

A LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITY FOR CLOSED SUBMANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT LENGTH OF MEAN CURVATURE VECTOR

DOANH PHAM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for closed submanifolds with constant length of mean curvature vector in a manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Brendle proved a sharp logarithmic Sobolev inequality for closed submanifolds in the Euclidean space. Later, following the strategies in [3], several works [4, 5, 7] extended the result in [2] to submanifolds of a manifold satisfying certain nonnegativity assumptions on curvatures. In [6], the author proved a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for closed minimal submanifolds of the unit sphere in the Euclidean space:

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and Σ be a closed n -dimensional minimal submanifold of \mathbb{S}^{n+m} . Suppose that f is a positive smooth function on Σ . If $m = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f (\log f + \log(|\mathbb{S}^n|)) - \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \leq \frac{n+1}{2n^2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \quad (1.1)$$

If $m \geq 3$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \left(\log f + \log \left((n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \right) \right) - \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \leq \frac{n+1}{2n^2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \quad (1.2)$$

When $m = 1, 2$, the equality in (1.1) holds if and only if f is a constant and Σ is totally geodesic.

Here, \mathbb{S}^n denotes the unit sphere in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which is the boundary of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n+1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| < 1\}$. In the cases when $m = 1, 2$, Theorem 1.1 may be compared with the classical result (see e.g. [1]) stating that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f (\log f + \log(|\mathbb{S}^n|)) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \right) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{|\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^n} f|^2}{f}$$

for every positive smooth function f on \mathbb{S}^n and the equality holds if and only if f is a constant.

For a complete noncompact k -dimensional Riemannian manifold M with nonnegative Ricci curvature, the asymptotic volume ratio is defined by

$$\theta := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B(p, r)|}{|\mathbb{B}^k| r^k},$$

where p is an arbitrary point on M and $B(p, r)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius r centered at p . To see that the limit in the definition of θ exists, one may recall Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem which shows that the function $r \mapsto \frac{|B(p, r)|}{|\mathbb{B}^k| r^k}$ is non-increasing and converges to 1 as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus θ is well-defined and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. The main result of this paper is the following statement:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + m$ with nonnegative sectional curvature and asymptotic volume ratio $\theta > 0$. Suppose that Σ is a closed n -dimensional submanifold of M such that the mean curvature vector H of Σ satisfies $|H| = 1$. Furthermore, assume that f is a positive smooth function on Σ . If $m = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f (\log f + \log(|\mathbb{S}^n|) + \log \theta) - \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \leq \frac{n+1}{2n^2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \quad (1.3)$$

If $m \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} f \left(\log f + \log \left((n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-2}|} \right) + \log \theta \right) - \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \\ \leq \frac{n+1}{2n^2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

In either case, the equality holds if and only if f is a constant, Σ is totally umbilical, and

$$|\Sigma| = \begin{cases} \theta |\mathbb{S}^n| & \text{if } m = 1, 2, \\ \theta (n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-2}|} & \text{if } m \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Despite being stated separately for exposition purposes, one may realize that (1.3) follows from (1.4). To see this, we note that when $m = 3$, the constant on the left-hand side of (1.4) reduces to $\log((n+1)|\mathbb{S}^{n+2}|/|\mathbb{S}^1|) = \log(|\mathbb{S}^n|)$. In the case $m = 1, 2$, the assertion follows by considering the larger ambient manifold $\widetilde{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}$ whose asymptotic volume ratio is the same as that of M .

