Second-order spin hydrodynamics from Zubarev's nonequilibrium statistical operator formalism

Abhishek Tiwari†[1](#page-0-0)and Binoy Krishna Patra†[2](#page-0-1)

† Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247 667, India

Abstract

Using the Zubarev's nonequilibrium statistical operator formalism, we derive the second-order dissipative tensors in relativistic spin hydrodynamics, viz. rotational stress tensor $(\tau_{\mu\nu})$, boost heat vector (q_{μ}) , shear stress tensor $(\pi_{\mu\nu})$ and bulk viscous pressure (Π). The first two $(\tau_{\mu\nu})$ and q_{μ}) emerge due to the inclusion of the antisymmetric part in the energy-momentum tensor, which, in turn, governs the conservation of spin angular momentum $(\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu})$. As a result, new thermodynamic forces, generated due to the antisymmetric part of $T_{\mu\nu}$, contains the spin chemical potential. In this work, we have also taken the spin density $(S^{\mu\nu})$ as an independent thermodynamic variable, in addition to the energy density and particle density, thereby resulting in an additional transport coefficient given by the correlation between $S^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau^{\mu\nu}$. The newly found terms in $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and Π are the artifacts of the new thermodynamic forces that arise due to the antisymmetric part of $T^{\mu\nu}$. Finally we have derived the evolution equations for the aforesaid tensors - $\tau_{\mu\nu}$, q_{μ} , $\pi_{\mu\nu}$, and II.

Keywords: Spin hydrodynamics, Zubarev's nonequilibrium statistical operator, Second order spin hydrodynamics.

1 Introduction

Ever since the experiments $[1, 2, 3]$ $[1, 2, 3]$ $[1, 2, 3]$ $[1, 2, 3]$ measuring the spin polarization of Λ hyperons, there has been a growing interest in studying spin-dependent observables. Also, the study of spin transport phenomena in condensed matter systems, known as spintronics [\[4\]](#page-29-2), has become a prominent research area. In addition to this, despite the success of relativistic hydrodynamics in various areas, it has been unable to provide a comprehensive explanation for the experimental data on Λ polarization. An effective way to address these findings is by incorporating the spin degree of freedom. These results strongly motivate the development of a theoretical framework that describes spin transport in relativistic plasma. Significant efforts have been made to develop hydrodynamics that includes spin, known as spin-hydrodynamics. Various approaches have been proposed to

¹abhishek t@ph.iitr.ac.in

²binoy@ph.iitr.ac.in

incorporate spin into hydrodynamics, including the quantum kinetic theory approach [\[5,](#page-29-3) [6,](#page-29-4) [7,](#page-29-5) [8,](#page-29-6) [9,](#page-29-7) [10,](#page-29-8) [11,](#page-29-9) [12,](#page-29-10) [13\]](#page-29-11), approaches based on the second law of thermodynamics [\[14,](#page-29-12) [15,](#page-29-13) [16,](#page-29-14) [17,](#page-30-0) [18\]](#page-30-1), the effective Lagrangian approach $[19, 20, 21, 22]$ $[19, 20, 21, 22]$ $[19, 20, 21, 22]$ $[19, 20, 21, 22]$ $[19, 20, 21, 22]$ $[19, 20, 21, 22]$, the equilibrium partition function approach $[23, 24, 25]$ $[23, 24, 25]$ $[23, 24, 25]$ $[23, 24, 25]$, entropy current analysis $[26, 24]$ [27\]](#page-30-10), and the quantum statistical density operator [\[28,](#page-30-11) [29,](#page-30-12) [30,](#page-30-13) [31,](#page-30-14) [32\]](#page-30-15).

This paper employs Zubarev's nonequilibrium statistical operator (NESO) [\[33,](#page-31-0) [34\]](#page-31-1) to develop a framework for second-order spin-hydrodynamics. Zubarev's NESO method describes nonequilibrium processes and incorporates irreversibility in the description. The introduction of irreversibility is achieved by incorporating an infinitesimal source term into the Liouville equation. The NESO is an extension of the Gibbs canonical ensemble, i.e. the Gibbs relation remains locally valid. By utilizing this formalism, we can distinctly separate the equilibrium and nonequilibrium components. We have derived the second-order expression for rotational stress tensor ($\tau_{\mu\nu}$, boost heat vector (q_{μ}), shear stress tensor ($\pi_{\mu\nu}$) and bulk viscous pressure (Π) by incorporating the antisymmetric part in the energy-momentum tensor, in addition to the symmetric part. Moreover, the transport coefficients of the system can be naturally obtained in the form of a Kubo-type formula.

This paper is structured as follows: Section II deals with the equation of motions for the energy-momentum tensor, charge, and angular momentum. We also discuss the entropy production using the extended thermodynamic relation involving the spin chemical potential and spin density, in addition to the conventional thermodynamic variables. In Section III, we introduce the nonequilibrium statistical operator (NESO) and calculate the nonequilibrium part of the nonequilibrium statistical operator in terms of hydrodynamic gradient expansion. In Section IV, we revisited the first-order spin hydrodynamics and derived the transport coefficients that emerge in first-order spin hydrodynamics. In Section V, we have derived the second-order expressions and the evolution equations for the rotational stress tensor $(\tau_{\mu\nu})$, boost heat vector (q_{μ}) , shear stress tensor $(\pi_{\mu\nu})$ and bulk viscous pressure (Π). Finally, we conclude with the summary and discussion in Section VI.

2 Review of Relativistic Spin Hydrodynamics

Throughout this paper, we employ the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. The projection operator is defined as $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - u_{\mu}u_{\nu}$, with the normalization condition for the fluid velocity given by $u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = 1$. Given our focus on investigating the spin effect, we adopt the Landau frame where $h_{\mu} = 0$. In addition, the projectors and other shorthand notation used in this paper are

$$
\Delta^{\lambda\delta}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\lambda}_{\mu} \Delta^{\delta}_{\nu} + \Delta^{\lambda}_{\nu} \Delta^{\delta}_{\mu}) - \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\lambda\delta} \Delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Delta^{\lambda\delta}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\lambda}_{\mu} \Delta^{\delta}_{\nu} - \Delta^{\lambda}_{\nu} \Delta^{\delta}_{\mu}), \tag{1}
$$

$$
A^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}(A^{\mu\nu} + A^{\nu\mu}), \quad A^{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2}(A^{\mu\nu} - A^{\nu\mu}), \tag{2}
$$

$$
A^{<\mu\nu>} = \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\delta} A^{\lambda\delta}, \quad A^{<\mu\nu>} = \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\delta} A^{\lambda\delta}.
$$
 (3)

2.1 Equation of motions

To start with the derivation of hydrodynamic equations of motion, we assume that the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, charge current N^{μ} and total angular momentum $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ are conserved for the system of interest [\[14,](#page-29-12) [17\]](#page-30-0). The conservation laws are given by

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0,\tag{4}
$$

$$
\partial_{\mu}N^{\mu}=0,\tag{5}
$$

$$
\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{6}
$$

The orbital and the spin part contribute to the total angular momentum. Microscopically, it is known that

$$
J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = x^{\alpha} T^{\mu\beta} - x^{\beta} T^{\mu\alpha} + \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{7}
$$

here $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ is the intrinsic spin degree of freedom. $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ and $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ are antisymmetric in the last two indices. The conservation law for $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ restrict $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ to follow

$$
\partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\mu \alpha \beta} = -\left(T^{\alpha \beta} - T^{\beta \alpha} \right). \tag{8}
$$

The next step involves writing a systematic gradient expansion for $T^{\mu\nu}$, N^{μ} and $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$. The most general tensor decomposition of $T^{\mu\nu}$, N^{μ} and $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ up to the second-order in gradient expansion is given by

$$
T^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - (p+ \Pi) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu} u^{\nu} + h^{\nu} u^{\mu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu} u^{\nu} - q^{\nu} u^{\mu} + \tau^{\mu\nu} + T_2^{\mu\nu}, \tag{9}
$$

$$
N^{\mu} = nu^{\mu} + j^{\mu} + N_2^{\mu}, \tag{10}
$$

$$
\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} = u^{\mu} S^{\alpha\beta} + \Sigma_1^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{11}
$$

where ε is the energy density, n is the particle density, $S^{\alpha\beta}$ is the spin density, p is the equilibrium pressure. Furthermore, it is important to note that u^{μ} , ε , p , n , $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ are zeroth order term $\mathcal{O}(\partial^0)$ in gradient expansion. Whereas, the dissipative terms Π , h^{μ} , q^{μ} , j^{μ} , $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ are first order in gradient expansion $\mathcal{O}(\partial^{1})$ and they satisfy the conditions:

$$
u_{\mu}h^{\mu} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
u_{\mu}q^{\mu} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
u_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\pi^{\mu\nu} = \pi^{\nu\mu},
$$

\n
$$
\pi^{\mu} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\tau^{\mu\nu} = -\tau^{\nu\mu}.
$$
\n(12)

All the second-order corrections to the energy-momentum tensor and particle current are encapsulated in $T_2^{\mu\nu}$ and N_2^{μ} respectively, with the condition $u_{\mu}T_2^{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $u_{\mu}N_2^{\mu} = 0$. Whereas $\Sigma_1^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ contains all the first-order

correction to the spin tensor with $u_\mu \Sigma_1^{\mu \alpha \beta} = 0$. These corrections are included to maintain consistency in the calculations with the gradient ordering. However, it will be shown later that, because of our particular choice of gradient ordering for spin density and spin chemical potential, the corrections $T_2^{\mu\nu}$, N_2^{μ} and $\Sigma_1^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ only contribute to the third-order gradient terms in the entropy production.

To calculate the equation of motions, first we substitute the gradient expansion [\(9\)](#page-2-0) in conservation equa-tion [\(4\)](#page-2-1) and take the projection of (4) along u_{ν} and Δ_{ν}^{α} . We obtain

$$
D\varepsilon + (p + \Pi + \varepsilon)\theta + \partial_{\mu}h^{\mu} + u_{\mu}Dh^{\mu} + u_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}q^{\mu} - u_{\mu}Dq^{\mu} + u_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\tau^{\mu\nu} + u_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}T_{2}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (13)
$$

$$
hDu^{\alpha} + \Pi Du^{\alpha} - \nabla^{\alpha}(p + \Pi) + h^{\alpha}\theta + h^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}u^{\alpha} + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}Dh^{\nu} + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu}
$$

$$
-q^{\alpha}\theta + q^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}u^{\alpha} - \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}Dq^{\nu} + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\tau^{\mu\nu} + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{2} = 0.
$$
\n(14)

here, we defined $\theta \equiv \partial_{\mu}u^{\mu}$ and $D \equiv u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}$. Further, we use [\(10\)](#page-2-2) and [\(11\)](#page-2-3) in [\(5\)](#page-2-4) and [\(8\)](#page-2-5), respectively. Thus we obtain

$$
Dn + n\theta + \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}N_2^{\mu} = 0, \tag{15}
$$

$$
DS^{\alpha\beta} + S^{\alpha\beta}\theta + 2(q^{\alpha}u^{\beta} - q^{\beta}u^{\alpha} + \tau^{\alpha\beta}) + \partial_{\mu}\Sigma_{1}^{\mu\alpha\beta} + 2T_{2}^{[\alpha\beta]} = 0.
$$
 (16)

2.2 Entropy Production

In order to incorporate the spin into the description, we treat $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ to be an independent hydrodynamic field, the thermodynamics relations near local thermodynamics equilibrium get modified as [\[14\]](#page-29-12)

$$
Tds = d\varepsilon - \mu dn - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}dS^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \text{(First Law)}\tag{17}
$$

$$
dp = sdT + nd\mu + \frac{1}{2}S^{\mu\nu}d\omega_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \text{(Gibbs-Duhem)} \tag{18}
$$

$$
\varepsilon + p = Ts + \mu n + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \text{(Euler's Relation)} \tag{19}
$$

here s is the entropy density, T is the temperature, μ is the chemical potential conjugate to the particle density *n*. Similarly, $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ is the spin chemical potential conjugate to spin density $S^{\mu\nu}$.

The above thermodynamics relations are essential to write the entropy current. However, it has shown that the form of entropy current can be derived from the first principle using the quantum statistical density operator [\[35,](#page-31-2) [36\]](#page-31-3). The form of entropy current, treating spin tensor $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ as an independent hydrodynamic field, is given by

$$
S^{\mu} = p\beta^{\mu} + \beta_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - \alpha N^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{20}
$$

The parameters β^{μ} , α and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined by

$$
\beta^{\mu} = \beta u^{\mu}, \quad \alpha = \beta \mu, \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \beta \omega_{\alpha\beta}.
$$
\n(21)

where, β is the inverse temperature, u^{μ} is the fluid four velocity.

According to the second law of thermodynamics, the divergence of entropy current, i.e., the entropy production rate, should be positive for the dissipative system, therefore

$$
\partial_{\mu}S^{\mu}\geq 0.\tag{22}
$$

Using the gradient expansions for $T^{\mu\nu}$, N^{μ} and $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ from [\(9\)](#page-2-0), [\(10\)](#page-2-2) and [\(11\)](#page-2-3), respectively, the divergence of entropy current is given by

$$
\partial_{\mu}S^{\mu} = -\Pi\beta\theta + \beta h^{\mu}(\beta^{-1}\partial_{\mu}\beta + Du_{\mu}) - j^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\alpha + \beta q^{\mu}M_{\mu} + \beta\pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \beta\tau^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\mu\nu} + \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\Omega_{\alpha\beta})\Sigma_{1}^{\mu\alpha\beta} + \Omega_{\mu\nu}T_{2}^{[\mu\nu]} + (\partial_{\mu}\beta_{\nu})T_{2}^{\mu\nu} - N_{2}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\alpha \right],
$$
\n(23)

here, $M^{\mu} \equiv (\beta^{-1}\partial_{\mu}\beta - Du_{\mu} + 2\beta^{-1}\Omega_{\mu\nu}u^{\nu}), \sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Delta^{\lambda\delta}_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\lambda}u_{\delta}, \text{ and } \xi_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Delta^{\lambda\delta}_{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\lambda}u_{\delta} + \beta^{-1}\Omega_{\lambda\delta}).$ The $\Delta^{\lambda\delta}_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\lambda}u_{\delta}$ term in $\xi_{\mu\nu}$ is known as the vorticity tensor $(\overline{\omega}_{\mu\nu})$.

In this work, we assign $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is $\mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$ and $S^{\alpha\beta}$ is $\mathcal{O}(\partial^0)$ in terms of hydrodynamic gradient expansion. We want to emphasize that $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $S^{\alpha\beta}$ have been assigned different ordering in many literatures. For example, $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $S^{\alpha\beta}$ both is considered as a leading-order term $(\mathcal{O}(\partial^0))$ [\[17\]](#page-30-0) whereas, in [\[18,](#page-30-1) [37\]](#page-31-4), both term is assumed to be of order $\mathcal{O}(\partial)$. There are literatures, where $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $S^{\alpha\beta}$ are assumed to be of different order [\[27\]](#page-30-10).

Using our above-mentioned ordering scheme for $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$, the terms in the square bracket in [\(23\)](#page-4-0) contribute to the second order in gradient expansion and hence can be safely ignored. Further, enforcing the condition [\(22\)](#page-4-1) on [\(23\)](#page-4-0), we obtain the relation between the dissipative currents and hydrodynamics forces in first-order:

$$
\Pi = -\zeta \theta,
$$

\n
$$
\pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta \sigma^{\mu\nu},
$$

\n
$$
q^{\mu} = -\lambda M^{\mu},
$$

\n
$$
\tau^{\mu\nu} = 2\gamma \xi^{\mu\nu}.
$$
\n(24)

The transport coefficients ζ and η are known as bulk viscosity and shear viscosity. The other two transport coefficients, λ and γ , are new in spin hydrodynamics. They are known as boost heat conductivity and rotational viscosity, respectively [\[14\]](#page-29-12).

