

Enhancing Startup Success Predictions in Venture Capital: A GraphRAG Augmented Multivariate Time Series Method

Zitian Gao¹, Yihao Xiao²

¹School of Computer Science, The University of Sydney, Australia

²School of Finance, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, China
zgao3186@uni.sydney.edu.au, 2023121716@stu.sufe.edu.cn

Abstract

In the Venture Capital (VC) industry, predicting the success of startups is challenging due to limited financial data and the need for subjective revenue forecasts. Previous methods based on time series analysis or deep learning often fall short as they fail to incorporate crucial inter-company relationships such as competition and collaboration. Regarding the issues, we propose a novel approach using GraphRAG augmented time series model. With GraphRAG, time series predictive methods are enhanced by integrating these vital relationships into the analysis framework, allowing for a more dynamic understanding of the startup ecosystem in venture capital. Our experimental results demonstrate that our model significantly outperforms previous models in startup success predictions. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first application work of GraphRAG.

Introduction

Startups typically represent newly established business models associated with disruptive innovation and high scalability. They are often viewed as powerful engines for economic and social development. With the ongoing economic and social development and the continuous emergence of new technologies, the number of startups has surged, making the prediction of new technologies or business models highly uncertain. Venture capital (VC) evaluation involves assessing investment opportunities in startups and early-stage companies, requiring effective decision-making and data analysis. Valuation is crucial for VCs when deciding to invest in a startup, yet nearly 50% of early-stage VCs make intuitive investment decisions using qualitative methods like gut feelings (Gompers et al. 2020). To avoid relying solely on human expertise and intuition, investors often adopt data-driven approaches to predict the success probability of startups.

Previous work has primarily focused on simple deep learning and machine learning methods, which often rely on limited financial data or single time series analyses. Specifically, most models focus on using historical financial indicators, market trends, or other single-dimensional data to predict the future performance of startups. While these models can capture the growth potential of startups in some cases, they often overlook critical factors within the broader ecosystem in which startups operate, especially the com-

petitive and cooperative relationships between companies. Startups typically operate in environments with limited resources, and their interactions with one another play a significant role in their success or failure. Traditional methods have failed to fully leverage this relational data, leading to poor performance in predicting startup success, particularly in cases with sparse data and low signal strength. These models struggle to accurately identify early success signals under such conditions.

To address these challenges, we propose a novel approach that combines GraphRAG technology with multivariate time series analysis to improve the prediction of startup success. The core of GraphRAG technology lies in its ability to effectively integrate information from various sources, particularly through knowledge graphs and retrieval-augmented generation models, to deeply explore the complex network of relationships among startups. Knowledge graphs can showcase collaborations, competition, supply chain relationships between companies, and other critical information essential for understanding a company's positioning within its industry ecosystem. By combining this relational information with multivariate time series data, we can build more comprehensive and sophisticated prediction models, significantly improving prediction accuracy and performance on companies with sparse data. Specifically, traditional time series analysis methods often struggle to provide sufficient information support for startups with sparse data and limited samples, leading to instability and low accuracy in prediction results. However, GraphRAG technology enhances model performance by integrating multi-source data, particularly incorporating relationship information among companies into the prediction models, allowing for supplementation and enhancement even in sparse data conditions.

Startups have various potential development goals, with the most desirable being successful acquisition by a large company or going public. While many studies and VCs focus on predicting whether a company will successfully go public as a binary classification task, we attempt to predict what will happen to the company next. This approach aims to provide VCs with opportunities not only to consider high-risk, high-reward companies but also to build lower-risk investment portfolios and determine when to exit.

This paper utilizes two publicly available Chinese news text datasets, selecting data and unstructured news text from

companies listed on the A-share market in China from 2013 to 2024. We extracted news reports related to A-share listed companies from these datasets, combined with these companies' financial data, market performance, and other relevant time series data to construct a comprehensive dataset. Various quantitative and qualitative factors influence the success of startups. In constructing the dataset, we not only collected news reports related to A-share listed companies for the specified years but also conducted an in-depth analysis of the various quantitative and qualitative factors mentioned in these reports. These factors include but are not limited to changes in company executives, industry dynamics, market competition, intellectual property (such as patents, trademarks, etc.). These news reports were transformed into quantifiable indicators using large models for Named Entity Recognition (NER) and structured output, allowing for effective integration with financial data, market performance, and other structured data. By integrating these quantitative and qualitative factors, we strive to build a predictive model that comprehensively reflects the potential of startups.