When $M = \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1}$, Theorem 1.2 is already a generalization of Theorem 1.1. To see this, one just needs to note that the mean curvature vector with respect to \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1} of a minimal submanifold of \mathbb{S}^{n+m} is the opposite of the position vector. The

combination of this observation, the estimates in [6], and the strategies in [3] is the key idea of the proof of the main result.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 3$. From now till the end of this paper, we assume that (M, g) is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + m$ with nonnegative sectional curvature and asymptotic volume ratio $\theta > 0$. The Levi-Civita connection on M is denoted by $\bar{\nabla}$. Let Σ be a closed n -dimensional submanifold of M and g_Σ be its induced metric. We denote by Π the the second fundamental form on Σ and assume further that the mean curvature vector $H := \text{tr}(\Pi)/n$ of Σ satisfies $|H| = 1$. For each $x \in \Sigma$, we denote by $T_x^\perp \Sigma$ the space of normal vectors at x and $\tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma := \{V \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \langle V, H \rangle = 0\}$.

2.1. PROOF OF INEQUALITY (1.4)

In this subsection, we prove inequality (1.4). We first suppose that Σ is connected. Let f be a positive smooth function on Σ . Since the inequality is invariant under multiplying f by a positive constant, we may assume without loss of generality that f satisfies

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \int_\Sigma f \log f = \frac{1}{2n} \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2}{f}. \quad (2.1)$$

With this scaling, we need to show

$$\theta(n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-2}|} \leq \int_\Sigma f. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $f > 0$ and Σ is connected, by (2.1), there exists (uniquely modulo a constant) a smooth solution u to the equation

$$\text{div}(f \nabla^\Sigma u) = \frac{n}{n+1} f \log f - \frac{1}{2n} \frac{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2}{f} \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

We define some sets

$$\Omega := \{x \in \Sigma : |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)| < 1\}$$

$$U := \{(x, y, t) : x \in \Sigma, y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}.$$

Furthermore, for each $r > 0$, we define

$$A_r := \left\{ (x, y, t) \in U \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} ru(z) + \frac{1}{2}d(z, \exp_x(r\nabla^\Sigma u(x) + ry + rtH))^2 \\ \geq ru(x) + \frac{1}{2}r^2(|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2) \text{ for all } z \in \Sigma \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

We also define the map $\Phi_r : \tilde{T}^\perp \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ by

$$\Phi_r(x, y, t) = \exp_x(r\nabla^\Sigma u(x) + ry + rtH).$$

The proof of the following Lemma is taken from [6, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 2.1. *For every $x \in \Omega$, we have*

$$\Delta_\Sigma u(x) \leq n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} \right).$$

Proof. For every point $x \in \Sigma$, the equation of u implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma u(x) &= \frac{n}{n+1} \log f(x) - \frac{1}{2n} \frac{|\nabla^\Sigma f|^2}{f^2} - \left\langle \frac{\nabla^\Sigma f}{f}, \nabla^\Sigma u \right\rangle. \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} \log f(x) + \frac{n}{2} |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\nabla^\Sigma f}{f} + \sqrt{n} \nabla^\Sigma u \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{n}{n+1} \log f(x) + \frac{n}{2} |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the elementary inequalities

$$\log \lambda \leq \lambda - 1 \text{ for } \lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{1 - \theta} \leq 1 - \frac{\theta}{2} \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1,$$

and assuming $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)| < 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \log f(x) \leq f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} \leq 1 - \frac{|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Combining this and the last inequality in the chain of estimates for Δu , we deduce

$$\Delta_\Sigma u(x) \leq n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - 1 + \frac{|\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}{2} \right) \leq n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

The proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

We state the following two Lemmas whose proofs are identical to those in [3, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 2.2. *For every $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ and $r > 0$, the set*

$$\{p \in M : \sigma r < d(x, p) < r \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}$$

is a subset of the set

$$\{\Phi_r(x, y, t) : (x, y, t) \in A_r \text{ and } |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 > \sigma^2\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *For every $(x, y, t) \in A_r$, we have*

$$g_\Sigma(x) + r(D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle \Pi(x), y \rangle - t\langle \Pi(x), H \rangle) \geq 0.$$

The second part of the following Lemma can also be seen from [3, Proposition 4.6]. For the sake of exposition, we provide its proof since some details in the proof will be analyzed in the next subsection.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(x, y, t) \in A_r$. We have*

$$1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the Jacobian determinant of Φ_s satisfies $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-m} |\det \Phi_s(x, y, t)| = 1$, and the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{|\det \Phi_s(x, y, t)|}{s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n}$$

is non-increasing on $(0, r)$.

