

---

# Selective Prompt Anchoring for Code Generation

---

**Yuan Tian**

Department of Computer Science  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47906  
tian211@purdue.edu

**Tianyi Zhang**

Department of Computer Science  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47906  
tianyzi@purdue.edu

## Abstract

Recent advances in large language models (LLMs) such as Copilot and ChatGPT have transformed software development by automating coding tasks. Despite these advancements, challenges remain in reducing error rates and fully meeting user expectations. Our empirical study reveals LLMs tend to dilute their self-attention on the initial prompt as more code tokens are generated. We hypothesize this self-attention dilution issue is one of the root causes of inaccuracies in LLM-generated code. To mitigate this issue, we propose Selective Prompt Anchoring (SPA). SPA amplifies the influence of the selected parts in the initial prompt, which we refer to as “anchored text”, during code generation. Specifically, SPA calculates the logit distribution difference with and without the anchored text. We prove this difference approximates the anchored text’s contextual contribution to the output logits. SPA creates an augmented logit distribution by linearly combining the original logit distribution and the logit difference. We evaluate SPA with five LLMs on four benchmarks. Our results demonstrate that using SPA can consistently improve Pass@1 rates by up to 9.7% in all settings. Notably, with selective text anchoring, a small version of DeepSeek-Coder (6.7B) can achieve better performance than an original much larger version (33B). Our code is available at <https://github.com/magic-YuanTian/Selective-Prompt-Anchoring>.

## 1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) have emerged as powerful tools that assist programmers by automating parts of the coding process. These models leverage the vast capabilities of LLMs to interpret task descriptions in natural language and generate corresponding code. While LLMs have achieved unprecedented performance on coding tasks, they still produce incorrect solutions to many tasks or generate code that does not fully meet user expectations. The prevalence of such generation errors undermines their reliability and limits their utility in real-world software development.

To improve the performance of LLMs on coding tasks, many efforts have been made to develop high-quality training data [45, 24, 82] and design new domain-specific training objectives [60, 4]. However, these approaches require tremendous computational resources. Training-free approaches have been explored to address this challenge by enhancing the prompting method or incorporating external knowledge, such as retrieval-augmented generation [18], chain-of-thoughts [38, 71, 56], self debugging and planning [32, 8], etc. While they have been proven to be effective in improving model performance, there exist limitations such as being sensitive to the quality of prompt design and retrieved data [88]. On top of these great attempts, this work aims to study and improve LLMs in an orthogonal direction—adjusting LLMs’ attention.

The impressive performance of transformer-based LLMs is largely attributed to its self-attention mechanism [77], which enables models to focus dynamically on crucial parts of the given prompt. Despite the success of the self-attention mechanism, prior works found Language models exhibit

simple attention patterns [63, 79]. Furthermore, an empirical study [34] found that given a coding task, there often exists a misalignment between LLM attention and human attention. LLMs often focus on different parts of a natural language description as human programmers do when generating code. Another work [59] shows that when the model’s attention aligns more closely with human programmers’ attention, the model generates a more accurate SQL query. Inspired by these findings, we hypothesize that a root cause of inaccuracy in LLM-generated code stems from the suboptimal model attention. To verify our hypothesis, we conduct an empirical study that analyzes the shift in LLMs’ attention distribution during code generation. We observe that LLMs’ attention to the initial prompt gradually dilutes as generating more code. This is also supported by another study [9], which observes that self-attention can be diluted as the input to the transformer becomes longer.

In standard decoding algorithms, LLMs calculate a conditional probability for the next token based on the preceding context. However, the autoregressive nature of LLMs considers both the initial prompt and possibly wrong self-generated tokens together as the correct context and pays comparable attention to them. We argue LLMs should pay more attention to the absolutely correct prompt and less attention to the following self-generated content that could potentially be wrong.

To mitigate this limitation, we propose **Selective Prompt Anchoring (SPA)**, a model-agnostic approach that optimizes LLMs’ attention by amplifying the contextual contribution of selective prompt, towards each generated token. SPA is inspired by the anchoring effect [21] in psychology, which refers to people being influenced by specific information given before decision-making. In SPA, we refer to this information as *anchored text*, a group of selected tokens within the prompt.

Figure 1 illustrates the pipeline of SPA. Given the anchored text, SPA creates an original embedding matrix as well as a masked embedding matrix by replacing the embeddings corresponding to anchored text with mask embeddings (① & ②). We mathematically show that the anchored text’s contextual contribution can be approximately measured by the difference between the logit distribution generated from the original prompt and the prompt with the anchored text masked (③). To amplify the contribution of anchored text in the model output, SPA multiplies this logit distribution difference by a weighting hyper-parameter (④), and then adds it to the original logit distribution (⑤). We find the optimal weighting hyper-parameter varies across different models and tasks, but it is easy to tune. To select the anchored text, our ablation study shows anchoring the natural language description alone (exemplified in Figure 1) performs the best for code generation tasks.




Figure 1: Pipeline of SPA

We evaluate SPA on four benchmarks with five different-sized LLMs. The result shows SPA can significantly and consistently boost the Pass@1 Rate across all models and benchmarks, highlighting a new direction for controlling LLMs’ high-level attention and effectively improving performance.

## 2 An Empirical Analysis of Attention Dilution

We first conduct an empirical study to analyze the attention dilution issue in LLMs during code generation. To improve the generalizability of our findings, we experimented with two different methods to compute the attention scores over input tokens. First, we used a self-attention-based method [87, 22, 44, 76, 78] to obtain scores from the last self-attention layer of LLMs. Second, we used a gradient-based method [66, 69, 70] that treats the entire LLM as a function and measures to what extent each input token contributes to the output. Based on these two methods, we calculate the percentage of attention on the initial prompt. Calculation details are provided in Appendix A.1.




Figure 2: Shift of LLMs’ self-attention to the initial prompt. The attention is calculated from the last self-attention layer of the LLM.




Figure 3: Shift of LLMs’ gradient-based attention to the initial prompt. The gradient is calculated with respect to the output logits.

We experiment on five LLMs [58, 65, 24] with various numbers of parameters on HumanEval [7], a widely-used benchmark for code generation. Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the evolution of the density of LLMs’ attention on the initial prompt when generating the first 400 tokens. The results demonstrate that as the model generates more tokens, model attention on the initial prompt gradually becomes smaller, which we refer to as *attention dilution*. As a result, the code generation process becomes more influenced by the code tokens generated in previous time steps, rather than the prompt from users as the generated code sequence becomes longer. This can be problematic in two ways. First, generation errors in the previous time steps are very likely to propagate to the following steps as the model pays more attention to the preceding code tokens. Second, for complex tasks that require the generation of a long code sequence (e.g., multiple if statements), the model is likely to miss critical descriptions as it pays little attention to the user prompt deep in the code generation process.

## 3 Approach

### 3.1 Limitations of Standard Inference

Given a transformer-based large language model (LLM) denoted as  $f_\theta$  and an initial prompt  $x$ , the model generates tokens autoregressively by calculating conditional probabilities for the next token  $t_i$  at step  $i$ . Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}$  be the sequence of tokens already generated by the model.

The input to the model  $f_\theta$  is an  $n \times m$  embedding matrix, where  $n$  stands for the dimension of each embedding (column) and  $m$  represents the fixed context window size. Each embedding is mapped from the corresponding token in the prompt. Formally,

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \text{embedding}(x, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}) = [\mathbf{E}^x, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, \text{PAD}]. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{E}_i$  is the input embedding matrix to the model  $f_\theta$  at step  $i$ .  $\mathbf{E}^x$  is the collection of embeddings for the tokens in the prompt  $x$ , serving as a submatrix of  $\mathbf{E}_i$ .  $e_i, \dots, e_{i-1}$  are the embeddings

corresponding to generated tokens  $t_i, \dots, t_{i-1}$  respectively. PAD is the padding submatrix of  $\mathbf{E}_i$ , composed of repetitive padding embeddings. Then  $\mathbf{E}_i$  is then injected with positional encoding. Since the positional encoding does not affect our approach, we ignore it in the following computation for simplicity.

At each step, the model produces logits, denoted as  $f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i)$ , which are the raw outputs of LLM’s final layer prior to normalization. Each logit element corresponds to a token in the vocabulary. The logits  $f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i)$  are then transformed into probabilities using the softmax function, ensuring it is a valid probability distribution over the vocabulary:

$$P_\theta(t_i|x, t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i)). \quad (2)$$

Based on the conditional probability distribution for tokens at step  $i$ , a certain sampling method is applied to select the particular token. For example, greedy sampling simply selects the token with the highest probability at each step:

$$t_i = \arg \max_t P_\theta(t|x, t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}). \quad (3)$$

However, the model treats the initial prompt  $x$  and self-generated content  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}$  equally as the input. The self-attention dilution issue may arise as the number of self-generated tokens increases. It can potentially cause the model to overlook the initial prompt  $x$ , pay excessive attention to self-generated content  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}$ , and gradually deviate from the original purpose.

### 3.2 Semantic Adjustment

To mitigate the attention dilution issue, we propose Selective Prompt Anchoring (SPA) to augment the output logits by amplifying the contextual contribution of the selective tokens within the prompt, which we refer to as “*anchored text*”.

SPA introduces the mechanism of adjusting the semantic impact of arbitrary groups of token embeddings in the input matrix  $\mathbf{E}_i$  towards the output logits  $f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i)$ . For simplicity, here we make the entire initial prompt  $x$  as the anchored text.

$\mathbf{E}_i$  is an  $n \times m$  input embedding matrix at step  $i$ , and  $\mathbf{E}^x$  represents a  $n \times k$  submatrix within  $\mathbf{E}_i$  covering the first  $k$  columns (corresponding to the prompt  $x$ ). They are visualized below:

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1k} & e_{1,k+1} & \cdots & e_{1m} \\ e_{21} & \cdots & e_{2k} & e_{2,k+1} & \cdots & e_{2m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{n1} & \cdots & e_{nk} & e_{n,k+1} & \cdots & e_{nm} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\mathbf{E}^x}$

We construct two  $n \times m$  matrices,  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_i$ , which add up to  $\mathbf{E}_i$ . Matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is created by preserving the first  $k$  columns of  $\mathbf{E}_i$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{E}^x$  and setting all other columns to zero (note that  $\mathbf{E}^x$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  remain unchanged during generating new tokens). Matrix  $\mathbf{G}_i$  is constructed by setting the first  $k$  columns of  $\mathbf{E}_i$  that correspond to  $\mathbf{E}^x$  to zero, and retaining all other elements from the remaining columns. They are visualized as follows:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & \cdots & e_{1k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ e_{21} & e_{22} & \cdots & e_{2k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{n1} & e_{n2} & \cdots & e_{nk} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{G}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{1,k+1} & \cdots & e_{1m} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{2,k+1} & \cdots & e_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{n,k+1} & \cdots & e_{nm} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The sum of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_i$  reconstructs the original matrix  $\mathbf{E}_i$ :

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G}_i. \quad (6)$$

Suppose we want to amplify the semantic impact of the submatrix  $\mathbf{X}$  by a weighting value  $\omega$ .  $\omega > 1$  indicates amplification of semantics, while  $\omega < 1$  indicates diminishing the semantics. We define a semantic adjustment function  $\Phi(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  that scales the influence of  $\mathbf{X}$  by  $\omega$ . Here we assume the semantic consistency [64]: the scaled embeddings maintain their semantic interpretations in a manner

comprehensible by the model  $f_\theta$ . Note that the original embedding matrix  $\mathbf{E}_i$  corresponds to when  $\omega$  equals 1:

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \Phi(\mathbf{X}, 1) + \mathbf{G}_i. \quad (7)$$

Given  $f_\theta$  is differentiable for backpropagation, to amplify the semantic impact of the anchored prompt  $x$  in the final logits, it is essentially calculating the integral along with the directional derivative of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let  $F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega)$  represent the augmented logits calculated by model  $f_\theta$  at step  $i$ , where the impact of anchored text  $x$  is scaled by  $\omega$ . Formally,

$$F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega) = f_\theta(\Phi(\mathbf{X}, \omega) + \mathbf{G}_i) \quad (8)$$

$$\approx f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i) + \int_1^\omega \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f_\theta(\Phi(\mathbf{X}, t) + \mathbf{G}_i) dt \quad (9)$$

$$= F_{\theta,i,x}(1) + \int_1^\omega \frac{dF_{\theta,i,x}(t)}{dt} dt \quad (10)$$

$$= F_{\theta,i,x}(0) + \int_0^\omega \frac{dF_{\theta,i,x}(t)}{dt} dt, \quad (11)$$

where  $t$  is the variable of integration.

