

ON UNIFORM FUNCTIONS ON CONFIGURATION SPACES OF LARGE SCALE INTERACTING SYSTEMS

KENICHI BANNAI AND MAKIKO SASADA

ABSTRACT. Stochastic large scale interacting systems can be studied via the observables, i.e. functions on the underlying configuration space. In our previous article, we introduced the concept of *uniform functions*, which are suitable class of functions on configuration spaces underlying stochastic systems on infinite graphs. An important consequence is the successful characterization of conserved quantities without introducing the notion of stationary distributions. In this article, we further develop the theory of uniform functions and construct the theory independent of any choice of a base state. Furthermore, we generalize the notion of interactions given in our previous article to accommodate the case where there are multiple possible state transitions on adjacent vertices. We then prove that if the interaction is *exchangeable*, then any uniform function which gives a global conserved quantity can be expressed as a sum of local conserved quantities of the interaction. Contrary to our previous article, we do not need to assume that the interaction is irreducibly quantified. This shows that our theory of uniform functions on configuration spaces over infinite graphs with transition structure given by an exchangeable interaction is a natural framework to study general stochastic large scale interacting systems. While some of the ideas in this article are based on our previous article, the article is logically independent and self-contained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Deriving the macroscopic evolution from the dynamics of microscopic systems is a very fundamental and challenging task. As a mathematically rigorous theory, hydrodynamic limits for stochastic large scale interacting systems have been widely studied. In order to provide a new perspective for the analysis of such large scale interacting systems, in our previous article [1], we introduced the concept of configuration space with transition structure and the associated space of *uniform functions*. The uniform functions form a suitable class of functions including the conserved quantities on configuration spaces on infinite graphs underlying stochastic large scale interacting systems. The theory was extended in [3] under certain assumptions to give a proof of Varadhan's decomposition for hydrodynamic limits. In [1] and [3], the theory was constructed using a choice of a fixed base state of the local state space. In this article, we construct the theory independent of any such choice of base state. Furthermore, we expand the notion of interactions to accommodate the case when the transitions between states on adjacent vertices is given by a general symmetric *digraph* (i.e. *directed graph*), which we again call an *interaction*. We then

Date: August 26, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 82C22, Secondary: 05C63.

Key words and phrases. uniform function, configuration space, large scale interacting system, interaction, conserved quantity, cohomology.

This work is supported by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR1913 and KAKENHI 24K21515.

prove that if the interaction is *exchangeable*, then any uniform function on the configuration space invariant under transitions can be expressed as the sum of the local conserved quantities of the interaction. This is a generalization of [1, Theorem 3.7]. Although we build on ideas initiated in [1], our article is logically independent of such results.




FIGURE 1. Example of a configuration in the Configuration Space $S^X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let S be a non-empty set, which we call the *local state space*. The fundamental example is $S = \{0, 1\}$, where 0 may express that the site is vacant and 1 may express that the site is occupied by a particle. For a symmetric digraph (X, E) with set of vertices X and set of edges $E \subset X \times X$, the configuration space of S on (X, E) is defined as $S^X := \prod_{x \in X} S$. We call any $\eta = (\eta_x)_{x \in X} \in S^X$ a *configuration*. The fundamental example of such graph is given by the *one-dimensional Euclidean lattice* (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}) , where $\mathbb{E} = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid |i - j| = 1\}$, and the configuration space $S^X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ describes all of the possible combination of states on the Euclidean lattice.

The configurations will be quantified via the observables, i.e. functions $f: S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the configuration space. The premise of our model is that the observables should depend only on the local states in the vicinity of the point of observation. Hence given an observable $f_x: S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at $x \in X$, the value $f(\eta)$ for a configuration $\eta = (\eta_x)_{x \in X} \in S^X$ should depend on the components near x . The graph structure (X, E) induces the graph distance d_X on the vertices in X , i.e. the length of the shortest path between two vertices. We say that a function $f_x: S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in X$ is *local*, if there exists $R > 0$ such that the value $f_x(\eta)$ depends only on η_z such that $d_X(x, z) \leq R$. In this case, we say that f_x is *local at x with radius R* . Such functions will play the role of the observables in the vicinity of x . Given a system of functions $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ with f_x local at x , in order to get a suitable quantity for the entire system, we would like to consider the sum

$$(1) \quad f := \sum_{x \in X} f_x.$$

If the original function f_x expresses the number of particles or energy or any other quantity for the local state at x , then f would express the total number of particles or total energy or the total of any other quantity for the entire system on X . In considering the hydrodynamic limits of large scale interacting systems, it is useful to consider cases when X is infinite. However, the sum Eq. (1) would be an infinite sum and generally not well-defined for such X . Variants of infinite sums of the form Eq. (1) appear in [10, p.144] and [11, p.1477], but with the caveat that the infinite sum “does not make sense”. In Definition 2.4, we define uniform functions to be a certain sum of local functions on S^X , which gives a rigorous definition for sums such as Eq. (1), even for the case when X is infinite.

In what follows, we assume that the symmetric digraph (X, E) is connected and *locally finite*, i.e. the set $E_x := \{(x, y) \in E \mid y \in X\}$ is a finite set for any $x \in X$. For example, the Euclidean lattice (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}) is locally finite. Our first result is the following.

Proposition 1.1 (=Proposition 2.9). *Let S be a non-empty set, and assume that (X, E) is connected and locally finite. Consider a system of functions $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ on S^X . If the system is uniformly local, i.e. if there exists $R > 0$ such that f_x is local at x for radius R , then the sum*

$$f := \sum_{x \in X} f_x$$

defines a uniform function, a class of functions defined in Definition 2.4.

Proposition 1.1 indicates that the infinite sum Eq. (1) has meaning as a uniform function. In fact, we will prove that any uniform function may be obtained as the sum of a system of functions on S^X which are uniformly local.

In constructing our large scale interacting system, we express the possible transition of states on adjacent vertices of the underlying graph. In our case, this is given by an *interaction* (S, ϕ) , which we define ϕ as a subset of $(S \times S) \times (S \times S)$ such that $(S \times S, \phi)$ is a symmetric digraph. For the case $S = \{0, 1\}$ described in Fig. 2, the configuration $(0, 0) \in S \times S$ expresses the state with no particles, $(1, 0) \in S \times S$ expresses the state with a particle in the first site and no particles in the second site, etc. Then the exclusion $\phi_{\text{ex}} := \{((1, 0), (0, 1)), ((0, 1), (1, 0))\} \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$ expresses the rule that a particle may move only if the adjacent site is vacant.



FIGURE 2. The exclusion ϕ_{ex} for $S = \{0, 1\}$ expresses the rule that a particle may move only if the adjacent site is vacant. The diagram on the right expresses the graph $(S \times S, \phi_{\text{ex}})$.

A choice of an interaction gives the transitions of S^X . Namely, for $\eta = (\eta_x), \eta' = (\eta'_x) \in S^X$, the configuration η may transition to η' if and only if there exists an edge $e = (x, y) \in E$ such that $\eta_z = \eta'_z$ for $z \neq x, y$ and $((\eta_x, \eta_y), (\eta'_x, \eta'_y)) \in \phi$. If we denote by $\Phi_E \subset S^X \times S^X$ the set of all such permitted transitions, then (S^X, Φ_E) form a symmetric digraph. Our construction allows not only nearest neighbor models but more general models by changing the graph (X, E) . For the exclusion ϕ_{ex} , if we take the graph (X, E) to be the Euclidean lattice (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}) , then this model underlies the nearest neighbor exclusion process. The exclusion process is one of the most fundamental models of the interacting particle systems and has been studied extensively [6–8, 10–13, 17, 18].

