other hand, it's essential for the group G to possess the UPT property to guarantee that $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ has the PT property.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group. If there exists a tile Ω and distinct tiling complements $T_0, T_1 \cdots T_{n-1} \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ for some integer $n \geq 2$ such that the T_i 's do not share a common period, each element in $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} T_i$ is not periodic, then for any integer m with $m \geq n$ and $\gcd(m, |G|) = 1$, the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ does not have the PT property.*

Proof. Elements of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ can be represented by (g, i) with $g \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Let's define:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{(a, 0) : a \in \Omega\}, \\ S_i &= \{(t, i) : t \in T_i\} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ S_i &= \{(t, i) : t \in T_{n-1}\} \text{ for } i = n-1, \dots, m-1, \\ S &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} S_i. \end{aligned}$$

It's straightforward to verify that $B + S_i = G \times \{i\}$, hence $B + S = G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$. We claim that S is not periodic and B cannot be replaced by a periodic set.

If S is a periodic set with period (g, i) where $g \neq 0$, then $(g, 0)$ is a period of S due to $\gcd(m, |G|) = 1$. Consequently, all S_i must have the period $(g, 0)$, implying that T_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ share a common period g , contradicting our assumption. If S is a periodic set with period $(0, i)$, then $T_0 = T_i$. By the assumption $T_0 \neq T_i$ if $i \neq 0$. We deduce that $i = 0$. Hence S is not periodic.

Suppose B can be replaced by a periodic set B' . Given that $|B'| = |B| = |\Omega|$, and since $|\Omega|$ divides $|G|$ and $\gcd(m, |G|) = 1$, according to Lemma 2.5, we have $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = mB' + S$, where mB' is also a periodic set. However, if we view mB' as a subset of G , this implies $G = mB' + T_i$, leading to a contradiction. \square

The following Corollary is a direct consequences of Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *If a finite abelian group G does not have the UPT property, then for a sufficiently large integer m with $\gcd(m, |G|) = 1$, the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ does not have the PT property.*

Now, we shall prove Theorem 1.2]. Remark that the second statement is a consequence of Corollary 4.3 and the first statement.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $0 \in \Omega$, $0 \in T$, $|\Omega| = m_1 n_1$, $|T| = m_2 n_2$, $|G| = m_1 m_2$, and $n = n_1 n_2$.

If $m_2 > 1$, according to Lemma 2.5, $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = m_2 \Omega + T$. Write $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2}$ and identify $G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$ with the subset $G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \{0\}$. Let $T_0 = T \cap (G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1})$. Hence,

we have

$$G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} = m_2\Omega + T_0.$$

Let $T' = T_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2}$. Then we have $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = m_2\Omega + T'$. Write

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_2} \Omega_j \times \{j\}$$

with $\Omega_j = \{(g, i) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} : (g, i, j) \in \Omega\}$. Hence,

$$m_2\Omega = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_2} m_2\Omega_j \times \{0\},$$

and

$$\Omega_{j_1} \cap \Omega_{j_2} = \emptyset, \text{ for } j_1 \neq j_2.$$

By Corollary 2.6, we have

$$G \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} = \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{m_2} \Omega_j \right) + T_0.$$

Since $(h, i, j) + T' = (h, i, 0) + T'$, we have $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = \Omega + T'$, where T' is a periodic set.

Now, let's assume $m_2 = 1$, then $|\Omega| = n_1m$, $|T'| = n_2$. By Lemma 2.5, we get

$$G \times \mathbb{Z}_m = \Omega + mT.$$

Each element of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ can be denoted as (g, i) , where $g \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Define

$$\Omega_i = \{g : (g, i) \in \Omega\}$$

and

$$T' = \{g : (g, 0) \in mT\}.$$

Consequently, $\Omega_i + T' = G$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Since G has the UPT property, T' can be replaced by a periodic set, or Ω_i ($i \in [1, m]$) shares a common period, indicating Ω is periodic. This proved the first statement.

The second statement is a consequence of Corollary 4.3 and the first statement. If $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ does not have the UPT property, then there exists a sufficient large integer m' such that $\gcd(m', |G|m) = 1$ and $G \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{m'}$ does not have the PT property, which contradict with the first statement. \square

5. UNIFORMLY PERIODIC TILING PROPERTY.

It is shown in Section 4 that additional groups possessing the PT property can be constructed from those exhibiting the UPT property. This section delves into the characterization of groups with the UPT property, focusing particularly on p-groups.

5.1. Cyclic groups. For a non-periodic tile of a cyclic p -group, its tiling complements must be periodic with a common period.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ and let Ω be a non-trivial tile of G . If Ω is not periodic, then all tiling complements are periodic with period p^{n-1} .*

Proof. Since G is good and A is not periodic, then T is periodic. Hence, T has a period p^{n-1} . \square

On the other hand, we show that the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$ does not have the UPT property.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$. There exists a tile Ω and its tiling complements $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that T_1 and T_2 do not share a common period and $\mathcal{T}_{T_1} \cap \mathcal{T}_{T_2}$ contains no periodic element. Consequently, the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$ do not have the UPT property.*

Proof. Let

$$A = \{ia : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, \quad B = \{ib : i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}\}, \quad \Omega = A + B.$$

It is clear that Ω tiles G by translation with tiling complement

$$T = \{ipa + jqb : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}\}.$$

Let $T_1 = M_1 + N_1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \{ipa : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, \\ N_1 &= \{iqb : i \in \{0, \dots, q-2\}\} \cup \{(q-1)qb + a\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $T_2 = M_2 + N_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= \{ipa : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\} \cup \{(p-1)pa + b\}, \\ N_2 &= \{iqb : i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega + T_1 &= (A + B) + (M_1 + N_1) \\ &= \langle a \rangle + B + N_1 \\ &= \langle a \rangle + B + N_2 \\ &= G. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\Omega + T_2 = G$. Hence, $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

Noting that $T_1 + qb \neq T_1$ and $T_2 + pa \neq T_2$, which implies that T_1 and T_2 do not share a common period. Assume Ω' is a periodic subset such that

$$G = \Omega' + T_1 = \Omega' + T_2.$$

If pa is a period of Ω' , then $pa \in \Omega' - \Omega'$. Note that $pa \in M_1 - M_1 \subseteq T_1 - T_1$, then $pa \in (\Omega' - \Omega') \cap (T_1 - T_1)$, which contradicts to $G = \Omega' + T_2$. Hence, pa can not be a period of Ω' . Similarly, qb can not be a period of Ω' . Therefore, Ω' can not be periodic. \square

5.2. Rank 2 p -groups. For the two typical p -groups, \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} and \mathbb{Z}_p^2 , each exhibits distinct tiling with uniform periodicity. For a non-periodic tile of cyclic p -groups, its tiling complements must be periodic with a common period. On the other hand, each tile in the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is dual uniformly periodic.

Proposition 5.3. *Let Ω be a non-trivial tile of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Then, there exists a periodic Ω' such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair of G .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $(0, 0) \in \Omega$. Let $(r, s) \in \Omega$ be any nonzero element. Let

$$\Omega' = \{i(r, s) : i \in [0, p-1]\}.$$

Note that $G = \Omega + T$ if and only if $G = \Omega + jT$ for any $\gcd(j, p) = 1$, and if and only if

$$(\Omega - \Omega) \cap j(T - T) = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Then

$$(\Omega' - \Omega') \cap j(T - T) = \{(0, 0)\},$$

and so $G = \Omega' + T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. □

Now, we shall prove that the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ has the UPT property for each prime p . We first present a useful lemma.

