

# A Holographic Construction of MHV Graviton Amplitudes in Celestial CFT

Igor Mol

*State University of Campinas (Unicamp)\**

In this note, we show that tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitudes, when analytically continued to Klein space, can be holographically generated as the correlators of a two-dimensional conformal field theory ( $2d$  CFT) in the large- $N$  semiclassical  $b \rightarrow 0$  limit. This  $2d$  CFT is composed of a Liouville field theory, coupled to a level-one  $SO(N)$  Kac-Moody current, and a doublet of free fermions residing on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$ .

arXiv:2408.10944v2 [hep-th] 22 Aug 2024

---

\* igormol@ime.unicamp.br

## I. INTRODUCTION

An important problem in the bottom-up approach to celestial holography is the formulation of simplified celestial conformal field theories (CFTs) that captures basic characteristics of quantum gravity in flat spacetime. Significant progress in this direction was achieved by Melton *et al.* [1], who introduced a two-dimensional CFT ( $2d$  CFT) on the celestial sphere consisting of a Liouville field theory, a level-one  $SO(N)$  Kac-Moody current algebra, and a free fermion. Remarkably, in the large- $N$  semiclassical limit, the correlation functions of this CFT successfully reproduce tree-level MHV gluon scattering amplitudes. This work follows a sequence of studies by Casali, Melton, and Strominger [2] and Melton *et al.* [1], arising from the observation that the translation invariance inherent in  $4d$  scattering amplitudes of massless particles (expressed as distributions arising from energy-momentum conservation) fails to manifest within the correlation functions of conventional  $2d$  CFTs.

To address this issue, Stieberger, Taylor, and Zhu [3] proposed an approach that considers the possibility of breaking translation invariance by analysing Yang-Mills theory on a spherical dilaton shockwave background. This led to the observation that tree-level MHV gluon amplitudes can be reformulated as correlation functions of a Liouville field theory. Parallel to this, Casali, Melton, and Strominger [2], followed by Melton, Sharma, and Strominger [4], initiated an alternative program, based on the decomposition of celestial amplitudes as weighted integrals of  $AdS_3$ -Witten diagrams, defined on the leaves of a hyperbolic foliation of Klein spacetime (cf. Barrett *et al.* [5], Bhattacharjee and Krishnan [6] for mathematical details). In a manner characteristic of celestial holography, where distinct research lines often converge in unanticipated ways, Melton *et al.* [1] employed insights from both the celestial leaf amplitude program and the approach due to Stieberger, Taylor, and Zhu [3, 7] for addressing the distributional nature of massless scattering amplitudes. This led to an important conclusion: by revisiting the work of Nair [8, 9] and combining it with recent mathematical results by Costello and Paquette [10] as well as Bu and Casali [11], it became possible to formulate a simple model for celestial CFT. As explained in detail by Melton *et al.* [1], the correlation functions of this  $2d$  CFT, in the large- $N$  semiclassical limit, can be employed to express the tree-level MHV gluon amplitudes.

Inspired by this significant result and motivated by the goal of extending our understanding of the holographic principle to flat space, we recognised the necessity of investigating how the work of Melton *et al.* [1] could be extended to generate graviton scattering amplitudes. Our belief that a  $2d$  CFT on the celestial sphere could reproduce (in a certain limit) the tree-level scattering

amplitudes of the MHV subsector of Einstein’s gravity was also influenced by the works of Pate *et al.* [12], Banerjee and Ghosh [13], Guevara *et al.* [14], Himwich, Pate, and Singh [15], Strominger [16], Banerjee *et al.* [17]. These authors showed that the celestial operator product expansions (OPEs) of conformal primary gluons and gravitons are constrained by the collinear singularities of gauge and gravity amplitudes as well as by asymptotic symmetries. Moreover, the current algebra studied by Banerjee, Ghosh, and Paul [18], along with the system of linear first-order partial differential equations satisfied by MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitudes (analogous to the Knizhnik and Zamolodchikov [19] equations for the Wess-Zumino-Witten model) provides compelling evidence for the existence of an autonomous sector within celestial CFT which holographically encode the MHV graviton amplitudes, functioning as a “minimal model” for celestial holography.

The organisation of our paper is as follows. In Section 2, we discuss in considerable detail our selection of the matter system comprising the  $2d$  CFT which generates the tree-level MHV 4-graviton amplitude. This approach serves a dual purpose: it not only provides a physical motivation for the selection of our  $2d$  model but also renders the more abstract and general arguments for the construction of the  $n$ -point amplitude in Section 3 more accessible. In the concluding section, following a concise review of our principal findings, we discuss several unresolved issues that, we hope, will inspire further investigation into the development of toy models for celestial holography.

## II. MODEL BUILDING: THE CASE OF THE FOUR-POINT FUNCTION

To motivate the formulation of a two-dimensional conformal field theory ( $2d$  CFT) on the celestial sphere, which we propose as the holographic dual to the MHV subsector of Einstein’s gravity in flat spacetime, we begin by analysing the tree-level MHV<sup>1</sup> 4-graviton amplitude  $M_4$  for the scattering of gravitons  $1^-$ ,  $2^-$ ,  $3^+$  and  $3^+$ . In the course of this analysis, we will introduce the corresponding matter fields on the complex projective line  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  (which we identify with the celestial sphere at null-infinity) which are constructed to reproduce the graviton amplitudes in a certain limit. This ensures that the generalisation of our approach is both mathematically rigorous and physically motivated. Once the specific method by which the 4-graviton amplitude is expressed as a correlation function of a family of operators within a  $2d$  CFT is thoroughly understood, the derivation of the 3-point function becomes obvious, while the extension to  $n$ -graviton amplitudes (with  $n \geq 5$ ) arises naturally from our proposed CFT.

---

<sup>1</sup> Henceforth, all graviton amplitudes discussed shall be understood as tree-level MHV, which obviates the need for repetitive clarification.

Let  $\hat{\chi}(z)$  and  $\hat{\chi}^\dagger(z)$  be a doublet of fermions on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  with mode expansions:

$$\hat{\chi}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^\dagger z^{-n-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{b_m, b_n^\dagger\} = \delta_{mn}$  are fermionic creation and annihilation operators acting on a vacuum state  $|0\rangle$ , such that  $b_m|0\rangle = 0$ . Let  $u^A = (\xi, \zeta)^T \in \mathbf{C}^2$  be a two-component spinor with  $\zeta \neq 0$ , where  $z = \xi/\zeta \in \mathbf{CP}^1$  represents its local coordinate on the complex projective line. We define the pair of fermions  $\chi(u)$  and  $\chi^\dagger(u)$  as  $\chi(u) := \zeta^{-1}\hat{\chi}(z)$  and  $\chi^\dagger(u) := \zeta^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\dagger(z)$ . Observe that while the doublet  $(\hat{\chi}, \hat{\chi}^\dagger)$  represents free fermions residing on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  the doublet  $(\chi, \chi^\dagger)$  corresponds to fields in the space of two-component spinors.

Let  $\lambda^A$  be an auxiliary two-component spinor,  $x^\mu$  a spacetime four-vector and  $p^\mu = \omega q^\mu$  a null four-vector representing the momentum of a graviton. Here,  $q^\mu := (\sigma^\mu)_{AA'} v^A \bar{v}^{\dot{A}}$  is our convention for the standard null momentum, and utilising the little group rescaling property, we choose local coordinates on the complex projective line such that<sup>2</sup>  $v^A = (z, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{v}^{\dot{A}} = (\bar{z}, 1)^T$ . Then, we define the differential operators  $\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u})$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u}) := \chi^\dagger(u) \chi(u) e^{ipx}, \quad \mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u}) := -i \frac{\lambda^A \bar{u}^{\dot{A}}}{\langle u\lambda \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} e^{ipx}, \quad (2)$$

For brevity, we denote the Minkowski inner product as a juxtaposition,  $px := p \cdot x$ , and the integral measure as  $\int [dx d\lambda] := \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{dz d\lambda}{\pi}$ . The spinor derivative is denoted by  $\bar{\partial} := \partial/\partial\bar{\lambda}$ . Furthermore, let  $u_1^A, u_2^A, \dots \in \mathbf{C}^2$  and  $\bar{u}_1^{\dot{A}}, \bar{u}_2^{\dot{A}}, \dots \in \mathbf{C}^2$  be sequences of two-component spinors. We denote the operators  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  evaluated at  $(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$  as  $\mathcal{Q}_k := \mathcal{Q}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_k := \mathcal{P}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$ . The cyclic permutation of the contractions of all spinors  $u_k$  is  $C(n) := \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle$ .

In Appendix B 1 (cf. Eq. (B11)), we have demonstrated that the 4-graviton amplitude can be expressed as:

$$M_4 = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3 \omega_4} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} z_{34} z_{41}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (3)$$

In accordance with the celestial holography dictionary (as explained in Pasterski [23, 24], Raclariu [25], Strominger [26], Aneesh *et al.* [27], Donnay [28], Puhm [29]), the *celestial 4-graviton amplitude*  $\widehat{M}_4$  corresponding to  $M_4$  is the  $\epsilon$ -regulated Mellin transform:

$$\widehat{M}_4(\{\Delta_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i\}) = \prod_{k=1}^4 \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \omega_k^{\Delta_k-1} e^{-\epsilon\omega_k} M_4(\{\omega_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i\}). \quad (4)$$

<sup>2</sup> Note that our convention for normalising the spinor-helicity variables, which parametrise the standard null momentum, differs from that adopted in the works of Pasterski, Shao, and Strominger [20], Pasterski and Shao [21], Cheung, de la Fuente, and Sundrum [22]. In those references, the conventions are such that  $v^A = \sqrt{2}(1, \bar{z})$  and  $\bar{v}^{\dot{A}} = -\sqrt{2}(1, z)$ .