Proof. Let us fix a point $(x, y, t) \in A_r$ and denote $A = D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle \Pi(x), y \rangle - t\langle \Pi(x), H \rangle$. We first show (2.5). By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{tr } A = \Delta_\Sigma u(x) - nt \leq n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \quad (2.6)$$

where we have used the fact that $\text{tr}(\langle \Pi(x), y \rangle) = 0$ and $\text{tr}(\langle \Pi(x), H \rangle) = n$. Since $g_\Sigma(x) + rA \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.3, we take its trace and apply (2.6) to obtain

$$0 \leq n + r \text{tr } A \leq n + nr \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right).$$

This verifies (2.5).

We now prove the second statement of the Lemma. We begin by choosing a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$ in a neighborhood of x in $T^\perp \Sigma$ in a way that $e_i \in T_x \Sigma$, $\nu_\alpha \in T_x^\perp \Sigma$, and $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu_\alpha, \nu_\beta \rangle = 0$ at x . We let $\gamma(s) = \exp_x(s(\nabla^\Sigma u(x) + y + tH))$ for $s \in [0, r]$ and denote by $E_i(s)$, $N_\alpha(s)$ the parallel transports along γ of

e_i, ν_α respectively. Furthermore, we let $X_i(t)$ and Y_α be the Jacobi fields along γ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} X_i(0) = e_i, \\ \langle D_t X_i(0), e_j \rangle = A(e_i, e_j) \\ \langle D_t X_i(0), \nu_\beta \rangle = \langle \Pi(e_i, \nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)), \nu_\beta \rangle \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} Y_\alpha(0) = 0, \\ D_t Y_\alpha(0) = \nu_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

We also denote by $P(s)$ the square matrix-valued function on $[0, r]$ of size $(n + m)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(s) &= \langle X_i(s), E_j(s) \rangle, & P_{i\beta}(s) &= \langle X_i(s), N_\beta(s) \rangle, \\ P_{\alpha j}(s) &= \langle Y_\alpha(s), E_j(s) \rangle, & P_{\alpha\beta}(s) &= \langle Y_\alpha(s), N_\beta(s) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Following the argument in [3, p. 2208–2210], we have that $\det P(s) > 0$ for every $s \in (0, r)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-m} \det P(s) = 1$. Moreover, $|\det \Phi_s(x, y, t)| = \det P(s)$ for every $s \in (0, r)$. In addition, the matrix $Q(s) := P(s)^{-1} P'(s)$ is symmetric for each $s \in (0, r)$ and its trace satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + s\lambda_i} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix A . Applying the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + s\lambda_i} \geq \frac{n^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + s\lambda_i)} = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{s}{n} \operatorname{tr} A}.$$

Hence, for $s \in (0, r)$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + s\lambda_i} = \frac{m}{s} + \frac{1}{s} \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + s\lambda_i} \right) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{1 + \frac{s}{n} \operatorname{tr} A}. \quad (2.8)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.8), we infer that

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \frac{n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2} - t \right)}{1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2} - t \right)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, r).$$

Since $\frac{d}{ds} \log \det P(s) = \operatorname{tr} Q(s)$, it follows from the previous inequality that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\det P(s)}{s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } (0, r).$$

The proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

As an immediate consequence, we obtain an upper bound for the Jacobian determinant of Φ_r on A_r .