3 Nonequilibrium statistical operator

This method starts by defining a nonequilibrium statistical operator (NESO) $\hat{\rho}(t)$ for a system that is in a hydrodynamic regime [\[33,](#page-31-0) [34\]](#page-31-1). For a system containing spin degrees of freedom, the operator, $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is defined as [\[38,](#page-31-5) [32\]](#page-30-15)

$$
\hat{\rho}(t) = Q^{-1} \exp\left[-\int d^3x \hat{Z}(\vec{x}, t)\right], \text{ with } Q = \text{Tr}\exp\left[-\int d^3x \hat{Z}(\vec{x}, t)\right],\tag{25}
$$

where

$$
\hat{Z}(\vec{x},t) = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt_1 e^{\epsilon(t_1-t)} \Big[\beta^{\nu}(\vec{x},t_1) \hat{T}_{0\nu}(\vec{x},t_1) - \alpha(\vec{x},t_1) \hat{N}^0(\vec{x},t_1) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t_1) \hat{\Sigma}^{0\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t_1) \Big], \qquad (26)
$$

here, ϵ is the small infinitesimal parameter. $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$, \hat{N}^{μ} and $\hat{\Sigma}^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ are operator-valued function. Their statistical averages give the corresponding hydrodynamic fields [\(9\)](#page-2-0), [\(10\)](#page-2-2), and [\(11\)](#page-2-3). Zubarev's prescription for taking the statistical average is given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(\vec{x},t) \right\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{V \to \infty} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho}(t) \hat{O}(\vec{x},t) \right]. \tag{27}
$$

The main advantage of NESO is that it can be decomposed into equilibrium and nonequilibrium parts. This can be achieved by integrating $\hat{Z}(\vec{x}, t)$ by parts, which gives

$$
\hat{\rho}(t) = Q^{-1} \exp(-\hat{A} + \hat{B}),\tag{28}
$$

where

$$
\hat{A}(t) = \int d^3x \left[\beta^{\nu}(\vec{x}, t) \hat{T}_{0\nu}(\vec{x}, t) - \alpha(\vec{x}, t) \hat{N}^0(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x}, t) \hat{\Sigma}^{0\alpha\beta}(\vec{x}, t) \right],
$$
\n(29)

$$
\hat{B}(t) = \int d^3x \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 \ e^{\epsilon(t_1 - t)} \hat{C}(\vec{x}, t_1), \tag{30}
$$

$$
\hat{C}(\vec{x},t) = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(\vec{x},t)\partial^{\mu}\beta^{\nu}(\vec{x},t) - \hat{N}^{\mu}(\vec{x},t)\partial_{\mu}\alpha(\vec{x},t) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Sigma}^{\mu\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t)\partial_{\mu}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t)\left(\hat{T}^{\beta\alpha}(\vec{x},t) - \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x},t)\right),\tag{31}
$$

here we used the conservation laws to write the nonequilibrium part in a covariant form. If we consider the nonequilibrium part \hat{B} as a perturbation, the density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t)$ can be expanded around the local equilibrium. This expansion allows us to systematically account for deviations from local equilibrium through higher-order terms. Thus, the statistical average of any operator, when expanded up to the second-order around local equilibrium, can be expressed as follows [\[38\]](#page-31-5):

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_l + \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}(x_1) \right) + \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}(x_1), \hat{C}(x_2) \right),\tag{32}
$$

here, $\int d^4x_1 = \int d^3x_1 \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 e^{\epsilon(t_1-t)}$ and the statistical averaging in local equilibrium is denoted by the subscript l. The two-point and three-point correlation functions are defined by

$$
\left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{X}(x_1)\right) = \int_0^1 d\tau \left\langle O(x) \left[X_\tau(x_1) - \langle X_\tau(x_1) \rangle_t\right] \right\rangle_t,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{O}(x), \hat{X}(x_1), \hat{Y}(x_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\tau \int_0^1 d\lambda \left\langle \tilde{T} \left\{ \hat{O}(x) \left[\hat{X}_\lambda(x_1) \hat{Y}_\tau(x_2) - \langle \hat{X}_\lambda(x_1) \rangle_t \hat{Y}_\tau(x_2) \right] \right] \right\}.
$$
\n(33)

$$
\left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{X}(x_1), \hat{Y}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{\infty} d\lambda \Big\langle \tilde{T} \Big\{ \hat{O}(x) \Big[\hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) - \langle \hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \rangle_l \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) - \hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \langle \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) \rangle_l \Big] \Big\} \Big\rangle_t^{\infty} = \hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \langle \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) \rangle_l - \langle \tilde{T} \hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) \rangle_l + 2 \langle \hat{X}_{\lambda}(x_1) \rangle_l \langle \hat{Y}_{\tau}(x_2) \rangle_l \Big] \Big\} \Big\rangle_t^{\infty} \tag{34}
$$

respectively. Here, $X_{\tau} = e^{-\tau A} X e^{\tau A}$ and $\tilde{T} \{ X_{\lambda} Y_{\tau} \}$ is the anti-chronological time-ordering operator with respect to variable τ and λ .

Next, we calculate the statistical average of various dissipative terms $(\hat{\tau}, \hat{q}, \hat{\pi}, \Pi)$ up to second-order in gradient expansion using NESO. We proceed by writing a tensor decomposition of operators $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$, \hat{N}^{μ} and $\hat{\Sigma}^{\mu\alpha\beta}$. The straightforward decomposition is given by

$$
\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{\varepsilon}u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \hat{p}\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \hat{h}^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \hat{h}^{\nu}u^{\mu} + \hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{q}^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \hat{q}^{\nu}u^{\mu} + \hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{2},\tag{35}
$$

$$
\hat{N}^{\mu} = \hat{n}u^{\mu} + \hat{j}^{\mu} + \hat{N}^{\mu}_2,\tag{36}
$$

$$
\hat{\Sigma}^{\mu\alpha\beta} = u^{\mu}\hat{S}^{\alpha\beta} + \hat{\Sigma}_1^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{37}
$$

here \hat{p} is the actual isotropic pressure. All the operators, except the pressure (\hat{p}) , can be directly matched with the corresponding hydrodynamic quantities by taking the statistical average using full nonequilibrium statistical operator. That means $\varepsilon = \langle \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle$, $h^{\mu} \equiv \langle \hat{h}^{\mu} \rangle$, $\pi^{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle \hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$, $q^{\mu} \equiv \langle \hat{q}^{\mu} \rangle$, $\tau^{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle \hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$, $n \equiv \langle \hat{n} \rangle$, $j^{\mu} \equiv \langle \hat{j}^{\mu} \rangle$, $S^{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$. Whereas, the statistical average $\langle \hat{p} \rangle \equiv p + \Pi$. The operators can be written in terms of the projections of $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$ and \hat{N}^{μ} ,

$$
\hat{\varepsilon} = u^{\mu} u_{\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu \nu}, \quad \hat{p} = -\frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu \nu} \hat{T}^{\mu \nu}, \quad \hat{h}^{\alpha} + \hat{q}^{\alpha} = \Delta_{\mu}^{\alpha} u_{\nu} \hat{T}^{\mu \nu}, \quad \hat{h}^{\alpha} - \hat{q}^{\alpha} = u_{\mu} \Delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \hat{T}^{\mu \nu},
$$

$$
\hat{\pi}^{\mu \nu} = \Delta_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu \nu} \hat{T}^{\alpha \beta}, \quad \hat{\tau}^{\mu \nu} = \Delta_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu \nu} \hat{T}^{\alpha \beta}, \quad \hat{n} = u_{\mu} \hat{N}^{\mu}, \quad \hat{j}^{\mu} = \Delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \hat{N}^{\nu}, \quad \hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} = u_{\mu} \hat{\Sigma}^{\mu \alpha \beta}.
$$
(38)

The crucial quantity to evaluate for the statistical average is \hat{C} . To calculate $\hat{C}(x,t)$, we use the gradient decomposition of $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$, \hat{N}^{μ} and $\hat{\Sigma}^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ from [\(35\)](#page-6-0), [\(36\)](#page-6-1) and [\(37\)](#page-6-2), respectively. Neglecting the third-order gradient terms, we can rewrite (31) as

$$
\hat{C} = \hat{\varepsilon}D\beta - \hat{n}D\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}D\Omega_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{p}\beta\theta \n+ \hat{h}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\beta + \beta Du_{\mu}) - \hat{j}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\alpha + \hat{q}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\beta - \beta Du_{\mu} + 2\Omega_{\mu\nu}u^{\nu}) \n+ \beta\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(\beta\partial_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \Omega_{\mu\nu}),
$$
\n(39)

The terms $D\beta$, $D\alpha$, and $D\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ can be eliminated using the equations of motion. To achieve this, we choose the independent variables to be ε , n, and $S^{\alpha\beta}$. Consequently, any thermodynamic quantity, such as β , can be written as a function of these variables, i.e., $\beta \equiv \beta(\varepsilon, n, S^{\alpha\beta})$, and any change in that thermodynamic quantity can be given as

$$
D\beta = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon}\bigg|_{n, S^{\alpha\beta}} D\varepsilon + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\varepsilon, S^{\alpha\beta}} Dn + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha\beta}}\bigg|_{n, \varepsilon} D S^{\alpha\beta}.
$$
 (40)

The values of $D\varepsilon$, Dn, and $DS^{\alpha\beta}$ are substituted from the equation of motion, using set of equations [\(13\)](#page-3-0), [\(15\)](#page-3-1) and [\(16\)](#page-3-2). Thus, the full expression of $D\beta$, keeping the terms up to second-order in gradient expansion, is given by

$$
D\beta = -\theta \left[(\varepsilon + p) \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon} + n \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial n} + S^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \right] - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial n} \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \left(2\tau^{\alpha \beta} + 4q^{\alpha} u^{\beta} \right) - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon} \left(\Pi \theta + \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu} + u_{\mu} Dh^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\mu \nu} + \partial_{\mu} q^{\mu} - u_{\mu} Dq^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \tau^{\mu \nu} \right),
$$
(41)

It is important to note that by excluding the third-order and higher-order terms, we posit that the gradient ordering of the term $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha\beta}}$ is $\mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$. This assertion can be understood by deriving the Maxwell relations from [\(17\)](#page-3-3). The first step involves rewriting [\(17\)](#page-3-3) in terms of β , α , and $\Omega_{\mu\nu}$, utilizing the connection provided by [\(21\)](#page-3-4). Thus, we can write

$$
ds = \beta d\varepsilon - \alpha dn - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\mu\nu}dS^{\mu\nu}.
$$
\n(42)

Using (42) , we can find the relations

$$
\left. \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial n} \right|_{\varepsilon, S^{\alpha \beta}} = -\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varepsilon} \bigg|_{n, S^{\alpha \beta}}, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \right|_{\varepsilon, n} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial \varepsilon} \bigg|_{n, S^{\alpha \beta}}, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \right|_{\varepsilon, n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial n} \bigg|_{\varepsilon, S^{\alpha \beta}}. \tag{43}
$$

Given that $\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$, it follows that the partial derivative $\frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \varepsilon}$ is also of the order $\mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$.

Similarly, we can also calculate $D\alpha$ and $D\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ given by

$$
D\alpha = -\theta \left[(\varepsilon + p) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varepsilon} + n \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial n} + S^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \right] - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial n} \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \left(2\tau^{\alpha \beta} + 4q^{\alpha} u^{\beta} \right) - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varepsilon} \left(\Pi \theta + \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu} + u_{\mu} Dh^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\mu \nu} + \partial_{\mu} q^{\mu} - u_{\mu} D q^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \tau^{\mu \nu} \right),
$$
(44)

and,

$$
D\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\theta \left[(\varepsilon + p) \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \varepsilon} + n \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial n} + S^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}} \right] - \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial n} \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} - \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}} \left(2\tau^{\mu\nu} + 4q^{\mu} u^{\nu} \right) - \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \varepsilon} \left(\Pi \theta + \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu} + u_{\mu} D h^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu} q^{\mu} - u_{\mu} D q^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \tau^{\mu\nu} \right). \tag{45}
$$

Using the values of $D\beta$, $D\alpha$ and $D\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ together with the relations [\(43\)](#page-7-0), and extracting the value of Du_{α} from [\(14\)](#page-3-5), we can express the nonequilibrium part (\hat{C}) of the nonequilibrium statistical operator in Landau frame. Thus, we obtain

$$
\hat{C} = -\hat{P}^*\beta\theta - \hat{j}^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \hat{q}^\mu(\partial_\mu\beta - \beta h^{-1}\nabla_\mu p + 2\Omega_{\mu\nu}u^\nu) + \beta\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu u_\nu + \hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu}(\beta\partial_\mu u_\nu + \Omega_{\mu\nu}) \n+ \hat{q}^\alpha\beta h^{-1} \Big[\Pi Du_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha\Pi + \Delta_{\alpha\nu}\partial_\mu\pi^{\mu\nu} - q_\alpha\theta + q^\mu\partial_\mu u_\alpha - \Delta_{\alpha\nu}Dq^\nu + \Delta_{\alpha\nu}\partial_\mu\tau^{\mu\nu}\Big] \n- \hat{\beta}^*\Big(\Pi\theta + u_\nu\partial_\mu\pi^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu q^\mu - u_\mu Dq^\mu + u_\nu\partial_\mu\tau^{\mu\nu}\Big) + \hat{\alpha}^*\partial_\mu j^\mu + \hat{\Omega}^*_{\mu\nu}(\tau^{\mu\nu} + 2q^\mu u^\nu),
$$
\n(46)

here, we set $h_\mu=0$ for the Landau frame and we have defined

$$
\hat{P}^* = (\hat{p} - \hat{\varepsilon}\Gamma - \hat{n}\delta) - \hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{p}^* - \hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta},\tag{47}
$$

and the new parameters as

$$
\hat{\beta}^* = \hat{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon} + \hat{n} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial n} + \hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}},
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}^* = \hat{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varepsilon} + \hat{n} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial n} + \hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}},
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\Omega}^*_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\mu\nu}}{\partial \varepsilon} + \hat{n} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\mu\nu}}{\partial n} + \hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}}.
$$
\n(48)

We have also defined,

$$
\Gamma = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon}\bigg|_{n, S^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \delta = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\varepsilon, S^{\mu\nu}} \quad \text{and,} \quad \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial S^{\alpha\beta}}\bigg|_{\varepsilon, n}.
$$
\n(49)

Next, we separate \hat{C} into two parts, $\hat{C} = \hat{C}_F + \hat{C}_S$, containing first-order and second-order gradient terms, respectively. \hat{C}_F and \hat{C}_S are

$$
\hat{C}_F = -\hat{p}^* \beta \theta - \hat{j}^\mu \nabla_\mu \alpha + \beta \hat{q}^\mu M_\mu + \beta \hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \beta \hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu} \xi_{\mu\nu},\tag{50}
$$

$$
\hat{C}_{S} = \beta \sum_{i=1,2} \left[\left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{i} \partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \beta \right) \mathcal{X} + \left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{i} \partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \alpha \right) \mathcal{Y} + \left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{i} \partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \right) \mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] + \beta \hat{q}^{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha} + \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \beta \theta, \tag{51}
$$

here, $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_i = (\hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{n})$ and $\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}, \frac{\partial}{\partial n})$ and we defined

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha} = h^{-1} \Big[\Pi D u_{\alpha} - \nabla_{\alpha} \Pi + \Delta_{\alpha \nu} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\mu \nu} - q_{\alpha} \theta + q^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} u_{\alpha} - \Delta_{\alpha \nu} D q^{\nu} + \Delta_{\alpha \nu} \partial_{\mu} \tau^{\mu \nu} \Big], \tag{52}
$$

$$
\mathcal{X} = -\beta^{-1} \Big(\Pi \theta + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\mu \nu} + \partial_{\mu} q^{\mu} - u_{\mu} D q^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \tau^{\mu \nu} \Big), \tag{53}
$$

$$
\mathcal{Y} = \beta^{-1} \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu},\tag{54}
$$

$$
\mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu} = \beta^{-1} \Big(\tau^{\mu\nu} + 2q^{\mu} u^{\nu} \Big). \tag{55}
$$

Employing [\(50\)](#page-7-1) and [\(51\)](#page-7-2), we can rewrite the statistical average of any operator in terms of gradient expansion as

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{l} + \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{1} + \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2},\tag{56}
$$

where $\langle \hat{O}(x) \rangle$ $\bigg\{\hat{O}(x)\bigg\}$ are the first-order and second-order gradient terms, respectively. The first-order $^{\rm 2}$ contribution is calculated assuming that the thermodynamic forces remains constant within the correlation length. This yields the local contribution from the two-point correlation function. The resulting expression is given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{1} = \int d^{4}x_{1} \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}_{F}(x_{1}) \right) \Big|_{local}.
$$
\n(57)

Whereas, the second-order contribution to the statistical average can originate from three possible ways, given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2} = \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} + \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),ET} + \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(3)},\tag{58}
$$

where,

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1) \right) - \left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{1},\tag{59}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}_S(x_1) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{60}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \left(\hat{O}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1), \hat{C}_F(x_2) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{61}
$$

here, $\langle \hat{O}(x) \rangle^{(2),NL}$ $\sum_{2}^{(2),NL}$ is the non-local (NL) correction from the two-point correlation function, $\left\langle \hat{O}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),ET}$ is the local correction from two-point correlation function using external thermodynamic forces (ET) , and $\langle \hat{O}(x) \rangle^{(3)}$ is the local correction from the three-point correlation function. The meaning of local and nonlocal are explained in the next section.

