

# NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES VIA ORLICZ FUNCTION

PINTU BHUNIA AND RAJ KUMAR NAYAK

ABSTRACT. Employing the Orlicz functions we extend the Buzano's inequality which is a refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Also using the Orlicz functions we obtain several numerical radius inequalities for a bounded linear operator as well as the products of operators. We deduce different new upper bounds for the numerical radius. It is shown that

$$w(T) \leq \sqrt[n]{\log \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} e^{w(T^n)} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) e^{\|T\|^n} \right]} \leq \|T\| \quad \forall n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

where  $w(T)$  and  $\|T\|$  denote the numerical radius and the operator norm of a bounded linear operator  $T$ , respectively.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  represent the  $C^*$ -algebra comprising all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The absolute value of  $T$ , is defined as  $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $T^*$  denotes the Hilbert adjoint of the operator  $T$ . The numerical range of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is denoted by  $W(T)$ , is the image of the unit sphere of  $\mathcal{H}$  under the mapping  $x \rightarrow \langle Tx, x \rangle$ . The numerical radius and the operator norm of an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , are denoted by  $w(T)$  and  $\|T\|$  respectively, and are defined as  $w(T) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|$  and  $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$ . It is universally acknowledged that  $w(\cdot)$  constitutes a norm on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  which is comparable to the operator norm through the following inequality:  $\frac{\|T\|}{2} \leq w(T) \leq \|T\|$ . Significant progress has been made in addressing this inequality in recent years. Here, we emphasize a few of these noteworthy advancements. In [15], Kittaneh demonstrated that  $w(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\| + \|T^*\|)$ . This inequality was further generalized by El-Haddad et al. [12], who asserted that  $w^{2r}(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\|^{2r} + \|T^*\|^{2r})$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . In 2015, Abu-Omar et al. [1] demonstrated that  $w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|T\|^2 + \|T^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} w(T^2)$ . In 2021, Bhunia et al. [10] proved that  $w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|T\|^2 + \|T^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} w(|T||T^*|)$ . Subsequently, Dragomir [13] derived the following inequality for the product of two operators, demonstrating that for  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$w^r(S^*T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\|^{2r} + \|S\|^{2r}). \quad (1.1)$$

For further details on recent work regarding the numerical radius inequalities, readers can see [2, 4, 5, 9, 14, 18, 20, 21].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A12, 47A30, 15A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Numerical radius, Operator norm, Orlicz function, Inequality.

The first author, Dr. Pintu Bhunia would like to thank SERB, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of National Post Doctoral Fellowship (File No. PDF/2022/000325) under the mentorship of Prof. Apoorva Khare.

An Orlicz function is a mathematical function used in the context of Orlicz spaces, which generalize classical Lebesgue spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** An Orlicz function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous, convex and increasing function that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\phi(0) = 0$
- (ii)  $\phi(t) > 0 \forall t > 0$
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ .

**Example 1.2.** Most common examples of Orlicz function include

- (i)  $\phi(t) = t^p$  with  $p \geq 1$ , which corresponds to the standard  $\ell_p$  spaces.
- (ii)  $\phi(t) = e^t - 1$ , which defines the exponential Orlicz space.
- (iii)  $\phi(t) = t^p \log(1 + t)$ , for  $p > 0$  mixed power exponential function.
- (iv)  $\phi(t) = e^{t^2} - 1$ , quadratic exponential function.

An Orlicz function is said to be sub-multiplicative if  $\phi(t_1 t_2) \leq \phi(t_1) \phi(t_2)$ , for all  $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ . The study of numerical radius inequalities through the lens of Orlicz functions offers a fascinating exploration of operator theory within complex Hilbert spaces. By employing Orlicz functions, one can achieve sharper bounds on the numerical radius of operators, which has significant implications for both theoretical and applied mathematics.

The renowned Young inequality asserts that for any two positive real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ , along with  $t$  lying in the interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $x^t y^{1-t} \leq tx + (1-t)y$  holds. For  $t = 1/2$ , we encounter the famous arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, which stipulates that for any two positive real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ , the inequality  $\sqrt{xy} \leq \frac{x+y}{2}$  holds.