Moreover, if we take the graph (X, E) to be $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_k)$ with $\mathbb{E}_k := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq |i - j| \leq k\}$ for an integer $k \geq 1$, then this model underlies the exclusion process which allows hopping to




FIGURE 3. The transitions on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ induced by the exclusion ϕ_{ex} for the cases where the underlying graphs are (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}) and $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_2)$. The interaction takes place between vertices connected by an edge of the underlying graph.

vacant sites of distance up to k . This versatility of the model is one reason that we have separated the interaction from the underlying graph.

Given an interaction $\phi \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$, we say that a function $\xi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *conserved quantity* for ϕ , if the function $\tilde{\xi}: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\xi}(s_1, s_2) := \xi(s_1) + \xi(s_2), \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$$

is constant on the connected components of the graph $(S \times S, \phi)$. In other words, ξ is a function whose sum is preserved by transitions of an interaction.

For a conserved quantity ξ , let $\xi_x(\eta) := \xi(\eta_x)$ for any $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$. Then by definition, ξ_x for any $x \in X$ is local at x with radius 0, hence by Proposition 1.1,

$$\xi_X := \sum_{x \in X} \xi_x$$

defines a uniform function. For the case $(\{0, 1\}, \phi_{\text{ex}})$, the function $\xi: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\xi(s) = s$ is a conserved quantity of $(\{0, 1\}, \phi_{\text{ex}})$. If X is finite, then $\xi_X: \{0, 1\}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $\xi_X(\eta) = \sum_{x \in X} \xi(\eta_x)$ for $\eta = (\eta_x) \in \{0, 1\}^X$ gives the total number of particles of η .

Definition 1.2. We say that an interaction (S, ϕ) is *exchangeable* if and only if for any $(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$, the configurations (s_1, s_2) and (s_2, s_1) are in the same connected component of the graph $(S \times S, \phi)$.

The interaction $(\{0, 1\}, \phi_{\text{ex}})$ is exchangeable. The article [4] gives classifications and examples of various exchangeable interactions, hence there are an abundance of examples of exchangeable interactions. Let (X, E) be connected and locally finite as in Proposition 1.1. Since a uniform function is given by an infinite sum, it is in general not an actual function on the configuration space. However, one may define the difference of values of a uniform function at $\eta, \eta' \in S^X$ with respect to any transition $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$. Hence a uniform function behaves as a potential on the configuration space.

We say that a uniform function on S^X is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) , if the difference of values with respect to any transition is *zero*. The main theorem of our article is as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (=Theorem 3.11). *Let (S, ϕ) be an interaction which is exchangeable, and assume that (X, E) is connected, locally finite and infinite. Suppose f is a uniform function on S^X which*

is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Then there exists a conserved quantity $\xi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \xi_X$.

A function which is invariant via transitions of S^X may be viewed as a global conserved quantity of S^X . Theorem 1.3 implies that any global conserved quantity expressed by a uniform function is given at the sum of a local conserved quantity of the interaction. Hence our theory of uniform functions gives a class of well-behaved global conserved quantities of the configuration space. Contrary to [1], we do not need to assume that (S, ϕ) is irreducibly quantified.

Theorem 1.3 may be interpreted in terms of uniform cohomology. Let $C_{\text{loc}}(S^X)$ be the space of local functions, and let $C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X)$ be the space of local functions modulo the subspace of constant functions. In other words, $C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X) := C_{\text{loc}}(S^X)/\sim$, where $f \sim g$ for $f, g \in C_{\text{loc}}(S^X)$ if and only if $f - g$ is a constant function. We may define the differential

$$\partial: C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)$$

by $\partial f(\eta, \eta') := f(\eta') - f(\eta)$ for any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$, where $C^1(S^X)$ is the subspace of $\text{Map}(\Phi_E, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of functions $\omega: \Phi_E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega(\eta, \eta') = -\omega(\eta', \eta)$ for any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$. Denote by $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ the space of uniform functions modulo the subspace of constant functions. We may linearly extend the above differential to obtain a differential

$$\partial: C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X).$$

We define the 0-th uniform cohomology by

$$H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) := \text{Ker}(\partial: C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)).$$

As an analogy of the fact that 0-th cohomology $H^0(X)$ coincides with the space of functions constant on the connected components of the graph (X, E) (see [1, Proposition A.8]), we may prove that the 0-th uniform cohomology $H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ coincides with the space of uniform functions invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) , i.e. constant on the connected components of (S^X, Φ_E) . Combining Theorem 1.3 with this fact, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4 (=Corollary 4.5). *Suppose (S, ϕ) and (X, E) are as in Theorem 1.3. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Consv}^\phi(S) \cong H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X), \quad \xi \mapsto \xi_X,$$

where $\text{Consv}^\phi(S)$ denotes the space of conserved quantities of (S, ϕ) modulo the subspace of constant functions.

If X is infinite, then (S^X, Φ_E) in general has an infinite number of connected components. Hence the graph cohomology $H^0(S^X)$, which coincides with the space of functions constant on the connected components of (S^X, Φ_E) is in general infinite dimensional. However, if $\text{Consv}^\phi(S)$ is finite dimensional, as in the case when S is a finite set, then Corollary 1.4 implies that the space $H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$, which may be interpreted as the space of uniform functions constant on the connected components of (S^X, Φ_E) , is finite dimensional. The theory of uniform functions allows for the construction of such well-behaved cohomology theory. We emphasize that Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 hold in general only when X is infinite.

The precise contents of this article are as follows. In §2, we define the space of uniform functions on a configuration space S^X of a local state space S over a symmetric digraph (X, E) , and prove that the space of uniform functions is independent of any choice of the base state. We then define the notion of a uniform system of local functions and prove in Proposition 2.9 that if (X, E) is connected and locally finite, then class of uniform functions coincides with the class of functions that may be expressed as the sum of a uniform system of local functions. In §3, we consider an interaction (S, ϕ) and the configuration space with transition structure (S^X, Φ_E) over (X, E) . Assuming that the interaction (S, ϕ) is exchangeable and the graph (X, E) is infinite, we prove our main result (Theorem 3.11). In §4, we introduce the differential for uniform functions and prove Corollary 4.5.

2. UNIFORM FUNCTIONS ON CONFIGURATION SPACES

In this section, we give the definition of uniform functions on the space of configurations on a symmetric digraph. We then prove in Proposition 2.5 that the space of uniform functions for distinct choice of the base state $* \in S$ are canonically isomorphic. Let S be a non-empty set, which we call the *local state space*. An element of S expresses a state of a system on a single site. Furthermore, let (X, E) be a symmetric digraph. In other words, X is a set called the *set of vertices*, $E \subset X \times X$ is a set called the *set of edges*, and we assume that $e = (oe, te) \in E$ if and only if $\bar{e} = (te, oe) \in E$. The set X gives the underlying space for the system. The edges E express the vertices in X whose local states interact with each other. We say that the graph (X, E) is *locally finite*, if $E_x := \{e \in E \mid oe = x\}$ is a finite set for any $x \in X$. Here $oe \in X$ is the origin of the edge e . In what follows, we will assume that the graph (X, E) is connected and locally finite.

Definition 2.1. We define the *configuration space* of states S on (X, E) by $S^X := \prod_{x \in X} S$.