For any integer  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta \in 1 + i\mathbf{R}$ , let  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha,\Delta}(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,\Delta}(z, \bar{z})$  represent the  $\epsilon$ -regulated Mellin transforms of the operators  $\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u})$ , respectively, *each weighted by powers of the frequency  $\omega^\alpha$* . Explicitly, these transforms are given by<sup>3</sup>:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha,\Delta}(u, \bar{u}) := \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^{\Delta-1} e^{-\epsilon\omega} \omega^\alpha \mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u}) = \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + \alpha - 1)}{(\epsilon - iqx)^{\Delta + \alpha - 1}} \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z) \hat{\chi}(z), \quad (7)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,\Delta}(u, \bar{u}) := \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^{\Delta-1} e^{-\epsilon\omega} \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u, \bar{u}) = -i \frac{\lambda^A \bar{u}^{\dot{A}}}{\langle u\lambda \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + \alpha)}{(\epsilon - iqx)^{\Delta + \alpha}}, \quad (8)$$

To simplify our notation, and where no confusion will arise, we shall denote these operators by  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k := \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha_k, \Delta_k}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_k := \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha_k, \Delta_k}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$ . With this convention, and by setting  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3$  and  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = -1$ , which are powers of the frequencies  $\omega_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) that factor into the first term of Eq. (3), it follows from Eqs. (3, 4) that the celestial 4-graviton amplitude can be written as:

$$\widehat{M}_4 = -\frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12}z_{23}z_{34}z_{41}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_2 \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_3 \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (9)$$

In Appendix C, we proved the following result. Let  $x^\mu, y^\mu, q^\mu, q_1^\mu, q_2^\mu, \dots$  be a sequence of four-vectors, and  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  a family of infinitesimal variables. Let  $G_\Delta(x, q)$  and  $K_{k,\Delta}(x, q)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be the functions given by:

$$G_\Delta(x, q) := \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{(\varepsilon - iqx)^\Delta}, \quad K_{k,\Delta}(x, q) := \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{(\varepsilon_k - iqx)^\Delta}. \quad (10)$$

Here,  $\Delta$  will be referred to as the ‘‘conformal weight,’’ in anticipation of its significance for our CFT. To simplify notation where no ambiguity arises, we shall write  $G_k = G_\Delta(x, q_k)$  and  $K_{k,\Delta} = K_{k,\Delta}(x, q_k)$ . It is important to note that the main distinction between  $G_\Delta(x, q)$  and  $K_{k,\Delta}(x, q)$  is that the ‘‘infinitesimal regulators’’  $\varepsilon_k$  associated with the functions  $\{K_{k,\Delta}(x, q)\}$  are mutually independent, whereas the family of functions  $\{G_\Delta(x, q)\}$  is regulated by a common parameter  $\varepsilon$ . The form of these functions should already be familiar to the reader, as they correspond, when restricted to the de Boer-Solodukhin hyperbolic foliation of Minkowski space (cf. de Boer and Solodukhin [30]), to the  $AdS_3$ -Witten bulk-to-boundary propagator, modulo the normalisation constants of the Green function of the Laplacian  $\square_{AdS}$ . (For a review, see Penedones [31].)

<sup>3</sup> To compute the Mellin transform of  $\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u})$ , it is first necessary to replace the fermions  $\chi(u)$  and  $\chi^\dagger(u)$ , which are fields defined on the space of two-component spinors, with the pair  $\hat{\chi}(z)$  and  $\hat{\chi}^\dagger(z)$  defined on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$ . This substitution is given by:

$$\chi(u) := \zeta^{-1} \hat{\chi}(z), \quad \chi^\dagger(u) := \zeta^{-1} \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z). \quad (5)$$

Recalling that, in our local coordinate  $z \in \mathbf{CP}^1$ , we parametrise  $u^A$  by  $\sqrt{\omega}(z, 1)^T$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u}) = \frac{e^{i\omega qx}}{\omega} \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z) \hat{\chi}(z). \quad (6)$$

Since  $\hat{\chi}(z)$  and  $\hat{\chi}^\dagger(z)$  are independent of the frequency  $\omega$ , the Mellin transform of  $\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u})$  follows straightforwardly from this expression.

Let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots \in 1 + i\mathbf{R}$  be a sequence of conformal weights. We define the differential operators  $\mathbf{X}_k(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_k(y)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_k(y)$ , which act on the space of functions  $\{G_\Delta(x, q), K_{k, \Delta}(x, q)\}$ , as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_k(x) := M_k^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_k)}{(\varepsilon - iq_k \cdot x)^{\Delta_k}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_k(y) := M_k^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} e^{-iq_k \cdot y \partial_{\varepsilon_k}}, \quad \mathcal{T}_k(y) := e^{-iq_k \cdot y \partial_{\varepsilon_k}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $M_k^{A\dot{A}}$  is a matrix dependent on the spinors  $\lambda^A$  and  $\bar{u}_k^{\dot{A}}$  introduced earlier, and  $\partial_{\varepsilon_k} := \partial/\partial\varepsilon_k$ . Then, according to the Proposition proved in Subsec. C2 (cf. Eq. (C5)),

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2} = \int [dy] \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{r=1}^{n+2} K_{r, \Delta_r}(x), \quad (13)$$

where, for simplicity, we will write  $\int [dy] \delta_y := \int d^4 y \delta^{(4)}(y)$  and omit the variable  $y$  on  $\mathcal{D}_k$  and  $\mathcal{T}_k$ . Now, note that from Eqs. (7, 8), the Mellin-transformed operators  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\ell$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$  can be expressed in terms of  $\mathbf{X}_k(x)$  and  $G_\Delta(x, q)$  as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_k = \mathbf{X}_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k = G_{\Delta_k + \alpha_k - 1}(x, q_k) \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z_k) \hat{\chi}(z_k), \quad (14)$$

provided that we take  $M_\ell^{A\dot{A}} = -i\lambda^A \bar{u}^{\dot{A}} / \langle \ell \lambda \rangle$ . Hence, introducing a new operator  $\mathcal{R}_k$  by:

$$\mathcal{R}_{k,y} := \mathcal{T}_k(y) \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z_k) \hat{\chi}(z_k), \quad (15)$$

Eq. (13) implies that<sup>4</sup>:

$$\widehat{M}_4 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(4)} \int [dy dz \lambda] \delta_y \langle \lambda | \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{R}_3 \mathcal{R}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle \int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^4 \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}}, \quad (16)$$

where:

$$\beta_k = \Delta_k + \alpha_k - 1 \quad (k = 1, 3, 4), \quad \beta_2 = \Delta_2 + \alpha_2. \quad (17)$$

To evaluate the final integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (16), we substitute the infinitesimal parameters  $\varepsilon_k$  with  $\varepsilon \varepsilon_k$ , where  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and treat  $\varepsilon_k$  as (non-infinitesimal) real and independent variables. Consequently, the integrand becomes:

$$\prod_{k=1}^4 \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}} = \prod_{k=1}^4 \frac{e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon - i\ell_k x / \varepsilon_k)^{\beta_k}}. \quad (18)$$

<sup>4</sup> We omitted the dependence on  $y$  to streamline the notation.

Here,  $\ell_k^\mu$  is the four-vector  $\ell_k^\mu := (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} \pi_k^A \bar{\pi}_k^{\dot{A}}$ , where the two-component spinors  $\pi_k^A$  and  $\bar{\pi}_k^{\dot{A}}$  are parametrised in local coordinates  $z_k, \bar{z}_k \in \mathbf{CP}^1$  by  $\pi_k = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2} (z_k, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{\pi}_k = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2} (\bar{z}_k, 1)^T$ . Utilising the results developed in Appendix A, we find, in the semiclassical  $b \rightarrow 0$  limit of Liouville field theory  $\hat{\phi}(z, \bar{z})$  residing on  $\mathbf{S}^2$ , that:

$$\int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^4 \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}} = \delta_\beta \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^4 e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k) V_{b\beta_k} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}}, \frac{\bar{z}_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \right\rangle \quad (19)$$

$$+ (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}), \quad (20)$$

where  $(\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z})$  signifies the replication of the first term with the substitution of  $\bar{z}$  by  $-\bar{z}$ . We denote by  $V_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) := \exp(2\alpha\hat{\phi}(z, \bar{z}))$ : the Liouville vertex operator with momentum  $\alpha$ . For the sake of simplicity, we have defined the normalised delta function associated with the conformal weights  $\beta_k = \beta(\Delta_k, \alpha_k)$  as:

$$\delta_\beta := \frac{1}{8\pi^3 \mathcal{N}_{\mu,b}} \delta \left( 4 - \sum_{r=1}^4 \beta_r \right), \quad (21)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu,b}$  is a constant defined in Eq. (A16) depending on the Liouville ‘‘cosmological constant.’’