Our main contributions are as follows:

1. We propose a GraphRAG-enhanced multivariate seq2seq time series analysis method to predict startup success in the context of venture capital (VC). As far as we know, our work is the first application work of GraphRAG.
2. We review previous related work and identify the lack of crucial relationship information, such as collaboration and competition, in existing methods. We then propose using GraphRAG to extract these relationships from unstructured text data and integrate them into the multivariate time series analysis framework.
3. We define startup success prediction as a multivariate seq2seq time series analysis task and conduct extensive experiments on multiple datasets, comparing our method with widely adopted baselines and demonstrating its effectiveness using various evaluation metrics.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Related Work section reviews the related literature, focusing on startup success prediction, venture capital analysis, and the application of knowledge graphs and multivariate time series models. We analyze the limitations of existing prediction models and discuss recent research progress in these fields and their potential in venture capital. Proposed method section details our methodology. Experiment introduces the data collection and processing process, and discusses the experimental setup and results of model comparisons. Finally, the last sections summarize our contributions and propose limitations and future research directions.

Related Work

Deep Learning/Machine Learning Methods

Predicting the success of startups has garnered increasing attention from scholars, especially in the venture capital field. Existing research primarily focuses on developing methods to represent company features and relationships and on building predictive models using machine learning and deep learning techniques. For example, (Cao et al. 2024) constructed CompanyKG to represent and learn various fea-

tures and relationships between companies, aiming to capture the impact of these features on a company's success. (Żbikowski and Antosiuk 2021) used Crunchbase data to create an XGBoost-based model for predicting the likelihood of a company's success. (Kim, Kim, and Sohn 2020) used a doc2vec deep learning method to extract feature vectors from startup profiles and patent abstracts, applying them to filter promising startups in certain fields. In addition, (Ross et al. 2021) introduced the CapitalVX framework, which leverages general business information of startups to predict optimal entry and exit timings, providing valuable insights into market dynamics and investment strategies. Although these approaches have made some progress, they still face challenges in handling complex nonlinear relationships and long-term predictions. (Lyu et al. 2021) designed an incremental representation learning mechanism and a sequential learning model based on graph neural networks to predict the success of startups. (Wang, Zheng, and Wu 2020) performed preprocessing and exploratory analysis on Kickstarter data and then introduced deep learning algorithms like multilayer perceptrons for predicting crowdfunding outcomes. To address long-term revenue prediction, (Cao et al. 2022) proposed the Simulation of Information Revenue Extrapolation (SiRE) algorithm to generate fine-grained long-term revenue forecasts. Furthermore, some studies, such as (Leogrande, Costantiello, and Laureti 2021), have explored the use of unstructured data, including news articles and social media content, for predicting startup success, highlighting the potential of diverse data sources in this domain.

These studies provide valuable insights into startup success prediction and demonstrate the potential of deep learning in this domain. However, these models typically require large amounts of training data and may perform poorly in scenarios with sparse or noisy data. Existing methods also have limitations in integrating multi-dimensional features and relationship information, making it challenging to fully leverage the latent connections and temporal relationships within complex company ecosystems. Against this backdrop, our research seeks to overcome the limitations of traditional methods by combining GraphRAG with multivariate time series analysis to provide a more comprehensive and accurate solution for predicting startup success.

Retrieval-Augmented Generation

In the finance domain, Retrieval-Augmented Generation (Lewis et al. 2021) has become a significant method, particularly for answering questions based on large datasets. RAG methods extract information from retrievable text regions, providing a solid foundation for generation tasks. For instance, (Wang and Ihlamur 2024) developed a startup success prediction framework (SSFF) that incorporates RAG as an external knowledge module to gain a comprehensive understanding of market landscapes. However, in more complex scenarios, such as handling multi-dimensional data and intricate relationships, the capabilities of traditional RAG may be limited.