We call any element $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$ a *configuration*. The configuration space expresses all of the possible configuration of states that our model may take. The configurations will be quantified via the observables, i.e. functions $f: S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the configuration space. We say that $f: S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *local function*, if there exists a finite $\Lambda \subset X$ such that the value $f(\eta)$ depends only on the states on Λ , i.e. $(\eta_x)_{x \in \Lambda} \in S^\Lambda$. Such function corresponds to a function in $C(S^\Lambda)$, where $C(S^\Lambda) := \text{Map}(S^\Lambda, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of real valued functions on S^Λ . If we let \mathcal{F} be the set of finite subsets $\Lambda \subset X$, then the space of local functions is given as $C_{\text{loc}}(S^X) = \bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} C(S^\Lambda)$.

Fix $* \in S$, which we call the base state, and let S_*^X be the subset of S^X consisting of configurations whose components are $*$ for all but finite $x \in X$. We denote by \star the configuration in S_*^X whose components are all at base state. Then $\star \in S_*^X$. For $\Lambda \subset X$, we have $C(S^\Lambda) \subset C(S_*^X)$. For any function $f \in C(S_*^X)$ and $\Lambda \subset X$, let $\iota_*^\Lambda f \in C(S^\Lambda)$ be the function

$$\iota_*^\Lambda f(\eta) := f(\eta|_\Lambda), \quad \forall \eta \in S_*^X,$$

where $\eta|_\Lambda \in S_*^X$ is defined as the configuration whose component for $x \in \Lambda$ coincides with that of η , and is at base state $*$ for components outside Λ . This defines a linear operator $\iota_*^\Lambda: C(S_*^X) \rightarrow C(S^\Lambda) \subset C(S_*^X)$. In particular, if Λ is finite, then $\iota_*^\Lambda f$ is a local function. We define the space of *local functions with exact support* Λ by

$$C_\Lambda(S_*^X) := \{f \in C(S^\Lambda) \mid \forall \text{ finite } \Lambda' \subset X \text{ such that } \Lambda \not\subset \Lambda', \text{ we have } \iota_*^{\Lambda'} f = 0\}.$$

A local function $f \in C(S^\Lambda)$ is in $C_\Lambda(S_*^X)$ if and only if $f(\eta) = 0$ for any configuration $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^\Lambda$ satisfying $\eta_x = *$ for some $x \in \Lambda$. For any $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$, we define the support of η by $\text{Supp}(\eta) := \{x \in X \mid \eta_x \neq *\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose (f_Λ^*) is a system of functions such that $f_\Lambda^* \in C_\Lambda(S_*^X)$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $f = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} f_\Lambda^*$ gives a well-defined function on S_*^X .*

Proof. For any $\eta \in S_*^X$, we have $f_\Lambda^*(\eta) \neq 0$ if and only if $\Lambda \subset \text{Supp}(\eta)$. Since the support $\text{Supp}(\eta)$ is finite, if we are given functions $f_\Lambda^* \in C_\Lambda(S_*^X)$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$, then we see that

$$f(\eta) = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} f_\Lambda^*(\eta) = \sum_{\Lambda \subset \text{Supp}(\eta)} f_\Lambda^*(\eta)$$

is a well-defined finite sum. Hence the right hand side of Eq. (3) defines a function on S_*^X . \square

Proposition 2.3. *For any function $f \in C(S_*^X)$, there exists a unique system of functions (f_Λ^*) in $C_\Lambda(S_*^X)$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$(3) \quad f = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} f_\Lambda^*$$

as a function on S_*^X .

Proof. If such (f_Λ^*) satisfying (3) exists, then by definition of $C_\Lambda(S_*^X)$, we see that

$$(4) \quad \iota_*^\Lambda f = \sum_{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda} f_{\Lambda''}^*$$

for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. We will construct f_Λ^* satisfying (4) by induction on the number of elements in $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. If $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then we let $f_\emptyset^* := \iota_*^\emptyset f = f(\star)$. For any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$, assume that there exists $f_{\Lambda''}^*$ for any $\Lambda'' \subsetneq \Lambda$ such that $\iota_*^{\Lambda'} f = \sum_{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'} f_{\Lambda''}^*$ is satisfied for any proper subset $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$. We let

$$f_\Lambda^* := \iota_*^\Lambda f - \sum_{\Lambda'' \subsetneq \Lambda} f_{\Lambda''}^*.$$

Then for any $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\Lambda \not\subset \Lambda'$, we have $\Lambda \cap \Lambda' \subsetneq \Lambda$. Hence

$$\iota_*^{\Lambda'} f_\Lambda^* = \iota_*^{\Lambda'} (\iota_*^\Lambda f) - \sum_{\Lambda'' \subsetneq \Lambda} \iota_*^{\Lambda'} f_{\Lambda''}^* = \iota_*^{\Lambda \cap \Lambda'} f - \sum_{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda \cap \Lambda'} \iota_*^{\Lambda'} f_{\Lambda''}^* = 0,$$

where the second equality follows from the definition of $\iota_*^{\Lambda'}$ and the fact that $f_{\Lambda''}^* \in C_{\Lambda''}(S^X)$ and the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis for $\Lambda \cap \Lambda' \subsetneq \Lambda$. This shows that $f_\Lambda^* \in C_\Lambda(S^X)$, and that $\iota_*^\Lambda f = \sum_{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda} f_{\Lambda''}^*$. This gives a construction of a system (f_Λ^*) satisfying (4). The uniqueness of f_Λ^* follows from the construction. By Lemma 2.2, the sum $\sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} f_\Lambda^*$ defines a well-defined function on S_*^X . Eq. (3) follows from the fact that for any $\eta \in S_*^X$, if $\text{Supp}(\eta) \subset \Lambda$, then we have $f(\eta) = \iota_*^\Lambda f(\eta) = \sum_{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda} f_{\Lambda''}^*(\eta) = \sum_{\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{F}} f_{\Lambda''}^*(\eta)$ as desired. \square

We may use Proposition 2.3 to define uniform functions in $C(S_*^X)$. For any $x, y \in X$, we let $d_X(x, y)$ denote the graph distance of x to y , i.e. the length of the shortest path from x to y , with the convention that $d_X(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$. For any $\Lambda \subset X$, let $\text{diam}(\Lambda) := \sup_{x, y \in \Lambda} d_X(x, y)$.

Definition 2.4. We say that a function $f \in C(S_*^X)$ is *uniform*, if for the expansion of Proposition 2.3, there exists $R > 0$ such that $f_\Lambda^* = 0$ if $\text{diam}(\Lambda) > R$.

We denote by $C_{\text{unif}}(S_*^X)$ the space of uniform functions. A priori, the uniform functions would seem to depend on the choice of the base state $* \in S$. However, we may prove that there exists a canonical isomorphism between uniform functions for distinct base states $*$ and $'$ as follows. Let \mathcal{F}_R be the subset of \mathcal{F} consisting of finite $\Lambda \subset X$ such that $\text{diam}(\Lambda) \leq R$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $*, *' \in S$ be any two states of S . Then we have an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism*

$$C_{\text{unif}}(S_*^X) \cong C_{\text{unif}}(S_{*' }^X)$$

which gives the map $f \mapsto f + (f(\star) - f(\star'))$ on the subspace of local functions $C_{\text{loc}}(S^X)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 and the definition of uniform functions, any $f \in C_{\text{unif}}(S_*^X)$ has a decomposition

$$f = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_R} f_\Lambda^*$$

for some $R > 0$, where $f_\Lambda^* \in C_\Lambda(S_*^X) \subset C(S^\Lambda)$. Since $C(S^\Lambda) \subset C(S_{*' }^X)$, we have a decomposition

$$f_\Lambda^* = \sum_{\Lambda' \subset \Lambda} (f_\Lambda^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*'}$$

where $(f_\Lambda^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime} \in C_{\Lambda'}(S_{*' }^X)$. We define $f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime}$ for $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}_R$ by $f_\emptyset^{*' \prime} := f_\emptyset^* = f(\star)$ for $\Lambda' = \emptyset$ and for $\Lambda' \neq \emptyset$, we let

$$(5) \quad f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime} := \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_R \\ \Lambda' \subset \Lambda}} (f_\Lambda^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime}.$$

The sum is a finite sum since $\Lambda' \neq \emptyset$ is finite and the sum is over $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_R$. Hence $f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime} \in C_{\Lambda'}(S_{*' }^X)$ for any $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 2.2 and the definition of uniform functions, the infinite sum

$$(6) \quad u'(f) := \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}_R} f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime}$$

defines a function in $C_{\text{unif}}(S_{*' }^X)$. The correspondence $f \mapsto u'(f)$ gives an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$u' : C_{\text{unif}}(S_*^X) \rightarrow C_{\text{unif}}(S_{*' }^X)$$

which satisfies $u'(f)(\star') = f(\star)$. By construction, we have $u'(f) = f + (f(\star) - f(\star'))$ on the space of local functions.