Following the seminal work of Nair [8], and in the context of celestial holography, the works by Melton *et al.* [1], Stieberger, Taylor, and Zhu [3, 7], Costello and Paquette [10], Bu and Casali [11], the Parke-Taylor denominator—ubiquitous in gauge theory amplitudes—can be reproduced using a matter system consisting of chiral fermionic primary free fields  $\psi^i$  on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  obeying the operator product expansions (OPEs):

$$\psi^i(u_k) \psi^j(u_\ell) \sim \frac{\delta^{ij}}{z_{k\ell}}, \quad (22)$$

where  $z_{k\ell} := z_k - z_\ell$ . These fields, transforming under the adjoint representation of the group  $SO(N)$ , give rise to level-one Kac-Moody currents:

$$J^a(z) := \frac{1}{2} R_{ij}^a : \psi^i \psi^j : (z), \quad (23)$$

whose OPEs are given by:

$$J^a(z_k) J^b(z_\ell) \sim \frac{1}{z_{k\ell}^2} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{z_{k\ell}} f^{abc} J^c(u_\ell). \quad (24)$$

In the construction of these currents, Einstein’s summation convention has been employed. Here,  $a, b, c$ , etc., represent indices corresponding to the adjoint representation of  $SO(N)$  wherein  $[R^a, R^b] = f^{abc} R^c$ , with generators  $R^a$  normalised such that  $\mathbf{Tr}(R^a R^b) = 2\delta^{ab}$  and structure constants denoted by the symbols  $f^{abc}$ .

The  $SO(N)$  symmetry that governs the currents, together with the invariance under  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  transformations,  $z \mapsto z'$ , implies (see Ketov [32]) that the leading term of the correlation functions constructed from  $J^a$  in the *large- $N$  limit* is dominated by:

$$\langle J^{a_1}(z_1) J^{a_2}(z_2) \dots J^{a_n}(z_n) \rangle \underset{N \gg 1}{\sim} \mathbf{Tr}(R^{a_1} R^{a_2} \dots R^{a_n}) \frac{1}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle}. \quad (25)$$

Henceforth, we define  $k_n := \mathbf{Tr}(R^1 R^2 \dots R^n)$ , and proceed by omitting the colour indices, and write  $J_k := J(z_k)$ , allowing us to succinctly express:

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n J_k \right\rangle = \frac{1}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}}. \quad (26)$$

Consequently, the cyclic term appearing in Eq. (3) becomes:

$$C(4) = \langle J_1 J_2 J_3 J_4 \rangle. \quad (27)$$

The final phase of our argument is to derive a field-theoretic representation for the term  $z_{12}^8$  within the celestial 4-graviton amplitude  $\widehat{M}_4$ . This can be achieved through the application of Berezin calculus (see Berezin [33]). Let  $\theta_A^p$  ( $p = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ ) be Grassmann-valued two-component spinors satisfying the normalisation condition  $\int d^2 \theta^p \theta_A^p \theta_B^q = \varepsilon_{AB}$ , for each  $p = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ , and define the two-component spinors  $v_1^A = (z_1, 1)^T$  and  $v_2^A = (z_2, 1)^T$ . Therefore,

$$z_{12}^8 = \int d^{16} \theta \prod_{p=1}^8 \theta_A^p v_1^A \prod_{q=1}^8 \theta_B^q v_2^q. \quad (28)$$

To simplify our notation for the integration measure, and to render it analogous to the integrals over the measure  $[dyd\lambda]$ , we introduce the compact notation:  $\int [d\theta] := \int d^{16} \theta \prod_{p=1}^8 \theta_A^p v_i^A \prod_{q=1}^8 \theta_B^q v_j^B$ .

From Eqs. (16, 20, 28), the four-graviton amplitude admits the following integral representation:

$$\widehat{M}_4 = -\delta_\beta \int [d\theta dyd\lambda] \delta_y \langle J_1 J_2 J_3 J_4 \rangle \langle \lambda | \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{R}_3 \mathcal{R}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle \quad (29)$$

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^4 e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k) V_{b\beta_k} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}}, \frac{\bar{z}_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \right\rangle + (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}). \quad (30)$$

Finally, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z})$  be the  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  operators with conformal weight  $\beta$  defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z}) := \Gamma(\beta) J(z) \mathcal{R}_y(z, \bar{z}) e^{-\beta \log \varepsilon} V_{b\beta} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right), \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z}) := \Gamma(\beta) J(z) \mathcal{D}_y(z, \bar{z}) e^{-\beta \log \varepsilon} V_{b\beta} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right). \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the celestial tree-level MHV 4-graviton amplitude can be written as the  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  correlation function:

$$\widehat{M}_4 = -\delta_\beta \int [d\theta dy d\lambda] \delta_y \langle \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_1, y, \beta_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_2, y, \beta_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \quad (33)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_3, y, \beta_3}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_4, y, \beta_4}(z_4, \bar{z}_4) \rangle + (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}). \quad (34)$$

*Remark.* The integral over  $dy$  in Eq. (33) should not be regarded as a spacetime integral, as it is localised around  $y = 0$  via the delta function  $\delta_y = \delta^{(4)}(y)$ . The four-vector  $y^\mu$  should instead be understood as a *continuous label* of the operators, in conjunction with the conformal weights  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , etc. Furthermore, the order of the factors in Eqs. (31, 32) is important, as  $\mathcal{R}_y(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\mathcal{D}_y(z, \bar{z})$  contain the operator  $e^{-iqx\partial_\varepsilon}$ , which acts on the continuous parameter  $\varepsilon$ .

To conclude this section, we observe that the celestial 3-graviton amplitude can now be formulated as a correlator of  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  fields. As demonstrated in Appendix C 2, the 3-graviton amplitude  $M_3$  can be expressed as:

$$M_3 = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} z_{31}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (35)$$

Here, we define  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3$  and  $\alpha_3 = -1$ , and let  $\beta_k = \Delta_k + \alpha_k - 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . The corresponding celestial amplitude  $\widehat{M}_3$  takes the form:

$$\widehat{M}_3 = -\frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} z_{31}} \int [dy d\lambda] \delta_y \langle \lambda | \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle \int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}}. \quad (36)$$

Recall that the operators  $\mathcal{R}_y(z, \bar{z})$  were introduced in Eq. (15). Finally, by solving the integral over the measure  $[dx]$  using the techniques outlined in Appendix A, and subsequently reformulating the resulting expression in terms of the operators  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z})$  as defined in Eq. (31), we conclude that:

$$\widehat{M}_3 = -\delta_\beta \int [d\theta dy d\lambda] \delta_y \langle \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_1, y, \beta_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_2, y, \beta_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_3, y, \beta_3}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \rangle. \quad (37)$$

### III. THE $n$ -GRAVITON AMPLITUDES

In this section, we generalise the above argument to encompass tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitudes for  $n \geq 5$ . We will demonstrate that the corresponding celestial amplitude  $\widehat{M}_n$  can be expressed as the following correlation function of the family of operators  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon, y, \Delta}(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, y, \Delta}(z, \bar{z})$ , which were introduced in Eqs. (31, 32), in the large- $N$  semiclassical  $b \rightarrow 0$  limit:

$$\widehat{M}_n = \delta_\beta \int [d\theta dy d\lambda] \delta_y \langle \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_1, y, \Delta_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_k, y, \Delta_k}(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \quad (38)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}, y, \Delta_{n-1}}(z_{n-1}, \bar{z}_{n-1}) \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_n, y, \Delta_n}(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n). \quad (39)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n)$  signifies permutation with respect to the indices belonging to the set  $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\delta_\beta$  represents the delta function of the conformal weights  $\beta_k = \beta_k(\Delta_k)$ , as defined in Eq. (21).

As in the preceding section, let  $u_1^A, u_2^A, \dots$  and  $\bar{u}_1^{\dot{A}}, \bar{u}_2^{\dot{A}}, \dots$  denote two sequences of two-component spinors, while  $p_1^\mu, p_2^\mu, \dots$  represent a family of null four-vectors corresponding to the momenta of the gravitons. These are related by  $p_i = \omega_i q_i$ , where  $\omega_i$  denotes the frequency of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  graviton, and  $q_i^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} u_i^A \bar{u}_i^{\dot{B}}$ . Using the little group rescaling property, we can fix the parametrisation  $u_i^A = \sqrt{\omega_i} (z_i, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{u}_i^{\dot{A}} = \sqrt{\omega_i} (\bar{z}_i, 1)^T$ , where  $z_i$  and  $\bar{z}_i$  are local coordinates on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$ . In Appendix B, we showed that the tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitude  $M_n$  (for  $n \geq 5$ ) can be expressed as:

$$M_n = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3 \omega_4 \dots \omega_n} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2), \quad (40)$$

where  $\int [dx d\lambda] := \int \frac{d^4 x}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^2 z \lambda}{\pi}$ ,  $C(n) := \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle (n-1)n \rangle$  is the cyclic permutation,  $|\lambda\rangle := \chi^\dagger(\lambda) |0\rangle$  and  $\bar{\partial} := \partial / \partial \bar{\lambda}$ . Here,  $\lambda^A \in \mathbf{C}^2$  is an auxiliary two-component spinor. The fermionic doublets  $(\chi(u), \chi^\dagger(u))$  and  $(\hat{\chi}(z), \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z))$  were introduced in Eq. (1), and the operators  $\mathcal{Q}_i := \mathcal{Q}(u_i, \bar{u}_i)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_i := \mathcal{P}(u_i, \bar{u}_i)$  were defined in Eq. (2).