### 3.3 Augmented Logits by Approximation

Given the computational complexities of LLMs, directly solving  $\int_0^\omega \frac{dF_{\theta,i,x}(t)}{dt} dt$  is impractical. Therefore, we approximate it by employing the Taylor expansion:

$$F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega) = F_{\theta,i,x}(0) + \omega \cdot F_{\theta,i,x}'(0) + \frac{\omega^2}{2!} F_{\theta,i,x}''(0) + \dots \quad (12)$$

Since LLMs are inherently non-linear, higher-order derivatives of the logits function are non-zero. However, for computational efficiency, we truncate the series after the first derivative, yielding:

$$F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega) \approx F_{\theta,i,x}(0) + \omega \cdot F_{\theta,i,x}'(0), \quad (13)$$

where the the integral part  $\int_0^\omega \frac{dF_{\theta,i,x}(t)}{dt} dt$  in equation 11 is approximated by  $\omega \cdot F_{\theta,i,x}'(0)$ . Here we assume the logit function  $F_{\theta,i,x}$  is smooth and differentiable so it is possible to compute the first-order derivative.

To calculate  $F_{\theta,i,x}(0)$ , we mask tokens in the anchored text  $x$  using masked embeddings. Each LLM provides at least one special token reserved for text masking, which almost has no semantic influence, e.g., <unk> for Code Llama [65] and <pad> for DeepSeek-Coder [24]. Each special token corresponds to a masked embedding. By replacing embeddings of  $x$  with masked embeddings, we get a masked input matrix  $\mathbf{E}_i^{mask}$ . It ablates the semantic influence of the anchored text  $x$  while the positional encoding is not affected. Thus, we can get

$$F_{\theta,i,x}(0) = f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i^{mask}). \quad (14)$$

To calculate  $F_{\theta,i,x}'(0)$ , we use finite-difference methods to get an approximation. Assuming the interval of  $1 - 0$  is sufficiently small for  $F_{\theta,i,x}$ , we get:

$$F_{\theta,i,x}'(0) \approx \frac{F_{\theta,i,x}(1) - F_{\theta,i,x}(0)}{1 - 0}. \quad (15)$$

Combining equation 13, 14, and 15, we get the augmented logits by first-order approximation:

$$F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega) \approx F_{\theta,i,x}(0) + \omega \cdot (F_{\theta,i,x}(1) - F_{\theta,i,x}(0)) \quad (16)$$

$$= \omega \cdot f_\theta(\Phi(\mathbf{X}, 1) + \mathbf{G}_i) + (1 - \omega) \cdot f_\theta(\Phi(\mathbf{X}, 0) + \mathbf{G}_i) \quad (17)$$

$$= \omega \cdot f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i) + (1 - \omega) \cdot f_\theta(\mathbf{E}_i^{mask}). \quad (18)$$

Based on the augmented logits  $F_{\theta,i,x}(\omega)$  where the impact of the anchored text is adjusted by a given value  $\omega$ , a certain sampling algorithm is applied to select the particular token. SPA can be used to augment different existing sampling methods, including greedy sampling, beam search, nucleus sampling [29], and more.

### 3.4 Higher Order Approximation

It is feasible to calculate higher-order approximation. For example, if we want to keep the term with the second-order derivative  $\frac{\omega^2}{2!} F_{\theta,i,x}''(0)$  in Equation 12, it can still be computed using finite-difference methods:

$$F_{\theta,i,x}''(0) \approx \frac{F_{\theta,i,x}(1) - 2F_{\theta,i,x}(0) + F_{\theta,i,x}(-1)}{(1 - 0)^2}. \quad (19)$$

$F_{\theta,i,x}(-1)$  can be solved by Equation 16 where  $F_{\theta,i,x}(0)$  and  $F_{\theta,i,x}(1)$  are the logits generated from the original input and the logits generated from the masked input. Similarly, whatever how many terms we keep in the Taylor expansion, it is essentially a linear combination of  $F_{\theta,i,x}(0)$  and  $F_{\theta,i,x}(1)$ . For simplicity, SPA calculates augmented logits using the first-order approximation in this work.

### 3.5 Tuning Weighting Values

The weighting value  $\omega$  serves as a hyperparameter. Our experiments demonstrate an unimodal relationship between  $\omega$  and the performance. As the weight  $\omega$  increases, the performance first improves, reaching an optimum, and then declines with further increases of  $\omega$ . It is simple to tune this single parameter. More details are discussed in Section 5.2.

### 3.6 Selective Anchored Text

While SPA can anchor the entire prompt, the initial prompt can significantly vary due to task differences. In some scenarios, the prompts can be lengthy and not all information is important. According to our observation, we find narrowing down anchored text can lead to better performance. This is intuitive since anchoring too many tokens may decrease their impact on differentiating the logit distribution, e.g., anchoring all the tokens will make no difference. Our goal is to identify and anchor the most informative tokens, which LLMs should consistently focus on, while excluding trivial details in the prompt. For code generation tasks, the prompt commonly comprises four possible components: (1) Natural language instruction or docstring; (2) Starting code snippet; (3) A list of test cases; (4) Few-shot examples. Intuitively, natural language instruction provides high-level guidance that LLM should continually consider. Our ablation study in Section 5.3 confirms it and shows anchoring the natural language instruction alone yields the best performance.

## 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Comparison Baselines

SPA requires access to the full logits generated by the large language models (LLMs), so we are unable to evaluate closed-source models, such as ChatGPT. We select five representative open-source code LLMs: **CodeGen-Mono** [58] (**350M**), **CodeLlama** [65] (**7B**), and three different-sized **DeepSeek-Coder-Instruct** [24] (**1.3B**, **6.7B**, **33B**) models. These models have been fine-tuned for code generation tasks. Notably, the DeepSeek-Coder-Instruct models have been fine-tuned by instruction-tuning [81], while CodeGen-Mono and CodeLlama are standard text completion models. This selection aims to cover diverse SOTA code LLMs of different types and sizes.

### 4.2 Benchmarks

**HumanEval** [7]. It includes 164 Python tasks designed by OpenAI developers. It was initially designed to evaluate Codex [5] and has since become a common benchmark for code generation.

**MBPP** [1]. It includes 974 crowd-sourced Python tasks. However, due to the crowd workers' ambiguous or insufficient task descriptions, the MBPP authors created a sanitized version containing 427 tasks with clearer descriptions. We evaluate SPA on the sanitized version.

**HumanEval+** and **MBPP+**. Although HumanEval and MBPP are considered de facto standards for assessing code LLMs, a recent study [51] found they lack sufficient test cases and precise problem descriptions. This has been demonstrated as an issue that can lead to an unreliable assessment of LLM-generated code [52]. Liu et al. [51] subsequently released HumanEval+ and MBPP+, which

supplement HumanEval and MBPP with additional test cases and better instruction. We also evaluate SPA performance on HumanEval+ and MBPP+.

### 4.3 Experiment Setup and Metrics

**Model Deployment.** We download and deploy LLMs from Huggingface. To speed up evaluations, we apply 8-bit quantization [19, 14] to all the models. Prior studies [46, 30] show 8-bit quantization has very little impact on LLM performances. All the experiments are conducted on eight A5500 GPUs (each with 24GB VRAM) with 192GB memory for five weeks.

**Prompt Design.** We use the original task descriptions from the datasets as prompts for the text-completion models, CodeLlama and CodeGen-Mono. For the three DeepSeek-Coder-Instruct models, we format the prompts using the official chat template from HuggingFace. All experiments are conducted in a zero-shot setting.

**Evaluation Metric.** Following prior works [6, 36, 5], we measure model performance using the Pass@ $k$  metric, which measures whether any of  $k$  candidates can pass all the test cases. As a common setting, we set  $k$  to 1 in our experiment. For each task, LLMs only generate one code snippet using greedy sampling. The generated code is considered correct when it passes all the test cases.

## 5 Results

### 5.1 Main Results

Table 1: Pass@1 rates (%) with and without using SPA

Model	#Params	HumanEval	HumanEval+	MBPP	MBPP+
CodeGen-Mono [58]	350M	12.8	10.4	19.7	15.9
+ SPA		14.6 (+1.8)	14.0 (+3.6)	26.3 (+6.6)	20.6 (+4.7)
DeepSeek-Coder [24]	1.3B	62.8	59.8	58.3	52.4
+ SPA		66.5 (+3.7)	63.4 (+3.6)	61.9 (+3.6)	53.4 (+1.0)
DeepSeek-Coder [24]	6.7B	73.8	70.7	67.2	58.6
+ SPA		83.5 (+9.7)	76.8 (+6.1)	72.2 (+5.0)	61.2 (+2.6)
CodeLlama [65]	7B	29.9	25.0	50.8	40.8
+ SPA		37.8 (+7.9)	33.5(+8.5)	53.1 (+2.3)	43.1 (+2.3)
DeepSeek-Coder [24]	33B	80.5	73.2	73.5	63.2
+ SPA		84.8 (+4.3)	77.1 (+3.9)	77.3 (+3.8)	68.5 (+5.5)

We compare each LLM’s performance with and without using SPA in Table 1. The results indicate that using SPA can consistently improve the Pass@1 rate across all benchmarks and all LLMs. The improvement is up to 9.7% on Humaneval for DeepSeek-Coder (6.7B). It’s worth noting that through selective text anchoring, the small version of DeepSeek-Coder (6.7B) surpasses a much larger version (33B). This supports our hypothesis that the inaccuracies in LLMs mainly stem from poor attention, not their generative capabilities. To demonstrate SPA can effectively anchor LLM’s attention on the initial prompt, we include two examples in Appendix A.3.

While SPA achieved a consistent improvement on LLMs with different sizes, we do not observe a monotonic relationship between model size and the improvement. Furthermore, there is no obvious correlation between the original model performance and the improvement. It is an interesting future direction to investigate how different model attributes affect the improvement achieved by SPA.

### 5.2 Analysis of Weighting Values

SPA introduces a single weighting hyperparameter  $\omega$ , which is used to adjust the magnitude of the anchoring effect of SPA. Figure 4 reports Pass@1 rates given various values of  $\omega$  for each model and benchmark ( $\omega = 1$  means the original model). We observe that the optimal  $\omega$  slightly varies across different models and benchmarks. However, there roughly exists an unimodal relationship




Figure 4: Analysis of weighting values

between the weight  $\omega$  and the performance. As the weight  $\omega$  increases, the performance first improves, reaching an optimum, and then declines with further increases of  $\omega$ . While this value can be easily tuned on a sampled validation set from each benchmark due to this feature, this work does not focus on evaluating the quality of validation sets. We report the optimal weighting values in Appendix A.2. We observe any values less than 1.25 help improve performance. Figure 4 also shows that while optimal weights may vary across different models, each model has close optimal weights on different datasets. This suggests that the optimal weight strongly depends on the model.

