

M_d -MULTIPLIERS OF A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP

BAT-OD BATTSEREN

ABSTRACT. We show that the space $M_d(G)$ of M_d -multipliers of a locally compact group G is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space of bounded functionals on the d -fold Haagerup tensor product of $L^1(G)$ vanishing on the kernel of the convolution map. Consequently, we see that $M_d(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the dual space of $X_d(G)$, the completion of $L^1(G)$ in the dual of $M_d(G)$. We also show that M_d -type-approximation-properties are inherited to lattices.

INTRODUCTION

In [Pis05], a commutative Banach algebra $M_d(\Gamma)$ was introduced in pursuit of studying Dixmier's Similarity Problem, where Γ is a discrete group and d is an integer greater than one. When $d = 2$, this is the algebra of Herz-Schur multipliers of Γ . In general, $M_d(\Gamma)$ stands between the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(\Gamma)$ and the algebra of bounded functions $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$. By the usual $(\ell^\infty(\Gamma), \ell^1(\Gamma))$ -duality, we can embed $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ in the dual of $M_d(\Gamma)$. Denote by $X_d(\Gamma)$ the completion of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ in $M_d(\Gamma)^*$. Pisier showed in [Pis05] that $M_d(\Gamma) \cong X_d(\Gamma)^*$ isometrically using Paulsen-Smith's theorem [PS87]. In essence, it means the space $M_d(\Gamma)$ can be alternatively described as the dual of a quotient of the d -fold Haagerup tensor product of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$.

This last construction can be performed for a locally compact group G by using $L^1(G)$ instead of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$. However, as indicated in [Pis05, Remark after Theorem 2.10], it is not clear how the functionals in this space would correspond to (continuous) functions on G . In the discrete case, this correspondence was clear because Γ is naturally contained in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$. We elaborate on this problem for locally compact second countable groups.

In [Bat23a], the author defined the space $M_d(G)$ of M_d -multipliers of a locally compact group G as the subspace of all continuous functions in $M_d(G_d)$, where G_d denotes the discrete realization of G . More precisely:

Definition 0.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. A bounded continuous function φ on G is called an M_d -multiplier if there exist Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_0, \dots, \mathcal{H}_d$ and bounded maps $\xi_i : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_d = \mathbb{C}$ and*

$$(0.1) \quad \varphi(x_1 \cdots x_d) = \xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_d(x_d)(1) \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_d \in G.$$

We denote by $M_d(G)$ the space of all M_d -multipliers and endow it with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{M_d} = \inf \prod_{i=1}^d \|\xi_i\|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all \mathcal{H}_i 's and ξ_i 's satisfying (0.1).

This definition is compatible with the definition given by Pisier for discrete groups. Because the identity map $M_d(G_d) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(G_d)$ is contracting, $M_d(G) = M_d(G_d) \cap C(G)$ becomes a commutative Banach algebra. Our main result is the following, which demonstrates that the two previously mentioned constructions of $M_d(G)$ are the same for locally compact second countable groups.

Theorem 0.2. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group and let d be an integer greater than one.*

- (1) *If φ is an M_d -multiplier of G , i.e. $\varphi \in M_d(G) = M_d(G_d) \cap C(G)$, then there is a bounded functional $\hat{\varphi}$ on $L^1(G) \otimes_h \cdots \otimes_h L^1(G)$ such that $\|\hat{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|_{M_d}$ and*

$$\hat{\varphi}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) = (\varphi, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))}$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$.

- (2) *Conversely, if ϕ is a bounded functional on $L^1(G) \otimes_h \cdots \otimes_h L^1(G)$ vanishing on the kernel of the convolution map $\text{conv}_d : L^1(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$, then there is an M_d -multiplier $\check{\phi} \in M_d(G)$ such that $\|\check{\phi}\|_{M_d} = \|\phi\|$ and*

$$(\check{\phi}, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))} = \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d)$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$.

Moreover, we have $\varphi = \check{\check{\varphi}}$ and $\phi = \hat{\hat{\phi}}$.

If we restrict the $(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ -duality on $M_d(G)$, we can embed $L^1(G)$ in $M_d(G)^*$. Denote by $X_d(G)$ the completion of $L^1(G)$ in $M_d(G)^*$. After Theorem 0.2 and Paulsen-Smith's theorem, it is easily seen that $X_d(G)$ is a Banach pre-dual of $M_d(G)$.

Theorem 0.3. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group and let d be an integer greater than one. Then we have $M_d(G) \cong X_d(G)^*$ isometrically.*

This duality between $M_d(G)$ and $X_d(G)$ was used in [Ver23, Bat23b] to define M_d type approximation properties. We recall the definitions.

Definition 0.4 (M_d type approximation properties). *Let G be a locally compact group and let d be an integer greater than one.*

We say that G has M_d -approximation-property (M_d -AP in short) if there is a net (φ_i) of functions in $C_c(G) \cap M_d(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ in $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -topology.

We say that G is M_d -weakly-amenable (M_d -WA in short) if there is a net (φ_i) of functions in $C_c(G) \cap M_d(G)$ such that $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_d} \leq C < \infty$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ in $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -topology. The number $\Lambda_{CH}(G, d) = \inf C$ is called the M_d -Cowling-Haagerup constant. We conventionally write $\Lambda_{CH}(G, d) = \infty$ if G is not M_d -WA.