In this paper, we obtain the numerical radius inequalities of bounded linear operators involving the Orlicz functions. Choosing different Orlicz functions we deduce some new bounds for the numerical radius which refines the existing classical ones. We also deduce the existing numerical radius inequalities mentioned here.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will establish various generalizations and enhancements of the upper bounds for numerical radii via Orlicz functions. To achieve this, we will employ the following well-known lemmas. The first lemma arises as a consequence of the spectral theorem, combined with Jensen's inequality.

**Lemma 2.1.** [17] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a positive operator and  $x$  be an unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, for  $r \geq 1$ , the inequality  $\langle Tx, x \rangle^r \leq \langle T^r x, x \rangle$  holds.*

The second lemma presents a norm inequality for a non-negative convex function.

**Lemma 2.2.** [3] *Let  $\psi$  be a non-negative convex function on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be positive operators. Then  $\|\psi\left(\frac{T+S}{2}\right)\| \leq \left\|\frac{\psi(T)+\psi(S)}{2}\right\|$  holds. In particular, for  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\left\|\left(\frac{T+S}{2}\right)^r\right\| \leq \left\|\frac{T^r+S^r}{2}\right\|.$$

The third lemma presents a generalized formulation of the mixed Schwarz inequality.

**Lemma 2.3.** [16] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\psi, \eta$  are two non-negative continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\psi(t)\eta(t) = t$ . Then*

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle| \leq \|\psi(|T|)x\| \|\eta(|T^*|)y\|.$$

The following outcome pertains to Buzano's renowned extension of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

**Lemma 2.4.** [11] *Let  $x, y, e \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|e\| = 1$ . Then*

$$|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x\| \|y\| + |\langle x, y \rangle|).$$

The next lemma represents the operator form of the classical Jensen's inequality.

**Lemma 2.5.** [16] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a self-adjoint operator whose spectrum contained in the interval  $J$ , and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  be a unit vector. If  $h$  is a convex function on  $J$ , then*

$$h(\langle Tx, x \rangle) \leq \langle h(T)x, x \rangle.$$

The following lemma is a refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

**Lemma 2.6.** [19, Lemma 2.7] *Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a well-defined function. Then,*

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{f(t)}{1+f(t)} \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{1+f(t)} |\langle x, y \rangle| \|x\| \|y\| \leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

First we present an enhancement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality through the Orlicz extension of the Buzano's inequality. The proof follows from Lemma 2.4 and the convexity property of the Orlicz function.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $x, y, e \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|e\| = 1$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle|) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\phi(\|x\| \|y\|) + \phi(|\langle x, y \rangle|)).$$

*In particular, for  $\phi(t) = e^t - 1$  and  $e^{t^2} - 1$ ,*

$$|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\|x\| \|y\|} + \frac{1}{2} e^{|\langle x, y \rangle|} \right) \leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2} \right)} \leq \|x\| \|y\|. \quad (2.2)$$

It is given in [15] that for any  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $w(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . We obtain an extended version of the above bound via the Orlicz function, the proof follows by using the convexity property of the Orlicz function.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi(\|T\|) + \phi\left(\|T^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right).$$

*In particular, for  $\phi(t) = e^t - 1$ ,*

$$w(T) \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\|T\|} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\|T^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \leq \|T\|. \quad (2.3)$$