The  $\epsilon$ -regulated Mellin transform of Eq. (40) is the celestial amplitude associated to  $M_n$ , and can be written as:

$$\widehat{M}_n = -\frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_k \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{n-2} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{n-1} \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n), \quad (41)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\beta_k}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_k = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\beta_k}(u_k, \bar{u}_k)$  are the  $\epsilon$ -regulated Mellin transformed operators as calculated in Eqs. (7, 8), with conformal weights:

$$\beta_k = \Delta_k + \alpha_k - 1 \quad (k = 1, n-1, n), \quad \beta_k = \Delta_k + \alpha_k \quad (k = 2, \dots, n-2). \quad (42)$$

Here,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_n = 1$  and  $\alpha_i = 0$  (for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$ ), representing the powers of the frequencies  $\omega_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) that factor into the first term of Eq. (40).

The next phase of our argument is based upon the results found in Appendix C, where we established the following identity:

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2} = \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_{k, \beta_k}(x). \quad (43)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{X}_i(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_i(y)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_i(y)$  are the operators defined in Eqs. (11, 12). The functions  $G_{\beta_i}(x, q_i)$  and  $K_{i, \beta_i}(x, q)$  were introduced in Eq. (10). For the sake of simplicity, we denote  $\int dy \delta_y := \int d^4 y \delta^{(4)}(y)$ , and henceforth omit the variable  $y$  from the argument.

Now, observe that  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_i = G_i \widehat{\chi}^\dagger(z_i) \widehat{\chi}(z_i)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_i = \mathbf{X}_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , under the condition that we choose  $M_i^{A\dot{A}} = -i\lambda^A \bar{u}_i / \langle u\lambda \rangle$ . By defining  $\mathcal{R}_{i,y} := \mathcal{T}_i(y) \widehat{\chi}^\dagger(z_i) \widehat{\chi}(z_i)$ , Eq. (43) yields:

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_k \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{n-1} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n = \int dy \delta_y \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \mathcal{R}_n \prod_{k=2}^n K_{k,\beta_k}(x). \quad (44)$$

Thus, Eq. (41) becomes:

$$\widehat{M}_n = -\frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}} \int [dy dz_\lambda] \delta_y \langle \lambda | \mathcal{D}_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \mathcal{R}_n \bar{\partial}_\lambda | \lambda \rangle \int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}} \quad (45)$$

$$+ \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n). \quad (46)$$

To evaluate the integral:

$$\int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}}, \quad (47)$$

we begin by introducing an infinitesimal parameter  $\epsilon$ , replacing  $\varepsilon_k$  with  $\epsilon \varepsilon_k$  in the integrand, and then taking the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , while treating  $\varepsilon_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) as independent variables. This substitution transforms Eq. (47) into:

$$\int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}} = \int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\epsilon - i\ell_k x)^{\beta_k}}. \quad (48)$$

As in the preceding section, we define  $\ell_k^\mu$  to be the four-vector given by  $\ell_k^\mu := (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} \pi_k^A \bar{\pi}_k^{\dot{A}}$ , where  $\pi_k^A$  and  $\bar{\pi}_k^{\dot{A}}$  are two-component spinors parametrised in the local coordinates  $z_k, \bar{z}_k \in \mathbf{CP}^1$  by  $\pi_k^A = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2} (z_k, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{\pi}_k^{\dot{A}} = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2} (\bar{z}_k, 1)^T$ , using the little group reparametrization property. Applying the results from Appendix A, we obtain, in the semiclassical  $b \rightarrow 0$  limit of Liouville theory:

$$\int [dx] \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\beta_k)}{(\varepsilon_k - iq_k x)^{\beta_k}} = \delta_\beta \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_j} \Gamma(\beta_k) V_{b\beta_k} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}}, \frac{\bar{z}_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \right\rangle + (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}), \quad (49)$$

where the normalised delta function of the conformal weights is:

$$\delta_\beta := \frac{1}{8\pi^3 \mathcal{N}_{\mu,b}} \delta \left( 4 - \sum_{r=1}^n \beta_r \right), \quad (50)$$

and  $V_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) =: e^{2\alpha\hat{\phi}(z, \bar{z})}$  : is the Liouville vertex operator with momentum  $\alpha$ . As explained earlier, the symbol  $(\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z})$  indicates that the first term is replicated with the substitution of  $\bar{z}$  by  $-\bar{z}$ .

Consequently, Eq. (45) is equivalent to:

$$\widehat{M}_n = \delta_\beta \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}} \int [d\theta dy dz_\lambda] \delta_y \langle \lambda | \mathcal{D}_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \mathcal{R}_n \bar{\partial}_\lambda | \lambda \rangle \quad (51)$$

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k) V_{b\beta_k} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}}, \frac{\bar{z}_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \right\rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n) + (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}), \quad (52)$$

in the limit  $b \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, let us consider the matter system consisting of chiral fermionic primary free fields  $\psi^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  transforming under the adjoint representation of the group  $SO(N)$ —obeying the OPEs defined in Eq. (24)—and let  $J^a(z)$  be the level-one  $SO(N)$  Kac-Moody currents defined in Eq. (23). Then, Eq. (52) can be written as:

$$\widehat{M}_n = -\delta_\beta \int [dydz_\lambda] \int [d\theta dyd\lambda] \delta_y \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^n J(z_r) \right\rangle \quad (53)$$

$$\langle \lambda | \mathcal{D}_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \mathcal{R}_n \bar{\partial}_\lambda | \lambda \rangle \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\beta_k \log \varepsilon_k} \Gamma(\beta_k) V_{b\beta_k} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}}, \frac{\bar{z}_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \right\rangle \quad (54)$$

$$+ \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n) + (\bar{z} \leftrightarrow -\bar{z}), \quad (55)$$

in the large- $N$  semiclassical  $b \rightarrow 0$  limit, which is clearly equivalent to Eq. (38) upon the substitution of the definitions of the operators  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, y, \beta}(z, \bar{z})$  defined in Eqs. (31, 32).

#### IV. DISCUSSION

In this note, we have demonstrated that tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitudes in flat space, upon analytical continuation to Klein's signature, can be holographically generated by a particular limit of a  $2d$  CFT. This  $2d$  CFT comprises a Liouville field theory with a central charge  $c = 1 + 6Q^2$ , where  $Q = b + b^{-1}$ , a level-one  $SO(N)$  Kac-Moody current, and a doublet of free fermions on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  (in addition to a pair of spinor fields incorporated through a Berezin integral). The limit in which this  $2d$  CFT is shown to generate  $n$ -graviton amplitudes corresponds to  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b \rightarrow 0$ . It is essential to note that this system, while not unique or particularly elegant, serves primarily as a toy model to explore the celestial holography dictionary. We emphasise that the intent of this work is not to establish a comprehensive holographic duality between a  $2d$  CFT and four-dimensional quantum gravity in flat spacetime. Rather, it seeks to define a correspondence between specific *limiting subsector* of a  $2d$  CFT and analogous subsector within four-dimensional gravity in flat space.

The findings presented herein open several avenues for further exploration, and we hope that these will inspire subsequent research endeavours by ourselves and others in the community. Some of the open problems that we are currently investigating are as follows:

1. Our  $2d$  CFT is presently capable of reproducing only MHV amplitudes. In Hodges [34], expressions for non-MHV (NMHV) amplitudes using twistor variables were examined. It

would be intriguing to explore whether our CFT model, or a suitable extension thereof, could successfully reproduce these NMHV amplitudes.

2. A potential critique of our construction is that our CFT correlators, particularly the freedom in choosing celestial graviton operators, merely reorganise the variables in which the  $n$ -point amplitudes are expressed. In our view, the most effective response to this critique would be to provide a dynamical argument that justifies the connection between our  $2d$  CFT and Einstein's gravity in flat space. In Stieberger, Taylor, and Zhu [3], the authors investigated the asymptotic behaviour of Liouville correlators beyond the semiclassical limit, utilising the asymptotic properties of the DOZZ formula, as studied, for example, in Harlow, Maltz, and Witten [35]. Notably, Stieberger, Taylor, and Zhu [7] proposed a relation between the Liouville coupling constant  $b$ , the Yang-Mills coupling  $g(\Lambda)$  at renormalisation scale  $\Lambda$ , and the one-loop coefficient  $\beta_0$  of the Yang-Mills beta function:

$$b^2 = \frac{\beta_0}{8\pi^2} g^2(M). \quad (56)$$

It would be highly interesting to investigate whether our expression (Eq. (38)) for the celestial  $n$ -graviton amplitude  $\widehat{M}_n$  in terms of our  $2d$  CFT correlators could be employed to derive an analogous formula for gravity, possibly drawing from ideas such as those in the asymptotic safety program (Niedermaier and Reuter [36]).