Corollary 2.5. *For every $(x, y, t) \in A_r$, we have*

$$|\det D\Phi_r(x, y, t)| \leq r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.5), we note that for $(x, y, t) \in A_r$, the range of t is given by

$$-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} < t \leq f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} + \frac{1}{r}. \quad (2.10)$$

To continue with the proof of (1.4), we apply Lemma 2.2, Corollary 2.5, and the area formula to get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{p \in M : \sigma r < d(x, p) < r \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}| \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} |\det D\Phi_r(x, y, t)| 1_{A_r}(x, y, t) dy dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n dy dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the elementary inequality $b^{\frac{m-1}{2}} - a^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \leq \frac{m-1}{2}(b-a)$ for $0 \leq a \leq b < 1$ and $m \geq 3$, for every $x \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 \leq |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}| \\ & \leq |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| \left((1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 - t^2)_+^{\frac{m-1}{2}} - (\sigma^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 - t^2)_+^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{m-1}{2} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we continue the chain of integral estimates by

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{p \in M : \sigma r < d(x, p) < r \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}| \\ & \leq \frac{m-1}{2} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x) \\ & = \frac{m-1}{2} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} \frac{r^{m-1}}{n+1} \left(1 + r f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right)^{n+1} d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the above inequality by r^{n+m} and passing $r \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$\theta |\mathbb{B}^{n+m}| (1 - \sigma^{n+m}) \leq \frac{m-1}{2(n+1)} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} f(x) d \text{vol}_{\Sigma}(x).$$

Finally, we divide the previous inequality by $1 - \sigma$ and let $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ to obtain

$$\theta(n+m) |\mathbb{B}^{n+m}| \leq \frac{(m-1) |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}|}{n+1} \int_{\Omega} f \leq \frac{(m-1) |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}|}{n+1} \int_{\Sigma} f, \quad (2.11)$$

which coincides with (2.2). This finishes the proof of (1.4) when Σ is connected.

Now, we suppose that Σ is disconnected. Since (1.4) holds on each individual of connected component of Σ , we take the sum over them and use the elementary inequality

$$a \log a + b \log b < (a+b) \log(a+b) \quad \text{for all } a, b > 0$$

to finish the proof in this case.

2.2. ON THE EQUALITY CASES

In this subsection, we analyze the equality case of (1.4). To begin with, we assume that f is a positive smooth function which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} f \left(\log f + \log \left((n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-2}|} \right) + \log \theta \right) - \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \log \left(\int_{\Sigma} f \right) \\ = \frac{n+1}{2n^2} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

From the last paragraph in the proof of (1.4), we infer that Σ is connected. Since (2.12) is invariant under multiplication of f by a positive constant, we assume further without loss of generality that f satisfies

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \int_{\Sigma} f \log f = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f}. \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.12), the scaling of f implies

$$\theta(n+1) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1}|}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-2}|} = \int_{\Sigma} f. \quad (2.14)$$

Since $f > 0$ and Σ is connected, by (2.13), there exists (uniquely modulo a constant) a smooth solution u to the equation

$$\text{div}(f \nabla^{\Sigma} u) = \frac{n}{n+1} f \log f - \frac{1}{2n} \frac{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2}{f} \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

We define the sets Ω , U , A_r , and the map Φ_r as in the proof of (1.4). It follows from (2.11) and (2.14) that Ω is dense in Σ .

Lemma 2.6. *For every $r > 0$, $x \in \Omega$, $y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 = 1$, we have*

$$|\det D\Phi_r(x, y, t)| \geq r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n.$$

Proof. We prove the Lemma by a contradiction argument. To do this, we suppose on the contrary that the statement does not hold at $x_0 \in \Omega$, $y_0 \in \tilde{T}_{x_0}^\perp \Sigma$, $t_0 \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying $|\nabla^\Sigma u(x_0)|^2 + |y_0|^2 + t_0^2 = 1$ for some $r_0 > 0$. Then, by continuity, there exist $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and a neighborhood V of (x_0, y_0, t_0) with the property that

$$|\det D\Phi_{r_0}(x, y, t)| < (1 - \varepsilon)r_0^m \left(1 + r_0 \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n \text{ on } V.$$