The bound (2.3) refines the bound  $w(T) \leq \|T\|$ . Next we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be any well-defined function. Then for any sub-multiplicative Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w^2(T^*S)) &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(T^*S)) \left\| \phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|S|^2) \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(|S|^2|T|^2)) + \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \left\| \phi(|T|^4) + \phi(|S|^4) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  be a unit vector. By using the convex nature of  $\phi$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(|\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|^2) &= \phi(|\langle Tx, Sx \rangle|^2) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \frac{1}{(1+f(t))} \|Tx\| \|Sx\| |\langle Tx, Sx \rangle| + \frac{f(t)}{(1+f(t))} \|Tx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad \text{(using Lemma 2.6)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+f(t))} \phi(\|Tx\| \|Sx\| |\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|) + \frac{f(t)}{(1+f(t))} \phi(\|Tx\|^2 \|Sx\|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+f(t))} \phi \left( \left\langle \left( \frac{|T|^2 + |S|^2}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \right) \phi(|\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{(1+f(t))} \phi(\langle |T|^2 x, x \rangle \langle x, |S|^2 x \rangle) \\ &\quad \text{(using A.M-G.M inequality and sub-multiplicity of } \phi) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \langle (\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|S|^2)) x, x \rangle \phi(|\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{(1+f(t))} \phi \left( \frac{\| |T|^2 x \| \| |S|^2 x \| + |\langle |T|^2 x, |S|^2 x \rangle|}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \text{(using Lemma 2.4)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \langle (\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|S|^2)) x, x \rangle \phi(|\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi \left( \left\langle \left( \frac{|T|^4 + |S|^4}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(|\langle (|S|^2|T|^2) x, x \rangle|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \langle (\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|S|^2)) x, x \rangle \phi(|\langle T^*Sx, x \rangle|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \langle (\phi(|T|^4) + \phi(|S|^4)) x, x \rangle \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(|\langle (|S|^2|T|^2) x, x \rangle|) \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(T^*S)) \|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|S|^2)\| \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(|S|^2|T|^2)) + \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \|\phi(|T|^4) + \phi(|S|^4)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we derive the desired inequality.  $\square$

The following corollaries can be derived from Theorem 2.9 by choosing different Orlicz functions.

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a well-defined function. Then for  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^{2r}(T^*S) & \leq \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} w^r(T^*S) \||T|^{2r} + |S|^{2r}\| + \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \||T|^{4r} + |S|^{4r}\| \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} w^r(|S|^2|T|^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \||T|^{4r} + |S|^{4r}\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By considering the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = t^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$  in Theorem 2.9 we get the first inequality. The second inequality follows from the first inequality by using (1.1) along with Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

The above result is also given in [19, Theorem 2.10].

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^2(S^*T) & \leq \frac{1}{3} \||T|^2 + |S|^2\| w(T^*S) + \frac{1}{12} \||T|^4 + |S|^4\| + \frac{1}{6} w(|S|^2|T|^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{3} \||T|^2 + |S|^2\| w(T^*S) + \frac{1}{6} \||T|^4 + |S|^4\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By choosing the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = t$  and setting  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 2.9, we get the first inequality and the second inequality follows using (1.1).  $\square$

The second inequality is established by Kittaneh and Moradi [14, Theorem 1]. Therefore, the inequality in Theorem 2.9 (also Corollary 2.11) generalizes and improves the existing bound given in [14, Theorem 1].

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^2(T^*S) & \leq \frac{1}{2(1+t)} \||T|^2 + |S|^2\| w(T^*S) + \frac{t}{4(1+t)} \||T|^4 + |S|^4\| \\
 & + \frac{t}{2(1+t)} w(|S|^2|T|^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2(1+t)} \||T|^2 + |S|^2\| w(T^*S) + \frac{t}{2(1+t)} \||T|^4 + |S|^4\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Considering the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = t$  and  $f(t) = t$  in Theorem 2.9, we get the first inequality and the second inequality follows using (1.1).  $\square$

The second inequality is given by Al-Dolat et al. [2, Theorem 2.6]. Therefore, the inequality stated in Theorem 2.9 (also Corollary 2.12) extends and improves upon the inequality [2, Theorem 2.6].

If we consider the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = t^r$ , with  $r \geq 1$  and  $f(t) = t$  in Theorem 2.9, we obtain the bound established by Nayak [18, Theorem 2.16].