### 5.3 Analysis of Anchored Test Selection

Table 2: Improvements of Pass@1 rates (values in %) for different anchored text

Anchored Text	HumanEval	HumanEval+	MBPP	MBPP+
<b>NL</b>	+ 5.48	+ 5.08	+ 4.26	+ 3.22
<b>NL + Test</b>	+ 5.11	+ 4.89	+ 4.05	+ 3.11
<b>NL + Code</b>	+ 4.87	+ 4.65	-	-
<b>NL + Code + Test</b>	+ 4.76	+ 4.57	-	-

As mentioned in Section 3.6, we analyzed how anchored text selection impacts the performance of SPA. Prompts in HumanEval and HumanEval+ include the function signature (referred to as **Code**), task descriptions in natural language (**NL**), and test cases (**Test**). Prompts in MBPP and MBPP+ consist of task descriptions (**NL**) followed by test cases (**Test**). For HumanEval and HumanEval+, we create four conditions by removing test cases and source code. For MBPP and MBPP+, we create two conditions by removing test cases. Since task descriptions serve as the core of a prompt, we choose to anchor it in all conditions.

For each condition and benchmark, we calculate the average Pass@1 rate improvement across all five LLMs. Table 2 shows that anchoring the task description alone yields the best performance. This implies that anchoring more tokens in the prompt may not necessarily be helpful. Narrowing down the anchored text to fewer but critical, informative tokens can lead to better performance.

## 6 Related work

**Code Generation.** In recent years, there has been rapid progress in the development of code generation approaches [15, 31, 85, 72] and benchmarks [86, 43, 7, 1, 51, 27, 3, 37, 26]. With the advent of large language models (LLMs), such as GPT-4 [61], Gemini [73], and the LLaMA family [74, 75], code generation has become a standard capability. Subsequent research [5, 65, 2, 24, 20, 45, 53, 90, 55] has focused on fine-tuning these pre-trained LLMs on coding datasets, achieving state-of-the-art performance across a variety of coding tasks.

Despite their remarkable ability to follow natural language instructions, LLMs still face challenges when generating long and complex code. To enhance the code generation capabilities of LLMs, recent studies have explored train-free approaches such as prompt engineering [13, 83], in-context learning [16, 41, 42], and retrieval-augmented generation [40, 18]. Additionally, self-debugging techniques



[8] enable LLMs to debug code based on error messages and execution results, while self-planning [32] allows LLMs to decompose tasks into subtasks and implement solutions step-by-step. The chain-of-thought approach [38, 71, 56] facilitates a step-by-step reasoning process in LLMs.

Building on these advancements, SPA introduces an orthogonal approach particularly suitable for code generation. It can be integrated with existing methods to further improve performance.

**Controllable Generation.** Compared to fine-tuning a language model (LM) at the decoding time, controllable generation aims to steer the pre-trained LMs to match a sentence-level attribute (e.g., a topic on sports). Existing approaches usually require additional models or training, such as fine-tuning a smaller LM [50, 49, 84, 11], a reward model [12, 54], or a fine-tuned model with controlling codes [35, 47, 33]. The mechanism used in SPA can also be used to control the generation by adjusting weights over the input text. Compared to the aforementioned works, SPA does not require any additional models or training.

**Logit Arithmetic.** There has been a growing body of methods that perform arithmetic on multiple logit distributions to enhance text generation. These methods include contrasting logits from multiple LMs [50, 49, 17, 89], logits of LMs of different sizes [48], logits from different layers of a model [10, 23], and logits from the same model given different inputs [62, 68, 57, 67, 39]. Similar ideas have also been explored in diffusion models [25, 28].

SPA can be considered analogous to contrasting logits from the same model when given different inputs. However, we delve deeper into modeling and characterize SPA as a first-order approximation of the integral over arbitrary groups of embeddings. Additionally, SPA is designed to mitigate the attention dilution issue in LLMs during code generation. By contrast, none of the aforementioned works explored code-generation tasks. They primarily focus on reducing hallucinations [68, 67, 39], enhancing coherence [57], factuality [10], and controllable text generation [49, 62, 89]. Besides, SPA focuses on perturbation of the original prompt through masking rather than providing additional context [62, 68, 57] or changing to a completely new prompt [67]. The most similar work to ours is *Visual Contrastive Decoding* [39], which contrasts outputs derived from both original and distorted visual inputs. However, instead of distorting visual inputs, SPA focuses on masking textual inputs. Furthermore, SPA focuses on perturbing selective parts in the prompt that are especially useful for code generation, rather than the entire input.

## 7 Limitations

We employed 8-bit quantized LLMs to expedite all experiments. Although this method has been shown to have minimal impact on performance, we did notice some degradation. Furthermore, we did not evaluate very large LLMs (e.g., more than 100B) due to computational constraints. Despite the unimodal feature, it is infeasible to enumerate all the weighting hyperparameter  $\omega$  on the continuous distribution. The real optimal  $\omega$  should perform slightly better than the values reported in Section 4. While our experimented benchmarks have become standards for evaluating code generation models, we did not evaluate SPA in real-world scenarios. More evaluations can be performed in future studies.

## 8 Conclusion & Future Work

In this paper, we propose SPA, a training-free approach designed to enhance the quality of code generated by large language models (LLMs) by mitigating the attention dilution issue. SPA employs a novel technique to adjust the influence of arbitrary groups of input tokens, based on a mathematical approximation. Our empirical studies indicate that LLMs may overlook the initial prompt as generating more new tokens. To mitigate this issue, SPA amplifies the impact of the initial prompt throughout code generation. Our evaluations demonstrate that SPA consistently and significantly enhances the performance of code LLMs of various sizes on multiple benchmarks.

The effectiveness of SPA highlights its potential in other domains, especially for generation tasks. Besides, the underlying principle of SPA is not confined to transformer-based LLMs and could be adapted for use in other model architectures (e.g., RNN). In this work, we pre-define the method to select anchored tokens and make the weighting hyperparameter fixed when generating code. We believe this only serves as a baseline. In future work, both of anchored text and the weighting value can be dynamically determined according to different contexts and sampling stages.

## References

- [1] Jacob Austin, Augustus Odena, Maxwell Nye, Maarten Bosma, Henryk Michalewski, David Dohan, Ellen Jiang, Carrie Cai, Michael Terry, Quoc Le, and Charles Sutton. Program synthesis with large language models, 2021.
- [2] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, Binyuan Hui, Luo Ji, Mei Li, Junyang Lin, Runji Lin, Dayiheng Liu, Gao Liu, Chengqiang Lu, Keming Lu, Jianxin Ma, Rui Men, Xingzhang Ren, Xuancheng Ren, Chuanqi Tan, Sinan Tan, Jianhong Tu, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Wei Wang, Shengguang Wu, Benfeng Xu, Jin Xu, An Yang, Hao Yang, Jian Yang, Shusheng Yang, Yang Yao, Bowen Yu, Hongyi Yuan, Zheng Yuan, Jianwei Zhang, Xingxuan Zhang, Yichang Zhang, Zhenru Zhang, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, Xiaohuan Zhou, and Tianhang Zhu. Qwen technical report, 2023.
- [3] Federico Cassano, John Gouwar, Daniel Nguyen, Sydney Nguyen, Luna Phipps-Costin, Donald Pinckney, Ming-Ho Yee, Yangtian Zi, Carolyn Jane Anderson, Molly Q Feldman, Arjun Guha, Michael Greenberg, and Abhinav Jangda. Multipl-e: A scalable and extensible approach to benchmarking neural code generation, 2022.
- [4] Saikat Chakraborty, Toufique Ahmed, Yangruibo Ding, Premkumar T Devanbu, and Baishakhi Ray. Natgen: generative pre-training by “naturalizing” source code. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM joint european software engineering conference and symposium on the foundations of software engineering*, pages 18–30, 2022.
- [5] Mark Chen, Jerry Tworek, Heewoo Jun, Qiming Yuan, Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Jared Kaplan, Harri Edwards, Yuri Burda, Nicholas Joseph, Greg Brockman, Alex Ray, Raul Puri, Gretchen Krueger, Michael Petrov, Heidy Khlaaf, Girish Sastry, Pamela Mishkin, Brooke Chan, Scott Gray, Nick Ryder, Mikhail Pavlov, Alethea Power, Lukasz Kaiser, Mohammad Bavarian, Clemens Winter, Philippe Tillet, Felipe Petroski Such, Dave Cummings, Matthias Plappert, Fotios Chantzis, Elizabeth Barnes, Ariel Herbert-Voss, William Hebgen Guss, Alex Nichol, Alex Paino, Nikolas Tezak, Jie Tang, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Shantanu Jain, William Saunders, Christopher Hesse, Andrew N. Carr, Jan Leike, Josh Achiam, Vedant Misra, Evan Morikawa, Alec Radford, Matthew Knight, Miles Brundage, Mira Murati, Katie Mayer, Peter Welinder, Bob McGrew, Dario Amodei, Sam McCandlish, Ilya Sutskever, and Wojciech Zaremba. Evaluating large language models trained on code, 2021.
- [6] Mark Chen, Jerry Tworek, Heewoo Jun, Qiming Yuan, Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Jared Kaplan, Harri Edwards, Yuri Burda, Nicholas Joseph, Greg Brockman, Alex Ray, Raul Puri, Gretchen Krueger, Michael Petrov, Heidy Khlaaf, Girish Sastry, Pamela Mishkin, Brooke Chan, Scott Gray, Nick Ryder, Mikhail Pavlov, Alethea Power, Lukasz Kaiser, Mohammad Bavarian, Clemens Winter, Philippe Tillet, Felipe Petroski Such, Dave Cummings, Matthias Plappert, Fotios Chantzis, Elizabeth Barnes, Ariel Herbert-Voss, William Hebgen Guss, Alex Nichol, Alex Paino, Nikolas Tezak, Jie Tang, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Shantanu Jain, William Saunders, Christopher Hesse, Andrew N. Carr, Jan Leike, Josh Achiam, Vedant Misra, Evan Morikawa, Alec Radford, Matthew Knight, Miles Brundage, Mira Murati, Katie Mayer, Peter Welinder, Bob McGrew, Dario Amodei, Sam McCandlish, Ilya Sutskever, and Wojciech Zaremba. Evaluating large language models trained on code, 2021.
- [7] Mark Chen, Jerry Tworek, Heewoo Jun, Qiming Yuan, Henrique Pondé de Oliveira Pinto, Jared Kaplan, Harrison Edwards, Yuri Burda, Nicholas Joseph, Greg Brockman, Alex Ray, Raul Puri, Gretchen Krueger, Michael Petrov, Heidy Khlaaf, Girish Sastry, Pamela Mishkin, Brooke Chan, Scott Gray, Nick Ryder, Mikhail Pavlov, Alethea Power, Lukasz Kaiser, Mohammad Bavarian, Clemens Winter, Philippe Tillet, Felipe Petroski Such, Dave Cummings, Matthias Plappert, Fotios Chantzis, Elizabeth Barnes, Ariel Herbert-Voss, William Hebgen Guss, Alex Nichol, Alex Paino, Nikolas Tezak, Jie Tang, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Shantanu Jain, William Saunders, Christopher Hesse, Andrew N. Carr, Jan Leike, Joshua Achiam, Vedant Misra, Evan Morikawa, Alec Radford, Matthew Knight, Miles Brundage, Mira Murati, Katie Mayer, Peter Welinder, Bob McGrew, Dario Amodei, Sam McCandlish, Ilya Sutskever, and Wojciech Zaremba. Evaluating large language models trained on code. *CoRR*, abs/2107.03374, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03374>.
- [8] Xinyun Chen, Maxwell Lin, Nathanael Schärli, and Denny Zhou. Teaching large language models to self-debug, 2023.
- [9] David Chiang and Peter Cholak. Overcoming a theoretical limitation of self-attention, 2022.