We say that G has M_d -weak-Haagerup-property (M_d -WH in short) if there is a net (φ_i) of functions in $C_0(G) \cap M_d(G)$ such that $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_d} \leq C < \infty$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ in $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -topology. The number $\Lambda_{WH}(G, d) = \inf C$ is called the M_d -weak-Haagerup constant. We conventionally write $\Lambda_{WH}(G, d) = \infty$ if G does not have M_d -WH.

When $d = 2$, these are exactly the usual approximation property, weak amenability, and weak Haagerup property [DCH85, CH89, HK94, Knu16, Haa16]. Allow us to mention a couple of motivations to study M_d type approximation properties in general extent. The first one is related to the Similarity Problem: A group with M_d -AP for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ has an affirmative

answer to the Similarity Problem [Ver23, Theorem 1.2]. The second one is their stability: M_d type approximation properties are stable under von Neumann equivalence [Bat23b], hence also under Measure equivalence and W^* -equivalence. See [IPR24, Ish24, Bat23a, Bat23b] for more about von Neumann equivalence.

The following result allows one to relax the convergence conditions in the definition of M_d -WA and M_d -WH.

Proposition 0.5. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group and let d be an integer greater than one. Then G is M_d -WA if and only if there is a net (φ_i) of functions in $C_c(G) \cap M_d(G)$ such that $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_d} \leq C < \infty$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact subsets. We have $\inf C = \Lambda_{CH}(G, d)$. Similarly, G has M_d -WH if and only if there is a net (φ_i) of functions in $C_0(G) \cap M_d(G)$ such that $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_d} \leq C' < \infty$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact subsets. We have $\inf C' = \Lambda_{WH}(G, d)$.*

A key step in proving Theorem 0.2 is Lemma 1.3 in which we observe that we can choose the maps $\xi_i : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ in Definition 0.1 to be continuous where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ is endowed with the weak operator topology. Proposition 0.5 is a simple consequence of this observation. Since the uniform convergence on compact subsets pass to closed subgroups via the restriction map, the following is immediate.

Corollary 0.6. *If G is a locally compact second countable group and H is a closed subgroup of G , then we have $\Lambda_{CH}(H, d) \leq \Lambda_{CH}(G, d)$ and $\Lambda_{WH}(H, d) \leq \Lambda_{WH}(G, d)$ for all integers $d \geq 2$.*

As shown in [Bat23a, Theorem 3], the converse inequalities hold true when H is a lattice of G , hence the following.

Theorem 0.7. *If G is a locally compact second countable group and Γ is a lattice of G , then we have $\Lambda_{CH}(\Gamma, d) = \Lambda_{CH}(G, d)$ and $\Lambda_{WH}(\Gamma, d) = \Lambda_{WH}(G, d)$ for all integers $d \geq 2$.*

The argument used to prove Corollary 0.6 does not work for M_d -AP due to the lack of uniform norm boundedness. However, if we assume H is a lattice in G , there is an easier approach to obtain a similar results.

Theorem 0.8. *If G is a locally compact second countable group and Γ is a lattice of G , then G has M_d -AP if and only if Γ has M_d -AP.*

We acknowledge that this work is strongly inspired by [Haa16] and [Pis05] where the case $d = 2$ and the discrete case are studied.

1. PRELIMINARY

Throughout the paper, G is a locally compact second countable group, G_d is the discrete realization of G , $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer greater than one, and \mathcal{H}_i , \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{K} are Hilbert spaces. We conventionally write $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_d = \mathbb{C}$ and $v_0 = v_d = 1$.

1.1. Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras. Recall that a *unitary representation* of G is a continuous group homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is the group of all unitary operators on \mathcal{H} endowed with the weak operator topology (WOT). Given vectors $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$, we call the function

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi = \pi_{v,w} : g \in G \mapsto \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle$$

the coefficient of π associated to $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$. These are typical elements of $M_d(G)$. To see that, put $\mathcal{H}_1 = \cdots = \mathcal{H}_{d-1} = \mathcal{H}$, $\xi_1(x) = \langle \pi(x)\cdot, w \rangle$, $\xi_d(x) : 1 \mapsto \pi(x)v$, and $\xi_2(x) = \cdots = \xi_{d-1}(x) = \pi(x)$. Then we have

$$\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_d(x_d)(1) = \langle \pi(x_1 \cdots x_d)v, w \rangle = \pi_{v,w}(x_1 \cdots x_d)$$

with $\|\pi_{v,w}\|_{M_d} \leq \|v\| \|w\|$.

The *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra* $B(G)$ consist of all coefficients of unitary representations of G . Endowed with the pointwise product and the norm $\|\varphi\|_B = \inf \|v\| \|w\|$, where the infimum is taken over all (\mathcal{H}, π, v, w) satisfying (1.1), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra is a commutative Banach algebra.

The *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the smallest closed subalgebra of $B(G)$ containing $B(G) \cap C_c(G)$. Some basic facts about the Fourier algebra include that it consists of the coefficients of the left regular representation $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ and that if $\varphi \in A(G)$ then we can find $f, g \in L^2(G)$ such that $\varphi = \lambda_{f,g}$ and $\|\varphi\|_B = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$. To distinguish that φ is in the Fourier algebra, we write $\|\varphi\|_A = \|\varphi\|_B$. We refer the readers to [Eym64, KL18, Run20] for more about these algebras.

