

# SOBOLEV INEQUALITY AND ITS APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR PDE ON NONCOMMUTATIVE EUCLIDEAN SPACES

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY, SERIKBOL SHAIMARDAN, AND KANAT TULENOV

ABSTRACT. In this work, we study the Sobolev inequality on noncommutative Euclidean spaces. As a simple consequence, we obtain the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality and as its application we show global well-posedness of nonlinear PDEs in the noncommutative Euclidean space. Moreover, we show that the logarithmic Sobolev inequality is equivalent to the Nash inequality for possibly different constants in this noncommutative setting by completing the list in noncommutative Varopoulos’s theorem in [38]. Finally, we present a direct application of the Nash inequality to compute the time decay for solutions of the heat equation in the noncommutative setting.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Noncommutative (NC) Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$	4
2.2. Noncommutative integration	5
2.3. Noncommutative $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ and $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ spaces	6
2.4. Differential calculus on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$	7
2.5. Fourier transform on NC Euclidean spaces	8
2.6. Convolution on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$	8
3. Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality	9
4. Equivalence of various inequalities	14
4.1. Equivalence of the Nash and the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities	14
5. Applications to Nonlinear PDEs	17
5.1. A Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality	17
5.2. Linear damped wave equation on noncommutative Euclidean spaces	19
5.3. Semilinear damped wave equations on noncommutative Euclidean spaces	20
5.4. Application of the Nash inequality to the heat equation	24
5.5. Conflict of interest	24
5.6. Data availability	25
6. Acknowledgements	25
References	25

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L51, 47L25, 46E35, 42B15, 35L76, 35L05.

*Key words and phrases.* Noncommutative Euclidean space, Sobolev inequality, Nash inequality, Logarithmic Sobolev inequality, Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, nonlinear damped wave equation, global well-posedness.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Sobolev inequality [31] in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d > 2$ , states that, for any sufficiently smooth function  $f$  with compact support, the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{2d/d-2} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_2,$$

holds, where the constant  $C > 0$  depends only on  $d > 2$ . This inequality is widely applied in the study of partial differential equations (briefly PDEs) (e.g., [11]) and is interconnected with various other inequalities. The Sobolev inequality finds widespread applications in diverse areas of mathematics and physics. In the study of elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations, it serves as a fundamental tool for proving existence and uniqueness of solutions, as well as regularity properties of solutions. Moreover, in the context of variational problems and optimization, the Sobolev inequality plays a crucial role in establishing the compactness of minimizing sequences and the convergence of solutions. Extending this theory to noncommutative settings poses unique challenges and opportunities. In the noncommutative context, the traditional notion of differentiation must be reinterpreted, often relying on concepts from operator theory and functional analysis. In the framework of non-commutative geometry, the notion of Euclidean space undergoes a profound transformation. Instead of traditional coordinates that commute with each other, we deal with noncommuting coordinates, reflecting the noncommutative nature of the space. This departure from commutativity introduces intriguing mathematical structures, reminiscent of quantum mechanics and operator algebras. Noncommutative Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ , which is defined in terms of an arbitrary skew-symmetric real  $d \times d$  matrix  $\theta$ , represent deformations of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as outlined by Rieffel [26]. This family of spaces stands among the earliest and most thoroughly investigated examples in noncommutative geometry, owing to its significance in the quantum mechanical phase space perspective. Various scholars, including Moyal [23] and Groenwald [13], have approached these spaces from diverse angles. The central concept involves deforming the algebra of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by substituting the standard pointwise product with the twisted Moyal product. In the realm of noncommutative geometry, noncommutative Euclidean spaces represent notable instances of “noncompact” spaces [2, 9]. The Moyal product garners attention for its relevance to quantum phase space [15, Chapter 13], [12]. Furthermore, noncommutative Euclidean spaces play a crucial role in physics, especially in situations where spatial coordinates fail to commute [2].

In the literature, multiple equivalent constructions regarding noncommutative Euclidean space are documented. One approach to defining  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$  starts by establishing the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  as a twisted left-regular representation of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We will delve into this definition of a von Neumann algebra, which is generated by a  $d$ -parameter strongly continuous unitary family  $\{U_\theta(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  satisfying the relation

$$U_\theta(t)U_\theta(s) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t,\theta s)}U_\theta(t+s), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

In recent times, there has been a significant body of research aimed at extending the techniques of the classical harmonic analysis on Euclidean spaces to noncommutative setting. This is because it is possible to define analogues of many tools of harmonic analysis, such as differential operators, Fourier transform, and function spaces. Recent progress in this theory even allow to study nonlinear PDEs [21]. For more details and recent results

on this theory, we refer the reader to [8], [9], [20], [21], [16], [28], and references therein. At its core, the Sobolev inequality relates the smoothness of a function to the behavior of its derivatives. In particular, it quantifies the growth of the  $L^p$ -norm of a function and its derivatives in terms of the  $L^q$ -norm of the function itself, where  $p$  and  $q$  are related exponents depending on the dimension of the space and the order of differentiation.

There are several proofs of the Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d > 2$ . One of them is by using the heat kernel estimate [40]. Indeed, N. Varopoulos obtained an equivalence between a heat kernel estimate and the Sobolev inequality in an abstract settings in 1985 [39]. He proved that the Sobolev inequality is equivalent to the heat kernel estimate as well as the Nash, and Moser inequalities, for possibly different constants. On the other hand, the Nash inequality is also equivalent to the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. The Sobolev inequality was proved in [38, Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.19] by using the heat kernel estimate. Moreover, the author obtained the noncommutative analogue of the Varopoulos's theorem even a more general case which includes noncommutative Euclidean spaces. On the other hand, there is another way to prove the Sobolev inequality which hinges upon the Young inequality for weak type spaces and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. Recently the Sobolev inequality was also studied in the quantum phase space in [18] by this way. We think that the quantum phase space is equivalent to the particular case of our noncommutative Euclidean space when  $\det(\theta) \neq 0$ . In this work, we study general case which covers some results in [18]. Therefore, we first focused to obtain the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Young inequality for weak type spaces in the noncommutative Euclidean space. Our proof is based on the Young inequality in [21] and the interpolation in the classical Lorentz spaces as well as a proper extension of the definition of convolutions in the class of distributions. Moreover, we prove that the equivalence between the Nash inequality the logarithmic Sobolev inequality remains true even in the noncommutative Euclidean space by completing the list of the noncommutative Varopoulos's theorem in [38, Theorem 4.30] (see also [34] for the equivalence between  $\varphi$ -ultracontractivity and logarithmic Sobolev inequality for some  $\varphi$  in noncommutative setting). As a consequence of the noncommutative Sobolev and Hölder inequalities we obtain a version of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality which allows us to show its global well-posedness of nonlinear damped wave equations for the sub-Laplacian in the noncommutative Euclidean space. Nonlinear PDEs in the noncommutative Euclidean space were studied very recently in [21], where the author obtained local (some global) well-posedness in time of Allen-Cahn, Schrödinger and incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. The main difference in this work is that we study damped wave equations for the sub-Laplacian and show its global in time well posedness. Moreover, our approach is completely different from that of [21]. However, our approach is also applicable at least to obtain a global in time well posedness of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation which was studied in [21]. In general, there are some technical difficulties in noncommutative setting including defining even nonlinear operator functions. The other difficulties in the noncommutative Euclidean spaces were explained in [21]. The main tool to show that is the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality and proper Banach fixed point theorem. The idea comes from the paper [29], where the authors studied similar problems in the context of Heisenberg and graded Lie groups. At the end, as in the classical case, we show a direct application of the Nash inequality to compute the time decay for solutions of the heat equation in the noncommutative setting.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Noncommutative (NC) Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ .** For a thorough examination of the noncommutative (NC) Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$  and additional insights, we recommend recent works [8], [9], [16], [20], [21], and [28].