- [10] Yung-Sung Chuang, Yujia Xie, Hongyin Luo, Yoon Kim, James Glass, and Pengcheng He. Dola: Decoding by contrasting layers improves factuality in large language models, 2024.
- [11] Sumanth Dathathri, Andrea Madotto, Janice Lan, Jane Hung, Eric Frank, Piero Molino, Jason Yosinski, and Rosanne Liu. Plug and play language models: A simple approach to controlled text generation, 2020.
- [12] Haikang Deng and Colin Raffel. Reward-augmented decoding: Efficient controlled text generation with a unidirectional reward model. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali, editors, *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 11781–11791, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.721. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.721>.
- [13] Paul Denny, Viraj Kumar, and Nasser Giacaman. Conversing with copilot: Exploring prompt engineering for solving cs1 problems using natural language. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1, SIGCSE 2023*, page 1136–1142, New York, NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450394314. doi: 10.1145/3545945.3569823. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569823>.
- [14] Tim Dettmers, Mike Lewis, Younes Belkada, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Llm.int8(): 8-bit matrix multiplication for transformers at scale, 2022.
- [15] Li Dong and Mirella Lapata. Language to logical form with neural attention. In Katrin Erk and Noah A. Smith, editors, *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 33–43, Berlin, Germany, August 2016. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/P16-1004. URL <https://aclanthology.org/P16-1004>.
- [16] Qingxiu Dong, Lei Li, Damai Dai, Ce Zheng, Zhiyong Wu, Baobao Chang, Xu Sun, Jingjing Xu, Lei Li, and Zhifang Sui. A survey on in-context learning, 2023.
- [17] Zi-Yi Dou, Xinyi Wang, Junjie Hu, and Graham Neubig. Domain differential adaptation for neural machine translation. In Alexandra Birch, Andrew Finch, Hiroaki Hayashi, Ioannis Konstas, Thang Luong, Graham Neubig, Yusuke Oda, and Katsuhito Sudoh, editors, *Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Neural Generation and Translation*, pages 59–69, Hong Kong, November 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/D19-5606. URL <https://aclanthology.org/D19-5606>.
- [18] Kounianhua Du, Renting Rui, Huacan Chai, Lingyue Fu, Wei Xia, Yasheng Wang, Ruiming Tang, Yong Yu, and Weinan Zhang. Codegrag: Extracting composed syntax graphs for retrieval augmented cross-lingual code generation, 2024.
- [19] Elias Frantar, Saleh Ashkboos, Torsten Hoefler, and Dan Alistarh. Gptq: Accurate post-training quantization for generative pre-trained transformers, 2023.
- [20] Daniel Fried, Armen Aghajanyan, Jessy Lin, Sida Wang, Eric Wallace, Freda Shi, Ruiqi Zhong, Wen tau Yih, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. Incoder: A generative model for code infilling and synthesis, 2023.
- [21] Adrian Furnham and Hua Chu Boo. A literature review of the anchoring effect. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 40(1):35–42, 2011. ISSN 1053-5357. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2010.10.008>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053535710001411>.
- [22] Andrea Galassi, Marco Lippi, and Paolo Torrioni. Attention in natural language processing. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(10):4291–4308, October 2021. ISSN 2162-2388. doi: 10.1109/tnnls.2020.3019893. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.3019893>.
- [23] Ariel Gera, Roni Friedman, Ofir Arviv, Chulaka Gunasekara, Benjamin Sznajder, Noam Slonim, and Eyal Shnarch. The benefits of bad advice: Autocontrastive decoding across model layers. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki, editors, *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 10406–10420, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.580. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-long.580>.

- [24] Daya Guo, Qihao Zhu, Dejian Yang, Zhenda Xie, Kai Dong, Wentao Zhang, Guanting Chen, Xiao Bi, Y. Wu, Y. K. Li, Fuli Luo, Yingfei Xiong, and Wenfeng Liang. Deepseek-coder: When the large language model meets programming – the rise of code intelligence, 2024.
- [25] Xiaochuang Han, Sachin Kumar, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Marjan Ghazvininejad. David helps goliath: Inference-time collaboration between small specialized and large general diffusion lms, 2024.
- [26] Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Saurav Kadavath, Mantas Mazeika, Akul Arora, Ethan Guo, Collin Burns, Samir Puranik, Horace He, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring coding challenge competence with apps, 2021.
- [27] Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Saurav Kadavath, Mantas Mazeika, Akul Arora, Ethan Guo, Collin Burns, Samir Puranik, Horace He, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring coding challenge competence with apps, 2021.
- [28] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance, 2022.
- [29] Ari Holtzman, Jan Buys, Maxwell Forbes, and Yejin Choi. The curious case of neural text degeneration. *CoRR*, abs/1904.09751, 2019. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09751>.
- [30] Wei Huang, Xudong Ma, Haotong Qin, Xingyu Zheng, Chengtao Lv, Hong Chen, Jie Luo, Xiaojuan Qi, Xianglong Liu, and Michele Magno. How good are low-bit quantized llama3 models? an empirical study, 2024.
- [31] Srinivasan Iyer, Ioannis Konstas, Alvin Cheung, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Mapping language to code in programmatic context. In Ellen Riloff, David Chiang, Julia Hockenmaier, and Jun’ichi Tsujii, editors, *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1643–1652, Brussels, Belgium, October–November 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/D18-1192. URL <https://aclanthology.org/D18-1192>.
- [32] Xue Jiang, Yihong Dong, Lecheng Wang, Zheng Fang, Qiwei Shang, Ge Li, Zhi Jin, and Wenpin Jiao. Self-planning code generation with large language models, 2023.
- [33] Nitish Shirish Keskar, Bryan McCann, Lav R. Varshney, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. Ctrl: A conditional transformer language model for controllable generation, 2019.
- [34] Bonan Kou, Shengmai Chen, Zhijie Wang, Lei Ma, and Tianyi Zhang. Is model attention aligned with human attention? an empirical study on large language models for code generation, 2023.
- [35] Ben Krause, Akhilesh Deepak Gotmare, Bryan McCann, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Shafiq Joty, Richard Socher, and Nazneen Fatema Rajani. GeDi: Generative discriminator guided sequence generation. In Marie-Francine Moens, Xuanjing Huang, Lucia Specia, and Scott Wen-tau Yih, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 4929–4952, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, November 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2021.findings-emnlp.424. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2021.findings-emnlp.424>.
- [36] Sumith Kulal, Panupong Pasupat, Kartik Chandra, Mina Lee, Oded Padon, Alex Aiken, and Percy Liang. Spoc: Search-based pseudocode to code, 2019.
- [37] Yuhang Lai, Chengxi Li, Yiming Wang, Tianyi Zhang, Ruiqi Zhong, Luke Zettlemoyer, Scott Wen tau Yih, Daniel Fried, Sida Wang, and Tao Yu. Ds-1000: A natural and reliable benchmark for data science code generation, 2022.
- [38] Hung Le, Hailin Chen, Amrita Saha, Akash Gokul, Doyen Sahoo, and Shafiq Joty. Codechain: Towards modular code generation through chain of self-revisions with representative sub-modules, 2024.
- [39] Sicong Leng, Hang Zhang, Guanzheng Chen, Xin Li, Shijian Lu, Chunyan Miao, and Lidong Bing. Mitigating object hallucinations in large vision-language models through visual contrastive decoding, 2023.
- [40] Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 9459–9474. Curran Associates,

- Inc., 2020. URL [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\\_files/paper/2020/file/6b493230205f780e1bc26945df7481e5-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2020/file/6b493230205f780e1bc26945df7481e5-Paper.pdf).
- [41] Jia Li, Ge Li, Chongyang Tao, Jia Li, Huangzhao Zhang, Fang Liu, and Zhi Jin. Large language model-aware in-context learning for code generation, 2023.
- [42] Jia Li, Yunfei Zhao, Yongmin Li, Ge Li, and Zhi Jin. Acecoder: Utilizing existing code to enhance code generation, 2023.
- [43] Jinyang Li, Binyuan Hui, Ge Qu, Jiayi Yang, Binhua Li, Bowen Li, Bailin Wang, Bowen Qin, Rongyu Cao, Ruiying Geng, Nan Huo, Xuanhe Zhou, Chenhao Ma, Guoliang Li, Kevin C. C. Chang, Fei Huang, Reynold Cheng, and Yongbin Li. Can llm already serve as a database interface? a big bench for large-scale database grounded text-to-sqls, 2023.
- [44] Jiwei Li, Will Monroe, and Dan Jurafsky. Understanding neural networks through representation erasure, 2017.
- [45] Raymond Li, Yangtian Zi, Niklas Muennighoff, Denis Kocetkov, Chenghao Mou, Marc Marone, Christopher Akiki, Li Jia, Jenny Chim, Qian Liu, et al. Starcoder: may the source be with you! *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2023.
- [46] Shiyao Li, Xuefei Ning, Luning Wang, Tengxuan Liu, Xiangsheng Shi, Shengen Yan, Guohao Dai, Huazhong Yang, and Yu Wang. Evaluating quantized large language models, 2024.
- [47] Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation, 2021.
- [48] Xiang Lisa Li, Ari Holtzman, Daniel Fried, Percy Liang, Jason Eisner, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. Contrastive decoding: Open-ended text generation as optimization. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki, editors, *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 12286–12312, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.687. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-long.687>.
- [49] Alisa Liu, Maarten Sap, Ximing Lu, Swabha Swayamdipta, Chandra Bhagavatula, Noah A. Smith, and Yejin Choi. Dexperts: Decoding-time controlled text generation with experts and anti-experts, 2021.
- [50] Alisa Liu, Xiaochuang Han, Yizhong Wang, Yulia Tsvetkov, Yejin Choi, and Noah A. Smith. Tuning language models by proxy, 2024.
- [51] Jiawei Liu, Chunqiu Steven Xia, Yuyao Wang, and Lingming Zhang. Is your code generated by chatgpt really correct? rigorous evaluation of large language models for code generation, 2023.
- [52] Yue Liu, Chakkrit Tantithamthavorn, Yonghui Liu, and Li Li. On the reliability and explainability of language models for program generation, 2024.
- [53] Anton Lozhkov, Raymond Li, Loubna Ben Allal, Federico Cassano, Joel Lamy-Poirier, Nouamane Tazi, Ao Tang, Dmytro Pykhtar, Jiawei Liu, Yuxiang Wei, Tianyang Liu, Max Tian, Denis Kocetkov, Arthur Zucker, Younes Belkada, Zijian Wang, Qian Liu, Dmitry Abulkhanov, Indraneil Paul, Zhuang Li, Wen-Ding Li, Megan Risdal, Jia Li, Jian Zhu, Terry Yue Zhuo, Evgenii Zheltonozhskii, Nii Osae Osae Dade, Wenhao Yu, Lucas Krauß, Naman Jain, Yixuan Su, Xuanli He, Manan Dey, Edoardo Abati, Yekun Chai, Niklas Muennighoff, Xiangru Tang, Muhtasham Oblokulov, Christopher Akiki, Marc Marone, Chenghao Mou, Mayank Mishra, Alex Gu, Binyuan Hui, Tri Dao, Armel Zebaze, Olivier Dehaene, Nicolas Patry, Canwen Xu, Julian McAuley, Han Hu, Torsten Scholak, Sebastien Paquet, Jennifer Robinson, Carolyn Jane Anderson, Nicolas Chapados, Mostofa Patwary, Nima Tajbakhsh, Yacine Jernite, Carlos Muñoz Ferrandis, Lingming Zhang, Sean Hughes, Thomas Wolf, Arjun Guha, Leandro von Werra, and Harm de Vries. Starcoder 2 and the stack v2: The next generation, 2024.
- [54] Ximing Lu, Faeze Brahman, Peter West, Jaehun Jung, Khyathi Chandu, Abhilasha Ravichander, Prithviraj Ammanabrolu, Liwei Jiang, Sahana Ramnath, Nouha Dziri, Jillian Fisher, Bill Lin, Skyler Hallinan, Lianhui Qin, Xiang Ren, Sean Welleck, and Yejin Choi. Inference-time policy adapters (IPA): Tailoring extreme-scale LMs without fine-tuning. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali, editors, *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6863–6883, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.424. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.424>.