Lemma 5.4. [42, Lemma 3.2] *Let $\Omega \subset G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $(p^{i_1}, a), (p^{i_2}, 0), \dots, (p^{i_s}, 0) \in \mathcal{Z}_\Omega$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n-1$, then $p^s \mid |\Omega|$.*

Proposition 5.5. *For each prime p , the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ has the UPT property.*

Proof. Assume that Ω is a tile of G . Hence, $|\Omega| \mid |G| = p^{n+1}$. Assume that $|\Omega| = p^t$. Let

$$I_\Omega = \{0 \leq i \leq n-1 : (p^i, 0) \in \mathcal{Z}_\Omega\}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, we have $(p^i, 0) \in \mathcal{Z}_T$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $i \notin I_\Omega$. And by Lemma 5.4, we have $|I_\Omega| = t-1$ or t .

When $|I_\Omega| = t-1$, let A be a subset of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} such that $p^i \in \mathcal{Z}_A$ for $i \in I_\Omega$. Define

$$\Omega' = \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} A \times \{j\} \subset G.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega'} = G \setminus (\{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(p^i, 0) \in G : i \notin I_\Omega\}).$$

Hence, (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair in G for each tiling complement $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

When $|I_\Omega| = t$, we distinguish two cases:

$$\{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cap \mathcal{Z}_\Omega = \emptyset, \text{ or } \{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cap \mathcal{Z}_\Omega \neq \emptyset.$$

If $\{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cap \mathcal{Z}_\Omega = \emptyset$, the $\{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \subset \mathcal{Z}_T$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. By Lemma 2.3, each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ is periodic.

Now we consider the case $\{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \cap \mathcal{Z}_\Omega \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 5.4, we have $(1, 0) \in \mathcal{Z}_\Omega$, which implies $0 \in I_\Omega$.

If $\{(1, j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \subset \mathcal{Z}_\Omega$, then by Lemma 2.3, Ω is periodic. Otherwise, $(1, j_0) \notin \mathcal{Z}_\Omega$ for some $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. Then for any tiling complement $T \subset \mathcal{T}_A$, by Lemma 2.4, $(1, j_0) \in \mathcal{Z}_T$. Let $\beta \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that

$$1 + \beta j_0 = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Let $J = n - 1 - I_\Omega = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t\}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_t = n - 1$. Define

$$\Omega' = \{b(p^{n-1}, \beta) + (\sum_{j \in J \setminus \{j_t\}} a_j p^j, 0) : b, a_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}\}.$$

One can check that $|\Omega| = |\Omega'|$ and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega'} = G \setminus (\{(0, 0), (1, j_0)\} \cup \{(p^i, 0) \in G : i \notin I_\Omega\}).$$

Hence, (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. \square

On the other hand, the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ do not have the UPT property.

Proposition 5.6. *For the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, there exists a tile Ω and its tiling complements $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that T_1, T_2, T_3 do not share a common period and $\cap_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{T}_{T_j}$ contains no periodic element. Consequently, the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ does not have the UPT property.*

Proof. Let

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} \{(i, ip), (i, ip+1), \dots, (i, ip+p-1)\}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \langle (0, p) \rangle + \{(0, 0), (p, 1), \dots, ((p-1)p, p-1)\}, \\ T_1 &= \langle (0, p) \rangle + \langle (p, 0) \rangle, \\ T_2 &= \langle (p, 0) \rangle + \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), \dots, (p-1, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that

$$\Omega + T_j = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

Note that T_0 has period $(0, p)$, T_1 has periods (ip, jp) for $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$, and T_2 has period $(p, 0)$. Hence, T_0, T_1 and T_2 do not have a common period.

Now we show that Ω cannot be replaced by a periodic set Ω' . Assume that Ω' is periodic and such that $\Omega' + T_j = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Hence, $\Omega' = \Omega' + (\alpha, \beta)$ for some $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\text{ord}(\alpha, \beta) = p$, which implies

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in (T_1 - T_1) \cap (\Omega' - \Omega'),$$

it leads to a contradiction. If $\text{ord}(\alpha, \beta) = p^2$, then $(p\alpha, p\beta)$ is a period of Ω' , which is also a contradiction. \square

5.3. Other p -groups with the uniformly periodic tiling property. Now, we show that each tile Ω in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 or \mathbb{Z}_2^4 can be replaced by a subgroup Ω' such that (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. We shall show that the groups $\mathbb{Z}_p^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^5, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3, \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ have the UPT property, that is each tile in these groups is either uniformly periodic or dual uniformly periodic. Remark that we only need consider the tiles which contains 0.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^3$ and let Ω be a non-trivial tile of G with $0 \in \Omega$. Then, there exists a subgroup Ω' such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair of G .*

Proof. Note that $|\Omega| \mid |G|$. We distinguish two cases: $|\Omega| = p$, or $|\Omega| = p^2$.

For $|\Omega| = p$, take any non-zero element $\omega \in \Omega$ and let

$$\Omega' = \{i\omega : i \in [0, p-1]\}.$$

As in the argument of Proposition 5.3, $G = \Omega' + T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

For $|\Omega| = p^2$, it follows that $\mathcal{Z}_\Omega \neq \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin \mathcal{Z}_\Omega \cup \{(0, 0, 0)\}$, and which implies $j(x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin \mathcal{Z}_\Omega \cup \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, p-1\}$. Take

$$\Omega' = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 : x_1\omega_1 + x_2\omega_2 + x_3\omega_3 = 0\},$$

which is a subgroup of order p^2 and such that

$$\widehat{\text{supp}} \mathbb{1}_{\Omega'} = \{j(x_1, x_2, x_3) : j \in [0, p-1]\}.$$

By Statement (e) of Lemma 2.4, it follows that $G = \Omega' + T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let Ω be a tile of $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ with $0 \in \Omega$. Then, there exists a subgroup Ω' such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, (Ω', T) forms a tiling pair of G .*

Proof. It is obvious that $|\Omega| \mid |G|$.

If $|\Omega| = 2$, then Ω is a subgroup.

If $|\Omega| = 4$, for any $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$, let $\Omega' = \{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\}$, then $(\Omega' - \Omega') \subseteq (\Omega - \Omega)$. Hence $(\Omega' - \Omega') \cap (T - T) = \{0\}$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, and so $G = \Omega' + T$. Thus Ω can be replaced by a periodic set Ω' .

For the case $|\Omega| = 8$, by the same argument as in Proposition 5.7, Ω can be replaced by a subgroup Ω' of G such that $G = \Omega' + T$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. \square

In the reminder of this section, we shall show that $\mathbb{Z}_2^5, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ have the UPT property by using their Hajós property.

Proposition 5.9. *The group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^5$ has the UPT property.*

Proof. Assume Ω is a tile of G with $0 \in \Omega$. Then $|\Omega| \mid |G|$.

If $|\Omega| = 2$, then Ω is a periodic set.

If $|\Omega| = 4$, for any $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$, let $\Omega' = \{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\}$, then $(\Omega' - \Omega') \subseteq (\Omega - \Omega)$. Hence $(\Omega' - \Omega') \cap (T - T) = \{0\}$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, and so $G = \Omega' + T$. Thus Ω can be replaced by a periodic set Ω' .