4 First-order spin hydrodynamics

The transport coefficients emerging from first-order spin hydrodynamics have been calculated using the density operator formalism [\[32\]](#page-30-15). In this section, we provide a concise overview of the calculations pertinent to firstorder spin hydrodynamics. We begin by calculating the average value for the rotational stress tensor $\tau_{\mu\nu}$, the antisymmetric counterpart of the $\pi_{\mu\nu}$. Using [\(57\)](#page-8-0), the first-order gradient correction to $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle$ is given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1 = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1) \right) \Big|_{local}.
$$
\n(62)

Now, we take the help of Curie's principle, that the correlation between the operators of different rank vanishes in an isotropic media. Hence, the only non zero correlation for the $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_1$ is

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1 = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1) \right) \beta(x_1) \xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) \Big|_{local} + \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1) \right) \beta(x_1) \sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) \Big|_{local}.
$$
 (63)

Also, in an isotropic medium, we can write (See Appendix [C](#page-35-0) Eq. [\(293\)](#page-36-0))

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\eta}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1)\right),\tag{64}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1)\right) = 0. \tag{65}
$$

Further, we use the Taylor expansion to expand $\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1)$ around $x_1 = x$. We have

$$
\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) = \beta(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x) + (x_1 - x)^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\alpha}}\left[\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1)\right]_x + \cdots
$$
\n(66)

Here, we like to emphasize on the gradient ordering on second term. In this context, $(x_1 - x)^\alpha$ represents the correlation length, which is comparable to the microscopic length, *i.e.*, the mean free path of the particles (λ) . Consequently, the term $(x_1-x)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\alpha}} \sim \frac{\lambda}{L}$, where L is the macroscopic scale. The ratio $\frac{\lambda}{L}$ known as Knudsen number. Therefore, the second term in (66) is of the second-order in hydrodynamic gradient expansion. This term is neglected in first-order hydrodynamics calculation. The first term in [\(66\)](#page-9-0), evaluated at $x_1 = x$ is the local term. All the higher order terms are called non-local terms. Substituting (64) , (65) , and (66) in (63) , and keeping only the first-order gradient terms. We obtain

$$
\tau_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1 = \frac{1}{3} \beta(x) \xi_{\mu\nu}(x) \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\eta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1) \right). \tag{67}
$$

By employing Curie's principle, a similar approach is applied to the remaining dissipative quantities. Thus, we can write,

$$
q_{\mu}(x) \equiv \langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \rangle_{1} = \frac{1}{3} \beta(x) M_{\mu}(x) \int d^{4}x_{1} \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}_{\lambda}(x_{1})\Big), \tag{68}
$$

$$
\pi_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1 = \frac{1}{5} \beta(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu}(x) \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{\pi}^{\lambda\eta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1) \right). \tag{69}
$$

However, the bulk viscous pressure (Π) is defined by

$$
\Pi = \langle \hat{p} \rangle - p(\varepsilon, n, S^{\alpha \beta}), \tag{70}
$$

and up to first order in gradient expansion, we obtain (See Appendix [D](#page-37-0) Eq. [\(305\)](#page-37-1))

$$
\Pi = \langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_1,\tag{71}
$$

which is given by

$$
\Pi = \left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_1 = -\beta(x)\theta(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1) \right),\tag{72}
$$

here, we followed a procedure similar to the one outlined while calculating (67) . Comparing the equations (67) , (68) , (69) and (72) with (24) , we can deduce the first-order transport coefficients, given by

$$
\gamma = \frac{\beta}{6} \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}^{\lambda \eta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda \eta}(x_1) \right),\tag{73}
$$

$$
\lambda = -\frac{\beta}{3} \int d^4 x_1 \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}_{\lambda}(x_1) \Big), \tag{74}
$$

$$
\eta = \frac{\beta}{10} \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{\pi}^{\lambda \eta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda \eta}(x_1) \right), \tag{75}
$$

$$
\zeta = \beta \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1) \right). \tag{76}
$$

5 Second-order spin hydrodynamics

Relativistic hydrodynamics, which considers only the symmetric canonical energy-momentum tensor and excludes the spin degree of freedom, has been extensively studied up to the second-order using the density operator formalism [\[38\]](#page-31-5). We extend this formalism to include the antisymmetric part of energy momentum tensor and derive the second order-spin hydrodynamics. We start by calculating the second-order corrections to the rotational stress tensor.

5.1 Rotational Stress Tensor $(\tau_{\mu\nu})$

The second-order correction to $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle$ can be decomposed into three parts (See [\(57\)](#page-8-0)), given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2 = \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} + \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET} + \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)}.\tag{77}
$$

The general definition of these three terms are defined in [\(59\)](#page-8-1), [\(60\)](#page-8-2), and [\(61\)](#page-8-3). Since we are interested in the correlation in an isotropic media, these terms can be further simplified, using the Curie's principle. Thus,

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) \beta(x_1) \xi^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) - \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1,\tag{78}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{S}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) \mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \beta(x_1) \theta(x_1) \Big|_{local},\tag{79}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1), \hat{C}_F(x_2) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{80}
$$

here, \hat{C}_F is given by the equation [\(50\)](#page-7-1).

5.1.1 Non-local correction from two-point correlation function: $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2^{(2),NL}$

To calculate the non-local correction from the two-point correlation function, we first generalize Eq (64) , which can be given by

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, x_1) \left(\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\eta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1)\right).
$$
\n(81)

This is the non-local generalization of two-point correlators, with the non-local projector defined as (See [A.2\)](#page-32-0)

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x,x_1) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x) \Delta_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1).
$$
\n(82)

In order to extract non-local terms from [\(78\)](#page-10-1), we have to express $\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\sigma}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}$ in terms of energy momentum tensor, and then we expand all the hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$. From [\(38\)](#page-6-4), we can write

$$
\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\eta}(x) = \Delta^{\lambda\eta}_{\gamma\delta}(x)\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x),\tag{83}
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1) = \Delta_{\lambda\eta\alpha\beta}(x_1)\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1). \tag{84}
$$

Substituting (83) and (84) together with (81) and (82) in (78) , we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x), \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) \Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x, x_1) \beta(x_1) \xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) - \left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1. \tag{85}
$$

Furthermore, the Taylor's expansion of $\Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x,x_1)\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1)$ around $x_1=x$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x,x_1)\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) = \Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x)\beta(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x) + (x_1 - x)^{\lambda} \left[\Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\{\beta(x_1)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1)\}\Big|_{x_1=x} + \beta(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\{\Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x,x_1)\}\Big|_{x_1=x}\right],
$$
\n(86)

where the first-order derivative of $\Delta_{\gamma \delta \alpha \beta}(x, x_1)$ is given by (See Eq. [\(267\)](#page-33-0) in [A.2\)](#page-32-0)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, x_1) \bigg|_{x_1 = x} = -\left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}(x) u_{\sigma}(x) + \Delta_{\mu\nu\tau\sigma}(x) u_{\rho}(x) \right] \frac{\partial u^{\tau}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}} \bigg|_{x_1 = x}.
$$
\n(87)

Substituting [\(86\)](#page-11-4) in [\(85\)](#page-11-5), we find that the leading-order term in (86) cancels $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_1$ in (85), with the help of [\(67\)](#page-9-4). Thus, we obtain

$$
\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \left[\frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{\lambda}} \Big\{ \beta(x_{1}) \xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_{1}) \Big\}_{x_{1}=x} \int d^{4}x_{1} \Big(\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x), \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_{1}) \Big) (x_{1}-x)^{\lambda} \Big] - \left[\frac{1}{3} \beta(x) \xi_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial u^{\tau}(x_{1})}{\partial x_{1}^{\lambda}} \Big|_{x_{1}=x} \Big\{ \Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\tau}(x) u_{\beta}(x) + \Delta_{\gamma\delta\tau\beta}(x) u_{\alpha}(x) \Big\} \times \int d^{4}x_{1} \Big(\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x), \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_{1}) \Big) (x_{1}-x)^{\lambda} \Bigg].
$$
 (88)

Next, we approximate $u_\beta(x) \simeq u_\beta(x_1)$ using the leading-order approximation, because the terms in [\(88\)](#page-11-6) are already multiplied with the second-order terms. Thus, using [\(38\)](#page-6-4) in the Landau frame, we find that the second part of the equation [\(88\)](#page-11-6) gives the two types of correlation,

$$
\int d^4x_1(\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\tau}(x),\hat{q}^{\alpha}(x_1)), \text{ and } \int d^4x_1(\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\tau}(x),\hat{\varepsilon}(x_1)u^{\alpha}(x_1)),
$$
\n(89)

which can be safely set to zero, by revoking Curie's principle and using the property $u^{\alpha}\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\tau} = 0$. Also, in the first-order gradient approximation, we can write,

$$
\Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1\left(\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x),\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) = \int d^4x_1\left(\hat{\tau}^{\lambda\sigma}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_1)\right),\tag{90}
$$

Substituting (90) in (88) and using (89) , we get

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = 2[\beta(x)]^{-1} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) L^{\lambda}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}} \left\{ \beta(x_1) \xi^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) \right\} \bigg|_{x_1 = x},\tag{91}
$$

here, we defined,

$$
L^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{6} \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{\tau}^{\alpha\beta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) (x_1 - x)^{\lambda}, \tag{92}
$$

which can be further simplified in following form (See Eq. (289) in Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
L^{\lambda} = \gamma_{\omega} u^{\lambda},\tag{93}
$$

where,

$$
\gamma_{\omega} = i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d}{d\omega} \gamma_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(\omega), \tag{94}
$$

here $\gamma_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(\omega)$ is the frequency dependent transport coefficient, given by (See Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
\gamma_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(\omega) = \frac{\beta}{6} \int d^4 x_1 e^{i\omega(t-t_1)} \left(\hat{\tau}^{\alpha\beta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right).
$$
\n(95)

Combining [\(91\)](#page-12-1), [\(92\)](#page-12-2) and [\(93\)](#page-12-3), and using the first-order approximation $D\beta \simeq \Gamma \beta \theta$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu} \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = 2\gamma_\omega \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D\xi^{\rho\sigma} + \theta \Gamma \xi_{\mu\nu} \right],\tag{96}
$$

here, we removed the argument x from all quantities.

5.1.2 Local correction from two-point correlation function using extended thermodynamic forces: $\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle^{(2),ET}_2$

Since \hat{C}_S contains an antisymmetric tensor operator $\hat{S}_{\alpha\beta}$, the term [\(79\)](#page-10-2) is non-zero for rotational stress tensor. To solve this term, we can expand $\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\beta(x_1)\theta(x_1)$ around $x_1 = x$, in a manner similar to how we solved for $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_1$. From [\(79\)](#page-10-2), we have

$$
\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \int d^4x_1 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{S}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \Big) \mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \beta(x_1) \theta(x_1) \Big|_{local},
$$

= $\gamma_{\tau S}(x) \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}(x) \theta(x),$ (97)

here we defined,

$$
\gamma_{\tau S}(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{3} \int d^4 x_1 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda \sigma}(x), \hat{S}^{\lambda \sigma}(x_1) \Big). \tag{98}
$$

Also, we have used (See Appendix [C\)](#page-35-0),

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{S}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}(x), \hat{S}^{\lambda\sigma}(x_1)\right).
$$
\n(99)

 ${\bf 5.1.3} \quad {\bf Local correction from three-point correlation function: } \,\, {\left\langle {{{\hat \tau }_{\mu \nu }}(x)} \right\rangle _2^{(3)}}$

Using [\(61\)](#page-8-3), this correction term is given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1), \hat{C}_F(x_2) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{100}
$$

where,

$$
\hat{C}_F(x) = -\hat{p}^* \beta \theta - \hat{j}_\mu \nabla^\mu \alpha + \beta \hat{q}_\mu M^\mu + \beta \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \beta \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu} \xi^{\mu\nu},\tag{101}
$$

and $M^{\mu} = \beta^{-1} \partial^{\mu} \beta - h^{-1} \nabla^{\mu} p + 2\beta^{-1} \Omega^{\mu \nu} u_{\nu}$.