- [55] Ziyang Luo, Can Xu, Pu Zhao, Qingfeng Sun, Xiubo Geng, Wenxiang Hu, Chongyang Tao, Jing Ma, Qingwei Lin, and Daxin Jiang. Wizardcoder: Empowering code large language models with evol-instruct, 2023.
- [56] Yingwei Ma, Yue Yu, Shanshan Li, Yu Jiang, Yong Guo, Yuanliang Zhang, Yutao Xie, and Xiangke Liao. Bridging code semantic and llms: Semantic chain-of-thought prompting for code generation, 2023.
- [57] Nikolay Malkin, Zhen Wang, and Nebojsa Jojic. Coherence boosting: When your pretrained language model is not paying enough attention. In Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio, editors, *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8214–8236, Dublin, Ireland, May 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.565. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.acl-long.565>.
- [58] Erik Nijkamp, Bo Pang, Hiroaki Hayashi, Lifu Tu, Huan Wang, Yingbo Zhou, Silvio Savarese, and Caiming Xiong. Codegen: An open large language model for code with multi-turn program synthesis, 2023.
- [59] Zheng Ning, Yuan Tian, Zheng Zhang, Tianyi Zhang, and Toby Jia-Jun Li. Insights into natural language database query errors: From attention misalignment to user handling strategies. *ACM Trans. Interact. Intell. Syst.*, mar 2024. ISSN 2160-6455. doi: 10.1145/3650114. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3650114>. Just Accepted.
- [60] Changan Niu, Chuanyi Li, Vincent Ng, Jidong Ge, Liguang Huang, and Bin Luo. Spt-code: Sequence-to-sequence pre-training for learning source code representations. In *Proceedings of the 44th international conference on software engineering*, pages 2006–2018, 2022.
- [61] OpenAI, Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, Red Avila, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Valerie Balcom, Paul Baltescu, Haiming Bao, Mohammad Bavarian, Jeff Belgum, Irwan Bello, Jake Berdine, Gabriel Bernadett-Shapiro, Christopher Berner, Lenny Bogdonoff, Oleg Boiko, Madelaine Boyd, Anna-Luisa Brakman, Greg Brockman, Tim Brooks, Miles Brundage, Kevin Button, Trevor Cai, Rosie Campbell, Andrew Cann, Brittany Carey, Chelsea Carlson, Rory Carmichael, Brooke Chan, Che Chang, Fotis Chantzis, Derek Chen, Sully Chen, Ruby Chen, Jason Chen, Mark Chen, Ben Chess, Chester Cho, Casey Chu, Hyung Won Chung, Dave Cummings, Jeremiah Currier, Yunxing Dai, Cory Decareaux, Thomas Degry, Noah Deutsch, Damien Deville, Arka Dhar, David Dohan, Steve Dowling, Sheila Dunning, Adrien Ecoffet, Atty Eleti, Tyna Eloundou, David Farhi, Liam Fedus, Niko Felix, Simón Posada Fishman, Juston Forte, Isabella Fulford, Leo Gao, Elie Georges, Christian Gibson, Vik Goel, Tarun Gogineni, Gabriel Goh, Rapha Gontijo-Lopes, Jonathan Gordon, Morgan Grafstein, Scott Gray, Ryan Greene, Joshua Gross, Shixiang Shane Gu, Yufei Guo, Chris Hallacy, Jesse Han, Jeff Harris, Yuchen He, Mike Heaton, Johannes Heidecke, Chris Hesse, Alan Hickey, Wade Hickey, Peter Hoeschele, Brandon Houghton, Kenny Hsu, Shengli Hu, Xin Hu, Joost Huizinga, Shantanu Jain, Shawn Jain, Joanne Jang, Angela Jiang, Roger Jiang, Haozhun Jin, Denny Jin, Shino Jomoto, Billie Jonn, Heewoo Jun, Tomer Kaftan, Łukasz Kaiser, Ali Kamali, Ingmar Kanitscheider, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Tabarak Khan, Logan Kilpatrick, Jong Wook Kim, Christina Kim, Yongjik Kim, Jan Hendrik Kirchner, Jamie Kiros, Matt Knight, Daniel Kokotajlo, Łukasz Kondraciuk, Andrew Kondrich, Aris Konstantinidis, Kyle Kosic, Gretchen Krueger, Vishal Kuo, Michael Lampe, Ikai Lan, Teddy Lee, Jan Leike, Jade Leung, Daniel Levy, Chak Ming Li, Rachel Lim, Molly Lin, Stephanie Lin, Mateusz Litwin, Theresa Lopez, Ryan Lowe, Patricia Lue, Anna Makanju, Kim Malfacini, Sam Manning, Todor Markov, Yaniv Markovski, Bianca Martin, Katie Mayer, Andrew Mayne, Bob McGrew, Scott Mayer McKinney, Christine McLeavey, Paul McMillan, Jake McNeil, David Medina, Aalok Mehta, Jacob Menick, Luke Metz, Andrey Mishchenko, Pamela Mishkin, Vinnie Monaco, Evan Morikawa, Daniel Mossing, Tong Mu, Mira Murati, Oleg Murk, David Mély, Ashvin Nair, Reiichiro Nakano, Rajeesh Nayak, Arvind Neelakantan, Richard Ngo, Hyeonwoo Noh, Long Ouyang, Cullen O’Keefe, Jakub Pachocki, Alex Paino, Joe Palermo, Ashley Pantuliano, Giambattista Parascandolo, Joel Parish, Emy Parparita, Alex Passos, Mikhail Pavlov, Andrew Peng, Adam Perelman, Filipe de Avila Belbute Peres, Michael Petrov, Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Michael, Pokorny, Michelle Pokrass, Vitchyr H. Pong, Tolly Powell, Alethea Power, Boris Power, Elizabeth Proehl, Raul Puri, Alec Radford, Jack Rae, Aditya Ramesh, Cameron Raymond, Francis Real, Kendra Rimbach, Carl Ross, Bob Rotsted, Henri Roussez,

- Nick Ryder, Mario Saltarelli, Ted Sanders, Shibani Santurkar, Girish Sastry, Heather Schmidt, David Schnurr, John Schulman, Daniel Selsam, Kyla Sheppard, Toki Sherbakov, Jessica Shieh, Sarah Shoker, Pranav Shyam, Szymon Sidor, Eric Sigler, Maddie Simens, Jordan Sitkin, Katarina Slama, Ian Sohl, Benjamin Sokolowsky, Yang Song, Natalie Staudacher, Felipe Petroski Such, Natalie Summers, Ilya Sutskever, Jie Tang, Nikolas Tezak, Madeleine B. Thompson, Phil Tillet, Amin Tootoonchian, Elizabeth Tseng, Preston Tuggle, Nick Turley, Jerry Tworek, Juan Felipe Cerón Uribe, Andrea Vallone, Arun Vijayvergiya, Chelsea Voss, Carroll Wainwright, Justin Jay Wang, Alvin Wang, Ben Wang, Jonathan Ward, Jason Wei, CJ Weinmann, Akila Welihinda, Peter Welinder, Jiayi Weng, Lilian Weng, Matt Wiethoff, Dave Willner, Clemens Winter, Samuel Wolrich, Hannah Wong, Lauren Workman, Sherwin Wu, Jeff Wu, Michael Wu, Kai Xiao, Tao Xu, Sarah Yoo, Kevin Yu, Qiming Yuan, Wojciech Zaremba, Rowan Zellers, Chong Zhang, Marvin Zhang, Shengjia Zhao, Tianhao Zheng, Juntang Zhuang, William Zhuk, and Barret Zoph. Gpt-4 technical report, 2024.
- [62] Jonathan Pei, Kevin Yang, and Dan Klein. PREADD: Prefix-adaptive decoding for controlled text generation. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 10018–10037, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.636. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.findings-acl.636>.
- [63] Alessandro Raganato and Jörg Tiedemann. An analysis of encoder representations in transformer-based machine translation. In Tal Linzen, Grzegorz Chrupała, and Afra Alishahi, editors, *Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*, pages 287–297, Brussels, Belgium, November 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/W18-5431. URL <https://aclanthology.org/W18-5431>.
- [64] Harsh Raj, Vipul Gupta, Domenic Rosati, and Subhabrata Majumdar. Semantic consistency for assuring reliability of large language models, 2023.
- [65] Baptiste Rozière, Jonas Gehring, Fabian Gloeckle, Sten Sootla, Itai Gat, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Yossi Adi, Jingyu Liu, Romain Sauvestre, Tal Remez, Jérémy Rapin, Artyom Kozhevnikov, Ivan Evtimov, Joanna Bitton, Manish Bhatt, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Aaron Grattafori, Wenhan Xiong, Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Faisal Azhar, Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Nicolas Usunier, Thomas Scialom, and Gabriel Synnaeve. Code llama: Open foundation models for code, 2024.
- [66] Ramprasaath R. Selvaraju, Abhishek Das, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Michael Cogswell, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Grad-cam: Why did you say that? visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. *CoRR*, abs/1610.02391, 2016. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02391>.
- [67] Rico Sennrich, Jannis Vamvas, and Alireza Mohammadshahi. Mitigating hallucinations and off-target machine translation with source-contrastive and language-contrastive decoding, 2024.
- [68] Weijia Shi, Xiaochuang Han, Mike Lewis, Yulia Tsvetkov, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Scott Wen tau Yih. Trusting your evidence: Hallucinate less with context-aware decoding, 2023.
- [69] Avanti Shrikumar, Peyton Greenside, and Anshul Kundaje. Learning important features through propagating activation differences. *CoRR*, abs/1704.02685, 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.02685>.
- [70] Karen Simonyan, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Deep inside convolutional networks: Visualising image classification models and saliency maps, 2014.
- [71] Mirac Suzgun, Nathan Scales, Nathanael Schärli, Sebastian Gehrmann, Yi Tay, Hyung Won Chung, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Quoc V. Le, Ed H. Chi, Denny Zhou, and Jason Wei. Challenging big-bench tasks and whether chain-of-thought can solve them, 2022.
- [72] Alexey Svyatkovskiy, Shao Kun Deng, Shengyu Fu, and Neel Sundaresan. Intellicode compose: code generation using transformer. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM Joint Meeting on European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering, ESEC/FSE 2020*, page 1433–1443, New York, NY, USA, 2020. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450370431. doi: 10.1145/3368089.3417058. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3368089.3417058>.