By Lemma 2.4, it follows that for each $r > r_0$,

$$|\det D\Phi_r(x, y, t)| < (1 - \varepsilon)r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n \text{ on } V \cap A_r.$$

From this, for $r > r_0$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$, we apply Lemma 2.2, the area formula and (2.10) to infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{p \in M : \sigma r < d(x, p) < r \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}| \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} |\det D\Phi_r(x, y, t)| 1_{A_r}(x, y, t) dy dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} (1 - \varepsilon 1_V(x, y, t)) r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n dy dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we continue the chain of estimates by

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{p \in M : \sigma r < d(x, p) < r \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma\}| \\ & \leq \frac{m-1}{2} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} \frac{r^{m-1}}{n+1} \left(1 + r f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right)^{n+1} d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x) \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^\perp \Sigma : \sigma^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} 1_V(x, y, t) r^m \left(1 + r \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t \right) \right)^n dy dt d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the above inequality by r^{n+m} and passing to the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\theta |\mathbb{B}^{n+m}| (1 - \sigma^{n+m}) \leq \frac{m-1}{2(n+1)} |\mathbb{B}^{m-1}| (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} f(x) d \text{vol}_\Sigma(x) - \varepsilon \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I(\sigma, r) \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\sigma, r) &:= \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2} + \frac{1}{r}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^{\perp}\Sigma: \sigma^2 < |\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} \\
&\quad 1_V(x, y, t) \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2} - t \right)^n dy dt d \text{vol}_{\Sigma}(x) \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2}}^{f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2}} \int_{\{y \in \tilde{T}_x^{\perp}\Sigma: \sigma^2 < |\nabla^{\Sigma}u|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 < 1\}} \\
&\quad 1_V(x, y, t) \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2} - t \right)^n dy dt d \text{vol}_{\Sigma}(x) \\
&=: J(\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{J(\sigma)}{1-\sigma} > 0$, we divide (2.15) by $1-\sigma$ and pass to the limit as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ to conclude that

$$\theta(n+m)|\mathbb{B}^{n+m}| < \frac{(m-1)|\mathbb{B}^{m-1}|}{n+1} \int_{\Omega} f \leq \frac{(m-1)|\mathbb{B}^{m-1}|}{n+1} \int_{\Sigma} f \quad (2.16)$$

which contradicts (2.14). This finishes the proof of the Lemma. \square

To continue, we fix a triplet (x, y, t) where $x \in \Omega$, $y \in \tilde{T}_x^{\perp}\Sigma$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying $|\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 + t^2 = 1$. We define the matrix $A = D_{\Sigma}^2u(x) - \langle \Pi(x), y \rangle - t \langle \Pi(x), H \rangle$. There exists a real number $s_0 > 0$ such that the matrix $g_{\Sigma} + sA$ is positive definite for every $s \in (0, s_0)$. Since $x \in \Omega$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{tr } A = \Delta_{\Sigma}u(x) - nt \leq n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1-|\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2} - t \right). \quad (2.17)$$

Next, we choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$ in a neighborhood of x in $T^{\perp}\Sigma$ in a way that $e_i \in T_x\Sigma$, $\nu_{\alpha} \in T_x^{\perp}\Sigma$, and $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\nu_{\alpha}, \nu_{\beta} \rangle = 0$ at x . We let $\gamma(s) = \exp_x(s(\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x) + y + tH))$ for $s \in (0, s_0)$ and denote by $E_i(s)$, $N_{\alpha}(s)$ the parallel transports along γ of e_i , ν_{α} respectively. Furthermore, we let $X_i(t)$ and Y_{α} be the Jacobi fields along γ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} X_i(0) = e_i, \\ \langle D_t X_i(0), e_j \rangle = A(e_i, e_j) \\ \langle D_t X_i(0), \nu_{\beta} \rangle = \langle \Pi(e_i, \nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)), \nu_{\beta} \rangle \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} Y_{\alpha}(0) = 0, \\ D_t Y_{\alpha}(0) = \nu_{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