To address this issue, GraphRAG has been introduced as a structured, hierarchical retrieval-augmented generation method. It not only relies on semantic search of plain text




Figure 1: Our proposed method framework overview: The upper part of the framework represents the process of extracting a knowledge graph from structured text using GraphRAG, followed by transforming it into a mask matrix using the Leiden algorithm. The lower part represents our multivariate sequence-to-sequence LSTM model (Mou et al. 2022).

fragments but also enhances the model’s reasoning ability by constructing and utilizing knowledge graphs (Edge et al. 2024). The GraphRAG process involves extracting information from all kinds of unstructured text data (e.g., PDFs, CSVs), building knowledge graphs, generating hierarchical summaries of data, and using the Leiden algorithm to detect industry communities within the knowledge graph (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019). This process aids in intuitively understanding the collaborative and competitive relationships between companies when dealing with complex, multi-dimensional data.

GraphRAG has shown significant advantages in reasoning about complex information, especially in handling relationship networks and time series data between startups. Compared to traditional RAG, GraphRAG can better connect different pieces of information, generating new synthesized insights through shared attributes and providing more accurate and in-depth predictive results. This approach is particularly effective when dealing with previously unseen proprietary datasets, such as a company’s proprietary research or business documents. Traditional RAG struggles to fully comprehend and summarize large datasets, whereas GraphRAG, by automatically creating knowledge graphs and combining community summaries with graph machine learning outputs, significantly improves task performance.

In our research, GraphRAG is applied to multivariate time series analysis for startup success prediction. As a key component, it is used to extract relationship information, such as collaboration and competition, from unstructured text data and integrate it into our predictive model. This approach not only enhances the model’s reasoning capabilities but also improves the accuracy and effectiveness of predictions by better capturing the complex relationships between startups.

Proposed Method

Our approach consists of two stages: First, we input a large amount of unstructured news text into GraphRAG, where during the clustering and retrieval process, global statistics are dynamically updated to accurately cluster relationships between entities. This results in a directed knowledge graph of companies, with edges representing both direct and indirect relationships between companies. In the second stage, inspired by (Ibrahim et al. 2022) and (Barigozzi and Brownlee 2019), we transform the knowledge graph into a mask matrix using the Leiden algorithm (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019). This matrix is then used as a regularizer in the multivariate time series model, combined with relevant covariates. This method effectively enhances the generalization ability of models on scarce company data and significantly improves prediction performance, as illustrated in Figure 1. The specific algorithm details are provided in Algorithms 1 and 2.

Convolutional LSTM Modeling

Recall the Long Short Term Memory RNN(LSTM) originally proposed by (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997), every hidden layers in the LSTM network are fully connected layers. Formally,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_t &= \sigma(W_i * [X_t, H_{t-1}] + b_i) \\
 f_t &= \sigma(W_f * [X_t, H_{t-1}] + b_f) \\
 o_t &= \sigma(W_o * [X_t, H_{t-1}] + b_o) \\
 C_t &= f_t \circ C_{t-1} + i_t \circ \tanh(W_c * [X_t, H_{t-1}] + b_c) \\
 H_t &= o_t \circ \tanh(C_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

where X_t, H_t, C_t represent the input data, hidden state, and cell state at time step t ; i_t, f_t, o_t represent the output, forget, and output gates at time step t ; W_i, W_f, W_o represent the

convolutional kernel of the input, forget, and output gate; b_i , b_f , b_o represent the bias of the input, forget, and output gate which is an encoding of everything the cell has observed until time t . The convolution operator is denoted by $*$ and \circ denotes the point-wise product operation. Parameter sharing is a critical aspect for enhancing the generalization capabilities of deep learning models is achieved through the convolutional operations between the kernels and the input data.

The objective of the LSTM is to estimate the conditional probability $p(y_1, \dots, y_{T'} | x_1, \dots, x_T)$, where x_1, \dots, x_T represents the input sequence and $y_1, \dots, y_{T'}$ is its corresponding output sequence, with T' possibly differing from T . The LSTM computes this conditional probability by first obtaining the fixed-dimensional representation v of the input sequence x_1, \dots, x_T given by the last hidden state of the LSTM. Subsequently, it computes the probability of $y_1, \dots, y_{T'}$ using a standard LSTM language model formulation, where the initial hidden state is initialized with the representation v of x_1, \dots, x_T :

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_{T'} | x_1, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^{T'} p(y_t | v, y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$$

where each $p(y_t | v, y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$ distribution is represented with a softmax over all possible feature combinations.