In order to calculate the local contribution from (100) , we expand the thermodynamic forces around $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = x$ and collect only the leading-order terms, which is equivalent of replacing the argument of thermodynamic forces with x. Thus, substituting the value of \hat{C}_F in [\(100\)](#page-13-0), we get ten types of non-zero correlations, given by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = \beta^2(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{102}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2\mu\nu}(x) = \beta^2(x)\sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{103}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{3\mu\nu}(x) = \beta^2(x)\sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{104}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{4\mu\nu}(x) = \beta^2(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{105}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{5\mu\nu}(x) = -\beta^2(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x)\theta(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\Big),\tag{106}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{6\mu\nu}(x) = -\beta^2(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\theta(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{107}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{7\mu\nu}(x) = \beta^2(x)M^{\alpha}(x)M^{\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{108}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{8\mu\nu}(x) = \nabla^{\alpha}\alpha(x)\nabla^{\beta}\alpha(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\right),\tag{109}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{9\mu\nu}(x) = -\beta(x)\nabla^{\alpha}\alpha(x)M^{\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{110}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{10\mu\nu}(x) = -\beta(x)M^{\alpha}(x)\nabla^{\beta}\alpha(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\Big). \tag{111}
$$

Now, we write the correlations function into the form of projectors and traces. We start by writing the most general expression for the three-point correlation function, involving three second rank tensors and satisfying the orthogonality condition $u_{\mu}\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}$ and $u_{\mu}\hat{\tau}^{\mu\nu}$, given by

$$
\left(\hat{X}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{Y}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1), \hat{Z}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = a_1 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + a_2 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + b_1 \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + b_2 \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \n+ c_1 \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} + c_2 \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\rho} + d\Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \n+ e_1 \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + e_2 \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\beta} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + e_3 \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\rho\beta} + e_4 \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\beta} \Delta_{\rho\alpha} \n+ e_5 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\rho} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + e_6 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} \Delta_{\rho\beta} + e_7 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\rho} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + e_8 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} \Delta_{\rho\alpha}, \quad (112)
$$

here \hat{X}, \hat{Y} and \hat{Z} can either be $\hat{\pi}$ or $\hat{\tau}$. The coefficients $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d, e_1$ -e₈ are obtained by imposing the symmetric and antisymmetric properties of $\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}$, respectively (See Appendix [C\)](#page-35-0). Thus, we find that the three-point correlation functions among different two-rank tensors can be written as

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Big[\Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} \Big] \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),\tag{113}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{-1}{15} \Big[\Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} + \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} + \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} \Big] \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}^{\ \delta}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\ \eta}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\eta}^{\ \lambda}(x_2)\Big),\tag{114}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Big[-\Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} + \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} + \frac{4}{3} \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \Big] \times \left(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\right),\tag{115}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{-1}{5}\Big[-\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\rho\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} + \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} - \frac{4}{3}\Delta_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Big] \times \left(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\right). \tag{116}
$$

The other correlations including two second-rank tensors are given by (See Appendix [C\)](#page-35-0)

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{p}^*(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x),\hat{\tau}^{\delta\eta}(x_1),\hat{p}^*(x_2)\right),\tag{117}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{\tau}^{\delta\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{118}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{119}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1),\hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x),\hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1),\hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\right)
$$
\n(120)

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1),\hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x),\hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1),\hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{121}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\right).
$$
\n(122)

The symmetry property of three-point correlation function indicate that $A_{3\mu\nu}(x) = A_{4\mu\nu}(x)$, $A_{5\mu\nu}(x) =$ $\mathcal{A}_{6\mu\nu}(x)$, and $\mathcal{A}_{9\mu\nu}(x) = \mathcal{A}_{10\mu\nu}(x)$. Substituting the correlation functions from [\(113\)](#page-14-0)-[\(122\)](#page-14-1) in [\(102\)](#page-13-1)-[\(111\)](#page-13-2), we obtain the second order gradient correction from three-point correlation function to the rotational stress tensor, given by

$$
\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(3)} = \gamma_{\tau\tau\tau} \xi_{\alpha \leftarrow \mu} \xi_{\nu \leftarrow}^{\alpha} + \gamma_{\tau\pi\pi} \sigma_{\alpha \leftarrow \mu} \sigma_{\nu \leftarrow}^{\alpha} + 2 \gamma_{\tau\pi\tau} \sigma_{\alpha \leftarrow \mu} \xi_{\nu \leftarrow}^{\alpha} + 2 \gamma_{\tau\tau p} \xi_{\mu \nu} \theta
$$

$$
+ \gamma_{\tau qq} M_{\leftarrow \mu} M_{\nu \leftarrow} + \gamma_{\tau jj} \beta^{-1} \nabla_{\leftarrow \mu} \alpha \nabla_{\nu \leftarrow} \alpha + 2 \gamma_{\tau qj} M_{\leftarrow \mu} \nabla_{\nu \leftarrow} \alpha, \tag{123}
$$

where we used the shorthand notations (3) and the new transport coefficients are defined by

$$
\gamma_{\tau\tau\tau} = \frac{-4}{3}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}{}^{\delta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\delta}{}^{\eta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\eta}{}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau\pi\pi} = \frac{-4}{15}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}{}^{\delta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\delta}{}^{\eta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\eta}{}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau\pi\tau} = \frac{4}{5}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}{}^{\delta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\delta}{}^{\eta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\eta}{}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau\tau p} = \frac{-1}{3}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{\tau}^{\delta\eta}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau qq} = \frac{1}{3}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau jj} = \frac{1}{3}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_{\tau q j} = \frac{-1}{3}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\tau}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big).
$$

5.1.4 Final expression

Using (67) and (73) for the first-order correction and (96) , (97) and (123) for the second-order corrections, the complete expression for the rotational stress tensor *i.e.* asymmetric counterpart of shear stress tensor, up to second order, is given by

$$
\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle = 2\gamma \xi_{\mu\nu} + 2\gamma_{\omega} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D\xi^{\rho\sigma} + \theta \Gamma \xi_{\mu\nu} \right] + \gamma_{\tau S} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \theta + \gamma_{\tau\tau\tau} \xi_{\alpha \leq \mu} \xi_{\nu \geq \tau}^{\ \alpha} + \gamma_{\tau\pi\pi} \sigma_{\alpha \leq \mu} \sigma_{\nu \geq \tau}^{\ \alpha} + 2\gamma_{\tau\pi\tau} \sigma_{\alpha \leq \mu} \xi_{\nu \geq \tau}^{\ \alpha} + 2\gamma_{\tau\tau p} \xi_{\mu\nu} \theta + \gamma_{\tau qq} M_{\leq \mu} M_{\nu \geq \tau} + \gamma_{\tau jj} \beta^{-1} \nabla_{\leq \mu} \alpha \nabla_{\nu \geq \alpha} + 2\gamma_{\tau qj} M_{\leq \mu} \nabla_{\nu \geq \alpha}.
$$
 (124)

Following [\[39,](#page-31-6) [38\]](#page-31-5), the evolution equation for the rotational stress tensor can be obtained by replacing $\xi^{\rho\sigma} \sim$ $\frac{1}{2\gamma}\tau^{\rho\sigma}$ in the term $D\xi^{\rho\sigma}$, by employing [\(24\)](#page-4-2), the first-order Navier-Stokes relation. Thus, we obtain

$$
2\gamma_{\omega} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D\xi^{\rho\sigma} \simeq -\tau_{\tau} \dot{\tau}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\tau} \theta \tau_{\mu\nu},\tag{125}
$$

where,

$$
\tau_{\tau} = -\gamma_{\omega} \gamma^{-1},\tag{126}
$$

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{\tau} = \tau_{\tau} \gamma^{-1} \beta \left(\Gamma \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \beta} - \delta \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \alpha} \right). \tag{127}
$$

Therefore, the evolution equation for the rotational stress tensor is given by

$$
\tau_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\tau}\dot{\tau}_{\mu\nu} = 2\gamma\xi_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\tau}\tau_{\mu\nu}\theta + 2\gamma_{\omega}\Gamma\xi_{\mu\nu}\theta + \gamma_{\tau s}\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}\theta
$$

+ 2\gamma_{\tau\tau p}\xi_{\mu\nu}\theta + \gamma_{\tau\tau\tau}\xi_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha} + \gamma_{\tau\pi\pi}\sigma_{\alpha\leq\mu}\sigma_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha} + 2\gamma_{\tau\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha}
+ \gamma_{\tau qq}M_{\leq\mu}M_{\nu\leftrightarrow} + \gamma_{\tau jj}\beta^{-1}\nabla_{\leq\mu}\alpha\nabla_{\nu\Rightarrow}\alpha + 2\gamma_{\tau qj}M_{\leq\mu}\nabla_{\nu\Rightarrow}\alpha. (128)

5.2 Boost Heat Vector (q_μ)

${\bf 5.2.1} \quad {\bf Non-local correction from two-point correlation function: } \ \left\langle {\hat q_\mu (x)} \right\rangle _2^{(2),NL}$

Invoking the Curie's principle for the isotropic medium and using [\(59\)](#page-8-1) and [\(50\)](#page-7-1), we have

$$
\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \int d^{4}x_{1} \left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_{1}) \right) \beta(x_{1}) M^{\nu}(x_{1}) - \left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right\rangle_{1}.
$$
\n(129)

In an isotropic medium, the correlator can be written as

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)\right).
$$
\n(130)

Similar to the calculation of non-local correction to $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2$, we have to first express \hat{q}^{μ} in terms of energy momentum tensor, and then we expand all the hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$. From [\(38\)](#page-6-4), in Landau frame, we can write

$$
\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1) = \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}(x_1) u_{\beta}(x_1) \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1).
$$
\n(131)

Using the Taylor expansion, we expand all the hydrodynamic quantities $\Delta_{\sigma\alpha}(x_1)$ and $u_\beta(x_1)$ (not operators) around $x_1 = x$, and keeping terms only up to first-order in gradient expansion, we have

$$
\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1) = [\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)]_x + (x_1 - x)^{\tau} \left[\frac{\partial \hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}} \right]_x, \qquad (132)
$$

where,

$$
\left[\frac{\partial \hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\right]_x = \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}(x)u_{\beta}(x)\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1),\tag{133}
$$
\n
$$
\left[\frac{\partial \hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\right]_x = -\hat{q}^{\alpha}(x_1)u_{\sigma}(x)\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\bigg|_x - \hat{\varepsilon}(x_1)\frac{\partial u_{\sigma}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\bigg|_x - \hat{p}(x_1)\frac{\partial u_{\sigma}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\bigg|_x + \left[\hat{\pi}_{\sigma}^{\beta}(x_1) + \hat{\tau}_{\sigma}^{\beta}(x_1)\right]\frac{\partial u_{\beta}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}}\bigg|_x.
$$
\n(133)

Defining $M^{\prime \lambda} = \beta M^{\lambda}$, using the Taylor expansion for $M^{\prime \lambda}(x_1)$, given by

$$
M^{\prime \lambda}(x_1) = M^{\prime \lambda}(x) + (x_1 - x)^{\tau} \frac{\partial M^{\prime \lambda}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\tau}} \bigg|_x + \cdots \tag{135}
$$

Substituting (130) , (132) and, (135) in (129) and, collecting all the terms up to second-order in gradient expansion, we obtain

$$
\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\lambda}(x) \int d^{4}x_{1} \left[\left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \left[\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_{1}) \right]_{x} \right) M^{\prime\lambda}(x) + \left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \left[\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_{1}) \right]_{x} \right) (x_{1} - x)^{\tau} \frac{\partial M^{\prime\lambda}(x_{1})}{\partial x_{1}^{\tau}} \right]_{x} + \left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \left[\frac{\partial q_{\sigma}(x_{1})}{\partial x_{1}^{\tau}} \right]_{x} \right) (x_{1} - x)^{\tau} M^{\prime\lambda}(x) - \left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right)_{1}.
$$
 (136)

With the help of [\(68\)](#page-9-5), the first term in the bracket cancels $\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \rangle_1$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\lambda}(x) \partial_{\tau} M^{\prime\lambda}(x) \int d^{4}x_{1} \left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \left[\hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_{1}) \right]_{x} \right) (x_{1} - x)^{\tau} + \frac{1}{3} M_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \int d^{4}x_{1} \left(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \left[\frac{\partial q_{\sigma}(x_{1})}{\partial x_{1}^{\tau}} \right]_{x} \right) (x_{1} - x)^{\tau}.
$$
 (137)

Observing [\(134\)](#page-16-4), we can conclude that the second term in [\(137\)](#page-16-5) vanishes, on account of Curie's principle and the orthogonal property $\hat{q}^{\sigma}u_{\sigma} = 0$. Thus, [\(137\)](#page-16-5) can be simplified to

$$
\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = [\beta(x)]^{-1} \Delta_{\mu\lambda}(x) R^{\tau}(x) \partial_{\tau} M^{\prime\lambda}(x), \tag{138}
$$

here we have approximated $[\hat{q}(x_1)]_x \simeq \hat{q}(x_1)$, because [\(138\)](#page-17-0) already contain second-order term. We also defined

$$
R^{\tau}(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{3} \int d^4x_1 \Big(\hat{q}^{\sigma}(x), \hat{q}_{\sigma}(x_1)\Big)(x_1 - x)^{\tau},\tag{139}
$$

which can be simplified in following form (See Eq. (289) in Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
R^{\tau} = \lambda_{\omega} u^{\tau},\tag{140}
$$

where,

$$
\lambda_{\omega} = i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d}{d\omega} \lambda_{\hat{q}\hat{q}}(\omega), \tag{141}
$$

here $\lambda_{\hat{q}\hat{q}}(\omega)$ is defined by (See Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
\lambda_{\hat{q}\hat{q}}(\omega) = \frac{\beta}{3} \int d^4 x_1 e^{i\omega(t - t_1)} \left(\hat{q}^\sigma(x), \hat{q}_\sigma(x_1) \right). \tag{142}
$$

Finally, solving [\(138\)](#page-17-0) using [\(140\)](#page-17-1), and using the first-order approximation $D\beta \simeq \Gamma \beta \theta$, we obtain

$$
\langle \hat{q}_{\mu} \rangle_{2}^{(2),NL} = \lambda_{\omega} [M_{\mu} \Gamma \theta + \Delta_{\mu \lambda} D M^{\lambda}]. \tag{143}
$$

5.2.2 Local correction from two-point correlation function using extended thermodynamic forces: $\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x)\right\rangle _{2}^{(2),ET}$

From [\(60\)](#page-8-2), we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(2),ET} = \int d^{4}x_{1} \left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{C}_{S}(x_{1}) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{144}
$$

where \hat{C}_S is given by [\(51\)](#page-7-2). \hat{C}_S has only one vector term, then by the help of Curie's principle, and expanding all hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$, we can extract the local terms in [\(144\)](#page-17-2), given by

$$
\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(2),ET} = -\lambda(x) \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}(x), \tag{145}
$$

where,

$$
\lambda(x) = \frac{-\beta}{3} \int d^4 x_1 \Big(\hat{q}^\alpha(x), \hat{q}_\alpha(x_1)\Big). \tag{146}
$$

5.2.3 Local correction from three-point correlation function: $\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x)\right\rangle _{2}^{(3)}$

From [\(61\)](#page-8-3), we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(3)} = \int d^{4}x_{1} d^{4}x_{2} \left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{C}_{F}(x_{1}), \hat{C}_{F}(x_{2}) \right) \Big|_{local}, \tag{147}
$$

where, \hat{C}_F is given by [\(50\)](#page-7-1). Substituting (50) in [\(147\)](#page-17-3) and employing Curie's principle, the non vanishing correlations are given by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1\mu}(x) = -\beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\theta(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{p}^*(x_2)\Big),\tag{148}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{2\mu}(x) = -\beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\theta(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_2)\Big),\tag{149}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{3\mu}(x) = \beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{150}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{4\mu}(x) = \beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\xi^{\rho\sigma}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{q}_{\nu}(x_2),\Big),\tag{151}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{5\mu}(x) = \beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{152}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{6\mu}(x) = \beta^2(x)M^{\nu}(x)\sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x)\int d^4x_1d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{q}_{\nu}(x_2),\Big),\tag{153}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{7\mu}(x) = -\beta(x)\nabla^{\nu}\alpha(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{j}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{154}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{8\mu}(x) = -\beta(x)\nabla^{\nu}\alpha(x)\xi^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1),\hat{j}_{\nu}(x_2)\Big),\tag{155}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{9\mu}(x) = -\beta(x)\nabla^{\nu}\alpha(x)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{j}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{156}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{10\mu}(x) = -\beta(x)\nabla^{\nu}\alpha(x)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2\Big(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\nu}(x_2)\Big). \tag{157}
$$

Again the symmetric property of three-point function leads to $\mathcal{B}_{1\mu}(x) = \mathcal{B}_{2\mu}(x)$, $\mathcal{B}_{3\mu}(x) = \mathcal{B}_{4\mu}(x)$, $\mathcal{B}_{5\mu}(x) =$ $\mathcal{B}_{6\mu}(x), \mathcal{B}_{7\mu}(x) = \mathcal{B}_{8\mu}(x)$, and $\mathcal{B}_{9\mu}(x) = \mathcal{B}_{10\mu}(x)$. In the isotopic medium, the three-point correlation functions can be written as

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\hat{q}_{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\lambda}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\right),\tag{158}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\right),\tag{159}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\right),\tag{160}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{j}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x),\hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\right),\tag{161}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{q}_{\mu}(x),\hat{j}_{\nu}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x),\hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\right).
$$
\n(162)