We also denote by $P(s)$ the square matrix-valued function on $[0, s_0]$ of size $(n+m)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ij}(s) &= \langle X_i(s), E_j(s) \rangle, & P_{i\beta}(s) &= \langle X_i(s), N_{\beta}(s) \rangle, \\
P_{\alpha j}(s) &= \langle Y_{\alpha}(s), E_j(s) \rangle, & P_{\alpha\beta}(s) &= \langle Y_{\alpha}(s), N_{\beta}(s) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$|\det P(s)| = |\det D\Phi_s(x, y, t)| \geq s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)\right)^n$$

for all $s \in (0, s_0)$. Since $\det P(s) > 0$ for sufficiently small $s > 0$, we find that

$$\det P(s) \geq s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)\right)^n \quad \text{for } s \in (0, s_0). \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.4, the matrix $Q(s) := P(s)^{-1}P'(s)$ is symmetric for each $s \in (0, s_0)$ and its trace satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + s\lambda_i} \quad (2.19)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix A . Applying the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + s\lambda_i} \geq \frac{n^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + s\lambda_i)} = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{s}{n} \operatorname{tr}(A)}. \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, for $s \in (0, s_0)$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + s\lambda_i} = \frac{m}{s} + \frac{1}{s} \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + s\lambda_i}\right) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{1 + \frac{s}{n} \operatorname{tr} A}. \quad (2.21)$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.21), we infer that

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) \leq \frac{m}{s} + \frac{n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)}{1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, s_0).$$

Since $\frac{d}{ds} \log \det P(s) = \operatorname{tr} Q(s)$, it follows that

$$\det P(s) \leq s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)\right)^n \quad \text{for } s \in (0, s_0).$$

Recalling (2.18), we deduce that

$$\det P(s) = s^m \left(1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)\right)^n \quad \text{for } s \in (0, s_0).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{tr} Q(s) = \frac{m}{s} + \frac{n \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)}{1 + s \left(f(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2} - t\right)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, s_0). \quad (2.22)$$

This implies that the equalities must hold in (2.17) and (2.21). Moreover, in view of (2.3), the equality in (2.17) gives $f(x) = 1$ and $\nabla^\Sigma u(x) = 0$. Since $x \in \Omega$ is arbitrarily chosen and Ω is dense in Σ , we conclude that $f \equiv 1$ and u is a constant

on Σ . On the other hand, the equalities in (2.21) imply that the equality in (2.20) holds. This means that all the eigenvalues λ_i of the matrix A have the same value. Since u is a constant on Σ and (y, t) is arbitrarily chosen only to satisfy $|y|^2 + t^2 = 1$, we conclude that Σ is totally umbilical. The proof of the main result is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Beckner, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **138** (1993), no. 1, 213–242.
- [2] S. Brendle, *The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for a submanifold in Euclidean space*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **75** (2022), 449–454.
- [3] ———, *Sobolev inequalities in manifolds with nonnegative curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **76** (2023), 2192–2218.
- [4] Y. Dong, H. Lin, and L. Lu, *The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for a submanifold in manifolds with asymptotically nonnegative sectional curvature*, Acta Math. Sci. **44** (2024), 189–194.
- [5] J. Lee and F. Ricci, *The Log-Sobolev inequality for a submanifold in manifolds with asymptotic non-negative intermediate Ricci curvature*, J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024).
- [6] D. Pham, *Some geometric inequalities by the ABP method*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2024** (2024), no. 6, 4656–4688.
- [7] C. Yi and Y. Zheng, *The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for a submanifold in manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **45** (2024), 487–496.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS, SAIGON UNIVERSITY, 273 AN DUONG
 VUONG STREET, DISTRICT 5, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM
Email address: `ptdoanh@sgu.edu.vn`