THE LINEAR TARGETING PROBLEM

KYLE BIERLY, STEPHAN RAMON GARCIA, AND ROGER A. HORN

ABSTRACT. For given real or complex $m \times n$ data matrices X, Y, we investigate when there is a matrix A such that AX = Y, and A is invertible, Hermitian, positive (semi)definite, unitary, an orthogonal projection, a reflection, complex symmetric, or normal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *linear targeting problem* is to construct an $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y, in which $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ are given and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We say that X is the *source*, Y is the *target*, and A is a *targeting matrix*. If there is an $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ with property \mathcal{P} such that AX = Y, we say that A solves the \mathcal{P} -*targeting problem for* X *and* Y. In this paper, we consider the following properties: invertible, Hermitian, positive (semi)definite, unitary, orthogonal projection, reflection, complex symmetric, and normal.

If AX = Y, then null $X \subseteq$ null Y, so this condition (or something that implies it) is found in all of our results. The invertible linear targeting problem is just the row equivalence problem, which has a solution if and only if null X = null Y [1, Theorem 4.4.1].

If m < n and AX = Y, then after a simultaneous permutation of the columns of *X* and *Y* we may assume that $X = [X_1 X_2]$, $Y = [Y_1 Y_2]$, $X_1, Y_1 \in M_{m \times k}(\mathbb{F})$, $k \le m$, and $\operatorname{col} X_2 \subseteq \operatorname{col} X_1$. For example, we could choose $k = \operatorname{rank} X$, but any k between rank *X* and *m* will do. Since there is some $B \in M_{k \times (n-k)}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $X_2 = X_1B$ [1, Theorem 1.6.21.a], we have $AX_1 = Y_1$, from which it follows that $AX_2 = A(X_1B) = Y_1B = Y_2$. Thus, in considering the linear targeting problem AX = Y with $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, it suffices to consider only the case $m \ge n \ge 1$.

For any $Z \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ ($m \times n$ matrices over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), Z^* is the *conjugate* transpose of Z and Z^+ is its Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse; see [1, § 17.4] or [2, 7.3.P7]. The Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is denoted by $\|\mathbf{x}\| = (\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, we write $A \ge 0$ (respectively, A > 0) if $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is positive semidefinite (respectively, positive definite), that is, A is Hermitian and $\mathbf{u}^*A\mathbf{u} \ge 0$ (respectively, $\mathbf{u}^*A\mathbf{u} > 0$) for all nonzero $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. If $B, S \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and S is invertible, then S^*BS is **congruent* to B. If B is, respectively, invertible, Hermitian, or positive semidefinite, then S^*BS has the same respective properties.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A06, 15A10, 15A24.

Key words and phrases. data mapping, source and target matrices, constrained systems of linear equations.

SRG partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2054002.

2. Unconstrained linear targeting

A linear targeting problem need not have a solution. For example, AX = Y is impossible if

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since null $X \subseteq$ null(AX), it is necessary that null $X \subseteq$ null Y. A computation with pseudoinverses shows that this condition permits us to identify all solutions of an unconstrained linear targeting problem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and null $X \subseteq$ null Y.

- (a) $(YX^{\dagger})X = Y$.
- (b) If $Z \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and $A = YX^{\dagger} + Z(I XX^{\dagger})$, then AX = Y.
- (c) If $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and AX = Y, then there is a $Z \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A = YX^{\dagger} + Z(I XX^{\dagger})$.

Proof. (a) The hypothesis null $X \subseteq$ null Y is equivalent to the condition col $Y^* \subseteq$ col X^* . Since $X^{\dagger}X$ is the orthogonal projection (necessarily Hermitian) onto col X^* , we have $X^{\dagger}XY^* = Y^*$, and hence $Y = YX^{\dagger}X = (YX^{\dagger})X$.

(b) Compute

$$AX = (YX^{\dagger} + Z(I - XX^{\dagger}))X$$

= $YX^{\dagger}X + Z(X - XX^{\dagger}X)$
= $Y + Z(X - X) = Y.$

(c) If AX = Y, then

$$A - YX^{\dagger} = (A - YX^{\dagger})(I - XX^{\dagger} + XX^{\dagger})$$

= $(A - YX^{\dagger})(I - XX^{\dagger}) + (A - YX^{\dagger})XX^{\dagger}$
= $(A - YX^{\dagger})(I - XX^{\dagger}) + (AX - (YX^{\dagger})X)X^{\dagger}$
= $(A - YX^{\dagger})(I - XX^{\dagger}) + (Y - Y)X^{\dagger}$
= $(A - YX^{\dagger})(I - XX^{\dagger}) = Z(I - XX^{\dagger}),$

in which $Z = A - YX^{\dagger}$.

The following theorem re-examines the unconstrained linear targeting problem in the context of a singular value decomposition of the data matrix X. Its proof introduces notation and relations that we use throughout our discussion. If X =0, the linear targeting problem has a solution if and only if Y = 0, so this case is not interesting.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is an $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if null $X \subseteq$ null Y.

