

# VerilogCoder: Autonomous Verilog Coding Agents with Graph-based Planning and Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)-based Waveform Tracing Tool

Chia-Tung Ho, Haoxing Ren, Brucec Khailany

NVIDIA Research  
chiatungh@nvidia.com, haoxingr@nvidia.com, bkhalany@nvidia.com

## Abstract

Due to the growing complexity of modern Integrated Circuits (ICs), automating hardware design can prevent a significant amount of human error from the engineering process and result in less errors. Verilog is a popular hardware description language for designing and modeling digital systems; thus, Verilog generation is one of the emerging areas of research to facilitate the design process. In this work, we propose VerilogCoder, a system of multiple Artificial Intelligence (AI) agents for Verilog code generation, to autonomously write Verilog code and fix syntax and functional errors using collaborative Verilog tools (i.e., syntax checker, simulator, and waveform tracer). Firstly, we propose a task planner that utilizes a novel Task and Circuit Relation Graph retrieval method to construct a holistic plan based on module descriptions. To debug and fix functional errors, we develop a novel and efficient abstract syntax tree (AST)-based waveform tracing tool, which is integrated within the autonomous Verilog completion flow. The proposed methodology successfully generates 94.2% syntactically and functionally correct Verilog code, surpassing the state-of-the-art methods by 33.9% on the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark<sup>1</sup>.

## Introduction

Designing modern integrated circuits requires designers to write code in hardware description languages such as Verilog and VHDL to specify hardware architectures and model the behaviors of digital systems. Due to the growing complexity of VLSI design, writing Verilog and VHDL is time-consuming and prone to bugs, necessitating multiple iterations for debugging functional correctness. Consequently, reducing design costs and designer effort for completing hardware specifications has emerged as a critical need.

Large Language Models (LLMs) have shown remarkable capacity to comprehend and generate natural language at a massive scale, leading to many potential applications and benefits across various domains. In the field of coding, LLM can assist developers by suggesting code snippets, offering solutions to fix bugs, and even generating the code with explanation (Mastropaolo et al. 2023; Nijkamp et al. 2023). Several works have focused on refining LLMs with selected datasets for Verilog generation (Liu et al. 2023a; Thakur

et al. 2024). Pei *et al.* (Pei et al. 2024) proposed leveraging instruct-tuned LLM and a generative discriminators to optimize Verilog implementation with the considerations of PPA (Power, Performance, Area). However, these works lack of a mechanism to fix syntactic or functional errors, thus, they still struggle to generate functionally correct Verilog code. Recently, Tsai *et al.* (Tsai, Liu, and Ren 2023) presented an autonomous agent framework incorporating feedback from simulators and Retrieval Augmented Generation to fix syntax errors, but it failed to improve the functional success rate.


In this work, we propose a framework leveraging multiple Artificial Intelligence (AI) agents for Verilog code generation, which autonomously writes the Verilog code and fixes syntax and functional errors using collaborative Verilog toolkits and the ReAct (Yao et al. 2022) technique. In the framework, we develop a novel task planner to generate high-quality plans, and integrate a crafted Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)-based waveform tracing tool for improving the functional success rate. Our contributions are as follows.

- We are the first to explore the use of multiple AI agents for autonomous Verilog code completion, including syntax correction, and functional correction.
- We have developed a novel Task and Circuit Relation Graph (TCRG) based task planner to create a high-quality plan with step-by-step sub-tasks and related circuit information (i.e., signal, signal transition, and single examples). These sub-tasks are then executed by designated agents autonomously and sequentially.
- We propose a novel Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)-based waveform tracing tool to assist the LLM agent in fixing functional correctness.
- We conduct extensive and holistic ablation studies of each key component (i.e., task planner, waveform debugging tools) on the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark (Pinckney et al. 2024). We demonstrate the proposed VerilogCoder achieve 94.2% pass rate, including syntax and functional correctness, and outperform the one of the state-of-the-art methods by 33.9%.

The remaining sections are organized as follows. We first review prior work on AI agents and provide a brief introduction to multi-AI agent framework. Then, we introduce and describe our novel VerilogCoder in details. Lastly, we present main experimental results and conclude the paper.

<sup>1</sup>We plan to upload the code, generated plans, Verilog modules, and debug tracing log files for public after publication.

(A) An illustration of Traditional LLM Planning leads to functional incorrect Verilog code (bottom-left) and TCRG Based Planning for functional correct implementation (right)



(B) An illustration of Human Verilog designer debugging process (left) and back tracing signals in AST (right)




Figure 1: Illustrations of (A) traditional LLM planning versus TCRG based planning, and (B) human Verilog designer debugging process and AST signal back tracing in Motivation and Preliminary Study section.

## Background

Autonomous agents have long been a research focus in academic and industrial communities across various fields. Recently, LLMs have shown great potential of human-level intelligence through the acquisition of vast amounts of knowledge, documents and textbooks, leading to a surge in research on LLM-based autonomous agents. Here, we firstly review prior AI agent works and introduce the multi-AI agent frameworks below.

### AI Agent

Several works study the architecture of LLM-based autonomous agents to effectively perform diverse tasks (Wang et al. 2024; Weng 2023). From these studies, an LLM-powered autonomous agent system is composed of several key components: (a) Planning, (b) Memory, (c) Action, etc. The planning module enables the agent to break down large tasks into smaller, manageable sub plans, enabling efficient handling of complex tasks. In the memory module, short-term memory consists of chat history and in-context learning techniques to guide LLM actions. Long-term memory consolidates important information over time and provides the agent with the capability to retain and recall it over extended periods. The action module translates the agent's de-

isions into outcomes for solving tasks. The actions of an autonomous LLM-based agent can be categorized into two classes: (1) External tools for additional information and the expansion of the agent's capabilities, and (2) Internal knowledge of the LLMs, such as summary, conversation, etc.

Recently, AI agents empowered by LLMs (i.e., OpenDevin (OpenDevin Team 2024), SWE-agent (Yang et al. 2024), AgentCoder (Huang et al. 2023), etc) have shown impressive performance in software engineering for solving real world challenging benchmarks (i.e., SWE-Bench, HumanEval) through planning, memory management, actions involving external environment tools.

### Multi-AI Agents

In addition to single AI agents, many researchers are starting to explore the capabilities of multiple AI agents for solving complex tasks. Autogen (Wu et al. 2023) has been proposed to enable multiple agents to operate in various modes (i.e., hierarchical chat, multi-agent conversation, etc.) that employ combinations of LLMs, human inputs, and tools. crewAI (crewAI Inc. 2024) facilitates process-oriented solving with a crew of customized multi-AI agents operating as a cohesive unit. Currently, the applications of these multi-AI agent frameworks are mostly for general tasks (i.e., QA, summarization, coding copilot, etc.).




Figure 2: Flow overview of VerilogCoder. (a) Overall flow of VerilogCoder for Verilog code completion task. (b) LLM roles of multi-LLM agents in VerilogCoder. (c) Multi-LLM agents for steps in task planning. (d) Multi-LLM Agents for sub-tasks in Verilog Code Implementation.

However, these agent frameworks cannot be directly used for designing hardware because solving hardware tasks requires integrated domain knowledge and specific hardware design toolkits (i.e., circuit simulators, waveform debugging tools) to analyze signals, trace signal transitions, and decompose tasks into manageable sub-tasks from circuit architecture and signal transaction perspectives.

## Motivation and Preliminary Study

Given a hardware module description, hardware designers usually write Verilog using the following steps: (1) decompose the task into manageable sub-tasks, (2) implement Verilog code for each sub-task, and (3) iterate between Verilog simulations, signal waveform debugging, and code updates until all output signals match expected behavior. It is very challenging to autonomously complete a functionally correct Verilog module using LLM agents since it requires domain knowledge to break down the task into meaningful sub-tasks and comprehend the hardware descriptions and waveform during the functional debug process. Consequently, we first discuss the issues of using traditional LLM planning on writing Verilog code of a Finite State Machine (FSM) module. Then, we study the functional debug process of a Verilog module and propose a debugging tool that enables LLM agents to autonomously correct the functional errors.

## Planning

Planning is one of the core modules for an agent (Wang et al. 2024; Weng 2023). Traditional planning would leverage a LLM to analyze the task and decompose the complex task into manageable sub-tasks. For Verilog coding, the traditional LLM-generated plans usually lack of the details of relevant signals, and signal transitions for each sub-task, thus,

leading to incorrect functionality implementation of Verilog modules. Figure 1(A) shows an illustration of using the traditional LLM planning approach on a FSM module implementation. The implementation of traditional LLM planning lost part of the state transitions for  $S_{next}$ , and  $S1_{next}$  signals, thus, leading to an incorrect FSM module. Therefore, it is important to guide the agent to implement each sub-task step by step with essential signals, and state transition information. As shown in Figure 1(A), once the state transition information and signal definitions are included with the sub-task plan, LLM can generate the correct code. Signals and state transition information can be extracted from the problem descriptions. In this work, we structure sub-task, signal, and state transition information in a graph format and call it the TCRG. Consequently, we study the benefits of leveraging the TCRG to assist the planning to generate sub-tasks that include not only high-level task goals but also the signal, and signal transition information to complete functional correct Verilog module.

## Functional Debug with Waveform

Figure 1(B) shows a typical functional debug process for a human Verilog designer. Given the mismatched signals, a human Verilog designer traces the signals and their waveform iteratively until they know how to fix the functionality. This backtracing procedure is the same as tracing the RVALUE of the target signals in the AST. Inspired by the human Verilog designer debug process, we propose to incorporate the hardware signal structure, and waveform, to assist LLM agents in fixing functional errors of the generated Verilog module. This process can be implemented with a tool based on AST and waveform tracing. Several prior works (Alon et al. 2019; Bairi et al. 2024; Bui et al. 2023) developed AST-based methods/tools (i.e., encoded AST paths,




Figure 3: An illustration of task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent reasoning and interacting with the developed *TCRG retrieval tool* to enrich the task with the relevant circuit and signal descriptions.

AST dependency graph, etc) to assist LLM in capturing structural information from the code for improving the capabilities of LLMs on various software engineering tasks such as code classification, understanding, and code completion. Here, the use of AST for signal tracing in our work is novel.