The Fourier algebra contains abundant continuous functions so that when a function φ multiplies the Fourier algebra, i.e. $\varphi A(G) \subseteq A(G)$, it is necessarily continuous. We will make use of this fact. The following lemma is comparable to the well known fact [Gaa73, Theorem V.7.3] that every weakly measurable unitary representation on a separable Hilbert space is continuous.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $A, B : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be two bounded weakly measurable maps (i.e. \mathcal{H} is endowed with $\sigma(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^*)$ -Borel space structure) into a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Assume that $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function such that $\varphi(yx^{-1}) = \langle A(x), B(y) \rangle$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then φ multiplies the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. In particular, φ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . By assumption, there exist uniformly bounded measurable functions $a_n, b_n \in L^\infty(G)$ such that $A(x) = \sum_n a_n(x) e_n$, $B(y) = \sum_n b_n(y) e_n$, and

$$\varphi(yx^{-1}) = \langle A(x), B(y) \rangle = \sum_n a_n(x) \overline{b_n(y)}.$$

Take any $f, g \in L^2(G)$. By Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \|a_n f\|_2 \|b_n g\|_2 &\leq \left(\sum_n \|a_n f\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_n \|b_n g\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_G |f(x)|^2 \sum_n |a_n(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_G |g(x)|^2 \sum_n |b_n(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|A\|_\infty \|B\|_\infty \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\sum_n \lambda_{a_n f, b_n g} \in A(G)$. On the other hand, if we fix $x \in G$, since the bounding function

$$|f(x^{-1}y) \overline{g(y)}| \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n(x^{-1}y) \overline{b_n(y)}| \leq |f(x^{-1}y) \overline{g(y)}| \|A\|_\infty \|B\|_\infty$$

is integrable over $y \in G$, by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \lambda_{f,g})(x) &= \int_G \varphi(x) f(x^{-1}y) \overline{g(y)} dy = \int_G \langle A(x^{-1}y), B(y) \rangle f(x^{-1}y) \overline{g(y)} dy \\ &= \int_G \sum_n a_n(x^{-1}y) \overline{b_n(y)} f(x^{-1}y) \overline{g(y)} dy = \left(\sum_n \lambda_{a_n f, b_n g} \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, φ is a Fourier multiplier, hence continuous. \square

A similar statement can be achieved for any M_d -multipliers. More precisely, if $\varphi \in M_d(G_d)$ and the ξ_i 's in (0.1) are weakly measurable, then we can deduce that $\varphi \in M_d(G)$. This will be used to see that $\check{\phi}$ in Theorem 0.2 is continuous.

1.2. The space $M_d(G)$ of M_d -multipliers. The key step in proving Theorem 0.2.(i) is to show that we can choose ξ_i 's in (0.1) to be WOT-continuous, i.e. the maps $x \in G \mapsto \langle \xi_i(x) v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle$ are continuous for any $v_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $v_{i-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$. The case $d = 2$ is done in [Haa16, Theorem 3.2]. We adapt it to the general case. Beforehand, let us denote

$$A_i = \{ \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdots \xi_d(x_d) v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d \in G \}, \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1,$$

and

$$B_i = \{ [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_i(x_i)]^* v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} \in G \}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Lemma 1.2. *If $\varphi \in M_d(G)$ is non-zero, we can choose \mathcal{H}_i 's and ξ_i 's in (0.1) such that A_i and B_i are total in \mathcal{H}_i for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.*

Proof. Let $P_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be the projection onto the closed linear span of A_i . Note that P_0 is just the identity map because φ is nonzero and $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. It is easily checked that the Hilbert spaces $P_i \mathcal{H}_i$ and the bounded maps $P_{i-1} \xi_i$ also satisfy (0.1). Thus replacing (\mathcal{H}_i, ξ_i) 's by $(P_i \mathcal{H}_i, P_{i-1} \xi_i)$'s, we can and will assume that each A_i is total in \mathcal{H}_i .

Let $Q_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be the projection onto the closed linear span of B_i . Again, Q_d is just the identity map on \mathcal{H}_d because firstly

$$\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_d) = \langle v_d, [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_d(x_d)]^* v_0 \rangle$$

is nonzero for some $x_1, \dots, x_d \in G$ and secondly $\mathcal{H}_d \cong \mathbb{C}$. Put $\mathcal{H}'_i = Q_i \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\xi'_i(x_i) = Q_{i-1} \xi_i(x_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ with $Q_0 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$. Then (\mathcal{H}'_i, ξ'_i) 's still satisfy (0.1). To see that, take any vectors $v'_i = [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_i(x_i)]^* v_0 \in \mathcal{H}'_i$ and $v_{i+1} \in \mathcal{H}'_{i+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} v'_{i+1}, v'_i \rangle &= \langle v'_{i+1}, Q_{i+1} [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_i(x_{i+1})]^* v'_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v'_{i+1}, [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_i(x_{i+1})]^* v'_i \rangle \\ &= \langle Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) v'_{i+1}, v'_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since such v'_i 's are total in \mathcal{H}'_i , the above equality is true for any $v'_i \in \mathcal{H}'_i$. In other words, we have

$$(1.2) \quad Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} = Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}).$$