Substituting $(158)-(162)$ $(158)-(162)$ in $(148)-(157)$ $(148)-(157)$, we get the final expression for the second-order correction from threepoint correlation function in $\langle \hat{q}_{\mu} \rangle$, given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{q}_{\mu} \right\rangle_{2}^{(3)} = \lambda_{qqp} M_{\mu} \theta + \lambda_{qq\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qq\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qj\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha + \lambda_{qj\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha, \tag{163}
$$

where, the new transport coefficients are defined by

$$
\lambda_{qqp} = \frac{-2}{3} \beta^2 \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{q}_{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\lambda}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2) \Big), \tag{164}
$$

$$
\lambda_{qq\tau} = \frac{2}{3}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{165}
$$

$$
\lambda_{qq\pi} = \frac{2}{5} \beta^2 \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{166}
$$

$$
\lambda_{qj\tau} = -\frac{2}{3}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\Big),\tag{167}
$$

$$
\lambda_{qj\pi} = -\frac{2}{5}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{q}^{\lambda}(x), \hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\delta}(x_2)\Big). \tag{168}
$$

5.2.4 Final expression

Combining (68) , (74) , (143) , (145) and, (163) , the complete second-order expression for boost heat vector, i.e., the anti symmetric counterpart of heat flow vector, is given by

$$
\langle \hat{q}_{\mu} \rangle = -\lambda M_{\mu} + \lambda_{\omega} [M_{\mu} \Gamma \theta + \Delta_{\mu \lambda} D M^{\lambda}] - \lambda Q_{\mu} + \lambda_{qqp} M_{\mu} \theta + \lambda_{qq\tau} \xi_{\mu \nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qq\pi} \sigma_{\mu \nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qj\tau} \xi_{\mu \nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha + \lambda_{qj\pi} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha.
$$
 (169)

Approximating, $M^{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{-1} q^{\lambda}$, by using the first-order Navier-Stokes relation [\(24\)](#page-4-2), in the term DM^{λ} . We get the evolution equation for the boost heat vector

$$
q_{\mu} + \tau_{q} \dot{q}_{\mu} = -\lambda M_{\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}_{\tau} \theta q_{\mu} + \lambda_{\omega} \Gamma M_{\mu} \theta - \lambda Q_{\mu}
$$

+
$$
\lambda_{qqp} M_{\mu} \theta + \lambda_{qq\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qq\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} M^{\nu} + \lambda_{qj\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha + \lambda_{qj\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\nu} \alpha.
$$
 (170)

where,

$$
\tau_q = \lambda_\omega \lambda^{-1} \tag{171}
$$

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{\tau} = \tau_q \lambda^{-1} \beta \left(\Gamma \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \beta} - \delta \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \alpha} \right)
$$
\n(172)

5.3 Shear Stress Tensor $(\pi_{\mu\nu})$

5.3.1 Non-local correction from two-point correlation function: $\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2^{(2),NL}$

Invoking Curie's principle and using [\(59\)](#page-8-1) with [\(50\)](#page-7-1), we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) \beta(x_1) \sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) - \left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1. \tag{173}
$$

In this case, the non-local generalization of two-point correlation function is given by

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x, x_1) \left(\hat{\pi}^{\lambda\eta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1)\right).
$$
\n(174)

Next, we write $\hat{\pi}^{\lambda\eta}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{\lambda\eta}$ in terms of energy-momentum tensor. Using [\(38\)](#page-6-4), we have

$$
\hat{\pi}^{\lambda\eta}(x) = \Delta^{\lambda\eta}_{\gamma\delta}(x)\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x),\tag{175}
$$

$$
\hat{\pi}_{\lambda\eta}(x_1) = \Delta_{\lambda\eta\alpha\beta}(x_1)\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1). \tag{176}
$$

Substituting (174) with (175) and (176) in (173) , we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{T}^{\gamma\delta}(x), \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \right) \Delta_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}(x, x_1) \beta(x_1) \sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x_1) - \left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_1. \tag{177}
$$

The procedure for the calculation of non-local correction from two-point correlation function to $\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2$ is similar to that of $\langle \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2$, with the antisymmetric forth-rank projector replaced by the symmetric fourth-rank projector. Hence, as discussed in [\(5.1.1\)](#page-11-8), expanding all the hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$ in [\(177\)](#page-20-2), then collecting all the second-order terms, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = 2\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x)[\beta(s)]^{-1} K^{\lambda}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}} [\beta(x_1)\sigma^{\rho\sigma}(x_1)] \Big|_{x_1=x},\tag{178}
$$

here we defined

$$
K^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{10} \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x), \hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) (x_1 - x)^{\lambda},\tag{179}
$$

which can be written as (See Eq. (289) in Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
K^{\lambda} = \eta_{\omega} u^{\lambda},\tag{180}
$$

where,

$$
\eta_{\omega} = i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d}{d\omega} \eta_{\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}}(\omega). \tag{181}
$$

The frequency dependent transport coefficient $\eta_{\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}}(\omega)$ is given by (See Appendix [B\)](#page-33-1)

$$
\eta_{\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}}(\omega) = \frac{\beta}{10} \int d^4 x_1 e^{i\omega(t - t_1)} \left(\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right).
$$
 (182)

Solving [\(178\)](#page-20-3) with [\(180\)](#page-20-4) and using $D\beta \simeq \Gamma \beta \theta$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu} \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = 2\eta_\omega \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D \sigma^{\rho\sigma} + \theta \Gamma \sigma_{\mu\nu} \right]. \tag{183}
$$

5.3.2 Local correction from two-point correlation function using extended thermodynamic forces: $\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle^{(2),ET}_2$

With the help of (60) , we can write

$$
\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{C}_S(x_1) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{184}
$$

where \hat{C}_S is given by [\(51\)](#page-7-2). Since (51) does not contain any term involving symmetric second-rank operator, hence all the correlations vanishes by employing Curie's principle. Therefore,

$$
\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_2^{(2),ET} = 0. \tag{185}
$$

${\bf 5.3.3} \quad {\bf Local}\,\, {\rm correction}\,\, {\rm from}\,\, {\rm three-point}\,\, {\rm correlation}\,\, {\rm function:}\,\, \left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_{2}^{(3)}$

From [\(61\)](#page-8-3), we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1), \hat{C}_F(x_2) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{186}
$$

here, \hat{C}_F is given by [\(50\)](#page-7-1). Here, we follow the procedure given in [\(5.1.3\)](#page-13-3). Substituting (50) in [\(186\)](#page-21-0), the non-vanishing correlations, involving three second-rank tensor operators, are given by (See Appendix [C\)](#page-35-0)

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{35} \Big[3(\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} + \Delta_{\rho\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} + \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha}) - 4(\Delta_{\rho\sigma}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \Delta_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})\Big] \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),\tag{187}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{-1}{5} \left[\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\rho\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha}\right] \times \left(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\right),\tag{188}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{-1}{15}\Big[-\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} + \Delta_{\rho\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha}\Big] \times \left(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\right),\tag{189}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{15} \Big[-\Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} + \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} \Big] \times \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\eta}(x_1),\hat{\pi}_{\eta}^{\lambda}(x_2)\Big),\tag{190}
$$

whereas, other non-vanishing correlations are given by

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\pi}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{p}^*(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x),\hat{\pi}^{\delta\eta}(x_1),\hat{p}^*(x_2)\right),\tag{191}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{\pi}^{\delta\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{192}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{193}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\beta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{194}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1),\hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x),\hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1),\hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{194}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\right),\tag{195}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\right).
$$
\n(196)

Finally, with the help of correlation functions given in [\(187\)](#page-21-1)-[\(196\)](#page-21-2), approximating all the thermodynamics forces at x , we obtain

$$
\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{2}^{(3)} = \eta_{\pi\pi\pi} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \sigma_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + \eta_{\pi\tau\tau} \xi_{\alpha < \mu} \xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + 2 \eta_{\pi\pi\tau} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + 2 \eta_{\pi\pi p} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \theta
$$

$$
+ \eta_{\pi qq} M_{< \mu} M_{\nu>} + \eta_{\pi jj} \beta^{-1} \nabla_{< \mu} \alpha \nabla_{\nu>} \alpha + 2 \eta_{\pi qj} M_{< \mu} \nabla_{\nu>} \alpha,
$$
(197)

where, the various transport coefficients are defined by

$$
\eta_{\pi\pi\pi} = \frac{12}{35}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\ \delta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\ \eta}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\eta}^{\ \lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\tau\tau} = \frac{4}{5}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\ \delta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\ \eta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\ \lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi\tau} = \frac{-4}{15}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}^{\ \delta}(x), \hat{\pi}_{\delta}^{\ \eta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\ \lambda}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi p} = \frac{-1}{5}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{\pi}^{\delta\eta}(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi qq} = \frac{1}{5}\beta^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{q}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi jj} = \frac{1}{5}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{j}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\pi q j} = \frac{-1}{5}\beta \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{\pi}_{\delta\eta}(x), \hat{q}^{\delta}(x_1), \hat{j}^{\eta}(x_2)\Big).
$$

5.3.4 Final Expression

Combining [\(69\)](#page-9-6) and [\(75\)](#page-10-5) with [\(183\)](#page-20-5), [\(185\)](#page-20-6) and [\(197\)](#page-21-3), we get the full expression of shear stress tensor up to second-order in gradient expansion, given by

$$
\langle \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle = 2\eta \sigma_{\mu\nu} + 2\eta_{\omega} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D \sigma^{\rho\sigma} + \theta \Gamma \sigma_{\mu\nu} \right]
$$

+
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi\pi} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \sigma_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + \eta_{\pi\tau\tau} \xi_{\alpha < \mu} \xi_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi\pi\tau} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \xi_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi\pi p} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \theta
$$

+
$$
\eta_{\pi qq} M_{< \mu} M_{\nu>} + \eta_{\pi jj} \beta^{-1} \nabla_{< \mu} \alpha \nabla_{\nu>} \alpha + 2\eta_{\pi qj} M_{< \mu} \nabla_{\nu>} \alpha.
$$
 (198)

Using [\(24\)](#page-4-2), and approximating $\sigma^{\rho\sigma} \sim \frac{\pi^{\rho\sigma}}{2\eta}$ $\frac{\partial^{\rho}}{\partial \eta}$ in the term $D\sigma^{\rho\sigma}$, we get the evolution equation for the shear stress tensor, given by

$$
\pi_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\pi}\dot{\pi}_{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\eta}_{\tau}\theta\pi_{\mu\nu} + 2\eta_{\omega}\Gamma\sigma_{\mu\nu}\theta
$$

+
$$
2\eta_{\pi\pi p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\theta + \eta_{\pi\pi\pi}\sigma_{\alpha<\mu}\sigma_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + \eta_{\pi\tau\tau}\xi_{\alpha<\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha<\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha}
$$

+
$$
\eta_{\pi qq}M_{<\mu}M_{\nu>} + \eta_{\pi jj}\beta^{-1}\nabla_{<\mu}\alpha\nabla_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi qj}M_{<\mu}\nabla_{\nu>}^{\alpha}. \tag{199}
$$

where,

$$
\tau_{\pi} = -\eta_{\omega}\eta^{-1} \tag{200}
$$

$$
\tilde{\eta}_{\tau} = \tau_{\pi} \eta^{-1} \beta \left(\Gamma \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \beta} - \delta \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \alpha} \right)
$$
\n(201)

5.4 Bulk Viscous Pressure

From Appendix [D](#page-37-0) Eq. (305) , we have

$$
\Pi = \left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_1 + \left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \right\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \hat{n} \right\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2} + \left\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \right\rangle_1 \left\langle \hat{n} \right\rangle_1 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \left\langle \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \right\rangle_1. \tag{202}
$$

The first-order correction to energy density, particle density and spin density are given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \right\rangle_{1} = -\zeta_{\varepsilon p} \theta,\tag{203}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{n} \right\rangle_1 = -\zeta_{np}\theta,\tag{204}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \right\rangle_1 = \zeta_{s\tau} \xi^{\mu\nu},\tag{205}
$$

here we used (59) and (50) and applied the Curie's principle to simplify the Eqs. [\(203\)](#page-23-0), [\(204\)](#page-23-1) and [\(205\)](#page-23-2). The transport coefficients $\zeta_{\varepsilon_p}, \zeta_{np}$ and $\zeta_{S_{\tau}}$ are defined by

$$
\zeta_{\varepsilon p} = \beta \int d^4 x_1 \Big(\hat{\varepsilon}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1) \Big), \tag{206}
$$

$$
\zeta_{np} = \beta \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{n}(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1)\right),\tag{207}
$$

$$
\zeta_{S\tau} = \frac{1}{3}\beta \int d^4x_1 \Big(\hat{S}^{\lambda\sigma}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_1)\Big). \tag{208}
$$

Using (203) , (204) and (205) , we can rewrite (202) as

$$
\Pi = -\zeta \theta + \left[\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2} + \zeta_{\varepsilon p} \zeta_{\varepsilon p} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} \right] \theta^2 - \zeta_{\varepsilon \tau} \mathcal{K}_{\mu \nu} \xi^{\mu \nu} + \langle \hat{p}^* \rangle_2, \tag{209}
$$

here we used $\langle \hat{p}^* \rangle_1 = -\zeta \theta$, by employing [\(76\)](#page-10-6) and [\(72\)](#page-10-0). To complete the calculation of bulk viscous pressure, we need to evaluate $\langle \hat{p}^* \rangle_2$.

5.4.1 Non-local correction from the two-point correlation function: $\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_2^{(2),NL}$

Invoking the Curie's principle and using [\(59\)](#page-8-1) and [\(50\)](#page-7-1), we have

$$
\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_2^{(2),NL} = -\int d^4x_1 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1) \Big) \beta(x_1) \theta(x_1) - \langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_1. \tag{210}
$$

As we have calculated the other non-local correction terms, we write \hat{p}^* in terms of the energy-momentum tensor and the particle current vector, we then proceed by expanding all the hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$. From [\(47\)](#page-7-3) and [\(38\)](#page-6-4), we have,

$$
\hat{p}^*(x_1) = -\frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x_1)\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x_1) - \Gamma(x_1)u_\mu(x_1)u_\nu(x_1)\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x_1) - \delta(x_1)u_\mu(x_1)\hat{N}^\mu(x_1).
$$
\n(211)

Expanding all the hydrodynamic quantities (not operators) around $x_1 = x$, and neglecting the second-order and higher order terms, we have

$$
\hat{p}^*(x_1) = \left[\hat{p}^*(x_1)\right]_x + (x_1 - x)^\lambda \left[\frac{\partial \hat{p}^*(x_1)}{\partial x_1^\lambda}\right]_x,\tag{212}
$$

where,

$$
[\hat{p}^*(x_1)]_x = -\frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x_1) - \Gamma(x)u_\mu(x)u_\nu(x)\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x_1) - \delta(x)u_\mu(x)\hat{N}^\mu(x_1),\tag{213}
$$

$$
\left[\frac{\partial \hat{p}^*(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\right]_x = -\hat{j}^{\mu}(x_1)\delta(x)\frac{\partial u_{\mu}(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\bigg|_x - \hat{\varepsilon}(x_1)\frac{\partial \Gamma(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\bigg|_x - \hat{n}(x_1)\frac{\partial \delta(x_1)}{\partial x_1^{\lambda}}\bigg|_x. \tag{214}
$$