## VerilogCoder

We introduce the details of VerilogCoder, which consist of a task planning and Verilog code implementation. The multi-AI agents of VerilogCoder operate with developed TCRG retrieval and Verilog tools through the ReAct (Yao et al. 2022) technique in a cohesive and orchestrated manner.

### Flow Overview

We outline the overall flow of VerilogCoder in Figure 2(a). Given the natural language problem description of a module (Pinckney et al. 2024), the novel Task and Circuit Relation Graph (TCRG) based task planner first generates the task plans. Then, a task dependency graph is built according to the task plans and its sub-tasks are assigned to Multi-LLM agents that write Verilog code and correct the functionality using a collaborative Verilog toolkit (i.e., syntax checker, simulator, and the proposed novel AST-based waveform tracing tool). In the flow, each agent may consist of multiple LLMs with different roles, which are listed in Figure 2(b), to complete each step correctly and consistently. Some of the agents are equipped with provided TCRG and Verilog tools to reason and act through Thought, Action, and Observation tracing of the ReAct prompting mechanism (Yao et al. 2022). For agent memory, we keep the original query and the last four chats in the chat history. The corresponding testbench of the module is used only for running Verilog simulator to check the functional correctness.

### Task Planning

We introduce a novel and effective TCRG based Task Planner that constructs a high-quality plan encompassing not only the high-level objectives but also the relevant descriptions or definitions of signals, signal transitions, and examples for each sub-task. Recently, many works have uti-

lized large language models (LLMs) to analyze texts and extract entities and relations for knowledge graph construction (Edge et al. 2024; Kommineni, König-Ries, and Samuel 2024; Zhang and Soh 2024). Inspired by these works, we leverage LLM agents to construct the TCRG with designer guidelines. In Figure 2(a), the task plan generation flow comprises four components: (1) High-level planner agent, (2) Circuit signal, transition, example extraction agent, (3) TCRG construction, and (4) Task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent. Figure 2(c) shows the configuration and tools of each AI agent in TCRG based Task Planner.

**High-level planner agent** The high-level planner agent consists of a planner and a plan verification assistant, as shown in Figure 2(c). Given the module description or specification, the planner first decomposes the task into sub-tasks, which mostly consist of high-level task descriptions. Then, the plan verification assistant checks the consistency between the sub-tasks and the module description, providing suggestions to modify the plan if any inconsistencies are found. This iterative process continues until the planner’s plan is verified to be consistent with the module description.

**Circuit signal, transition, example extraction agent** A LLM acts as a Verilog engineer, extracting circuit signals, transitions, and examples from the given module description or specification into JSON format, as shown in Figure 2(c). The extracted information is represented as nodes in the subsequent TCRG construction. The examples of extracted signals, transitions, and signal examples are "w: input signal examined by FSM in state B", "State A to State B: FSM moves to state B when s = 1.", and "For example, when the input w = 1, 1, 0 in these three clock cycles, output z is set to 1 for the following cycle.", respectively.

**TCRG construction** We create nodes from the previously generated high-level task descriptions, extracted circuit signals, transitions, and examples. We then sequentially create the relations (edges) between nodes: task nodes to signal nodes, signal nodes to transition nodes, and signal nodes to






Figure 4: An example of Debug Agent reasoning and interacting with simulator and AST-based waveform tracing tool.

example nodes, using "IMPLEMENTS", "SIGNALTRANSITION", and "EXAMPLES" relationships, respectively.

**Task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent** Here, an LLM (acting as a Verilog Engineer) autonomously retrieves relevant signal and circuit descriptions and compiles this information for each sub-task using the collaborative *TCRG retrieval tool* through Thought-Action-Observation ReAct tracing (Yao et al. 2022), as shown in Figure 2(c). We firstly introduce the tool and then describe the workflow of the retrieval agent.

*TCRG retrieval tool* assists the task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent in obtaining relevant descriptions or definitions of signals, signal transitions, and examples related to a specified sub-task in the constructed TCRG. The inputs are the sub-task description in string format and an integer value,  $k$ , which indicates the number of hops for retrieval from the sub-task node in the graph. Here,  $k$  is determined by the AI agent automatically through the Thought-Action-Observation reasoning trace. The output consists of the retrieved  $k$ -hop signals, signal transitions, and examples corresponding to the sub-task node.

The retrieval agent reasons and interacts with the *TCRG retrieval tool* to incorporate additional information as illustrated in Figure 3. Ultimately, the retrieval agent compiles the retrieved circuit and signal information from the graph and removes irrelevant information from the final answer.

## Verilog Code Implementation

We describe the Verilog code implementation flow of writing Verilog code and ensuring the functionality of the written Verilog module in detail. Given a task plan, the task dependency graph is created. A child task can not be executed until all its parent tasks have been completed without errors. The sub-tasks are divided into two types: (1) *Type1*: Writing Verilog code for partial function/logic, and (2) *Type2*: Verifying and debugging the generated Verilog module. The code agent and debug agent are assigned to complete the *Type1*

sub-task and *Type 2* sub-task, respectively. We first discuss the Verilog tools including a third-party simulator (i.e., iverilog (Williams and Baxter 2002)) and customized *AST-based waveform tracing tool*. Then, we introduce a code agent and a debug agent.

**Verilog Tools** The Verilog tools to assist agents for code implementation are listed below.

*Syntax checker tool*: We use iverilog to compile the generated Verilog code module and provide compiled messages as feedback for syntax checking.

*Verilog simulator tool*: We use iverilog to compile the generated Verilog code module and launch the Verilog simulation. If the generated Verilog code module contains syntax errors, the tool reports the lines where these errors occur. On the other hand, the tool also reports the simulation results, including the number of mismatches in output signals and the first mismatched time point. Additionally, the tool generates a VCD file format for waveform tracing.

*AST-based waveform tracing tool (AST-WT)*: We developed a novel AST-based waveform tracing tool to assist agents in back-tracing the waveform of signals from mismatched output signals. Here, we extract the AST of generated Verilog module using Pyverilog library (Takamaeda-Yamazaki 2015). By inputting the mismatched output signals from the Verilog simulation tool and the desired back-tracing level, the tool starts from the mismatched signal and iteratively extracts the RVALUE signals until it reaches the specified back-tracing level in the AST, as the illustration shown in Figure 1(B). The back-tracing level parameter is determined dynamically by the AI agent through the Thought-Action-Observation reasoning trace. The output includes the Verilog code reference, a tabular waveform of the mismatched signal, and the extracted RVALUE signals.

**Code Agent** For the code agent to write syntax-correct and consistent Verilog code, there are two LLMs: one acting as a Verilog Engineer and the other as a Verilog Verification

Assistant, as shown in Figure 2(d). The Verilog Engineer writes the Verilog code according to the sub-task, while the Verilog Verification Assistant ensures that the written Verilog code is consistent with the sub-task requirements and free of syntax errors using the *syntax checker tool*. If there are syntax errors or inconsistencies between the written Verilog code and the sub-task description, the Verilog Verification Assistant will provide suggestions to the Verilog Engineer for fixing the issues. This process continues iteratively between the Verilog Engineer and the Verilog Verification Assistant until the generated Verilog code is free of syntax errors and consistent with the sub-task description.

**Debug Agent** The Debug Agent verifies the functionality and modifies the Verilog code to pass the functionality check from a provided testbench using collaborative Verilog verification tools as shown in Figure 2(d). Given the generated Verilog module from the previous task, the LLM-based Verilog Engineer performs reasoning and interacts with Verilog simulators, as well as the novel *AST-based waveform tracing tool* through a Thought-Action-Observation process until the generated Verilog code passes the functionality check. Figure 4 shows an example of the Thought-Action-Observation process of the Verilog engineer fixing functionality issues through reasoning and interaction with *Verilog simulator tool* and *AST-WT*.

## Experimental Results

Our work is implemented in Python and is built on top of the Autogen (Wu et al. 2023) multi-AI agent framework. We employ VerilogEval-Human v2 (Pinckney et al. 2024), which extends the 156 problems of VerilogEval-Human from (Liu et al. 2023a) to specification-to-RTL tasks, as our evaluation benchmark<sup>2</sup>. To check the functional correctness, the generated Verilog code is tested with the provided golden testbench. We measure Verilog functional correctness by running the VerilogCoder once for each problem in the benchmark. Firstly, we demonstrate the Verilog functional correctness of prior works and the proposed VerilogCoder in the Main Results. Next, we conduct an ablation study on the impact of various types of planners and on the effect of using the proposed *AST-WT*.

### Main Results

We demonstrate the pass-rates of the proposed method and prior works on the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark. We use OpenAI’s GPT-4 Turbo (OpenAI 2024) and Llama3 (Meta 2024b) as the LLM models for the proposed VerilogCoder (Llama3) and VerilogCoder (GPT-4 Turbo), respectively, in the main experiment. The temperature and top\_p parameters of the LLM are set to 0.1 and 1.0, respectively. As we are the first to explore using an agent-

<sup>2</sup>We have identified inconsistencies between the reference design module and the specifications in approximately 7% of the benchmark cases. GPT-4 Turbo without agent-based approach did not demonstrate obvious improvement in these cases with updated specifications, which are detailed in the appendix. We are working with the authors of VerilogEval-Human v2 (Pinckney et al. 2024) to upload the updated specifications to <https://github.com/NVlabs/verilog-eval>.

Table 1: Pass-rates of recent large language models and the proposed VerilogCoder. For the pass-rates of recent large language models, we select the best pass-rates among 0-shot, 1-Shot, and #samples from 1 to 20 of specification task from (Pinckney et al. 2024).