From this, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'_1(x_1) \cdots \xi'_d(x_d) v_d &= Q_0 \xi_1(x_1) Q_1 \xi_2(x_2) Q_2 \cdots Q_{d-1} \xi_d(x_d) v_d \\ &= \xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_d(x_d) v_d = \varphi(x_1 \cdots x_d). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the set

$$B'_i = \{[\xi'_1(x_1) \cdots \xi'_i(x_i)]^* v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} \in G\}$$

is total in \mathcal{H}'_i . Now, the main concern is whether

$$A'_i = \{\xi'_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdots \xi'_d(x_d) v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d \in G\}$$

is total in \mathcal{H}'_i . Let us prove it by reverse recursion. Firstly,

$$A'_{d-1} = \{Q_{d-1} \xi_d(x_d) v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid x_d \in G\}$$

is total in $\mathcal{H}'_{d-1} = Q_{d-1} \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ because A_{d-1} is total in \mathcal{H}_{d-1} by assumption. Assume that A'_{i+1} is total in $\mathcal{H}'_{i+1} = Q_{i+1} \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Then by (1.2) and the totality condition of A_i 's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{span}} A'_i &= \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{x_{i+1} \in G} \xi'_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) A'_{i+1} v_d = \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{x_{i+1} \in G} Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} \mathcal{H}_{i+1} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{x_{i+1} \in G} Q_i \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \mathcal{H}_{i+1} = \overline{\text{span}} Q_i A_i = Q_i \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}'_i, \end{aligned}$$

showing that A'_i is total in \mathcal{H}'_i . This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\varphi \in M_d(G)$ be non-zero and let \mathcal{H}_i 's and ξ_i 's be as in Lemma 1.2. Then ξ_i 's are WOT-continuous and \mathcal{H}_i 's are separable.*

Proof. Choose \mathcal{H}_i 's and ξ_i 's as in Lemma 1.2. Suppose that $x_i^{(j)} \rightarrow x_i$ in G . Take any vectors

$$v_i = \xi_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdots \xi_d(x_d) v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i, \quad v_{i-1} = [\xi_1(x_1) \cdots \xi_{i-1}(x_{i-1})]^* v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}.$$

Then by continuity of φ we have

$$\langle \xi_i(x_i^{(j)}) v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle = \varphi(x_1 \cdots x_i^{(j)} \cdots x_d) \rightarrow \varphi(x_1 \cdots x_d) = \langle \xi_i(x_i) v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle.$$

By uniform boundedness of ξ_i and totality assumptions, the above convergence is true for any $v_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $v_{i-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$. This shows that each ξ_i is WOT-continuous. Now, \mathcal{H}_i is separable since G is second countable, ξ_i is WOT-continuous, and A_i is dense in \mathcal{H}_i . \square

Lemma 1.4. *If $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\varphi \in M_d(G)$, then we have $f * \varphi \in M_d(G)$ with $\|f * \varphi\|_{M_d} \leq \|f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_{M_d}$.*

Proof. The continuity of $f * \varphi$ is a well known fact of harmonic analysis. Let \mathcal{H}_i 's and ξ_i 's be as in Lemma 1.3. Define $\xi'_1 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_0)$ as

$$\xi'_1(x) v_1 = \int_G f(y) (\xi_1(y^{-1} x) v_1) dy$$

for all $x \in G$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Note that $\|\xi'_1\| \leq \|f\|_1 \|\xi_1\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'_1(x_1) \xi_2(x_2) \cdots \xi_d(x_d) v_d &= \int_G f(y) (\xi_1(y^{-1} x_2) \xi_2(x_2) \cdots \xi_d(x_d) v_d) dy \\ &= \int_G f(y) \varphi(y^{-1} x_1 \cdots x_d) dy \\ &= (f * \varphi)(x_1 \cdots x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in G$. Therefore, $f * \varphi \in M_d(G)$ with $\|f * \varphi\|_{M_d} \leq \|f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_{M_d}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 0.5. Let (φ_i) be a net in $M_d(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ in $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -topology. It follows that $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$ in $\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ -topology. By uniform boundedness principle, we have $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_\infty < \infty$. Take any compactly supported positive function $f \in C_c(G)$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ and put $\varphi'_i = f * \varphi_i$. Now the proof of [Haa16, Lemma 2.2] works too see that $\varphi'_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact subsets. We note that if φ_i is compactly supported, then so is φ'_i . Similarly, if φ_i vanish at infinity, then so does φ'_i . By Lemma 1.4, we have $\varphi'_i \in M_d(G)$ with $\|\varphi'_i\|_{M_d} \leq \|\varphi_i\|_{M_d}$. This shows that if we replace the $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -convergence by uniform convergence on compact subsets in the definition of M_d -WA and M_d -WH, we will get weaker properties. Conversely, it is always true that uniform convergence on compact subsets implies $\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ -convergence. Moreover, for a uniformly bounded net in $M_d(G)$, its $\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ -convergence implies $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -convergence as $L^1(G) \subseteq X_d(G)$ is dense. This completes the proof. \square

1.3. The dual space $M'_d(G)$ of $X'_d(G) = L^1(G) \otimes_h \cdots \otimes_h L^1(G)$. Let us recall the following theorem by Paulsen-Smith (see [PS87, Theorem 3.2], [ER22, Theorem 9.4.4], and [Pis03, Corollary 5.4]).