If $|\Omega| = 16$, by the same argument as in Proposition 5.7, Ω can be replaced by a subgroup Ω' of G such that $G = \Omega' + T$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

Assume $|\Omega| = 8$ and Ω is not periodic. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, T is periodic since G has the Hajós property. Note that $|T| = 4$. Then each $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ is a subgroup of G . Hence, there

exists $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^5$ such that $\text{rank}(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5) = 5$, $T = \langle v_4, v_5 \rangle$, and Ω has the following form

$$\Omega = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i + f_1(a_1, a_2, a_3) v_4 + f_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) v_5 : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{00} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i : f_1(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0, f_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0 \right\}, \\ \Omega_{01} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i : f_1(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0, f_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1 \right\}, \\ \Omega_{10} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i : f_1(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1, f_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0 \right\}, \\ \Omega_{11} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i : f_1(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1, f_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Omega_{00} \cup \Omega_{01} \cup \Omega_{10} \cup \Omega_{11} = V = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i : a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$. WLOG, we may assume $|\Omega_{00}| \geq |\Omega_{01}| \geq |\Omega_{10}| \geq |\Omega_{11}|$.

If $|\Omega_{00}| \geq 5$, then $V \subset (\Omega_{00} - \Omega_{00})$, and so Ω can be replaced by the periodic set V .

If $|\Omega_{00}| = 4$ and $\Omega_{00} = \{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\}$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in V$, let $g \in V \setminus \Omega_{00}$, then at least 2 of $g, g + g_1, g + g_2, g + g_1 + g_2$ belong to Ω_{01} . By a direct computation, Ω can be replaced by the periodic set $\Omega_{00} \cup (\Omega_{00} + g + v_5)$.

If $|\Omega_{00}| = 4$ and $\Omega_{00} = \{0, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ with $\text{rank}(g_1, g_2, g_3) = 3$, then at least 2 of $g_1 + g_2, g_1 + g_3, g_2 + g_3, g_1 + g_2 + g_3$ belong to Ω_{01} . WLOG, assume that $\Omega_{01} = \{g_1 + g_2, g_1 + g_3\}$, then Ω can be replaced by the periodic set

$$\{0, g_2, g_3, g_2 + g_3\} \cup (\{0, g_2, g_3, g_2 + g_3\} + g_1 + v_5).$$

If $|\Omega_{00}| = 3$ and $\Omega_{00} = \{0, g_1, g_2\}$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in V$, then $|\Omega_{01}| \geq 3$ or $|\Omega_{01}| = |\Omega_{10}| = 2$. Let $g \in V \setminus \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$, then at least 2 of $g, g + g_1, g + g_2, g + g_1 + g_2$ belong to Ω_{01} or Ω_{10} . Hence Ω can be replaced by periodic set

$$\{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\} \cup (\{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\} + g + v_5)$$

or

$$\{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\} \cup (\{0, g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\} + g + v_4).$$

If $|\Omega_{00}| = 2$, then $|\Omega_{01}| = |\Omega_{10}| = |\Omega_{11}| = 2$. If there exist $i, j, k, l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $g \in V$ such that $\Omega_{ij} = g + \Omega_{kl}$ for $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$. WLOG, we assume that $\Omega_{00} = \{0, g_1\}$, $\Omega_{01} = \{g_2, g_2 + g_1\} = g_2 + \Omega_{00}$. If $\Omega_{10} = \Omega_{11} + g_2$, then Ω has period $g_2 + v_5$. If $\Omega_{10} \neq \Omega_{11} + g_2$, then $\Omega_{10} = \{g_3, g_3 + g_2\}$ and $\Omega_{11} = \{g_3 + g_1, g_3 + g_1 + g_2\}$. Hence Ω can be replaced by periodic set

$$\Omega_{00} \cup \Omega_{00} + v_4 \cup \Omega_{00} + v_5 \cup \Omega_{00} + v_4 + v_5.$$

Now we assume for any $i, j, k, l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $g \in V$, $\Omega_{ij} \neq g + \Omega_{kl}$. WLOG, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{00} &= \{0, g_1\}, \Omega_{01} = \{g_2, g_3\}, \\ \Omega_{10} &= \{g_1 + g_2, g_2 + g_3\}, \Omega_{11} = \{g_1 + g_3, g_1 + g_2 + g_3\},\end{aligned}$$

for some $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in V$ with $\text{rank}(g_1, g_2, g_3) = 3$. Then Ω can be replaced by periodic set

$$\Omega_{00} \cup \Omega_{00} + g_2 + g_3 + v_4 \cup \Omega_{00} + g_2 + v_5 \cup \Omega_{00} + g_3 + v_4 + v_5.$$

□

To handle $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3$, we first present a useful lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $G = A + B$, where $|A| = 4$, A is not periodic. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ and $2a_1 = 2a_2$, then $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 \neq 0$ or $a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 \neq 0$. Moreover, $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + B = B$ and $a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + B = B$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $G = a_1 + A + B = a_2 + A + B$, then

$$\begin{aligned}G &= (2a_1 + B) \cup (a_1 + a_2 + B) \cup (a_1 + a_3 + B) \cup (a_1 + a_4 + B) \\ &= (a_1 + a_2 + B) \cup (2a_2 + B) \cup (a_2 + a_3 + B) \cup (a_2 + a_4 + B).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(a_1 + B) \cap (a_2 + B) = \emptyset$, then $a_1 + a_3 + B = a_2 + a_4 + B$ and $a_1 + a_4 + B = a_2 + a_3 + B$. That is $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + B = B$ and $a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + B = B$.

If $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 = 0$ and $a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 = 0$, then $a_1 - a_2$ is a period of A , which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 5.11. *The group $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ has the UPT property.*

Proof. Assume Ω is a tile of G with $0 = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in \Omega$. Then $|\Omega| \mid |G|$.

If $|\Omega| = 2$ and Ω is not periodic, then $\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (i, j, k, \ell)\}$ with $i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and so $(2, 0, 0)$ is a period of T for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

If $|\Omega| = 4$, Ω is not periodic, by Lemma 5.10, then all elements in \mathcal{T}_Ω have a common period.

If $|\Omega| = 16$ and Ω is not periodic, then for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, $|T| = 2$ and T is periodic. Since \mathbb{Z}_2^4 has the UPT property, then Ω can be replaced by a periodic set.

If $|\Omega| = 8$ and Ω is not periodic, then for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, $|T| = 4$ and T is periodic. If there exists $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that T is a subgroup, then a similar discussion as Proposition 5.9, we have Ω can be replaced by a periodic set. If for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, T is not a subgroup, then T has the form

$$T = \langle (2\delta_1, i_1, j_1, k_1) \rangle + \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1 + 2\delta_2, i_2, j_2, k_2)\}$$

for some $\delta_1, \delta_2, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2$. Assume that Ω cannot be replaced by a periodic set.