Let  $H$  represent a Hilbert space, with  $B(H)$  denoting the algebra consisting of all bounded linear operators that act on  $H$ . In the usual context, we denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) the  $L^p$ -spaces of pointwise almost-everywhere equivalence classes of  $p$ -integrable functions, while  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the space of essentially bounded functions on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the Lorentz space  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  refers to the space of complex-valued measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying the finiteness of the quasinorm defined as:

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) = \sup_{s>0} sd(s, f)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $d(\cdot, f)$  represents the distribution of the function  $f$ . For a more comprehensive understanding of these spaces, we refer the reader to [14].

Let us suppose we have an integer  $d \geq 1$ , and we choose an antisymmetric  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $d \times d$  matrix  $\theta = \{\theta_{j,k}\}_{1 \leq j,k \leq d}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Define  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$  (or  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ ) as the von Neumann algebra generated by the  $d$ -parameter strongly continuous unitary family  $\{U_\theta(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  satisfying the relations

$$(2.2) \quad U_\theta(t)U_\theta(s) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t,\theta s)}U_\theta(t+s), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  means the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The relation mentioned above is known as the Weyl representation of the canonical commutation relation. While it is viable to define  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  in an abstract operator-theoretic manner as outlined in [9], an alternative approach involves defining the algebra through a specific set of operators defined on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [21, Definition 2.1] Let  $U_\theta(t)$  denote the operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , defined by:

$$(U_\theta(t)\xi)(s) = e^{i(t,s)}\xi(s - \frac{1}{2}\theta t), \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It can be demonstrated that the family  $\{U_\theta(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  is strongly continuous and satisfies the relation (2.2). Subsequently, the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is defined as the weak operator topology closed subalgebra of  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  generated by the family  $\{U_\theta(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ , and is called a non-commutative (or quantum) Euclidean space.

It should be noted that when  $\theta = 0$ , the definitions provided above reduce to characterizing  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as the algebra of bounded pointwise multipliers on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Generally, the algebraic nature of the noncommutative Euclidean space  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  depends on the dimension of the kernel of  $\theta$ . In the case  $d = 2$ , up to an orthogonal conjugation  $\theta$  may be given as

$$(2.3) \quad \theta = h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some constant  $h > 0$ . In this case,  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^2)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  and this  $*$ -isomorphism can be written as

$$U_\theta(t) \rightarrow e^{it_1 \mathbf{M}_s + it_2 h \frac{d}{ds}},$$

where  $\mathbf{M}_s \xi(s) = s\xi(s)$  and  $\frac{d}{ds} \xi(s) = \xi'(s)$  is the differentiation. If  $d \geq 2$ , then an arbitrary  $d \times d$  antisymmetric real matrix can be expressed (up to orthogonal conjugation) as a direct sum of a zero matrix and matrices of the form (2.3), ultimately leading to the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\dim(\ker(\theta))}) \bar{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{\text{rank}(\theta)/2})),$$

where  $\bar{\otimes}$  is the von Neumann tensor product [20]. In particular, if  $\det(\theta) \neq 0$ , then (2.4) reduces to

$$(2.5) \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \cong B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})).$$

It is worth noting that these formulas make sense due to the fact that the rank of an antisymmetric matrix is always even.

**2.2. Noncommutative integration.** Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define the operator  $\lambda_\theta(f)$  by the formula

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_\theta(f)\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)U_\theta(t)\xi dt, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

This integral converges absolutely in the Bochner sense, yielding a bounded linear operator  $\lambda_\theta(f) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  such that  $\lambda_\theta(f) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  (see [20, Lemma 2.3]). Let us use  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to represent the classical Schwartz space on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we define the Fourier transform as:

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s)e^{-i(t,s)} ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The noncommutative Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is precisely the set of elements of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  that can be expressed as  $\lambda_\theta(f)$  for some  $f$  belonging to the classical Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In other words:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) := \{x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) : x = \lambda_\theta(f) \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$$

We equip  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  with a topology induced by the canonical Fréchet topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  via the map  $\lambda_\theta$ . The topological dual of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is denoted as  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Moreover, the injectivity of the mapping  $\lambda_\theta$  is established [20, Subsection 2.2.3], [21], and for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  it can be extended to distributions

$$(2.7) \quad (\lambda_\theta(f), \lambda_\theta(g)) = \langle f, \widetilde{g} \rangle \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define the functional  $\tau_\theta : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the formula:

$$(2.8) \quad \tau_\theta(\lambda_\theta(f)) = \tau_\theta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\eta)U_\theta(\eta)d\eta \right) := f(0)$$

This functional  $\tau_\theta$  can be extended to a semifinite normal trace on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Furthermore, if  $\theta = 0$ , then  $\tau_\theta$  coincides exactly with the Lebesgue integral under a suitable isomorphism. If  $\det(\theta) \neq 0$ , then  $\tau_\theta$  is (up to normalization) the operator trace on  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}))$ . For further details, we refer to [10], [20, Lemma 2.7], [21, Theorem 2.6].

**2.3. Noncommutative  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  spaces.** Given the definitions outlined in the earlier sections,  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  emerges as a semifinite von Neumann algebra, with  $\tau_\theta$  serving as its trace. Thus, the pair  $(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \tau_\theta)$  is characterized as a noncommutative measure space. We can define the  $L^p$ -norm on this space for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$  using the Borel functional calculus and the following expression:

$$\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \left( \tau_\theta(|x|^p) \right)^{1/p}, \quad x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d),$$

where  $|x| := (x^*x)^{1/2}$ . The space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is the completion of the set  $\{x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) : \|x\|_p < \infty\}$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}$ , and this completion is denoted by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . The elements of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  are  $\tau_\theta$ -measurable operators, like in the commutative case. These are linear densely defined closed (possibly unbounded) affiliated with  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  operators such that  $\tau_\theta(\mathbf{1}_{(s,\infty)}(|x|)) < \infty$  for some  $s > 0$ . Here,  $\mathbf{1}_{(s,\infty)}(|x|)$  denotes the spectral projection corresponding to the interval  $(s, \infty)$ . Let  $L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  represent the collection of all  $\tau_\theta$ -measurable operators. We assume  $x = x^* \in L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . The *distribution function* of  $x$  is defined by

$$d(s; x) = \tau_\theta(e^{|x|}(s, \infty)), \quad 0 < s < \infty.$$

For  $x \in L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , we define the *generalised singular value function*  $\mu(t, x)$  as follows:

$$(2.9) \quad \mu(t, x) = \inf \{s > 0 : d(s; x) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

The function  $t \mapsto \mu(t, x)$  is decreasing and right-continuous. For further discussion on generalized singular value functions, we direct the reader to [7, 19]. The norm of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  can also be represented using the generalized singular value function, as outlined in (see [19, Example 2.4.2, p. 53]):

$$(2.10) \quad \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \left( \int_0^\infty \mu^p(s, x) ds \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } p < \infty, \quad \|x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \mu(0, x), \quad \text{if } p = \infty.$$

The equality for  $p = \infty$ , was established in [19, Lemma 2.3.12. (b), p. 50].

The space  $L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is a  $*$ -algebra, which can be endowed with a topological  $*$ -algebra structure in the following manner. We consider the set

$$V(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{x \in L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) : \mu(\varepsilon, x) \leq \delta\}.$$

Then, the family  $\{V(\varepsilon, \delta) : \varepsilon, \delta > 0\}$  constitutes a system of neighbourhoods at 0, resulting in  $L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  becoming a metrizable topological  $*$ -algebra. The convergence induced by this topology is called the *convergence in measure* [24]. Next, we establish the noncommutative Lorentz space linked with the noncommutative Euclidean space.

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we introduce the non-commutative Lorentz space  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  as follows:

$$\|x\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} := \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, x) = \sup_{s>0} s d(s; x)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

These spaces constitute noncommutative quasi-Banach spaces. For an extensive exploration of  $L^p$  and Lorentz spaces corresponding to general semifinite von Neumann algebras, we suggest referring to [6], [19], [24].

**2.4. Differential calculus on  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ .** Let us recall the differential structure on  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ , as detailed in (see, [21, Subsection 2, p. 10]).