Theorem 1.5. *Given operator spaces V_1, \dots, V_d , a linear mapping*

$$\phi : V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_d, \mathcal{H}_0)$$

extends to a completely bounded map on the Haagerup tensor product if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ and completely bounded linear maps

$$\eta_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

such that

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) = \eta_1(v_1) \cdots \eta_d(v_d)$$

for all $v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_d \in V_d$. Moreover, we can assume that $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\eta_1\|_{cb} \cdots \|\eta_d\|_{cb}$.

Conventionally, we only consider $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_d = \mathbb{C}$. Denote

$$X'_d(G) = \underbrace{L^1(G) \otimes_h \cdots \otimes_h L^1(G)}_d$$

where $L^1(G)$ is endowed with its maximal operator space structure. Denote by $M'_d(G)$ the (operator) dual of $X'_d(G)$. If we put $V_i = L^1(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_d = \mathbb{C}$ in Theorem 1.5, we simply get $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\phi\|$ because $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is abelian [ER22, Proposition 2.2.6], and $\|\eta_i\|_{cb} = \|\eta_i\|$ because $L^1(G)$ has its maximal operator space structure [ER22, Section 3.3]. With this simplification, we get the following version of Theorem 1.5.

Corollary 1.6. *A linear map $\phi : L^1(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends to a bounded linear functional $\phi \in M'_d(G) = X'_d(G)^*$ on $X'_d(G)$ if and only if there exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_i 's and bounded linear maps $\eta_i : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) = \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$. Moreover, we can assume that $\|\phi\| = \|\eta_1\| \cdots \|\eta_d\|$.

Let $\phi \in M'_d(G)$ and let \mathcal{H}_i 's and η_i 's be as in (1.4). Denote

$$C_i = \{\eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid f_{i+1}, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1,$$

and

$$D_i = \{[\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_i(f_i)]^*v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} \in L^1(G)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

We have an analogue of Lemma 1.2. The proof is done in exactly the same way by replacing (A_i, B_i, ξ_i, x_i) 's by (C_i, D_i, η_i, f_i) 's. We present the proof for convenience.

Lemma 1.7. *If $\phi \in M'_d(G)$ is non-zero, we can choose \mathcal{H}_i 's and η_i 's such that C_i and D_i are total in \mathcal{H}_i . In this case, \mathcal{H}_i 's are separable.*

Proof. Let $P_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be the projection onto $\overline{\text{span}}C_i$. P_0 is the identity because ϕ is nonzero and $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. The Hilbert spaces $P_i \mathcal{H}_i$ and the bounded maps $P_{i-1}\eta_i$ also satisfy (1.4), thus we can and will assume that each C_i is total in \mathcal{H}_i . Let $Q_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be the projection onto $\overline{\text{span}}D_i$. Again, Q_d is just the identity on \mathcal{H}_d because

$$\phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) = \langle v_d, [\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)]^*v_0 \rangle$$

is nonzero for some $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}_d \cong \mathbb{C}$. Put $\mathcal{H}'_i = Q_i \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\eta'_i(f_i) = Q_{i-1}\eta_i(f_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ with $Q_0 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$. Then $(\mathcal{H}'_i, \eta'_i)$'s still satisfy (1.4). Indeed, take any vectors $v'_i = [\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_i(f_i)]^*v_0 \in \mathcal{H}'_i$ and $v_{i+1} \in \mathcal{H}'_{i+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} v'_{i+1}, v'_i \rangle &= \langle v'_{i+1}, Q_{i+1} [\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_i(f_{i+1})]^* v'_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v'_{i+1}, [\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_i(f_{i+1})]^* v'_i \rangle \\ &= \langle Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) v'_{i+1}, v'_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since such v'_i 's are total in \mathcal{H}'_i , the above equality is true for any $v'_i \in \mathcal{H}'_i$. In other words, we have

$$(1.5) \quad Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} = Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}).$$

From this, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'_1(f_1) \cdots \eta'_d(f_d) &= Q_0 \eta_1(f_1) Q_1 \eta_2(f_2) Q_2 \cdots Q_{d-1} \eta_d(f_d) \\ &= \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_d(f_d) = \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the set

$$D'_i = \{[\eta'_1(f_1) \cdots \eta'_i(f_i)]^*v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} \in L^1(G)\}$$

is total in \mathcal{H}'_i . Let us prove that

$$C'_i = \{\eta'_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) \cdots \eta'_d(f_d)v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid f_{i+1}, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)\}$$

is total in \mathcal{H}'_i by reverse recursion. Firstly,

$$C'_{d-1} = \{Q_{d-1} \eta_d(f_d)v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i \mid f_d \in L^1(G)\}$$

is total in $\mathcal{H}'_{d-1} = Q_{d-1} \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ because C_{d-1} is total in \mathcal{H}_{d-1} . Assume that C'_{i+1} is total in $\mathcal{H}'_{i+1} = Q_{i+1} \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Then by (1.5) and the totality condition of C_i 's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{span}} C'_i &= \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{f_{i+1} \in L^1(G)} \eta'_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) C'_{i+1} = \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{f_{i+1} \in L^1(G)} Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) Q_{i+1} \mathcal{H}_{i+1} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{f_{i+1} \in L^1(G)} Q_i \eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) \mathcal{H}_{i+1} = \overline{\text{span}} Q_i C_i = Q_i \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}'_i, \end{aligned}$$

showing that C'_i is total in \mathcal{H}'_i . This proves the first assertion of the lemma. Recall that G is second countable, equivalently $L^1(G)$ is separable. Now, by density and continuity, it follows that the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}'_i are separable. \square