Sequence-to-Sequence Representation

We define the startup success prediction as a sequence-to-sequence prediction task, which fundamentally differs from prior approaches that typically focus on binary classification tasks, such as predicting whether a company will go public or not. Unlike these methods, which often use deep learning models to directly learn a binary outcome, our approach captures a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of a startup's long-term performance by predicting a sequence of outcomes.

Given a company X , the input sequence X_n comprises a rich set of feature sequences spanning from 5 to 10 years prior to the company's IPO. These features include a variety of dimensions such as patents, trademarks, legal cases, funding history, executive changes, and other key factors that reflect the company's operational environment and strategic decisions. These dimensions are not simply individual indicators but are treated as multivariate representations that collectively capture the company's growth trajectory, strategic shifts, and adaptability to market conditions.

The output sequence Y_n represents the company's post-IPO performance over several quarters, specifically in terms of the price-to-book ratio (P/B ratio). The P/B ratio, defined as the ratio of the company's stock price (*Price*) to its book value (*Book Value*), serves as a crucial metric in venture capital. Unlike the price-to-earnings ratio (P/E ratio), which can be volatile in the short term due to fluctuations in earnings, the P/B ratio offers a more stable measure of a company's intrinsic value and long-term sustainability (Nissim and Penman 2003). By predicting the P/B ratio over multiple quarters, our model provides a detailed projection of a company's ongoing financial health and shareholder profitability.




Figure 2: The impact of different mask matrix regularization strengths λ on the model's prediction performance (R-squared).

This sequence-to-sequence approach allows us to move beyond the limitations of binary classification, enabling a deeper analysis of a company's potential success trajectory. By focusing on continuous, multivariate output sequences rather than a single binary outcome, our method offers a richer, more detailed predictive framework that is better suited to the complex, dynamic nature of startup ecosystems. This approach also allows us to capture the temporal evolution of key performance indicators, providing venture capitalists with a more informed basis for their investment decisions.

Leiden Algorithm

Let $G(V, E)$ be an undirected graph where $n := |V|$ represents the number of vertices, and $m := |E|$ represents the number of edges. We define $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ as a partition of the vertex set V . The set C is referred to as a clustering of G , with each C_i being non-empty. A clustering C is termed trivial if $k = 1$ or $k = n$. The collection of all possible clusterings of G is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(G)$. In what follows, a cluster C_i is frequently associated with the induced subgraph of G , denoted as $G[C_i] := (C_i, E(C_i))$, where

$$E(C_i) := \{\{v, w\} \in E : v, w \in C_i\}.$$

Thus, we define $E(C) := \bigcup_{i=1}^k E(C_i)$ as the collection of intracluster edges, while the complement set $E \setminus E(C)$ represents the intercluster edges. The total number of intracluster edges is denoted by $m(C)$ with the total number of intercluster edges by $\bar{m}(C)$. Additionally, the set of edges that connect a node in C_i to a node in C_j is denoted by $E(C_i, C_j)$.

In complex networks, nodes tend to group together, forming relatively dense clusters commonly referred to as communities. Modularity is a measure used to assess the quality of communities identified by heuristic-based community detection algorithms. The formulation of modularity from




Figure 3: The knowledge graph generated using GraphRAG and the Leiden algorithm, illustrated using OpenORD (Martin et al. 2011), different colors represent different industries (community clusters).

(Brandes et al. 2008) is as follows:

$$q(C) := \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{|E(C)|}{m} - \left(\frac{|E(C)| + \sum_{C' \in \mathcal{C}} |E(C, C')|}{2m} \right)^2 \right].$$

The Leiden algorithm originally introduced by (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019) is a multi-level approach to community detection aiming to maximizing the modularity gain. This algorithm involves three stages. The first stage is known as the local-moving phase, involves each vertex i optimizing its community assignment by greedily selecting to join the community of one of its neighbors denoted as $j \in J_i$. The next stage is the refinement phase, where vertices within each community further update their community assignments, starting from singleton partitions. Unlike the local-moving phase, these updates are not purely greedy; instead, vertices may move to any community within their scope where the modularity gain is positive, with the likelihood of moving to a neighboring community proportional to the modularity gain of that move. Finally, the aggregation phase combines all vertices within each refined partition into super-vertices, with an initial community assignment inherited from the local-moving phase (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019).