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} w^{2r}(T^*S) &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+t)} \left\| |T|^{2r} + |S|^{2r} \right\| w^r(T^*S) + \frac{t}{4(1+t)} \left\| |T|^{4r} + |S|^{4r} \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{2(1+t)} w^r(|S|^2|T|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Our next theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $g, h$  be two non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then, for a well-defined function  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and for any sub-multiplicative Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w^2(T)) &\leq \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \left\| \phi(g^4(|T|)) + \phi(h^4(|T^*|)) \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|))) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(w(T)) \left\| \phi(g^2(|T|)) + \phi(h^2(|T^*|)) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  be an unit vector. Employing the convexity property of  $\phi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) &= \phi\left(\frac{f(t)}{1+f(t)} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{1+f(t)} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{f(t)}{1+f(t)} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) + \frac{1}{1+f(t)} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{f(t)}{1+f(t)} \phi(\langle g^2(|T|)x, x \rangle \langle h^2(|T^*|)x, x \rangle) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1+f(t)} \phi\left(|\langle Tx, x \rangle| \sqrt{\langle g^2(|T|)x, x \rangle \langle h^2(|T^*|)x, x \rangle}\right) \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(using Lemma 2.3)} \\ &\leq \frac{f(t)}{1+f(t)} \phi\left(\frac{\|g^2(|T|x)\| \|h^2(|T^*|)x\| + |\langle g^2(|T|x), h^2(|T^*|)x \rangle|}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1+f(t)} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|) \phi\left(\frac{\langle g^2(|T|x), x \rangle + \langle h^2(|T^*|)x, x \rangle}{2}\right) \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(using Lemma 2.4 and sub-multiplicity property of } \phi) \\ &\leq \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi(\|g^2(|T|x)\| \|h^2(|T^*|)x\|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (|\langle h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|)x, x \rangle|) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (|\langle Tx, x \rangle|) \langle \{ \phi (g^2(|T|)) + \phi (h^2(|T^*|)) \} x, x \rangle \\
 \leq & \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi \left( \left\langle \left( \frac{g^4(|T|) + h^4(|T^*|)}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \right) \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (|\langle h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|)x, x \rangle|) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (|\langle Tx, x \rangle|) \langle \{ \phi (g^2(|T|)) + \phi (h^2(|T^*|)) \} x, x \rangle \\
 \leq & \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \langle (\phi (g^4(|T|)) + \phi (h^4(|T^*|))) x, x \rangle \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (\langle h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|)x, x \rangle) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (|\langle Tx, x \rangle|) \langle \{ \phi (g^2(|T|)) + \phi (h^2(|T^*|)) \} x, x \rangle \\
 \leq & \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \|\phi (g^4(|T|)) + \phi (h^4(|T^*|))\| \\
 & + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (w (h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|))) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} \phi (w(T)) \|\phi (g^2(|T|)) + \phi (h^2(|T^*|))\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we obtain our required inequality.  $\square$

In particular, if we consider  $\phi(t) = t, t \geq 0$ , then we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $g, h$  be two non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then, for a well-defined function  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^2(T) & \leq \frac{f(t)}{4(1+f(t))} \|g^4(|T|) + h^4(|T^*|)\| + \frac{f(t)}{2(1+f(t))} w (h^2(|T^*|)g^2(|T|)) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(1+f(t))} w(T) \|g^2(|T|) + h^2(|T^*|)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

This result also proved in [19, Theorem 2.16].

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^2(T) & \leq \frac{1}{12} \||T|^2 + |T^*|^2\| + \frac{1}{6} w (|T^*||T|) + \frac{1}{3} w(T) \||T| + |T^*|\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{6} \||T|^2 + |T^*|^2\| + \frac{1}{3} w(T) \||T| + |T^*|\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Taking  $\phi(t) = t$ ,  $g(t) = h(t) = \sqrt{t}$  and  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 2.14, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w^2(T) &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left\| |T|^2 + |T^*|^2 \right\| + \frac{1}{6} w(|T^*||T|) + \frac{1}{3} w(T) \left\| |T| + |T^*| \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \left\| |T|^2 + |T^*|^2 \right\| + \frac{1}{3} w(T) \left\| |T| + |T^*| \right\| \quad (\text{using inequality (1.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The second bound proved by Kittaneh et al. [14, Theorem 2]. Hence, the inequality established in Theorem 2.14 (also Corollary 2.16) extends and improves the previous upper bound [14, Theorem 2].