We next define the inverse in a similar manner. Suppose $'' \in S$ is another base state. If f' is of the form $f' := u'(f) = \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}_R} f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime}$ of (6), then for $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{F}_R$ and $\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{F}_R$, we have

$$(f_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime})_{\Lambda''}^{*' \prime \prime} = \left(\sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_R \\ \Lambda' \subset \Lambda}} (f_\Lambda^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime} \right)_{\Lambda''}^{*' \prime \prime} = \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_R \\ \Lambda' \subset \Lambda}} ((f_\Lambda^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*' \prime})_{\Lambda''}^{*' \prime \prime}.$$

We define $f_{\Lambda''}^{*''}$, as in (5), so that $f_{\emptyset}^{*''} = f_{\emptyset}^{*'} = f_{\emptyset}^* = f(\star)$, and for $\Lambda'' \neq \emptyset$, we let

$$f_{\Lambda''}^{*''} := \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'}} (f_{\Lambda'}^{*'})_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda'}} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda' \subset \Lambda}} ((f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*'})_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda' \subset \Lambda}} ((f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda'}^{*'})_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda}} (f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda''}^{*''}.$$

Then by (6), we have

$$u''(u'(f)) := \sum_{\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{J}_R} f_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = \sum_{\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{J}_R} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda}} (f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda''}^{*''},$$

In particular, if $*'' = *$, noting that $(f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = f_{\Lambda}^*$ if $\Lambda'' = \Lambda$ and $(f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = 0$ if $\Lambda'' \neq \Lambda$, we have

$$u''(u'(f)) = \sum_{\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{J}_R} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R \\ \Lambda'' \subset \Lambda}} (f_{\Lambda}^*)_{\Lambda''}^{*''} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R} f_{\Lambda}^* = f.$$

This shows that $u'' \circ u' = \text{id}$ as desired. Reversing the roles of $*$ and $*'$, we may also prove that $u' \circ u'' = \text{id}$, hence u' is an isomorphism as desired. \square

We will view uniform functions for different base points through the canonical isomorphisms given by Proposition 2.5. The isomorphism is given as a translation by constant functions on the space of local functions. For this reason, it is convenient to consider the space of functions modulo the subspace of constant functions. Let $C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X)$ and $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_*^X)$ be the quotients of $C_{\text{loc}}(S^X)$ and $C_{\text{unif}}(S_*^X)$ modulo the subspace of constant functions. Then Proposition 2.5 gives the following.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $*, *' \in S$ be any two states of S . Then the \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism of Proposition 2.5 induces an isomorphism*

$$C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_*^X) \cong C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_{*'}^X)$$

which is the identity on the subspace of local functions $C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X)$.

Due to Corollary 2.6, we will often simply denote the space $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_*^X)$ as $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ without specifying the base point $* \in S$. For any $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$, we will call the function in $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_*^X)$ corresponding to f a *realization of f* for the base point $* \in S$. Similarly, for any $\Lambda \subset X$, we let $C^0(S^\Lambda)$ be the quotient of $C(S^\Lambda)$ modulo the subspace of constant functions. For a base state $* \in S$, any function $f \in C^0(S^\Lambda)$ has a normalized representative $f \in C(S^\Lambda)$ such that $f(\star) = 0$. This normalization depends on the choice of $* \in S$, but the corresponding class in $C^0(S^\Lambda)$ is independent of such choice.

Next, we show that the sum of a system $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ of uniformly local functions on S^X defines a uniform function. As stated in §1, the premise of our model is that the observables of a configuration should *not* depend on the entire configuration space, but should depend only on the local states in the proximity of the point of observation. Hence in order to express such observables, we introduce the notion of a function local at a vertex $x \in X$. Consider the symmetric digraph (X, E) . The graph distance d_X of (X, E) expresses the proximity of the vertices in X . For any $R > 0$ and $x \in X$, we let

$$B(x, R) := \{y \in X \mid d_X(x, y) < R\}$$

be the *ball* with center x and radius R . We say that a function $f_x : S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *local at x* (with radius R), if $f_x \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$ for some $R > 0$. In other words, $f_x(\eta)$ for $\eta = (\eta_z)_{z \in X}$ depends only on the coordinates $(\eta_z)_{z \in B(x,R)}$.

Lemma 2.7. *A function $f : S^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local function if and only if it is local at any $x \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose f is a local function. Then there exists finite $\Lambda \subset X$ such that $f \in C(S^\Lambda)$. Then, since (X, E) is connected, for any $x \in X$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\Lambda \subset B(x, R)$. This shows that $f \in C(S^\Lambda) \subset C(S^{B(x,R)})$, proving that f is local at x . Conversely, suppose f is local at $x \in X$. Then there exists $R > 0$ such that $f \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$. Since (X, E) is locally finite, $B(x, R)$ is a finite set. This shows that f is local as desired. \square

Although equivalent by Lemma 2.7 to the fact that a function is local, the notion that a function is local at $x \in X$ allows for the definition of the uniformity of the localness as follows.

Definition 2.8. Consider a system of functions $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ in $C^0(S^X)$. We say such system $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ is *uniformly local*, if there exists $R > 0$ such that f_x is local at x with radius R .

Given a system $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ which is uniformly local, the total observables of the entire system should be given as the infinite sum $\sum_{x \in X} f_x$. Note that $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S_*^X$ if and only if the support $\text{Supp}(\eta)$ is a finite set. We have the following.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ be a uniformly local system of functions in $C^0(S^X)$. Then the infinite sum*

$$f := \sum_{x \in X} f_x$$

defines a uniform function in $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$.