- [73] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M. Dai, Anja Hauth, Katie Millican, David Silver, Melvin Johnson, Ioannis Antonoglou, Julian Schrittwieser, Amelia Glaese, Jilin Chen, Emily Pitler, Timothy Lillicrap, Angeliki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, James Molloy, Michael Isard, Paul R. Barham, Tom Hennigan, Benjamin Lee, Fabio Viola, Malcolm Reynolds, Yuanzhong Xu, Ryan Doherty, Eli Collins, Clemens Meyer, Eliza Rutherford, Erica Moreira, Kareem Ayoub, Megha Goel, Jack Krawczyk, Cosmo Du, Ed Chi, Heng-Tze Cheng, Eric Ni, Purvi Shah, Patrick Kane, Betty Chan, Manaal Faruqi, Aliaksei Severyn, Hanzhao Lin, YaGuang Li, Yong Cheng, Abe Ittycheriah, Mahdis Mahdieh, Mia Chen, Pei Sun, Dustin Tran, Sumit Bagri, Balaji Lakshminarayanan, Jeremiah Liu, Andras Orban, Fabian Gra, Hao Zhou, Xinying Song, Aurelien Boffy, Harish Ganapathy, Steven Zheng, HyunJeong Choe, goston Weisz, Tao Zhu, Yifeng Lu, Siddharth Gopal, Jarrod Kahn, Maciej Kula, Jeff Pitman, Rushin Shah, Emanuel Taropa, Majd Al Mery, Martin Baeuml, Zhifeng Chen, Laurent El Shafey, Yujing Zhang, Olcan Sercinoglu, George Tucker, Enrique Piqueras, Maxim Krikun, Iain Barr, Nikolay Savinov, Ivo Danihelka, Becca Roelofs, Anas White, Anders Andreassen, Tamara von Glehn, Lakshman Yagati, Mehran Kazemi, Lucas Gonzalez, Misha Khalman, Jakub Sygnowski, Alexandre Frechette, Charlotte Smith, Laura Culp, Lev Proleev, Yi Luan, Xi Chen, James Lottes, Nathan Schucher, Federico Lebron, Alban Rrustemi, Natalie Clay, Phil Crone, Tomas Kocisky, Jeffrey Zhao, Bartek Perz, Dian Yu, Heidi Howard, Adam Bloniarz, Jack W. Rae, Han Lu, Laurent Sifre, Marcello Maggioni, Fred Alcober, Dan Garrette, Megan Barnes, Shantanu Thakoor, Jacob Austin, Gabriel Bartha-Maron, William Wong, Rishabh Joshi, Rahma Chaabouni, Deeni Fatiha, Arun Ahuja, Gaurav Singh Tomar, Evan Senter, Martin Chadwick, Ilya Kornakov, Nithya Attaluri, Iaki Iturrate, Ruibo Liu, Yunxuan Li, Sarah Cogan, Jeremy Chen, Chao Jia, Chenjie Gu, Qiao Zhang, Jordan Grimstad, Ale Jakse Hartman, Xavier Garcia, Thanumalayan Sankaranarayana Pillai, Jacob Devlin, Michael Laskin, Diego de Las Casas, Dasha Valter, Connie Tao, Lorenzo Blanco, Adri Puigdomnech Badia, David Reitter, Mianna Chen, Jenny Brennan, Clara Rivera, Sergey Brin, Shariq Iqbal, Gabriela Surita, Jane Labanowski, Abhi Rao, Stephanie Winkler, Emilio Parisotto, Yiming Gu, Kate Olszewska, Ravi Addanki, Antoine Miech, Annie Louis, Denis Teplyashin, Geoff Brown, Elliot Catt, Jan Balaguer, Jackie Xiang, Pidong Wang, Zoe Ashwood, Anton Briukhov, Albert Webson, Sanjay Ganapathy, Smit Sanghavi, Ajay Kannan, Ming-Wei Chang, Axel Stjerngren, Josip Djolonga, Yuting Sun, Ankur Bapna, Matthew Aitchison, Pedram Pejman, Henryk Michalewski, Tianhe Yu, Cindy Wang, Juliette Love, Junwhan Ahn, Dawn Bloxwich, Kehang Han, Peter Humphreys, Thibault Sellam, James Bradbury, Varun Godbole, Sina Samangooei, Bogdan Damoc, Alex Kaskasoli, Sbastien M. R. Arnold, Vijay Vasudevan, Shubham Agrawal, Jason Riesa, Dmitry Lepikhin, Richard Tanburn, Srivatsan Srinivasan, Hyeontaek Lim, Sarah Hodgkinson, Pranav Shyam, Johan Ferret, Steven Hand, Ankush Garg, Tom Le Paine, Jian Li, Yujia Li, Minh Giang, Alexander Neitz, Zaheer Abbas, Sarah York, Machel Reid, Elizabeth Cole, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Dipanjan Das, Dominika Rogoziska, Vitaliy Nikolaev, Pablo Sprechmann, Zachary Nado, Lukas Zilka, Flavien Prost, Luheng He, Marianne Monteiro, Gaurav Mishra, Chris Welty, Josh Newlan, Dawei Jia, Miltiadis Allamanis, Clara Huiyi Hu, Raoul de Liedekerke, Justin Gilmer, Carl Saroufim, Shruti Rijhwani, Shaobo Hou, Disha Shrivastava, Anirudh Baddepudi, Alex Goldin, Adnan Ozturel, Albin Cassirer, Yunhan Xu, Daniel Sohn, Devendra Sachan, Reinald Kim Amplayo, Craig Swanson, Dessie Petrova, Shashi Narayan, Arthur Guez, Siddhartha Brahma, Jessica Landon, Miteyan Patel, Ruizhe Zhao, Kevin Vilella, Luyu Wang, Wenhao Jia, Matthew Rahtz, Mai Gimnez, Legg Yeung, James Keeling, Petko Georgiev, Diana Mincu, Boxi Wu, Salem Haykal, Rachel Saputro, Kiran Vodrahalli, James Qin, Zeynep Cankara, Abhanshu Sharma, Nick Fernando, Will Hawkins, Behnam Neyshabur, Solomon Kim, Adrian Hutter, Priyanka Agrawal, Alex Castro-Ros, George van den Driessche, Tao Wang, Fan Yang, Shuo yiin Chang, Paul Komarek, Ross McIlroy, Mario Lui, Guodong Zhang, Wael Farhan, Michael Sharman, Paul Natsev, Paul Michel, Yamini Bansal, Siyuan Qiao, Kris Cao, Siamak Shakeri, Christina Butterfield, Justin Chung, Paul Kishan Rubenstein, Shivani Agrawal, Arthur Mensch, Kedar Soparkar, Karel Lenc, Timothy Chung, Aedan Pope, Loren Maggiore, Jackie Kay, Priya Jhakra, Shibo Wang, Joshua Maynez, Mary Phuong, Taylor Tobin, Andrea Tacchetti, Maja Trebacz, Kevin Robinson, Yash Katariya, Sebastian Riedel, Paige Bailey, Kefan Xiao, Nimesh Ghelani, Lora Aroyo, Ambrose Slone, Neil Houlsby, Xuehan Xiong, Zhen Yang, Elena Gribovskaya, Jonas Adler, Mateo Wirth, Lisa Lee, Music Li, Thais Kagohara, Jay Pavagadhi, Sophie Bridgers, Anna Bortsova, Sanjay Ghemawat, Zafarali Ahmed, Tianqi Liu, Richard Powell, Vijay Bolina, Mariko Iinuma, Polina Zablotskaia, James Besley, Da-Woon Chung,



Timothy Dozat, Ramona Comanescu, Xiance Si, Jeremy Greer, Guolong Su, Martin Polacek, Raphaël Lopez Kaufman, Simon Tokumine, Hexiang Hu, Elena Buchatskaya, Yingjie Miao, Mohamed Elhawaty, Aditya Siddhant, Nenad Tomasev, Jinwei Xing, Christina Greer, Helen Miller, Shereen Ashraf, Aurko Roy, Zizhao Zhang, Ada Ma, Angelos Filos, Milos Besta, Rory Blevins, Ted Klimenko, Chih-Kuan Yeh, Soravit Changpinyo, Jiaqi Mu, Oscar Chang, Mantas Pajarskas, Carrie Muir, Vered Cohen, Charline Le Lan, Krishna Haridasan, Amit Marathe, Steven Hansen, Sholto Douglas, Rajkumar Samuel, Mingqiu Wang, Sophia Austin, Chang Lan, Jiepu Jiang, Justin Chiu, Jaime Alonso Lorenzo, Lars Lowe Sjöstrand, Sébastien Cevey, Zach Gleicher, Thi Avrahami, Anudhyan Boral, Hansa Srinivasan, Vittorio Selo, Rhys May, Konstantinos Aisopos, Léonard Hussenot, Livio Baldini Soares, Kate Baumli, Michael B. Chang, Adrià Recasens, Ben Caine, Alexander Pritzel, Filip Pavetic, Fabio Pardo, Anita Gergely, Justin Frye, Vinay Ramasesh, Dan Horgan, Kartikeya Badola, Nora Kassner, Subhrajit Roy, Ethan Dyer, Víctor Campos Campos, Alex Tomala, Yunhao Tang, Dalia El Badawy, Elspeth White, Basil Mustafa, Oran Lang, Abhishek Jindal, Sharad Vikram, Zhitao Gong, Sergi Caelles, Ross Hemsley, Gregory Thornton, Fangxiaoyu Feng, Wojciech Stokowiec, Ce Zheng, Phoebe Thacker, Çağlar Ünlü, Zhishuai Zhang, Mohammad Saleh, James Svensson, Max Bileschi, Piyush Patil, Ankesh Anand, Roman Ring, Katerina Tsihlias, Arpi Vezer, Marco Selvi, Toby Shevlane, Mikel Rodriguez, Tom Kwiatkowski, Samira Daruki, Keran Rong, Allan Dafoe, Nicholas FitzGerald, Keren Gu-Lemberg, Mina Khan, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Marie Pellat, Vladimir Feinberg, James Cobon-Kerr, Tara Sainath, Maribeth Rauh, Sayed Hadi Hashemi, Richard Ives, Yana Hasson, Eric Noland, Yuan Cao, Nathan Byrd, Le Hou, Qingze Wang, Thibault Sottiaux, Michela Paganini, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Alexandre Moufarek, Samer Hassan, Kaushik Shivakumar, Joost van Amersfoort, Amol Mandhane, Pratik Joshi, Anirudh Goyal, Matthew Tung, Andrew Brock, Hannah Sheahan, Vedant Misra, Cheng Li, Nemanja Rakićević, Mostafa Dehghani, Fangyu Liu, Sid Mittal, Junhyuk Oh, Seb Noury, Eren Sezener, Fantine Huot, Matthew Lamm, Nicola De Cao, Charlie Chen, Sidharth Mudgal, Romina Stella, Kevin Brooks, Gautam Vasudevan, Chenxi Liu, Mainak Chaitin, Nivedita Melinkeri, Aaron Cohen, Venus Wang, Kristie Seymore, Sergey Zubkov, Rahul Goel, Summer Yue, Sai Krishnakumaran, Brian Albert, Nate Hurley, Motoki Sano, Anhad Mohanane, Jonah Joughin, Egor Filonov, Tomasz Kępa, Yomna Eldawy, Jiawern Lim, Rahul Rishi, Shirin Badiezadegan, Taylor Bos, Jerry Chang, Sanil Jain, Sri Gayatri Sundara Padmanabhan, Subha Puttagunta, Kalpesh Krishna, Leslie Baker, Norbert Kalb, Vamsi Bedapudi, Adam Kurzrok, Shuntong Lei, Anthony Yu, Oren Litvin, Xiang Zhou, Zhichun Wu, Sam Sobell, Andrea Siciliano, Alan Papir, Robby Neale, Jonas Bragagnolo, Tej Toor, Tina Chen, Valentin Anklin, Feiran Wang, Richie Feng, Milad Gholami, Kevin Ling, Lijuan Liu, Jules Walter, Hamid Moghaddam, Arun Kishore, Jakub Adamek, Tyler Mercado, Jonathan Mallinson, Siddhanta Wandekar, Stephen Cagle, Eran Ofek, Guillermo Garrido, Clemens Lombriser, Maksim Mukha, Botu Sun, Hafeezul Rahman Mohammad, Josip Matak, Yadi Qian, Vikas Peswani, Pawel Janus, Quan Yuan, Leif Schelin, Oana David, Ankur Garg, Yifan He, Oleksii Duzhyi, Anton Älgmyr, Timothée Lottaz, Qi Li, Vikas Yadav, Luyao Xu, Alex Chinien, Rakesh Shivanna, Aleksandr Chuklin, Josie Li, Carrie Spadine, Travis Wolfe, Kareem Mohamed, Subhabrata Das, Zihang Dai, Kyle He, Daniel von Dincklage, Shyam Upadhyay, Akanksha Maurya, Luyan Chi, Sebastian Krause, Khalid Salama, Pam G Rabinovitch, Pavan Kumar Reddy M, Aarush Selvan, Mikhail Dektiarev, Golnaz Ghiasi, Erdem Guven, Himanshu Gupta, Boyi Liu, Deepak Sharma, Idan Heimlich Shtacher, Shachi Paul, Oscar Akerlund, François-Xavier Aubet, Terry Huang, Chen Zhu, Eric Zhu, Elico Teixeira, Matthew Fritze, Francesco Bertolini, Liana-Eleonora Marinescu, Martin Böhle, Dominik Paulus, Khyatti Gupta, Tejas Latkar, Max Chang, Jason Sanders, Roopa Wilson, Xuewei Wu, Yi-Xuan Tan, Lam Nguyen Thiet, Tulsee Doshi, Sid Lall, Swaroop Mishra, Wanming Chen, Thang Luong, Seth Benjamin, Jasmine Lee, Ewa Andrejczuk, Dominik Rabiej, Vipul Ranjan, Krzysztof Styrz, Pengcheng Yin, Jon Simon, Malcolm Rose Harriott, Mudit Bansal, Alexei Robsky, Geoff Bacon, David Greene, Daniil Mirylenka, Chen Zhou, Obaid Sarvana, Abhimanyu Goyal, Samuel Andermatt, Patrick Siegler, Ben Horn, Assaf Israel, Francesco Pongetti, Chih-Wei "Louis" Chen, Marco Selvatici, Pedro Silva, Kathie Wang, Jackson Tolins, Kelvin Guu, Roey Yogev, Xiaochen Cai, Alessandro Agostini, Maulik Shah, Hung Nguyen, Noah Ó Donnaile, Sébastien Pereira, Linda Friso, Adam Stambler, Adam Kurzrok, Chenkai Kuang, Yan Romanikhin, Mark Geller, ZJ Yan, Kane Jang, Cheng-Chun Lee, Wojciech Fica, Eric Malmi, Qijun Tan, Dan Banica, Daniel Balle, Ryan Pham, Yanping Huang, Diana Avram, Hongzhi Shi, Jasjot Singh, Chris Hidey, Niharika Ahuja, Pranab Saxena, Dan Dooley, Srividya Pranavi Potharaju, Eileen O'Neill, Anand Gokulchandran, Ryan Foley, Kai Zhao, Mike Dusenberry, Yuan Liu, Pulkit Mehta, Ragha