Bhunia and Paul [6, Th. 2.11] proved that for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$w^{2r}(T) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} w^r(T^2) + \left\| \frac{\alpha}{4} |T|^{2r} + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right) |T^*|^{2r} \right\|$$

and

$$w^{2r}(T) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} w^r(T^2) + \left\| \frac{\alpha}{4} |T^*|^{2r} + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right) |T|^{2r} \right\|.$$

We now generalize the inequalities via Orlicz function.

**Theorem 2.17.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$  and for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(w(T^2)) + \left\| \frac{\alpha}{4} \phi(|T|^2) + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right) \phi(|T^*|^2) \right\|$$

and

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(w(T^2)) + w \left\| \frac{\alpha}{4} \phi(|T^*|^2) + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right) \phi(|T|^2) \right\|.$$

*Proof.* Substituting  $x$  with  $Tx$ ,  $y$  with  $T^*x$  and  $e$  with  $x$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$  in Lemma 2.4, yields

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle T^2x, x \rangle| + \|Tx\| \|T^*x\|). \quad (2.4)$$

Using the convexity property of  $\phi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) &= \phi(\alpha |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + (1 - \alpha) |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) \\ &\leq \alpha \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) + (1 - \alpha) \phi(\|T^*x\|^2) \\ &\leq \alpha \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} (|\langle T^2x, x \rangle| + \|Tx\| \|T^*x\|)\right) + (1 - \alpha) \phi(\langle |T^*|^2x, x \rangle) \\ &\hspace{15em} (\text{using inequality (2.4)}) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi\left(\frac{\|Tx\|^2 + \|T^*x\|^2}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) \phi(\langle |T^*|^2x, x \rangle) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi\left(\left\langle \left(\frac{|T|^2 + |T^*|^2}{2}\right) x, x \right\rangle\right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) \phi(\langle |T^*|^2x, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) + \left\langle \left( \frac{\alpha}{4}\phi(|T|^2) + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right)\phi(|T^*|^2) \right) x, x \right\rangle \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi(w(T^2)) + \left\| \frac{\alpha}{4}\phi(|T|^2) + \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha}{4}\right)\phi(|T^*|^2) \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the supremum over all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we obtain the first inequality. For the second inequality replace  $T$  by  $T^*$  in the first inequality.  $\square$

Next theorem reads as

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $g, h$  be non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$  and for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \left\| \frac{\alpha}{2} [\phi(g^4(|T|)) + \phi(h^4(|T^*|))] + (1 - \alpha)\phi(|T|^2) \right\|$$

and

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \left\| \frac{\alpha}{2} [\phi(g^4(|T^*|)) + \phi(h^4(|T|))] + (1 - \alpha)\phi(|T^*|^2) \right\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Then by using the convexity property of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) &= \phi(\alpha|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + (1 - \alpha)|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) \\
 &\leq \alpha\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(\|T^*x\|^2) \\
 &\leq \alpha\phi(\langle g^2(|T|x), x \rangle \langle h^2(|T^*|)x, x \rangle) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(\langle |T^*|^2x, x \rangle) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(using Lemma 2.3)} \\
 &\leq \alpha\phi\left(\left\langle \left( \frac{g^4(|T|) + h^4(|T^*|)}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle\right) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(\langle |T^*|^2x, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\langle \{\phi(g^4(|T|)) + \phi(h^4(|T^*|))\} x, x \rangle + (1 - \alpha)\langle \phi(|T^*|^2) x, x \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} (\phi(g^4(|T|)) + \phi(h^4(|T^*|))) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(|T^*|^2) \right\} x, x \right\rangle \\
 &\leq \left\| \frac{\alpha}{2} [\phi(g^4(|T|)) + \phi(h^4(|T^*|))] + (1 - \alpha)\phi(|T^*|^2) \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the supremum over all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we obtain the first inequality. Second inequality can be obtained by replacing  $T$  with  $T^*$  in first inequality.  $\square$

In particular, taking  $g(t) = h(t) = \sqrt{t}$  and  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 2.18, we obtain

**Corollary 2.19.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)\|.$$

In [8, Theorem 2.4], it is given that

$$w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{4}w^2(|T| + i|T^*|) + \frac{1}{4}w(|T||T^*|) + \frac{1}{8}\||T|^2 + |T^*|^2\|.$$

We now extend the above inequality via Orlicz function.