Proof. (
$$\Rightarrow$$
) If $\mathbf{u} \in \text{null } X$, then $Y\mathbf{u} = AX\mathbf{u} = A\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$.

(\Leftarrow) Let rank $X = r \ge 1$ and let $X = V\Sigma W^*$ be a singular value decomposition, in which $V \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and $W \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ are unitary,

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}),$$
(1)

 $r \ge 1$, and $\Sigma_r \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonal, invertible, and positive definite. Partition $W = [W_1 \ W_2]$ and $V = [V_1 \ V_2]$, in which $W_1 \in M_{n \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ and $V_1 \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$. If X has full rank, the block column of zeros in (1) is absent, $W = W_1$, and W_2 is absent. If r < n, the columns of W_2 are an orthonormal basis for null X and the columns of W_1 are an orthonormal basis for $(\operatorname{null} X)^{\perp} = \operatorname{col} X^*$. Since null $X \subseteq \operatorname{null} Y$, we have $YW_2 = 0$.

If there is a $B \in M_m$ such that

$$B\Sigma = V^* Y W, \tag{2}$$

then $YW = VB\Sigma = (VBV^*)(V\Sigma W^*)W = (VBV^*)XW$ and hence $(VBV^*)X = Y.$

Thus, $A = VBV^*$ solves the unconstrained targeting problem for *X* and *Y*. We can partition the right side of (2) in several ways:

$$V^{*}YW = V^{*}Y[W_{1} \ W_{2}] = V^{*}[YW_{1} \ YW_{2}] = [V^{*}YW_{1} \ 0]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} V_{1}^{*}YW_{1} & 0\\ V_{2}^{*}YW_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} & 0\\ Z_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}),$$
(3)

in which the three matrices $Z = V^*YW_1 \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$, $Z_1 = V_1^*YW_1 \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$, and $Z_2 = V_2^*YW_1 \in M_{(m-r) \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ are determined by the data *X* and *Y*. Define $B_1 = Z\Sigma_r^{-1} \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ and let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z\Sigma_r^{-1} & B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1\Sigma_r^{-1} & R \\ Z_2\Sigma_r^{-1} & S \end{bmatrix},$$
(4)

in which $R \in M_{r \times (m-r)}(\mathbb{F})$ and $S \in M_{m-r}(\mathbb{F})$ are arbitrary; they (and B_2) are absent if *X* has full rank. Then

$$B\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} Z\Sigma_r^{-1} & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & 0 \end{bmatrix} = V^*YW,$$

so (2) is satisfied for any choice of B_2 .

If a property \mathcal{P} is invariant under unitary similarity, the preceding theorem shows that the \mathcal{P} -targeting problem is equivalent to a matrix completion problem. The source and target matrices determine B_1 ; if we can choose B_2 so that B (and hence also VBV^*) has property \mathcal{P} , then the \mathcal{P} -targeting problem has a solution. For example, if \mathcal{P} is the property that A is invertible, then B must be invertible and hence the data must ensure that B_1 has full rank. The following corollary reveals a familiar criterion for row equivalence of two matrices; see [1, Theorem 4.4.1 and P.8.30].

Corollary 2.3. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is an invertible $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if null X = null Y.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If Y = AX and A is invertible, then $X = A^{-1}Y$. Consequently, null $X \subseteq$ null Y and null $Y \subseteq$ null X, so null X = null Y.

(\Leftarrow) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X \ge 1$. There is a choice of B_2 in (4) that makes B invertible if and only if rank $B_1 = r$. If null $X = \operatorname{null} Y$, then rank $X = \operatorname{rank} Y$, and the relations

$$\operatorname{rank} Y = \operatorname{rank}(V^*YW) = \operatorname{rank}[Z \ 0] = \operatorname{rank} Z = \operatorname{rank}(Z\Sigma_r^{-1}) = \operatorname{rank} B_1 \quad (5)$$
ensure that rank $B_1 = r$.

3. Two Lemmas

With the notation in the preceding section, the following lemma memorializes some consequences of the basic assumption null $X \subseteq$ null Y.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. If null $X \subseteq$ null Y, then X^*Y is unitarily similar to $\Sigma_r Z_1 \oplus 0_{n-r}$, in which $Z_1 = V_1^*YW_1$.

Proof. Compute

$$W^*(X^*Y)W = W^*(W\Sigma V^*)YW = \Sigma(V^*YW) = \Sigma[Z \ 0]$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 0\\ Z_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r Z_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \Box$$
(6)

In our computations with block matrices, we require the three types of Schur complements in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $H \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$, $L \in M_{(m-r) \times r}(\mathbb{F})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} H & L^* \\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_m(\mathbb{F}).$$

- (a) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then B is *congruent to $(H \lambda^{-1}L^*L) \oplus (\lambda I_{m-r})$.
- (b) If H is Hermitian and invertible, then B is *congruent to $H \oplus (\lambda I_{m-r} LH^{-1}L^*)$.
- (c) If H is Hermitian and null $H \subseteq$ null L, then B is *congruent to $H \oplus (\lambda I_{m-r} LH^{\dagger}L^{*}$).

