

Thermomechanical Approach to Calculating Mechanical Stresses in Inhomogeneous Ionic Fluids

Yury A. Budkov,^{1,2,3, a)} Nikolai N. Kalikin,¹ and Petr E. Brandyshev^{2,3}

¹⁾*Laboratory of Multiscale Modeling of Molecular Systems,
G.A. Krestov Institute of Solution Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
153045, Akademicheskaya st. 1, Ivanovo, Russia*

²⁾*Laboratory of Computational Physics, HSE University, Tallinskaya st. 34,
123458 Moscow, Russia*

³⁾*School of Applied Mathematics, HSE University, Tallinskaya st. 34,
123458 Moscow, Russia*

This extended article presents a thermomechanical approach for calculating the stress tensor from the thermodynamic potential of ionic fluids. Our technique, which is based on the invariance of the thermodynamic potential with respect to spatial transformations of shear and rotation, offers an alternative to the general covariant approach recently developed by two of us. We apply this technique in both pure mean field theories of ionic fluids and in a theory that includes thermal fluctuations of the electrostatic potential, using variational field theory. We also apply the thermomechanical approach to fluid models described by vector order parameters, such as liquid dielectrics, and obtained for this case a general expression for the stress tensor. Additionally, we discuss specific issues related to the calculation of disjoining pressure in ionic fluids confined in nanoscale slit-like pores with conductive or dielectric walls.

^{a)}ybudkov@hse.ru

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the mechanical behavior of liquid-phase electrolytes confined within solid nanostructures is crucial for various applications, such as batteries, supercapacitors, and electrowetting devices. In order to study these systems effectively, it is necessary to calculate the mechanical stress using a stress tensor alongside the local electrostatic potential and ionic concentration distributions. By determining the local stress tensor based on specific mean field equations one can estimate important properties such as solvation pressure and shear stresses. They play a key role in predicting the deformation of porous materials, which is essential for optimizing the performance of energy storage devices using microporous electrodes saturated with liquid-phase electrolytes¹⁻⁴. Additionally, the stress tensor is essential for determining the macroscopic force acting on charged macroscopic conductors or dielectrics immersed in liquid-phase electrolytes. Thus, a first-principles approach for calculating the stress tensor in inhomogeneous ionic liquids is highly relevant to practical applications. Specifically, being able to calculate the components of the stress tensor is crucial for understanding solvation and shear stresses in ionic liquid films of arbitrary geometry⁵. Understanding the distinction between normal and tangential stresses at the interface between an ionic liquid and an electrode is crucial for computing surface energy, which is pivotal for modeling electrowetting phenomena^{6,7}.

One common technique used to analyze microscopic stresses is the Irving-Kirkwood method⁸⁻¹⁰. Averaging the Irving-Kirkwood microscopic stress tensor over different microstates of a system provides valuable insights, though this process can be challenging⁸. This method can be effectively implemented within the framework of molecular dynamics and Monte Carlo simulations^{8,11,12}. However, this approach is less convenient for modeling ionic fluids within the framework of mean field theory-based continual models.

A recent alternative to the Irving-Kirkwood technique for the case of continuous models of ionic fluids has been proposed in a series of papers¹³⁻¹⁶. This new methodology involves the derivation of the stress tensor from the grand thermodynamic potential. By treating the grand thermodynamic potential as a functional of appropriate order parameters and applying Noether's theorems^{17,18} to this functional, this approach, known as the *thermomechanical approach* (TMA)¹⁹, integrates concepts from both thermodynamics and continuous media mechanics, combining the grand thermodynamic potential with the stress tensor.

Let us briefly discuss the insights gained from the TMA for different ionic fluids. In the paper¹³, Noether’s first theorem was utilized to analyze the grand thermodynamic potential of an ionic fluid as a functional of the electrostatic potential. This established the local mechanical equilibrium condition by defining it in terms of a symmetric stress tensor, which includes both the Maxwell electrostatic stress tensor and the hydrostatic isotropic stress tensor. This equilibrium condition was then extended to include scenarios with external potential forces acting on ions, leading to the derivation of a comprehensive analytical expression for the electrostatic disjoining pressure of an ionic fluid confined within a charged nanopore slit. This extended the well-known DLVO expression to encompass various reference models of ionic fluids. Furthermore, the authors of paper¹⁴ proposed *a general covariant approach* based on Noether’s second theorem, which allowed them to derive the symmetric stress tensor from a grand thermodynamic potential for any model of inhomogeneous liquid. This approach is reminiscent of the one used by David Hilbert in the general theory of relativity to derive the energy-momentum tensor from the action functional^{20,21}. The study applied this method to various models of inhomogeneous ionic fluids that incorporate electrostatic or short-range correlations related to packing effects. This led to the derivation of analytical expressions for the symmetric stress tensors of the Cahn-Hilliard-like model²², Bazant-Storey-Kornyshev model²³, and Maggs-Podgornik-Blossey model²². In a related work¹⁵, the authors extended previously developed mean-field formalism within the variational field theory of ionic fluids^{24,25} to incorporate electrostatic correlations of ions. Utilizing the general covariant approach from ref.¹⁴, they derived a total stress tensor that incorporated these electrostatic correlations by introducing a term depending on the autocorrelation tensor function of local electric field fluctuations near the mean-field configuration. By using this total stress tensor and applying the mechanical equilibrium condition, the authors derived a general expression for the disjoining pressure of ionic liquids confined in a slit-like pore. In terms of applications, the TMA has been implemented to model the behavior of solvation pressure in nanopores containing liquid-phase electrolytes^{3,26} and polyelectrolytes^{27,28}, as well as to describe the surface tension of electrolyte solutions at the interfaces with air and dodecane¹⁹. Notably, a recent paper²⁹ utilized the total stress tensor to calculate the force of interaction between charge-regulated colloidal particles immersed in an electrolyte solution. It is also instructive to draw reader’s attention to related studies that focus on deriving and explicitly evaluating electrostatic normal stress in Coulomb fluids confined in slit-like pores, exploring

both weak-coupling and strong-coupling limits^{30–33}. It is important to note that several papers^{34,35} have proposed approaches based on Ginzburg-Landau theory for calculating local mechanical stresses in simple liquids and colloidal solutions, both in equilibrium and beyond.

The consistent TMA^{14–16}, as described, is based on a general covariant approach that is rather complex and requires the use of mathematical tools such as Riemannian geometry and tensor analysis. This limits the applicability of the TMA for many physical chemists and chemical engineers who may not be familiar with these techniques. Therefore, having an alternative method that does not rely on differential geometry would be beneficial. In our recent work¹⁶, we proposed an approach based on symmetry considerations, utilizing statistical field theory within the functional Legendre transformation formalism. However, these ideas have not yet been systematically presented. This paper aims to fill this gap by presenting the details of our technique, alternative to the sophisticated general covariant approach. We will focus on two practically important cases: (1) the mean field theory of ionic fluids, described by the thermodynamic potential density with spatial derivatives of the order parameter up to second order, and (2) the theory of the Coulomb gas, which incorporates thermal fluctuations of the electrostatic potential within the framework of variational field theory. For both cases, we will present a method for calculating the symmetric stress tensor from the thermodynamic potential or partition function, based on its spatial symmetries, such as translations and rotations. We will also apply the thermomechanical approach to fluids described by vector order parameters, such as liquid dielectrics, and obtained for this case a general expression for the stress tensor.

II. STRESS TENSOR IN IONIC FLUIDS. MEAN FIELD THEORY

A. Stress tensor for thermodynamic potentials, containing first derivatives of the order parameters

Let us discuss a method that allows us to derive the stress tensor of an inhomogeneous ionic fluid from the thermodynamic potential functional within the mean-field approximation – a *thermomechanical approach* (TMA). We consider a general case of an inhomogeneous ionic fluid whose grand thermodynamic potential (GTP) can be represented in the framework

of the mean-field approximation as the following functional

$$\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \omega(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where the GTP density depends on the coordinates through the electrostatic potential ψ and its first coordinate derivatives $\partial_i \psi = \partial\psi/\partial x_i$ (field components)

$$\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \omega(\psi(\mathbf{r}), \partial\psi(\mathbf{r})). \quad (2)$$

The physical situations describing such kind of GTP densities can be found in papers^{13,22}.