3. The OPEs of the celestial graviton operators defined in Eqs. are determined entirely by the corresponding OPEs of the level-one  $SO(N)$  Kac-Moody currents (Eq. (24)) and the mode expansion of the fermionic doublet  $\chi, \chi^\dagger$  (Eq. (1)). However, it has been shown in the works of Banerjee and Ghosh [13], Guevara *et al.* [14], Himwich, Pate, and Singh [15], Strominger [16], Pate, Raclariu, and Strominger [37] that asymptotic symmetries, or the soft collinear limit of celestial amplitudes, dictate the celestial OPEs. Investigating the connection between the OPEs obeyed by our celestial graviton operators and the algebraic structures uncovered by these authors would be of considerable interest.
4. An additional line of inquiry involves extending the present analysis from Einstein's gravity to Einstein-Yang-Mills theory in flat space.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science. The author also thanks Giorgio Torrieri for his important encouragement.

### Appendix A: Frequently Used Integrals in Mellin and Klein Spaces

In the computation of  $n$ -point celestial amplitudes, the following integral in Klein space within the Mellin representation frequently appears:

$$F_n(\{\Delta_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i\} | \{\alpha_j\}) = \int \frac{d^4 X}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \omega_k^{\Delta_k-1} e^{-\varepsilon\omega_k} e^{ip_k \cdot X} \right) \frac{1}{\omega^{\alpha_1} \omega^{\alpha_2} \dots \omega^{\alpha_n}}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Here,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an infinitesimal regulator, and  $\alpha_i \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is an integer. The indices  $i, j$  and  $k$  run from 1 to  $n$ . The notation  $\{x_i, y_i, z_i, \dots\}$  represents the collection of all variables  $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, z_n$ .

To begin our computation, recall that the standard null-momentum in Klein signature is defined as:

$$\hat{p}_k^\mu(z_k, \bar{z}_k) = (1 - z_k \bar{z}_k, z_k + \bar{z}_k, 1 + z_k \bar{z}_k, z_k - \bar{z}_k). \quad (\text{A2})$$

So, any light-like momentum can be expressed as  $p_k = \varepsilon_k \omega_k \hat{p}_k$ . Here,  $\omega_k > 0$  is the particle's frequency, and  $\varepsilon_k = -1$  for incoming particles and  $\varepsilon_k = 1$  for outgoing particles. With this, Eq. (A1) can be rewritten as:

$$F_n = \int \frac{d^4 X}{(2\pi)^4} \prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \omega_k^{(\Delta_k - \alpha_k) - 1} e^{-\omega_k (\varepsilon - i\hat{p}_k \cdot X)}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The next step of the derivation is to define new variables  $t_k = \omega_k (\varepsilon - i\hat{p}_k \cdot X)$  and apply this change of variables to the integral:

$$F_n = \int \frac{d^4 X}{(2\pi)^4} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(\varepsilon - i\varepsilon_k \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot X)^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}} \int_0^\infty dt_k t_k^{(\Delta_k - \alpha_k) - 1} e^{-t_k} \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 X \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(\varepsilon - i\varepsilon_k \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot X)^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Following<sup>5</sup> Melton, Sharma, and Strominger [4], the time-like wedge of Klein space (where  $X^2 < 0$ ) is parametrised by  $X = \tau \hat{x}_+$ , while the space-like wedge (where  $X^2 > 0$ ) is parametrised by  $X = \tau \hat{x}_-$ . Here,  $\hat{x}_+^2 = -1$  and  $\hat{x}_-^2 = 1$ , with  $\tau > 0$  representing the ‘‘length’’ of a world-vector in Klein signature Barrett *et al.* [5]. Therefore, Eq. (A5) becomes:

$$F_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^3 \left[ \int_{\hat{x}_-^2=1} d^3 \hat{x}_- \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon - i\varepsilon_k \tau \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x}_-)^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}} \right. \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\left. \int_{\hat{x}_+^2=-1} d^3 \hat{x}_+ \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon - i\varepsilon_k \tau \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x}_+)^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}} \right]. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Note that the second integral inside the brackets can be obtained from the first by replacing  $\bar{z}_k \mapsto -\bar{z}_k$ . Thus,  $F_n$  can be expressed like a sum ‘‘reflected amplitudes’’ with respect to  $\bar{z}_k$ :

$$F_n = G_n(\{\Delta_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i\} | \{\alpha_j\}) + G_n(\{\Delta_i, z_i, -\bar{z}_i\} | \{\alpha_j\}), \quad (\text{A8})$$

where the new quantity  $G_n$  is defined as:

$$G_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^3 \int_{AdS_3/\mathbb{Z}} d^3 \hat{x} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon - i\varepsilon_k \tau \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x})^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Here,  $d^3 \hat{x}$  is the measure on Lorentzian  $AdS_3$  with periodic time.

Recall that  $\epsilon$  is a positive infinitesimal introduced as a regulator of Mellin integral. If  $\epsilon' = \epsilon/\tau$ , then  $\epsilon'$  is also a positive infinitesimal. Therefore, we can express Eq. (A9) as:

$$G_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{AdS_3/\mathbb{Z}} d^3 \hat{x} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon' - i\varepsilon_k \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x})^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{(4 - \sum_j (\Delta_j - \alpha_j)) + 1} \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)}{8\pi^3} \delta\left(4 - \sum_{j=1}^n (\Delta_j - \alpha_j)\right) \int_{AdS_3/\mathbb{Z}} d^3 \hat{x} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon' - i\varepsilon_k \hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x})^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

since:

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{-\beta-1} = 2\pi\delta(\beta). \quad (\text{A12})$$

Similarly, noting that  $\varepsilon_k = \exp(-i\pi(1 - \varepsilon_k)/2)$ , we can simplify Eq. (A11) further as:

$$G_n = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(1-\varepsilon_i)(\Delta_i - \alpha_i)} \Gamma(\Delta_i - \alpha_i) \right) \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$\times \delta\left(4 - \sum_{j=1}^n (\Delta_j - \alpha_j)\right) \int_{AdS_3/\mathbb{Z}} d^3 \hat{x} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon' - i\hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x})^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

<sup>5</sup> See Cheung, de la Fuente, and Sundrum [22] for an alternative spacetime foliation.

Finally, Melton *et al.* Melton *et al.* [1, App. A] proved that the integral above, which represents a contact Witten diagram for massless particles Penedones [31], can be expressed as the semi-classical limit of the correlation function of Liouville vertex operators  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) = \mathbf{N}(e^{2\alpha\hat{\phi}(z, \bar{z})})$ ,

$$\int_{AdS_3/\mathbb{Z}} d^3\hat{x} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\epsilon' - i\hat{p}_k(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \cdot \hat{x})^{\Delta_k - \alpha_k}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{\mu, b}} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{b(\Delta_k - 1)}(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \right\rangle. \quad (\text{A15})$$

This result involves the constant:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu, b} := \frac{1}{\pi b^3} \exp\left(-2\gamma_E + \frac{2}{b^2} + \left(\frac{1}{b^2} - 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \log \lambda\right) \csc\left[\pi\left(\frac{1}{b^2} - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right], \quad (\text{A16})$$

that depends on the Liouville “cosmological constant”  $\mu$  and the parameter  $b$ , which controls the semi-classical limit.

Therefore:

$$G_n = \frac{1}{8\pi^3 \mathcal{N}_{\mu, b}} \delta\left(4 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta_i - \alpha_i)\right) \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(1-\varepsilon_j)(\Delta_j - \alpha_j)} \Gamma(\Delta_j - \alpha_j) \mathcal{V}_{b(\Delta_j - 1)}(z_j, \bar{z}_j) \right\rangle. \quad (\text{A17})$$

## Appendix B: The $n$ -Point Graviton Amplitude Expressed as Correlators of $\mathbf{CP}^1$ Fields

In this Appendix, we will demonstrate a key mathematical result employed in Section 3, which enabled the extension of the argument presented in Section 2—wherein the tree-level MHV four-graviton amplitude was expressed as correlators of a  $2d$  CFT—to encompass the general case of  $n$ -graviton amplitudes:

**Proposition.** Let  $M_n$  represent the tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitude, and let  $\hat{\chi}$  and  $\hat{\chi}^\dagger$  be a doublet of fermionic fields defined on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$ , with mode expansions given by:

$$\hat{\chi}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^\dagger z^{-n-1}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $b_n$  and  $b_n^\dagger$  are fermionic creation and annihilation operators, satisfying the anti-commutation relations  $\{b_m, b_n^\dagger\} = \delta_{mn}$ . The vacuum state  $|0\rangle$  is defined by the condition  $b_m|0\rangle = 0$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . Let  $u^A = (\xi, \zeta)^T \in \mathbf{C}^2$  be a two-component spinor such that  $\zeta \neq 0$ , and  $z := \xi/\zeta \in \mathbf{CP}^1$  be its corresponding local coordinate on the complex projective line. Then, we define the pair of fermions  $\chi$  and  $\chi^\dagger$  on the space of two-component spinors as follows:

$$\chi(u) := \zeta^{-1} \hat{\chi}(u), \quad \chi^\dagger(u) := \zeta^{-1} \hat{\chi}^\dagger(z). \quad (\text{B2})$$

Let  $x$  be a four-vector in spacetime, and  $p, p_1, p_2, \dots$  be a sequence of light-like four-vectors representing the momenta of the gravitons with frequencies  $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$ , such that  $p = \omega q$  and

$p_k = \omega_k q_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , where  $q$  and  $q_k$  are the standard null momenta, which we parametrise (using, if necessary, the little group rescaling) by  $q^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} v^A \bar{v}^{\dot{A}}$  and  $q_k^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} v_k^A \bar{v}_k^{\dot{A}}$ . For convenience, we choose  $v_k^{\dot{A}} := (z, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{v}_k^{\dot{A}} := (\bar{z}, 1)$ . Moreover, let  $u, u_1, u_2, \dots$  and  $\bar{u}, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots$  be two families of two-component spinors such that  $p^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} u^A \bar{u}^{\dot{A}}$  and  $p_k^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}} u_k^A \bar{u}_k^{\dot{A}}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , and define the operators  $\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u})$  by:

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, \bar{u}) := e^{ip \cdot x} \chi^\dagger(u) \chi(u), \quad \mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u}) := -i \frac{\lambda^A \bar{u}^{\dot{A}}}{\langle u \lambda \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} e^{ip \cdot x}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

where  $\lambda^A$  is a fixed spinor.