Kotikalapudi, Chalence SafraneK-Shrader, Andrew Goodman, Joshua Kessinger, Eran Globen, Prateek Kolhar, Chris Gorgolewski, Ali Ibrahim, Yang Song, Ali Eichenbaum, Thomas Brovelli, Sahitya Potluri, Preethi Lahoti, Cip Baetu, Ali Ghorbani, Charles Chen, Andy Crawford, Shalini Pal, Mukund Sridhar, Petru Gurita, Asier Mujika, Igor Petrovski, Pierre-Louis Cedoz, Chenmei Li, Shiyuan Chen, Niccolò Dal Santo, Siddharth Goyal, Jitesh Punjabi, Karthik Kappaganthu, Chester Kwak, Pallavi LV, Sarmishta Velury, Himadri Choudhury, Jamie Hall, Premal Shah, Ricardo Figueira, Matt Thomas, Minjie Lu, Ting Zhou, Chintu Kumar, Thomas Jurdi, Sharat Chikkerur, Yenai Ma, Adams Yu, Soo Kwak, Victor Åhdel, Sujeevan Rajayogam, Travis Choma, Fei Liu, Aditya Barua, Colin Ji, Ji Ho Park, Vincent Hellendoorn, Alex Bailey, Taylan Bilal, Huanjie Zhou, Mehrdad Khatir, Charles Sutton, Wojciech RZadkowski, Fiona Macintosh, Konstantin Shagin, Paul Medina, Chen Liang, Jinjing Zhou, Pararth Shah, Yingying Bi, Attila Dankovics, Shipra Banga, Sabine Lehmann, Marissa Bredesen, Zifan Lin, John Eric Hoffmann, Jonathan Lai, Raynald Chung, Kai Yang, Nihal Balani, Arthur Bražinskas, Andrei Sozanschi, Matthew Hayes, Héctor Fernández Alcalde, Peter Makarov, Will Chen, Antonio Stella, Liselotte Snijders, Michael Mandl, Ante Kärman, Paweł Nowak, Xinyi Wu, Alex Dyck, Krishnan Vaidyanathan, Raghavender R, Jessica Mallet, Mitch Rudominer, Eric Johnston, Sushil Mittal, Akhil Udathu, Janara Christensen, Vishal Verma, Zach Irving, Andreas Santucci, Gamaleldin Elsayed, Elnaz Davoodi, Marin Georgiev, Ian Tenney, Nan Hua, Geoffrey Cideron, Edouard Leurent, Mahmoud Alnahlawi, Ionut Georgescu, Nan Wei, Ivy Zheng, Dylan Scandinaro, Heinrich Jiang, Jasper Snoek, Mukund Sundararajan, Xuezhong Wang, Zack Ontiveros, Itay Karo, Jeremy Cole, Vinu Rajashekar, Lara Tumeh, Eyal Ben-David, Rishub Jain, Jonathan Uesato, Romina Datta, Oskar Bunyan, Shimu Wu, John Zhang, Piotr Stanczyk, Ye Zhang, David Steiner, Subhajit Naskar, Michael Azzam, Matthew Johnson, Adam Paszke, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Jaume Sanchez Elias, Afroz Mohiuddin, Faizan Muhammad, Jin Miao, Andrew Lee, Nino Vieillard, Jane Park, Jiageng Zhang, Jeff Stanway, Drew Garmon, Abhijit Karmarkar, Zhe Dong, Jong Lee, Aviral Kumar, Luowei Zhou, Jonathan Evens, William Isaac, Geoffrey Irving, Edward Loper, Michael Fink, Isha Arkatkar, Nanxin Chen, Izhak Shafran, Ivan Petrychenko, Zhe Chen, Johnson Jia, Anselm Levskaya, Zhenkai Zhu, Peter Grabowski, Yu Mao, Alberto Magni, Kaisheng Yao, Javier Snaider, Norman Casagrande, Evan Palmer, Paul Suganthan, Alfonso Castaño, Irene Giannoumis, Wooyeol Kim, Mikołaj Rybiński, Ashwin Sreevatsa, Jennifer Prendki, David Soergel, Adrian Goedeckemeyer, Willi Gierke, Mohsen Jafari, Meenu Gaba, Jeremy Wiesner, Diana Gage Wright, Yawen Wei, Harsha Vashisht, Yana Kulizhskaya, Jay Hoover, Maigo Le, Lu Li, Chimezie Iwuanyanwu, Lu Liu, Kevin Ramirez, Andrey Khorlin, Albert Cui, Tian LIN, Marcus Wu, Ricardo Aguilar, Keith Pallo, Abhishek Chakladar, Ginger Perng, Elena Allica Abellan, Mingyang Zhang, Ishita Dasgupta, Nate Kushman, Ivo Penchev, Alena Repina, Xihui Wu, Tom van der Weide, Priya Ponnappalli, Caroline Kaplan, Jiri Simsa, Shuangfeng Li, Olivier Dousse, Fan Yang, Jeff Piper, Nathan Ie, Rama Pasumarthi, Nathan Lintz, Anitha Vijayakumar, Daniel Andor, Pedro Valenzuela, Minnie Lui, Cosmin Padurararu, Daiyi Peng, Katherine Lee, Shuyuan Zhang, Somer Greene, Duc Dung Nguyen, Paula Kurylowicz, Cassidy Hardin, Lucas Dixon, Lili Janzer, Kiam Choo, Ziqiang Feng, Biao Zhang, Achintya Singhal, Dayou Du, Dan McKinnon, Natasha Antropova, Tolga Bolukbasi, Orgad Keller, David Reid, Daniel Finchelstein, Maria Abi Raad, Remi Crocker, Peter Hawkins, Robert Dadashi, Colin Gaffney, Ken Franko, Anna Bulanova, Rémi Leblond, Shirley Chung, Harry Askham, Luis C. Cobo, Kelvin Xu, Felix Fischer, Jun Xu, Christina Sorokin, Chris Alberti, Chu-Cheng Lin, Colin Evans, Alek Dimitriev, Hannah Forbes, Dylan Banarse, Zora Tung, Mark Omernick, Colton Bishop, Rachel SterneK, Rohan Jain, Jiawei Xia, Ehsan Amid, Francesco Piccinno, Xingyu Wang, Praseem Banzal, Daniel J. Mankowitz, Alex Polozov, Victoria Krakovna, Sasha Brown, MohammadHossein Bateni, Dennis Duan, Vlad Firoiu, Meghana Thotakuri, Tom Natan, Matthieu Geist, Ser tan Girgin, Hui Li, Jiayu Ye, Ofir Roval, Reiko Tojo, Michael Kwong, James Lee-Thorp, Christopher Yew, Danila Sinopalnikov, Sabela Ramos, John Mellor, Abhishek Sharma, Kathy Wu, David Miller, Nicolas Sonnerat, Denis Vnukov, Rory Greig, Jennifer Beattie, Emily Caveness, Libin Bai, Julian Eisenschlos, Alex Korchemniy, Tomy Tsai, Mimi Jasarevic, Weize Kong, Phuong Dao, Zeyu Zheng, Frederick Liu, Fan Yang, Rui Zhu, Tian Huey Teh, Jason Sanmiya, Evgeny Gladchenko, Nejc Trdin, Daniel Toyama, Evan Rosen, Sasan Tavakkol, Linting Xue, Chen Elkind, Oliver Woodman, John Carpenter, George Papamakarios, Rupert Kemp, Sushant Kafle, Tanya Grunina, Rishika Sinha, Alice Talbert, Diane Wu, Denese Owusu-Afriyie, Cosmo Du, Chloe Thornton, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Pradyumna Narayana, Jing Li, Saaber Fatehi, John Wieting, Omar Ajmeri, Benigno Uriá, Yeongil Ko, Laura Knight, Amélie Héliou, Ning Niu, Shane Gu, Chenxi Pang, Yeqing Li, Nir Levine, Ariel Stolovich, Rebeca Santamaria-