The algorithm introduces a refinement phase subsequent to the local-moving phase, wherein vertices within each community undergo constrained merges to other sub-communities within their community bounds (obtained from the local-moving phase), starting from a singleton sub-community. This is performed in a randomized manner, with

the probability of joining a neighboring sub-community within its community bound being proportional to the delta-modularity of the move. This facilitates the identification of sub-communities within those obtained from the local-moving phase. Once communities have converged, it is guaranteed that all vertices are optimally assigned, and all communities are subset optimal (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019).

Mask Matrix Regularization

Recall the origin Lasso (Tibshirani 1996) and adaptive Lasso (Zou 2006) formulations:

Lasso:

$$\hat{\beta}_n^{\mathcal{L}} = \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \sum_j |\beta_j| \right\}$$

where $\|y - X\beta\|_2^2$ denotes the residual sum of squares, and $\sum_j |\beta_j|$ is the ℓ_1 -norm penalty term.

Adaptive Lasso:

$$\hat{\beta}_n^A = \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \sum_j \frac{1}{|\hat{\beta}_j|^\gamma} |\beta_j| \right\}$$

where \mathbf{r} is the element-wise reciprocal of the pre-estimator of ρ .

| Model | MSE | MAE | RMSE | p-value | R-squared |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| GRU | 0.8311 | 0.1264 | 0.9116 | 1.35e-18 | 0.3158 |
| RNN | 0.9034 | 0.1190 | 0.9504 | 4.50e-24 | 0.3160 |
| LSTM | 0.7921 | 0.1053 | 0.9736 | 1.19e-29 | 0.3254 |
| Ours | 0.6021 | 0.0832 | 0.7923 | 2.19e-44 | 0.3775 |

Table 1: P/B ratio prediction performance on the benchmark datasets.

After we extract the sparse connectivity structures \mathcal{E} from G using Leiden algorithm, We define the mask matrices formulation from (Ibrahim et al. 2022) as follows:

$$M_{ij} = 1, \text{ if } (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}; M_{ij} = \infty, \text{ otherwise.}$$

These masking matrices M are used to apply modified regularization penalties to ρ . As the masking entries increase, the corresponding penalties applied to the entries in ρ also increase. Larger entries effectively zero out the corresponding elements in ρ , thereby reducing model complexity. With these additional masking weights, we use the newly redefined masked matrix regularizer from (Ibrahim et al. 2022) as follows:

$$\Omega_{\rho}(\rho) = \lambda_{\rho} \|\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{r} \odot \rho\|_1.$$

where \mathbf{r} is the element-wise reciprocal of the prior knowledge/pre-estimator of ρ .

Based on the experiment results in Figure 2, our new masked regularizer were found to be effective in improving model performance by enforcing sparsity and preserving important structural information in the graph. This regularizer not only enhanced the interpretability of the learned representations but also demonstrated robustness against overfitting, particularly in high-dimensional and complex datasets.

Experiment

Datasets

Our dataset is composed of two parts: BBT-FinCorpus (Lu et al. 2023) and Wanjuan1.0 (He et al. 2023). BBT-FinCorpus is a large-scale Chinese financial corpus that includes approximately 300GB of raw text data gathered from four distinct sources: (1) financial, political, and economic news published by mainstream media platforms over the past 20 years; (2) all announcements and financial reports from publicly listed companies; and (3) millions of research reports from financial institutes and consulting agencies. These sources provide a comprehensive dataset that covers a wide range of financial and economic topics, offering rich background information and multidimensional data for our research. The second part of the dataset comes from Wanjuan1.0, which includes 7 million news articles covering various fields across the Chinese internet. The combination of these two datasets gives us a broad and in-depth perspective, enabling a multi-faceted analysis and prediction of startup success.