Proof. Since $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ is uniformly local, there exists $R > 0$ such that $f_x \in C^0(S^{B(x,R)})$ for any $x \in X$. Take a base state $\star \in S$, and a normalized representative of $f_x \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$ satisfying $f_x(\star) = 0$ for any $x \in X$. For $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S_*^X$, by definition, the support $\text{Supp}(\eta)$ is a finite set. Since we have assumed that (X, E) is locally finite, the vertices $x \in X$ such that $B(x, R) \cap \text{Supp}(\eta) \neq \emptyset$ is also a finite set. If $B(x, R) \cap \text{Supp}(\eta) = \emptyset$, then since $f_x \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$, we have $f_x(\eta) = f_x(\star) = 0$. This shows that $f(\eta) = \sum_{x \in X} f_x(\eta)$ is a well-defined finite sum, hence defines a function in $C^0(S_*^X)$. Let $R > 0$ such that $f_x \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$. If we take an expansion of f_x , then by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$f_x = \iota_*^{B(x,R)} f_x = \sum_{\Lambda \subset B(x,R)} (f_x)_\Lambda^*.$$

The uniqueness of the expansion of Proposition 2.3 shows that for f_Λ^* such that $f = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}} f_\Lambda^*$, we have

$$f_\Lambda^* = \sum_{x \in X, \Lambda \subset B(x,R)} (f_x)_\Lambda^*$$

where the sum is taken over all $x \in X$ such that $\Lambda \subset B(x, R)$. This shows that $f_\Lambda^* = 0$ if $\text{diam}(\Lambda) > 2R$, hence $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ as desired. \square

We may also prove the converse of Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. Then there exists a system $(f_x)_{x \in X}$ of uniformly local functions in $C^0(S^X)$ such that $f = \sum_{x \in X} f_x$.*

Proof. We take a base state $\star \in S$, and take $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_\star^X)$ normalized so that $f(\star) = 0$. Then by the definition of uniform functions, there exists $R > 0$ such that for the expansion Eq. (3), $f_\Lambda^* = 0$ if $\text{diam}(\Lambda) > R$. Moreover, $f_\emptyset^* = f(\star) = 0$. For each $x \in X$, let

$$f_x := \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} f_\Lambda^*,$$

which is a well-defined finite sum such that $f_x \in C(S^{B(x,R)})$ since $\Lambda \subset B(x,R)$ if $\text{diam}(\Lambda) \leq R$. We have

$$\sum_{x \in X} f_x = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} f_\Lambda^* = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}} f_\Lambda^* = f$$

as a function on S_\star^X , which shows that $f = \sum_{x \in X} f_x$ in $C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ as desired. \square

3. CONFIGURATION SPACE WITH TRANSITION STRUCTURE

In this section, we first define the notion of interactions and conserved quantities. Then we will consider the configuration space on an underlying graph given by the interaction, and prove our main theorem.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a non-empty set. We say that $\phi \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$ is an *interaction*, if $(S \times S, \phi)$ is a symmetric digraph. In other words, we have $(s_1, s_2) \in \phi$ if and only if $(s_2, s_1) \in \phi$. We also refer to the pair (S, ϕ) as an interaction, and the graph $(S \times S, \phi)$ the associated graph.

The set $S \times S$ represents all of the possible configurations on two adjacent vertices of an underlying graph, and the associated graph expresses all of the possible transitions of $S \times S$.

Remark 3.2. In [1, Definition 2.4] and [2, §0], we defined an interaction as a map

$$\phi_+ : S \times S \rightarrow S \times S$$

satisfying $\phi_+^t(s_1, s_2) = (s_1, s_2)$ for any $(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$ such that $\phi_+(s_1, s_2) \neq (s_1, s_2)$. Here, we let $\phi_+^t := \iota \circ \phi_+ \circ \iota$, where $\iota(s_1, s_2) := (s_2, s_1)$ for any $(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$. Denote again by the same symbol

$$\phi_+ := \{((s_1, s_2), \phi_+(s_1, s_2)) \mid (s_1, s_2) \in S \times S\} \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$$

the graph of ϕ_+ , and let $\phi_+^t := \{((s'_1, s'_2), \phi_+^t(s'_1, s'_2)) \mid (s'_1, s'_2) \in S \times S\}$. Then the condition on the map ϕ_+ insures that $\phi := \phi_+ \cup \phi_+^t \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$ is a symmetric digraph, which gives an interaction in our sense of Definition 3.1.

Next, we define a conserved quantity for an interaction.

Definition 3.3. We say that a function $\xi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *conserved quantity* for an interaction (S, ϕ) , if the function $\tilde{\xi} : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(7) \quad \tilde{\xi}(s_1, s_2) := \xi(s_1) + \xi(s_2) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$$

is constant on the connected components of the associated graph $(S \times S, \phi)$.

We remark that the constant function is trivially a conserved quantity. We denote by $\text{Consv}^\phi(S)$ the space of conserved quantities for an interaction (S, ϕ) , modulo the subspace of constant functions.

An example of an interaction is given by the multi-species exclusion interaction, which gives a generalization of the exclusion interaction.




FIGURE 4. multi-species exclusion interaction for $\kappa = 2$

Example 3.4. For an integer $\kappa \geq 1$ and $S_\kappa = \{0, 1, \dots, \kappa\}$, we let

$$\phi_{\text{ms}}^\kappa := \{((j, k), (k, j)) \in S_\kappa \times S_\kappa \mid j, k \in S_\kappa, j \neq k\}.$$

Then the pair $(S_\kappa, \phi_{\text{ms}}^\kappa)$ is an interaction, which we call the *multi-species exclusion interaction*. We have $c_{\phi_{\text{ms}}^\kappa} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Consv}^{\phi_{\text{ms}}^\kappa}(S_\kappa) = \kappa$, and we have a basis ξ^1, \dots, ξ^κ of $\text{Consv}^{\phi_{\text{ms}}^\kappa}(S_\kappa)$ called the *standard basis* defined as $\xi^i(0) = 0$ and $\xi^i(j) = \delta_{ij}$ for any integer $i, j = 1, \dots, \kappa$. This interaction underlies the multi-color exclusion process studied by Dermoune-Heinrich [5] and Halim-Hacène [9], as well as the multi-species exclusion process studied by Nagahata-Sasada [14]. The case $\kappa = 1$ coincides with the exclusion interaction, hence $\phi_{\text{ms}}^1 = \phi_{\text{ex}}$.

The following two-species exclusion process with annihilation and creation gives an example of an interaction which does not come from a map as in [1]. Compare [1, Example 2.10 (4)].

Example 3.5. Let $S = \{-1, 0, +1\}$, and we let $\phi \subset (S \times S) \times (S \times S)$ be the interaction given by

$$(-1, 0) \leftrightarrow (0, -1), \quad (1, 0) \leftrightarrow (0, 1), \quad (1, -1) \leftrightarrow (-1, 1), \quad (1, -1) \leftrightarrow (0, 0) \leftrightarrow (-1, 1),$$

where \leftrightarrow denotes the existence of an edge connecting the vertices. Then (S, ϕ) is an interaction underlying the two-species exclusion process with annihilation and creation studied by Sasada in [16]. We have $c_\phi = 1$, and $\text{Consv}^\phi(S)$ is spanned by $\xi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\xi(j) = j$ for $j = -1, 0, 1$.

Note that the interactions of Example 3.4 and Example 3.5 are both exchangeable in the sense of Definition 1.2. We remark that the two color exclusion process studied by Quastel [15] is a variant of Example 3.4 with $\kappa = 2$ removing the edge $(1, 2) \leftrightarrow (2, 1)$, hence is not exchangeable.




FIGURE 5. Interaction of Example 3.4 underlying the two-species exclusion process with annihilation and creation studied in [16].

Now, let (X, E) be a locally finite symmetric digraph. For any conserved quantity $\xi \in \text{Consv}^\phi(S)$, if we let $\xi_x(\eta) := \xi(\eta_x)$ for any $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$, then ξ_x gives a function which is by definition local at x of radius 0. Hence $(\xi_x)_{x \in X}$ is a system which is uniformly local.

Definition 3.6. For any conserved quantity $\xi \in \text{Consv}^\phi(S)$, we let

$$\xi_X := \sum_{x \in X} \xi_x \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$$

the uniform functions associated to the uniformly local system $(\xi_x)_{x \in X}$. The correspondence $\xi \mapsto \xi_X$ gives an \mathbb{R} -linear homomorphism $\text{Consv}^\phi(S) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$.

We next consider the transition structure of an interaction on the configuration space. For any $e \in E$, we let $oe, te \in X$ be the origin and target of e so that $e = (oe, te)$. We define the transition structure Φ_E of an interaction (S, ϕ) on the configuration space S^X as follows.