Proof. (a) Compute the *congruence

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_r & -\lambda^{-1}L^* \\ 0 & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H & L^* \\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ -\lambda^{-1}L & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H - \lambda^{-1}L^*L & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) The product

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0\\ -LH^{-1} & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H & L^*\\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & -H^{-1}L^*\\ 0 & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0\\ 0 & \lambda I_{m-r} - LH^{-1}L^* \end{bmatrix}$$

is a *congruence since *H* is Hermitian.

(c) Since $I - H^{\dagger}H$ is the orthogonal projection onto null H and null $H \subseteq$ null L, we have $L(I_{m-r} - H^{\dagger}H) = 0$ and the product

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0\\ -LH^{\dagger} & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H & L^*\\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & -H^{\dagger}L^*\\ 0 & I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0\\ 0 & \lambda I_{m-r} - LH^{\dagger}L^* \end{bmatrix}$$
ongruence.

is a *congruence.

4. Hermitian targeting

Theorem 4.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is a Hermitian $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if null $X \subseteq$ null Y and X^*Y is Hermitian.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If AX = Y and A is Hermitian, then null $X \subseteq$ null Y and

 $(X^*Y)^* = (X^*AX)^* = X^*AX = X^*Y.$

(\Leftarrow) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. It suffices to show that there is a choice of B_2 in (4) such that B is Hermitian. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} & (Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1})^* \\ Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1} & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(7)

in which $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since X^*Y is Hermitian, (6) ensures that $\Sigma_r Z_1$ is Hermitian, which implies that $\Sigma_r^{-*}(\Sigma_r Z_1)\Sigma_r^{-1} = Z_1\Sigma_r^{-1}$ is Hermitian and hence *B* is Hermitian.

Corollary 4.2. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is an invertible Hermitian $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if null X = null Y and X^*Y is Hermitian.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) It follows from Theorem 4.1 that X^*Y is Hermitian and null $X \subseteq$ null Y. Since $X = A^{-1}Y$, it follows that null $Y \subseteq$ null X, so null X = null Y.

(\Leftarrow) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. The rank-nullity theorem ensures that $\operatorname{rank} Y = r$ since dim null $X = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null} Y$. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} & (Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1})^* \\ Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1} & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H & L^* \\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Hermitian matrix defined in (7), in which $H = Z_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$ is Hermitian, $L = Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1} \in M_{(m-r) \times r}(\mathbb{F})$, $B_1 \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero. Then (5) ensures that B_1 has full column rank, and hence

$$\operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null}(L^*L) = \operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null} L = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$
(8)

Lemma 3.2.a implies that

$$\det B = (\det(\lambda I_{m-r}))(\det(H - \lambda^{-1}L^*L)) = \lambda^{m-r}\det(H - \lambda^{-1}L^*L).$$

We need to show that there is some real nonzero λ such that $\det(H - \lambda^{-1}L^*L) \neq 0$. Consider the analytic function $f(z) = \det(H - z^{-1}L^*L)$ on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. If $f(\lambda) = 0$ for every real $\lambda \neq 0$, then f(z) = 0 for every $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. To show that $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ for some real nonzero λ , it suffices to show that $f(i) \neq 0$. If f(i) = 0, there is a nonzero $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $(H + iL^*L)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$. However,

$$(H + iL^*L)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{u}^*H\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{u}^*L^*L\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^*(H + iL^*L)\mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\implies \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{u}^*H\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{u}^*L^*L\mathbf{u}) = 0$$
$$\implies (L\mathbf{u})^*(L\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}^*L^*L\mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\implies L\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies H\mathbf{u} = (H + iL^*L)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies \operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null} L \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

which contradicts (8). We conclude that *B* is invertible and Hermitian for some real nonzero λ .

If a nonzero $Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is given, what are all the $X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that Y = AX for some Hermitian *A*?

Corollary 4.3. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $r = \operatorname{rank} Y \ge 1$. Let $Y = V\Sigma W^*$ be a singular value decomposition, in which

$$V^*YW = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$$

and $\Sigma_r \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonal and positive definite. Let $Z = V^*XW$ and partition

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

conformally to Σ . Let $Z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} \\ Z_{21} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F}).$

- (a) $X^*Y = Y^*X$ if and only if $Z_{12} = 0$ and $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^*\Sigma_r$.
- (b) If $Z_{12} = 0$, $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^* \Sigma_r$, rank $Z_1 = r$, and $(Z_{21} \operatorname{null} Z_{11}) \cap \operatorname{col} Z_{22} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then there is a Hermitian $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y.
- (c) If $Z_{12} = 0$, $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^* \Sigma_r$, and Z_{11} is invertible, then for each choice of $Z_{21} \in M_{(m-r)\times r}(\mathbb{F})$ and $Z_{22} \in M_{(m-r)\times (n-r)}(\mathbb{F})$ there is a Hermitian $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y.