Data Processing

However, processing such a massive dataset using the GPT-4o mini API (OpenAI 2024) is quite expensive with costs amounting to \$5.00 per 1 million tokens for input and \$15.00 per 1 million tokens for output. To optimize processing efficiency and control costs, we implemented a series of data filtering measures. First, we filtered the data based on length, removing any text longer than 10,000 characters. This significantly reduced the data volume, making subsequent processing more manageable. Next, we focused on filtering data for over 300 companies that were listed on the Chinese A-share market between 2023 and 2024. We created a list of these company names and used it to search for matching fields in the news articles, removing any data that was entirely unrelated to these companies, thereby further streamlining the dataset.

To ensure the relevance and quality of the data, we employed the Deepseek-chat (DeepSeek-AI et al. 2024) API’s JSON formatting output function to assign a relevance score ranging from 0 to 5 for each news article based on its importance relative to the company names. We chose to retain only those articles with higher relevance scores and removed those with a score of 0. This meticulous filtering process resulted in a refined dataset of 40,000 news articles, with an average length of 3,000 tokens per article.

Due to the substantial token consumption required by GraphRAG, the indexing process for this filtered news dataset consumed approximately 1.5 billion tokens, costing around \$270. Upon completing the indexing process, we generated multiple directed knowledge graphs that encompass entities, communities, datasets, and more, along with a significant number of community reports. The creation of these knowledge graphs not only provided structured data support for our research but also generated detailed community reports, further enriching our analytical perspectives. These knowledge graphs and the accompanying community reports have enabled us to better understand and analyze the complex relationships among startups and enhancing the accuracy and effectiveness of our prediction models.

Experimental Setup

In our experimental setup, we emphasize the input and output sequences discussed earlier. Specifically, the input sequence X_n includes various feature sequences from 5 to 10 years prior to a company’s IPO, covering multiple dimensions such as patents, trademarks, legal cases, and funding history. These features serve as multivariate representations, capturing the company’s growth trajectory across different

aspects. The output sequence Y_n represents the price-to-book ratio (P/B ratio) over several quarters following the IPO, a key metric in assessing the continuous profitability of the company’s shareholders, and is more stable than the price-to-earnings ratio (P/E ratio).

Our experimental setup considers the specific context of the Chinese A-share market, utilizing unstructured textual data and publicly available structured data such as intellectual property information from 2013 to 2024. We designate the period from 2020 to 2021 as the training period, 2022 as the hyperparameter validation period, and 2023 to 2024 as the final evaluation period. This temporal segmentation helps ensure the model’s generalizability across different market conditions.

We evaluate the predictive performance of our models using several metrics, including Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), p-value, and R-squared. For the baseline model, we perform a grid search to identify the optimal hyperparameter configuration, using a standard Lasso regularizer.

Similarly, our proposed model also utilizes grid search to select the optimal hyperparameter configuration. And the regularizer is enhanced by our proposed mask matrix modified Lasso regularizer. This approach allows us to fully leverage inter-company relationship information, improving the model’s predictive capabilities, especially under sparse data conditions.

Main Results

As shown in Table 1, our proposed GraphRAG augmented sequence-to-sequence LSTM method outperforms all baseline methods on this task. Specifically, we observed an approximately 16% improvement in R-squared compared to the best-performing LSTM model among the baselines, which fully demonstrates the effectiveness of our approach. This result highlights our model’s superior ability to capture complex inter-company relationships and handle sparse data, leading to significantly better prediction accuracy. Moreover, our model exhibited robustness and reliability across various data sparsity conditions, further validating the potential of GraphRAG technology in the venture capital domain.

Limitation

Data dependency is a major limiting factor. Although GraphRAG can effectively integrate multi-source information, its performance heavily depends on the quality and breadth of the data used. The costs associated with acquiring and processing unstructured text data are high, particularly during the data processing stage, where the expenses for large-scale text data processing are substantial. The indexing phase of GraphRAG introduces computational cost challenges due to its complexity and heavy computational requirements, which may limit its practical application in certain scenarios. Additionally, the knowledge graphs and other data generated without effective filtering can be large, leading to a significant increase in memory and computational resource consumption. The model’s generalization ability may also be affected by the dataset. While our model

performs well on the dataset of Chinese A-share listed companies, its generalization ability across other datasets or market conditions requires further validation.

Future Work

While our proposed GraphRAG-enhanced multivariate time series analysis method has shown promise in predicting startup success, there are several areas that warrant further investigation to address current limitations and enhance the model’s capabilities.