Now, let us subject the system to an infinitesimal constant shift by the vector \mathbf{h} :

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{h}. \quad (3)$$

The first-order variation of the thermodynamic potential density with respect to \mathbf{h} has the following form

$$\delta\omega = h_i \partial_i \omega + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi} \delta\psi + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_i\psi)} \delta\partial_i\psi. \quad (4)$$

Note that here and further, summation by repeating spatial indices is assumed. Then, taking into account the equality

$$\delta\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi'(\mathbf{r}) - \psi(\mathbf{r}) = -h_k \partial_k \psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

following from the invariance of the electrostatic potential under the change of the coordinate grid, $\psi'(\mathbf{r}') = \psi(\mathbf{r})$, and the identity

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_i\psi)} \partial_i(\delta\psi) = \partial_i \left(\delta\psi \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_i\psi)} \right) - \delta\psi \partial_i \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_i\psi)} \right), \quad (6)$$

we obtain

$$\delta\Omega = h_k \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\partial_i \sigma_{ik} - \partial_k \psi \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} \right), \quad (7)$$

where we have introduced the stress tensor

$$\sigma_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} - \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_i\psi)} \partial_k \psi \quad (8)$$

and variational derivative

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi} - \partial_l \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial(\partial_l\psi)}. \quad (9)$$

Due to the fact that the GTP should be invariant under translation of the system as a whole by a constant vector, i.e., $\delta\Omega = 0$, and given that equality (7) holds for any arbitrary fluid volume, we arrive at the following relation:

$$\partial_i \sigma_{ik} = \partial_k \psi \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, in the equilibrium configuration of the electrostatic field, i.e. when the electrostatic potential satisfies the corresponding the Euler-Lagrange equation, $\delta\Omega/\delta\psi = 0$, the condition of mechanical equilibrium of the fluid is fulfilled

$$\partial_i \sigma_{ik} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Note that if the GTP density depends on m scalar *order parameters* $\psi^{(s)}$ (see, for instance,^{26,27}), $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and their first partial derivatives, then the expression for the stress tensor have the analogous form:

$$\sigma_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} - \sum_s \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial (\partial_i \psi^{(s)})} \partial_k \psi^{(s)}. \quad (12)$$

If the GTP density depends explicitly on the coordinates through the potentials of external fields $u_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ acting on the ions particles, the relation (7) can be written as

$$\delta\Omega = h_k \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\partial_i \sigma_{ik} - \partial_k \psi \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} - \sum_\alpha \partial_k u_\alpha \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta u_\alpha} \right). \quad (13)$$

The last equality can be interpreted as the mechanical work done over the virtual displacement of the ionic fluid volume. It follows that the i -th component of the total force acting on the isolated volume of ionic fluid is equal to

$$F_i = -\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial h_i} = - \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\partial_i \sigma_{ik} - \partial_k \psi \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} - \sum_\alpha \partial_k u_\alpha \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta u_\alpha} \right). \quad (14)$$

Since the fluid is in a state of mechanical equilibrium, $F_i = 0$, therefore, due to the fact that this is true for any part of the ionic fluid, we can conclude that

$$\partial_i \sigma_{ik} = \partial_k \psi \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} + \sum_\alpha \partial_k u_\alpha \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta u_\alpha}. \quad (15)$$

Taking into account that $\delta\Omega/\delta\psi = 0$ and $\delta\Omega/\delta u_\alpha = n_\alpha$, where n_α defines the equilibrium local ion concentrations, we obtain the condition of mechanical equilibrium of the ionic fluid in the presence of volume forces

$$\partial_i \sigma_{ik} - \sum_\alpha n_\alpha \partial_k u_\alpha = 0. \quad (16)$$

Now, we need to prove that the tensor σ_{ik} for the considered types of GTPs is indeed a stress tensor. Let us subject the system to an inhomogeneous infinitesimal shift according to the rule

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (17)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the vector field of deformation of the system. The only difference between this and the previous evaluations is that, instead of a constant shear, the deformation is changing an infinitesimal volume according to a specific rule³⁶:

$$d\mathbf{r}' = (1 + \partial_i u_i) d\mathbf{r}. \quad (18)$$

Considering that the local electrostatic potential satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation, $\delta\Omega/\delta\psi = 0$, we obtain the variation of the GTP in the linear approximation over deformation \mathbf{u} as follows

$$\delta\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i (u_i \sigma_{ik}) = \int d\mathbf{r} u_{ik} \sigma_{ik} \quad (19)$$

which leads us to the well-known thermodynamic definition of the stress tensor³⁶

$$\sigma_{ik} = \left. \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta u_{ik}} \right|_{u_{ik}=0}. \quad (20)$$

When deriving the last expression, we take into account the equality (11), assume that the tensor σ_{ik} is symmetric, and also introduce the strain tensor

$$u_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i u_k + \partial_k u_i). \quad (21)$$

As mentioned, we assumed the symmetry of the stress tensor σ_{ik} during the proof. However, this assumption does not guarantee that the resulting tensor will be symmetric. In the case of ionic fluids described by GTPs containing only first derivatives of the potential, we will show below that the stress tensor is automatically symmetric.

B. Disjoining pressure

It is now constructive to discuss how we can obtain the expression for the disjoining pressure in the ionic fluid confined in the slit charged pore, using the expression derived in the previous section for the total stress tensor. Despite the fact that we have already discussed

this derivations in particular physical cases^{13,26,27}, it is instructive to discuss a general derivation. Let us set the origin of the z -axis at one wall, so that the other wall is located at $z = H$. We can evaluate the disjoining pressure using the following thermodynamic relation³⁷:

$$\Pi = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial H} - P_b, \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{A} denotes the surface of the walls and P_b is the osmotic pressure of the ionic fluid in its bulk phase. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial H} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \int_0^H dz \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \psi(z)} \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial H} + \left(\omega - \psi' \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi'} \right) \Big|_{z=H} + \int_0^H dz \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H} \\ &= \int_0^H dz \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}(z) \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(z)}{\partial H} + \sigma_{zz}(H), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where we took into account that $\partial \omega / \partial u_{\alpha} = n_{\alpha}$ and $\delta \Omega / \delta \psi(z) = 0$. The latter can be derived as follows. We can write

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dH} = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial H} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \psi(H)} \psi'(H). \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, using theorem of differentiation of the integral with respect to a parameter, we get

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dH} = \frac{d}{dH} \int_0^H dz \omega(z) = \omega(H) + \int_0^H dz \frac{\partial \omega(z)}{\partial H} \quad (25)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial H} = \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \psi(z)} \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial H} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H}, \quad (26)$$

since $\delta \Omega / \delta \psi(z) = 0$.

Thereby, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial H} = \frac{d\Omega}{dH} - \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \psi(H)} \psi'(H) = \omega(H) + \int_0^H dz \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H} - \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \psi(H)} \psi'(H). \quad (27)$$

If we consider $\psi(H)$ as variable, then we can write after variation of Ω

$$\delta \Omega = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \psi(H)} \delta \psi(H) \quad (28)$$

that yields

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \psi(H)} = \frac{\partial \omega(H)}{\partial \psi'(H)}. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we eventually obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial H} = \omega(H) - \frac{\partial \omega(H)}{\partial \psi'(H)} \psi'(H) + \int_0^H dz \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H} = \sigma_{zz}(H) + \int_0^H dz \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial H}. \quad (30)$$

Hence, we arrive at the expression

$$\Pi = - \sum_{\alpha} \int_0^H dz n_{\alpha}(z) \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(z)}{\partial H} - \sigma_{zz}(H) - P_b, \quad (31)$$

where $\sigma_{zz}(H) = (\omega - \psi' \partial \omega / \partial \psi')|_{z=H}$ is a normal stress at $z = H$.