Therefore, by defining the state  $|\lambda\rangle := \chi^\dagger(\lambda) |0\rangle$ , the tree-level MHV scattering amplitudes for  $n = 3, n = 4$  and  $n \geq 5$  gravitons can be expressed respectively in terms of an integral representation involving  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  correlators:

$$M_3 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(3)} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$M_4 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(4)} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{B5})$$

and, for  $n \geq 5$ ,

$$M_n = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(n)} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \quad (\text{B6})$$

where  $C(n) := \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle (n-1)n \rangle$  is the cyclic permutation (recognised as the Parke-Taylor denominator),  $\mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2)$  represents the permutation of the indices  $\{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$ , and to simplify our notation we define  $\bar{\partial} := \partial / \partial \bar{z}_\lambda$  and:

$$\int [dx d\lambda] := \int \frac{d^4 x}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^2 z_\lambda}{\pi}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_k := \mathcal{Q}(u_k, \bar{u}_k), \quad \mathcal{P}_k := \mathcal{P}(u_k, \bar{u}_k). \quad (\text{B7})$$

To streamline our forthcoming analysis, we assume without loss of generality that all particles are outgoing. This simplification serves to make the calculations less cumbersome. For notational brevity, we shall henceforth omit  $\bar{u}$  in the arguments of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and simply denote it as  $\mathcal{P}(u)$ , implicitly understanding it as  $\mathcal{P}(u, \bar{u})$ .

### 1. 3-Graviton and 4-Graviton Amplitudes

It is well-established in modern quantum field theory texts (e.g., Elvang and Huang [38], Badger *et al.* [39]) that the tree-level MHV 3-point function for the scattering of gravitons  $1^-, 2^-$  and  $3^+$

is given by:

$$M_3 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(3)} \frac{1}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \delta_P, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where, for brevity, we denote the delta function associated with momentum conservation as:

$$\delta_P := \delta^{(4)}(P), \quad P := p_1 + p_2 + p_3. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Using the definition of the  $\mathcal{Q}$  operator provided in Eq. (B3), it is a straightforward exercise to compute:

$$\langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle = e^{iP \cdot x} \frac{1}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \bar{\partial} \left( \frac{1}{\langle 3\lambda \rangle} \right). \quad (\text{B10})$$

Integrating the above equation over the measure  $[dx d\lambda]$ , and employing the identity  $\bar{\partial} z^{-1} = \pi \delta(z)$ , we obtain:

$$\int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle = -\frac{1}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta_P.$$

Thus, Eq. (B8) can be expressed as:

$$M_3 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(3)} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (\text{B11})$$

According to Berends, Giele, and Kuijf [40], the 4-point function for the scattering of four gravitons  $1^-$ ,  $2^-$ ,  $3^+$  and  $4^+$  is expressed as:

$$M_4 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(4)} \frac{[12]}{\langle 34 \rangle} \delta_P, \quad (\text{B12})$$

where  $P = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4$ . For simplicity, and without loss of generality, let's assume that all gravitons are outgoing. Conservation of momentum imposes the condition  $[2|P|4] = 0$ , which implies that  $[12] = [23] \langle 34 \rangle / \langle 14 \rangle$ . Additionally,  $[2|p_3|4] = [23] \langle 34 \rangle$ . Consequently, Eq. (B8) can be rewritten as:

$$M_4 = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(4)} \frac{1}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \frac{[2|p_3 + p_4|4]}{\langle 24 \rangle} \delta_P. \quad (\text{B13})$$

Since the  $\mathcal{P}$  operator satisfies:

$$\mathcal{P}_\ell e^{ip_{\ell+1}} = e^{i(p_\ell + p_{\ell+1}) \cdot x} \frac{[\ell|p_{\ell+1}|\lambda]}{\langle \ell\lambda \rangle}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

we find that:

$$\langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle = e^{iPx} \frac{1}{\langle \lambda 1 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \bar{\partial} \left( \frac{1}{\langle 4\lambda \rangle} \right) \frac{[2|p_3 + p_4|\lambda]}{\langle 2\lambda \rangle}. \quad (\text{B15})$$

Integrating this expression with respect to the measure  $[dxd\lambda]$ , employing again the identity  $\bar{\partial}z^{-1} = \pi\delta(z)$ , and noting that  $p_4$  is null (i.e.,  $\langle 44 \rangle = 0$ ), we obtain:

$$\int [dxd\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle = -\frac{1}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \frac{[2|p_3 + p_4|4]}{\langle 24 \rangle} \delta_P. \quad (\text{B16})$$

From this result and Eq. (B13), we conclude:

$$M_4 = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(4)} \int [dxd\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (\text{B17})$$

## 2. $n$ -Graviton Amplitude for $n \geq 5$

To develop an intuitive understanding of the correlators that appears in the integral above, we first consider the initial examples in the series of products of the form  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \mathcal{P}_\lambda \dots \mathcal{P}_\lambda e^{ip \cdot x}$ . Letting  $p_\ell, p_{\ell+1}, \dots$  be null vectors, we find:

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_\ell) \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_{\ell+1}) e^{ip_{\ell+2} \cdot x} = \frac{[\ell|p_{\ell+1} + p_{\ell+2}|\lambda]}{\langle \ell\lambda \rangle} \frac{[\ell + 1|p_{\ell+2}|\lambda]}{\langle (\ell + 1)\lambda \rangle} e^{i(p_\ell + p_{\ell+1} + p_{\ell+2}) \cdot x}. \quad (\text{B18})$$

This leads us to the following induction hypothesis:

$$\prod_{k=\ell}^m \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_k) e^{ip_{m+1} \cdot x} = e^{i(p_\ell + \dots + p_{m+1}) \cdot x} \prod_{k=\ell}^m \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{m+1}|\lambda]}{\langle k\lambda \rangle}. \quad (\text{B19})$$

Assume the above equation holds for some  $m = M \geq 1$ . We must now demonstrate its validity for  $m = M + 1$ . Indeed, we have:

$$\prod_{k=\ell}^{M+1} \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_k) e^{ip_{M+2} \cdot x} \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_\ell) [\mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_{\ell+1}) \dots \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_M) \mathcal{P}(u_{M+1}) e^{ip_{M+2} \cdot x}] \quad (\text{B21})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_\ell) e^{i(p_{\ell+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}) \cdot x} \prod_{k=\ell+1}^{M+1} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}|\lambda]}{\langle k\lambda \rangle}. \quad (\text{B22})$$

Substituting the expression for  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_\ell)$  involving the differential operator  $\partial/\partial x^A_{\dot{A}}$ , we obtain:

$$\prod_{k=\ell}^{M+1} \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_k) e^{ip_{M+2} \cdot x} \quad (\text{B23})$$

$$= \frac{(\bar{u}_\ell)_{\dot{A}} \lambda^A}{\langle \ell\lambda \rangle} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A_{\dot{A}}} e^{i(p_\ell + \dots + p_{M+2}) \cdot x} \prod_{k=\ell+1}^{M+1} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}|\lambda]}{\langle k\lambda \rangle} \quad (\text{B24})$$

$$= e^{i(p_\ell + \dots + p_{M+2}) \cdot x} \frac{[\ell|p_{\ell+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}|\lambda]}{\langle \ell\lambda \rangle} \prod_{k=\ell+1}^{M+1} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}|\lambda]}{\langle k\lambda \rangle} \quad (\text{B25})$$

$$= e^{i(p_\ell + \dots + p_{M+2}) \cdot x} \prod_{k=\ell}^{M+1} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{M+2}|\lambda]}{\langle k\lambda \rangle}. \quad (\text{B26})$$

This completes the induction proof of Eq. (B19).