Proof. (a) A computation reveals that X^*Y is Hermitian if and only if

$$\begin{split} X^*Y &= Y^*X \iff X^*V\Sigma W^* = W\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}V^*X \\ \iff & (W^*X^*V)\Sigma = \Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}(V^*XW) \\ \iff & \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11}^* & Z_{21}^* \\ Z_{12}^* & Z_{22}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ \iff & \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11}^*\Sigma_r & 0 \\ Z_{12}^*\Sigma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r Z_{11} & \Sigma_r Z_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, X^*Y is Hermitian if and only if $Z_{12} = 0$ and $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^* \Sigma_r$.

(b) We claim that null $X \subseteq$ null Y if and only if null $Z \subseteq$ null Σ . To validate this claim, suppose that null $X \subseteq$ null Y. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{null} Z \implies \mathbf{0} = Z\mathbf{u} = (V^*XW)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies X(W\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies Y(W\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies V^*Y(W\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\implies \mathbf{0} = (V^*YW)\mathbf{u} = \Sigma\mathbf{u}$$
$$\implies \mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{null} \Sigma,$$

from which we conclude that null $Z \subseteq$ null Σ . The converse follows from a parallel argument. Now assume that $Z_{12} = 0$ and $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^* \Sigma_r$, which ensures that $X^*Y = Y^*X$. Let $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$, in which $\mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbb{F}^r$. Then $\mathbf{u} \in$ null Σ if and only if $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{0}$. If rank $Z_1 = r$ and there is a $\mathbf{u} \in$ null Z such that $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$, then

$$\mathbf{0} = Z\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & 0 \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11}\mathbf{u}_1 \\ Z_{21}\mathbf{u}_1 + Z_{22}\mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ Z_{21}\mathbf{u}_1 + Z_{22}\mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since rank $Z_1 = r$, it follows that $Z_{21}\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $Z_{22}\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and hence $(Z_{21} \operatorname{null} Z_{11}) \cap \operatorname{col} Z_{22} \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$. We conclude that null $Z \subseteq \operatorname{null} \Sigma$.

(c) If Z_{11} is invertible, then rank $Z_1 = r$ and null $Z_{11} = \{0\}$, so $(Z_{21} \text{ null } Z_{11}) \cap \operatorname{col} Z_{22} \subseteq Z_{21} \text{ null } Z_{11} = \{0\}$.

5. Positive semidefinite targeting

We now investigate the positive semidefinite and positive definite targeting problems. An important property of positive semidefinite matrices *A* is that $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{u}^*A\mathbf{u} = 0$; see [1, Corollary 15.1.18] or [2, Observation 7.1.6].

Theorem 5.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is a positive semidefinite $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if $X^*Y \ge 0$ and null Y = null(X^*Y).

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If AX = Y and $A \ge 0$, then $X^*Y = X^*AX$, which is positive semidefinite. To verify the assertion about null spaces, use the fact that $X^*Y \ge 0$ and observe that

$$\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{null}(X^*Y) \implies (X^*Y)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{u}^*(X^*Y)\mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\implies \mathbf{u}^*(X^*AX)\mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\implies (X\mathbf{u})^*A(X\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\implies A(X\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\implies Y\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{null} Y.$$

(⇐) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. Since X^*Y is Hermitian, we have $\operatorname{null} X \subseteq \operatorname{null}(Y^*X) = \operatorname{null}(X^*Y) = \operatorname{null} Y$, so $\operatorname{null} X \subseteq \operatorname{null} Y$. The hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, and its proof shows that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} & (Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1})^* \\ Z_2 \Sigma_r^{-1} & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H & L^* \\ L & \lambda I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

is Hermitian for any $\lambda > 0$. The identity (6) and the assumption $X^*Y \ge 0$ imply that $\Sigma_r Z_1 \ge 0$, so $\Sigma_r^{-*}(\Sigma_r Z_1)\Sigma_r^{-1} = Z_1\Sigma_r^{-1} = H \ge 0$. The hypothesis null $Y = \text{null}(X^*Y)$, the fact that $H \ge 0$, and (5) ensure that

$$\operatorname{null} H = \operatorname{null} B_1 = \operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null} L = \operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null}(L^*L),$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{null} H = \operatorname{null} B_1 = \operatorname{null} H \cap \operatorname{null} L \subseteq \operatorname{null} L.$$
(10)

Let $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ be the largest eigenvalue of the positive semidefinite matrix $LH^{\dagger}L^*$. We claim that $B \geq 0$ if $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$. Lemma 3.2.c and (10) ensure that B is *congruent to $H \oplus (\lambda I_{m-r} - LH^{\dagger}L^*)$, which is positive semidefinite if $\lambda I_{m-r} - LH^{\dagger}L^* \geq 0$. If $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^{m-r}$, then

$$\mathbf{x}^*(\lambda I_{m-r} - LH^{\dagger}L^*)\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*(LH^{\dagger}L^*)\mathbf{x} \ge (\lambda - \lambda_1)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \ge 0,$$

which validates our claim.