Generalization Across Datasets: A key limitation of our current work is the reliance on non-structured data, which can be difficult to obtain consistently across different regions and industries. The dataset used in this study is primarily focused on the Chinese A-share market, which may limit the generalizability of our findings. Future research should focus on testing the model’s performance on datasets from other countries and markets to evaluate its robustness and adaptability across different economic and cultural environments.

Exploration of Alternative Soft Masking Techniques: The current approach utilizes a specific method for constructing the mask matrix based on the Leiden algorithm. However, there is potential to explore other soft masking techniques that might offer improved performance. Future work could investigate the use of different clustering algorithms to generate mask matrices, potentially enhancing the model’s ability to capture complex inter-company relationships.

Exploration of Advanced Graph Neural Networks: While GraphRAG has shown promise in enhancing time series predictions, there is potential to explore more advanced graph neural network architectures. For instance, Graph Attention Networks (GATs) or Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) could be integrated into the model to better capture complex inter-company relationships and improve predictive performance.

Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a seq2seq multivariate time series analysis method based on GraphRAG and LSTM to predict the success of startups in venture capital. By integrating GraphRAG with traditional time series models, we addressed the key issue often overlooked in previous studies—the relationships between companies, and the experimental results shows significant improvements across various evaluation metrics comparing to existing deep learning methods, indicating that GraphRAG possesses strong capabilities in capturing the complex dynamics of startup success. The core advantage of GraphRAG lies in its ability to enhance the model’s reasoning capabilities through the construction of knowledge graphs and information retrieval, enabling a better understanding of the complex competitive and cooperative relationships among startups and allows for a substantial improvement in prediction accuracy and robustness under conditions of sparse data. Our approach not only mitigates some challenges related to data sparsity but also provides more accurate decision support for venture capitalists.