The differential structure relies on the group of translations  $\{T_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ , where  $T_s$  is presented as the unique  $*$ -automorphism of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  that operates on  $U_\theta(t)$  as follows:

$$(2.11) \quad T_s(U_\theta(t)) = e^{i(t,s)}U_\theta(t), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Expressed in terms of the map  $\lambda_\theta$ , we observe:

$$(2.12) \quad T_s(\lambda_\theta(f)) = \lambda_\theta(e^{i(s,\cdot)}f(\cdot)),$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Alternatively, for  $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \subseteq B(L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d))$ , we can introduce  $T_s(x)$  as the conjugation of  $x$  by the unitary operator representing translation by  $s$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** (see, [21, Definition 2.9]) An element  $x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  is considered smooth if, for all  $y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  the function  $s \mapsto \tau_\theta(yT_s(x))$  is smooth.

The partial derivatives  $\partial_j^\theta$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , are defined on smooth elements  $x$  as follows:

$$\partial_j^\theta(x) = \frac{d}{ds_j}T_s(x)|_{s=0}.$$

Using (2.6) and (2.11), we can readily confirm that for  $x = \lambda_\theta(f)$ , the following holds:

$$(2.13) \quad \partial_j^\theta(x) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} \partial_j^\theta \lambda_\theta(f) \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \lambda_\theta(it_j f(t)), \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We introduce the notation  $\partial_\theta^\alpha$  for a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  as follows:

$$\partial_\theta^\alpha = (\partial_1^\theta)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial_d^\theta)^{\alpha_d},$$

and denote the gradient associated with  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  as  $\nabla_\theta$ , defined as the operator

$$\nabla_\theta = (\partial_1^\theta, \dots, \partial_d^\theta).$$

Furthermore, we define the Laplace operator  $\Delta_\theta$  as

$$(2.14) \quad \Delta_\theta = (\partial_1^\theta)^2 + \dots + (\partial_d^\theta)^2,$$

where  $-\Delta_\theta$  acts as a positive operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  (see [20] and [21]).

Through the concept of duality, we can further extend the derivatives  $\partial_\theta^\alpha$  to operators on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .

In a manner of the classical setting, let us denote the pairing between  $x$  from  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $y$  from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  as  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . We embed the space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  into  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  via:

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \tau_\theta(xy), \quad x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

For a multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $x \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , the distribution  $\partial_\theta^\alpha x$  is defined as:

$$\langle \partial_\theta^\alpha x, y \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle x, \partial_\theta^\alpha y \rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.15) \quad \langle \Delta_\theta x, y \rangle = \langle x, \Delta_\theta y \rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

## 2.5. Fourier transform on NC Euclidean spaces.

**Definition 2.4.** For any  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , we define the Fourier transform of  $x$  as the map  $\lambda_\theta^{-1} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by the formula

$$(2.16) \quad \lambda_\theta^{-1}(x) := \widehat{x}, \quad \widehat{x}(s) = \tau_\theta(x \lambda_\theta(s)^*), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, we have Plancherel's (Parseval's) identity [20]

$$(2.17) \quad \|\lambda_\theta(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** ([3], [4] see also [25, Theorem 1.20]). *Let  $H$  and  $H_1$  be Hilbert spaces. Suppose that  $g$  is an operator convex function on the interval  $I$ ,  $x \in B(H)$  and  $Sp(x) \subset I$ . Then we have*

$$(2.18) \quad \pi(g(x)) \leq g(\pi(x)),$$

for any positive normalized linear map  $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H_1)$ .

Here,  $Sp(x)$  is the spectrum of  $x$ .

**Remark 2.6.** The inequality (2.18) is of course reversed if we employ an operator concave function instead of an operator convex function.

**2.6. Convolution on  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ .** As it was already introduced in [20, Section 3.2], [23, Section 2.4]), we define the convolution on  $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . For  $K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define

$$(2.19) \quad K * x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(t) T_{-t}(x) dt,$$

where the integral is understood in the sense of a  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Bochner integral when  $p < \infty$ , and as a weak\* integral when  $p = \infty$ .

The following remark can be found from [21].

**Remark 2.8.** The convolution (2.19) preserves the positivity: if  $x \geq 0$  and  $K \geq 0$ , then

$$K * x \geq 0.$$

**Definition 2.9.** For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  define

$$(x \diamond y)(t) := \tau_\theta(x T_{-t}(y)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The following proposition shows that this is, indeed, well defined and belongs to the class of Schwartz functions.

**Proposition 2.10.** *If  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , then  $x \diamond y$  as a function belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In other words,  $x \diamond y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Then we can write  $x = \lambda_\theta(f)$  and  $y = \lambda_\theta(g)$  for some  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , respectively. Moreover, we obtain the following equality (see, [20, formula 2.13])

$$(2.20) \quad \lambda_\theta(f) \lambda_\theta(g) = \lambda_\theta(f *_\theta g),$$

where the  $\theta$ -convolution as

$$(2.21) \quad f *_\theta g(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\frac{i}{2}(s, \theta \xi)} f(s - \xi) g(\xi) d\xi.$$



It follows from (2.12) and (2.20) that

$$(2.22) \quad xT_{-t}(y) \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f)\lambda_\theta(e^{-i(t,\cdot)}g(\cdot)) \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f *_\theta (e^{-i(t,\cdot)}g(\cdot))).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.23) \quad (x \diamond y)(t) = \tau_\theta(xT_{-t}(y)) \stackrel{(2.22)}{=} G(t),$$

where

$$G(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(-\xi)e^{-i(t,\xi)}g(\xi)d\xi.$$

Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , it follows that  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , thereby completing the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Then we define a convolution  $K \star x$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  by

$$(2.24) \quad \langle K \star x, y \rangle = \langle K, x \diamond y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

For example, if  $K = \delta_0$  is the Dirac mass, then

$$\langle \delta_0 \star x, y \rangle \stackrel{(2.24)}{=} \langle \delta_0, x \diamond y \rangle = (x \diamond y)(0) = \tau_\theta(xy) = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$(2.25) \quad \delta_0 \star x = x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

The following noncommutative analogue of the Varopoulos's theorem in the noncommutative Euclidean space can be inferred from [38].

**Theorem 2.12.** [38, Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.19] *Let  $d > 2$ . Then the following inequalities are equivalent,*

$$\|x\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \quad (\text{Sobolev inequality}),$$

$$\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \leq C \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{2}{d}} \quad (\text{Nash inequality}),$$

$$\|e^{t\Delta_\theta}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}, \quad t > 0 \quad (\text{Heat kernel estimate}),$$

with possibly different values of the constants  $C > 0$ .

### 3. HARDY–LITTLEWOOD–SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

In this section, we prove a quantum analogue of the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality. First, we prove a noncommutative version of [14, Theorem 1.2.13, p. 23] for weak- $L^p$  spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** (Young inequality for weak type spaces) *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 < q, r < \infty$  satisfying*

$$1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r} > 0$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|K \star x\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)},$$

*for all  $K \in L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a positive real number to be chosen later. Set  $K_1 = K\chi_{|K|\leq M}$  and  $K_2 = K\chi_{|K|>M}$ . In view of [14, Exercise 1.1.10(a), p. 23] we obtain

$$d_{K_1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta \geq M, \\ d_K(\zeta) - d_K(M) & \text{if } \zeta < M, \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_{K_2}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} d_K(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta > M, \\ d_K(M) & \text{if } \zeta \leq M. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $d_f(\cdot)$  is the the classical distribution function of  $f$  (see, [14, Definition 1.1.1. p. 2]). Then by [14, formulas (1.2.20) and (1.2.20). p. 24], we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_1(\xi)|^{s_1} d\xi \leq \frac{s_1}{s_1 - q} M^{s_1 - q} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q - M^{s_1} d_K(M),$$

for  $1 \leq q < s_1 < \infty$ , and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_2(\xi)|^{s_2} d\xi \leq \frac{q}{q - s_2} M^{s_2 - q} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q,$$

for  $1 \leq s_2 < q < \infty$ .