Corollary 5.2. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is a positive definite $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if $X^*Y \ge 0$, null $Y = \text{null}(X^*Y)$, and rank Y = rank X.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ is positive definite and AX = Y, then A is invertible and rank $X = \operatorname{rank} Y$. Moreover, null $Y = \operatorname{null}(X^*Y)$ and $X^*Y \ge 0$, as in the preceding theorem.

(\Leftarrow) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. Since $\operatorname{rank} Y = \operatorname{rank} X$ and $\operatorname{null} Y = \operatorname{null}(X^*Y)$, it follows that

$$r = \operatorname{rank} Y = \operatorname{rank} (X^*Y) = \operatorname{rank} Z_1 = \operatorname{rank} H.$$

Thus, $H \ge 0$ (as in the preceding theorem), $H \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$ is invertible, and H is positive definite. Lemma 3.2.b ensures that B in (9) is *congruent to $H \oplus (\lambda I_{n-r} - LH^{-1}L^*)$, which is positive definite if λ is greater than the largest eigenvalue of $LH^{-1}L^*$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and rank X = n. There is a positive definite $A \in M_m$ such that AX = Y if and only if $X^*Y > 0$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ is positive definite and AX = Y, then $X^*Y = X^*AX$, which is positive definite since X has full rank.

(⇐) If $X^*Y > 0$, then $n = \operatorname{rank}(X^*Y) \le \operatorname{rank} Y$, so $\operatorname{rank} Y = n$ and $\operatorname{null} Y = \{\mathbf{0}\} = \operatorname{null}(X^*Y)$. The preceding corollary ensures that there is a positive definite $A \in M_m$ such that AX = Y.

6. UNITARY TARGETING

The unitary targeting problem generalizes the familiar fact that, for given $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$, there is a unitary $U \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\mathbf{y} = U\mathbf{x}$ if and only if $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is a unitary $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if $X^*X = Y^*Y$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If Y = AX and A is unitary, then $Y^*Y = (AX)^*(AX) = X^*(A^*A)X = X^*IX = X^*X$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. If $X^*X = Y^*Y$, then null $X = \operatorname{null} Y$ [1, Theorem 15.1.9] and (3) ensures that $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies (2) with $B_1 = Z\Sigma_r^{-1}$. It suffices to show that B_1 has orthonormal columns, since we may then choose B_2 to make B unitary; see [1, Corollary 6.3.14]. Observe that

$$W^*(Y^*Y)W = (V^*YW)^*(V^*YW) = [Z \ 0]^*[Z \ 0] = \begin{bmatrix} Z^*Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also have

$$W^*(X^*X)W = \Sigma^*\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $X^*X = Y^*Y$, we conclude that $Z^*Z = \Sigma_r^2$ and hence

$$I_r = (Z\Sigma_r^{-1})^* (Z\Sigma_r^{-1}) = B_1^* B_1,$$

that is, B_1 has orthonormal columns.

The polar decomposition [1, § 16.3] or [2, § 7.3] provides an alternative approach to the unitary targeting problem. If $X^*X = Y^*Y$ and $Q = (X^*X)^{1/2}$, then $X = U_1Q$ and $Y = V_1Q$, in which $U_1, V_1 \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ have orthonormal columns. If $U = [U_1 \ U_2]$ and $V = [V_1 \ V_2]$ are unitary, then VU^* is unitary and

$$(VU^*)X = (VU^*)U_1Q = V(U^*U_1)Q = [V_1 \ V_2] \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} Q = V_1Q = Y.$$

8

If *X* and *Y* do not have the same numbers of columns, there is an interesting story to be told about the identity $X^*X = Y^*Y$. For details, examples, and a historical review, see [3].

7. Reflection Targeting

A matrix $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ is a *reflection* if it is a Hermitian involution ($A = A^*$ and $A^2 = I$), or, equivalently, if it is Hermitian and unitary. We now consider the reflection targeting problem.