Assume that $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ has period h_1 . It is easy to see that $\Omega + \langle h_1 \rangle$ has period $g = (2, 0, 0, 0)$. Hence Ω has the following form

$$\Omega = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_1 + g + \alpha_1 h_1, g_2 + g + \alpha_2 h_1, g_3 + g + \alpha_3 h_1, g_4 + g + \alpha_4 h_1\},$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ or 1, then Ω is periodic, which is a contradiction. If $|\{i : \alpha_i = 0\}| = 1$, then Ω can be replaced by

$$\Omega' = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_1 + g + h_1, g_2 + g + h_1, g_3 + g + h_1, g_4 + g + h_1\}.$$

Similarly for the case $|\{i : \alpha_i = 0\}| = 3$. Hence we have $|\{i : \alpha_i = 0\}| = 2$, and so Ω has the following form

$$\Omega = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_1 + g, g_2 + g, g_3 + g + h_1, g_4 + g + h_1\}.$$

Let $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ with period $h_2 \neq h_1$. Similarly, we have

$$\Omega = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_1 + g, g_2 + g, g_3 + g + h_2, g_4 + g + h_2\}.$$

From above equations, we have $g_3 - g_4 = h_1 - h_2$, so there are at most two different periods for elements of \mathcal{T}_Ω . Then there exists $h_3, h_4, h_5 \in G$ with

$$\text{ord}(h_3) = \text{ord}(h_4) = \text{ord}(h_5) = 2$$

such that $h_1, h_2 \notin \langle h_3, h_4, h_5 \rangle$ and $|\langle h_3, h_4, h_5 \rangle| = 8$. Hence, the tile Ω can be replaced by $\langle h_3, h_4, h_5 \rangle$, which is a contradiction again. \square

To deal with $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ be a set such that for any $s_1, s_2 \in S$, we have $s_1 + s_2 \notin S$. Then there exists $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ such that $g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2 \notin S$.*

Proof. If S has only one nonzero element, then it is easy to see that the result follows. Now we assume S has at least two nonzero elements. Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with $s_1, s_2 \neq 0$. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ such that $\text{rank}(s_1, s_2, h) = 3$.

If $h \in S$ or $s_1 + s_2 + h \in S$, then we can choose $g_1 = s_1 + s_2$ and $g_2 = s_1 + h$.

If $s_1 + h \in S$ or $s_2 + h \in S$, then we can choose $g_1 = s_1 + s_2$ and $g_2 = h$.

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.13. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$.*

(1) *If $T = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, then its tiling complement has the form*

$$\{(i + f(j), g(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

(2) *If $T = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$, then its tiling complement has the form*

$$\{(i + f(j), g(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

(3) *If $T = \langle(4, 0, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, then its tiling complement has the form*

$$\{(i + f(j, k), j, k) : i \in \{0, 2\}, j, k \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Proof. (1) Let Ω be its tiling complement. Then

$$\Omega + \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle = \{(i + f(j, k), j, k) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j, k \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Hence,

$$\Omega = \{(i + f(j), g(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

The proof of statements (2), (3) is similar. \square

Proposition 5.14. *The group $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ has the UPT property.*

Proof. Assume Ω is a tile of G with $0 = (0, 0, 0) \in \Omega$, then $|\Omega| \mid |G|$.

If $|\Omega| = 2$ and Ω is not periodic, then $\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0), (i, j, k)\}$ with $i \neq 4$, and so $(2i, 0, 0)$ is a period of T for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$.

If $|\Omega| = 16$ and Ω is not periodic, then for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, $|T| = 2$ and T is periodic. If there exists $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_i = \langle g_i \rangle$ and $g_1 + g_2 = g_3$, then $|\Omega \cap (g + \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle)| \leq 1$ for

all $g \in G$. Hence $|\Omega| \leq 8$, which is a contradiction. By Lemma 5.12, there exists $g_1 \neq g_2$ with $\text{ord}(g_1) = \text{ord}(g_2) = 2$ such that $\langle g_1 \rangle, \langle g_2 \rangle, \langle g_1 + g_2 \rangle \notin \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, then Ω can be replaced by periodic set

$$\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0), (3, 0, 0)\}.$$

If $|\Omega| = 4$, Ω is not periodic and there exists two elements g_1, g_2 of Ω with $2g_1 = 2g_2$, then all elements in \mathcal{T}_Ω have a common period.

If $|\Omega| = 4$, Ω is not periodic and for any g_1, g_2 of Ω , we have $2g_1 \neq 2g_2$, then Ω has the following form

$$\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (2 + 4i_2, j_2, k_2), (3 + 4i_3, j_3, k_3)\}$$

for some $i_2, j_3, j_2, j_3, k_2, k_3$. It is easy to check that $(1, i, j) \notin \mathcal{Z}_\Omega$ for any i, j , and so $(1, i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}_T$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$. Hence elements in \mathcal{T}_Ω have a common period $(4, 0, 0)$.

If $|\Omega| = 8$ and Ω is not periodic, then for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, $|T| = 4$ and T is periodic. If there exists $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that T is a subgroup of G , then a similar discussion as Proposition 5.9, we have Ω can be replaced by a periodic set. Now we assume that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$, T is not a subgroup.

If there exists $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_1 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \langle(0, 0, 1)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, then by Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(i + f(j), g(j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}, \text{ or} \\ &= \langle(0, 1, 1)\rangle + \{(i, g(i), 0) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is contradict to Ω is not periodic.

If there exists $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_1 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \langle(0, 0, 1)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$, then by Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(i + f_1(j), g_1(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \\ &= \{(i + f_2(j), j, g_2(i, j)) : i \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing above equations, we have $f_1(0) \neq f_1(1)$ and $f_2(0) \neq f_2(1)$. WLOG, we may assume $f_1(0) = 0$ and $f_1(1) = 1$. If $f_2(0) = 0$ and $f_2(1) = 2$, then Ω has period $(4, 0, 0)$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, if $f_2(0) = 2$ and $f_2(1) = 0$, then Ω has period $(4, 0, 0)$, which is a contradiction again.

If there exists $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_1 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$, then by Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(i + f_1(j), g_1(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \\ &= \{(i + f_2(j), g_2(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

If there exists $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_1 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \langle(4, 0, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, then by Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(i + f_1(j), g_1(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \\ &= \{(i + f_2(j, k), j, k) : i \in \{0, 2\}, j, k \in \{0, 1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f_1(0) = f_1(1) = 0$, WLOG, we have

$$\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0), (4, 1, 0), (6, 1, 0)\} \cup \{(a_1, 0, 1), (a_2, 0, 1), (a_3, 1, 1), (a_4, 1, 1)\}$$

with $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ since $(4, 0, 0)$ is not a period of Ω . If $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$, then Ω can be replaced by $\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0), (4, 1, 0), (6, 1, 0)\} + \langle(0, 0, 1)\rangle$. If $a_3 = 0$ or $a_4 = 0$, then Ω can be replaced by $\Omega = \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0), (4, 1, 0), (6, 1, 0)\} + \langle(0, 1, 1)\rangle$. For the case $f_1(0) \neq f_1(1)$, the proof is similar.

If there exists $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\Omega$ such that $T_1 = \langle(0, 1, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \langle(4, 0, 0)\rangle + \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, then by Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(i + f_1(j), g_1(i, j), j) : i \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \\ &= \{(i + f_2(j, k), j, k) : i \in \{0, 2\}, j, k \in \{0, 1\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

For the other cases, the proof is similar. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1, 1.3 AND 1.4

We aim to provide a complete list of groups with the PT property, but we have not yet achieved this. We have identified all cyclic groups with the PT property. Additionally, we have presented a series of non-cyclic groups with the PT property.

6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is proved in Proposition 5.1 the group \mathbb{Z}_p^n has the UPT property. By Theorem 1.2, the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}}$ has the PT property.

Proposition 6.1. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}}$ has the PT property.*

It is known that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2}$ and all its subgroups are good, where p, q are different primes. We will prove that groups containing $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2}$ as a proper subgroup do not have the PT property. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2 r}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3 q^2}$ do not have the PT property, see Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3.