Since  $1/q = 1/p' + 1/r$ , we find  $1 < q < p'$ . Now, let us choose  $s_1 = p'$  and  $s_2 = 1$ . Hence, it follows from the noncommutative Young inequality [21, Theorem 3.2, p. 16] and (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|K_1 * x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} &\leq \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.2)}{\leq} \left( \frac{p'}{p' - q} M^{p' - q} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $p' < \infty$ , then we choose  $M$  such that the right-hand side of (3.4) is equal to  $\frac{\beta}{2}$ , that is

$$M = (\beta^{p'} 2^{-p'} r q^{-1} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-p'} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-q})^{\frac{1}{p' - q}}$$

and  $M = \frac{\beta}{2\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}$  if  $p' = \infty$  for  $\beta > 0$ . In this case, since  $\|K_1 *_\theta x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \frac{\beta}{2}$ , we have that  $d(\frac{\beta}{2}; K_1 *_\theta x) = 0$ . In this instance, we utilized this fact, if  $x \in L^0(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , then it is clear that  $e^{|x|}(\frac{\beta}{2}, \infty) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $\|x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \frac{\beta}{2}$  (see the proof of [6, Lemma 3.2.3, p. 125]).

Let  $s_2 = 1$ . Then it follows from the noncommutative Young inequality [21, Theorem 3.2, p. 16] with  $r = p$  and (3.3) that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|K_2 * x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} &\leq \|K_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \frac{q}{q - 1} M^{1 - q} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the value of  $M$  chosen, applying (3.5) and the noncommutative Chebyshev inequality [30, Lemma 4.7, p. 4094], we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\beta; K * x) &\leq d\left(\frac{\beta}{2}; K_2 * x\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta} \|K_2 * x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}\right)^p \\ &\leq C_{p,q,r}^r \beta^{-r} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^r \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have

$$\beta^r d(\beta; K * x) \leq C_{p,q,r}^r \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^r \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r.$$

Taking supremum over  $\beta > 0$  from both sides of the previous inequality we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Next, we establish a noncommutative version of [14, Theorem 1.4.25. p. 73] for weak- $L^p$  spaces.

**Theorem 3.2.** (*Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality*). *Let  $1 < p, q, r < \infty$  satisfying*

$$(3.6) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

*Then, there exists  $C_{p,q,r} > 0$  such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \|K * x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C_{p,q,r} \|K\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}$$

*for  $K \in L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and let  $p_0 < p < p_1$ ,  $r_0 < r < r_1$ , and  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\gamma}{r_1} + \frac{\gamma}{r_0}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\gamma}{p_1} + \frac{\gamma}{p_0}.$$

Define  $T(x) = K * x$ . By Theorem 3.1, the operator  $T$  can be extended to a bounded operator from  $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  to  $L^{r_0,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  to  $L^{r_1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  with constants

$$M_0 = M_1 = \|K\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

respectively. Then, it follows from Corollary 7.8.3 in [6] that  $T$  is bounded from  $L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $s > 0$ . Then for any  $K \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  we have*

$$(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(K \star x) = K \star (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}x = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K \star x,$$

*where  $\Delta$  is the classical Laplacian.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For  $s > 0$ , set

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x) &:= (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(x); \quad \tilde{f}(\cdot) := f(-\cdot); \quad f_s := |\cdot|^s f(\cdot); \\ g^e &:= e^{-i(t,\cdot)} g(\cdot); \quad g_s^e := |\cdot|^s e^{-i(t,\cdot)} g(\cdot), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (2.12), (3.8), and (2.20) that

$$(3.9) \quad xT_{-t}(y) \stackrel{(2.12)(3.8)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f)\lambda_\theta(g^e) \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f *_\theta g^e),$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}(x)T_{-t}(y) \stackrel{(2.12)(3.8)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f_s)\lambda_\theta(g^e) \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \lambda_\theta(f_s *_\theta g^e).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (x \diamond y)(t) &= \tau_\theta(xT_{-t}(y)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)(2.21)(3.9)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(-\xi)e^{-i(t,\xi)}g(\xi)d\xi = \widehat{(\tilde{f} \cdot g)}(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad (\mathcal{L}(x) \diamond y)(t) = \tau_\theta(\mathcal{L}(x)T_{-t}(y)) \stackrel{(3.10)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}f(-\xi)e^{-i(t,\xi)}g(\xi)d\xi = \widehat{(\tilde{f}_s \cdot g)}(t).$$

Combining (2.24), (3.8), (2.23), and (3.12), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle K \star \mathcal{L}(x), y \rangle &\stackrel{(2.24)}{=} \langle K, \mathcal{L}(x) \diamond y \rangle \stackrel{(3.12)}{=} \langle K, \widehat{(\tilde{f}_s \cdot g)} \rangle = \langle \widehat{K}, \tilde{f}_s \cdot g \rangle \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \langle \widehat{K}, |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}\tilde{f}g \rangle \\ &= \langle |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}\widehat{K}, \tilde{f} \cdot g \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K, \tilde{f} \cdot g \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K, \widehat{(\tilde{f} \cdot g)} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(2.23)}{=} \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K, x \diamond y \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K \star x, y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have

$$(3.14) \quad K \star (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}x = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K \star x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

This is the second equality. Let us now show that

$$(3.15) \quad (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(K \star x) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K \star x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

Indeed, by using (3.8), (2.12), and (2.13), we find

$$T_{-t}(\mathcal{L}(y)) \stackrel{(2.13)(3.8)}{=} T_{-t}(\lambda_\theta(g_s)) \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \lambda_\theta(g_s^e).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (x \diamond \mathcal{L}(y))(t) &= \tau_\theta(xT_{-t}(\mathcal{L}(y))) \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \tau_\theta(\lambda_\theta(f)\lambda_\theta(g_s^e)) \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \tau_\theta(\lambda_\theta(f *_\theta g_s^e)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)(2.21)(3.8)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}f(-\xi)e^{-i(t,\xi)}g(\xi)d\xi = \widehat{(\tilde{f}_s \cdot g)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}(K \star x), y \rangle &\stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \langle K \star x, \mathcal{L}(y) \rangle \stackrel{(2.24)}{=} \langle K, x \diamond \mathcal{L}(y) \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(3.16)}{=} \langle K, \widehat{(\tilde{f}_s \cdot g)} \rangle \stackrel{(3.13)}{=} \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}K \star x, y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for  $y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , which gives (3.15). Then the combination of (3.14) and (3.15) gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Define the function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$(3.17) \quad K_s(t) := \begin{cases} C_{d,s}|t|^{s-d}, & t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus d, \\ -C_d \log(|t|), & \text{for } s = d, \end{cases}$$

with constants

$$C_{d,s} = (2\pi)^s \frac{\pi^{-\frac{s+d}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{d+s}{2})}{\pi^{s/2} \Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})}$$

and

$$C_d = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)},$$

respectively, (see, [14, Theorem 2.4.6. p. 138]). In the case  $s \in (0, d)$ , it is well-known that this function gives the fundamental solution of the Laplacian (see, [14, Proposition 2.4.4. p. 135]), that is,

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} K_s = \delta_0.$$

The reflection  $\widetilde{K}_s$  is defined as follows (see, [14, Definition 2.3.11])

$$(3.18) \quad \langle \widetilde{K}_s, f \rangle = \langle K_s, \widetilde{f} \rangle. \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Next we give an extension of the property of the Fourier transform to tempered distribution  $K_s$  (see, [14, Proposition 2.3.22.]):

$$(3.19) \quad \widetilde{\widetilde{K}_s} = \widehat{K}_s = \widehat{K}_s.$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.24) (3.12), (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K_s \star \mathcal{L}(x), y \rangle &\stackrel{(2.24)}{=} \langle K_s, \mathcal{L}(x) \diamond y \rangle \stackrel{(3.12)}{=} \langle K_s, \widehat{f_s \cdot g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{K}_s, \widetilde{f_s \cdot g} \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{K}_s, \widetilde{f_s \cdot \widetilde{g}} \rangle \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \langle \widetilde{\widehat{K}_s}, f_s \cdot \widetilde{g} \rangle \stackrel{(3.19)}{=} \langle \widehat{K}_s f_s, \widetilde{g} \rangle \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} (\lambda_\theta(\widehat{K}_s f_s), \lambda_\theta(g)) \\ &= \langle K_s \star \mathcal{L}(x), y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d). \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ , using (3.13) we find

$$\langle \delta_0 \star x, y \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} K_s \star x, y \rangle \stackrel{(3.13)}{=} \langle K_s \star \mathcal{L}(x), y \rangle = \langle K_s \star \mathcal{L}(x), y \rangle.$$