Theorem 7.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $r = \operatorname{rank} Y \ge 1$. There is a reflection $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if X^*Y is Hermitian and $X^*X = Y^*Y$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If *A* is a reflection and AX = Y, then *A* is Hermitian and so is $X^*Y = X^*AX$. Since *A* is unitary, $Y^*Y = (AX)^*AX = X^*A^*AX = X^*IX = X^*X$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $X^*Y = Y^*X$ and $X^*X = Y^*Y$. Let E = X + Y and F = X - Y, so that $X = \frac{1}{2}(E + F)$ and $Y = \frac{1}{2}(E - F)$. Let $P = F(F^*F)^{\dagger}F^*$, which is the (necessarily Hermitian) orthogonal projection onto col *F*. Define the Hermitian matrix A = I - 2P, which is a reflection since

$$A^2 = (I - 2P)^2 = I - 4P + 4P^2 = I - 4P + 4P = I.$$

Observe that col *E* is orthogonal to col *F* since

2

$$E^*F = (X + Y)^*(X - Y) = (X^*X - Y^*Y) + (Y^*X - X^*Y) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Therefore, PE = 0 and PF = F. Consequently,

$$AX = \frac{1}{2}(I - 2P)(E + F) = \frac{1}{2}(E + F - 2PE - 2PF)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(E + F - 0 - 2F) = \frac{1}{2}(E - F) = Y.$$

For a given nonzero $Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, the following corollary shows how to construct all the $X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that Y = AX for some reflection A.

Corollary 7.2. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $r = \operatorname{rank} Y \ge 1$. Let $Y = V\Sigma W^*$ be a singular value decomposition, in which

$$V^*YW = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$$

and $\Sigma_r \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonal and positive definite. There is a reflection $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if

$$V^* X W = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ U_{21} \Sigma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(11)

in which $U_{11} \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$ is Hermitian and $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \\ U_{21} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ has orthonormal columns.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Partition

$$W^*XW = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

conformally to Σ . Since X^*Y is Hermitian, Corollary 4.3 ensures that $Z_{12} = 0$ and $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^* \Sigma_r$. Since $X^*X = Y^*Y$, we have

$$W^{*}X^{*}XW = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11}^{*} & Z_{21}^{*} \\ 0 & Z_{22}^{*} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & 0 \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11}^{*}Z_{11} + Z_{21}^{*}Z_{21} & Z_{21}^{*}Z_{22} \\ Z_{22}^{*}Z_{21} & Z_{22}^{*}Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{r}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)
$$= W^{*}Y^{*}YW.$$

A comparison of entries in (12) reveals that $Z_{22}^*Z_{22} = 0$, and hence $Z_{22} = 0$. If we partition

$$V^*XW = [Z_1 \ 0],$$

another comparison of entries shows that $Z_1^*Z_1 = \Sigma_r^2$. It follows from the polar decomposition that $Z_1 = U_1\Sigma_r$, in which $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \\ U_{21} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ has orthonormal columns. The condition $\Sigma_r Z_{11} = Z_{11}^*\Sigma_r$ ensures that $\Sigma_r U_{11}\Sigma_r = \Sigma_r U_{11}^*\Sigma_r$, so U_{11} is Hermitian.

(\Leftarrow) If *V*^{*}*XW* can be partitioned as in (11), then

$$W^*(X^*X)W = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r U_1^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \Sigma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r U_1^* U_1 \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = W^*(Y^*Y)W,$$

which shows that $X^*X = Y^*Y$. Also,

$$W^*(X^*Y)W = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r U_{11}^* & \Sigma_r U_{21}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r U_{11}^* \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r U_{11} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is Hermitian, which shows that X^*Y is Hermitian. The preceding theorem now ensures that there is a reflection $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y.

One consequence of Theorem 7.1 is that, for given $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there is a reflection $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ if and only if $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|$ and $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y}$ is real; the latter requirement is superfluous if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. In fact, A may be chosen to be a scalar multiple of a Householder matrix in this case. Our proof of Theorem 7.1 constructs a reflection matrix that is a natural analog of a Householder matrix.

8. Orthogonal Projection Targeting

A computation with the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse provides a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be a solution to the orthogonal projection targeting problem.

Theorem 8.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $Y \ne 0$. There is an orthogonal projection $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if $Y^*X = Y^*Y$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If Y = AX, A is Hermitian, and $A^2 = A$, then

$$Y^*Y = (AX)^*(AX) = X^*A^*AX = X^*A^2X = X^*(AX) = X^*Y.$$

(⇐) If
$$Y^*X = Y^*Y$$
, let $A = Y(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}Y^*$. Then A is Hermitian and

$$A^{2} = Y(Y^{*}Y)^{\dagger}(Y^{*}Y)(Y^{*}Y)^{\dagger}Y^{*} = Y(Y^{*}Y)^{\dagger}Y^{*} = A,$$

so *A* is an orthogonal projection. Since $(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}(Y^*Y)$ is the orthogonal projection on $\operatorname{col}(Y^*Y)^* = \operatorname{col}(Y^*Y) = \operatorname{col} Y^*$, it follows that $(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}(Y^*Y)Y^* = Y^*$ and hence $Y = Y(Y^*Y)(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}$. Therefore,

$$AX = Y(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}(Y^*X) = Y(Y^*Y)^{\dagger}(Y^*Y) = Y.$$

In the preceding theorem, it is not necessary to assume that null $X \subseteq$ null Y because the given hypothesis ensures that

$$\operatorname{null} X \subseteq \operatorname{null}(Y^*X) = \operatorname{null}(Y^*Y) = \operatorname{null} Y.$$

We can use a singular value decomposition to identify all solutions *X* to the orthogonal projection targeting problem AX = Y for a given *Y*.