**Theorem 2.20.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}w^2(|T| + i|T^*|)\right) + \frac{1}{4}\phi(w(|T||T^*|)) + \frac{1}{8}\|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Using the convexity of the Orlicz function  $\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) &\leq \phi(\langle |T|x, x \rangle \langle |T^*|x, x \rangle) \quad (\text{using Lemma 2.3}) \\
&\leq \phi\left(\left(\frac{\langle |T|x, x \rangle + \langle |T^*|x, x \rangle}{2}\right)^2\right) \\
&= \phi\left(\frac{\langle |T|x, x \rangle^2 + \langle |T^*|x, x \rangle^2}{4} + \frac{\langle |T|x, x \rangle \langle |T^*|x, x \rangle}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{4}|\langle (|T| + i|T^*|)x, x \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\||T|x\| \||T^*|x\| + |\langle |T|x, |T^*|x \rangle|)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}|\langle (|T| + i|T^*|)x, x \rangle|^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}\phi(\||T|x\| \||T^*|x\|) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\phi(|\langle (|T^*||T|)x, x \rangle|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}|\langle (|T| + i|T^*|)x, x \rangle|^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}\phi\left(\frac{\||T|x\|^2 + \||T^*|x\|^2}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\phi(|\langle (|T^*||T|)x, x \rangle|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}|\langle (|T| + i|T^*|)x, x \rangle|^2\right) + \frac{1}{8}\langle (\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2))x, x \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\phi(|\langle (|T^*||T|)x, x \rangle|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}w^2(|T| + i|T^*|)\right) + \frac{1}{4}\phi(w(|T^*||T|)) + \frac{1}{8}\|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Also, from the proof of Theorem 2.20, we can show that

**Corollary 2.21.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\phi(|T|) + \phi(|T^*|)\|.$$

Next bound is as follows.

**Theorem 2.22.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\min\{\phi(w(|T||T^*|)), \phi(w(T^2))\} + \frac{1}{4}\|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . From the convexity of the Orlicz function  $\phi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) &= \phi(\alpha|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + (1-\alpha)|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) \\
&\leq \alpha\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) + (1-\alpha)\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2) \\
&\leq \alpha\phi(\langle |T|x, x \rangle \langle |T^*|x, x \rangle) + (1-\alpha)\phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle \langle x, T^*x \rangle|) \\
&\quad (\text{using Lemma 2.3})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \alpha\phi(\langle |T|x, x \rangle \langle x, |T^*|x \rangle) + (1 - \alpha)\phi\left(\frac{|\langle Tx, T^*x \rangle| + \|Tx\|\|T^*x\|}{2}\right) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(using Lemma 2.4)} \\
 &\leq \alpha\phi\left(\frac{|\langle |T|x, |T^*|x \rangle| + \||T|x\|\||T^*|x\||}{2}\right) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi\left(\frac{\|Tx\|^2 + \|T^*x\|^2}{2}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle (|T^*||T|)x, x \rangle|) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi\left(\frac{\||T|x\|^2 + \||T^*|x\|^2}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi\left(\left\langle \left(\frac{|T|^2 + |T^*|^2}{2}\right)x, x \right\rangle\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle |T^*||T|x, x \rangle|) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi\left(\left\langle \left(\frac{|T|^2 + |T^*|^2}{2}\right)x, x \right\rangle\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\left\langle \left(\frac{\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)}{2}\right)x, x \right\rangle \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi(w(|T^*||T|)) + \frac{1}{4}\|\phi(|T|^2) + \phi(|T^*|^2)\| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\phi(w(T^2)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the supremum over  $\|x\| = 1$ , we obtain the desired bound.  $\square$

**Remark 2.23.** In particular, taking the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = t^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$  in Theorem 2.22, we obtain that for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$w^{2r}(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \min \{w^r(|T||T^*|), w^r(T^2)\} + \frac{1}{4} \||T|^{2r} + |T^*|^{2r}\|. \quad (2.5)$$

Clearly, this bound is stronger than the bound [10, Corollary 2.6], namely,  $w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(|T||T^*|) + \frac{1}{4}\||T|^2 + |T^*|^2\|$ .