To establish our Proposition, we require the equation above for  $\ell = 2$  and  $m = n - 2$ , yielding:

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_\lambda(u_k) e^{ip_{n-1} \cdot x} = e^{i(p_2 + \dots + p_{n-1}) \cdot x} \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{n-1}|\lambda\rangle}{\langle k\lambda\rangle}. \quad (\text{B27})$$

Using the mode expansions for the fermionic doublet  $\chi$  and  $\chi^\dagger$ , as defined in Eq. (B1), the two-point function of these fields is given by  $\langle \chi(u_1) \chi^\dagger(u_2) \rangle = 1/\langle 12 \rangle$ . Therefore, using Eq. (B27) and contracting the fields  $\chi$  and  $\chi^\dagger$  within the correlation function, we obtain:

$$\langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle \quad (\text{B28})$$

$$= \langle 0 | \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \left[ \overbrace{e^{ip_{n-1} \chi^\dagger(u_{n-1}) \chi(u_{n-1})}}^{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} \right] \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} \chi^\dagger(\lambda) | 0 \rangle \quad (\text{B29})$$

$$= \frac{e^{i(p_1 + \dots + p_n) \cdot x}}{\langle \lambda 1 \rangle \langle 1 (n-1) \rangle \langle (n-1) n \rangle} \bar{\partial}_\lambda \left( \frac{1}{\langle n\lambda \rangle} \right) \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_n|\lambda\rangle}{\langle k\lambda \rangle}. \quad (\text{B30})$$

Now, let  $z_\lambda \in \mathbf{CP}^1$  denote the local coordinate corresponding to the spinor  $\lambda^A$ . Let  $\lambda^A =: (\xi_\lambda, \zeta_\lambda)^T$  be a matrix representation with  $\zeta_\lambda \neq 0$ . Then,  $z_\lambda = \xi_\lambda/\zeta_\lambda$  in an open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{CP}^1$  such that  $\{\zeta = 0\} \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ . Integrating Eq. (B30) over  $x^\mu$  and  $z_\lambda$ , and using the identity  $\partial_{\bar{z}}(1/z) = \pi\delta(z)$ , while remembering that all four-vectors  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are light-like, we derive a significant result:

$$\int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle \quad (\text{B31})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\langle n 1 \rangle \langle 1 (n-1) \rangle \langle (n-1) n \rangle} \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{n-1}|n\rangle}{\langle kn \rangle} \delta_P, \quad (\text{B32})$$

where  $P = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ .

The final step of our argument hinges on the application of a fundamental result established by Berends, Giele, and Kuijf [40]. According to this result, the tree-level MHV  $n$ -graviton amplitude  $M_n$  for  $n \geq 5$  can be expressed as:

$$M_n = \langle 12 \rangle^8 \frac{[12] [(n-2) (n-1)]}{\langle 1 (n-1) \rangle N(n)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \prod_{j=i+2}^{n-1} \langle ij \rangle \right) \prod_{\ell=3}^{n-3} [\ell|p_{\ell+1} + \dots + p_{n-1}|n\rangle \delta_P \quad (\text{B33})$$

$$+ \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \quad (\text{B34})$$

where  $N(n)$  is defined as:

$$N(n) := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1+i}^n \langle ij \rangle, \quad (\text{B35})$$

and  $\mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2)$  denotes permutation of the indices  $\{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$ .

Given that  $N(n)$  encompasses the product of all terms  $\langle ij \rangle$  with  $j$  ranging from  $1+i$  to  $n$  and  $i$  from  $1$  to  $n-1$ , while  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \prod_{j=i+2}^{n-1} \langle ij \rangle$  includes only the products with  $j$  running from  $i+2$  to  $n-1$  for  $i$  ranging from  $1$  to  $n-3$ , we can express<sup>6</sup>:

$$\frac{1}{N(n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \prod_{j=i+2}^{n-1} \langle ij \rangle = -\frac{1}{C(n) \langle 2n \rangle \langle 3n \rangle \dots \langle (n-2)n \rangle}. \quad (\text{B36})$$

Given that we assumed all particles are outgoing, invoking energy-momentum conservation yields:  $[2|p_3 + \dots + p_{n-1}|n] = [2|(-p_1)|n] = [12] \langle 1n \rangle$ , and additionally,

$$[(n-2)|p_{n-1}|n] = [(n-2)(n-1)] \langle (n-1)n \rangle.$$

Thus, the expression for  $M_n$  with  $n \geq 5$  can be reformulated as:

$$M_n = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(n)} \frac{1}{\langle n1 \rangle \langle 1(n-1) \rangle \langle (n-1)n \rangle} \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{[k|p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{n-1}|n]}{\langle kn \rangle} \delta_{\mathcal{P}} \quad (\text{B37})$$

$$+ \mathcal{P}(2, \dots, n-2). \quad (\text{B38})$$

Finally, Eqs. (B33, B37) imply that:

$$M_n = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^8}{C(n)} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, \dots, n-2), \quad (\text{B39})$$

for  $n \geq 5$ .

Let  $\theta_A^p$  ( $p = 1, \dots, 8$ ) be Grassmann-valued two-component spinors satisfying the normalisation condition  $\int d^2 \theta^p \theta_A^p \theta_B^p = \varepsilon_{AB}$  (Berezin [33]). Therefore, the term  $\langle 12 \rangle^8$  can be represented in integral form as:

$$\langle 12 \rangle^8 = \int d^{16} \theta \prod_{p=1}^8 \theta_A^p u_1^A \prod_{q=1}^8 \theta_B^q u_2^B. \quad (\text{B40})$$

To streamline the notation for our integration measure and to align it with integrals involving  $x$  and  $z_\lambda$ , we introduce the notation  $\int [d\theta_{ij}] := \int d^{16} \theta \prod_{p=1}^8 \theta_A^p u_i^A \prod_{q=1}^8 \theta_B^q u_j^B$ . Ultimately, we derive the final result:

$$M_n = -\frac{1}{C(n)} \int [d\theta_{12} dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2). \quad (\text{B41})$$

<sup>6</sup> The interested reader is encouraged to compute this factor for the cases  $n = 3, 4, 5$  in order to develop an intuitive understanding of the underlying factorisation. A rigorous proof of this is an easy exercise in mathematical induction.

### 3. Frequency Dependence

It will prove advantageous to re-express Eqs. (B11, B17, B39) in terms of the frequencies and the variables associated with the complex projective lines that parametrise the directions of the graviton momenta. For each  $k \geq 1$ , the momentum  $p_k^\mu$  of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  graviton can be expressed as  $p_k^\mu = \omega q_k^\mu$ , with  $q_k^\mu$  being the standard null momentum defined by  $q_k^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{AA'} v_k^A \bar{v}_k^{A'}$ . Using the little group rescaling property, we may select local coordinates  $z_k, \bar{z}_k$  on an open subset of  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  such that  $v_k^A = (z, 1)^T$  and  $\bar{v}_k^{A'} = (\bar{z}, 1)^T$ . Note that, if we define the two-component spinors  $u_k^A := \sqrt{\omega_k} v_k^A$  and  $\bar{u}_k = \sqrt{\omega_k} \bar{v}_k^{A'}$  in these local coordinates, then  $p_k^\mu = (\sigma^\mu)_{AA'} u_k^A \bar{u}_k^{A'}$ .

Thus, substituting these components into the aforementioned and letting  $z_{ij} := z_i - z_j$ , the three-point amplitude can be written as:

$$M_3 = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} z_{31}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{B42})$$

while the four-point amplitude becomes:

$$M_4 = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3 \omega_4} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} z_{34} z_{41}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathcal{Q}_4 \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle. \quad (\text{B43})$$

For  $n \geq 5$ , the amplitude generalises to:

$$M_n = -\frac{\omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{\omega_3 \omega_4 \dots \omega_n} \frac{z_{12}^8}{z_{12} z_{23} \dots z_{n1}} \int [dx d\lambda] \langle \lambda | \mathcal{Q}_1 \prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathcal{P}_k \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{\partial} | \lambda \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2). \quad (\text{B44})$$

### Appendix C: Operator Factorisation

Let  $x, y, q, q_1, q_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^4$  be a sequence of four-vectors, and let  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$  represent a family of real variables. For the sake of brevity, the spacetime inner product will be indicated by simple juxtaposition,  $qx := q \cdot x$ , and we shall denote  $\partial_\varepsilon := \partial/\partial\varepsilon$ . For any integer  $\ell \geq 1$  and<sup>7</sup>  $\Delta \in 1 + i\mathbb{R}$ , let the functions  $G_\Delta(x, q)$  and  $K_{\ell, \Delta}(x, q)$  be defined by:

$$G_\Delta(x, q) := \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{(\varepsilon - iqx)^\Delta}, \quad K_{\ell, \Delta}(x, q) := \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{(\varepsilon_\ell - iqx)^\Delta}. \quad (\text{C1})$$

To simplify notation where no ambiguity arises, we shall write  $G_\ell = G_\Delta(x, q_\ell)$  and  $K_{\ell, \Delta} = K_\ell(x, q_\ell)$ . Note that the parameters  $\varepsilon_\ell$  appearing in the functions  $K_{\ell, \Delta}(x, q)$  are independent real variables, while the set of all functions  $\{G_\Delta(x, q)\}$  is a one-parameter parametrised  $\varepsilon$  for each pair  $(\ell, \Delta)$ . The form of these functions should already be familiar to the reader, as they correspond,

<sup>7</sup> Here,  $1 + i\mathbb{R} := \{1 + i\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$ .

when restricted to the de Boer-Solodukhin hyperbolic foliation of Minkowski space (cf. de Boer and Solodukhin [30]), to the  $AdS_3$ -Witten bulk-to-boundary propagator, modulo the normalisation constants of the Green function of the Laplacian  $\square_{AdS}$ .