Method	Model Size	Model Type	Pass-Rate (%)
RTL-Coder	6.7B	Open	36.5
DeepSeek Coder	6.7B	Open	28.2
CodeGemma	7B	Open	23.1
DeepSeek Coder	33B	Open	37.2
CodeLlama	70B	Open	41.0
Llama 3	70B	Open	41.7
Mistral Large	Undisclosed	Closed	48.7
GPT-4	Undisclosed	Closed	50.6
GPT-4 Turbo	Undisclosed	Closed	60.3
<b>VerilogCoder (Llama3)</b>	70B	Open	<b>67.3</b>
<b>VerilogCoder (GPT-4 Turbo)</b>	Undisclosed	Closed	<b>94.2</b>

based methodology to generate functionally correct Verilog code, we compare the proposed VerilogCoder with recent LLMs using prompt engineering approaches. Table 1 shows the pass rates for RTL-Coder (Liu et al. 2023b), DeepSeek Coder (Guo et al. 2024), CodeGemma (CodeGemma Team, Google 2024), CodeLlama (Meta 2024a), Llama3 (Meta 2024b), Mistral Large (AI 2024), GPT-4 (OpenAI 2023), GPT-4 Turbo (OpenAI 2024), and the proposed VerilogCoder. For a fair comparison, we select the highest pass rate among 0-shot, 1-shot, and a sample size ranging from 1 to 20 of Specification-to-RTL tasks from (Pinckney et al. 2024). For the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark, the proposed VerilogCoder (Llama3) successfully improves the Verilog coding ability of the open-source model and achieves 25.6% and 7.3% higher pass rates than Llama3 and GPT-4 Turbo with few-shot and in-context learning techniques (Pinckney et al. 2024), respectively. Moreover, the proposed VerilogCoder (GPT-4 Turbo) not only achieves a 94.2% pass rate but also outperforms the state-of-the-art recent LLMs GPT-4 and GPT-4 Turbo by 43.6% and 33.9%, respectively. Here, the average number of group chat rounds for the high-level planner agent and the TCRG retrieval agent is 1.58 and 1.09, respectively. The code agent makes an average of 2.37 Verilog simulator tool calls and 1.37 *AST-WT* calls.


### Ablation Study

We conducted an ablation study to evaluate the impact of various types of planners, both with and without the proposed *AST-based waveform tracing tool*. We list two types of planners: (a) *Planner1*: A multi-LLM agent consisting of a planner and verilog engineer, and (b) *Planner2*: The proposed TCRG based task planner for task-oriented solving. In *Planner1*, given a module description or specification, the planner first decomposes the task into sub-tasks, and the Verilog engineer generates functionally correct Verilog code, including interactions with the provided Verilog verification tools. If syntax or functionality errors occur, the planner debugs and suggests alternative fixes for the Verilog engineer to correct the code. This iterative process between the planner and the Verilog engineer continues until the syntax and functionality are correct or the number of consecutive auto-replies in the group chat exceeds the maximum limit of 100.

Table 2: Pass-rate (%) of Ablation study of *Planner1* without *AST-WT*, *Planner1* with *AST-WT*, *Planner2* without *AST-WT*, *Planner2* with *AST-WT*. *AST-WT*=*AST*-based waveform tracing tool. *Planner1* without *AST-WT* is the baseline, and *Planner2* with *AST-WT* is the proposed VerilogCoder.

	<i>Planner1</i>	<i>Planner2</i>
without <i>AST-WT</i>	66.7% (baseline)	74.4% (7.7%)
with <i>AST-WT</i>	78.2% (11.5%)	94.2% (27.5%)

(a) Statistics of Failed Problems for Taxonomy Study



(b) Pass-rate (%) of various module (query prompt) types




Figure 5: Taxonomy study results. (a) The statistics of extracted failed problems set and the number of problems in each module and query prompt type category. (b) Pass-rate (%) of each module and query prompt type categories.

Table 2 shows the pass-rates from the ablation study involving the combinations of *Planner1*, *Planner2*, and the proposed *AST-based waveform tracing tool* on the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark. With *Planner1*, the *AST-WT* achieves a 11.5% improvement in pass-rate. In contrast, *Planner2* without *AST-WT* improves by 7.7% compared to the baseline. Combining *Planner2* with *AST-WT*, as in the proposed VerilogCoder, significantly improves the pass-rate by 27.5% compared to the baseline.

To further investigate the reasons behind the significant improvement in the pass rate of the proposed VerilogCoder, we extract the union set of failed problems from the four combinations and categorize them based on the module and query prompt type of each failed problem for taxonomy study. Figure 5(a) shows the statistics of the number of each category and their description are listed below.

- Application (Descr.): The module is considered for an application (i.e., maze games, lemmings, timer, etc) with descriptions of its functionality in the query prompt.
- Comb+Seq+FSM (Descr.): The module is a block of combinational logic, sequential components, or finite state machine (FSM) with descriptions of its connections,

and state transitions in the query prompt.

- Comb+Seq+FSM (Waveform): The module is a block of combinational logic, sequential components, or FSM with tabular waveform examples in the query prompt.
- Comb (Kmap): The module is a block of combinational logic with the Karnaugh map in the query prompt.
- FSM (Trans. Table): The module is a FSM block with the state transition table in the query prompt.

Figure 5(b) shows the pass-rate (%) of *Planner1* without *AST-WT*, *Planner1* with *AST-WT*, *Planner2* without *AST-WT*, and the proposed method. We observe that *Planner1* with *AST-WT* achieves 10.5%, 39.1%, and 12.5% higher pass-rates on the Application (Descr.), Comb+Seq+FSM (Descr.), and Comb+Seq+FSM (Waveform) categories than *Planner2* without *AST-WT*, respectively. The agent needs *AST-WT* to iteratively modify the generated Verilog code, as the indirect transformation from description and waveform to hardware description language may lead to confusion and misleading information. On the other hand, *Planner2* without *AST-WT* outperforms *Planner1* with *AST-WT* on the Comb (Kmap) and FSM (Trans. Table) tasks by 33.3% and 44.5%, respectively. This is because the proposed task planner can accurately capture the specified input-output mappings or state transitions in the plan without missing any information, ensuring that the code agent solves the sub-tasks step-by-step. Consequently, with the assistance of the proposed task planner and the *AST-based waveform tracing tool*, the proposed VerilogCoder can significantly improve the pass-rate across these types of tasks in the benchmark.

## Conclusion and Future Work

Our proposed VerilogCoder has demonstrated the capability to autonomously write Verilog code and fix syntax and functional errors using the Verilog simulator and the proposed *AST-WT*. The ablation study reveals that the proposed novel TCRG based task planner and task-oriented solving approach shows a 7.7% improvement in pass-rate, particularly for the Comb (Kmap) and FSM (Transition Table) problem categories. Additionally, the proposed *AST-WT* provides a 11.5% improvement in pass-rate, mainly for the Application (Description), Comb+Seq+FSM (Description), and Comb+Seq+FSM (Waveform) problem categories. In summary, with the proposed TCRG based task planner and *AST-WT*, the proposed VerilogCoder achieves a 33.9% higher pass rate compared to the state-of-the-art method, i.e. without an agent-based approach.

We also believe that important directions for future Verilog agent-based research include: (1) training LLMs with high-quality Verilog code to improve accuracy of various agents processing or generating Verilog code within the overall framework, (2) improving the generated Verilog code by considering PPA (Power, Performance, Area) metrics through efficient retrieval-augmented generation or effective domain-specific fine-tuning, and (3) incorporating more efficient self-learning techniques and memory systems to enable the agent to accumulate experiences and continuously improve the quality of the generated Verilog code in terms of PPA metrics in the design flow.

## References

- AI, M. 2024. Au large. Section: news. <https://mistral.ai/news/mistral-large/>.
- Alon, U.; Zilberstein, M.; Levy, O.; and Yahav, E. 2019. code2vec: Learning distributed representations of code. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 3(POPL): 1–29.
- Bairi, R.; Sonwane, A.; Kanade, A.; Iyer, A.; Parthasarathy, S.; Rajamani, S.; Ashok, B.; and Shet, S. 2024. Codeplan: Repository-level coding using llms and planning. *Proceedings of the ACM on Software Engineering*, 1(FSE): 675–698.
- Bui, N. D.; Le, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.; Gotmare, A. D.; and Hoi, S. C. 2023. Codetf: One-stop transformer library for state-of-the-art code llm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00029*.
- CodeGemma Team, Google. 2024. google/codegemma-7b · hugging face. <https://huggingface.co/google/codegemma-7b>.
- crewAI Inc. 2024. crewAI: Cutting-edge framework for orchestrating role-playing, autonomous AI agents. By fostering collaborative intelligence, CrewAI empowers agents to work together seamlessly, tackling complex tasks. <https://github.com/crewAIInc/crewAI>.
- Edge, D.; Trinh, H.; Cheng, N.; Bradley, J.; Chao, A.; Mody, A.; Truitt, S.; and Larson, J. 2024. From local to global: A graph rag approach to query-focused summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16130*.
- Guo, D.; Zhu, Q.; Yang, D.; Xie, Z.; Dong, K.; Zhang, W.; Chen, G.; Bi, X.; Wu, Y.; Li, Y.; et al. 2024. DeepSeek-Coder: When the Large Language Model Meets Programming—The Rise of Code Intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14196*.
- Huang, D.; Bu, Q.; Zhang, J. M.; Luck, M.; and Cui, H. 2023. Agentcoder: Multi-agent-based code generation with iterative testing and optimisation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13010*.
- Kommineni, V. K.; König-Ries, B.; and Samuel, S. 2024. From human experts to machines: An LLM supported approach to ontology and knowledge graph construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08345*.
- Liu, M.; Pinckney, N.; Khailany, B.; and Ren, H. 2023a. Verilogeval: Evaluating large language models for verilog code generation. In *2023 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer Aided Design (ICCAD)*, 1–8. IEEE.
- Liu, S.; Fang, W.; Lu, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, H.; and Xie, Z. 2023b. Rtlcoder: Outperforming gpt-3.5 in design rtl generation with our open-source dataset and lightweight solution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08617*.
- Mastroianni, A.; Pascarella, L.; Guglielmi, E.; Ciniselli, M.; Scalabrino, S.; Oliveto, R.; and Bavota, G. 2023. On the robustness of code generation techniques: An empirical study on github copilot. In *2023 IEEE/ACM 45th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, 2149–2160. IEEE.
- Meta. 2024a. meta-llama/CodeLlama-70b-instruct-hf · hugging face. <https://huggingface.co/meta-llama/CodeLlama-70b-Instruct-hf>.
- Meta. 2024b. meta-llama/llama3. Original-date: 2024-03-15T17:57:00Z. <https://github.com/meta-llama/llama3>.
- Nijkamp, E.; Hayashi, H.; Xiong, C.; Savarese, S.; and Zhou, Y. 2023. Codegen2: Lessons for training llms on programming and natural languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02309*.
- OpenAI. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report.
- OpenAI. 2024. New models and developer products announced at DevDay. <https://openai.com/index/new-models-and-developer-products-announced-at-devday/>.
- OpenDevin Team. 2024. OpenDevin: An Open Platform for AI Software Developers as Generalist Agents. <https://github.com/OpenDevin/OpenDevin>.
- Pei, Z.; Zhen, H.-L.; Yuan, M.; Huang, Y.; and Yu, B. 2024. Betterv: Controlled verilog generation with discriminative guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03375*.
- Pinckney, N.; Batten, C.; Liu, M.; Ren, H.; and Khailany, B. 2024. Revisiting VerilogEval: Newer LLMs, In-Context Learning, and Specification-to-RTL Tasks. *to appear in arXiv*.
- Takamaeda-Yamazaki, S. 2015. Pyverilog: A Python-Based Hardware Design Processing Toolkit for Verilog HDL. In *Applied Reconfigurable Computing*, volume 9040 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 451–460. Springer International Publishing.
- Thakur, S.; Ahmad, B.; Pearce, H.; Tan, B.; Dolan-Gavitt, B.; Karri, R.; and Garg, S. 2024. Verigen: A large language model for verilog code generation. *ACM Transactions on Design Automation of Electronic Systems*, 29(3): 1–31.
- Tsai, Y.; Liu, M.; and Ren, H. 2023. Rtlfixer: Automatically fixing rtl syntax errors with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16543*.
- Wang, L.; Ma, C.; Feng, X.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, H.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Z.; Tang, J.; Chen, X.; Lin, Y.; et al. 2024. A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 18(6): 186345.
- Weng, L. 2023. LLM-powered Autonomous Agents. *lilian-weng.github.io*.
- Williams, S.; and Baxter, M. 2002. Icarus verilog: open-source verilog more than a year later. *Linux Journal*, 2002(99): 3.
- Wu, Q.; Bansal, G.; Zhang, J.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, S.; Zhu, E.; Li, B.; Jiang, L.; Zhang, X.; and Wang, C. 2023. Autogen: Enabling next-gen llm applications via multi-agent conversation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08155*.
- Yang, J.; Jimenez, C. E.; Wettig, A.; Lieret, K.; Yao, S.; Narasimhan, K.; and Press, O. 2024. Swe-agent: Agent-computer interfaces enable automated software engineering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15793*.
- Yao, S.; Zhao, J.; Yu, D.; Du, N.; Shafran, I.; Narasimhan, K.; and Cao, Y. 2022. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*.
- Zhang, B.; and Soh, H. 2024. Extract, Define, Canonicalize: An LLM-based Framework for Knowledge Graph Construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03868*.