Now let us consider the case of two identical walls creating the following total external potential

$$u_{\alpha}(z) = \phi_{\alpha}(z) + \phi_{\alpha}(H - z), \quad (32)$$

where ϕ_{α} denotes the potential produced by one wall, and considering that $n_{\alpha}(z) = n_{\alpha}(H - z)$, we obtain

$$\Pi = - \sum_{\alpha} \int_0^H dz n_{\alpha}(z) \phi'_{\alpha}(z) - \sigma_{zz}(H) - P_b. \quad (33)$$

We can rewrite eq. (33) in a form more suitable for practical applications. Utilizing the mechanical equilibrium condition

$$\frac{d\sigma_{zz}}{dz} - \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}(z) u'_{\alpha}(z) = 0, \quad (34)$$

after the integration from $z = H/2$ to $z = H$, we obtain

$$\sigma_{zz}(H) = \sigma_{zz}\left(\frac{H}{2}\right) + \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^H dz n_{\alpha}(z) \phi'_{\alpha}(z) - \sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{H/2} dz n_{\alpha}(z) \phi'_{\alpha}(z), \quad (35)$$

where we take into account equation (32) and the condition $n_{\alpha}(z) = n_{\alpha}(H - z)$. Substituting (35) for $\sigma_{zz}(H)$ into the equation (33), after simple algebraic transformations, we obtain

$$\Pi = P_n - P_b - 2 \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^H dz n_{\alpha}(z) \phi'_{\alpha}(z), \quad (36)$$

where $P_n = -\sigma_{zz}(H/2)$ denotes the normal stress at the midpoint of the pore. In the scenario of negligible small interaction between ions and walls ($\phi_{\alpha}(z) = 0$), equation (36) reduces to the Langmuir equation³⁷, $\Pi = P_m - P_b$, for the disjoining pressure.

C. Stress tensor for thermodynamic potentials, containing second derivatives of the order parameters

In practice, one often works with functionals that contain an scalar order parameter with derivatives of higher order than the first. However, in such cases, the approach outlined above typically results in an asymmetric tensor $\bar{\sigma}_{ik}$. Let us consider a scenario where the thermodynamic potential density depends on the second derivatives of the electrostatic potential

$$\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \omega(\psi(\mathbf{r}), \partial\psi(\mathbf{r}), \partial\partial\psi(\mathbf{r})). \quad (37)$$

Subjecting the system to a small deformation, and considering that the potential satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi} = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi} - \partial_i \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi_{,i}} \right) + \partial_i \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi_{,ij}} \right) = 0, \quad (38)$$

where $\psi_{,i} = \partial_i\psi$, $\psi_{,ij} = \partial_i\partial_j\psi$, after similar to the calculations presented above, but with more complicated calculations, we obtain

$$\delta\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i u_k \bar{\sigma}_{ik}, \quad (39)$$

where the tensor

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} - \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi_{,i}} \psi^{,k} + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi_{,ij}} \right) \psi^{,k} - \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi_{,ij}} \psi^{,jk} \quad (40)$$

is not symmetric in general case. However, it is always possible to perform the symmetrization according to the following rule:

$$\sigma_{ik} = \bar{\sigma}_{ik} + \partial_l A_{ilk}, \quad (41)$$

where the third rank tensor A_{ilk} , antisymmetric with respect to the indices i and l , should be expressed via the first and second partial derivatives of the potential. This substitution does not violate the mechanical equilibrium conditions (11) due to the antisymmetry of A_{ilk} . Taking this into account, we have:

$$\delta\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i u_k \bar{\sigma}_{ik} = \int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i u_k (\bar{\sigma}_{ik} + \partial_l A_{ilk}) = \int d\mathbf{r} u_{ik} \sigma_{ik}, \quad (42)$$

where we took into account that

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \partial_i u_k \partial_l A_{ilk} = - \int d\mathbf{r} u_k \partial_i \partial_l A_{ilk} = 0. \quad (43)$$

In the last step, we performed integration by parts and used the antisymmetry of the tensor A_{ilk} with respect to the indices i and l . Thus, we arrive at the same thermodynamic expression (20)

We can symmetrize the tensor $\bar{\sigma}_{ik}$ in different ways. The method discussed below will allow us to determine the explicit form of the tensor A_{ilk} . Let us use of the fact that the GTP must be invariant not only under constant shift, but also under rotation of the system as a whole. The transformation of infinitesimal rotation, as is known, has the form²¹

$$x'_i = x_i + \omega_{ij}x_j, \quad \omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji} = \text{const.} \quad (44)$$

The condition of invariance of the GTP in this case results in the following relation

$$\partial_j L_{jkl} = 0, \quad (45)$$

where we introduce the following torque tensor

$$L_{jkl} = \bar{\sigma}_{jk}x_l - \bar{\sigma}_{jl}x_k + S_{kjl} - S_{ljk}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$S_{kjl} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,jk}} \psi_{,l} \quad (47)$$

is the 'spin' part of the torque tensor.

Utilizing (45), we obtain

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ik} - \bar{\sigma}_{ki} = 2\partial_l \varphi_{ikl}, \quad (48)$$

where

$$\varphi_{ikl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{ilk} - S_{kli} \right). \quad (49)$$

Thereby, we have

$$\varphi_{ikl} = -\varphi_{kil}. \quad (50)$$

Thus, we can construct the following symmetric stress tensor

$$\sigma_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\sigma}_{ik} + \bar{\sigma}_{ki} \right) - \partial_l \left(\varphi_{ilk} + \varphi_{kli} \right), \quad (51)$$

which leads to the following result:

$$\sigma_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,i}} \psi_{,k} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,k}} \psi_{,i} \right) - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ik}} \psi_{,j} \right) + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ij}} \right) \psi_{,k} + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,kj}} \right) \psi_{,i}. \quad (52)$$

The condition (45) also leads us to the following tensor equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,i}} \psi_{,k} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,k}} \psi_{,i} \right) + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ij}} \psi_{,jk} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,kj}} \psi_{,ji} = 0, \quad (53)$$

with the help of which the stress tensor (52) can be rewritten in the form¹⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,i}} \psi_{,k} + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ij}} \right) \psi_{,k} \\ - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ik}} \psi_{,j} \right) + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,jk}} \right) \psi_{,i} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,kj}} \psi_{,ji} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,ij}} \psi_{,jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

This result straightforwardly transforms into the expression (8), when the GTP density does not depend on the second derivatives. Moreover, formula (54) can be easily generalized to the case when the GTP depends on m order parameters, $\psi^{(s)}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Note that when the GTP density does not depend on second derivatives, the condition of invariance of the thermodynamic potential with respect to rotations gives

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,i}} \psi_{,k} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \psi_{,k}} \psi_{,i}, \quad (55)$$

whence follows the symmetry of the tensor (8). From the expression (54) it is straightforward to obtain the explicit form of the function A_{ilk} :

$$A_{ilk} = S_{ilk} - S_{lik}. \quad (56)$$

Note that the torque tensor can be used to calculate the macroscopic torque acting on a body immersed in a fluid using the following tensor relations:

$$L_i = \varepsilon_{ikj} L_{kj}, \quad (57)$$

$$L_{kj} = \oint df n_l L_{lkj}, \quad (58)$$

where the integration is performed over the surface of the body with df being the surface element, ε_{ikj} denotes the absolute antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol, and n_i is the normal unit vector constructed at the chosen point on the body surface. Note that the force acting on a conducting or dielectric body placed in the ionic fluid can be calculated using the formula

$$F_i = \oint \sigma_{ik} n_k df, \quad (59)$$

It is worth noting that, in the absence of external forces acting on ions, integration can be performed over any closed surface that contains a body immersed in an ionic fluid. However,

when there are external forces acting, the force on the body must be calculated using a formula derived from the mechanical equilibrium of an arbitrary volume of fluid

$$F_i = \oint \sigma_{ik} n_k df + \int f_i d\mathbf{r}, \quad (60)$$

where $f_i = -\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \partial u_{\alpha} / \partial x_i$ are the components of the non-electrostatic forces density, where the integration in the second term is carried out over the fluid volume, enclosed between the surface of the immersed body and the surface, over which the first term is integrated.