Definition 3.7. We define the *transition structure* $\Phi_E \subset S^X \times S^X$ on the configuration space S^X by

$$\Phi_E := \{(\eta, \eta') \in S^X \times S^X \mid \exists e \in E, ((\eta_{oe}, \eta_{te}), (\eta'_{oe}, \eta'_{te})) \in \phi, \eta_x = \eta'_x \forall x \neq oe, te\}.$$

Then since $(S \times S, \phi)$ is a symmetric digraph, the configuration space with transition structure (S^X, Φ_E) is also a symmetric digraph.

The topology of the graph (S^X, Φ_E) reflects information concerning the large scale interacting system. If η and η' are in the same connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) , then this implies that there exists a path from η to η' in (S^X, Φ_E) . In this case, we say that there exists a finite sequence of transitions from η to η' . Thus, intuitively, a microscopic stochastic process associated to the interaction (S, ϕ) on a connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) would be irreducible. Since macroscopically, the microscopic stochastic movements of the local states should be negligible, the observables of our microscopic configuration space relevant for the associated macroscopic dynamics obtained by taking the hydrodynamic limit should be invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . This is the reason we are interested in functions on S^X which are invariant via transitions.

The uniform functions of Definition 2.4 is not in itself a function on S^X . Hence we first define what is meant for a uniform function to be invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . For any $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$, since f is not generally a function on S^X , the values $f(\eta)$ and $f(\eta')$ may not be defined for $\eta, \eta' \in S^X$. However, given a finite sequence of transitions from η to η' , we may define a well-defined difference $f(\eta') - f(\eta)$ as follows. Due to this property, uniform functions may be understood as a kind of potential on the configuration space.

Lemma 3.8. *For any uniform function $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ and $\eta, \eta' \in S^X$ such that $\Delta_{\eta, \eta'} := \{x \in X \mid \eta_x \neq \eta'_x\}$ is a finite set, then the difference*

$$f(\eta') - f(\eta)$$

extending the difference of local functions gives a well-defined value. In particular, given any η and η' in the same connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) , the difference $f(\eta') - f(\eta)$ gives a well-defined value.

Proof. Consider a base state $* \in S$ and an expansion $f = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R} f_{\Lambda}^*$ of Proposition 2.3 for some $R > 0$. Then for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_R$ such that $\Lambda \cap \Delta_{\eta, \eta'} = \emptyset$, we have $f_{\Lambda}^*(\eta) = f_{\Lambda}^*(\eta')$. Hence the sum of the difference

$$(8) \quad f(\eta') - f(\eta) := \sum_{\Lambda \cap \Delta_{\eta, \eta'} \neq \emptyset} (f_{\Lambda}^*(\eta') - f_{\Lambda}^*(\eta))$$

gives a well-defined finite sum and is independent of the choice of the base state $* \in S$. By definition, this difference extends the difference for local functions. The statement for a finite sequence of transitions from η to η' follows from the fact that $\Delta_{\eta, \eta'}$ is finite in this case. \square

Definition 3.9. We say that a uniform function f is *invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E)* , if for any transition $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$, the difference $f(\eta') - f(\eta) = 0$.

Let f be a uniform function which is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Then by definition, the realization $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S_*^X)$ for any base point $* \in S^X$ is a function which is constant on the connected components of (S_*^X, Φ_E^*) where $\Phi_E^* := \Phi_E \cap (S_*^X \times S_*^X)$. The uniform functions ξ_X obtained from a conserved quantity $\xi \in \text{Consv}^{\phi}(S)$ gives an example of a uniform function which is invariant via transitions.

Lemma 3.10. *For any conserved quantity $\xi \in \text{Consv}^{\phi}(S)$, the uniform function ξ_X of Definition 3.6 is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) .*

Proof. We select a base state $* \in S$. For any $\xi \in \text{Consv}^{\phi}(S)$, we take the normalization $\xi(*) = 0$. Then $\xi_x \in C_{\{x\}}(S_*^X)$. Hence the sum $\xi_X = \sum_{x \in X} \xi_x$ is in fact the expansion Eq. (3). Suppose $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$. By the definition of Φ_E , there exists $e = (x, y) \in E$ such that $\eta'_z = \eta_z$ for $z \neq x, y$ and $((\eta_x, \eta_y), (\eta'_x, \eta'_y)) \in \phi$. Hence by the definition of a conserved quantity (7), we have $\xi(\eta_x) + \xi(\eta_y) = \xi(\eta'_x) + \xi(\eta'_y)$. This shows that

$$\xi_X(\eta') - \xi_X(\eta) = 0,$$

hence that ξ_X is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . \square

As in Definition 1.2 of the introduction, we say that an interaction (S, ϕ) is *exchangeable* if and only if for any $(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S$, the configurations (s_1, s_2) and (s_2, s_1) are in the same connected component of $(S \times S, \phi)$. We may now state our main theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose (S, ϕ) is an interaction which is exchangeable, and let (X, E) be a connected and locally finite symmetric digraph such that X is infinite. Let $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ be a uniform function which is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Then there exists a conserved quantity $\xi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \xi_X$.*

Note that contrary to [1], we do not need to assume that the interaction (S, ϕ) is irreducibly quantified. The global observables of the entire large scale interacting system expressed by a uniform function which is invariant via transitions may be regarded as a global conserved quantity. The above theorem implies that the global conserved quantity of the entire system is always given as the sum of a local conserved quantity ξ associated to a local interaction.

We will prove Theorem 3.11 at the end of this section. In what follows, we assume that (S, ϕ) is an interaction which is exchangeable and that (X, E) is connected and locally finite. We first prove that the reshuffling of the components of a configuration $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$ in a configuration space for a connected graph preserves the connected components of (S^X, Φ_E) . Consider $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$. For any $x, y \in X$, if we let $\eta^{x,y}$ be the configuration obtained from η by exchanging the x and y components so that $\eta_x^{x,y} := \eta_y$ and $\eta_y^{x,y} := \eta_x$. For any $e \in E$, since we have assumed that (S, ϕ) is exchangeable, (η_{oe}, η_{te}) and (η_{te}, η_{oe}) are in the same connected component of $(S \times S, \phi)$. Hence the configuration $\eta^{oe,te}$ and η are in the same connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) . More generally, for $x, y \in X$, since we have assumed that (X, E) is connected, there exists a path $\vec{\gamma} = (e^1, \dots, e^N)$ from x to y . By successively exchanging the components of η with respect to oe^i, te^i for the edges e^i in $\vec{\gamma}$ from $i = 1$ to N and then in the opposite order from $i = N - 1$ to $i = 1$, we see that $\eta^{x,y}$ and η are in the connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) . This gives the following.

Lemma 3.12. *For a finite $\Lambda \subset X$, let $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a bijection of sets. Then for any configuration $\eta = (\eta_x) \in S^X$, the configuration η^σ given by $\eta_x^\sigma := \eta_{\sigma x}$ for $x \in \Lambda$ and $\eta_x^\sigma = \eta_x$ for $x \notin \Lambda$ is in the same connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) as that of η .*

Proof. This follows from the fact that any bijection $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is obtained by successively exchanging two elements of Λ , and that $\eta^{x,y}$ and η are in the same connected component of (S^X, Φ_E) for any $x, y \in X$. \square

We next prove the following.

Lemma 3.13. *Consider $f \in C(S_*^X)$ for a base state $* \in S$. For any $x \in X$, let $f_{\{x\}}^*$ be the function with exact support $\{x\}$ in the expansion Eq. (3). If f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) , then the functions*

$$f_{\{x\}}^*: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are equal as functions on S for any $x \in X$.