Proposition 6.2. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2 r}$ does not have the PT property, where p, q, r are distinct primes.*

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 5.2. \square

Proposition 6.3. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3 q^2}$ does not have the PT property, where p, q are distinct primes.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^3 q^2}$ with $\text{ord}(a) = p^3$ and $\text{ord}(b) = q^2$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3 q^2} = \langle a, b \rangle$. Let

$$A = \{ipa : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, \quad B = \{ib : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, \quad \Omega = A + B.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{ip^2 a : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, & M_1 &= \{ip^2 a : i \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}\} \cup \{(p-1)p^2 a + b\}, \\ N &= \{iqb : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, & N_1 &= \{iqb : i \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}\} \cup \{(q-1)qb + pa\}, \\ D &= \{ia : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}, & D_1 &= \{ia : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T = (M_1 + N) \cup (M + N_1 + D_1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega + T &= (A + B) + ((M_1 + N) \cup (M + N_1 + D_1)) \\
 &= (A + B + M_1 + N) \cup (A + B + M + N_1 + D_1) \\
 &= (A + M_1 + \langle b \rangle) \cup (\langle pa \rangle + B + N_1 + D_1) \\
 &= (A + M + \langle b \rangle) \cup (\langle pa \rangle + B + N + D_1) \\
 &= \langle pa, b \rangle \cup (\langle pa, b \rangle + D_1) \\
 &= \langle pa, b \rangle + D \\
 &= G.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can check that T and Ω are non-periodic. If Ω could be replaced by a periodic set Ω' with period p^2a , then $p^2a \in \Omega' - \Omega'$. Note that $p^2a \in M - M \subseteq T - T$, so $p^2a \in (T - T) \cap (\Omega' - \Omega')$, which contradicts $G = \Omega' + T$. Similarly, Ω cannot be replaced by a periodic set with period qb . Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3q^2}$ does not have the PT property. \square

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2 and Propositions 5.5, 5.7, 5.9, 5.11 and 5.14.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 3.2, it suffice to show that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_{q^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}^3$ do not have the PT property.

Proposition 6.4. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ does not have the PT property, where p, q are distinct primes and $q \geq 3$.*

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 5.6. \square

Proposition 6.5. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ does not have the PT property, where p is an odd prime.*

Proof. Let

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} \{(ip, ip), (ip, ip + 1), \dots, (ip, ip + p - 1)\}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_0 &= \langle (0, p) \rangle + \{(0, 0), (p^2, 1), \dots, ((p-1)p^2, p-1)\}, \\
 T_1 &= \langle (0, p) \rangle + \langle (p^2, 0) \rangle, \\
 T_j &= \langle (p^2, 0) \rangle + \{(0, 0), (p, 0), (2p, 0), \dots, ((p-1)p, 0)\}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq p-1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T = \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} T_j + (j, 0).$$

One can check that

$$\Omega + T = \mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

Note that T_0 has period $(0, p)$, T_1 has periods (ip^2, jp) for $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$, and T_j has period $(p^2, 0)$ for $2 \leq j \leq p-1$. Hence, T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{p-1} do not have a common period, which implies that T is not periodic.

Now we show that Ω can not be replaced by a periodic set Ω' . Assume that Ω' is periodic and such that $\Omega' + T = \mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Assume that $\Omega' = \Omega' + (\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\text{ord}(\alpha, \beta) = p$, which implies

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in (T_1 - T_1) \cap (\Omega' - \Omega'),$$

it leads to a contradiction. If $\text{ord}(\alpha, \beta) = p^2$, then $(p\alpha, p\beta)$ is a period of Ω' , which is also a contradiction. \square

Proposition 6.6. *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^3$ does not have the PT property, where p is an odd prime.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^3 = \langle a, b, c \rangle$, where $\text{ord}(a) = \text{ord}(b) = \text{ord}(c) = p^2$. Let

$$T = \{ia : i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\} + \{jb : j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\} + \{kc : k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}\},$$

$$\Omega' = \langle pa \rangle + \langle pb \rangle + \langle pc \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^3 = \Omega' + T$. Let

$$\Omega = \Omega' \cup ((\langle pa \rangle + pb + a) \cup (\langle pb \rangle + pc + b) \cup (\langle pc \rangle + pa + c)) \setminus ((\langle pa \rangle + pb) \cup (\langle pb \rangle + pc) \cup (\langle pc \rangle + pa)).$$

Note that $T + \langle pa \rangle + pb + a = T + \langle pa \rangle + pb$, then $\Omega + T = \Omega' + T = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^3$.

For any $i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have $pa + ipc + c \in \Omega$ but $c \notin \Omega$, then $pa + ipc$ is not a period of Ω . Similarly, $pb + ipa$, $pc + ipb$ are not periods of Ω . For any $i, j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have $pa \notin \Omega$, but $2pa + ipb + jpc \in \Omega$, then $pa + ipb + jpc$ is not a period of Ω . Hence, Ω is not a periodic set.

Assume T can be replaced by a periodic set T' . Note that $\langle pa \rangle + pb + a \subseteq \Omega$, then $pb + ipa$ ($i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$) is not a period of T' . Similarly, $pc + ipb$, $pa + ipc$ ($i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$) are not periods of T' . For $i, j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, note that $\langle pa + ipb + jpc \rangle \subseteq \Omega$, then $pa + ipb + jpc$ is not a period of T' . Hence T' is not a periodic set, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^3$ does not have the PT property. \square

7. STRUCTURE OF TILES IN THE GROUPS WITH PERIODIC TILING PROPERTY

The periodicity of tiles is often closely related to the Fuglede spectral problem. In this section, we investigate the spectrality of tiles and characterize them by their ascending chain structure in groups with the PT property. As applications, we identify new groups for which the implication ‘‘Tile \implies Spectral’’ holds. Focusing on elementary p -groups with the PT property, we investigate the ascending chain structure of tiles to show that a tile is a complete set of representatives of the cosets of some subgroup.

7.1. Spectrality of tiles. In harmonic and functional analysis, a fundamental question asks whether a geometric property of sets (tiling) and an analytic property (spectrality) are always two sides of the same coin. This question was initially posed by Fuglede [12] for finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces, stemmed from a question of Segal on the commutativity of certain partial differential operators.

Conjecture 7.1. A Borel set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of positive and finite Lebesgue measure is a spectral set if and only if it is a tile.

The original Fuglede conjecture (Conjecture 7.1) has been disproven in its full generality for dimensions 3 and above for both directions [10, 23, 24, 33, 41]. This means that neither implication (tiling implies spectral and vice versa) holds true in these higher dimensions. However, the connection between tiling and spectral properties remains an active area of research, particularly in lower dimensions. The conjecture is still open for the one-dimensional and two-dimensional cases (\mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2). There might be a deeper relationship to be discovered in these simpler settings (see [5] for a focused look on dimension 1).

Despite the general counterexamples, the conjecture has been successfully proven for convex sets in higher dimensions. Iosevich, Katz, and Tao [18] initiated this progress in 2003 by demonstrating the validity of the conjecture for $d = 2$ (two dimensions). This result was later extended to $d = 3$ (three dimensions) by Greenfeld and Lev [13] in 2017. Finally, Lev and Matolcsi [30] achieved a major breakthrough in 2021 by proving the conjecture for all dimensions (general n) under the additional assumption that the sets are convex.

There has been a growing interest in extending the Fuglede conjecture beyond the realm of Euclidean spaces. Fuglede himself hinted at the possibility of exploring the conjecture in different settings. This has led to a more general version of the conjecture applicable to locally compact abelian groups.