In conclusion of this section, we apply the formalism described above to obtain the stress tensor in the framework of the Bazant-Storey-Kornyshev (BSC) ionic fluid model, which accounts for short-range ionic correlations at the phenomenological level. The thermodynamic potential density of the BSC model is given by:

$$\omega = -\frac{\varepsilon}{8\pi} \left((\nabla\psi)^2 + l_c^2 (\nabla^2\psi)^2 \right) + \rho\psi + f(\{n_{\alpha}\}) - \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}, \quad (61)$$

where the first term, in contrast to the mean-field Poisson-Boltzmann model, contains an additional "nonlocal" term $\varepsilon l_c^2 (\nabla^2\psi)^2 / 2$, which is associated with ionic correlations beyond the mean-field theory; $\rho = \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}$ is the local charge density of ions, q_{α} is the charge of ion α . The correlation length l_c represents the only phenomenological parameter of the model responsible for incorporating correlations of the ions. While the original BSC model²³ employs the symmetric lattice gas model as the reference fluid model, other models with different dependencies of the free energy density, $f(\{n_{\alpha}\})$, on the concentrations, n_{α} , can be utilized for practical calculations. The Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to this potential are as follows

$$\nabla^2\psi - l_c^2 \Delta^2\psi = -\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_{\alpha}} = \mu_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha}\psi, \quad (62)$$

where μ_{α} is the bulk chemical potential of α th component. Using (54), we arrive at the following stress tensor

$$\sigma_{ik} = \sigma_{ik}^{(h)} + \sigma_{ik}^{(el)}, \quad (63)$$

where $\sigma_{ik}^{(h)} = -P\delta_{ik}$ is the hydrostatic stress tensor, $P = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \partial f / \partial n_{\alpha} - f$ is the local osmotic pressure of ions. The electrostatic stress tensor in this case consists of two terms:

$$\sigma_{ik}^{(el)} = \sigma_{ik}^{(M)} + \sigma_{ik}^{(cor)}, \quad (64)$$

where

$$\sigma_{ik}^{(M)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{E}_k - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^2 \delta_{ik} \right), \quad (65)$$

is the Maxwell stress tensor with $\mathcal{E}_i = -\partial_i \psi$. The stress tensor arising from the correlation of the ions is given by³⁸:

$$\sigma_{ik}^{(cor)} = \frac{\varepsilon l_c^2}{4\pi} \left(\left(\mathcal{E} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{E})^2 \right) \delta_{ik} - \mathcal{E}_i \nabla^2 \mathcal{E}_k - \mathcal{E}_k \nabla^2 \mathcal{E}_i \right). \quad (66)$$

D. Stress tensor for fluids described by vector order parameters

Now, let us examine another important case in the theory of inhomogeneous fluids: functionals defined on vector order parameters. Obtaining stress tensors for these cases is essential for modeling the forces that arise between solids and a dielectric fluid, which is intrinsically strongly structured. In this scenario, polarization serves as a vector order parameter^{39–42}. Thus, we will use the notation $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$ to denote such an order parameter. Note that such models can be used to describe the structure of the polar solvent in electrolyte solutions confined within charged pores, as well as the solvation forces between macroscopic bodies immersed in polar fluids.

Thus, the GTP in this case takes the form

$$\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \omega(\mathbf{P}, \partial\mathbf{P}, \partial\partial\mathbf{P}). \quad (67)$$

For clarity, we assume that the GTP density is independent of scalar order parameters. However, in real systems, this assumption may not hold. The dependence on scalar order parameters can be easily addressed separately using the method described above.

As it was considered above, let us consider a global shear transformation on constant vector \mathbf{h} :

$$x'_i = x_i + h_i, \quad (68)$$

Then, variation of the GTP is

$$\delta\Omega = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\delta\omega + \partial_i (\omega h_i) \right], \quad (69)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\omega = & \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_i} - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,j}} \right) + \partial_k \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,kj}} \right) \right) \delta P_i \\ & + \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,j}} \delta P_i - \partial_k \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,kj}} \right) \delta P_i + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,kj}} \delta P_{i,k} \right), \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

and the variation of the order parameter is

$$\delta P_i = -h_j \partial_j P_i. \quad (71)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the vector parameter are

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_i} - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_{i,j}} \right) + \partial_k \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_{i,kj}} \right) = 0. \quad (72)$$

Then using eq. (69), we obtain

$$\delta \Omega = -h_k \int dr \partial_i \bar{\sigma}_{ik}, \quad (73)$$

where

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ik} = \omega \delta_{ik} + j_{ik}, \quad (74)$$

with the following auxiliary tensor

$$j_{ik} = -\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_{j,i}} P_{j,k} + \partial_n \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_{j,ni}} \right) P_{j,k} - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial P_{j,ni}} P_{j,nk}. \quad (75)$$

Since the GTP (67) is invariant under the shift transformation, it follows from eq. (73) that

$$\partial_i \bar{\sigma}_{ik} = 0. \quad (76)$$

As with scalar order parameters, the tensor $\bar{\sigma}_{ik}$ is generally not symmetric. To make it symmetric, we can apply the technique formulated above, which is based on the invariance of the GTP under global rotations

$$x'_i = x_i + \omega_{ij} x_j, \quad \omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji} = \text{const}, \quad (77)$$

so

$$h_i = \omega_{ij} x_j. \quad (78)$$

By substituting eq. (78) into eq. (71), we obtain the transformation of the vector order parameter as follows

$$\delta P_i = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{kj} \left(\delta_{ik} P_j - \delta_{ij} P_k + x_k \partial_j P_i - x_j \partial_k P_i \right). \quad (79)$$

Thus, by substituting (79) into (69) and assuming that the variation of the thermodynamic potential is zero, we obtain

$$\partial_j L_{jkn} = 0, \quad (80)$$

where the torque tensor is

$$L_{jkn} = \bar{\sigma}_{jk}x_n - \bar{\sigma}_{jn}x_k + S_{kjn} - S_{njk}, \quad (81)$$

and its spin part is

$$S_{kjn} = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{k,j}}P_n - \partial_m \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{k,jm}} \right) P_n + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{k,jm}}P_{n,m} - \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial P_{i,nj}}P_{i,k}. \quad (82)$$

Using eq. (76), we can simplify eq. (80) to

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ik} - \bar{\sigma}_{ki} = 2\partial_l\varphi_{ikl}, \quad (83)$$

where

$$\varphi_{ikl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{ilk} - S_{kli} \right). \quad (84)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi_{ikl} = -\varphi_{kil}. \quad (85)$$

Thus, we can construct the following explicitly symmetric tensor

$$\sigma_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\sigma}_{ik} + \bar{\sigma}_{ki} \right) - \partial_l \left(\varphi_{ilk} + \varphi_{kli} \right). \quad (86)$$

Further, using eq. (83), we can show that

$$\sigma_{ik} - \bar{\sigma}_{ik} = -\partial_l \left(\varphi_{ilk} + \varphi_{kli} - \varphi_{kil} \right), \quad (87)$$

where, taking into account eq. (85), in the right-hand side of eq. (87), we obtain the derivative of an expression that is antisymmetric with respect to i and l . Therefore

$$\partial_i\partial_l \left(\varphi_{ilk} + \varphi_{kli} - \varphi_{kil} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (88)$$

Thus, tensor (86) is equivalent to tensor (74), up to a divergence-free term. This equivalence implies that both tensors satisfy the local mechanical equilibrium condition. Therefore, the stress tensor, taking into account (84), assumes an explicitly symmetric form

$$\sigma_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\sigma}_{ik} + \bar{\sigma}_{ki} - \partial_l \left(S_{ikl} - S_{lki} + S_{kil} - S_{lik} \right) \right]. \quad (89)$$

We will not provide lengthy explicit expressions for the stress tensors of specific polar fluid models, but rather, we will limit ourselves to a more general expression (89).

III. ACCOUNT OF FLUCTUATIONS OF THE ELECTROSTATIC POTENTIAL WITHIN VARIATIONAL FIELD THEORY

A. Brief discussion of variational field theory

Previously, we explored the self-consistent field theory of inhomogeneous ionic fluids without considering the fluctuations of the electrostatic potential near the mean-field configuration induced by the thermal motion of ions. In this section, we will discuss the details of the variational field theory approach, as applied to the Coulomb gas, which consists of point-like charges embedded in a continuous dielectric medium. This approach is known as the variational field theory^{24,25}.