Corollary 8.2. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $r = \operatorname{rank} Y \ge 1$. Let $Y = V\Sigma W^*$ be a singular value decomposition, in which

$$V^*YW = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$$

and $\Sigma_r \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonal and positive definite. Partition

$$V^*XW = Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

conformally to Σ . There is an orthogonal projection $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that AX = Y if and only if

$$V^*XW = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
,

in which $Z_{21} \in M_{(m-r)\times r}(\mathbb{F})$ and $Z_{22} \in M_{(m-r)\times (n-r)}(\mathbb{F})$.

Proof. Compare entries in

$$Y^*Y = Y^*X \iff W\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma W^* = W\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}V^*VZW^*$$
$$\iff \Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma = \Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}Z$$
$$\iff \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_rZ_{11} & \Sigma_rZ_{12}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

9. Complex symmetric targeting

A real symmetric matrix is Hermitian, but a complex symmetric matrix need not even be normal. However, there is an analog of Theorem 4.1 for complex symmetric targeting.

Theorem 9.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $m \ge n \ge 1$ and $X \ne 0$. There is a complex symmetric $A \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that AX = Y if and only if null $X \subseteq$ null Y and X^TY is complex symmetric.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If Y = AX and A is complex symmetric, then null $X \subseteq$ null Y, $X^{\mathsf{T}}AX$ is complex symmetric, and $X^{\mathsf{T}}Y = X^{\mathsf{T}}AX = (X^{\mathsf{T}}Y)^{\mathsf{T}}$.

(⇐) Let rank $X = r \ge 1$ and adopt the notation in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $X = V\Sigma W^*$ be a singular value decomposition. If there is a complex symmetric $F \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F\Sigma = V^\mathsf{T} Y W$, then

$$\overline{V}(F\Sigma)W^* = \overline{V}(V^{\mathsf{T}}YW)W^* = Y$$

and

$$Y = \overline{V}(F\Sigma)W^* = (\overline{V}FV^*)(V\Sigma W^*) = (\overline{V}FV^*)X$$

Thus, $A = \overline{V}FV^*$ is complex symmetric and AX = Y. If null $X \subseteq$ null Y, then $YW_2 = 0$ and

$$V^{\mathsf{T}}YW = \begin{bmatrix} V^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Partition $F = [F_1 \ F_2]$ with

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{\mathsf{T}} Y W_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} \\ V_2^{\mathsf{T}} Y W_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{m \times r}(\mathbb{C}).$$

For any choice of $F_2 \in M_{m \times (m-r)}(\mathbb{C})$, we have $F\Sigma = V^{\mathsf{T}}YW$. Now compute

$$W^{\mathsf{T}}(X^{\mathsf{T}}Y)W = W^{\mathsf{T}}((V\Sigma W^*)^{\mathsf{T}}Y)W = W^{\mathsf{T}}(\overline{W}\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}V^{\mathsf{T}}Y)W$$
$$= \Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}(V^{\mathsf{T}}YW) = \Sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{bmatrix}V^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0\end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix}\Sigma_r & 0\\0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}V_1^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0\\V_2^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\Sigma_r V_1^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0\\0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

If $X^{\mathsf{T}}Y$ is symmetric, then so are $\Sigma_r V_1^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1$ and $\Sigma_r^{-1}(\Sigma_r V_1^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1)\Sigma_r^{-1} = V_1^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1\Sigma_r^{-1}$. If $G \in \mathsf{M}_{m-r}$ is any complex symmetric matrix, then

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{\mathsf{T}} Y W_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} & (V_2^{\mathsf{T}} Y W_1 \Sigma_r^{-1})^{\mathsf{T}} \\ V_2^{\mathsf{T}} Y W_1 \Sigma_r^{-1} & G \end{bmatrix}$$

is complex symmetric and $F\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} V^{\mathsf{T}}YW_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = V^{\mathsf{T}}YW$. Thus, $A = \overline{V}FV^*$ is complex symmetric and AX = Y.

10. Normal Targeting

What about the normal targeting problem? If n = 1 and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$ are nonzero, then $\mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|$ and $\mathbf{y}/\|\mathbf{y}\|$ have the same norm, so there is a unitary $U \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that $U(\mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|) = \mathbf{y}/\|\mathbf{y}\|$. Then $A = (\|\mathbf{y}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|)U$ is normal and $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.