Since we are working in Landau frame, we have set $\hat{h}^{\mu} = 0$ while calculating [\(212\)](#page-23-3). We can see that there is no contribution from the anti symmetric counterpart of heat flow vector, *i.e.* boost heat vector \hat{q}^{μ} . Substitut-ing [\(212\)](#page-23-3) in [\(210\)](#page-23-4) and expanding $\beta\theta$ around $x_1 = x$, we get

$$
\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_2^{(2),NL} = -\int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), [\hat{p}^*(x_1)]_x + (x_1 - x)^\lambda \left[\frac{\partial \hat{p}^*(x_1)}{\partial x_1^\lambda} \right]_x \right) \left[\beta(x)\theta(x) + (x_1 - x)^\lambda \frac{\partial(\beta\theta)}{\partial x_1^\lambda} \right]_x \right] - \langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_1
$$

$$
= -\frac{\partial(\beta\theta)}{\partial x_1^\lambda} \Big|_x \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), [\hat{p}^*(x_1)]_x \right) (x_1 - x)^\lambda - \beta(x)\theta(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \left[\frac{\partial \hat{p}^*(x_1)}{\partial x_1^\lambda} \right]_x \right) (x_1 - x)^\lambda
$$
(215)

here, the leading-order term cancels $\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \rangle_1$ using [\(72\)](#page-10-0). Further, we approximate the first term in [\(215\)](#page-24-0) with $[\hat{p}^*(x_1)]_x = \hat{p}^*(x_1)$, because it already has a second-order term. Also, we use [\(214\)](#page-23-5) to solve the second term in (215) . Thus, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = -\partial_{\lambda}(\beta \theta) \beta^{-1} K_p^{\lambda} + \theta(\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma) K_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} + \theta(\partial_{\lambda} \delta) K_n^{\lambda},\tag{216}
$$

,

here, we have omitted the argument x from all quantity. Also, we defined

$$
K_p^{\lambda} = \beta(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1)\right) (x_1 - x)^{\lambda} = \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} u^{\lambda},\tag{217}
$$

$$
K_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} = \beta(x) \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\varepsilon}(x_1) \right) (x_1 - x)^{\lambda} = \zeta_{pe}^{\omega} u^{\lambda},\tag{218}
$$

$$
K_n^{\lambda} = \beta(x) \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{n}(x_1)\right) (x_1 - x)^{\lambda} = \zeta_{pn}^{\omega} u^{\lambda},\tag{219}
$$

where, ζ_{pp}^{ω} , ζ_{pe}^{ω} and, ζ_{pn}^{ω} are related to the frequency dependent transport coefficients (See Eq. [\(289\)](#page-35-1) in Ap-pendix [B\)](#page-33-1). Substituting, (217) , (218) , and (219) in (216) , we find

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = -D(\beta \theta) \beta^{-1} \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \theta (D\Gamma) \zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \theta (D\delta) \zeta_{pn}^{\omega}.
$$
 (220)

We have three independent thermodynamic variables, hence, we can calculate the comoving derivatives, DΓ and $D\delta$, in a similar way as we calculated $D\beta$ in [\(40\)](#page-6-5). Further, we use the value of $D\varepsilon$, Dn and, $DS^{\alpha\beta}$ from the equation of motions, up to the first order in gradient. Thus, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2^{(2),NL} = -\zeta_{pp}^{\omega} D\theta - \theta^2 [\Gamma \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \tilde{\Gamma} \zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \tilde{\delta} \zeta_{pn}^{\omega}], \tag{221}
$$

here, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ are defined as

$$
\tilde{\Gamma} = h \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \varepsilon} + n \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial n} + S^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}} \text{ and } \tilde{\delta} = h \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \varepsilon} + n \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial n} + S^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial S^{\alpha \beta}}.
$$
\n(222)

5.4.2 Local correction from two-point correlation function using extended thermodynamic forces: $\left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET}$

Using the definition (60) with (51) and employing Curie's principle, we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \beta(x_1) \sum_{i=1,2} \left[\left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_i \partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \beta \right) \mathcal{X} + \left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_i \partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \alpha \right) \mathcal{Y} + \left(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_i \partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \Omega_{\mu\nu} \right) \mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] \right) \Big|_{local}.
$$
 (223)

Since we have to extract the local terms from [\(223\)](#page-24-5), which can be achieved by expanding all the hydrodynamic quantities around $x_1 = x$. Therefore

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_2^{(2),ET} = \sum_{i=1,2} \zeta_{p\mathfrak{D}_i}(x) \Big[\left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \beta \right)_x \mathcal{X}(x) + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \alpha \right)_x \mathcal{Y}(x) + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \Omega_{\mu\nu} \right)_x \mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu}(x) \Big],\tag{224}
$$

here, we defined

$$
\zeta_{p\mathfrak{D}_i}(x) = \beta(x) \int d^4x_1 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_i(x_1)\right).
$$
 (225)

5.4.3 Local correction from three-point correlation function: $\left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)}$

From [\(61\)](#page-8-3), we can write

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^*(x) \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{C}_F(x_1), \hat{C}_F(x_2) \right) \Big|_{local},\tag{226}
$$

here, \hat{C}_F is given by the Eq [\(50\)](#page-7-1). The non-vanishing correlators are

$$
\left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\right) = \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2)\right),\tag{227}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{j}_{\mu}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\nu}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{j}^{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{j}_{\alpha}(x_2)\right),\tag{228}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{q}_{\mu}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\nu}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{q}^{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{q}_{\alpha}(x_2)\right),\tag{229}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{5} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\pi}^{\lambda\sigma}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_2)\right),\tag{230}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\tau}^{\lambda\sigma}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_2)\right).
$$
\n(231)

All other correlators vanishes in an isotropic medium. Substituting [\(50\)](#page-7-1) in [\(226\)](#page-25-0) and using [\(227\)](#page-25-1)-[\(231\)](#page-25-2), we obtain

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2^{(3)} = \zeta_{\text{ppp}} \theta^2 + \zeta_{\text{pqq}} M_\mu M^\mu + \zeta_{\text{p} \pi \pi} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} + \zeta_{\text{p} \tau \tau} \xi_{\mu \nu} \xi^{\mu \nu} + \beta^{-1} \zeta_{\text{pj}} \nabla_\mu \alpha \nabla^\mu \alpha, \tag{232}
$$

where, the transports coefficients are defined by

$$
\zeta_{_{ppp}} = \beta^2 \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{p}^*(x_1), \hat{p}^*(x_2) \Big), \tag{233}
$$

$$
\zeta_{pqq} = \frac{\beta^2}{3} \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{q}^\alpha(x_1), \hat{q}_\alpha(x_2) \Big), \tag{234}
$$

$$
\zeta_{p\pi\pi} = \frac{\beta^2}{5} \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\pi}^{\lambda\sigma}(x_1), \hat{\pi}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_2) \Big), \tag{235}
$$

$$
\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle p\tau\tau} = \frac{\beta^2}{3} \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{\tau}^{\lambda\sigma}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\lambda\sigma}(x_2) \Big), \tag{236}
$$

$$
\zeta_{pjj} = \frac{\beta}{3} \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \Big(\hat{p}^*(x), \hat{j}^\alpha(x_1), \hat{j}_\alpha(x_2) \Big). \tag{237}
$$

5.4.4 Final Expression

Combining [\(221\)](#page-24-6), [\(224\)](#page-25-3), and [\(232\)](#page-25-4), we get

$$
\left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2 = -\zeta_{pp}^{\omega} D\theta - \theta^2 [\Gamma \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \tilde{\Gamma} \zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \tilde{\delta} \zeta_{pn}^{\omega}] + \sum_{i=1,2} \zeta_{p\mathfrak{D}_i} \left[\left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \beta \right) \mathcal{X} + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \alpha \right) \mathcal{Y} + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \Omega_{\mu\nu} \right) \mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] + \zeta_{ppp} \theta^2 + \zeta_{pq} M_{\mu} M^{\mu} + \zeta_{p\pi\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{p\tau\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} \xi^{\mu\nu} + \beta^{-1} \zeta_{pj} \nabla_{\mu} \alpha \nabla^{\mu} \alpha.
$$
 (238)

Substituting (238) in (209) , we obtain

$$
\Pi = -\zeta \theta + \left[\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial \varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial n^{2}} + \zeta_{\varepsilon p} \zeta_{\varepsilon p} \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} \right] \theta^{2} - \zeta_{\varepsilon \tau} \mathcal{K}_{\mu \nu} \xi^{\mu \nu} \n- \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} D\theta - \theta^{2} [\Gamma \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \tilde{\Gamma} \zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \tilde{\delta} \zeta_{pn}^{\omega}] + \sum_{i=1,2} \zeta_{p \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{i}} \left[(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \beta) \mathcal{X} + (\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \alpha) \mathcal{Y} + (\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i} \Omega_{\mu \nu}) \mathcal{Z}^{\mu \nu} \right] \n+ \zeta_{ppp} \theta^{2} + \zeta_{pqq} M_{\mu} M^{\mu} + \zeta_{p \pi \pi} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} + \zeta_{p \tau \tau} \xi_{\mu \nu} \xi^{\mu \nu} + \beta^{-1} \zeta_{pjj} \nabla_{\mu} \alpha \nabla^{\mu} \alpha.
$$
\n(239)

To get the evolution equation for the bulk viscous pressure, we approximate $\theta \sim -\zeta^{-1}\Pi$, in the term $\zeta_{pp}^{\omega}D\theta$. Thus, we obtain,

$$
\Pi + \tau_{\Pi}\dot{\Pi} = -\zeta\theta + \tilde{\zeta}_{\tau}\Pi\theta + \left[\frac{1}{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial n^{2}} + \zeta_{\varepsilon p}\zeta_{\varepsilon p}\frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial n\partial\varepsilon}\right]\theta^{2} - \zeta_{\varepsilon\tau}\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu\nu} \n- \theta^{2}[\Gamma\zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \tilde{\Gamma}\zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \tilde{\delta}\zeta_{pn}^{\omega}] + \sum_{i=1,2}\zeta_{p\mathfrak{D}_{i}}\left[\left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i}\beta\right)\mathcal{X} + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i}\alpha\right)\mathcal{Y} + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^{i}\Omega_{\mu\nu}\right)\mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu}\right] \n+ \zeta_{ppp}\theta^{2} + \zeta_{pqq}M_{\mu}M^{\mu} + \zeta_{p\pi\pi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{p\tau\tau}\xi_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu\nu} + \beta^{-1}\zeta_{pjj}\nabla_{\mu}\alpha\nabla^{\mu}\alpha.
$$
\n(240)

where,

$$
\tau_{\Pi} = -\zeta_{pp}^{\omega}\zeta^{-1} \tag{241}
$$

$$
\tilde{\zeta}_{\tau} = \tau_{\Pi} \zeta^{-1} \beta \left(\Gamma \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \beta} - \delta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \alpha} \right)
$$
\n(242)

6 Summary and Discussion

We have derived the second-order expressions for the dissipative currents $\tau_{\mu\nu}$, q_{μ} , $\pi_{\mu\nu}$, and Π using Zubarev's nonequilibrium statistical operator formalism, incorporating the full energy-momentum tensor up to the first order, including both symmetric and antisymmetric terms. Previously, the second-order expressions for $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and Π were obtained using only the symmetric energy-momentum tensor [\[38\]](#page-31-5). Our calculations extend these second-order expressions for $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and Π , introducing additional terms that account for the effects of spin degrees of freedom present in the system. Additionally, we have treated the spin density as an independent thermodynamic variable in our analysis. Our findings indicate that the spin density primarily influences $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ and Π. This influence manifests only in the second-order, which is attributed to the gradient scheme of the spin chemical potential, which is considered first-order in the gradient expansion in this work.

The evolution equations for rotational stress tensor $(\tau_{\mu\nu})$, shear stress tensor $(\pi_{\mu\nu})$, boost heat vector (q_{μ}) and bulk viscous pressure (Π) are given in [\(128\)](#page-15-0), [\(199\)](#page-22-1), [\(170\)](#page-19-2) and [\(240\)](#page-26-1), respectively, which get reduced for the chargeless case,

$$
\tau_{\tau}\dot{\tau}_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\mu\nu} = 2\gamma\xi_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\tau}\tau_{\mu\nu}\theta + 2\gamma_{\omega}\Gamma\xi_{\mu\nu}\theta + \gamma_{\tau s}\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}\theta
$$

+ 2\gamma_{\tau\tau p}\xi_{\mu\nu}\theta + \gamma_{\tau\tau\tau}\xi_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha} + \gamma_{\tau\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha\leq\mu}\sigma_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha} + 2\gamma_{\tau\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha} + \gamma_{\tau qq}M_{\leq\mu}M_{\nu\leftrightarrow}^{\ \alpha}, \tag{243}

$$
+ 2\eta_{\pi\pi p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\theta + \eta_{\pi\pi\pi}\sigma_{\alpha<\mu}\sigma_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + \eta_{\pi\tau\tau}\xi_{\alpha<\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha<\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha} + \eta_{\pi qq}M_{<\mu}M_{\nu>},
$$
 (244)

$$
\tau_q \dot{q}_\mu + q_\mu = -\lambda M_\mu + \tilde{\lambda}_\tau \theta q_\mu + \lambda_\omega \Gamma M_\mu \theta - \lambda Q_\mu + \lambda_{qqp} M_\mu \theta + \lambda_{qq\tau} \xi_{\mu\nu} M^\nu + \lambda_{qq\tau} \sigma_{\mu\nu} M^\nu,
$$
\n(245)

$$
\tau_{\Pi}\dot{\Pi} + \Pi = -\zeta\theta + \tilde{\zeta}_{\tau}\Pi\theta - \theta^2[\Gamma\zeta_{pp}^{\omega} + \tilde{\Gamma}\zeta_{pe}^{\omega} + \tilde{\delta}\zeta_{pn}^{\omega}] + \left[\frac{1}{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon p}^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon p}^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2} + \zeta_{\varepsilon p}\zeta_{\varepsilon p} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon}\right]\theta^2 \n- \zeta_{\varepsilon\tau} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{i=1,2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p\bar{\lambda}_i} \left[\left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \beta\right) \mathcal{X} + \left(\partial_{\varepsilon n}^i \Omega_{\mu\nu}\right) \mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu}\right] \n+ \zeta_{\varepsilon_{pp}}\theta^2 + \zeta_{\varepsilon_{pq}} M_{\mu} M^{\mu} + \zeta_{\varepsilon_{p\pi\pi}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{\varepsilon_{p\tau\pi}} \xi_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu\nu}.
$$
\n(246)

The second and third terms on the right-hand side in the first line of each evolution equation arise due to the non-local correction. That is why they contain a finite relaxation time. All the terms written in the last line of each evolution equation originate from the second-order corrections due to the local contribution from the three-point correlation function. They represent all possible combinations of different thermodynamic forces $(\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \xi_{\mu\nu}, \theta$ and $M_{\mu})$ present in the system. Transport coefficients coupled to these terms are given by the three-point correlation function. Comparing to the previous study [\[38\]](#page-31-5), the extra terms, $\eta_{\pi\tau\tau}\xi_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha}$, $2\eta_{\pi\pi\tau}\sigma_{\alpha\leq\mu}\xi_{\nu>}^{\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\pi qq}M_{\leq\mu}M_{\nu>}$, appearing in the evolution of shear stress tensor [\(244\)](#page-27-0) are the artifact of the new thermodynamic forces $(\xi_{\mu\nu}$ and $M_{\mu})$ that arise due to the addition of antisymmetric terms in the energy-momentum tensor.

Additionally, we find that the structure of the evolution equations for the shear stress tensor and the rotational stress tensor are similar, except for an extra term, $\gamma_{\tau s} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \theta$, which appears in the evolution equation of the rotational stress tensor. This term arises from corrections due to the extended thermodynamic forces via the two-point correlation function. Since we consider the spin density as an independent thermodynamic variable, which is an antisymmetric tensor of rank two, it generates the possibility of a two-point correlation in the presence of the rotational stress tensor—something that is absent in the case of the shear stress tensor.

Moreover, we identify a term, $\zeta_{s\tau} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \xi^{\mu\nu}$, involving the transport coefficient, given by the correlation of spin density with the rotational stress tensor, in the evolution of bulk viscous pressure. The origin of this term can be traced to the fact that the system now includes an additional independent thermodynamic variable, namely the spin density. Consequently, the equilibrium pressure depends on the spin density. Therefore, any deviation from equilibrium, which is nothing but the bulk viscous pressure, must also depend on the spin density.