The grand partition function can be expressed as the following functional integral over the fluctuating electrostatic potential φ ^{15,24}:

$$\Xi = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{C_0} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi G_0^{-1} \varphi) + i(\rho_{ext} \varphi) + \sum_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\beta q_{\alpha} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})} \right], \quad (90)$$

where $\bar{z}_{\alpha} = z_{\alpha} e^{\beta q_{\alpha}^2 G_0(0)/2}$ are the renormalized fugacities and $\rho_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$ is the density of external charges; q_{α} are charges of ions, $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature. We have also introduced the following notations

$$(\varphi G_0^{-1} \varphi) = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{r}' \varphi(\mathbf{r}) G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \varphi(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (91)$$

$$(\rho_{ext} \varphi) = \int d\mathbf{r} \rho_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \varphi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (92)$$

$$C_0 = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi G_0^{-1} \varphi) \right]. \quad (93)$$

The 'bare' Green's function is

$$G_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (94)$$

The inverse bare Green's function,

$$G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (95)$$

satisfies the relation

$$\int d\mathbf{r}'' G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'') G_0(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}') = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (96)$$

Let us briefly discuss the variational field theory formalism^{15,24,25}. By shifting the field variable $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \varphi_0$ and transitioning to the new Green's function $G_0 \rightarrow G$, we arrive at the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi = \frac{C}{C_0} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{C} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi G^{-1} \varphi) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi [G_0^{-1} - G^{-1}] \varphi) \right. \\ \left. + i(\rho_{ext}(\varphi + \varphi_0)) - \beta(\varphi G_0^{-1} \varphi_0) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi_0 G_0^{-1} \varphi_0) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\beta q_{\alpha} \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}) + i\beta q_{\alpha} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})} \right], \quad (97) \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the notation for the normalization constant of new Gaussian measure

$$C = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi G^{-1} \varphi) \right]. \quad (98)$$

Then, applying the Bogolyubov's inequality to the functional integral, we obtain

$$\Xi \geq \exp W[G; \varphi_0], \quad (99)$$

where we have introduced the following auxiliary functional

$$\begin{aligned} W[G; \varphi_0] = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{DetG}{DetG_0} - \frac{1}{2} tr (G[G_0^{-1} - G^{-1}]) + i(\rho_{ext}\varphi_0) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\varphi_0 G_0^{-1} \varphi_0) \\ + \sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\beta q_{\alpha} \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\beta q_{\alpha}^2}{2} (G_0(0) - G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (100) \end{aligned}$$

where $DetG$ and $DetG_0$ are the functional determinants of corresponding integral operators¹⁵ and trA is the trace of the integral operator in accordance with definition

$$trA = \int d\mathbf{r} A(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}). \quad (101)$$

The functions $\varphi_0 = i\psi$ and $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ are determined from the Euler-Lagrange equations¹⁵

$$\left. \frac{\delta W}{\delta \varphi_0(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{\varphi_0 = i\psi} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta W}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} = 0. \quad (102)$$

The first one takes the following form

$$\nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} z_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\beta q_{\alpha} \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (103)$$

where

$$A_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \exp \left[\frac{\beta q_{\alpha}^2}{2} (G_0(0) - G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})) \right]. \quad (104)$$

The second one takes the form of

$$G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \beta \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^2 z_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\beta q_{\alpha} \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (105)$$

Using eq.(95) and inverting the operator G^{-1} , eq. (105) can be rewritten as¹⁵

$$(-\nabla^2 + \varkappa^2(\mathbf{r})) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (106)$$

where

$$\varkappa^2(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon k_B T} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^2 n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (107)$$

and we have introduced the notations for the local concentrations of the ions

$$n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})} = z_{\alpha} e^{-\beta q_{\alpha} \psi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\beta q_{\alpha}^2}{2} (G_0(0) - G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (108)$$

Thus, the GTP of the Coulomb gas can be calculated using the formula²⁴:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = -k_B T W[G; i\psi] = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(-\frac{\varepsilon (\nabla\psi)^2}{8\pi} + \rho_{ext}\psi \right) + k_B T \int d\mathbf{r} (n_+ + n_-) \\ + \int d\mathbf{r} \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}) \int_0^1 d\tau (G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}; \tau) - G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})), \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^2 n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (110)$$

The equations (108) define the chemical equilibrium conditions for ions, which can be rewritten in terms of the equality of chemical potentials

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_{\alpha} + q_{\alpha} \psi + u_{\alpha}, \quad (111)$$

where the intrinsic chemical potentials take the form

$$\bar{\mu}_{\alpha} = k_B T \ln(n_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\alpha}^3) + \frac{q_{\alpha}^2}{2} (G_0(0) - G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})). \quad (112)$$

The intermediate Green's function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \tau)$ satisfies the equation

$$(-\nabla^2 + \tau \varkappa^2(\mathbf{r})) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \tau) = \frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (113)$$

B. Correlation stress tensor of Coulomb gas

Now we would like to formulate a generalization of the method for obtaining the stress tensor in ionic fluids, considering fluctuations of the electrostatic potential. Let us start from expressing the previously determined grand partition function of the Coulomb gas:

$$\Xi = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{C_0} \exp \left[-\beta \mathcal{H}[\varphi] \right], \quad (114)$$

where the field-theoretic Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\varepsilon}{8\pi} \int d\mathbf{r} (\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{r}))^2 - \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{P}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (115)$$

with fluctuating osmotic pressure of the ions

$$\hat{P}(\mathbf{r}) = k_B T \sum_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha} e^{i\beta q_{\alpha} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (116)$$

Note that term $(\rho_{ext}\varphi)$ is dropped out after integration by parts in term $(\varphi G_0^{-1}\varphi)/2$ and using boundary condition for the fluctuating electrostatic potential $-\varepsilon\partial_n\varphi = i\sigma$, with the surface charge of external body and $\partial_n = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla$ is the normal derivative at certain point of the body surface.

First, we consider the case when external potentials are zero, i.e. $u_{\alpha} = 0$. Additionally, we assume that the external charges are surface charges, and their influence is incorporated through the boundary conditions of the self-consistent field equations. Similar to discussed above mean field theory case, we perform a virtual displacement of the system by an infinitesimal vector \mathbf{h} , i.e.

$$x'_k = x_k + h_k, \quad (117)$$

and require the invariance of the grand partition function, i.e.

$$\delta \ln \Xi = -\beta \left\langle \delta \mathcal{H}[\varphi] \right\rangle = 0. \quad (118)$$

Thus, after straightforward transformations, we obtain

$$\partial_i \left\langle \hat{\sigma}_{ik} h_k \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi} h_k \partial_k \varphi \right\rangle, \quad (119)$$

where we have introduced a stress tensor, defined on the fluctuating electrostatic field

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ik} = \frac{\varepsilon}{8\pi} \delta_{ik} \partial_j \varphi \partial_j \varphi - \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \partial_i \varphi \partial_k \varphi - \hat{P} \delta_{ik}. \quad (120)$$

Due to the arbitrariness of h_k we obtain

$$\partial_i \langle \hat{\sigma}_{ik} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi} \partial_k \varphi \right\rangle, \quad (121)$$

where averaging is understood in the usual sense:

$$\langle (\dots) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Xi} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{C_0} \exp \left(-\beta \mathcal{H}[\varphi] \right) (\dots). \quad (122)$$

Further, taking into account the identity^{16,43}

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi(\mathbf{r})} \partial_k \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle = \partial_k \frac{\delta \varphi(\mathbf{r})}{\delta \varphi(\mathbf{r})} = \partial_k \delta(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad (123)$$

we arrive at the condition of mechanical equilibrium of ionic fluid taking into account thermal fluctuations of electrostatic potential

$$\partial_i \langle \hat{\sigma}_{ik} \rangle = 0. \quad (124)$$

In the presence of external fields, $u_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \neq 0$, similar calculations result in the mechanical equilibrium condition

$$\partial_i \langle \hat{\sigma}_{ik} \rangle - \sum_\alpha \langle \hat{n}_\alpha \rangle \partial_k u_\alpha = 0, \quad (125)$$

where

$$\hat{n}_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial u_\alpha} = \bar{z}_\alpha e^{i\beta q_\alpha \varphi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_\alpha(\mathbf{r})} \quad (126)$$

are fluctuating concentrations of the ions.