Thus,

$$(3.20) \quad \langle \delta_0 \star x, y \rangle = \langle K_s \star (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x, y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d).$$

**Theorem 3.5.** (Sobolev type inequalities) Let  $s > 0$  and  $1 < p < q < \infty$  satisfy

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{s}{d}.$$

There exist a constant  $C_{d,p,q} > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  we have

$$(3.22) \quad \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C_{d,p,q} \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}, \quad s \in (0, d).$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then, applying formula (2.25) and (3.20) in Remark 3.4 we have

$$\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \stackrel{(2.25)}{=} \|\delta_0 \star x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \stackrel{(3.20)}{=} \|K_s \star (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}.$$

Since  $K_s \in L^{\frac{d}{d-s}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $s \in (0, d)$  (see, [40, p. 2]) and  $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{d-s}{d} + \frac{1}{p}$  with  $p \leq q$ , applying inequality (3.7) with respect to  $r = q$ , we obtain

$$\|K_s \star (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

As a particular case of inequality (3.22), when  $s = 1$  and  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ , we establish the following Sobolev inequality.

**Corollary 3.6.** (*Sobolev inequality*). *Let  $1 < p < q < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ . Then there exist a constant  $C_{d,p,q} > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  we have*

$$(3.23) \quad \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C_{d,p,q} \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}.$$

#### 4. EQUIVALENCE OF VARIOUS INEQUALITIES

**4.1. Equivalence of the Nash and the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.** In this subsection, we obtain the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. The logarithmic Sobolev inequality was obtained recently in [28] on noncommutative Euclidean spaces when  $1 < p < 2$  and with a restriction for the parameter  $s > 0$ . By using the Sobolev inequality we prove the inequality without these restrictions and complete the range of  $p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** (*Logarithmic Sobolev inequality*). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  be such that  $d > sp$ . Then for any  $0 \neq x \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  we have the fractional logarithmic Sobolev inequality*

$$\tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^p}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^p} \log \left( \frac{|x|^p}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^p} \right) \right) \leq \frac{d}{p} \log \left( C_{d,p} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^p}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^p} \right), \quad x \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d),$$

where  $C_{d,p} > 0$  is a constant independent of  $x$  and

$$\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) = \{x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) : \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} < \infty\}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the Sobolev inequality in Corollary 3.6 and [28, Lemma 6.3. p. 23].  $\square$

Next, we show that the Nash type inequality and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality are equivalent when  $p = 2$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $d > 2$ . Then for any  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  the following inequalities are equivalent:*

$$(4.1) \quad \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \right) \leq \frac{d}{2} \log \left( C_{d,2} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \quad (\text{Log-Sobolev inequality})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \leq C_{d,2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{2}{d}} \quad (\text{Nash inequality}).$$

*Proof.* Let us show that inequality (4.1) implies inequality (4.2). We assume that  $x \neq 0$ . Applying the Jensen inequality for the convex function  $h(v) = \log(\frac{1}{v})$ ,  $v > 0$ , we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) = h \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) = h \left( \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \frac{1}{|x|} \right) \right).$$

Note that the map  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \ni u \mapsto \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} u \right) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a positive normalized linear map. Moreover, we know that the logarithmic function  $\log(t)$  is operator concave on  $(0, \infty)$

(see [25, Example 1.7]). Therefore, as we have  $Sp(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}) \subset (0, \infty)$  it follows from Jensen operator inequality (2.18) and Remark 2.6 that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & h\left(\tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \frac{1}{|x|}\right)\right) \stackrel{(2.18)}{\leq} \tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} h\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)\right) \\
& = \tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log(|x|)\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log(|x|^2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By simple properties of the logarithmic function and inequality (4.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & \frac{1}{2}\tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log(|x|^2)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log\left(\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}\right)\right) \\
& = \log(\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log\left(\frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}\right)\right) \\
& \stackrel{(4.1)}{\leq} \log(\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}) + \frac{d}{4} \log\left(C_{d,2} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}\right) \\
& \leq \log(\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}) + \log\left(C_{d,2}^{\frac{d}{4}} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right) \\
& = \log\left(C_{2,d}^{\frac{d}{4}} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we infer that

$$\frac{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \leq C_{d,2}^{\frac{d}{4}} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}},$$

Thus, by taking both sides of the previous inequality to the power of  $\frac{2}{d}$ , we obtain (4.2).

Conversely, let us consider the non-commutative Hölder inequality (see [28, Lemma 6.3]):

$$(4.6) \quad \|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta},$$

with  $\eta := \frac{p}{r} \frac{q-r}{q-p}$  such that  $p \leq r \leq q$ . Hence, by taking the logarithm from both sides of the inequality (4.6) we obtain

$$\mathbf{L}(r) := \log\left(\frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}\right) + (\eta(r) - 1) \log\left(\frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}\right) \geq 0.$$

This inequality becomes an equality when  $q = p$ . Moreover, we can rewrite the inequality (4.6) as follows

$$(4.7) \quad \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \leq \eta \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right).$$

For  $r \leq q$ , the Logarithmic Hölder inequality (see, [28, Lemma 6.3]) holds

$$(4.8) \quad \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \log \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \right) \leq \frac{rp}{q-p} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right).$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{pq-r}{r(q-p)} \right] = -\frac{p}{r^2} \frac{q-r}{q-p} - \frac{p}{r} \frac{1}{q-p} = -\frac{pq-r}{r(q-p)} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q-r} \right) = -\frac{\eta}{r} \frac{q}{q-r}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \right] &= -\frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \log \left( \|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r \right) \right] + \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \log \left( \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\tau_\theta \left( |x|^r \log |x|^r \right)}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \log \left( \|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r \log |x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r \log \left( \|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r \right)}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \log \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

differentiating the function  $\mathbf{L}(r)$  with respect to  $r$  (see [34, Lemma 4.2]), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dr} &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \log \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \right) - \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{q}{q-r} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \\ (4.9) \quad &\stackrel{(4.8)}{\geq} -\frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{q}{q-r} \right) \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) - \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{q}{q-r} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{q}{q-r} \right) \left[ \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) - \eta \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(4.7)}{\geq} 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact  $r^2 \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{q}{q-r} = \frac{pq}{q-p}$  and the estimate (4.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -r^2 \frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dr} &\stackrel{(4.9)}{\leq} \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \log \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \right) \leq -\frac{pq}{q-p} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}}{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{q-p} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^q}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^q} \right). \end{aligned}$$



In other words,

$$(4.10) \quad \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \log \left( \frac{|x|^r}{\|x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^r} \right) \right) \leq \frac{p}{q-p} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^q}{\|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^q} \right).$$

If  $q = r = 2$  and  $p = 1$ , then we can rewrite the inequality (4.10) as follows

$$(4.11) \quad \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \right) \stackrel{(4.10)}{\leq} \log \left( \frac{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right).$$

Next, by the Nash type inequality (4.2), we get

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \leq \left[ C_{d,2} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right]^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

According to (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$\tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \right) \stackrel{(4.11)(4.12)}{\leq} \frac{d}{2} \log \left( C_{d,2} \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right),$$

which proves (4.1). The proof is complete.  $\square$

As a combination of our result in Theorem 2.12 with Theorem 4.2 we obtain the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $d > 2$ . Then for any  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  the following inequalities are equivalent:*

$$(4.13) \quad \|x\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 \leq C_d \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 \quad (\text{Sobolev inequality}),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \leq C_d \|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{\frac{2}{d}} \quad (\text{Nash inequality}),$$

$$(4.15) \quad \|e^{t\Delta_\theta}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \widetilde{C}_d t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}, \quad t > 0 \quad (\text{Heat kernel estimate}),$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \tau_\theta \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \log \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \right) \leq \frac{d}{2} \log \left( C_d^2 \frac{\|\nabla_\theta x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2}{\|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2} \right) \quad (\text{Log-Sobolev inequality}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is a just combination of Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR PDES