Further, we compare our results for the shear-stress tensor and the bulk viscous pressure with the results obtained for non-conformal fluids in [?]. The most general second-order expressions for the shear-stress tensor and bulk viscous pressure of a non-conformal fluid at zero particle chemical potential $(\mu = 0)$ and zero spin chemical potential ($\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0$) in flat space-time are given by

$$
\pi_{\mu\nu} = 2\eta \sigma_{\mu\nu} - 2\eta \tau_{\pi} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} D \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\theta}{3} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \right] - 2\eta \tau_{\pi}^{*} \frac{\theta}{3} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \n+ \lambda_{1} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \sigma_{\nu}^{\ \alpha} + \lambda_{2} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \overline{\omega}_{\nu}^{\ \alpha} + \lambda_{3} \overline{\omega}_{\alpha < \mu} \overline{\omega}_{\nu}^{\ \alpha} + \lambda_{4} \nabla_{< \mu} \ln s \nabla_{\nu} \ln s,
$$
\n(247)

$$
\Pi = -\zeta \theta + \zeta \tau_{\Pi} D\theta + \xi_2 \theta^2 + \xi_1 \sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \xi_3 \overline{\omega}_{\mu\nu} \overline{\omega}^{\mu\nu} + \xi_4 \nabla_{\mu} \ln s \nabla^{\mu} \ln s, \tag{248}
$$

respectively. Here, $\overline{\omega}_{\mu\nu} = \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta}$ is the vorticity tensor.

Next, we rewrite our results for the shear stress tensor [\(198\)](#page-22-2) and the bulk viscous pressure [\(239\)](#page-26-2) for the case of a chargeless and spinless fluid with particle chemical potential $\mu = 0$ and spin chemical potential $\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$
\pi_{\mu\nu} = 2\eta \sigma_{\mu\nu} + 2\eta_{\omega} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D \sigma^{\rho\sigma} + \theta \Gamma \sigma_{\mu\nu} \right] + 2\eta_{\pi\pi\rho} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \theta \n+ \eta_{\pi\pi\pi} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \sigma_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + 2\eta_{\pi\pi\tau} \sigma_{\alpha < \mu} \overline{\omega}_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + \eta_{\pi\tau\tau} \overline{\omega}_{\alpha < \mu} \overline{\omega}_{\nu>}^{\ \alpha} + \eta_{\pi qq} M_{< \mu} M_{\nu>} \n\Pi = -\rho \Theta - \rho^{\omega} D \theta + [\phi + \phi] \Theta^2 + \phi - \sigma_{\mu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \phi - \overline{\omega} \overline{\omega} \overline{\omega}^{\mu\nu} + \phi - M M^{\mu} + \phi \overline{\Theta}^{\beta} \gamma
$$
\n(249)

$$
\Pi = -\zeta \theta - \zeta_{pp}^{\omega} D\theta + \left[\zeta_{\theta} + \zeta_{ppp} \right] \theta^2 + \zeta_{p\pi\pi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{p\pi\pi} \overline{\omega}_{\mu\nu} \overline{\omega}^{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{pqq} M_{\mu} M^{\mu} + \zeta_{p\epsilon} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \varepsilon} \mathcal{X}.
$$
 (250)

here, we defined $\zeta_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \Gamma \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{\omega} - \tilde{\Gamma} \zeta_{\varepsilon p}^{\omega}$. Also, we want to emphasize on the point that, for a chargeless and spinless case, M_{μ} can be written as $M_{\mu} = \frac{2(\varepsilon + p)}{\beta}$ $\frac{(\lambda+\rho)}{\beta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon} \nabla_{\mu} \ln s$, by employing the ideal hydrodynamic equation $(\varepsilon + p)Du_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu}p$ together with the thermodynamics relations, $T ds = d\varepsilon$, $dp = s dT$ and $\varepsilon + p = Ts$.

Now, It can be seen that the equation [\(249\)](#page-28-1) produces all the terms contained in [\(247\)](#page-28-2), and the corresponding transport coefficients in each equation can be compared. However, for the case of bulk viscous pressure [\(250\)](#page-28-3), there is an additional term $\zeta_{pe} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varepsilon} \mathcal{X}$ which originate from the two-point correlation function using the extended thermodynamic forces.

7 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Dirk H. Rischke for some important clarification in choosing spin density as an independent thermodynamic variable. We would also like to thank Amaresh Jaiswal and Koichi Hattori for clearing a few doubts on spin hydrodynamics.

References

[1] L. Adamczyk et al. Global Λ hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evidence for the most vortical fluid. Nature, 548:62–65, 2017.

- [2] Jaroslav Adam et al. Global polarization of Λ hyperons in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. Phys. Rev. C, 98:014910, 2018.
- [3] Jaroslav Adam et al. Polarization of $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$ hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV. Phys. Rev. Lett., 123(13):132301, 2019.
- [4] T Kimura E Saitoh S Maekawa, S O Valenzuela. Spin current, volume 22. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [5] Wojciech Florkowski, Bengt Friman, Amaresh Jaiswal, and Enrico Speranza. Relativistic fluid dynamics with spin. Phys. Rev. C, 97(4):041901, 2018.
- [6] Jian-Hua Gao and Zuo-Tang Liang. Relativistic Quantum Kinetic Theory for Massive Fermions and Spin Effects. Phys. Rev. D, 100(5):056021, 2019.
- [7] Koichi Hattori, Yoshimasa Hidaka, and Di-Lun Yang. Axial Kinetic Theory and Spin Transport for Fermions with Arbitrary Mass. Phys. Rev. D, 100(9):096011, 2019.
- [8] Shiyong Li and Ho-Ung Yee. Quantum Kinetic Theory of Spin Polarization of Massive Quarks in Perturbative QCD: Leading Log. Phys. Rev. D, 100(5):056022, 2019.
- [9] Di-Lun Yang, Koichi Hattori, and Yoshimasa Hidaka. Effective quantum kinetic theory for spin transport of fermions with collsional effects. JHEP, 07:070, 2020.
- [10] Nora Weickgenannt, Enrico Speranza, Xin-li Sheng, Qun Wang, and Dirk H. Rischke. Generating Spin Polarization from Vorticity through Nonlocal Collisions. Phys. Rev. Lett., 127(5):052301, 2021.
- [11] Yu-Chen Liu, Kazuya Mameda, and Xu-Guang Huang. Covariant Spin Kinetic Theory I: Collisionless Limit. Chin. Phys. C, 44(9):094101, 2020. [Erratum: Chin.Phys.C 45, 089001 (2021)].
- [12] Samapan Bhadury, Wojciech Florkowski, Amaresh Jaiswal, Avdhesh Kumar, and Radoslaw Ryblewski. Relativistic dissipative spin dynamics in the relaxation time approximation. Phys. Lett. B, 814:136096, 2021.
- [13] Shuzhe Shi, Charles Gale, and Sangyong Jeon. From chiral kinetic theory to relativistic viscous spin hydrodynamics. Phys. Rev. C, 103(4):044906, 2021.
- [14] Koichi Hattori, Masaru Hongo, Xu-Guang Huang, Mamoru Matsuo, and Hidetoshi Taya. Fate of spin polarization in a relativistic fluid: An entropy-current analysis. Phys. Lett. B, 795:100–106, 2019.
- [15] Kenji Fukushima and Shi Pu. Spin hydrodynamics and symmetric energy-momentum tensors – A current induced by the spin vorticity –. Phys. Lett. B, 817:136346, 2021.
- [16] Shiyong Li, Mikhail A. Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee. Nondissipative Second-Order Transport, Spin, and Pseudogauge Transformations in Hydrodynamics. Phys. Rev. Lett., 127(8):082302, 2021.
- [17] Duan She, Anping Huang, Defu Hou, and Jinfeng Liao. Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics with angular momentum. Sci. Bull., 67:2265–2268, 2022.
- [18] Masaru Hongo, Xu-Guang Huang, Matthias Kaminski, Mikhail Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee. Relativistic spin hydrodynamics with torsion and linear response theory for spin relaxation. JHEP, 11:150, 2021.
- [19] David Montenegro, Leonardo Tinti, and Giorgio Torrieri. Sound waves and vortices in a polarized relativistic fluid. Phys. Rev. D, 96(7):076016, 2017.
- [20] David Montenegro, Leonardo Tinti, and Giorgio Torrieri. Ideal relativistic fluid limit for a medium with polarization. Phys. Rev. D, 96(5):056012, 2017. [Addendum: Phys.Rev.D 96, 079901 (2017)].
- [21] David Montenegro and Giorgio Torrieri. Causality and dissipation in relativistic polarizable fluids. Phys. Rev. D, 100(5):056011, 2019.
- [22] David Montenegro and Giorgio Torrieri. Linear response theory and effective action of relativistic hydrodynamics with spin. Phys. Rev. D, 102(3):036007, 2020.
- [23] Kristan Jensen, Matthias Kaminski, Pavel Kovtun, Rene Meyer, Adam Ritz, and Amos Yarom. Towards hydrodynamics without an entropy current. Phys. Rev. Lett., 109:101601, 2012.
- [24] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy, and A. Yarom. Hydrodynamics of spin currents. SciPost Phys., 11:041, 2021.
- [25] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gursoy, and A. Yarom. Hydrodynamics, spin currents and torsion. JHEP, 05:139, 2023.
- [26] Francesco Becattini, Asaad Daher, and Xin-Li Sheng. Entropy current and entropy production in relativistic spin hydrodynamics. Phys. Lett. B, 850:138533, 2024.
- [27] Rajesh Biswas, Asaad Daher, Arpan Das, Wojciech Florkowski, and Radoslaw Ryblewski. Relativistic second-order spin hydrodynamics: An entropy-current analysis. Phys. Rev. D, 108(1):014024, 2023.
- [28] F. Becattini and F. Piccinini. The Ideal relativistic spinning gas: Polarization and spectra. Annals Phys., 323:2452–2473, 2008.
- [29] F. Becattini and L. Tinti. The Ideal relativistic rotating gas as a perfect fluid with spin. Annals Phys., 325:1566–1594, 2010.
- [30] F. Becattini and L. Tinti. Nonequilibrium Thermodynamical Inequivalence of Quantum Stress-energy and Spin Tensors. Phys. Rev. D, 87(2):025029, 2013.
- [31] F. Becattini, Wojciech Florkowski, and Enrico Speranza. Spin tensor and its role in non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Phys. Lett. B, 789:419–425, 2019.
- [32] Jin Hu. Kubo formulae for first-order spin hydrodynamics. Phys. Rev. D, 103(11):116015, 2021.
- [33] Dmitrii Nikolaevich Zubarev, Alexander V Prozorkevich, and Stanislav Aleksandrovich Smolyansky. Derivation of nonlinear generalized equations of quantum relativistic hydrodynamics. Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika, 40(3):394–407, 1979.
- [34] Ch G Van Weert. Maximum entropy principle and relativistic hydrodynamics. Annals of Physics, 140(1):133–162, 1982.
- [35] F Becattini and Davide Rindori. Extensivity, entropy current, area law, and unruh effect. Physical Review D, 99(12):125011, 2019.
- [36] Francesco Becattini, Asaad Daher, and Xin-Li Sheng. Entropy current and entropy production in relativistic spin hydrodynamics. Physics Letters B, 850:138533, 2024.
- [37] Dong-Lin Wang, Shuo Fang, and Shi Pu. Analytic solutions of relativistic dissipative spin hydrodynamics with bjorken expansion. Phys. Rev. D, 104:114043, Dec 2021.
- [38] Arus Harutyunyan, Armen Sedrakian, and Dirk H. Rischke. Relativistic second-order dissipative hydrodynamics from zubarev's non-equilibrium statistical operator. Annals of Physics, 438:168755, 2022.
- [39] Amaresh Jaiswal, Rajeev S. Bhalerao, and Subrata Pal. Complete relativistic second-order dissipative hydrodynamics from the entropy principle. Phys. Rev. C, 87:021901, Feb 2013.
- [40] Akio Hosoya, Masa-aki Sakagami, and Masaru Takao. Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics in Field Theory: Transport Coefficients. Annals Phys., 154:229, 1984.
- [41] Xu-Guang Huang, Armen Sedrakian, and Dirk H. Rischke. Kubo formulae for relativistic fluids in strong magnetic fields. Annals Phys., 326:3075–3094, 2011.

A Projection Tensors

A.1 Fourth rank projection tensors

The two fourth rank projection tensor used in this work are symmetric traceless projector ($\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$), and anti symmetric projector $(\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta})$. They both are orthogonal to fluid velocity, and defined by

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta},\tag{251}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} - \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \right).
$$
 (252)

Their properties are

$$
u^{\mu}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}, \quad \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\rho\nu\alpha\beta},
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} = \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad \Delta^{\mu}_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu\beta} = \frac{5}{3}\Delta_{\mu\beta}, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 5,
$$

$$
u^{\mu}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -\Delta_{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}, \quad \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho}\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\rho\nu\alpha\beta},
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} = \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad \Delta^{\mu}_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\nu}{}_{\beta} = -\Delta_{\mu\beta}, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 3.
$$
(253)

A.2 Non-local Projectors

The non-local projectors are defined by

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\lambda}(x)\Delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}(y),\tag{254}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x)\Delta^{\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(y),\tag{255}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x)\Delta^{\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(y),\tag{256}
$$

with some straight forward orthogonal properties, given by

$$
u^{\mu}(x)\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu}(x,y)u^{\nu}(y) = 0,
$$
\n(257)

$$
u^{\mu}(x)\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = u^{\nu}(x)\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y)u^{\alpha}(y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y)u^{\beta}(y) = 0,
$$
\n(258)

$$
u^{\mu}(x)\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = u^{\nu}(x)\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y)u^{\alpha}(y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y)u^{\beta}(y) = 0.
$$
 (259)

In order to write a hydrodynamic gradient expansion for the projectors, we expand the projectors around a fixed point. We start by the Taylor expansion for the fluid velocity and second rank projection tensor, given by

$$
u_{\mu}(y) = u_{\mu}(x) + (y - x)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{\mu}(y)}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \bigg|_{y=x} + \cdots,
$$
\n(260)

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}(y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu}(x) - (y - x)^{\alpha} \left[u_{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial u_{\nu}(y)}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \bigg|_{y=x} + u_{\nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_{\mu}(y)}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \bigg|_{y=x} \right] + \cdots. \tag{261}
$$

As discussed in the section [4,](#page-8-4) the second term in [\(260\)](#page-32-1) and [\(261\)](#page-32-2) are of first order in hydrodynamic gradient expansion. We can conclude that up to first order $u_{\mu}(y)u^{\mu}(x) \approx 1$. With the help of [\(260\)](#page-32-1) and using the definition of $\Delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}(y)$, we can rewrite [\(254\)](#page-32-3) as

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu}(x) - u_{\nu}(x)(y-x)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{\mu}(y)}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \bigg|_{y=x} + \cdots
$$
 (262)

Now, we can derive some useful properties of non-local projectors which are approximated up to the first order in gradient correction. Using [\(262\)](#page-32-4), we obtain

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}(x,y)\Delta^{\nu}_{\lambda}(x) \approx \Delta_{\mu\lambda}(x), \quad \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(x)\Delta^{\alpha\beta}(y) \approx 3,
$$
\n(263)

here, these properties are only valid in the first order gradient approximation. In the same approximation, the non-local fourth rank symmetric traceless projector [\(255\)](#page-32-5), can be written as

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha}(x,y) \Delta_{\nu\beta}(x,y) + \Delta_{\mu\beta}(x,y) \Delta_{\nu\alpha}(x,y) \right] - \frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu}(x) \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(y),\tag{264}
$$

whereas, the non-local fourth rank anti symmetric projector (256) , can be rewritten as

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha}(x,y) \Delta_{\nu\beta}(x,y) - \Delta_{\mu\beta}(x,y) \Delta_{\nu\alpha}(x,y) \right],\tag{265}
$$

here, this equation is valid up to all orders in gradient expansion.