Fernandez, Sonam Goenka, Wenny Yustalim, Robin Strudel, Ali Elqursh, Charlie Deck, Hyo Lee, Zonglin Li, Kyle Levin, Raphael Hoffmann, Dan Holtmann-Rice, Olivier Bachem, Sho Arora, Christy Koh, Soheil Hassas Yeganeh, Siim Pöder, Mukarram Tariq, Yanhua Sun, Lucian Ionita, Mojtaba Seyedhosseini, Pouya Tafti, Zhiyu Liu, Anmol Gulati, Jasmine Liu, Xinyu Ye, Bart Chrzaszcz, Lily Wang, Nikhil Sethi, Tianrun Li, Ben Brown, Shreya Singh, Wei Fan, Aaron Parisi, Joe Stanton, Vinod Koverkathu, Christopher A. Choquette-Choo, Yunjie Li, TJ Lu, Abe Ittycheriah, Prakash Shroff, Mani Varadarajan, Sanaz Bahargam, Rob Willoughby, David Gaddy, Guillaume Desjardins, Marco Cornero, Brona Robenek, Bhavishya Mittal, Ben Albrecht, Ashish Shenoy, Fedor Moiseev, Henrik Jacobsson, Alireza Ghaffarkhah, Morgane Rivière, Alanna Walton, Clément Crepy, Alicia Parrish, Zongwei Zhou, Clement Farabet, Carey Radebaugh, Praveen Srinivasan, Claudia van der Salm, Andreas Fidjeland, Salvatore Scellato, Eri Latorre-Chimoto, Hanna Klimczak-Plucińska, David Bridson, Dario de Cesare, Tom Hudson, Piermaria Mendolicchio, Lexi Walker, Alex Morris, Matthew Mauger, Alexey Guseynov, Alison Reid, Seth Odoom, Lucia Loher, Victor Cotruta, Madhavi Yenugula, Dominik Grewe, Anastasia Petrushkina, Tom Duerig, Antonio Sanchez, Steve Yadlowsky, Amy Shen, Amir Globerson, Lynette Webb, Sahil Dua, Dong Li, Surya Bhupatiraju, Dan Hurt, Haroon Qureshi, Ananth Agarwal, Tomer Shani, Matan Eyal, Anuj Khare, Shreyas Rammohan Belle, Lei Wang, Chetan Tekur, Mihir Sanjay Kale, Jinliang Wei, Ruoxin Sang, Brennan Saeta, Tyler Liechty, Yi Sun, Yao Zhao, Stephan Lee, Pandu Nayak, Doug Fritz, Manish Reddy Vuyyuru, John Aslanides, Nidhi Vyas, Martin Wicke, Xiao Ma, Evgenii Eltyshev, Nina Martin, Hardie Cate, James Manyika, Keyvan Amiri, Yelin Kim, Xi Xiong, Kai Kang, Florian Luisier, Nilesh Tripuraneni, David Madras, Mandy Guo, Austin Waters, Oliver Wang, Joshua Ainslie, Jason Baldridge, Han Zhang, Garima Pruthi, Jakob Bauer, Feng Yang, Riham Mansour, Jason Gelman, Yang Xu, George Polovets, Ji Liu, Honglong Cai, Warren Chen, XiangHai Sheng, Emily Xue, Sherjil Ozair, Christof Angermueller, Xiaowei Li, Anoop Sinha, Weiren Wang, Julia Wiesinger, Emmanouil Koukoumidis, Yuan Tian, Anand Iyer, Madhu Gurusurthy, Mark Goldenson, Parashar Shah, MK Blake, Hongkun Yu, Anthony Urbanowicz, Jennimaria Palomaki, Chrisantha Fernando, Ken Durden, Harsh Mehta, Nikola Momchev, Elahe Rahimtoroghi, Maria Georgaki, Amit Raul, Sebastian Ruder, Morgan Redshaw, Jinhyuk Lee, Denny Zhou, Komal Jalan, Dinghua Li, Blake Hechtman, Parker Schuh, Milad Nasr, Kieran Milan, Vladimir Mikulik, Juliana Franco, Tim Green, Nam Nguyen, Joe Kelley, Aroma Mahendru, Andrea Hu, Joshua Howland, Ben Vargas, Jeffrey Hui, Kshitij Bansal, Vikram Rao, Rakesh Ghiya, Emma Wang, Ke Ye, Jean Michel Sarr, Melanie Moranski Preston, Madeleine Elish, Steve Li, Aakash Kaku, Jigar Gupta, Ice Pasupat, Da-Cheng Juan, Milan Someswar, Tejvi M., Xinyun Chen, Aida Amini, Alex Fabrikant, Eric Chu, Xuanyi Dong, Amruta Muthal, Senaka Buthpitiya, Sarthak Jauhari, Nan Hua, Urvashi Khandelwal, Ayal Hitron, Jie Ren, Larissa Rinaldi, Shahar Drath, Avigail Dabush, Nan-Jiang Jiang, Harshal Godhia, Uli Sachs, Anthony Chen, Yicheng Fan, Hagai Taitelbaum, Hila Noga, Zhuyun Dai, James Wang, Chen Liang, Jenny Hamer, Chun-Sung Ferng, Chenel Elkind, Aviel Atias, Paulina Lee, Vít Listík, Mathias Carlen, Jan van de Kerkhof, Marcin Pikus, Krunoslav Zaher, Paul Müller, Sasha Zykova, Richard Stefanec, Vitaly Gatsko, Christoph Hirsenschall, Ashwin Sethi, Xingyu Federico Xu, Chetan Ahuja, Beth Tsai, Anca Stefanoiu, Bo Feng, Keshav Dhandhania, Manish Katyal, Akshay Gupta, Atharva Parulekar, Divya Pitta, Jing Zhao, Vivaan Bhatia, Yashodha Bhavnani, Omar Alhadlaq, Xiaolin Li, Peter Danenberg, Dennis Tu, Alex Pine, Vera Filippova, Abhipso Ghosh, Ben Limonchik, Bhargava Urala, Chaitanya Krishna Lanka, Derik Clive, Yi Sun, Edward Li, Hao Wu, Kevin Hongtongsak, Ianna Li, Kalind Thakkar, Kuanysh Omarov, Kushal Majmundar, Michael Alverson, Michael Kucharski, Mohak Patel, Mudit Jain, Maksim Zabelin, Paolo Pelagatti, Rohan Kohli, Saurabh Kumar, Joseph Kim, Swetha Sankar, Vineet Shah, Lakshmi Ramachandruni, Xiangkai Zeng, Ben Bariach, Laura Weidinger, Amar Subramanya, Sissie Hsiao, Demis Hassabis, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Adam Sadovsky, Quoc Le, Trevor Strohman, Yonghui Wu, Slav Petrov, Jeffrey Dean, and Oriol Vinyals. Gemini: A family of highly capable multimodal models, 2024.

- [74] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models, 2023.
- [75] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiobu, Jude Fernandes,

- Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa, Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Diana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Martinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Molybog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizenstein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten, Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subramanian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Taylor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu, Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan, Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurelien Rodriguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas Scialom. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models, 2023.
- [76] Shikhar Vashishth, Shyam Upadhyay, Gaurav Singh Tomar, and Manaal Faruqui. Attention interpretability across NLP tasks. *CoRR*, abs/1909.11218, 2019. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11218>.
- [77] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *CoRR*, abs/1706.03762, 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>.
- [78] Jesse Vig and Yonatan Belinkov. Analyzing the structure of attention in a transformer language model, 2019.
- [79] Elena Voita, David Talbot, Fedor Moiseev, Rico Sennrich, and Ivan Titov. Analyzing multi-head self-attention: Specialized heads do the heavy lifting, the rest can be pruned, 2019.
- [80] Yao Wan, Wei Zhao, Hongyu Zhang, Yulei Sui, Guandong Xu, and Hai Jin. What do they capture? – a structural analysis of pre-trained language models for source code, 2022.
- [81] Jason Wei, Maarten Bosma, Vincent Y. Zhao, Kelvin Guu, Adams Wei Yu, Brian Lester, Nan Du, Andrew M. Dai, and Quoc V. Le. Finetuned language models are zero-shot learners, 2022.
- [82] Yuxiang Wei, Zhe Wang, Jiawei Liu, Yifeng Ding, and Lingming Zhang. Magicoder: Source code is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02120*, 2023.
- [83] Jules White, Quchen Fu, Sam Hays, Michael Sandborn, Carlos Olea, Henry Gilbert, Ashraf Elnashar, Jesse Spencer-Smith, and Douglas C. Schmidt. A prompt pattern catalog to enhance prompt engineering with chatgpt, 2023.
- [84] Kevin Yang and Dan Klein. FUDGE: Controlled text generation with future discriminators. In Kristina Toutanova, Anna Rumshisky, Luke Zettlemoyer, Dilek Hakkani-Tur, Iz Beltagy, Steven Bethard, Ryan Cotterell, Tanmoy Chakraborty, and Yichao Zhou, editors, *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 3511–3535, Online, June 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2021.naacl-main.276. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2021.naacl-main.276>.
- [85] Pengcheng Yin and Graham Neubig. A syntactic neural model for general-purpose code generation, 2017.
- [86] Tao Yu, Rui Zhang, Kai Yang, Michihiro Yasunaga, Dongxu Wang, Zifan Li, James Ma, Irene Li, Qingning Yao, Shanelle Roman, Zilin Zhang, and Dragomir Radev. Spider: A large-scale human-labeled dataset for complex and cross-domain semantic parsing and text-to-SQL task. In Ellen Riloff, David Chiang, Julia Hockenmaier, and Jun’ichi Tsujii, editors, *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 3911–3921, Brussels, Belgium, October–November 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/D18-1425. URL <https://aclanthology.org/D18-1425>.
- [87] Kechi Zhang, Ge Li, and Zhi Jin. What does transformer learn about source code?, 2022.
- [88] Tony Z. Zhao, Eric Wallace, Shi Feng, Dan Klein, and Sameer Singh. Calibrate before use: Improving few-shot performance of language models. *CoRR*, abs/2102.09690, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.09690>.
- [89] Xuandong Zhao, Xianjun Yang, Tianyu Pang, Chao Du, Lei Li, Yu-Xiang Wang, and William Yang Wang. Weak-to-strong jailbreaking on large language models, 2024.
- [90] Tianyu Zheng, Ge Zhang, Tianhao Shen, Xueling Liu, Bill Yuchen Lin, Jie Fu, Wenhui Chen, and Xiang Yue. Opencodeinterpreter: Integrating code generation with execution and refinement, 2024.

## A Appendix / supplemental material

### A.1 Attention Calculation

**Self-attention.** Most LLMs are based on the decoder of transformer [77] which has multiple self-attention layers. Roughly speaking, given an LLM  $f_\theta$  and an input sequence of tokens  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n$  where  $t_i$  represents the  $i$ th token. The transformer calculates relevance scores between every pair of tokens. The self-attention score for a token  $t_i$  in the sequence can be roughly formulated as:

$$\text{attention}(t_i) \approx \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{relevance}(t_i, t_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{relevance}(t_i, t_j)}, \quad (20)$$

where the relevance function approximates the computation among  $Q, K, V$  in transformers [77]. However, different layers have different attention distributions. According to a study [80], deeper self-attention layers can better capture long-distance dependencies and program structure, so we calculate the attention by aggregating attention from multiple heads at the last layer. Nevertheless, this still excludes the influence from the last forward layer.

**Gradient-based Attention.** Compared to using self-attention layers in transformers, the gradient-based method can be generalized to different model architectures and consider the entire model as a whole. It computes the model’s attention by calculating the gradients relative to the input. Intuitively, a token that induces a larger gradient is considered more influential, suggesting that the model pays greater attention to it. Formally, the attention over the token  $t_i$  is calculated by

$$\text{attention}(t_i) = \frac{\partial f_\theta(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_i}. \quad (21)$$

**Attention Percentage to the Prompt.** Based on these two methods, we analyze how the attention of LLMs to the initial prompt shifts. Formally, given the prompt  $x$  and the following generated tokens  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}$ , we calculate the percentage of attention  $\alpha(x)$  over the initial prompt

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{\text{attention}(x)}{\text{attention}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \text{attention}(t_i)} \quad (22)$$

Given attention analysis requires open sourcing, we select five SOTA code LLMs with various sizes. We run the experiments on HumanEval [7], one of the most popular benchmarks for evaluating code generation models. We run five LLMs [58, 65, 24] on all 164 Humaneval tasks. Figure 2 shows the self-attention shift and Figure 3 shows the gradient-based attention shift when generating the first 400 tokens. The value gradually becomes noisy due to the lack of generated sequence with enough length.

The results demonstrate that there indeed exists such attention dilution issue. Due to the autoregressive nature, LLMs’ attention to the initial prompt is gradually diluted as generating more code. LLMs tend to attend to code generated by itself. Our finding is supported by another study [9] which investigates the self-attention dilution of transformers in a more general scenario.

### A.2 Optimal Weighting Values

Table 3: Optimal  $\omega$  for each model and benchmark

Model	HumanEval	HumanEval+	MBPP	MBPP+	Average
CodeGen-Mono (350M)	1.20	1.20	1.35	1.35	1.28
DeepSeek-Coder (1.3B)	1.05	1.05	1.20	1.20	1.13
DeepSeek-Coder (6.7B)	1.28	1.28	1.25	1.25	1.26
CodeLlama (7B)	1.60	1.60	1.20	1.20	1.40
DeepSeek-Coder (33B)	1.35	1.35	1.30	1.30	1.33
Average	1.30	1.30	1.33	1.33	1.28

Table 3 reports optimal weighting values  $\omega$  that are used in our main results (Table 1). We observe the average value of 0.28 can be used to effectively improve performance across all benchmarks for all LLMs.

### A.3 Examples

Figure 5 presents two examples comparing the code generated by models alone and the models augmented using SPA.

In the first example, CodeLlama (7B) overlooks the specified condition "upper vowels." In contrast, SPA enhances the model's focus on the intended purpose. The code initializes all the upper vowels in the first line and correctly refers to it later.

In the second example, DeepSeek-Coder (1.3B) erroneously sorts the list by string names instead of integers. When using SPA, the model demonstrates improved recognition of the required procedures, aligning more closely with the task description. The code correctly sorts and reverses the list. Then the integer list is mapped to the string list.



Figure 5: Examples of generated code by LLMs alone (left) and using SPA (right).