The generalized Fuglede conjecture has been proved for different groups, particularly within the realm of finite abelian groups. These successes include groups like \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} [25], \mathbb{Z}_p^d ($p = 2$ and $d \leq 6$; p is an odd prime and $d = 2$; $p = 3, 5, 7$ and $d = 3$) [1, 7, 11, 19], $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ [19, 36, 42], $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ [22] and $\mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ [6], $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n q^m}$ ($p < q$ and $m \leq 9$ or $n \leq 6$; $p^{m-2} < q^4$) [20, 31, 32], \mathbb{Z}_{pqr} [35], $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 qr}$ [37] and \mathbb{Z}_{pqrs} [21], where p, q, r, s are distinct primes. Fan et al. [8, 9] established the validity of the conjecture for the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers, presenting the first example of an infinite abelian group where Fuglede's conjecture holds.

Building on the notation from [5], we define $S - T(G)$ (respectively, $T - S(G)$) to indicate whether the ‘‘Spectral \Rightarrow Tile’’ (respectively, ‘‘Tile \Rightarrow Spectral’’) direction of Fuglede's conjecture holds in group G . In this context, the following relationships are pointed out in [4, 5]:

$$T - S(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow T - S(\mathbb{Z}) \Leftrightarrow T - S(\mathbb{Z}_N), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$S - T(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow S - T(\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow S - T(\mathbb{Z}_N), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These relations highlight the critical role of finite cyclic groups, denoted by \mathbb{Z}_N here, in understanding Fuglede's conjecture for the real numbers, \mathbb{R} .

As we have seen, Fuglede's conjecture holds in the following finite cyclic groups: \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n q^m}$ ($p < q$ and $m \leq 9$ or $n \leq 6$; $p^{m-2} < q^4$), \mathbb{Z}_{pqr} , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 qr}$ and \mathbb{Z}_{pqrs} , where p, q, r, s are distinct primes. Focusing on the ‘‘Tile \Rightarrow Spectral’’ direction, Laba [25] established $T - S(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n q^m})$ for distinct primes p and q . Later, Laba and Meyerowitz proved $T - S(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for square-free integers n (see comments in Tao's blog [40] or [35]). Recently, Malikiosis [31] extended this result to $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}}$ with distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k . Finally, new tools developed by Laba and Londner in [26, 27, 28] allowed for proving $T - S(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q^2 r^2})$, where p, q , and r are distinct primes.

In this section, we prove that ‘ $T - S$ ’ holds in a group if the group and all its subgroups have the PT property.

Proposition 7.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Assume that G and all its subgroups have the PT property. If Ω tiles G by translation, then Ω is a spectral set.*

Proof. The proof follows an inductive approach. Assuming the statement holds for all subgroups of G , let (Ω, T) be a tiling pair of group G . We distinguish between two cases: (1) Ω is periodic, and (2) Ω is not periodic.

(1) The tile Ω is periodic. Hence, $\Omega = \Omega + g$, for some $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that the order $\text{ord}(g) = p$ is a prime number. Write $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ with $g = (0, p^{n-1})$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then all elements of G can be represented by (h, t) , where $h \in H$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, and all characters of G can be represented by $\chi\psi$, where $\chi \in \widehat{H}$ and $\psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}}$.

Write $\Omega = \Omega' + \{0\} \times p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, for some $\Omega' \subset H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \cong H \times \{0, 1, \dots, p^{n-1} - 1\}$. Hence,

$$H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} = \Omega' + T',$$

where $T' = \{(h, t') : (h, t) \in T, t \equiv t' \pmod{p^{n-1}}\}$. Since G and all its subgroups have PT property, then there exists $\Gamma \subset H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}$ such that (Ω', Γ) forms a spectral pair. Define

$$\Lambda = \{(h, pt + s) : (h, t) \in \Gamma, s \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

Then $|\Lambda| = p|\Gamma|$. For two distinct $(h, pt + s), (h', pt' + s') \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\chi_{h-h'}\psi_{pt-pt'+s-s'}(\Omega' + \{0\} \times p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) = \chi_{h-h'}\psi_{pt-pt'+s-s'}(\Omega')\psi_{pt-pt'+s-s'}(p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) = 0.$$

which implies (Ω, Λ) forms a spectral pair of G .

(2) The tile Ω is not periodic. Since G has the PT property, the tiling complement T can be chosen to be periodic. Similarly, we can write $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ for some prime p and some positive integer n and $T = T' + \{0\} \times \{0, p^{n-1}, \dots, (p-1)p^{n-1}\}$ for some $T' \subset H \times \{0, 1, \dots, p^{n-1} - 1\}$.

All characters of G can be represented by $\chi\psi$, where $\chi \in \widehat{H}$ and $\psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}}$. Let

$$\Omega' = \{(h, t) : (h, t') \in \Omega, t \equiv t' \pmod{p^{n-1}}\}.$$

It follows that $|\Omega'| = |\Omega|$ and

$$H \times \{0, 1, \dots, p^{n-1} - 1\} = \Omega' + T'.$$

Hence, there exists $\Gamma \subset H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}$ such that (Ω', Γ) forms a spectral pair in group $H \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}$. For any distinct $(h, t), (h', t') \in \Gamma$, $\chi_{h-h'}\psi_{pt-pt'}(\Omega) = \chi_{h-h'}\psi_{pt-pt'}(\Omega') = 0$. Let $\Lambda = \{(h, pt) \in G : (h, t) \in \Gamma\}$. Therefore, (Ω, Λ) forms a spectral pair in G . \square

7.2. Ascending chain structure of tiles. For tiles in a group with the PT property, their structures can be characterized by induction. Let A, B be two subsets of a finite abelian group G such that $0 \in A \cap B$. We define a subset $A \circ B$ by

$$\{\phi(b) + b\},$$

where ϕ is a certain function from B to A with $\phi(0) = 0$. We say a set $E \subset G$ has **ascending chain structure** if there exists a strictly ascending chain of subgroups

$0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_m = G$ and $0 \in D_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m-1$) is a complete set of coset representatives for H_{j+1} modulo H_j , such that

$$E = H_1 + (D_1 \circ (D_2 + (\cdots \{0\})))$$

or

$$E = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (\cdots \{0\}))).$$

Proposition 7.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group.*

- (1) *If G and all its subgroups have the PT property, then all tiles of G has ascending chain structure.*
- (2) *If all tiles of G has ascending chain structure, then G has the PT property.*

Proof. We first assume that G has the PT property. The proof is by induction, assume that the statement holds for all subgroups of G . Let Ω be a tile of G .

If Ω is periodic, then there exists a subgroup H_1 such that $\Omega = \Omega_1 + H_1$. Let $\bar{g} = g + H_1$ and $\bar{S} = \{g + H_1 : g \in S\}$. Then $\bar{\Omega}_1$ tiles G/H_1 . By induction, there exists a strictly ascending chain of subgroups

$$\bar{0} \subset \bar{H}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bar{H}_m = G/H_1$$

and $0 \in E_j$ ($j = 2, \dots, m-1$) is a complete set of coset representatives for \bar{H}_{j+1} modulo \bar{H}_j , such that

$$\bar{\Omega}_1 = \bar{H}_2 + (E_2 \circ (E_3 + (\cdots \{\bar{0}\})))$$

or

$$\bar{\Omega}_1 = \bar{H}_2 \circ (E_2 + (E_3 \circ (\cdots \{\bar{0}\}))).$$

Then there exists subsets D_j of G such that $\bar{D}_j = E_j$ and $\bar{D}_1 = \bar{H}_2$, and

$$\Omega = H_1 + \Omega_1 = (H_1 + D_1) + (D_2 \circ (D_3 + (\cdots \{\bar{0}\})))$$

or

$$\Omega = H_1 + \Omega_1 = H_1 + (D_1 \circ (D_2 + (D_3 \circ (\cdots \{\bar{0}\})))),$$

where $H_1 + D_1$ is a subgroup.