Using (262) in (264) and (265) , we obtain

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x) - (y-x)^{\rho} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\lambda}(x) u_{\beta}(x) + \Delta_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta}(x) u_{\alpha}(x) \right] \frac{\partial u^{\lambda}(y)}{\partial y^{\rho}} \Big|_{y=x} + \cdots,
$$
 (266)

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x) - (y-x)^{\rho} \left[\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\lambda}(x)u_{\beta}(x) + \Delta_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta}(x)u_{\alpha}(x)\right] \frac{\partial u^{\lambda}(y)}{\partial y^{\rho}}\Big|_{y=x} + \cdots \tag{267}
$$

B Correlation Function

The derivation given in this section is similar as presented in $[40, 41, 38]$ $[40, 41, 38]$ $[40, 41, 38]$ $[40, 41, 38]$. Starting from the (33) , we have

$$
\left(\hat{X}(\vec{x},t),\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1)\right) = \int_0^1 d\tau \left\langle \hat{X}(\vec{x},t) \left[e^{-\hat{A}\tau}\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1)e^{\hat{A}\tau} - \left\langle e^{-\hat{A}\tau}\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1)e^{\hat{A}\tau} \right\rangle_l \right] \right\rangle_l, \tag{268}
$$

Inferring to [\(29\)](#page-5-2), we can conclude that $\hat{A} = \beta \hat{H}$, where \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian of a rotating system in a grand canonical ensemble. Therefore, we obtain the time evolution of any operator in Heisenberg picture in imaginary time as

$$
\hat{Y}(\vec{x}, t + i\tau') = e^{-\hat{H}\tau'} \hat{Y}(\vec{x}, t) e^{\hat{H}\tau'},
$$
\n(269)

which leads to the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger relations, given by

$$
\left\langle \hat{Y}(\vec{x}, t + i\tau') \right\rangle_l = \left\langle \hat{Y}(\vec{x}, t) \right\rangle_l,\tag{270}
$$

$$
\left\langle \hat{X}(\vec{x},t)\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1+i\beta)\right\rangle_l = \left\langle \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1)\hat{X}(\vec{x},t)\right\rangle_l.
$$
\n(271)

Using [\(270\)](#page-33-4) and [\(271\)](#page-33-5), in [\(268\)](#page-33-6) and assuming that the correlations vanish at $t \to \infty$, we can establish the relation

$$
\left(\hat{X}(\vec{x},t),\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t_1)\right) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt' \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_1,t-t'),\tag{272}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_1,t-t') = -i\theta(t-t')\left\langle \left[\hat{X}(\vec{x},t),\hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1,t')\right]\right\rangle_t.
$$
\n(273)

Now we consider a general form of frequency dependent transport coefficient, involving a two-point correlation function, given by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = \beta \int d^3x_1 \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 e^{\epsilon(t_1 - t)} e^{i\omega(t - t_1)} \left(\hat{X}(\vec{x}, t), \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1, t_1) \right).
$$
 (274)

The retarded Green's function obtained in [\(273\)](#page-33-7) is transitionally invariant both in space as well as in time. We can exploit this property to rewrite the integral [\(274\)](#page-34-0) by setting (\vec{x}, t) = (0,0). Substituting [\(272\)](#page-33-8) in (274), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} dt_1 e^{(\epsilon - i\omega)t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt' \int d^3x_1 \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(-\vec{x}_1, -t'). \tag{275}
$$

Considering the Fourier transformation

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\vec{x}_{1},t') = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} e^{-i(\omega't' - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\vec{k},\omega'),\tag{276}
$$

and using the definition of Dirac delta function, we can write

$$
\int d^3x \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(-\vec{x}, -t') = \lim_{\vec{k} \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} e^{i\omega' t'} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{k}, \omega'). \tag{277}
$$

Substituting [\(277\)](#page-34-1) in [\(275\)](#page-34-2) and solving the integral over t' by shifting $\omega' \to \omega' - i\delta$, where $\delta \to 0^+$, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{i}{\omega + i\epsilon} \oint \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi i} \left[\frac{1}{\omega' - (\omega + i\epsilon + i\delta)} - \frac{1}{\omega' - i\delta} \right] \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega'),\tag{278}
$$

here, $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega') \equiv \lim_{\vec{k}\to 0} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{k}, \omega').$ In order to solve [\(278\)](#page-34-3), we first complexify ω' , and use the Cauchy's integral formula together with the residue theorem. Inferring [\(278\)](#page-34-3), we obtain two poles at $\omega' = \omega + i\epsilon + i\delta$ and $\omega' = i\delta$. Also, we close the contour in the upper half plane and assume that, at infinity, $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega')$ vanishes sufficiently fast than the denominator $(\frac{1}{\omega'})$. Thus, we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{i}{\omega + i\epsilon} \left[\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega + i\epsilon + i\delta) - \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(i\delta) \right]. \tag{279}
$$

Now we can take the limits $\delta \to 0^+$ and $\epsilon \to 0^+$, here ϵ being the irreversibility parameter, and must be set to zero at the end of the calculations. Thus, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = \frac{i}{\omega} \left[\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) - \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(0) \right].
$$
\n(280)

For $\omega \to 0$, [\(280\)](#page-34-4) can be simplified to

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(0) = i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d}{d\omega} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) = i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{\vec{k} \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{k}, \omega).
$$
\n(281)

Now, since the operators \hat{X} and \hat{Y} are hermitian, we have

$$
\left\langle \left[\hat{X}(\vec{x},t), \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1, t_1) \right] \right\rangle_l^* = -\left\langle \left[\hat{X}(\vec{x},t), \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1, t_1) \right] \right\rangle_l, \tag{282}
$$

which indicates that $\langle \left[\hat{X}(\vec{x}, t), \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1, t_1) \right] \rangle$ is a purely imaginary quantity, and by (273) , we can conclude that the retarded Green's function $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R$ and $(\hat{X}(\vec{x},t), \hat{Y}(\vec{x}_1, t_1))$ are purely real quantity. Therefore, from [\(274\)](#page-34-0), we get to the conclusion that $\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(0)$ should be a purely real quantity. Thus we can rewrite [\(281\)](#page-34-5) as

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(0) = \beta \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{X}(x), \hat{Y}(x_1) \right) = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d}{d\omega} \text{Im} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{\vec{k} \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \text{Im} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{k}, \omega). \tag{283}
$$

The other type of dissipative current obtained in this work is of the form

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{\mu}(\omega) = \beta \int d^4 x_1 e^{i\omega(t-t_1)} \left(\hat{X}(x), \hat{Y}(x_1)\right) (x_1 - x)^{\mu}.
$$
 (284)

The temporal component is given by

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{0}(\omega) = i\beta \frac{d}{d\omega} \int d^{4}x_{1} e^{i\omega(t-t_{1})} \left(\hat{X}(x), \hat{Y}(x_{1})\right) = i\frac{d}{d\omega} \mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega). \tag{285}
$$

The spatial component of $\mathcal{J}^\mu_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega)$ vanishes in the local rest frame, since the correlator $\left(\hat{X}(x),\hat{Y}(x_1)\right)$ evaluated in local rest frame depends on $|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_1|$, which make $\mathcal{J}^i_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega)$ an odd function of $\vec{x}-\vec{x}_1$. Furthermore, considering a Taylor expansion of $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega)$ around $\omega = 0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\omega) = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(0) + \omega \frac{d}{d\omega} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0} + \frac{\omega^{2}}{2!} \frac{d^{2}}{d\omega^{2}} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^{R}(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0} + \cdots,
$$
\n(286)

which leads to

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(\omega) = \frac{i}{\omega} \left[\mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) - \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(0) \right] = i \frac{d}{d\omega} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0} + \frac{i\omega}{2!} \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0} + \cdots
$$
 (287)

Substituting [\(287\)](#page-35-2) in [\(285\)](#page-35-3) and taking the limit $\omega \to 0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^0(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \text{Re} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{\vec{k} \to 0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} \text{Re} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^R(\vec{k}, \omega). \tag{288}
$$

Now, we assume $\mathcal{J}^0_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}(0) = J$, then we can write

$$
\beta \int d^4 x_1 \left(\hat{X}(x), \hat{Y}(x_1) \right) (x_1 - x)^\mu = \mathcal{J}_{\hat{X}\hat{Y}}^\mu(0) = J u^\mu. \tag{289}
$$

C Correlations Function in terms of projectors and traces

The most general decomposition for a two-point correlation function involving two second rank tensor is given by

$$
\left(\hat{X}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) = a_1 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} + a_2 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + a_3 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha}.\tag{290}
$$

A similar decomposition can also be given by for a three-point correlation function involving one second rank tensor and two vectors

$$
\left(\hat{X}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{Y}_{\alpha}(x_1), \hat{Z}_{\beta}(x_2)\right) = b_1 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} + b_2 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + b_3 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha}.
$$
\n(291)

Here, we present the derivation of [\(64\)](#page-9-1). All the other relations, similar to [\(290\)](#page-35-4) and [\(291\)](#page-35-5), can be derived in a similar way. Substituting $\hat{X} = \hat{\tau}$ and $\hat{Y} = \hat{\tau}$ in [\(290\)](#page-35-4), we demand that (290) should be anti-symmetric in the exchange of $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ and $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, we obtain

$$
a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = -a_3 = a \text{ (say)}.
$$
\n⁽²⁹²⁾

Thus, we have

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1)\right) = a\left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha}\Delta_{\nu\beta} - \Delta_{\mu\beta}\Delta_{\nu\alpha}\right] = 2a\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta},\tag{293}
$$

where, a can be obtained by taking the trace of (293) ,

$$
a = \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda\eta}(x), \hat{\tau}^{\lambda\eta}(x_1) \right). \tag{294}
$$

Furthermore, we present the derivation for [\(113\)](#page-14-0). All the other three-point correlation function involving three second rank tensors, given in $(113)-(116)$ $(113)-(116)$ and $(187)-(190)$ $(187)-(190)$, can be calculated in similar way. Using (112) , we can write,

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = a_1 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\alpha} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + a_2 \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\beta} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + b_1 \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + b_2 \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \n+ c_1 \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} + c_2 \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\rho} + d\Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\rho\sigma} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \n+ e_1 \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + e_2 \Delta_{\mu\rho} \Delta_{\nu\beta} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + e_3 \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\rho\beta} + e_4 \Delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta_{\nu\beta} \Delta_{\rho\alpha} \n+ e_5 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\rho} \Delta_{\sigma\beta} + e_6 \Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} \Delta_{\rho\beta} + e_7 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\rho} \Delta_{\sigma\alpha} + e_8 \Delta_{\mu\beta} \Delta_{\nu\sigma} \Delta_{\rho\alpha}.
$$
\n(295)

This expression should be anti-symmetric in the exchange of $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$, $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$ and, $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$. This conclude that the coefficients of projectors with indices $\mu\nu$, $\rho\sigma$ and $\alpha\beta$ must vanish. Thus, we have

$$
a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = c_1 = c_2 = d = 0.
$$
\n⁽²⁹⁶⁾

Furthermore, exchanging $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ in [\(295\)](#page-36-1) and then comparing the coefficients of similar projectors terms in $\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\beta\alpha}(x_2)\right)$ with $-\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right)$, we deduce that

$$
e_1 = -e_2, e_3 = -e_4, e_6 = -e_8, e_5 = -e_7.
$$
 (297)

Similarly, exchanging $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$, we have

$$
e_1 = -e_3, \quad e_5 = -e_6,\tag{298}
$$

and exchanging $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$, we have

$$
e_1 = -e_5 = e(\text{say}).\t(299)
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\left(\hat{\tau}_{\mu\nu}(x),\hat{\tau}_{\rho\sigma}(x_1),\hat{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2)\right) = e\left(\Delta_{\mu\rho}\Delta_{\nu\alpha}\Delta_{\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\mu\rho}\Delta_{\nu\beta}\Delta_{\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\mu\sigma}\Delta_{\nu\alpha}\Delta_{\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\mu\sigma}\Delta_{\nu\beta}\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\right) \n- \Delta_{\mu\alpha}\Delta_{\nu\rho}\Delta_{\sigma\beta} + \Delta_{\mu\alpha}\Delta_{\nu\sigma}\Delta_{\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\mu\beta}\Delta_{\nu\rho}\Delta_{\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\mu\beta}\Delta_{\nu\sigma}\Delta_{\rho\alpha}\right) \n= 2e\left[\Delta_{\rho\sigma}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\beta} - \Delta_{\rho\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha} - \Delta_{\sigma\alpha}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\beta} + \Delta_{\sigma\beta}\Delta_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha}\right].
$$
\n(300)

In order to calculate the value of e , we take the trace and use the properties (253) . Thus, we obtain

$$
e = \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\tau}_{\lambda}^{\ \delta}(x), \hat{\tau}_{\delta}^{\ \eta}(x_1), \hat{\tau}_{\eta}^{\ \lambda}(x_2) \right).
$$
 (301)

D Bulk Viscous Pressure

The nonequilibrium correction to the pressure is given by

$$
\Pi = \langle \hat{p} \rangle - p(\varepsilon, n, S^{\mu\nu}),
$$

= $\langle \hat{p} \rangle_l + \langle \hat{p} \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{p} \rangle_2 - p(\varepsilon, n, S^{\mu\nu}),$ (302)

here, $p(\varepsilon, n, S^{\mu\nu})$ is the equilibrium pressure given by the equation of the state. The average of the pressure operator over the local equilibrium can be expanded around the equilibrium pressure, mathematically, it can be given by

$$
\langle \hat{p} \rangle_{l} = p(\varepsilon, n, S^{\mu\nu}) - \Gamma \Delta \varepsilon - \delta \Delta n - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \Delta S^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \varepsilon)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial \varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta n)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial n^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S^{\mu\nu} \Delta S^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial S^{\alpha\beta}} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \times 2(\Delta \varepsilon) (\Delta n) \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \times 2(\Delta \varepsilon) (\Delta S^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \times 2(\Delta n) (\Delta S^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial S^{\mu\nu}} \right) + \cdots
$$
\n(303)

The corrections $\Delta \varepsilon$, Δn and, $\Delta S^{\mu\nu}$ are taken up to the second-order. Thus,

$$
\Delta \varepsilon = \langle \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{\varepsilon} \rangle_2, \quad \Delta n = \langle \hat{n} \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{n} \rangle_2 \text{ and,} \quad \Delta S^{\mu \nu} = \langle \hat{S}^{\mu \nu} \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{S}^{\mu \nu} \rangle_2,\tag{304}
$$

using these in [\(303\)](#page-37-2) and neglecting all the third and higher order terms, we have

$$
\label{eq:3.1} \begin{split} \big\langle \hat{p} \big\rangle_l &= p(\varepsilon,n,S^{\mu\nu}) - \Gamma \big\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \big\rangle_1 - \Gamma \big\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \big\rangle_2 - \delta \big\langle \hat{n} \big\rangle_1 - \delta \big\langle \hat{n} \big\rangle_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\big\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \big\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\big\langle \hat{n} \big\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2} + \big\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \big\rangle_1 \big\langle \hat{n} \big\rangle_1 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \big\langle \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \big\rangle_1. \end{split}
$$

Finally, the expression for the bulk viscous pressure, up to second-order in hydrodynamic gradient expansion, is given by

$$
\Pi = \left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_1 + \left\langle \hat{p}^* \right\rangle_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \right\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \hat{n} \right\rangle_1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2} + \left\langle \hat{\varepsilon} \right\rangle_1 \left\langle \hat{n} \right\rangle_1 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n \partial \varepsilon} - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \left\langle \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \right\rangle_1. \tag{305}
$$