Let us calculate the stress tensor within the framework of the variational field theory formulated above. For this purpose, let us represent the field variable as $\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = i\psi(\mathbf{r}) + \eta(\mathbf{r})$, where $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is the solution of the equation (103), and η is the fluctuation over which we need to integrate. Thus, we obtain

$$\sigma_{ik} = -P\delta_{ik} + \sigma_{ik}^{(M)} + \sigma_{ik}^{(cor)}, \quad (127)$$

where $\sigma_{ik}^{(M)}$ is already introduced the Maxwell stress tensor (see eq. (65)), $P = \langle \hat{P} \rangle$ is the average osmotic pressure of the ions, and

$$\sigma_{ik}^{(cor)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{8\pi} \delta_{ik} \langle \partial_j \eta \partial_j \eta \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \langle \partial_i \eta \partial_k \eta \rangle \quad (128)$$

is the correlation stress tensor, determined by correlations of fluctuations of electrostatic field components. Using the averaging approximation, determined by the variational field theory

$$\frac{1}{\Xi} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\varphi}{C_0} \exp \left[-\beta \mathcal{H}[\varphi] \right] (\dots) \approx \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\eta}{C} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} (\eta G^{-1} \eta) \right] (\dots), \quad (129)$$

performing functional integration with using Wick's theorem, we arrive at the following correlation stress tensor¹⁵

$$\sigma_{ik}^{(corr)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{il}(\mathbf{r}) \delta_{ik} - \mathcal{D}_{ik}(\mathbf{r}) \right), \quad (130)$$

where we introduce tensor

$$\mathcal{D}_{ik}(\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{\mathbf{r}' \rightarrow \mathbf{r}} \partial_i \partial'_k G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \quad (131)$$

which is simply the autocorrelation function of the fluctuating electrostatic field.

For practical purposes, it is necessary to utilize the regularized tensor

$$\mathcal{D}_{ik}(\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{\mathbf{r}' \rightarrow \mathbf{r}} \partial_i \partial'_k \bar{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad (132)$$

where

$$\bar{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - G_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (133)$$

The osmotic pressure of ions, according to the averaging rule (129), is as follows:

$$P = k_B T \sum_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha} e^{-\frac{\beta q_{\alpha}^2}{2} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) - \beta q_{\alpha} \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \beta u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (134)$$

C. Interaction of charged conductive and dielectric plates in electrolyte solutions

Let us examine the Coulomb gas confined within a slit-like pore with flat charged infinite walls. Let us assume that these walls generate external potentials

$$u_{\alpha}(z) = \phi_{\alpha}(z) + \phi_{\alpha}(H - z), \quad (135)$$

where $\phi_{\alpha}(z)$ represents the potential of the external field acting on the α -th ion at the point $z \in [0, H]$. The identical walls are situated at $z = 0$ and $z = H$. The disjoining pressure is given by the expression

$$\Pi = -\frac{\partial(\Omega/\mathcal{A})}{\partial H} - P_b, \quad (136)$$

where \mathcal{A} represents the total area of the walls' surface. By considering that solutions of self-consistent field equations (103) and (105) minimize the functional $\Omega = -k_B T W[G; \psi]$, we obtain

$$\Pi = -\sum_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z) \phi'_{\alpha}(z) - P_b, \quad (137)$$

where we utilized the relation $n_\alpha(H - z) = n_\alpha(z)$, which follows from the symmetry of the problem, i.e., the identity of the pore walls. Integrating the mechanical equilibrium condition,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{zz}}{dz} - \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}(z)u'_{\alpha}(z) = 0, \quad (138)$$

from $z = H/2$ to $z = \infty$ and considering the condition $\sigma_{zz}(\infty) = 0$, we arrive at

$$-\sigma_{zz}(H/2) = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z)u'_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z) - \sum_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{H/2} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z). \quad (139)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= - \sum_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z) - P_b = - \sum_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{H/2} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z) - \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z) - P_b \\ &= -\sigma_{zz}(H/2) - P_b - 2 \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^{\infty} dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

Thus, given that $n_{\alpha}(z) = 0$ at $z \geq H$ (impermeable wall), we finally obtain the already known expression (see eq. (36))

$$\Pi = P_n - P_b - 2 \sum_{\alpha} \int_{H/2}^H dz n_{\alpha}(z)\phi'_{\alpha}(z), \quad (141)$$

where $P_n = -\sigma_{zz}(H/2)$ as above is the normal pressure in the pore midpoint.

Now let us specify the normal pressure in the middle of the pore. For a slit pore geometry, the Green's function can be expressed as

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}'|z, z'), \quad (142)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is a two-dimensional vector lying in the plane parallel to the pore walls, and the z -axis is perpendicular to the pore wall. Let us examine the two-dimensional Fourier transform:

$$G(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}'|z, z') = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}')} G(p|z, z'), \quad (143)$$

where $G(p|z, z')$ is an even function of \mathbf{p} , depending only on the absolute value $p = |\mathbf{p}|$. Nondiagonal elements of the tensor $\mathcal{D}_{ik}(z)$ are

$$\mathcal{D}_{xy}(z) = \mathcal{D}_{xz}(z) = \mathcal{D}_{yz}(z) = 0, \quad (144)$$

whereas the diagonal ones have the form

$$\mathcal{D}_{xx}(z) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} p_x^2 Q(q, z), \quad \mathcal{D}_{yy}(z) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} p_y^2 Q(q, z), \quad \mathcal{D}_{zz}(z) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{D}_{zz}(q, z), \quad (145)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{zz}(p, z) = k_B T \lim_{z' \rightarrow z} \partial_z \partial_{z'} G(p|z, z'), \quad (146)$$

$$Q(p, z) = k_B T \lim_{z' \rightarrow z} G(p|z, z'), \quad (147)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{D}_u(z) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \left(p^2 Q(p, z) + \mathcal{D}_{zz}(p, z) \right). \quad (148)$$

The Fourier transform of the Green's function, $G(p|z, z')$, can be found from the following equation

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(-\partial_z^2 + p^2 + \varkappa^2(z) \right) G(p|z, z') = \delta(z - z'). \quad (149)$$

Hence, the normal pressure in (141) has the following form

$$P_n = P_m + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{D}_{zz} \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_u \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) \right), \quad (150)$$

where $P_m = P_0(H/2)$ denotes the osmotic pressure of the ions at the midpoint of the pore, and we have also assumed that $\psi'(H/2) = 0$.

Finally, let us estimate the asymptotics of the second term on the right-hand side of equation (150) corresponding to the electrostatic field fluctuations as $H \rightarrow \infty$. In this asymptotic regime, we have $\varkappa(z) \simeq \kappa$, and since

$$G(p|z, z') \simeq \frac{2\pi e^{-\sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2}|z-z'|}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2}}, \quad G_0(p|z, z') = \frac{2\pi e^{-p|z-z'|}}{\varepsilon p}, \quad (151)$$

we obtain¹⁵

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{D}_{zz} \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_u \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) \right) \simeq \frac{k_B T}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty dp p \left(2p - \sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2}} \right) = -\frac{k_B T \kappa^3}{24\pi}, \quad (152)$$

that is, the Debye-Hückel expression, as expected, where κ is the inverse Debye length.

Let us now calculate the disjoining pressure between charged identical walls located at a sufficiently large distance from each other, such that we can neglect the contribution of specific interactions of ions with the walls. We can express it as

$$\Pi = P_n - P_b = P_m + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{D}_{zz} \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_u \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) \right) - P_b. \quad (153)$$

Let us estimate the asymptotic behavior of the disjoining pressure as $H \rightarrow \infty$, where $\varkappa(z) \simeq \kappa$. In this case, the Green's function is defined by the following equation:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(-\partial_z^2 + p^2 + \kappa^2 \right) G(p|z, z') = \delta(z - z'). \quad (154)$$

Conductive walls. First, let us consider the case of metal walls (the case of dielectric walls will be considered below), for which the boundary conditions are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} G(p|0, z') &= G(p|H, z') = 0, \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\partial G(p|z' - 0, z')}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial G(p|z' + 0, z')}{\partial z} \right) &= 1, \\ G(p|z' - 0, z') &= G(p|z' + 0, z'). \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

Such boundary conditions can be explained by the fact that the Green's function can be interpreted as the potential created by unit test point-like charge placed at point z' .