If Ω is not periodic, then there exists a periodic set T such that $G = \Omega + T$. Then there exists a subgroup H_1 such that $T = T_1 + H_1$. Hence $\bar{\Omega}$ tiles G/H_1 . By induction, there exists a strictly ascending chain of subgroups

$$\bar{0} \subset \bar{H}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bar{H}_m = G/H_1$$

and $0 \in E_j$ ($j = 2, \dots, m-1$) is a complete set of coset representatives for \bar{H}_{j+1} modulo \bar{H}_j , such that

$$\bar{\Omega} = \bar{H}_2 + (E_2 \circ (E_3 + (\cdots \{\bar{0}\})))$$

or

$$\bar{\Omega} = \bar{H}_2 \circ (E_2 + (E_3 \circ (\cdots \{\bar{0}\}))).$$

Then there exists subsets D_j of G such that $\bar{D}_j = E_j$ and $\bar{D}_1 = \bar{H}_2$. Hence

$$\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (D_3 + (\cdots \{\bar{0}\}))))$$

or

$$\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 \circ (D_2 + (D_3 \circ (\cdots \{\bar{0}\})))) = H_2 \circ (D_2 + (D_3 \circ (\cdots \{\bar{0}\}))).$$

Now we assume that for any factorization $G = \Omega + T$, there exists a strictly ascending chain of subgroups $0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_m = G$ and $0 \in D_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m-1$) is a complete set of coset representatives for H_{j+1} modulo H_j , such that

$$\Omega = H_1 + (D_1 \circ (D_2 + (\cdots \{0\})))$$

or

$$\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (\cdots \{0\}))).$$

If Ω tiles G and Ω is not periodic, then Ω has the form

$$\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (\cdots \{0\}))).$$

Define

$$T = H_1 + (D_1 \circ (D_2 + (\cdots \{0\}))).$$

It is easy to check that $G = \Omega + T$, and T is periodic. Hence G has the PT property. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 7.3, we can characterize the tiles in elementary p -groups having the PT property.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume \mathbb{Z}_p^n has the PT property. Then by Proposition 7.3, there exists a strictly ascending chain of subgroups $0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_m = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $0 \in D_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m-1$) is a complete set of coset representatives for H_{j+1} modulo H_j such that $\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (\cdots \{0\})))$ or $\Omega = H_1 \circ (D_1 + (D_2 \circ (\cdots \{0\})))$. Then there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that $H_i = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_i} \rangle$ and $s_m = n$. Note that the complete set of coset representatives for H_{j+1} modulo H_j has the form $D_j = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_j} \rangle \circ \langle a_{s_j+1}, \dots, a_{s_{j+1}} \rangle$. Hence Ω has the following form

$$\Omega = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_1} \rangle \circ (\langle a_{s_1+1}, \dots, a_{s_2} \rangle + ((\langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_2} \rangle \circ \langle a_{s_2+1}, \dots, a_{s_3} \rangle) \circ (\cdots \{0\})))$$

or

$$\Omega = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_1} \rangle + (\langle a_{s_1+1}, \dots, a_{s_2} \rangle \circ ((\langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_2} \rangle \circ \langle a_{s_2+1}, \dots, a_{s_3} \rangle) + (\cdots \{0\}))).$$

For the first case, define

$$T = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{s_1} \rangle + \langle a_{s_2+1}, \dots, a_{s_3} \rangle + \langle a_{s_4+1}, \dots, a_{s_5} \rangle + \cdots$$

For the second case, define

$$T = \langle a_{s_1+1}, \dots, a_{s_2} \rangle + \langle a_{s_3+1}, \dots, a_{s_4} \rangle + \langle a_{s_5+1}, \dots, a_{s_6} \rangle + \cdots$$

Then it is easy to check that $\mathbb{Z}_p^n = \Omega + T$ and T is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^n . \square

8. DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONS

8.1. Relationships between periodic tiling and other properties. For cyclic groups, a characterization for tiles was proposed by Coven and Meyerowitz [3]. In order to state their conditions, we need to introduce some notation. Let A be a multiset of elements in \mathbb{Z}_N . The mask polynomial of A is defined by $A(X) = \sum_{a \in A} m_a X^a$ and is considered as an element in $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1)$, where m_a is the number of a appears in A .

Define by S^N be the set of prime powers dividing N , and let $S_A^N = \{s \in S^N : A(\zeta_s) = 0\}$. We define the following properties:

$$(T1) \quad A(1) = \prod_{s \in S_A^N} \Phi_s(1)$$

(T2) Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \in S_A^N$ be powers of different primes. Then $\Phi_s(X)|A(X)$, where $s = s_1 \dots s_k$.

We call a cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N satisfies (T1) and (T2), if, for all tiles Ω of \mathbb{Z}_N , Ω satisfies (T1) and (T2).

Remark 8.1. *If a cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N has the PT property, then \mathbb{Z}_N satisfies (T1) and (T2).*

We say that a finite abelian group has **the Rédei property** if for each tiling pair (Ω, T) of G , one of Ω and T must be contained in a proper subgroup of G .

Assume that (Ω, T) be a normalized tiling pair of G , i.e. $0 \in \Omega \cap T$. We say that the partition $T = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_n$ is **regular** if there is a periodic subset $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ of G such that $c_1 = 0$, $|C| \geq 2$ and $\Omega + T_i = \Omega + T_1 + c_i$. We say that an abelian group is **quasi-periodic** if for each normalized tiling pair (Ω, T) of G , one of Ω and T has a regular partition.

Remark 8.2. *The following examples illustrate the differences between the PT property, the Rédei property, and quasi-periodic groups:*

- *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ ($n \geq 2$) has the PT property but is not quasi-periodic; the group $\mathbb{Z}_6^5 \times \mathbb{Z}_{17}$ is quasi-periodic but does not have the PT property.*
- *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_k}$ ($k \geq 6$) has the PT property but does not have the Rédei property; the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^2 p_2^3}$ have the Rédei property but does not have the PT property.*
- *The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_k}$ ($k \geq 6$) is quasi-periodic but does not have the Rédei property; the group $\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$ has the Rédei property but is not quasi-periodic.*

8.2. Questions. In this paper, we introduce the PT property and the UPT property for finite abelian groups. While the complete list of finite abelian groups with the Hajós property has already been established, investigating the list of groups with PT property remains a question.

Groups possessing the UPT property are known to be useful for constructing groups with PT property. This begs the question: can we obtain a complete list of groups with UPT property? In a forthcoming paper, we will prove that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ have the UPT property. .

Focusing on elementary p -group, it is known that any subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^3 ($p \geq 3$) or \mathbb{Z}_2^5 have the PT property. Additionally, we will show that \mathbb{Z}_3^4 and \mathbb{Z}_2^6 have the PT property in the forthcoming paper. We have the following question.

Question. *Do all elementary p -groups \mathbb{Z}_p^n have the PT property?*

Any non-trivial tile in an elementary p -groups \mathbb{Z}_p^n with the PT property has a periodic tiling complement. Hence, Question 8.2 seems analogous to the so-called ‘‘Periodic Tiling Conjecture’’ on \mathbb{Z}^d .