# VerilogCoder Technical Appendix

**Chia-Tung Ho, Haoxing Ren, Brucek Khailany**

NVIDIA Research  
chiatungh@nvidia.com, haoxingr@nvidia.com, bkhalany@nvidia.com

## Prompts of Task Planning

We present the prompts of the agents for task planning as follows: (1) high-level planner agent, (2) circuit signal, transition, and example extraction agent, and (3) task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent. Figure 1 shows the prompt used to decompose the task into manageable sub-tasks in JSON format. For creating the Task and Circuit Relation Graph, the circuit signal, transition, and example extraction agent extracts the circuit-related signals using the prompt in Figure 2. Lastly, after constructing the Task and Circuit Relation Graph, the task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent retrieves detailed signal descriptions for each sub-task. Figure 3 shows the prompt for the task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent to retrieve information for each sub-task.

### High-level planner agent prompt template

You are a Verilog RTL designer that can break down complicated implementation into subtasks implementation plans.

[Example Begin]  
[\[VerilogExamples\]](#)  
[Example End]

[Target Problem]  
### Problem

[\[ModuleDescription\]](#)

[Instruction]

Let's think step by step.

Based on the Problem description, set up a sequential implementation plans. Each subtask should focus on implement only one signal at a time.

Extract the corresponding source contexts in the [Target Problem] section of each subtask into the 'source' field.

The task id number indicates the sequential orders. Return the subtasks in json format as below.

```
...
json
{ "subtasks": [
  {
    "id": "1",
    "content": "task description 1",
    "source": "source 1"
  },
  {
    "id": "2",
    "content": "task description 2",
    "source": "source 2"
  },
  {
    "id": "3",
    "content": "task description 3",
    "source": "source 3"
  },
  ...
]
}...
```

[Rules]

Make sure the task plans satisfy the following rules! Do not make the plans that violate the following rules!!!

- Make a plan to define the module with its input and output first.
- Do not plan the implementation of logic or signal from the input ports.
- There is test bench to test the functional correctness. Do not plan generating testbench to test the generated verilog code.
- Don't make a plan only with clock or control signals. The clock or control signals should be planned with register or wire signal.
- Don't make a plan on implementing the signal or next state logics which are not related to the module outputs.
- For module related to Finite State Machine (FSM), try to determine the number of states first and then make the plan to implement FSM.
- For module related to Finite State Machine or Moore State Machine, if the state or current\_state is an input port signal of the module, You must Do Not implement the state flip-flops for state transition in TopModule.

Figure 1: The prompt template of high-level planner agent. The blue texts are variables and can be replaced according to various task descriptions.

#### Circuit signal, transition, and example extraction agent prompt template

You are a Verilog RTL designer that identify the signals, state transition description, and signal example contents.

[Module Description]  
{ModuleDescription}

[Instruction]  
Extract the signal and its description, state transition description, and signal example contents in the json format.  
The return format need to follow ```json and ``` format.

```
```json
{
  "signal": ["<signal1 name>: <signal1 description>", "<signal2 name>: <signal2 description>", ...], # list of strings
  "state_transitions_description": [<state_transition_line1>, <state_transition_line2>, ...], # list of strings
  "signal_examples": [<text1>, <text2>, ...], # list of strings
}
```
```

[Rules]:

- You must extract the signals and all signal examples in the description!
- Do not implement the verilog code. Do not change the original description and text.
- Do not change the state\_transition format when extracting to 'state\_transitions\_description'.
- If the state\_transition is represented as K-map table, you need to extract the row or column values with their corresponding row or column signals.
- Do not add signal examples if there is no examples in the module description.

Figure 2: The prompt template of circuit signal, transition, and example extraction agent. The blue texts are variables and can be replaced according to various task descriptions.

#### Task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent prompt template

You are a top-tier Verilog expert with experience in retrieving required information for the following task using retrieve\_additional\_plan\_information\_tool.

[Module Description]:  
{ModuleDescription}

[Current Task Description]:

{CurrentTask}

Let's think step by step.

1. Set BFS\_retrival\_level=2.
2. Use retrieve\_additional\_plan\_information\_tool to retrieve the required information. The rules for covering the task are below.
  - have the signal mentioned in the task
  - Must have the signal example if there are any related to the task
3. Increase the BFS\_retrival\_level by 1 and repeat the step 2. BFS\_retrival\_level should not exceed 10.
  - If the task is well covered by the retrieved information or no more retrieved information available, go to Step 4.
4. Compile the node names and descriptions which covers the task from the BFS result.
  - You must remove the signal which is not mentioned in the task. Make the related information short and be able to cover the task need.
  - Must include the signal example (Type: SignalExample) if the signal is mentioned in the task.

Return the Task and retrieved related information from retrieve\_additional\_plan\_information\_tool.

If there is no retrieved related information needed for the Task, just return the Task.

The return format is below.

{CurrentTask}

Retrieved Related Information:

Info 1  
Info 2  
...

After the return format, reply TERMINATE to end.

Figure 3: The prompt template of task-driven circuit relation graph retrieval agent. The blue texts are variables and can be replaced according to various task descriptions.

## Prompts of Verilog code implementation

We present the prompts for the code agent and the debug agent for Verilog code implementation task. The code agent's prompt is illustrated in Figure 4. The code agent completes the current sub-task by considering the examples, module description, and partial Verilog code from previous tasks. Figure 5 shows the prompt template for the debug agent. This prompt includes the module description and the completed Verilog code from the code agent. The agent must run the simulator and debug until the module functions correctly.

#### Code agent prompt template

You are a Verilog RTL designer that only writes code using correct Verilog syntax based on the task definition.

[Example Begin]  
[VerilogExamples]  
[Example End]

[Module Description]  
[ModuleDescription]

[Previous Module Implementation]  
```\nverilog  
[PreviousTaskOutput]  
```\n

[Current SubTask]  
[Task]

[Hints]:

- For implementing kmap, you need to think step by step. Find the inputs corresponding to output=1, 0, and don't-care for each case. Categorized them and find if there are any combinations that can be simplify.

[Rules]:

- Only write the verilog code for the [Current SubTask]. Don't generate code without defined in the [Current SubTask].
- Don't change or modify the code in [Previous Module Implementation].
- Return the written verilog log code with Previous Module Implementation.
- Declare all ports and signals as logic.
- Don't use state\_t to define the parameter. Use `localparam` or Use `reg` or `logic` for signals as registers or Flip-Flops.
- Don't generate duplicated signal assignments or blocks.
- Define the parameters or signals first before using them.
- Not all the sequential logic need to be reset to 0 when reset is asserted.
- for combinational logic, you can use wire assign (i.e., assign wire = a ? 1:0;) or always @(\*)).
- for combinational logic with an always block do not explicitly specify the sensitivity list; instead use always @(\*)).
- For `if` block, you must use begin and end as below.

[if example]  
if (done) begin  
a = b;  
n = q;  
end  
[if example end]

Figure 4: The prompt template of code agent. The blue texts are variables and can be replaced according to various task descriptions.

#### Debug agent prompt template

You are a Verilog RTL designer that only writes code using correct Verilog syntax and verify the functionality. You need to run the verilog\_simulation\_tool to make sure the functional correctness before TERMINATE.

[Target Module Description]

### Problem  
[ModuleDescription]

### Completed Verilog Module  
```\nverilog  
[CompletedVerilogCodeModule]  
```\n

[Instructions]:

1. Use the verilog\_simulation\_tool to verify the syntax and functional correctness of the Completed Verilog Module.
2. Use the waveform\_trace\_tool to trace the waveform of functional incorrect signals by inputting the verilog\_simulation\_tool result.
3. Debug the waveform and verilog source code and find out the signals need to be corrected.
4. Repeat above steps until pass the syntax and functional check.