References

- Barigozzi, M.; and Brownlees, C. 2019. NETS: Network estimation for time series. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 34(3): 347–364.
- Brandes, U.; Dellling, D.; Gaertler, M.; Gorke, R.; Hofer, M.; Nikoloski, Z.; and Wagner, D. 2008. On Modularity Clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 20(2): 172–188.
- Cao, L.; Horn, S.; von Ehrenheim, V.; Anselmo Stahl, R.; and Landgren, H. 2022. Simulation-Informed Revenue Extrapolation with Confidence Estimate for Scaleup Companies Using Scarce Time-Series Data. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, CIKM '22. ACM.
- Cao, L.; von Ehrenheim, V.; Granroth-Wilding, M.; Stahl, R. A.; McCormack, A.; Catovic, A.; and Rocha, D. D. C. 2024. CompanyKG: A Large-Scale Heterogeneous Graph for Company Similarity Quantification. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, 1–12.
- DeepSeek-AI; ; Bi, X.; Chen, D.; Chen, G.; Chen, S.; Dai, D.; Deng, C.; Ding, H.; Dong, K.; Du, Q.; Fu, Z.; Gao, H.; Gao, K.; Gao, W.; Ge, R.; Guan, K.; Guo, D.; Guo, J.; Hao, G.; Hao, Z.; He, Y.; Hu, W.; Huang, P.; Li, E.; Li, G.; Li, J.; Li, Y.; Li, Y. K.; Liang, W.; Lin, F.; Liu, A. X.; Liu, B.; Liu, W.; Liu, X.; Liu, X.; Liu, Y.; Lu, H.; Lu, S.; Luo, F.; Ma, S.; Nie, X.; Pei, T.; Piao, Y.; Qiu, J.; Qu, H.; Ren, T.; Ren, Z.; Ruan, C.; Sha, Z.; Shao, Z.; Song, J.; Su, X.; Sun, J.; Sun, Y.; Tang, M.; Wang, B.; Wang, P.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wu, T.; Wu, Y.; Xie, X.; Xie, Z.; Xie, Z.; Xiong, Y.; Xu, H.; Xu, R. X.; Xu, Y.; Yang, D.; You, Y.; Yu, S.; Yu, X.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, C.; Zhao, Y.; Zhou, S.; Zhou, S.; Zhu, Q.; and Zou, Y. 2024. DeepSeek LLM: Scaling Open-Source Language Models with Longtermism. arXiv:2401.02954.
- Edge, D.; Trinh, H.; Cheng, N.; Bradley, J.; Chao, A.; Mody, A.; Truitt, S.; and Larson, J. 2024. From Local to Global: A Graph RAG Approach to Query-Focused Summarization. arXiv:2404.16130.
- Gompers, P. A.; Gornall, W.; Kaplan, S. N.; and Strebulaev, I. A. 2020. How do venture capitalists make decisions? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 135(1): 169–190.
- He, C.; Jin, Z.; Xu, C.; Qiu, J.; Wang, B.; Li, W.; Yan, H.; Wang, J.; and Lin, D. 2023. WanJuan: A Comprehensive Multimodal Dataset for Advancing English and Chinese Large Models. arXiv:2308.10755.
- Hochreiter, S.; and Schmidhuber, J. 1997. Long Short-Term Memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8): 1735–1780.
- Ibrahim, S.; Chen, W.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, P.-Y.; Zhang, Y.; and Mazumder, R. 2022. Knowledge graph guided simultaneous forecasting and network learning for multivariate financial time series. In *Proceedings of the Third ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, 480–488.
- Kim, H. J.; Kim, T. S.; and Sohn, S. Y. 2020. Recommendation of startups as technology cooperation candidates from the perspectives of similarity and potential: A deep learning approach. *Decision Support Systems*, 130: 113229.
- Leogrande, A.; Costantiello, A.; and Laureti, L. 2021. The Impact of Venture Capital Expenditures on Innovation in Europe. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- Lewis, P.; Perez, E.; Piktus, A.; Petroni, F.; Karpukhin, V.; Goyal, N.; Küttler, H.; Lewis, M.; tau Yih, W.; Rocktäschel, T.; Riedel, S.; and Kiela, D. 2021. Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks. arXiv:2005.11401.
- Lu, D.; Wu, H.; Liang, J.; Xu, Y.; He, Q.; Geng, Y.; Han, M.; Xin, Y.; and Xiao, Y. 2023. BBT-Fin: Comprehensive Construction of Chinese Financial Domain Pre-trained Language Model, Corpus and Benchmark. arXiv:2302.09432.
- Lyu, S.; Ling, S.; Guo, K.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, K.; Hong, S.; Ke, Q.; and Gu, J. 2021. Graph Neural Network Based VC Investment Success Prediction. arXiv:2105.11537.
- Martin, S.; Brown, W.; Klavans, R.; and Boyack, K. 2011. OpenOrd: An Open-Source Toolbox for Large Graph Layout. *Proc SPIE*, 7868: 786806.
- Mou, N.; Jiang, Q.; Zhang, L.; Niu, J.; Zheng, Y.; Wang, Y.; and Tengfei, Y. 2022. Personalized tourist route recommendation model with a trajectory understanding via neural networks. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 15: 1738–1759.
- Nissim, D.; and Penman, S. 2003. Financial Statement Analysis of Leverage and How It Informs About Profitability and Price-to-Book Ratios. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 8: 531–560.
- OpenAI. 2024. GPT-4o mini: advancing cost-efficient intelligence: Introducing our most cost-efficient small model.
- Ross, G.; Das, S.; Sciro, D.; and Raza, H. 2021. CapitalVX: A machine learning model for startup selection and exit prediction. *The Journal of Finance and Data Science*, 7: 94–114.
- Tibshirani, R. 1996. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 58(1): 267–288.
- Traag, V. A.; Waltman, L.; and van Eck, N. J. 2019. From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing well-connected communities. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1).
- Wang, W.; Zheng, H.; and Wu, Y. J. 2020. Prediction of fundraising outcomes for crowdfunding projects based on deep learning: a multimodel comparative study. *Soft Computing*, 24(11): 8323–8341.
- Wang, X.; and Ihlamur, Y. 2024. An Automated Startup Evaluation Pipeline: Startup Success Forecasting Framework (SSFF). arXiv:2405.19456.
- Zou, H. 2006. The Adaptive Lasso and Its Oracle Properties. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 101(476): 1418–1429.
- Żbikowski, K.; and Antosiuk, P. 2021. A machine learning, bias-free approach for predicting business success using Crunchbase data. *Information Processing & Management*, 58(4): 102555.