Proof. We let $s \in S$. For $x, x' \in X$, consider configurations $\eta = (\eta_x), \eta' = (\eta'_x) \in S_*^X$ such that the component of η at x and η' and x' coincides with s , and all of the other components coincide

with the base state. By Lemma 3.12, the configurations η and η' are in the same connected component of $S_*^X \subset S^X$, there exists a sequence of transitions from η to η' . Since f is invariant via transitions, we have

$$f_{\{x\}}^*(s) = f_{\{x\}}^*(\eta) = f(\eta) - f(\star) = f(\eta') - f(\star) = f_{\{x'\}}^*(\eta') = f_{\{x'\}}^*(s')$$

as desired. For the first and last equality, we have used the identification of $C(S)$ with $C(S^{\{x\}})$ and $C(S^{\{x'\}}) \subset C(S_*^X)$. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Assume that X is infinite, and let $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. If f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) , then for a base state $* \in S$ and f normalized so that $f(\star) = 0$, we have*

$$f = \sum_{x \in X} f_{\{x\}}^*$$

for the expansion of Proposition 2.3.

Proof. Since f is uniform, there exists $R > 0$ such that $f_\Lambda^* = 0$ if $\text{diam}(\Lambda) > R$. It is sufficient to prove that for any finite $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $|\Lambda| \geq 2$, we have $f_\Lambda^* = 0$. We consider $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$, and assume that $f_{\Lambda''}^* = 0$ for any Λ'' such that $2 \leq |\Lambda''| < |\Lambda|$. This condition is trivially true if $|\Lambda| = 2$. Take $\Lambda' \subset X$ such that $|\Lambda'| = |\Lambda|$ and $\text{diam}(\Lambda') > R$. Such Λ' exists since (X, E) is locally finite and infinite. By construction, $f_{\Lambda'}^* = 0$. Consider any $\eta \in S^\Lambda$, and denote again by η the configuration in S_*^X whose components coincides with that of η for $x \in \Lambda$ and is at base state for $x \notin \Lambda$. We fix a bijection $\Lambda \cong \Lambda'$, $x \mapsto x'$ and let η' be the configuration in S_*^X such that $\eta'_{x'} := \eta_x$ for $x' \in \Lambda'$ and is at base state for $x' \notin \Lambda'$. Since η' is obtained from η by rearranging the components, we see from Lemma 3.12 that η and η' are in the same connected component of S_*^X . Hence there exists a finite sequence of transitions from η to η' . Since f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) , we have $f(\eta) = f(\eta')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) &= \iota_*^\Lambda f(\eta) = f_\Lambda^*(\eta) + \sum_{\Lambda'' \subsetneq \Lambda} f_{\Lambda''}^*(\eta) = f_\Lambda^*(\eta) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda} f_{\{x\}}^*(\eta), \\ f(\eta') &= \iota_*^{\Lambda'} f(\eta') = f_{\Lambda'}^*(\eta') + \sum_{\Lambda'' \subsetneq \Lambda'} f_{\Lambda''}^*(\eta') = \sum_{x \in \Lambda'} f_{\{x\}}^*(\eta') \end{aligned}$$

since $f_{\Lambda'}^* = 0$. By Lemma 3.13, we have $\sum_{x \in \Lambda} f_{\{x\}}^*(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda'} f_{\{x\}}^*(\eta')$. This shows that for any $\eta \in S^\Lambda$, we have $f_\Lambda^*(\eta) = 0$, hence $f_\Lambda^* = 0$. Induction on the number of elements of Λ completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.11. For $x \in X$, let $\xi := f_{\{x\}}^* : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ viewed as a function on S . By Lemma 3.13, the function ξ on S is independent of the choice of x , and we have $\xi_x = f_{\{x\}}^*$ as a function on S^X , and by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.14, we have $f = \sum_{x \in X} \xi_x$. In order to show that ξ is a conserved quantity, consider an edge $e = (x_1, x_2) \in E$. Consider any $(s_1, s_2), (s'_1, s'_2) \in S \times S$ such that $((s_1, s_2), (s'_1, s'_2)) \in \phi$. If we let $\eta, \eta' \in S_*^X$ to be the configuration such that $(\eta_{x_1}, \eta_{x_2}) = (s_1, s_2)$, $(\eta'_{x_1}, \eta'_{x_2}) = (s'_1, s'_2)$, and η_x, η'_x is at base state for $x \neq x_1, x_2$. Then by the construction, we have $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$. This shows that

$$\xi(s_1) + \xi(s_2) = \xi_{x_1}(\eta) + \xi_{x_2}(\eta) = f(\eta) = f(\eta') = \xi_{x_1}(\eta') + \xi_{x_2}(\eta') = \xi(s'_1) + \xi(s'_2).$$

This proves that ξ is a conserved quantity, and $f = \sum_{x \in X} \xi_x = \xi_X$ as desired. \square

4. THE 0-TH UNIFORM COHOMOLOGY OF THE CONFIGURATION SPACE

In this section, we will interpret our main result Theorem 3.11 in terms of the 0-th cohomology of the configuration space with transition structure (S^X, Φ_E) . We first review the cohomology of general graphs (see for example [1, Appendix A]).

Definition 4.1. For any symmetric digraph (X, E) , we let

$$C(X) := \text{Map}(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad C^1(X) := \text{Map}^{\text{alt}}(E, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\text{Map}^{\text{alt}}(E, \mathbb{R}) := \{\omega: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \forall(x, y) \in E \ \omega(y, x) = -\omega(x, y)\}$. Furthermore, we define the differential

$$(9) \quad \partial: C(X) \rightarrow C^1(X), \quad f \mapsto \partial f$$

by $\partial f(e) := f(te) - f(oe)$ for any $e \in E$. We define the *cohomology* of (X, E) by

$$H^0(X) := \text{Ker } \partial, \quad H^1(X) := C^1(X)/\partial C(X),$$

and $H^m(X) := \{0\}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \neq 0, 1$.

The 0-th cohomology $H^0(X)$ is known to be the space of functions which are constant on the connected components of (X, E) (see [1, Proposition A.8]). We will apply the above construction to the configuration space with transition structure. Let (S, ϕ) be an interaction, and let (X, E) be a locally finite connected symmetric digraph. We let (S^X, Φ_E) be the configuration space with transition structure of Definition 3.7 associated to (S, ϕ) and (X, E) . Following Definition 4.1, the cohomology of the configuration space with transition structure is given by

$$C(S^X) := \text{Map}(S^X, \mathbb{R}), \quad C^1(S^X) := \text{Map}^{\text{alt}}(\Phi_E, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\text{Map}^{\text{alt}}(\Phi_E, \mathbb{R}) := \{\omega: \Phi_E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \forall(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E \ \omega(\eta', \eta) = -\omega(\eta, \eta')\}$. Furthermore, we define the differential

$$(10) \quad \partial: C(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X), \quad f \mapsto \partial f$$

by $\partial f(\eta, \eta') := f(\eta') - f(\eta)$ for any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$. We define the *cohomology* of (S^X, Φ_E) by

$$(11) \quad H^0(S^X) := \text{Ker } \partial, \quad H^1(S^X) := C^1(S^X)/\partial C(S^X),$$

and $H^m(S^X) := \{0\}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \neq 0, 1$.

When X is infinite, the graph (S^X, Φ_E) generally has an infinite number of connected components. Since the cohomology $H^0(S^X)$ is equivalent to functions on X which are constant on the connected components of (X, E) , this implies that $H^0(S^X)$ is infinite dimensional. We will replace the functions $C(S^X) = \text{Map}(S^X, \mathbb{R})$ with the space of uniform functions to construct a suitable definition of uniform cohomology.