The solution is as follows

$$G(p|z, z') = \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi \sinh \kappa_p z (\cosh \kappa_p z' - \coth \kappa_p H \sinh \kappa_p z')}{\varepsilon \kappa_p}, & z < z', \\ \frac{4\pi \sinh \kappa_p z' (\cosh \kappa_p z - \coth \kappa_p H \sinh \kappa_p z)}{\varepsilon \kappa_p}, & z > z', \end{cases} \quad (156)$$

where $\kappa_p = \sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2}$. Normal pressure in the midpoint of the pore can be determined by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{D}_{zz} \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_u \left(\frac{H}{2} \right) \right) &= \frac{k_B T}{4} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \left(2p - \frac{\kappa_p}{\tanh \frac{\kappa_p H}{2}} - \frac{p^2 \tanh \frac{\kappa_p H}{2}}{\kappa_p} \right) \\ &= \frac{k_B T}{4} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \left(2p - \sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{p^2 + \kappa^2}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{k_B T}{4} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\kappa_p \left(\coth \frac{\kappa_p H}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{p^2}{\kappa_p} \left(\tanh \frac{\kappa_p H}{2} - 1 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (157)$$

Furthermore,

$$P_m \simeq P_0 + \frac{\varepsilon \kappa^2 \psi_m^2}{8\pi} + \delta P_m, \quad (158)$$

where $P_0 = k_B T \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}$ is the bulk pressure without taking into account the electrostatic interactions of the ions, δP_m is its perturbation, arising from the electrostatic field fluctuations, and $\psi_m = \psi(H/2)$

$$\delta P_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^2 n_{\alpha} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \delta G \left(p \left| \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} \right. \right). \quad (159)$$

We also introduce the notation

$$\delta G \left(p \left| \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} \right. \right) = G \left(q \left| \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} \right. \right) - \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} G \left(p \left| \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} \right. \right) = \frac{2\pi (\tanh \frac{\kappa_p H}{2} - 1)}{\varepsilon \kappa_p} \quad (160)$$

and take into account that $n_\alpha = \partial P / \partial \mu_\alpha$. Consequently, considering (157) and

$$P_b = P_0 - \frac{k_B T \kappa^3}{24\pi}, \quad (161)$$

and substituting (158) into the equation (153), we obtain

$$\Pi = \Pi_{MF} + \Pi_{corr}, \quad (162)$$

where the mean-field contribution to the disjoining pressure is determined from the solution of the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation by the well-known expression⁴⁴

$$\Pi_{MF} = \frac{2\pi\sigma^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \left(\frac{\kappa H}{2} \right)} \simeq \frac{8\pi\sigma^2}{\varepsilon} e^{-\kappa H}, \quad (163)$$

whereas the correlation contribution to the disjoining pressure takes the form:

$$\Pi_{corr} = -\frac{k_B T}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \kappa_p (\coth \kappa_p H - 1) = -\frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dp p \frac{\kappa_p}{e^{2\kappa_p H} - 1}. \quad (164)$$

The latter expression yields the following limiting cases

$$\Pi_{corr} \simeq \begin{cases} -\frac{k_B T}{8\pi H^3} \zeta(3), & \kappa H \ll 1 \\ -\frac{k_B T \kappa^2}{4\pi H} e^{-2\kappa H}, & \kappa H \gg 1, \end{cases} \quad (165)$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function, which have been obtained for the first time by Ninham and Yaminsky within a different method⁴⁵. This result have been also obtained more recently within the variational field theory in⁴⁶. Note that the contribution of the correlation to the disjoining pressure includes the contribution of the classical Casimir force, which is caused by thermal fluctuations in the electrostatic field⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷.

Dielectric walls. Now let us consider the case of two identical dielectric walls with dielectric constant ε_w . In this case, the boundary conditions (155) should be replaced by the following ones

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \frac{\partial G(p|+0, z')}{\partial z} &= \varepsilon_w \frac{\partial G(p|-0, z')}{\partial z}, \\ \varepsilon \frac{\partial G(p|H-0, z')}{\partial z} &= \varepsilon_w \frac{\partial G(p|H+0, z')}{\partial z}, \end{aligned} \quad (166)$$

which corresponds to the boundary conditions for the electrostatic potential on the interface of two dielectrics.

Taking into account the latter boundary conditions, the Green's function has the form

$$G(p|z, z') = \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi(\kappa_p \varepsilon \cosh \kappa_p (H-z') + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \sinh \kappa_p (H-z'))(\kappa_p \varepsilon \cosh \kappa_p z + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \sinh \kappa_p z)}{\varepsilon \kappa_p ((\kappa_p^2 \varepsilon^2 + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon)^2) \sinh \kappa_p H + 2\kappa_p \varepsilon (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \cosh \kappa_p H)}, & z < z', \\ \frac{4\pi(\kappa_p \varepsilon \cosh \kappa_p (H-z) + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \sinh \kappa_p (H-z))(\kappa_p \varepsilon \cosh \kappa_p z' + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \sinh \kappa_p z')}{\varepsilon \kappa_p ((\kappa_p^2 \varepsilon^2 + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon)^2) \sinh \kappa_p H + 2\kappa_p \varepsilon (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \cosh \kappa_p H)}, & z > z'. \end{cases} \quad (167)$$

The mean field contribution to disjoining pressure in this case is also determined by eq. (163). After similar, but more cumbersome calculations, the correlation contribution to the disjoining pressure takes the following form:

$$\Pi_{corr} = -\frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dp p \Delta(p; H) \frac{\kappa_p}{e^{2\kappa_p H} - 1}, \quad (168)$$

where

$$\Delta(q; H) = \frac{(\kappa_p \varepsilon - p \varepsilon_w)^2}{\kappa_p^2 \varepsilon^2 + (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon)^2 + 2\kappa_p \varepsilon (\varepsilon_w p + \kappa_p \varepsilon) \coth \kappa_p H}. \quad (169)$$

It is evident that the case of metal walls is encompassed within the formula (168). To derive the formula (164), we need to take the formal limit $\varepsilon_w \rightarrow \infty$ in (168)

IV. CONCLUSION

Despite the milestones achieved using the thermomechanical approach in describing mechanical stresses in liquid-phase electrolytes, there are still several issues that need to be addressed in the near future when it comes to ionic fluids under nano-confinement. First, the thermomechanical approach has been applied to obtain stress tensors within the framework of mean field models of ionic fluids, which are based on 'local' thermodynamic potentials such as free energy functionals containing spatial derivatives of order parameters up to second order. However, it is still unclear how this approach can be extended to more sophisticated theories based on the free energy functionals that depend on weighted order parameters^{38,48,49}, such as the case of hard sphere models⁵⁰. However, progress can be made by considering the case where the weighting function is the Green's function of a certain differential operator. The latter would be considered in detail elsewhere. Furthermore, it was possible to calculate the stress tensor for essentially nonlocal functionals that describe the

fluctuation correction to the mean-field thermodynamic potential within statistical field theory^{15,16}. Second, the thermomechanical approach allows us to calculate mechanical stresses not only in ionic liquids confined in charged pores with a slit geometry (where we can use the contact theorem^{30,32,51}), but also in pores of arbitrary geometry, including those with nonconvex geometries and corrugated walls. Till now, only a couple simple cases have been considered^{3,29}. However, the use of a thermomechanical approach for the self-consistent calculation of local stresses in complex porous materials impregnated with liquid electrolytes holds obvious potential for practical applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thanks the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 24-11-00096) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- ¹C. Koczwar, S. Rumswinkel, C. Prehal, N. Jackel, M. S. Elsasser, H. Amenitsch, V. Presser, N. Husing, and O. Paris, “In situ measurement of electrosorption-induced deformation reveals the importance of micropores in hierarchical carbons,” *ACS applied materials & interfaces* **9**, 23319–23324 (2017).
- ²G. Y. Gor and A. L. Kolesnikov, “What drives deformation of smart nanoporous materials during adsorption and electrosorption?” *Langmuir* (2024).
- ³A. L. Kolesnikov, D. A. Mazur, and Y. A. Budkov, “Electrosorption-induced deformation of a porous electrode with non-convex pore geometry in electrolyte solutions: A theoretical study,” *Europhysics Letters* **140**, 16001 (2022).
- ⁴S. Kondrat, G. Feng, F. Bresme, M. Urbakh, and A. A. Kornyshev, “Theory and simulations of ionic liquids in nanoconfinement,” *Chemical Reviews* **123**, 6668–6715 (2023).
- ⁵A. Kolesnikov, Y. A. Budkov, and G. Gor, “Models of adsorption-induced deformation: ordered materials and beyond,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **34**, 063002 (2021).
- ⁶M. Marinescu, M. Urbakh, T. Barnea, A. Kucernak, and A. Kornyshev, “Electrowetting dynamics facilitated by pulsing,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **114**, 22558–22565 (2010).