For a positive integer d , a set $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is said to be **periodic** if there exists a finite index subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $E + \lambda = E$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Formally, we require more for the periodicity in \mathbb{Z}^d than in finite abelian groups. There is a large body of literature on tilings of \mathbb{Z}^d by translations of finite subsets (see [38, 14], and the references therein). In the case $d = 1$, it is known that any tiling of \mathbb{Z} by a finite set Ω is periodic. However, in higher dimensions tiling sets need not be periodic. For $d > 1$, Lagarias and Wang [29] proposed the following conjecture.

Periodic Tiling Conjecture. *If a finite set Ω tiles \mathbb{Z}^d by translation then it admits a periodic tiling.*

The conjecture was established for $d = 2$ by Bhattacharya [2] using ergodic theory techniques. Moreover, Greenfeld and Tao [14] established a quantitative version of the two-dimensional periodic tiling conjecture. However, in their celebrated paper [15], Greenfeld and Tao disproved periodic tiling conjecture for sufficiently large dimension d .

Although the definitions of periodicity in finite groups and in \mathbb{Z}^d differ, both types of periodicity can be used to characterize the structure of tiles.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Aten, B. Ayachi, E. Bau, D. FitzPatrick, A. Iosevich, H. Liu, A. Lott, I. MacKinnon, S. Maimon, S. Nan, J. Pakianathan, G. Petridis, C. Rojas Mena, A. Sheikh, T. Tribone, J. Weill, and C. Yu. Tiling sets and spectral sets over finite fields. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 273(8):2547–2577, 2017.
- [2] S. Bhattacharya. Periodicity and decidability of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 . *Amer. J. Math.*, 142(1):255–266, 2020.
- [3] E. M. Coven and A. Meyerowitz. Tiling the integers with translates of one finite set. *J. Algebra*, 212(1):161–174, 1999.
- [4] D. E. Dutkay and P. E. T. Jorgensen. On the universal tiling conjecture in dimension one. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 19(3):467–477, 2013.
- [5] D. E. Dutkay and C.-K. Lai. Some reductions of the spectral set conjecture to integers. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 156(1):123–135, 2014.
- [6] T. Fallon, G. Kiss, and G. Somlai. Spectral sets and tiles in $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q^2$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 282(12):109472, 2022.
- [7] T. Fallon, A. Mayeli, and D. Villano. The fuglede’s conjecture holds in \mathbb{F}_p^3 for $p = 5, 7$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* to appear.
- [8] A. Fan, S. Fan, L. Liao, and R. Shi. Fuglede’s conjecture holds in \mathbb{Q}_p . *Math. Ann.*, 375(1-2):315–341, 2019.
- [9] A. Fan, S. Fan, and R. Shi. Compact open spectral sets in \mathbb{Q}_p . *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271(12):3628–3661, 2016.
- [10] B. Farkas, M. Matolcsi, and P. Móra. On Fuglede’s conjecture and the existence of universal spectra. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 12(5):483–494, 2006.
- [11] S. J. Ferguson and N. Sothanaphan. Fuglede’s conjecture fails in 4 dimensions over odd prime fields. *Discrete Math.*, 343(1):111507, 7, 2020.
- [12] B. Fuglede. Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 16:101–121, 1974.
- [13] R. Greenfeld and N. Lev. Fuglede’s spectral set conjecture for convex polytopes. *Anal. PDE*, 10(6):1497–1538, 2017.
- [14] R. Greenfeld and T. Tao. The structure of translational tilings in \mathbb{Z}^d . *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 16, 28, 2021.
- [15] R. Greenfeld and T. Tao. A counterexample to the periodic tiling conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 200(1):301–363, 2024.
- [16] G. Hajós. Covering multidimensional spaces by cube lattices. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*.
- [17] G. Hajós. über einfache und mehrfache Bedeckung des n -dimensionalen Raumes mit einem Würfelgitter. *Math. Z.*, 47:427–467, 1941.
- [18] A. Iosevich, N. Katz, and T. Tao. The Fuglede spectral conjecture holds for convex planar domains. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(5-6):559–569, 2003.
- [19] A. Iosevich, A. Mayeli, and J. Pakianathan. The Fuglede conjecture holds in $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. *Anal. PDE*, 10(4):757–764, 2017.
- [20] G. Kiss, R. D. Malikiosis, G. Somlai, and M. Vizer. On the discrete Fuglede and Pompeiu problems. *Anal. PDE*, 13(3):765–788, 2020.
- [21] G. Kiss, R. D. Malikiosis, G. Somlai, and M. Vizer. Fuglede’s conjecture holds for cyclic groups of order pqr s. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 28(79), 2022.

- [22] G. Kiss and G. Somlai. Fuglede’s conjecture holds on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149(10):4181–4188, 2021.
- [23] M. N. Kolountzakis and M. Matolcsi. Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture. *Collect. Math.*, (Vol. Extra):281–291, 2006.
- [24] M. N. Kolountzakis and M. Matolcsi. Tiles with no spectra. *Forum Math.*, 18(3):519–528, 2006.
- [25] I. Łaba. The spectral set conjecture and multiplicative properties of roots of polynomials. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(3):661–671, 2002.
- [26] I. Łaba and I. Łondner. Splitting for integer tilings and the Coven-Meyerowitz tiling conditions. arXiv: 2207.11809.
- [27] I. Łaba and I. Łondner. Combinatorial and harmonic-analytic methods for integer tilings. *Forum Math., Pi*, pages 10:e8 1–46, 2022.
- [28] I. Łaba and I. Łondner. The Coven-Meyerowitz tiling conditions for 3 odd prime factors. *Invent. Math.*, 232:365–470, 2023.
- [29] J. C. Lagarias and Y. Wang. Tiling the line with translates of one tile. *Invent. Math.*, 124(1-3):341–365, 1996.
- [30] N. Lev and M. Matolcsi. The Fuglede conjecture for convex domains is true in all dimensions. *Acta Math.*, 228(2):385–420, 2022.
- [31] R. D. Malikiosis. On the structure of spectral and tiling subsets of cyclic groups. *Forum Math., Sigma*, pages 10:e23 1–42, 2022.
- [32] R. D. Malikiosis and M. N. Kolountzakis. Fuglede’s conjecture on cyclic groups of order $p^n q$. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 12, 16, 2017.
- [33] M. Matolcsi. Fuglede’s conjecture fails in dimension 4. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(10):3021–3026, 2005.
- [34] A. D. Sands. On the factorisation of finite abelian groups. II. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 13:153–159, 1962.
- [35] R. Shi. Fuglede’s conjecture holds on cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_{pqr} . *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 14, 14, 2019.
- [36] R. Shi. Equi-distributed property and spectral set conjecture on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 102(3):1030–1046, 2020.
- [37] G. Somlai. Spectral sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 qr}$ tile. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 5, 10, 2023.
- [38] S. Szabó. *Topics in factorization of abelian groups*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
- [39] S. Szabó and A. D. Sands. *Factoring groups into subsets*, volume 257 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [40] T. Tao. Some notes on the coven-meyerowitz conjecture. <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2011/11/19/some-notes-on-the-coven-meyerowitz-conjecture/>.
- [41] T. Tao. Fuglede’s conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 11(2-3):251–258, 2004.
- [42] T. Zhang. Fuglede’s conjecture holds in $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 37(2):1180–1197, 2023.
- [43] T. Zhang. A group ring approach to Fuglede’s conjecture in cyclic groups. *Combinatorica*, 44(2):393–416, 2024.

SHILEI FAN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AND KEY LAB NAA–MOE, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430079, CHINA

Email address: slfan@ccnu.edu.cn

TAO ZHANG: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, XIDIAN UNIVERSITY, XI’AN 710071, CHINA.

Email address: zhant220@163.com