First, since the constant function maps to zero, the differential Eq. (10) induces a differential $\partial: C^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)$. The restriction to local functions gives

$$(12) \quad \partial: C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X).$$

We may linearly extend this differential to uniform functions as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *The differential $\partial: C_{\text{loc}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)$ extends to a differential*

$$\partial: C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)$$

on the space of uniform functions.

Proof. For any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$, by definition, there exists $(x, y) \in E$ such that $\eta_z = \eta'_z$ for $z \neq x, y$. Hence $\Delta_{\eta, \eta'} = \{x \in X \mid \eta_x \neq \eta'_x\}$ is a finite set. By Lemma 3.8, we have a well-defined difference $\partial f(\eta, \eta') = f(\eta') - f(\eta)$. Hence defines a function $\partial f: \Phi_E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The map $f \mapsto \partial f$ gives a differential linearly extending the differential Eq. (12). \square

Using this differential, we may define the 0-th uniform cohomology as follows.

Definition 4.3. Following Eq. (11), we define the 0-th uniform cohomology of (S^X, Φ_E) by

$$H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) := \text{Ker}(\partial: C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X) \rightarrow C^1(S^X)).$$

As an analogy of the fact that 0-th cohomology $H^0(X)$ coincides with the space of functions constant on the connected components of (X, E) (see [1, Proposition A.8]), we may prove that the 0-th uniform cohomology $H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ coincides with the space of functions constant on the connected components of (S^X, Φ_E) , i.e. functions invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) .

Lemma 4.4. *Consider a uniform function $f \in C_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. Then $f \in H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ if and only if f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) .*

Proof. Let $f \in H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. Then for any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$, since $\partial f = 0$, we have

$$\partial f(\eta, \eta') = f(\eta') - f(\eta) = 0.$$

This proves that f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Conversely, suppose f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Then for any $(\eta, \eta') \in \Phi_E$, we have $\partial f(\eta, \eta') = f(\eta') - f(\eta) = 0$. This proves that $f \in H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ as desired. \square

Our main theorem gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let (S, ϕ) be an interaction which is exchangeable, and let (X, E) be a connected and locally finite symmetric digraph such that X is infinite. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\text{Consv}^\phi(S) \cong H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$, given by $\xi \mapsto \xi_X$ for any conserved quantity $\xi \in \text{Consv}^\phi(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\xi \in \text{Consv}^\phi(S)$. Then by Lemma 3.10, the uniform function ξ_X is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Hence by Lemma 4.4, we have $\xi_X \in H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. This gives a homomorphism $\iota: \text{Consv}^\phi(S) \rightarrow H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. Fix a base $*$ in S and take a representative of ξ so that $\xi(*) = 0$, and let $x \in X$. Then for any $s \in S$, let $\eta^s \in S^X$ be the configuration such that $\eta_x^s := s$ and $\eta_z^s := *$ for $z \neq x$. Then $\xi(s) = \xi_X(\eta^s)$. Hence if $\xi_X = 0$ in $H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$, then this implies that $\xi(s) = 0$ for any $s \in S$, hence ι is injective. Next, suppose $f \in H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$. Then by Lemma 4.4, the uniform function f is invariant via transitions of (S^X, Φ_E) . Hence by Theorem 3.11, there exists $\xi \in \text{Consv}^\phi(S)$ such that $f = \xi_X$. This proves that ι is surjective, hence that we have an isomorphism $\text{Consv}^\phi(S) \cong H_{\text{unif}}^0(S^X)$ as desired. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank members of the Hydrodynamic Limit Seminar at Keio/RIKEN, especially Fuyuta Komura, Jun Koriki, Hidetada Wachi, Hayate Suda and Hiroko Sekisaka for discussion and their continual support for this project.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Bannai, Y. Kametani, and M. Sasada, *Topological Structures of Large Scale Interacting Systems via Uniform Functions and Forms* (2020), to appear from Forum Math. Sigma, available at [arXiv:2009.04699](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04699)[math.PR].
- [2] K. Bannai and M. Sasada, *A Decomposition Theorem of Varadhan Type for Co-local Forms on Large Scale Interacting Systems* (2021), to appear from RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, available at [arXiv:2105.06043](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.06043)[math.PR].
- [3] ———, *Varadhan’s Decomposition of Shift-Invariant Closed L^2 -forms for Large Scale Interacting Systems on the Euclidean Lattice* (2021), preprint, available at [arXiv:2111.08934](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.08934)[math.PR].
- [4] K. Bannai, J. Koriki, M. Sasada, H. Wachi, and S. Yamamoto, *On Interactions for Large Scale Interacting Systems* (2024), preprint.
- [5] A. Dermoune and P. Heinrich, *Spectral gap for multicolor nearest-neighbor exclusion processes with site disorder*, J. Stat. Phys. **131** (2008), no. 1, 117–125, DOI 10.1007/s10955-008-9496-0. MR2394700
- [6] T. Funaki, K. Handa, and K. Uchiyama, *Hydrodynamic limit of one-dimensional exclusion processes with speed change*, Ann. Probab. **19** (1991), no. 1, 245–265. MR1085335
- [7] T. Funaki, K. Uchiyama, and H. T. Yau, *Hydrodynamic limit for lattice gas reversible under Bernoulli measures*, Nonlinear stochastic PDEs (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 77, Springer, New York, 1996, pp. 1–40, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4613-8468-7_1. MR1395890
- [8] M. Z. Guo, G. C. Papanicolaou, and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), no. 1, 31–59. MR954674
- [9] Z. Halim and B. Hacène, *Computation for the canonical measures of a colored disordered lattice gas and spectral gap*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), no. 10, 103302, 8, DOI 10.1063/1.3238479. MR2573130
- [10] C. Kipnis and C. Landim, *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 320, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR1707314
- [11] C. Kipnis, C. Landim, and S. Olla, *Hydrodynamical limit for a nongradient system: the generalized symmetric exclusion process*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), no. 11, 1475–1545, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160471104. MR1296786
- [12] ———, *Macroscopic properties of a stationary non-equilibrium distribution for a non-gradient interacting particle system*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **31** (1995), no. 1, 191–221 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1340037
- [13] T. M. Liggett, *Stochastic interacting systems: contact, voter and exclusion processes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 324, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR1717346
- [14] Y. Nagahata and M. Sasada, *Spectral gap for multi-species exclusion processes*, J. Stat. Phys. **143** (2011), no. 2, 381–398, DOI 10.1007/s10955-011-0176-0. MR2793170
- [15] J. Quastel, *Diffusion of color in the simple exclusion process*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 6, 623–679, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160450602. MR1162368
- [16] M. Sasada, *Hydrodynamic limit for two-species exclusion processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **120** (2010), no. 4, 494–521, DOI 10.1016/j.spa.2010.01.002. MR2594368
- [17] H. Spohn, *Large Scale Dynamics of Interacting Particles*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1991.
- [18] S. R. S. Varadhan and H. T. Yau, *Diffusive limit of lattice gas with mixing conditions*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), no. 4, 623–678, DOI 10.4310/AJM.1997.v1.n4.a1. MR1621569

Email address: bannai@math.keio.ac.jp

Email address: sasada@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(Bannai) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOUHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA 223-8522, JAPAN.

(Sasada) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-0041, JAPAN.

(Bannai, Sasada) MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEAM, RIKEN CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT (AIP), 1-4-1 NIHONBASHI, CHUO-KU, TOKYO 103-0027, JAPAN.