For $n \ge 2$, however, it is not (yet) clear how to proceed. For a normal $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$, we have $A^*A = AA^*$, which is a system of m^2 equations in the entries of A. The equations corresponding to diagonal entries of A^*A and AA^* say that, for each i, the *i*th row and the *i*th column of A must have the same Euclidean norm. For example, if m = 3, n = 2,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and AX = Y, then the first two columns of A are determined:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & ? \\ 1 & 0 & ? \\ 0 & 0 & ? \end{bmatrix}.$$

No matter how the third column is chosen, the first column and first row of *A* cannot have the same norm, so the normal targeting problem for *X* and *Y* has no solution. Although the normal targeting problem remains an open problem, the following theorem provides a solution in a special case that provides an alternative solution to the reflection and orthogonal projection targeting problems.

Theorem 10.1. Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be distinct.

- (a) There is a normal $A \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that the spectrum of A is $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ and AX = Y if and only if $(Y \lambda X)^*(Y \mu X) = 0$.
- (b) If X, Y, λ , and μ are real and $(Y \lambda X)^{\mathsf{T}}(Y \mu X) = 0$, then there is a real normal $A \in \mathsf{M}_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that the spectrum of A is $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ and AX = Y.

Proof. (a) (\Rightarrow) If AX = Y, A is normal, and the eigenvalues of A are λ (with multiplicity $p \ge 1$) and μ (with multiplicity $m - p \ge 1$), then there is a unitary $U \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ and a diagonal $\Lambda = \lambda I_p \oplus \mu I_{m-p}$ such that $A = U \Lambda U^*$. Then

$$(Y - \lambda X)^* (Y - \mu X) = (AX - \lambda X)^* (AX - \mu X)$$

= $X^* (A - \lambda I)^* (A - \mu I) X$
= $X^* U (\overline{\Lambda} - \overline{\lambda} I) U^* U (\Lambda - \mu I) U^* X$
= $X^* U \begin{bmatrix} 0_p & 0\\ 0 & (\overline{\mu} - \overline{\lambda}) I_{m-p} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\Lambda - \mu) I_p & 0\\ 0 & 0_{m-p} \end{bmatrix} U^* X$
= $X^* U 0_m U^* X = 0.$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $(Y - \lambda X)^*(Y - \mu X) = 0$. Let $E = Y - \mu X$ and $F = Y - \lambda X$ and observe that $F^*E = 0 = E^*F$, so col *E* and col *F* are orthogonal subspaces. Moreover,

$$X = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}(E - F)$$
 and $Y = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}(\lambda E - \mu F)$.

Let $P = E(E^*E)^{\dagger}E^*$ and $Q = F(F^*F)^{\dagger}F^*$, which are, respectively, orthogonal projections onto the respective orthogonal subspaces col *E* and col *F*. Let $A = \lambda P + \mu Q$, which is normal with spectrum { λ, μ } [1, § 14.9]. Then

$$AE = (\lambda P + \mu Q)E = \lambda PE + \mu QE = \lambda PE = \lambda E,$$

$$AF = (\lambda P + \mu Q)F = \lambda PF + \mu QF = \mu QF = \mu F,$$

and

$$AX = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}A(E - F) = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}(AE - AF) = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}(\lambda E - \mu F) = Y.$$

(b) If *X*, *Y*, λ , and μ are real, then the construction in part (a) creates real matrices *E*, *F*, *P*, *Q*, and *A*.

Let $X, Y \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. An orthogonal projection is a normal matrix with spectrum $\{0, 1\}$. Theorem 10.1 says that there is an orthogonal projection A such that AX = Y if and only if $(Y - X)^*(Y - 0X) = Y^*Y - X^*Y = 0$. This is the condition in Theorem 8.1.

A reflection is a normal matrix with spectrum $\{1, -1\}$. Theorem 10.1 says that there is a reflection A such that AX = Y if and only if $C = (Y + X)^*(Y - X) = 0$. Observe that $C = (Y^*Y - X^*Y) + (X^*Y - Y^*X)$ is the sum of a Hermitian matrix and a skew-Hermitian matrix, and that C = 0 if and only if both its Hermitian part and its skew-Hermitian part are zero. This is the condition in Theorem 7.1. **Acknowledgement.** We thank Michael A. Dritschel for pointing out that [4, Corollary 1] gives a version of Theorem 5.1 that is valid for bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space.

References

- Stephan Ramon Garcia and Roger A. Horn, *Matrix mathematics—a second course in linear algebra*, second ed., Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. MR 4574833
- [2] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. MR 2978290
- [3] Roger A. Horn and Ingram Olkin, When does $A^*A = B^*B$ and why does one want to know?, Amer. Math. Monthly **103** (1996), no. 6, 470–482. MR 1390576
- Zoltán Sebestyén, Restrictions of positive operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 46 (1983), no. 1-4, 299– 301. MR 739047

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Pomona College, 610 N. College Ave., Claremont, CA 91711, USA

Email address: kebierly@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Pomona College, 610 N. College Ave., Claremont, CA 91711, USA

Email address: stephan.garcia@pomona.edu *URL*: https://stephangarcia.sites.pomona.edu/

TAMPA, FLORIDA, USA Email address: rhorn@math.utah.edu