Consider the d -convolution map

$$(1.6) \quad \text{conv}_d : \underbrace{L^1(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^1(G)}_d \rightarrow L^1(G)$$

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d \mapsto f_1 * \cdots * f_d.$$

Denote by Y the closure of the kernel of conv_d in $X'_d(G)$. Denote by $Y^\perp \subseteq M'_d(G)$ the closed subspace of functionals that vanish on Y (equivalently on the kernel of conv_d). We note that a functional $\phi \in M'_d(G)$ is in Y^\perp if and only if there is a map $\tilde{\phi} : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{\phi}(f_1 * \cdots * f_d) = \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) v_d.$$

Theorem 0.2 suggests that $M_d(G)$ and Y^\perp are in a natural correspondence. Then Theorem 0.3 is straightforward since $Y^\perp \cong (X'_d(G)/Y)^*$ isometrically.

2. MAIN SECTION

In this section, we will prove the main results.

2.1. Mapping from $M_d(G)$ into $Y^\perp \subseteq M'_d(G)$. For $\varphi \in M_d(G)$, we write

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\varphi}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) = (\varphi, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))}$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$. Since conv_d is linear, $\hat{\varphi}$ extends to a linear functional on $L^1(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^1(G)$ and vanish on the kernel of conv_d .

Lemma 2.1. *The map $\varphi \in M_d(G) \mapsto \hat{\varphi} \in Y^\perp \subseteq M'_d(G)$ is a well defined contraction.*

Proof. If $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a bounded weakly measurable map, we can extend it to a bounded linear map $\hat{\xi} : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ as

$$\langle \hat{\xi}(f)v, w \rangle = \int_G f(x) \langle \xi(x)v, w \rangle dx, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}, \quad w \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Clearly, we have $\|\hat{\xi}\| \leq \sup_{x \in G} \|\xi(x)\|$. For $\varphi \in M_d(G)$, we choose separable \mathcal{H}_i 's and WOT-continuous ξ_i 's as in Lemma 1.3. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & \langle \hat{\xi}_1(f_1) \cdots \hat{\xi}_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle = \int_G f_1(x_1) \langle \xi_1(x_1) \hat{\xi}_2(f_2) \cdots \hat{\xi}_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle dx_1 \\
& = \int_G \int_G f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) \langle \xi_2(x_2) \hat{\xi}_3(x_3) \cdots \hat{\xi}_d(f_d)v_d, \xi_1(x_1)^* v_0 \rangle dx_2 dx_1 \\
& \cdots \\
& = \int_G \cdots \int_G f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d) \langle \xi_d(x_d)v_d, \xi_{d-1}(x_{d-1})^* \cdots \xi_1(x_1)^* v_0 \rangle dx_d \cdots dx_1 \\
& = \int_G \cdots \int_G f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d) \varphi(x_1 \cdots x_d) dx_d \cdots dx_1 \\
& = (\varphi, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))} = \hat{\varphi}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d).
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$. By Corollary 1.6, $\hat{\varphi}$ extend to a bounded linear functional on $X'_d(G)$ with

$$\|\hat{\varphi}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \|\hat{\xi}_i\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \|\xi_i\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_{M_d}.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

2.2. Mapping from $Y^\perp \subseteq M'_d(G)$ into $M_d(G)$. We want to define a contraction

$$\phi \in Y^\perp \mapsto \check{\phi} \in M_d(G)$$

that is inverse to the map in Lemma 2.1. Let $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded left-right approximate identity of $L^1(G)$ consisting of compactly supported, positive, self-adjoint functions, i.e. $k_n \in C_c(G)_+$, $k_n^* = k_n$, $\|k_n\|_1 \leq 1$, $\|k_n * f - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, and $\|f * k_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in L^1(G)$. For $\phi \in Y^\perp$, define $\check{\phi}$ as

$$(2.3) \quad \check{\phi} : x \in G \mapsto \lim_n \phi(\lambda(x)k_n \otimes \cdots \otimes k_n)v_d.$$

We will see soon that the above is a well defined continuous function on G .