Now, define the differential operators  $\mathbf{X}_\ell(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_\ell(y)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\ell(y)$  by:

$$\mathbf{X}_\ell(x) := M_\ell^{AA} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AA}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_\ell)}{(\varepsilon - iq_\ell \cdot x)^{\Delta_\ell}}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(y) := M_\ell^{AA} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA}} e^{-iq_\ell \cdot y \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_\ell}}, \quad \mathcal{T}_\ell(y) := e^{-iq_\ell \cdot y \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_\ell}}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

Then, we wish to prove that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_3 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_3 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2} = \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x). \quad (\text{C5})$$

For simplicity, we will denote  $\int dy \delta_y := \int d^4 y \delta^{(4)}(y)$  on what follows.

### 1. Proof of Eq. (C4)

Start with  $n = 1$ . Then, note that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_3 \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x) \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA}} M_1^{BB} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{BB}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} e^{-iq_2 y \partial_{\varepsilon_2}} e^{-iq_3 y \partial_{\varepsilon_3}} \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x) \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$= M_1^{BB} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{BB}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} e^{-iq_2 y \partial_{\varepsilon_2}} e^{-iq_3 y \partial_{\varepsilon_3}} \sum_{r=1}^3 (-iq_r)_{AA} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_r} \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x) \quad (\text{C8})$$

$$= M_1^{BB} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{BB}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} e^{-iq_2 y \partial_{\varepsilon_2}} e^{-iq_3 y \partial_{\varepsilon_3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AA}} \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x) \quad (\text{C9})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_3 \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x). \quad (\text{C10})$$

Now, suppose that, for some  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_3 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AA}} \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_3 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x). \quad (\text{C11})$$

Therefore:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C12})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \left[ \left( M_1^{B\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{B\dot{B}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right] \quad (\text{C13})$$

$$= M_1^{B\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{B\dot{B}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} (-iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_1} \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} K_1(x) \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C14})$$

$$+ M_1^{B\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{B\dot{B}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} K_1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right). \quad (\text{C15})$$

Using the fact that  $(-iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1}$  commutes with  $\mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x)$  and applying the induction hypothesis (Eq. (C11)),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C16})$$

$$= M_1^{B\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{B\dot{B}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} \left( (-iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial K_1(x)}{\partial \varepsilon_1} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \left( \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C17})$$

$$+ M_1^{B\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{B\dot{B}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} K_1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right). \quad (\text{C18})$$

Recalling that  $(iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1} K_1(x) = \partial K_1(x) / \partial x^{A\dot{A}}$ , the above equation can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C19})$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_1 \frac{\partial K_1(x)}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \left( \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C20})$$

$$+ \mathcal{D}_1 K_1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C21})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x), \quad (\text{C22})$$

completing the proof of Eq. (C4) by induction.

## 2. Proof of Eq. (C5)

Let's start with  $n = 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{X}_1 G_2 G_3 = M_1^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \right] \quad (\text{C23})$$

$$= -M_1^{A\dot{A}} \sum_{k=1}^3 (-iq_k)_{A\dot{A}} \prod_{\ell=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_\ell + \delta_{k\ell})}{(\varepsilon - iq_\ell x)^{\Delta_\ell + \delta_{k\ell}}}. \quad (\text{C24})$$

Because:

$$\int dy \delta_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} e^{-iqy\partial_{\varepsilon_\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_\ell)}{(\varepsilon_\ell - iq_\ell x)^{\Delta_\ell}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_\ell)}{(\varepsilon_\ell - iq_\ell x)^{\Delta_\ell}},$$

we have:

$$\mathbf{X}_1 G_2 G_3 = M_1^{A\dot{A}} \int dy \delta_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^3 e^{-iq_k y \partial_{\varepsilon_k}} \right) \prod_{\ell=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_\ell)}{(\varepsilon_\ell - iq_\ell x)^{\Delta_\ell}} \quad (\text{C25})$$

$$= \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_3 \prod_{k=1}^3 K_k(x). \quad (\text{C26})$$

Now, suppose that, for some  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{X}_3 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2} = \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_3 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x). \quad (\text{C27})$$

Thus:

$$\int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C28})$$

$$= \int dy \delta_y \left( M_1^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C29})$$

$$= \int dy \delta_y M_1^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \left( e^{-iq_1 y \partial_{\varepsilon_1}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C30})$$

$$= M_1^{A\dot{A}} \int dy \delta_y (-iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_1} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \quad (\text{C31})$$

$$+ M_1^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \int dy \delta_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{A\dot{A}}} \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \right) \quad (\text{C32})$$

Finally, noting that:

$$(-iq_1)_{A\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_1} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}}, \quad (\text{C33})$$

using Eq. (C4), and applying the induction hypothesis (Eq. (B19)), we derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} K_k(x) \\
&= M_1^{A\dot{A}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \right) \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \\
&+ M_1^{A\dot{A}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \int dy \delta_y \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{n+2} \prod_{k=2}^{n+2} K_k(x) \\
&= M_1^{A\dot{A}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{A\dot{A}}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_1)}{(\varepsilon_1 - iq_1 x)^{\Delta_1}}}_{\mathbf{X}_1} \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{X}_3 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2} \\
&= \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_n G_{n+1} G_{n+2},
\end{aligned}$$

completing our inductive proof.

- 
- [1] W. Melton, A. Sharma, A. Strominger, and T. Wang, arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18896 (2024).
  - [2] E. Casali, W. Melton, and A. Strominger, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, 1 (2022).
  - [3] S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Physics Letters B* **846**, 138229 (2023).
  - [4] W. Melton, A. Sharma, and A. Strominger, arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07820 (2023).
  - [5] J. Barrett, G. Gibbons, M. Perry, C. Pope, and P. Ruback, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **9**, 1457 (1994).
  - [6] B. Bhattacharjee and C. Krishnan, *Physical Review D* **106**, 106018 (2022).
  - [7] S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Physics Letters B* **836**, 137588 (2023).
  - [8] V. P. Nair, *Physics Letters B* **214**, 215 (1988).
  - [9] V. Nair, *Physical Review D?Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology* **71**, 121701 (2005).
  - [10] K. Costello and N. M. Paquette, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, 1 (2022).
  - [11] W. Bu and E. Casali, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, 1 (2022).
  - [12] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, A. Strominger, and E. Y. Yuan, *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* **33**, 2140003 (2021).
  - [13] S. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 1 (2021).
  - [14] A. Guevara, E. Himwich, M. Pate, and A. Strominger, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 1 (2021).
  - [15] E. Himwich, M. Pate, and K. Singh, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, 1 (2022).
  - [16] A. Strominger, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 221601 (2021).
  - [17] S. Banerjee, R. Mandal, S. Misra, S. Panda, and P. Paul, *Physical Review D* **110**, 026020 (2024).
  - [18] S. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, and P. Paul, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 1 (2021).
  - [19] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Nuclear Physics B* **247**, 83 (1984).
  - [20] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, *Physical Review D* **96**, 085006 (2017).

- [21] S. Pasterski and S.-H. Shao, *Physical Review D* **96**, 065022 (2017).
- [22] C. Cheung, A. de la Fuente, and R. Sundrum, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017**, 1 (2017).
- [23] S. Pasterski, *The European Physical Journal C* **81**, 1062 (2021).
- [24] S. Pasterski, arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04932 (2023).
- [25] A.-M. Raclariu, arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.02075 (2021).
- [26] A. Strominger, *Lectures on the infrared structure of gravity and gauge theory* (Princeton University Press, 2018).
- [27] P. B. Aneesh, G. Compère, L. Pipolo de Gioia, I. Mol, and B. Swidler, *SciPost Physics Lecture Notes* , 047 (2022).
- [28] L. Donnay, *Physics Reports* **1073**, 1 (2024).
- [29] A. Puhm, .
- [30] J. de Boer and S. N. Solodukhin, *Nuclear Physics B* **665**, 545 (2003).
- [31] J. Penedones, in *New Frontiers in Fields and Strings: TASI 2015 Proceedings of the 2015 Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics* (World Scientific, 2017) pp. 75–136.
- [32] S. Ketov, *Conformal Field Theory*, G - Reference, Information and Interdisciplinary Subjects Series (World Scientific Pub., 1995).
- [33] F. A. Berezin, *Introduction to superanalysis*, Vol. 9 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [34] A. Hodges, arXiv preprint arXiv:1204.1930 (2012).
- [35] D. Harlow, J. Maltz, and E. Witten, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011**, 1 (2011).
- [36] M. Niedermaier and M. Reuter, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **9**, 1 (2006).
- [37] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, and A. Strominger, *Physical Review D* **100**, 085017 (2019).
- [38] H. Elvang and Y.-t. Huang, arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.1697 (2013).
- [39] S. Badger, J. Henn, J. C. Plefka, and S. Zoia, *Scattering Amplitudes in Quantum Field Theory* (Springer Nature, 2024).
- [40] F. A. Berends, W. Giele, and H. Kuijf, *Physics Letters B* **211**, 91 (1988).