[Constraints]:

- Do not use typedef enum in the verilog code.
- There is test bench to test the functional correctness. You don't need to generate testbench to test the generated verilog code.
- Do not use \$display or \$finish in the module implementation.
- You can not modify the testbench.
- Declare all ports as logic; use wire or reg for signals inside the block.
- Don't use state\_t. Use `reg` or `logic` for signals as registers or Flip-Flops.
- for combinational logic, you can use wire assign or always @(\*)).
- for combinational logic with an always block do not explicitly specify the sensitivity list; instead use always @(\*)).
- Don't generate duplicated signal assignments or blocks.

Figure 5: The prompt template of debug agent. The blue texts are variables and can be replaced according to various task descriptions.

## Inconsistent Specification and Reference Design Module in the VerilogEval-Human v2 Benchmark

We observed approximately 7% inconsistency between specifications and reference design modules in the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark, available at <https://github.com/NVlabs/verilog-eval>. Below, we list common inconsistencies between specifications and reference design modules across a few representative cases.

**Module port definition or wrong combination logic description** Figure 6 illustrates representative cases of this type of inconsistency. In the case of Prob134\_2014\_q3c, the description omits the input clk, which is present in the reference design module. For Prob045\_edgedetect2 description, the output port anyedge is defined with the wrong direction. In Prob074\_ece241\_2014.q4, the output z is defined as the result of a three-input XNOR gate, but the reference design module implements a NOR gate for the output z.

| Wrong Module Port Description  | Wrong Combination Logic Description   |                       |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|----------|---|--|-----|----------|---|--|-----|----------|---|--|-----|----------|---|--|-----|----------|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Prob134_2014_q3c</b></p> <p><b>Specification</b></p> <p>I would like you to implement a module named TopModule with the following interface. All input and output ports are one bit unless otherwise specified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- input x</li> <li>- input y (3 bits)</li> <li>- output Y0</li> <li>- output z</li> </ul> <p><b>Missing input clock port</b></p> <p>The module should implement the output logic and next state logic for an FSM using the table shown below. Note that the output Y0 is Y[0] of the next state signal.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Present state y[2:0]</td> <td>Next state Y[2:0] x=0</td> <td>Next state Y[2:0] x=1</td> <td>Output z</td> </tr> <tr> <td>000</td> <td>000, 001</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>001</td> <td>001, 100</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>010</td> <td>010, 001</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>011</td> <td>001, 010</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>100</td> <td>011, 100</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Present state y[2:0]  | Next state Y[2:0] x=0 | Next state Y[2:0] x=1 | Output z | 000 | 000, 001 | 0 |  | 001 | 001, 100 | 0 |  | 010 | 010, 001 | 0 |  | 011 | 001, 010 | 1 |  | 100 | 011, 100 | 1 |  | <p><b>Prob074_ece241_2014_q4</b></p> <p><b>Specification</b></p> <p>I would like you to implement a module named TopModule with the following interface. All input and output ports are one bit unless otherwise specified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- input clk</li> <li>- input x</li> <li>- output z</li> </ul> <p>The module should implement a finite state machine with the following behavior. Input x goes to three different two-input gates: an XOR, an AND, and an OR gate. <b>Each of the three gates is connected to the input of a D flip-flop and then the flip-flop outputs all go to a three-input XNOR, whose output is Z.</b> The second input of the XOR is its corresponding flip-flop's output, the second input of the AND is its corresponding flip-flop's complemented output, and finally the second input of the OR is its corresponding flip-flop's complementary output.</p> <p>Assume that the D flip-flops are initially reset to zero before the machine begins. Assume all sequential logic is triggered on the positive edge of the clock.</p> |
| Present state y[2:0]   | Next state Y[2:0] x=0   | Next state Y[2:0] x=1 | Output z              |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| 000  | 000, 001  | 0                     |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| 001  | 001, 100  | 0                     |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| 010  | 010, 001  | 0                     |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| 011  | 001, 010  | 1                     |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| 100  | 011, 100  | 1                     |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
| <p><b>Prob045_edgedetect2</b></p> <p><b>Specification</b></p> <p>I would like you to implement a module named TopModule with the following interface. All input and output ports are one bit unless otherwise specified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- input clk</li> <li>- input in (8 bits)</li> <li>- input anyedge (8 bits)</li> </ul> <p><b>Wrong port direction</b></p> <p>Implement a module that for each bit in an 8-bit input vector, detect when the input signal changes from one clock cycle to the next (detect any edge). The output bit should be set the cycle after a 0 to 1 transition occurs. Assume all sequential logic is triggered on the positive edge of the clock.</p>   | <p><b>Reference design module</b></p> <pre> module RefModule (   input clk,   input [7:0] in,   output reg [7:0] anyedge );   reg [7:0] d_last;    always @(posedge clk) begin     d_last &lt;= in;     anyedge &lt;= in ^ d_last;   end endmodule </pre>                                       |                       |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |
|  | <p><b>Reference design module</b></p> <pre> module RefModule (   input clk,   input x,   output z );   reg [2:0] s = 0;    always @(posedge clk) begin     s &lt;= {s[2] ^ x, ~s[1] &amp; x, ~s[0]   x};   end    assign z = ~ s; </pre> <p><b>NOR logic instead of XNOR in description</b></p> |                       |                       |          |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |     |          |   |  |  |

Figure 6: The examples of module port definition or wrong combination logic description. Prob134\_2014\_q3c and Prob045\_edgedetect2 have wrong port description. Prob074\_ece241\_2014\_q4 has wrong combination logic description of output z.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Specification</b></p> <p>I would like you to implement a module named TopModule with the following interface. All input and output ports are one bit unless otherwise specified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- input y (7 bits)</li> <li>- input w</li> <li>- output Y1</li> <li>- output Y3</li> </ul> <p>Consider the state machine shown below:</p> <pre> A (0) --0--&gt; B A (0) --1--&gt; A B (0) --0--&gt; C B (0) --1--&gt; D C (0) --0--&gt; E C (0) --1--&gt; D D (0) --0--&gt; F D (0) --1--&gt; A E (1) --0--&gt; E E (1) --1--&gt; D F (1) --0--&gt; C F (1) --1--&gt; D </pre> <p><b>Defining one-hot encoded state; Didn't mentioned that y[5:0] can be a combinations of multiple states!</b></p> <p>Resets into state A. For this part, assume that a one-hot code is used with the state assignment y[5:0] = 000001, 000010, 000100, 001000, 010000, 100000 for states A, B, ..., F, respectively.</p> <p>The module should implement the next-state signals Y2 and Y4 corresponding to signal y[1] and y[3]. Derive the logic equations by inspection assuming the one-hot encoding.</p> | <p><b>Reference design module</b></p> <pre> module RefModule (   input [5:0] y,   input w,   output Y1,   output Y3 );   assign Y1 = y[0] &amp; ~w;   assign Y3 = (y[1]   y[2]   y[4]   y[5]) &amp; w; endmodule </pre> <p><b>Will output Y3 the value even y[5:0] is not a one-hot encoded state input!</b></p>  |
|   | <p><b>Testbench (Part of the testbench code)</b></p> <pre> // Verification: XORs on the right makes any X in good_vector match anything, but X in dut_vector will only match X. assign tb_match = ( { Y1_ref, Y3_ref } === ( { Y1_ref, Y3_ref } ^ { Y1_dut, Y3_dut } ^ { Y1_ref, Y3_ref } ) ); // Use explicit sensitivity list here. @(*) causes NetProc::nex_input() to be called when trying to compute // the sensitivity list of the @(strobe) process, which isn't implemented. always @(posedge clk, negedge clk) begin   stats1.clocks++;   if (!tb_match) begin     if (stats1.errors == 0) stats1.errortime = \$time;     stats1.errors++;   end   if (Y1_ref != ( Y1_ref ^ Y1_dut ^ Y1_ref ))     begin if (stats1.errors_Y1 == 0) stats1.errortime_Y1 = \$time;       stats1.errors_Y1 = stats1.errors_Y1 + 1'b1; end   if (Y3_ref != ( Y3_ref ^ Y3_dut ^ Y3_ref ))     begin if (stats1.errors_Y3 == 0) stats1.errortime_Y3 = \$time;       stats1.errors_Y3 = stats1.errors_Y3 + 1'b1; end end </pre> <p><b>Testbench expects the Y3 and Y1 signal of RefModule and generated module are always the same.</b></p> |

Figure 7: An example of State condition of finite state machine. In Prob099\_m2014\_q6c, it define one-hot encoded state machine, but failed to provide the information of the input y[5:0] port could be a combination of multiple one-hot encoded states and the testbench expects the Verilog module to generate valid output signals.

**State condition of finite state machine** In some cases, the descriptions define a one-hot encoded Moore state machine but fail to provide information indicating that the input state port could be a combination of multiple one-hot encoded states. The testbench, however, expects the Verilog module to generate valid output signals, which creates confusion when large language models attempt to write a functionally correct Verilog module, especially when debugging a waveform with the input state port containing multiple one-hot encoded states. For instance, Figure 7 highlights the inconsistency between the description and the reference design module concerning the input state (i.e.,  $y[5:0]$ ) port and the expected behavior of the output ports in Prob099\_m2014\_q6c.




Figure 8: (1) The updated description for Prob099\_m2014\_q6c, (2) Functional incorrect Verilog module generated by GPT-4 Turbo without agent-based approach with the updated description for Prob099\_m2014\_q6c, and (3) Functional correct Verilog module generated by VerilogCoder.

We ran GPT-4 Turbo without an agent-based approach using the corrected prompts (i.e., approximately 7% of the VerilogEval-Human v2 benchmark) but did not observe obvious improvement in generating functionally correct Verilog modules. Figure 8 shows the failed Verilog module implementations by GPT-4 Turbo without the agent-based approach, as well as by the proposed VerilogCoder, on Prob099\_m2014\_q6c with the corrected description. Consequently, we continue to use the experimental data from prior work (Pinckney et al., 2024) for comparison in the Main Results. We are collaborating with the authors of VerilogEval-Human v2 (Pinckney et al., 2024) to update the benchmark at <https://github.com/NVlabs/verilog-eval>. These updates will be available to the public shortly.