Lemma 2.2. *The map $\phi \in Y^\perp \subseteq M'_d(G) \mapsto \check{\phi} \in M_d(G)$ is a well defined contraction.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in Y^\perp$. Choose \mathcal{H}_i 's and η_i 's as in Lemma 1.7. Denote $h_n = k_n * \cdots * k_n$. Then $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a bounded approximate identity with the same properties. Take any $x \in G$. For $v_i = \eta_{i+1}(f_i * f_{i+1})\eta_{i+2}(f_{i+2}) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $v_{i-1} = [\eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_{i-1}(f_{i-1})]^* v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ with $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$, define the operator $\check{\eta}_i(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad & \langle \check{\eta}_i(x)v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle = \langle \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i-1} \otimes \lambda(x)f_i \otimes f_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle \\
& = \langle \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_{i-1}(f_{i-1})\eta_i(\lambda(x)f_i)\eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle \\
& = \lim_n \langle \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_{i-1}(f_{i-1})\eta_i(\lambda(x)h_n * f_i)\eta_{i+1}(f_{i+1}) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle \\
& = \lim_n \langle \check{\phi}(f_1 * \cdots * f_{i-1} * \lambda(x)h_n * f_i * \cdots * f_d), v_0 \rangle \\
& = \lim_n \langle \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_{i-1}(f_{i-1})\eta_i(\lambda(x)h_n)\eta_{i+1}(f_i * f_{i+1}) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle \\
& = \lim_n \langle \eta_i(\lambda(x)h_n)v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This extends to a well defined linear map on the linear span of v_i 's because $\phi \in Y^\perp$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_d = \mathbb{C}$. Since $L^1(G) * L^1(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$ and η_{i+1} is continuous, such v_i 's are total in \mathcal{H}_i . By density and boundedness, $\check{\eta}_i(x)$ extends to a bounded linear map in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ with norm not exceeding $\|\eta_i\|$. In other words, we have $\check{\eta}_i : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$ well defined and uniformly bounded by $\|\eta_i\|$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\eta}_1(x_1) \cdots \check{\eta}_d(x_d)v_d &= \lim_{n_d} \cdots \lim_{n_1} \eta_1(\lambda(x_1)h_{n_1}) \cdots \eta_d(\lambda(x_d)h_{n_d})v_d \\ &= \lim_{n_d} \cdots \lim_{n_1} \check{\phi}(\lambda(x_1)h_{n_1} * \cdots * \lambda(x_{d-1})h_{n_{d-1}} * \lambda(x_d)k_{n_d} * \cdots * k_{n_d}) \\ &= \lim_{n_d} \cdots \lim_{n_1} \eta_1(\lambda(x_1)h_{n_1}) * \cdots * \lambda(x_{d-1})h_{n_{d-1}} * \lambda(x_d)k_{n_d} \eta_2(k_{n_d}) \cdots \eta_d(k_{n_d})v_d \\ &= \lim_{n_d} \eta_1(\lambda(x_1 \cdots x_d)k_{n_d}) \eta_2(k_{n_d}) \cdots \eta_d(k_{n_d})v_d \\ &= \lim_n \phi(\lambda(x_1 \cdots x_d)k_n \otimes k_n \otimes \cdots \otimes k_n)v_d. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the function $\check{\phi}$ from (2.3) is well defined and in $M_d(G_d)$ with

$$\|\check{\phi}\|_{M_d} \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \|\check{\eta}_i\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \|\eta_i\| = \|\phi\|.$$

Since the map $x \in G \mapsto \lambda(x)g \in L^1(G)$ is continuous for every $g \in C_c(G)$ (see for example [Ped89, Lemma 6.6.11]), $\langle \check{\eta}_i(\cdot)v_i, v_{i-1} \rangle$ is a pointwise limit of continuous functions, hence measurable. Now, the continuity of $\check{\phi}$ follows from Lemma 1.1 by putting

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{d-1}, \quad A(x) = \check{\eta}_d(x^{-1})v_d, \quad B(y) = [\check{\eta}_1(y)\check{\eta}_2(e) \cdots \check{\eta}_{d-1}(e)]^*v_0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2. It only remains to prove that the maps in Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are inverse to each other. If $\varphi \in M_d(G)$, by definition (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\hat{\varphi}}(x) &= \lim_n \hat{\varphi}(\lambda(x)k_n \otimes \cdots \otimes k_n)v_d \\ &= \lim_n (\varphi, \lambda(x)k_n * \cdots * k_n)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))} \\ &= \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $x \in G$, but since both $\check{\hat{\varphi}}$ and φ are continuous, they coincide. Conversely, if $\phi \in Y^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\check{\phi}}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) &= (\check{\phi}, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))} \\ &= \int_{G^n} \check{\phi}(x_1 \cdots x_d) f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d) dx_1 \cdots dx_d \\ &= \int_{G^n} \langle \check{\eta}_1(x_1) \cdots \check{\eta}_d(x_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d) dx_1 \cdots dx_d \\ &= \langle \eta_1(f_1) \cdots \eta_d(f_d)v_d, v_0 \rangle \\ &= \phi(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in C_c(G)$. By totality and continuity, we get $\hat{\check{\phi}} = \phi$. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Hahn-Banach's theorem yields that $Y^\perp \cong (X'_d(G)/Y)^*$ isometrically. Thus, by Theorem 0.2 we have an isometric isomorphism

$$i : \varphi \in M_d(G) \mapsto [\hat{\varphi}] \in (X'_d(G)/Y)^*$$

such that

$$(2.5) \quad [\hat{\varphi}]([f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d]) = (\varphi, f_1 * \cdots * f_d)_{(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))}.$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$. If we restrict its dual map onto $X'_d(G)/Y$, we get an isometry

$$i^* : X'_d(G)/Y \rightarrow M_d(G)^*$$

onto a closed subspace of $M_d(G)^*$ (which is a Banach predual of $M_d(G)$) such that $i^*([f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d]) = f_1 * \cdots * f_d$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_d \in L^1(G)$. Since the inclusions $L^1(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^1(G) \subseteq X'_d(G)$ and $L^1(G)^{*d} \subseteq L^1(G) \subseteq X_d(G)$ are dense, we deduce that $i^*(X'_d(G)/Y) = X_d(G)$ and $M_d(G) \cong X_d(G)^*$ isometrically. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.8. The "if" part is handled in [Bat23b, Theorem 1.4]. We now prove the other direction.