**5.1. A Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality.** We will now apply the Sobolev inequality to prove a Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality on the noncommutative Euclidean space. Further, we apply it to well-posedness of nonlinear PDEs. Then the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality holds.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $0 < \eta \leq 1$ . Assume that*

$$(5.1) \quad s > 0, \quad 0 < r < \frac{d}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \frac{rd}{d - sr}.$$

*Then we have the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality*

$$(5.2) \quad \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta},$$

*where  $\eta := \frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}{\frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}}$  and  $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the noncommutative Hölder inequality [28, Lemma 6.3]) we find

$$(5.3) \quad \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \|x\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta},$$

for any  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$(5.4) \quad 1 \leq p \leq q \leq p^* \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\eta}{p^*} + \frac{1-\eta}{p}.$$

On the other hand, for any  $1 < r < p^* < \infty$ , applying Theorem 3.5 to (5.3) with  $\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{s}{d}$  we obtain

$$\|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \lesssim \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta}.$$

Next, we will show that conditions (5.1) imply that  $r < p^*$  and  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ . Indeed, by (3.21) and (5.1), we have  $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{s}{d} > 0$ . Then, since  $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \neq 0$ , it follows from (5.4) that

$$\eta \left( \frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$\eta = \frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}{\frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $q \leq \frac{rd}{d - sr}$  it follows from (5.1) that

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{s}{d} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r},$$

which shows that  $\eta \leq 1$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

As a special case of Theorem 5.1 when  $p = r = 2$ , we obtain the following inequality which plays a key role for our further investigations.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $2 \leq q \leq p^* = \frac{2d}{d-2} = 2 + \frac{4}{d-2}$ . Then we have the following inequality*

$$(5.5) \quad \|x\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \|x\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta} \leq \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta},$$

*for  $\eta = \eta(q) = \frac{d(q-2)}{2d} \in [0, 1]$ .*

**5.2. Linear damped wave equation on noncommutative Euclidean spaces.** Now, we consider the linear equation

$$(5.6) \quad \partial_t^2 x(t) + (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x(t) + b\partial_t x(t) + mx(t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

with the Cauchy data

$$(5.7) \quad x(0) := x_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \partial_t x(0) := x_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d),$$

where the damping is determined by  $b > 0$  and the mass  $m > 0$ .

By the Fourier transform (2.16), we find

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \widehat{x}(t, \xi) + b\partial_t \widehat{x}(t, \xi) + (m + |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}) \widehat{x}(t, \xi) = 0, & t > 0, \\ \widehat{x}(0, \xi) = \widehat{x}_0(\xi), \\ \partial_t \widehat{x}(0, \xi) = \widehat{x}_1(\xi), \end{cases}$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Set  $r_1 := -\frac{b}{2} + \sqrt{D}$  and  $r_2 := -\frac{b}{2} - \sqrt{D}$ , where  $D := \frac{b^2}{4} - (m + |\xi|^2)$ .

For the solution of the initial-value problems (5.9) for each  $\widehat{x}(t, \xi)$ , we obtain explicit formulas

$$\widehat{x}(t, \xi) = \frac{r_1 e^{r_2 t} - r_2 e^{r_1 t}}{2\sqrt{D}} \widehat{x}_0(\xi) - \frac{e^{r_1 t} - e^{r_2 t}}{2\sqrt{D}} \widehat{x}_1(\xi), \quad \text{if } D > 0,$$

$$\widehat{x}(t, \xi) = \left[ \left(1 + \frac{b}{2}t\right) \widehat{x}_0(\xi) + \widehat{x}_1(\xi)t \right] e^{-\frac{b}{2}t}, \quad \text{if } D = 0,$$

and

$$\widehat{x}(t, \xi) = e^{-\frac{b}{2}t} \left[ \cos(\sqrt{D}t) - \frac{b}{2\sqrt{D}} \sin(\sqrt{D}t) \right] \widehat{x}_0(\xi) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \widehat{x}_1(\xi), \quad \text{if } D < 0,$$

for each fixed  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . From this we have the estimates

$$|\widehat{x}(t, \xi)| \leq e^{-\frac{b}{2}t} \left[ |\widehat{x}_0(\xi)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} |\widehat{x}_1(\xi)| \right], \quad \text{if } D > 0,$$

$$|\widehat{x}(t, \xi)| \leq e^{-\frac{b}{2}t} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{b}{2}t\right) |\widehat{x}_0(\xi)| + t |\widehat{x}_1(\xi)| \right], \quad \text{if } D = 0,$$

and

$$|\widehat{x}(t, \xi)| \leq e^{-\frac{b}{2}t} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{b}{2\sqrt{D}}\right) |\widehat{x}_0(\xi)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} |\widehat{x}_1(\xi)| \right], \quad \text{if } D < 0,$$

respectively. Hence, repeating the argument in [29, pp. 5221-5223], there is a positive constant  $\delta > 0$  such that in all cases, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \sqrt{D} |\widehat{x}(t, \xi)| \leq e^{-\delta t} \left[ \sqrt{D} |\widehat{x}_0(\xi)| + |\widehat{x}_1(\xi)| \right].$$

The Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , associated to the operator  $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ , is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) := \{x \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) : \mathcal{L}_\theta(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)\}.$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(5.11) \quad \|x(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \lesssim e^{-\delta t} (\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|x_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}),$$

holds for all  $t > 0$ . Moreover, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  we have

$$(5.12) \quad \|\partial_t^\alpha x(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \lesssim e^{-\delta t} (\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha+s}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|x_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha+s-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}),$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* By the estimate (5.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 &= \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 = \| |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{x}(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(5.10)}{\leq} e^{-2\delta t} (\| |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{x}_0(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \| |\xi|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \widehat{x}_1(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2) \\ &= e^{-2\delta t} (\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 + \|x_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Moreover, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $t > 0$ . we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^\alpha x(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 &= \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \partial_t^\alpha x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 = \| |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}(\alpha+1)} \widehat{x}(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(5.10)}{\leq} e^{-2\delta t} (\| |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}(\alpha+1)} \widehat{x}_0(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \| |\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}(\alpha)} \widehat{x}_1(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2) \\ &= e^{-2\delta t} (\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha+s}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 + \|x_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha+s-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

thereby completing the proof.  $\square$

### 5.3. Semilinear damped wave equations on noncommutative Euclidean spaces.

Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying the condition

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{cases} F(0) = 0, \\ \|F(x) - F(y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq C (\|x\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{p-1} + \|y\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{p-1}) \|x - y\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}, \end{cases}$$

for some  $p > 1$  and self-adjoint operators  $x, y \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ .

The absolute value function is Lipschitz continuous on all  $L^p$ -spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$  as demonstrated in the self-adjoint case in [5]. For the case of normal operators, we refer the reader to the paper [17]. These results enable the application of the absolute value function to operators  $x$  and  $y$ , allowing us to focus exclusively on the case of positive operators.

The class of functions satisfying condition (5.13) is not empty. For example, the function  $F(t) = t^2$  satisfies this condition for  $p = 2$  even for normal operators. Indeed, it is clear that  $F(0) = 0$  and by the noncommutative Hölder inequality (see, [33, Theorem 1]), we obtain

$$\|x^2 - y^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \|x(x - y) + (x - y)y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq (\|x\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|y\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}) \|x - y\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)},$$

for any normal operators  $x, y \in L^4(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ . Repeating this argument inductively we can also prove that the functions such as  $F(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$  satisfy condition (5.13) for positive operators and for even integer  $p > 1$ . Now, we consider a semilinear wave equation for the operator  $(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$  on the noncommutative Euclidean space with the nonlinearity satisfying condition (5.13).