From the proof of Theorem 2.22, we can also show that

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$ ,*

$$\phi(w^2(T)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \min \{\phi(w(|T||T^*|)), \phi(w(T^2))\} + \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\||T|^2 + |T^*|^2\|\right).$$

By choosing the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = e^t - 1$  in Theorem 2.24, we obtain

**Corollary 2.25.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w^2(T) \leq \log \left[ \frac{1}{2}e^{w(T^2)} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{\||T^*T + TT^*\|}{2}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\|T^*T + TT^*\| \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$w^2(T) \leq \log \left[ \frac{1}{2}e^{w(|T||T^*|)} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{\||T^*T + TT^*\|}{2}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\|T^*T + TT^*\|. \quad (2.7)$$

Also applying Proposition 2.7, we obtain the following numerical radius bound.

**Corollary 2.26.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w^4(T) \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{w^2(T^2)} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\| |T|^4 + |T^*|^4 \|}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{\| |T|^4 + |T^*|^4 \|}{2}. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $Tx$ ,  $y$  by  $T^*x$  and  $e$  by  $x$  in the inequality (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^4 &\leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\|Tx\|^2 \|T^*x\|^2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{|\langle Tx, T^*x \rangle|^2} \right) \\ &\leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\|Tx\|^4 + \|T^*x\|^4}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{|\langle T^2x, x \rangle|^2} \right) \\ &\leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{w^2(T^2)} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\| |T|^4 + |T^*|^4 \|}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{\| |T|^4 + |T^*|^4 \|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the supremum over  $\|x\| = 1$ , we get the desired bounds.  $\square$

In [7], Bhunia proved that  $w^n(T) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} w(T^n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \|T^k\| \|T\|^{n-k} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} w(T^n) + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}) \|T\|^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain a generalisation of the above inequalities via Orlicz function. For this purpose we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.27.** [7, Lemma 2.1] *Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_n, e \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|e\| = 1$ . Then*

$$\left| \prod_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, e \rangle \right| \leq \frac{|\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \prod_{k=3}^n \langle x_k, e \rangle| + \prod_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|}{2}.$$

**Theorem 2.28.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then for any Orlicz function  $\phi$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w^n(T)) &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \phi(w(T^n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \phi(\|T^k\| \|T\|^{n-k}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \phi(w(T^n)) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \phi(\|T\|^n). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Then by the convex property of  $\phi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^n) &= \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-2}|) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \frac{|\langle Tx, T^*x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-2}| + \|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \text{(using Lemma 2.27)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-2}|) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \phi(|\langle T^2x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-3}|) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \phi \left( \frac{|\langle T^2x, T^*x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-3}| + \|T^2x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-2}}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(using Lemma 2.27)} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4}\phi(|\langle T^3x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-4}|) + \frac{1}{4}\phi(\|T^2x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-2}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4}\phi\left(\frac{|\langle T^3x, T^*x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-4}| + \|T^3x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-3}}{2}\right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4}\phi(\|T^2x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-2}) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8}\phi(|\langle T^4x, x \rangle \langle T^*x, x \rangle^{n-4}|) + \frac{1}{8}\phi(\|T^3x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-3}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4}\phi(\|T^2x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-2}) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|Tx\| \|T^*x\|^{n-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^n) & \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\phi(|\langle T^n x, x \rangle|) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k}\phi(\|T^k x\| \|T^*x\|^{n-k}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\phi(w(T^n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k}\phi(\|T^k\| \|T\|^{n-k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over all unit vectors  $x$ , we get the required inequalities.  $\square$

By choosing the Orlicz function  $\phi(t) = e^t - 1$  in Theorem 2.28, we obtain

**Corollary 2.29.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then for every  $n(\geq 2) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$w(T) \leq \sqrt[n]{\log \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} e^{w(T^n)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) e^{\|T\|^n} \right]} \leq \|T\|. \quad (2.9)$$

*In particular, for  $n=2$ ,*

$$w(T) \leq \sqrt{\log \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{w(T^2)} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\|T\|^2} \right)} \leq \|T\|. \quad (2.10)$$

Following result gives an estimation for the numerical radius of nilpotent operators.