Suppose that $\Omega \subseteq G$ is a measurable, finite measured fundamental domain for Γ so that we have $G = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma\Omega$. We can normalize the Haar measure dw of G so that Ω has measure 1. Define a unital mapping

$$i : \varphi \in L^\infty(G) \mapsto i(\varphi) \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma), \quad i(\varphi)(\gamma) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\gamma w) dw.$$

We claim that this map is normal. To see that, it is enough to show that the dual map i^* sends $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ into $L^1(G)$. Take any $f \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, i^*(f))_{(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(G)^*)} &= (i(\varphi), f)_{(\ell^\infty(\Gamma), \ell^1(\Gamma))} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\gamma w) dw \\ &= \int_G \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) 1_{\gamma\Omega}(g) \right) \varphi(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_G \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) 1_{\gamma\Omega}(w) \right| dw \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)| |\gamma\Omega| = \|f\|_1 < \infty.$$

This shows that $i^*(f)$ is in $L^1(G)$ and the claim is true.

Take any $\varphi \in M_d(G)$ and let ξ_i 's be as in Lemma 1.3. Observe that

$$i(\varphi)(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d w) dw = \xi_1(\gamma_1) \cdots \xi_{d-1}(\gamma_{d-1}) \int_{\Omega} \xi_d(\gamma_d w) dw.$$

This shows that the mapping

$$i : M_d(G) \rightarrow M_d(\Gamma)$$

is well defined and norm decreasing. Moreover, it is $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ - $\sigma(M_d(\Gamma), X_d(\Gamma))$ -continuous since $i^* : \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^1(G)$ is well defined and the inclusions $\ell^1(\Gamma) \subseteq X_d(\Gamma)$ and $L^1(G) \subseteq X_d(G)$ are dense.

Take any $\varphi \in C_c(G)$. Observe that

$$\|i(\varphi)\|_2^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\gamma w) dw \right|^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(\gamma w)|^2 dw \int_{\Omega} 1^2 dw = \|\varphi\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

In other words, $i(\varphi) \in \ell^2(\Gamma) \subseteq A(\Gamma)$.

Now the proof is straightforward. As G has M_d -AP, there is a net (φ_i) in $C_c(G) \cap M_d(G)$ that converges to 1 in $\sigma(M_d(G), X_d(G))$ -topology. Then, $(i(\varphi_i))$ is a net in $A(\Gamma)$ that converges to 1 in $\sigma(M_d(\Gamma), X_d(\Gamma))$ -topology. As pointed out in [Bat23b, Definition 2.2 and Remark 2.3], this is enough to deduce that Γ has M_d -AP. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bat23a] Bat-Od Battseren, *Von Neumann equivalence and group exactness*, J. Funct. Anal. **284** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 109786, 12. MR 4515813
- [Bat23b] ———, *Von Neumann equivalence and M_d type approximation properties*, to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc. (2023).
- [CH89] Michael Cowling and Uffe Haagerup, *Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one*, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), no. 3, 507–549. MR 996553
- [DCH85] Jean De Cannière and Uffe Haagerup, *Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), no. 2, 455–500. MR 784292
- [ER22] Edward G. Effros and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *Theory of operator spaces*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2022, Corrected reprint of the 2000 original [1793753]. MR 4420075
- [Eym64] Pierre Eymard, *L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 181–236. MR 228628
- [Gaa73] Steven A. Gaal, *Linear analysis and representation theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 198, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. MR 0447465
- [Haa16] Uffe Haagerup, *Group C^* -algebras without the completely bounded approximation property*, J. Lie Theory **26** (2016), no. 3, 861–887. MR 3476201
- [HK94] Uffe Haagerup and Jon Kraus, *Approximation properties for group C^* -algebras and group von Neumann algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **344** (1994), no. 2, 667–699. MR 1220905
- [IPR24] Ishan Ishan, Jesse Peterson, and Lauren Ruth, *Von Neumann equivalence and properly proximal groups*, Adv. Math. **438** (2024), Paper No. 109481, 43. MR 4689227
- [Ish24] Ishan Ishan, *On von Neumann equivalence and group approximation properties*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **18** (2024), no. 2, 737–747. MR 4729824
- [KL18] Eberhard Kaniuth and Anthony To-Ming Lau, *Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 231, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018. MR 3821506
- [Knu16] Søren Knudby, *The weak Haagerup property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 5, 3469–3508. MR 3451883
- [Ped89] Gert K. Pedersen, *Analysis now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 118, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. MR 971256
- [Pis03] Gilles Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR 2006539
- [Pis05] ———, *Are unitarizable groups amenable?*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, Progr. Math., vol. 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005, pp. 323–362. MR 2195457
- [PS87] V. I. Paulsen and R. R. Smith, *Multilinear maps and tensor norms on operator systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (1987), no. 2, 258–276. MR 899651
- [Run20] Volker Runde, *Amenable Banach algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, [2020] ©2020, A panorama. MR 4179584
- [Ver23] Ignacio Vergara, *The M_d -approximation property and unitarisability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (2023), no. 3, 1209–1220. MR 4531649