### Examples of Fixing Functionality of Verilog Module

Here, we demonstrate an example of fixing the functionality of a Finite State Machine module for receiving serial input data. The debugging trace of the Debug Agent, which leverages the developed AST-based waveform tracing tool to fix functional errors, is shown below.



**user (to chat\_manager):**

You are a Verilog RTL designer that only writes code using correct Verilog syntax and verify the functionality.

[Target Module Description]

### Problem

I would like you to implement a module named TopModule with the following interface. All input and output ports are one bit unless otherwise specified.

- input clk
- input in
- input reset
- output out\_byte (8 bits)
- output done

In many (older) serial communications protocols, each data byte is sent along with a start bit and a stop bit, to help the receiver delimit bytes from the stream of bits. One common scheme is to use one start bit (0), 8 data bits, and 1 stop bit (1). The `in` stays at logic 1 when nothing is being transmitted. The FSM should start to receive the following 8 data bits right after detect the start bit (0). Design a finite state machine that will identify when bytes have been correctly received when given a stream of bits. It needs to start to receive the data right away when the start bit (0) occurs, receive the consecutive all 8 data bits, then verify that the stop bit (1) was correct of `in`. `done` is set to 1 after verify the stop bit (1), otherwise, it will be remain 0. The module will also output the correctly-received data byte. `out\_byte` needs to be valid when `done` is 1, and is don't-care otherwise (8'bx). If the stop bit does not appear when expected, the FSM enters error state and must wait until it finds a stop bit before attempting to receive the next byte. Include a active-high synchronous reset. Note that the serial protocol `in` sends in a sequence from LSB to MSB. Assume all sequential logic is triggered on the positive edge of the clock.

### Completed Verilog Module

```
````verilog
module TopModule (
    input logic clk,
    input logic in,
    input logic reset,
    output logic [7:0] out_byte,
    output logic done
);
    // State definitions
    localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
    localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
    localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
    localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
    // State register
    logic [2:0] state;
    logic [2:0] next_state;
    // Data bit counter
    logic [3:0] bit_count;
    // Data storage
    logic [7:0] data_buffer;
    // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
    always @(posedge clk) begin
        if (reset) begin
            state <= IDLE;
            bit_count <= 0;
            data_buffer <= 0;
            done <= 0;
        end else begin
            state <= next_state;
```

```

    end
end
// Combinational logic for next state and output control
always @(*) begin
    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
    done = 0; // Default done to 0
    case (state)
        IDLE: begin
            if (in == 0) begin
                next_state = RECEIVE;
            end
        end
        RECEIVE: begin
            if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
                next_state = CHECK_STOP;
            end
        end
        CHECK_STOP: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
                done = 1;
                out_byte = data_buffer;
            end else begin
                next_state = ERROR;
            end
        end
        ERROR: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
            end
        end
    endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

[Instructions]:

1. Use the verilog\_simulation\_tool to verify the syntax and functional correctness of the Completed Verilog Module.
2. Use the waveform\_trace\_tool to trace the waveform and partial verilog code of functional incorrect signals.
3. Debug the waveform and verilog source code and find out the signals need to be corrected.
4. Repeat above steps until pass the syntax and functional check.

[Constraints]:

- Do not use typedef enum in the verilog code.
- There is test bench to test the functional correctness. You don't need to generate testbench to test the generated verilog code.
- Do not use \$display or \$finish in the module implementation.
- You can not modify the testbench.
- Declare all ports as logic; use wire or reg for signals inside the block.
- Don't use state\_t. Use 'reg' or 'logic' for signals as registers or Flip-Flops.
- for combinational logic, you can use wire assign or always @(\*).

- for combinational logic with an always block do not explicitly specify the sensitivity list; instead use always @(\*)
- Don't generate duplicated signal assignments or blocks.

-----  
**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_ecH4qlKB5Hy8RR7nPhtw6wgZ): verilog\_simulation\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```

{"completed_verilog": "module TopModule (\n  input logic clk,\n  input logic in,\n  input logic reset,\n  output logic [7:0] out_byte,\n  output logic done);\n\n  // State definitions\n  localparam IDLE = 3'b000;\n  localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;\n  localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;\n  localparam ERROR = 3'b011;\n\n  // State register\n  logic [2:0] state;\n  logic [2:0] next_state;\n\n  // Data bit counter\n  logic [3:0] bit_count;\n\n  // Data storage\n  logic [7:0] data_buffer;\n\n  // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs\n  always @(posedge clk) begin\n    if (reset) begin\n      state <= IDLE;\n      bit_count <= 0;\n      data_buffer <= 0;\n      done <= 0;\n    end else begin\n      state <= next_state;\n    end\n  end\n\n  // Combinational logic for next state and output control\n  always @(*) begin\n    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state\n    done = 0; // Default done to 0\n    case (state)\n    IDLE: begin\n      if (in == 0) begin\n        next_state = RECEIVE;\n      end\n    end\n    RECEIVE: begin\n      if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received\n        next_state = CHECK_STOP;\n      end\n    end\n    CHECK_STOP: begin\n      if (in == 1) begin\n        next_state = IDLE;\n        done = 1;\n      end\n    end\n    ERROR: begin\n      if (in == 1) begin\n        next_state = IDLE;\n      end\n    end\n  endcase\n  end\n\n  // Data reception logic\n  always @(posedge clk) begin\n    if (state == RECEIVE) begin\n      data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation\n      bit_count <= bit_count + 1;\n    end else begin\n      bit_count <= 0;\n    end\n  end\nendmodule"}

```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION verilog\_simulation\_tool...

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_ecH4qlKB5Hy8RR7nPhtw6wgZ) \*\*\*\*\*

[Compiled Success]

[Function Check Failed]

==Report start==

VCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.

VCD warning: \$dumpvars: Package (\$unit) is not dumpable with VCD.

./test.sv:45: \$finish called at 4526 (1ps)

Hint: Output 'out\_byte' has no mismatches.

Hint: Output 'done' has 72 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 100.

Hint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples

Simulation finished at 4526 ps

Mismatches: 72 in 905 samples

==Report End==

Thought: input above output tool response into waveform\_trace\_tool to debug the failed signals starts with trace\_level=2!

\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_LZFxSVZknlv7K9qKCiPPPph7): waveform\_trace\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```

{"function_check_output": "[Compiled Success]\n[Function Check Failed]\n==Report start==\nVCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.\nVCD warning: $dumpvars: Package ($unit) is not dumpable with VCD.\n./test.sv:45: $finish called at 4526 (1ps)\nHint: Output 'out_byte' has no mismatches.\nHint: Output 'done' has 72 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 100.\nHint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples\n\nSimulation finished at 4526 ps\nMismatches: 72 in 905 samples\n==Report End==", "trace_level": 2}

```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION waveform\_trace\_tool...

Creating new AST tree graph...

Generating LALR tables

WARNING: 183 shift/reduce conflicts

Get mismatched signal...

Hint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples

mismatches = 72

Trace graph signal...

```
deque([('reset', 'done'), ('in', 'done'), ('state', 'done')])
```

```
deque([('state', 'in'), ('next_state', 'state')])
```

```
deque([('next_state', 'state'), ('bit_count', 'next_state')])
```

Get table waveform...

```
['done_ref', 'in_ref', 'reset_ref']['done_dut', 'in_dut', 'reset_dut']['done', 'clk', 'in', 'reset']
```

data frame shape = 5 20

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_LZFxSVZknlv7K9qKCiPPPph7) \*\*\*\*\*

[Verilog of DUT]:

```
```verilog
```

```
module TopModule (  
    input logic clk,  
    input logic in,  
    input logic reset,  
    output logic [7:0] out_byte,  
    output logic done  
);  
    // State definitions  
    localparam IDLE = 3'b000;  
    localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;  
    localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;  
    localparam ERROR = 3'b011;  
    // State register  
    logic [2:0] state;  
    logic [2:0] next_state;  
    // Data bit counter  
    logic [3:0] bit_count;  
    // Data storage  
    logic [7:0] data_buffer;  
    // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs  
    always @(posedge clk) begin  
        if (reset) begin  
            state <= IDLE;  
            bit_count <= 0;  
            data_buffer <= 0;  
            done <= 0;  
        end else begin  
            state <= next_state;  
        end  
    end  
    // Combinational logic for next state and output control  
    always @(*) begin  
        next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state  
        done = 0; // Default done to 0  
        case (state)  
            IDLE: begin  
                if (in == 0) begin  
                    next_state = RECEIVE;  
                end  
            end  
            RECEIVE: begin  
                if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received  
                    next_state = CHECK_STOP;  
                end  
            end  
        endcase  
    end  
endmodule
```

```

end
CHECK_STOP: begin
  if (in == 1) begin
    next_state = IDLE;
    done = 1;
    out_byte = data_buffer;
  end else begin
    next_state = ERROR;
  end
end
ERROR: begin
  if (in == 1) begin
    next_state = IDLE;
  end
end
endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
  if (state == RECEIVE) begin
    data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
    bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
  end else begin
    bit_count <= 0;
  end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

[Signal Waveform]: <signal>\_tb is the given testbench signal and can not be changed! <signal>\_ref is the golden, and <signal>\_dut is the generated verilog file waveform. Check the mismatched signal waveform and its traced signals. The clock cycle (clk) is 10ns and toggles every 5ns.

'-' means unknown during simulation. If the '-' is the reason of mismatched signal, please check the reset and assignment block.

[Testbench Input Port Signal to Module]: clk, in, reset

[Traced Signals]: done, reset, in, state, next\_state, clk

[Table Waveform in hexadecimal format]

### First mismatched signals time(ns) Trace ###

| time(ns) | done_dut | done_ref | in_dut | in_ref | next_state_dut[2:0] | reset_dut | reset_ref | state_dut[2:0] |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0        | 0        | -        | 1      | 1      | -                   | 1         | 1         | -              |
| 5        | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 15       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 85       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 2                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 95       | 1        | 0        | 1      | 1      | 0                   | 0         | 0         | 2              |

### First mismatched signals time(ns) End ###

The values of mismatched signals at the first mismatched signal time above:

done\_dut: 1

done\_ref: 0

in\_dut: 1

in\_ref: 1

reset\_dut: 0

reset\_ref: 0

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch ###

| time(ns) | done_dut | done_ref | in_dut | in_ref | next_state_dut[2:0] | reset_dut | reset_ref | state_dut[2:0] |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0        | 0        | -        | 1      | 1      | -                   | 1         | 1         | -              |
| 5        | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 15       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |



|     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 85  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 95  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 105 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch End ###

[Note] You can not change the [testbench input signal]: (clk, in, reset)! Modify the module implementation considering the input signals.