**Corollary 2.30.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $T^n = 0$  for some  $n(\geq 2) \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$w(T) \leq \sqrt[n]{\log \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) e \right]} \|T\|.$$

*Proof.* Consider  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then from (2.9), we get  $w^n(T) \leq \log \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) e \right]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Declarations: Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Abu-Omar and F. Kittaneh, Upper and lower bounds for the numerical radius with an application to involution operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 45 (2015), no. 4, 1055-1065.
2. M. Al-Dolat and I. Jaradat, A refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality accompanied by new numerical radius upper bounds, *Filomat*, 37 (2023), no. 3, 971-977.
3. J. S. Aujla and F. C. Silva, Weak majorization inequalities and convex functions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 369 (2003), 217-233.
4. P. Bhunia, Improved bounds for the numerical radius via polar decomposition of operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 683 (2024), 31-45.
5. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Refinement of numerical radius inequalities of complex Hilbert space operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 89 (2023), no. 3-4, 427-436.
6. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Proper improvement of well-known numerical radius inequalities and their applications, *Results Math.* 76 (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 177, 12 pp.
7. P. Bhunia, Power numerical radius inequalities from an extension of Buzano's inequality, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.17657>
8. P. Bhunia, S. Jana and K. Paul, Numerical radius inequalities and estimation of zeros of polynomials, *Georgian Math. J.* 30 (2023), no. 5, 671-682.
9. P. Bhunia, S. S. Dragomir, M. S. Moslehian and K. Paul, *Lectures on Numerical Radius Inequalities*, Infosys Sci. Found. Ser. Math. Sci., Springer cham, (2022), XII+ 209 pp. ISBN 978-3-031-13670-2
10. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, New upper bounds for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 167 (2021), Paper No. 102959, 11 pp.
11. M. L. Buzano, Generalizzazione della disuguaglianza di Cauchy-Schwarz, (Italian) *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. e Politec. Torino* 31 (1974), 405-409.
12. M. El-Haddad and F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators, II. *Studia Math.* 182 (2007), no. 2, 133-140.
13. S. S. Dragomir, Power inequalities for the numerical radius of a product of two operators in Hilbert spaces, *Sarajevo J. Math.* 5(18) (2009), no. 2, 269-278.
14. F. Kittaneh and H. R. Moradi, Cauchy-Schwarz type inequalities and applications to numerical radius inequalities, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 23 (2020), no. 3, 1117-1125.
15. F. Kittaneh, A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, *Studia Math.* 158 (2003), no. 1, 11-17.
16. F. Kittaneh, Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 24 (1988), no. 2, 283-293.
17. C. A. McCarthy,  $C_p$ , *Israel J. Math.* 5(1967), 249-271.
18. R. K. Nayak, Advancement of Numerical Radius Inequalities of Operators and Product of Operators, *Iran. J. Sci.* 48 (2024), no. 3, 649-657.
19. R. K. Nayak, Enhancement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and its implications for numerical radius inequalities. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.19698>
20. R. K. Nayak, Weighted numerical radius inequalities for operator and operator matrices, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 90 (2024), no. 1-2, 193-206.
21. M. Sababheh, H. R. Moradi and S. Sahoo, Inner product inequalities with applications, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2024.2312444>

(BHUNIA) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BENGALURU 560012, KARNATAKA, INDIA

*Email address:* [pintubhunia5206@gmail.com](mailto:pintubhunia5206@gmail.com) / [pintubhunia@iisc.ac.in](mailto:pintubhunia@iisc.ac.in)

(NAYAK) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GKCIET, MALDA, INDIA

*Email address:* [raj कुमार@gkciет.ac.in](mailto:raj कुमार@gkciет.ac.in) / [raj कुमारju51@gmail.com](mailto:raj कुमारju51@gmail.com)