Let us study

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 x(t) + (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x(t) + b\partial_t x(t) + mx(t) = F(x(t)), & t > 0, \\ x(0) = x_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \\ \partial_t x(t) = x_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d). \end{cases}$$

with the damping term determined by  $b > 0$  and with the mass  $m > 0$ .

We now formulate our main result in the noncommutative Euclidean space.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let the Cauchy data  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  and  $x_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  satisfy*

$$(5.15) \quad \|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|x_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \epsilon.$$

*Then, there exists a small constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that the Cauchy problem (5.14) has a unique global solution  $x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d))$  for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ .*

*Moreover, there exists a number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that*

$$(5.16) \quad \|x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|\partial_t x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{4}} x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \lesssim e^{-\delta_0 t}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider a closed subset  $Z_\theta$  of the space  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d))$  defined by

$$Z_\theta := \{x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)) : \|x\|_{Z_\theta} \leq M\}$$

with

$$\|x\|_{Z_\theta} := \sup_{t \geq 0} \left\{ (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\delta t} (\|x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|\partial_t x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(x)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}) \right\},$$

where  $M > 0$  will be defined later. Define the mapping  $\Gamma$  on  $Z_\theta$  by the formula

$$(5.17) \quad \Gamma[x](t) := x_{lin}(t) + \int_0^t K[F(x)](s) ds,$$

where  $x_{lin}$  is the solution of the problem (5.6)-(5.8), and  $K[F]$  is the solution of the following linear problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \tilde{x}(t) + (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\tilde{x})(t) + b\partial_t \tilde{x}(t) + m\tilde{x}(t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ \tilde{x}(0) = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{x}(0) = F. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we can present the operator  $K[F]$  as follows

$$(5.18) \quad K[F](t) := \lambda_\theta(\widehat{F}^e(t, \xi)),$$

where

$$\widehat{F}^e(t, \xi) := \int_0^t e^{(s-t)|\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}} \widehat{F}(x(s))(\xi) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

We assume for a moment that

$$(5.19) \quad \|\Gamma[x]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq M, \quad \text{for all } x \in Z_\theta,$$

and

$$(5.20) \quad \|\Gamma[x] - \Gamma[y]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq \frac{1}{r} \|x - y\|_{Z_\theta} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in Z_\theta \quad \text{and some } r > 1.$$

Hence, it follows from (5.19) and (5.20) that  $\Gamma$  is a contraction mapping on  $Z_\theta$ . The Banach fixed point theorem then implies that  $\Gamma$  has a unique fixed point in  $Z_\theta$ . It means that there exists a unique global solution  $u$  of the equation

$$x = \Gamma[x] \quad \text{in } Z_\theta,$$

which also gives the solution to (5.14). Therefore, we have to prove inequalities (5.19) and (5.20).

By the condition (5.13) and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (5.5), and applying the following Young inequality

$$a^\eta b^{1-\eta} \leq \eta a + (1-\eta)b, \quad a, b \geq 0, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1,$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x) - F(y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} &\stackrel{(5.13)}{\lesssim} \left( \|x\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{p-1} + \|y\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{p-1} \right) \|x - y\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \\ &\stackrel{(5.5)}{\leq} \left( \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta} \right) + \left( \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta} \right)_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}} x - y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^\eta \|x - y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{1-\eta} \\ &\lesssim \left( \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \left( \|\mathcal{L}_\theta(y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \left( \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(x - y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|x - y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \|x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|\partial_t x(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} + \|(-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(x)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{-\delta t p} (\|x\|_{Z_\theta}^{p-1} + \|y\|_{Z_\theta}^{p-1}) \|x - y\|_{Z_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x\|_{Z_\theta} \leq M$  and  $\|y\|_{Z_\theta} \leq M$ , it follows that

$$(5.21) \quad \|F(x) - F(y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq 2C(1+t)^{\frac{p}{2}} M^{p-1} e^{-\delta t p} \|x - y\|_{Z_\theta}.$$

By putting  $y = 0$  in (5.21), and using that  $F(0) = 0$ , we also have

$$(5.22) \quad \|F(x(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq 4C(1+t)^{\frac{p}{2}} M^{p-1} e^{-\delta t p} \|x\|_{Z_\theta}.$$

Now, we consider the operator  $J[x](t) := \int_0^t K[F(x)](s) ds$ . By the Fourier transform (2.16) and (5.18), we obtain

$$(5.23) \quad \partial_t^\alpha \widehat{\mathcal{L}_\theta^\beta J[x]}(t)(\xi) = \widehat{F}_s^e(t, \xi) := \int_0^t e^{(s-t)|\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}} |\xi|^{\frac{s\beta}{2} + \alpha} \widehat{F}(x(s))(\xi) ds,$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

Hence, it follows from Proposition 5.3 and the Plancherel (Parseval) identity (2.17) and (5.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.24) \quad & \|\partial_t^\alpha (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}\beta} J[x](t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 \stackrel{(2.17)(5.23)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{F}_s^e{}^\theta(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_0^t e^{(s-t)|\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}} |\xi|^{\frac{s\beta}{2} + \alpha} |\widehat{F}^\theta(x(s))(\xi)| ds \right]^2 d\xi \\
& \leq t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t e^{2(s-t)|\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}} |\xi|^{2\frac{s\beta}{2} + 2\alpha} |\widehat{F}^\theta(x(s))(\xi)|^2 ds d\xi \\
& = t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2(s-t)|\xi|^{\frac{s}{2}}} |\xi|^{2\frac{s\beta}{2} + 2\alpha} |\widehat{F}^\theta(x(s))(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\
& = t \int_0^t \|\partial_t^\alpha (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}\beta} K[F](s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 ds \\
& \stackrel{(5.12)}{\lesssim} t e^{-2t\delta} \int_0^t e^{-2s\delta} \|F(x(s))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 ds, \quad s > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha = 0, 1$ .

Hence, according to (5.21), (5.22) and (5.24) we find

$$(5.25) \quad \|\partial_t^\alpha (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}\beta} (J[x](t) - J[y])(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t\delta} M^{p-1} \|x - y\|_{Z_\theta}$$

and

$$(5.26) \quad \|\partial_t^\alpha (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}\beta} (J[x])(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq 2Ct^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t\delta} M^p,$$

which hold for  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , and  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$ .

Consequently, using (5.11), (5.12), (5.17) and (5.26), we obtain

$$(5.27) \quad \|\Gamma[x]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq \|x_{lin}\|_{Z_\theta} + \|J[x]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq C_1 (\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 + \|x_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2) + C_2 M^p$$

for some  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ . Moreover, in the similar way, we can estimate

$$(5.28) \quad \|\Gamma[x] - \Gamma[y]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq \|J[x] - J[y]\|_{Z_\theta} \leq C_3 M^{p-1} \|x - y\|_{Z_\theta},$$

for some  $C_3 > 0$ . Take  $r > 1$  and choose  $M := rC_1 \|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2$  with sufficiently small  $\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 < \epsilon$  so that

$$(5.29) \quad C_2 M^p \leq \frac{1}{r} M, \quad C_3 M^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

Hence, by using formulas (5.27)–(5.29) we obtain the estimates (5.19) and (5.20). This allows us to apply the fixed point theorem to establish the existence of solutions. Moreover, the estimate (5.16) follows from (5.24), thereby completing the proof.  $\square$

**5.4. Application of the Nash inequality to the heat equation.** In this subsection we present a direct application of the Nash inequality to compute the decay rate for the heat equation with  $\Delta_\theta = -\nabla_\theta^* \nabla_\theta$  as in (2.14).

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$  be a positive operator and let  $u(t)$  satisfies the following heat equation*

$$(5.30) \quad \partial_t u(t) = \Delta_\theta u(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad t > 0.$$

*Then the solution of equation (5.30) has the following estimate*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \left( \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{4}{dC_{d,2}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} t \right)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where  $C_{d,2} > 0$  is the constant in (4.2).