[Hint] Firstly, identify the time of mismatched signals, and only focus on the mismatched signals in the waveform firstly. Then, explain the related signals and their transitions in the waveform table. Don't correct signals without mismatch in the table waveforms. If the information is not enough for correct the functional error, try to trace more relevant signals using trace\_level >2 for waveform\_trace\_tool. If you know how to correct the functional error, start to correct the code and run verilog\_simulation\_tool again.

\*\*\*\*\*

Removed 1 messages. Number of messages reduced from 5 to 4.

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

The waveform trace indicates that the `done` signal is set incorrectly at time 95 ns when it should remain 0. The issue occurs because the `done` signal is set to 1 in the `CHECK\_STOP` state without ensuring that all 8 bits have been correctly received and the stop bit is verified.

In the current implementation, the transition from `RECEIVE` to `CHECK\_STOP` occurs when `bit\_count` equals 7, which is incorrect because the bit count should reach 8 to ensure all bits are received. The `bit\_count` should be checked against 8 instead of 7 before transitioning to `CHECK\_STOP`.

Let's correct the code by adjusting the condition for transitioning from `RECEIVE` to `CHECK\_STOP` and ensure that `done` is set correctly based on the complete reception of the byte and the correct stop bit.

### Corrected Verilog Module

```

` ` `verilog
module TopModule (
    input logic clk,
    input logic in,
    input logic reset,
    output logic [7:0] out_byte,
    output logic done
);
    // State definitions
    localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
    localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
    localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
    localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
    // State register
    logic [2:0] state;
    logic [2:0] next_state;
    // Data bit counter
    logic [3:0] bit_count;
    // Data storage
    logic [7:0] data_buffer;
    // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
    always @(posedge clk) begin
        if (reset) begin
            state <= IDLE;
            bit_count <= 0;
            data_buffer <= 0;
            done <= 0;
        end else begin
            state <= next_state;
        end
    end
end

```

```

// Combinational logic for next state and output control
always @(*) begin
    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
    done = 0; // Default done to 0
    case (state)
        IDLE: begin
            if (in == 0) begin
                next_state = RECEIVE;
            end
        end
        RECEIVE: begin
            if (bit_count == 8) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
                next_state = CHECK_STOP;
            end
        end
        CHECK_STOP: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
                done = 1;
                out_byte = data_buffer;
            end else begin
                next_state = ERROR;
            end
        end
        ERROR: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
            end
        end
    endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

Now, let's re-run the simulation tool to verify the corrected module.

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_IzFxSVZknlv7K9qKCipPPph7): verilog\_simulation\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```

{"completed_verilog": "module TopModule (\n  input logic clk,\n  input logic in,\n  input logic reset,\n  output logic [7:0] out_byte,\n  output logic done\n);\n\n // State definitions\n localparam IDLE = 3'b000;\n localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;\n localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;\n localparam ERROR = 3'b011;\n\n // State register\n logic [2:0] state;\n logic [2:0] next_state;\n\n // Data bit counter\n logic [3:0] bit_count;\n\n // Data storage\n logic [7:0] data_buffer;\n\n // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs\n always @(posedge clk) begin\n  if (reset) begin\n   state <= IDLE;\n   bit_count <= 0;\n   data_buffer <= 0;\n   done <= 0;\n  end else begin\n   state <= next_state;\n  end\n end\n\n // Combinational logic for next state and output control\n always @(*) begin\n  next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state\n  done = 0; // Default done to 0\n  case (state)\n  IDLE: begin\n   if (in == 0) begin\n    next_state = RECEIVE;\n   end\n  end\n  RECEIVE: begin\n   if (bit_count == 8) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received\n    next_state = CHECK_STOP;\n   end\n  end\n  CHECK_STOP: begin\n   if (in == 1) begin\n    next_state = IDLE;\n    done = 1;\n   end\n  end\n  ERROR: begin\n   if (in == 1) begin\n    next_state = IDLE;\n   end\n  end\n endcase\n end\n\n // Data

```

```
reception logic\n  always @(posedge clk) begin\n    if (state == RECEIVE) begin\n      data_buffer <= {in,\n      data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation\n      bit_count <= bit_count + 1;\n    end else begin\n      bit_count <= 0;\n    end\n  end\nendmodule"}
```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION verilog\_simulation\_tool...

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_LZFxSVZknlv7K9qKCiPPPh7) \*\*\*\*\*

[Compiled Success]

[Function Check Failed]

==Report start==

VCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.

VCD warning: \$dumpvars: Package (\$unit) is not dumpable with VCD.

./test.sv:45: \$finish called at 4526 (1ps)

Hint: Output 'out\_byte' has 38 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 110.

Hint: Output 'done' has 47 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 110.

Hint: Total mismatched samples is 57 out of 905 samples

Simulation finished at 4526 ps

Mismatches: 57 in 905 samples

==Report End==

Thought: input above output tool response into waveform\_trace\_tool to debug the failed signals starts with trace\_level=2!

\*\*\*\*\*

Removed 3 messages. Number of messages reduced from 7 to 4.

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_MYioiyiyi7N5onObBsxGM5Whb): waveform\_trace\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```
{"function_check_output": "[Compiled Success]\n[Function Check Failed]\n==Report start==\nVCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.\nVCD warning: $dumpvars: Package ($unit) is not dumpable with VCD.\n./test.sv:45: $finish called at 4526 (1ps)\nHint: Output 'out_byte' has 38 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 110.\nHint: Output 'done' has 47 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 110.\nHint: Total mismatched samples is 57 out of 905 samples\n\nSimulation finished at 4526 ps\nMismatches: 57 in 905 samples\n==Report End=="; "trace_level": 2}
```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION waveform\_trace\_tool...

Creating new AST tree graph...

Generating LALR tables

WARNING: 183 shift/reduce conflicts

Get mismatched signal...

Hint: Total mismatched samples is 57 out of 905 samples

mismatches = 57

Trace graph signal...

```
deque([('data_buffer', 'out_byte'), ('in', 'out_byte'), ('state', 'out_byte'), ('reset', 'done'), ('in', 'done'), ('state', 'done')])
```

```
deque([('reset', 'data_buffer'), ('in', 'data_buffer'), ('state', 'data_buffer'), ('state', 'in'), ('next_state', 'state'), ('reset', 'state'), ('next_state', 'state')])
```

```
deque([('next_state', 'state'), ('next_state', 'state'), ('bit_count', 'next_state'), ('bit_count', 'next_state')])
```

Get table waveform...

```
['done_ref', 'in_ref', 'out_byte_ref[7:0]', 'reset_ref'] ['done_dut', 'in_dut', 'out_byte_dut[7:0]', 'reset_dut'] ['out_byte', 'done', 'clk', 'in', 'reset']
```

Failed to transform to binary out\_byte\_dut[7:0] target line: -

data frame shape = 5 20

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_MYioiyiyi7N5onObBsxGM5Whb) \*\*\*\*\*

[Verilog of DUT]:

```
````verilog
```

```
module TopModule (
```

```

input logic clk,
input logic in,
input logic reset,
output logic [7:0] out_byte,
output logic done
);
// State definitions
localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
// State register
logic [2:0] state;
logic [2:0] next_state;
// Data bit counter
logic [3:0] bit_count;
// Data storage
logic [7:0] data_buffer;
// FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (reset) begin
        state <= IDLE;
        bit_count <= 0;
        data_buffer <= 0;
        done <= 0;
    end else begin
        state <= next_state;
    end
end
// Combinational logic for next state and output control
always @(*) begin
    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
    done = 0; // Default done to 0
    case (state)
        IDLE: begin
            if (in == 0) begin
                next_state = RECEIVE;
            end
        end
        RECEIVE: begin
            if (bit_count == 8) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
                next_state = CHECK_STOP;
            end
        end
        CHECK_STOP: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
                done = 1;
                out_byte = data_buffer;
            end else begin
                next_state = ERROR;
            end
        end
        ERROR: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

    endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

[Signal Waveform]: <signal>\_tb is the given testbench signal and can not be changed! <signal>\_ref is the golden, and <signal>\_dut is the generated verilog file waveform. Check the mismatched signal waveform and its traced signals. The clock cycle (clk) is 10ns and toggles every 5ns.

'-' means unknown during simulation. If the '-' is the reason of mismatched signal, please check the reset and assignment block.

[Testbench Input Port Signal to Module]: clk, in, reset

[Traced Signals]: out\_byte, done, data\_buffer, in, state, reset, next\_state, clk

[Table Waveform in hexadecimal format]

### First mismatched signals time(ns) Trace ###

```

    data_buffer_dut[7:0] done_dut done_ref in_dut in_ref next_state_dut[2:0] out_byte_dut[7:0] out_byte_ref[7:0]
reset_dut reset_ref state_dut[2:0]

```

time(ns)

|     |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0   | -  | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| 5   | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 95  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 105 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

### First mismatched signals time(ns) End ###

The values of mismatched signals at the first mismatched signal time above:

done\_dut: 0

done\_ref: 1

in\_dut: 0

in\_ref: 0

out\_byte\_dut[7:0]: -

out\_byte\_ref[7:0]: 0000

reset\_dut: 0

reset\_ref: 0

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch ###