- ⁷C. W. Monroe, M. Urbakh, and A. A. Kornyshev, “The distinctive electrowetting properties of ities,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 375113 (2007).
- ⁸K. Shi, E. R. Smith, E. E. Santiso, and K. E. Gubbins, “A perspective on the microscopic pressure (stress) tensor: History, current understanding, and future challenges,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **158**, 040901 (2023).
- ⁹A. I. Rusanov and A. K. Shchekin, “The condition of mechanical equilibrium for a non-spherical interface between phases with a non-diagonal stress tensor,” *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **192**, 357–362 (2001).
- ¹⁰A. Rusanov, A. Shchekin, and V. Varshavskii, “Three-dimensional aspect of the surface tension: An approach based on the total pressure tensor,” *Colloid Journal* **63**, 365–375 (2001).
- ¹¹J. Cormier, J. Rickman, and T. Delph, “Stress calculation in atomistic simulations of perfect and imperfect solids,” *Journal of Applied Physics* **89**, 99–104 (2001).
- ¹²D. Brown and S. Neyertz, “A general pressure tensor calculation for molecular dynamics simulations,” *Molecular Physics* **84**, 577–595 (1995).
- ¹³Y. A. Budkov and A. L. Kolesnikov, “Modified poisson–boltzmann equations and macroscopic forces in inhomogeneous ionic fluids,” *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2022**, 053205 (2022).
- ¹⁴P. E. Brandyshev and Y. A. Budkov, “Noether’s second theorem and covariant field theory of mechanical stresses in inhomogeneous ionic liquids,” *The Journal of chemical physics* **158** (2023).
- ¹⁵Y. A. Budkov and P. E. Brandyshev, “Variational field theory of macroscopic forces in coulomb fluids,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **159** (2023).
- ¹⁶P. E. Brandyshev and Y. A. Budkov, “Statistical field theory of mechanical stresses in coulomb fluids: general covariant approach vs noether’s theorem,” *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2023**, 123206 (2023).
- ¹⁷S. Hermann and M. Schmidt, “Why noether’s theorem applies to statistical mechanics,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **34**, 213001 (2022).
- ¹⁸E. Noether, “Invariant variation problems,” *Transport theory and statistical physics* **1**, 186–207 (1971).
- ¹⁹Y. A. Budkov, N. N. Kalikin, and P. E. Brandyshev, “Surface tension of aqueous electrolyte solutions. a thermomechanical approach,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **160** (2024).

- ²⁰J. Earman and C. Glymour, “Einstein and hilbert: Two months in the history of general relativity,” *Archive for History of Exact Sciences* , 291–308 (1978).
- ²¹S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity* (1972).
- ²²A. Maggs and R. Podgornik, “General theory of asymmetric steric interactions in electrostatic double layers,” *Soft matter* **12**, 1219–1229 (2016).
- ²³M. Z. Bazant, B. D. Storey, and A. A. Kornyshev, “Double layer in ionic liquids: Over-screening versus crowding,” *Physical review letters* **106**, 046102 (2011).
- ²⁴Z.-G. Wang, “Fluctuation in electrolyte solutions: The self energy,” *Physical Review E* **81**, 021501 (2010).
- ²⁵L. Lue, “A variational field theory for solutions of charged, rigid particles,” *Fluid phase equilibria* **241**, 236–247 (2006).
- ²⁶V. A. Vasileva, D. A. Mazur, and Y. A. Budkov, “Theory of electrolyte solutions in a slit charged pore: effects of structural interactions and specific adsorption of ions,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **159** (2023).
- ²⁷Y. A. Budkov and N. N. Kalikin, “Macroscopic forces in inhomogeneous polyelectrolyte solutions,” *Physical Review E* **107**, 024503 (2023).
- ²⁸Y. A. Budkov and N. N. Kalikin, “Dielectric mismatch effects on polyelectrolyte solutions in electrified nanopores: Insights from mean-field theory,” *Polym. Sci. Ser. C* (2023).
- ²⁹H. Ruixuan, A. Majee, J. Dobnikar, and R. Podgornik, “Electrostatic interactions between charge regulated spherical macroions,” *The European Physical Journal E* **46**, 115 (2023).
- ³⁰S. Buyukdagli, “Impact of the inner solute structure on the electrostatic mean-field and strong-coupling regimes of macromolecular interactions,” *Physical Review E* **107**, 064604 (2023).
- ³¹Y. Jho, M. Kanduč, A. Naji, R. Podgornik, M.-W. Kim, and P. Pincus, “Strong-coupling electrostatics in the presence of dielectric inhomogeneities,” *Physical review letters* **101**, 188101 (2008).
- ³²D. Dean and R. Horgan, “Field theoretic derivation of the contact value theorem in planar geometries and its modification by the casimir effect,” *Physical Review E* **68**, 061106 (2003).
- ³³A. G. Moreira and R. R. Netz, “Binding of similarly charged plates with counterions only,” *Physical review letters* **87**, 078301 (2001).

- ³⁴V. M. Kendon, M. E. Cates, I. Pagonabarraga, J.-C. Desplat, and P. Bladon, “Inertial effects in three-dimensional spinodal decomposition of a symmetric binary fluid mixture: a lattice boltzmann study,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **440**, 147–203 (2001).
- ³⁵M. Krüger, A. Solon, V. Démery, C. M. Rohwer, and D. S. Dean, “Stresses in non-equilibrium fluids: Exact formulation and coarse-grained theory,” *The Journal of chemical physics* **148** (2018).
- ³⁶L. D. Landau, L. Pitaevskii, A. M. Kosevich, and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of elasticity: volume 7*, Vol. 7 (Elsevier, 2012).
- ³⁷B. Derjaguin, N. Churaev, and V. Muller, “The derjaguin-landau-verwey-overbeek (dlvo) theory of stability of lyophobic colloids,” in *Surface Forces* (Springer, 1987) pp. 293–310.
- ³⁸J. P. de Souza, Z. A. Goodwin, M. McEldrew, A. A. Kornyshev, and M. Z. Bazant, “Interfacial layering in the electric double layer of ionic liquids,” *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 116001 (2020).
- ³⁹R. Blossey and R. Podgornik, “A comprehensive continuum theory of structured liquids,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **56**, 025002 (2022).
- ⁴⁰R. Blossey and R. Podgornik, “Continuum theories of structured dielectrics,” *Europhysics Letters* **139**, 27002 (2022).
- ⁴¹R. Blossey and R. Podgornik, “Field theory of structured liquid dielectrics,” *Physical Review Research* **4**, 023033 (2022).
- ⁴²J. G. Hedley, H. Berthoumieux, and A. A. Kornyshev, “The dramatic effect of water structure on hydration forces and the electrical double layer,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **127**, 8429–8447 (2023).
- ⁴³S. Buyukdagli, “Schwinger-dyson equations for composite electrolytes governed by mixed electrostatic couplings strengths,” *The Journal of chemical physics* **152** (2020).
- ⁴⁴J.-L. Barrat and J.-P. Hansen, *Basic concepts for simple and complex liquids* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- ⁴⁵B. W. Ninham and V. Yaminsky, “Ion binding and ion specificity: the hofmeister effect and onsager and lifshitz theories,” *Langmuir* **13**, 2097–2108 (1997).
- ⁴⁶M. M. Hatlo and L. Lue, “The role of image charges in the interactions between colloidal particles,” *Soft Matter* **4**, 1582–1596 (2008).
- ⁴⁷I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, “The general theory of van der waals forces,” *Advances in Physics* **10**, 165–209 (1961).

- ⁴⁸J. P. de Souza, K. Pivnic, M. Z. Bazant, M. Urbakh, and A. A. Kornyshev, “Structural forces in ionic liquids: The role of ionic size asymmetry,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **126**, 1242–1253 (2022).
- ⁴⁹J. P. de Souza, A. A. Kornyshev, and M. Z. Bazant, “Polar liquids at charged interfaces: A dipolar shell theory,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **156** (2022).
- ⁵⁰R. Roth, “Fundamental measure theory for hard-sphere mixtures: a review,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **22**, 063102 (2010).
- ⁵¹J. P. Mallarino, G. Téllez, and E. Trizac, “The contact theorem for charged fluids: from planar to curved geometries,” *Molecular Physics* **113**, 2409–2427 (2015).