*Proof.* The solution of equation (5.30) is written as

$$(5.31) \quad u(t) = e^{-t|\cdot|^2} * u_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) U_\theta(\xi) d\xi.$$

Since  $e^{-t|\cdot|^2} > 0$  and  $u_0$  is a positive operator, it follows from Remark 2.8 that  $u(t)$  is a positive operator for any  $t > 0$ . Hence, by (2.19) and (5.31) we have

$$\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \tau_\theta(u) \stackrel{(5.31)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) \tau_\theta(U_\theta(\xi)) d\xi = \widehat{u}_0(0) = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)},$$

which implies that

$$(5.32) \quad \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2 &= \tau_\theta(\partial_t u(t) u^*) + \tau_\theta(u(\partial_t u^*)) \\ &= 2\tau_\theta(\partial_t u(t) u^*) \\ &= -2\tau_\theta((-\Delta_\theta u) u^*) \\ &= -2\|\nabla_\theta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $y(t) := \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^2$ . Then applying the Nash inequality (4.2) with  $s = 1$ , and by (5.33) and (5.32) we obtain

$$y' \stackrel{(4.2), (5.33)}{\leq} -2C_{d,2}^{-\frac{d}{4}} \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} y^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \stackrel{(5.32)}{\leq} -2C_{d,2}^{-\frac{d}{4}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} y^{1+\frac{2}{d}}.$$

Integrating with respect to  $t > 0$ , we obtain the following estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)} \leq \left( \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} + \frac{4}{dC_{d,2}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)}^{-\frac{4}{d}} t \right)^{-\frac{d}{4}},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**5.5. Conflict of interest.** We can conceive of no conflict of interest in the publication of this paper. The work has not been published previously and it has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.



**5.6. Data availability.** No new data were created or analysed during this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank to Dr. Edward McDonald for helpful discussions on non-commutative Euclidean spaces. Indeed, he offered the new definition of the convolution in Definition 2.9. The work was partially supported by the grant from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP23487088). The authors were partially supported by Odysseus and Methusalem grants (01M01021 (BOF Methusalem) and 3G0H9418 (FWO Odysseus)) from Ghent Analysis and PDE center at Ghent University. The first author was also supported by the EPSRC grant EP/V005529/1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223.
- [2] A.L. Carey, V. Gayral, A. Rennie and F. Sukochev. *Index theory for locally compact noncommutative geometries.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 231(1085):vi+130, 2014.
- [3] M.D. Choi. *A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras.* Illinois J. Math. 18 (1974), 565–574.
- [4] C. Davis. *A Schwartz inequality for convex operator functions.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 42–44.
- [5] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, B. de Pagter and F.A. Sukochev, *Lipschitz continuity of the absolute value and Riesz projections in symmetric operator spaces.* J. Funct. Anal. 148 (1997), no. 1, 28–69.
- [6] P.G. Dodds, B. de Pagter and F.A. Sukochev. *Noncommutative Integration and Operator Theory.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 2023.
- [7] T. Fack, H. Kosaki. *Generalized  $s$ -numbers of  $\tau$ -measurable operators,* Pacific J. Math., **123**:2 (1986), 269–300.
- [8] L. Gao, M. Junge and E. McDonald. *Quantum Euclidean spaces with noncommutative derivatives.* J. Noncommut. Geom. 16 (2022), 153–213.
- [9] V. Gayral, J.M. Gracia-Bondia, B. Iochum, T. Schücker and J.C. Varilly. *Moyal planes are spectral triples.* Comm. Math. Phys., 246(3):569–623, 2004.
- [10] A.M. González-Pérez, M. Junge and J. Parcet. *Singular integrals in quantum Euclidean spaces.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 272 (2021), no. 1334, xiii+90 pp.
- [11] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* (Sec. edit.), Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [12] J.M. Gracia-Bondia, J. Varilly and H. Figueroa. *Elements of noncommutative geometry.* Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. xviii+685 pp.
- [13] H.J. Groenewold. *On the principles of elementary quantum mechanics.* Physica, 12:405–460, 1946.
- [14] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis.* Second Edition. Springer-Verlag, New York (2008)
- [15] B.C. Hall. *Quantum theory for mathematicians,* volume 267 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2013.
- [16] G. Hong, X. Lai and L. Wang. *Fourier restriction estimates on quantum Euclidean spaces.* Adv. Math. **430** (2023), 109232, 24 pp.
- [17] È. Kissin, D.S. Potapov, F.A. Sukochev and V.S. Shulman, *Lipschitz functions, Schatten ideals, and unbounded derivations.* (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.45(2011), no.2, 93–96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl.45(2011), no.2, 157–159
- [18] L. Lafleche. *On quantum Sobolev inequalities,* 2022. arXiv:2210.03013v1
- [19] S. Lord, F. Sukochev and D. Zanin. *Singular traces. Theory and applications.* De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **46.** De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
- [20] E. McDonald, F. Sukochev and X. Xiong. *Quantum differentiability on noncommutative Euclidean spaces.* Comm. Math. Phys., 379(2):491–542, 2020.

- [21] E. McDonald. *Nonlinear partial differential equations on noncommutative Euclidean spaces*. J. Evol. Equ. (2024) 24:16
- [22] J. Merker. *Generalizations of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 1(2):329–338, 2008.
- [23] J.E. Moyal. *Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory*. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 45:99-124, 1949.
- [24] G. Pisier and Q. Xu. *Non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces*. In Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, pages 1459–1517. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [25] J. Pécaric, T. Furuta, Hot J. Mičić and Y. Seo. *Mond-Pécaric method in operator inequalities: inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space*. Monographs in Inequalities. Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [26] M. Rieffel. *Deformation quantization for actions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$* . Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106**:506 (1993), x+93 pp.
- [27] J. Rozendaal and M. Veraar. *Fourier multiplier theorems involving type and cotype*. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 24(2):583–619, 2018.
- [28] M. Ruzhansky, S. Shaimardan and K. Tulenov.  *$L^p - L^q$  boundedness of Fourier multipliers on quantum Euclidean spaces*. arXiv:2312.00657
- [29] M. Ruzhansky and N. Tokmagambetov. *Nonlinear damped wave equations for the sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group and for Rockland operators on graded Lie groups*. J. Differ. Equ., 265 (2018), 5212–5236.
- [30] D. Sjoerd. *Noncommutative Boyd interpolation theorems*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), no. 6, 4079-4110.
- [31] S. Sobolev. *On a theorem of functional analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations 34 (1963), 39–68.
- [32] E.M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [33] F. Sukochev, Hölder inequality for symmetric operator spaces and trace property of  $K$ -cycles, Bull. London Math. Soc. 48 (2016) 637–647.
- [34] X. Xiong. *Noncommutative harmonic analysis on semigroup and ultracontractivity*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Vol. 66, No. 6 (2017), 1921–1947.
- [35] Q. Xu. *Noncommutative  $L_p$ -Spaces and Martingale Inequalities*. book manuscript, 2008.
- [36] S-G. Youn. *Hardy-Littlewood inequalities on compact quantum groups of Kac type*. Analysis and PDE, Vol. 11, No. 1, (2018) 237–261.
- [37] H. Zhang.  *$L_p - L_q$  Fourier Multipliers on Locally Compact Quantum Groups*. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 29, 46 (2023).
- [38] M. Zhao. *Smoothing estimates for non commutative spaces*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2018. <http://hdl.handle.net/2142/101570>.
- [39] N. Varopoulos. *Hardy-Littlewood theory for semigroups*. J. Funct. Anal., 63(2):240–260, 1985.
- [40] N. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste and T. Coulhon. *Analysis and geometry on groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS,  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, GHENT,  
BELGIUM

AND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON,  
UK

*E-mail address* michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be

SERIKBOL SHAIMARDAN:

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, 050010, ALMATY,  
KAZAKHSTAN

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

GHENT UNIVERSITY, GHENT,  
BELGIUM  
*E-mail address* shaimardan.serik@gmail.com

KANAT TULENOV:  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, 050010, ALMATY,  
KAZAKHSTAN  
AND  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, GHENT,  
BELGIUM  
*E-mail address* kanat.tulenov@ugent.be