```

    data_buffer_dut[7:0] done_dut done_ref in_dut in_ref next_state_dut[2:0] out_byte_dut[7:0] out_byte_ref[7:0]
reset_dut reset_ref state_dut[2:0]

```

time(ns)

|     |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0   | -  | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| 5   | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 95  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 105 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 115 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 120 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 125 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 130 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch End ###

[Note] You can not change the [testbench input signal]: (clk, in, reset)! Modify the module implementation considering the input signals.

[Hint] Firstly, identify the time of mismatched signals, and only focus on the mismatched signals in the waveform firstly. Then, explain the related signals and their transitions in the waveform table. Don't correct signals without mismatch



in the table waveforms. If the information is not enough for correct the functional error, try to trace more relevant signals using trace\_level >2 for waveform\_trace\_tool. If you know how to correct the functional error, start to correct the code and run verilog\_simulation\_tool again.

\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
Removed 5 messages. Number of messages reduced from 9 to 4.

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

The waveform trace indicates that the `done` signal is set incorrectly at time 105 ns when it should be 1, but it remains 0. This issue arises because the transition from the `RECEIVE` state to the `CHECK\_STOP` state is based on the `bit\_count` reaching 8, but the `bit\_count` is incremented after the data is shifted into `data\_buffer`. This results in the `CHECK\_STOP` state being entered one clock cycle too late, causing the `done` signal to be set incorrectly.

To fix this, we need to adjust the condition for incrementing the `bit\_count` and transitioning to the `CHECK\_STOP` state. The `bit\_count` should be incremented before checking if it has reached 8, and the transition to `CHECK\_STOP` should occur when `bit\_count` equals 7 (since it will be incremented to 8 in the same cycle).

### Corrected Verilog Module

```
`` `verilog
module TopModule (
    input logic clk,
    input logic in,
    input logic reset,
    output logic [7:0] out_byte,
    output logic done
);
    // State definitions
    localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
    localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
    localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
    localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
    // State register
    logic [2:0] state;
    logic [2:0] next_state;
    // Data bit counter
    logic [3:0] bit_count;
    // Data storage
    logic [7:0] data_buffer;
    // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
    always @(posedge clk) begin
        if (reset) begin
            state <= IDLE;
            bit_count <= 0;
            data_buffer <= 0;
            done <= 0;
        end else begin
            state <= next_state;
        end
    end
    // Combinational logic for next state and output control
    always @(*) begin
        next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
        done = 0; // Default done to 0
        case (state)
            IDLE: begin
                if (in == 0) begin
                    next_state = RECEIVE;
                end
            end
            RECEIVE: begin
                if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
```

```

        next_state = CHECK_STOP;
    end
end
CHECK_STOP: begin
    if (in == 1) begin
        next_state = IDLE;
        done = 1;
        out_byte = data_buffer;
    end else begin
        next_state = ERROR;
    end
end
ERROR: begin
    if (in == 1) begin
        next_state = IDLE;
    end
end
endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

Now, let's re-run the simulation tool to verify the corrected module.

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_WgxSQT2R7NjyLQtvREVvaxAj): verilog\_simulation\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```

{"completed_verilog": "module TopModule (\n  input logic clk,\n  input logic in,\n  input logic reset,\n  output logic [7:0] out_byte,\n  output logic done\n);\n\n // State definitions\n localparam IDLE = 3'b000;\n localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;\n localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;\n localparam ERROR = 3'b011;\n\n // State register\n logic [2:0] state;\n logic [2:0] next_state;\n\n // Data bit counter\n logic [3:0] bit_count;\n\n // Data storage\n logic [7:0] data_buffer;\n\n // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs\n always @(posedge clk) begin\n  if (reset) begin\n   state <= IDLE;\n   bit_count <= 0;\n   data_buffer <= 0;\n   done <= 0;\n  end else begin\n   state <= next_state;\n  end\n end\n\n // Combinational logic for next state and output control\n always @(*) begin\n  next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state\n  done = 0; // Default done to 0\n  case (state)\n  IDLE: begin\n   if (in == 0) begin\n    next_state = RECEIVE;\n   end\n  end\n  RECEIVE: begin\n   if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received\n    next_state = CHECK_STOP;\n   end\n   out_byte = data_buffer;\n   end else begin\n    next_state = ERROR;\n   end\n  end\n  ERROR: begin\n   if (in == 1) begin\n    next_state = IDLE;\n   end\n  end\n endcase\n end\n\n // Data reception logic\n always @(posedge clk) begin\n  if (state == RECEIVE) begin\n   bit_count <= bit_count + 1;\n   data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation\n  end else begin\n   bit_count <= 0;\n  end\n end\n\nendmodule"}

```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION verilog\_simulation\_tool...

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_WgxSQT2R7NjyLQtvREVvaxAj) \*\*\*\*\*

[Compiled Success]

[Function Check Failed]

==Report start==

```
VCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.
VCD warning: $dumpvars: Package ($unit) is not dumpable with VCD.
./test.sv:45: $finish called at 4526 (1ps)
Hint: Output 'out_byte' has no mismatches.
Hint: Output 'done' has 72 mismatches. First mismatch occurred at time 100.
Hint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples
Simulation finished at 4526 ps
Mismatches: 72 in 905 samples
==Report End==
Thought: input above output tool response into waveform_trace_tool to debug the failed signals starts with trace_level=2!
*****
```

```
-----
Removed 7 messages. Number of messages reduced from 11 to 4.
```

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

```
***** Suggested tool call (call_e9LsUJLEQx1HvJpMaQtYPnAm): waveform_trace_tool *****
```

Arguments:

```
{"function_check_output":["[Compiled Success]\n[Function Check Failed]\n==Report start==\nVCD info: dumpfile
wave.vcd opened for output.\nVCD warning: $dumpvars: Package ($unit) is not dumpable with VCD.\n./test.sv:45: $finish
called at 4526 (1ps)\nHint: Output 'out_byte' has no mismatches.\nHint: Output 'done' has 72 mismatches. First
mismatch occurred at time 100.\nHint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples\n\nSimulation finished at
4526 ps\nMismatches: 72 in 905 samples\n==Report End==","trace_level":2}
*****
```

```
-----
>>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION waveform_trace_tool...
```

```
Creating new AST tree graph...
```

```
Generating LALR tables
```

```
WARNING: 183 shift/reduce conflicts
```

```
Get mismatched signal...
```

```
Hint: Total mismatched samples is 72 out of 905 samples
```

```
mismatches = 72
```

```
Trace graph signal...
```

```
deque([('reset', 'done'), ('in', 'done'), ('state', 'done')])
```

```
deque([('state', 'in'), ('next_state', 'state')])
```

```
deque([('next_state', 'state'), ('bit_count', 'next_state')])
```

```
Get table waveform...
```

```
['done_ref', 'in_ref', 'reset_ref'] ['done_dut', 'in_dut', 'reset_dut'] ['done', 'clk', 'in', 'reset']
```

```
data frame shape = 5 20
```

```
user (to chat_manager):
```

```
user (to chat_manager):
```

```
***** Response from calling tool (call_e9LsUJLEQx1HvJpMaQtYPnAm) *****
```

```
[Verilog of DUT]:
```

```
```verilog
```

```
module TopModule (
```

```
    input logic clk,
```

```
    input logic in,
```

```
    input logic reset,
```

```
    output logic [7:0] out_byte,
```

```
    output logic done
```

```
);
```

```
    // State definitions
```

```
    localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
```

```
    localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
```

```
    localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
```

```
    localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
```

```
    // State register
```

```
    logic [2:0] state;
```

```
    logic [2:0] next_state;
```

```
    // Data bit counter
```

```

logic [3:0] bit_count;
// Data storage
logic [7:0] data_buffer;
// FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (reset) begin
        state <= IDLE;
        bit_count <= 0;
        data_buffer <= 0;
        done <= 0;
    end else begin
        state <= next_state;
    end
end
// Combinational logic for next state and output control
always @(*) begin
    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
    done = 0; // Default done to 0
    case (state)
        IDLE: begin
            if (in == 0) begin
                next_state = RECEIVE;
            end
        end
        RECEIVE: begin
            if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
                next_state = CHECK_STOP;
            end
        end
        CHECK_STOP: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
                done = 1;
                out_byte = data_buffer;
            end else begin
                next_state = ERROR;
            end
        end
        ERROR: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
            end
        end
    endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

[Signal Waveform]: <signal>\_tb is the given testbench signal and can not be changed! <signal>\_ref is the golden, and <signal>\_dut is the generated verilog file waveform. Check the mismatched signal waveform and its traced signals. The clock cycle (clk) is 10ns and toggles every 5ns.

'-' means unknown during simulation. If the '-' is the reason of mismatched signal, please check the reset and assignment block.

[Testbench Input Port Signal to Module]: clk, in, reset

[Traced Signals]: done, reset, in, state, next\_state, clk

[Table Waveform in hexadecimal format]

### First mismatched signals time(ns) Trace ###

| time(ns) | done_dut | done_ref | in_dut | in_ref | next_state_dut[2:0] | reset_dut | reset_ref | state_dut[2:0] |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0        | 0        | -        | 1      | 1      | -                   | 1         | 1         | -              |
| 5        | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 15       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 85       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 2                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 95       | 1        | 0        | 1      | 1      | 0                   | 0         | 0         | 2              |

### First mismatched signals time(ns) End ###

The values of mismatched signals at the first mismatched signal time above:

done\_dut: 1

done\_ref: 0

in\_dut: 1

in\_ref: 1

reset\_dut: 0

reset\_ref: 0

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch ###

| time(ns) | done_dut | done_ref | in_dut | in_ref | next_state_dut[2:0] | reset_dut | reset_ref | state_dut[2:0] |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0        | 0        | -        | 1      | 1      | -                   | 1         | 1         | -              |
| 5        | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 15       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 85       | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 2                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 95       | 1        | 0        | 1      | 1      | 0                   | 0         | 0         | 2              |
| 105      | 0        | 1        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 110      | 0        | 1        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 0              |
| 115      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |
| 120      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0      | 1                   | 0         | 0         | 1              |

### Mismatched signals time(ns) Trace After the First Mismatch End ###

[Note] You can not change the [testbench input signal]: (clk, in, reset)! Modify the module implementation considering the input signals.

[Hint] Firstly, identify the time of mismatched signals, and only focus on the mismatched signals in the waveform firstly. Then, explain the related signals and their transitions in the waveform table. Don't correct signals without mismatch in the table waveforms. If the information is not enough for correct the functional error, try to trace more relevant signals using trace\_level >2 for waveform\_trace\_tool. If you know how to correct the functional error, start to correct the code and run verilog\_simulation\_tool again.

\*\*\*\*\*

-----

Removed 9 messages. Number of messages reduced from 13 to 4.

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

The waveform trace reveals that the `done` signal is set incorrectly at 95 ns when it should be 0, but it is 1. This error occurs because the `done` signal is set to 1 in the `CHECK\_STOP` state when `in` is 1, but it does not account for the transition back to the `IDLE` state before setting `done` back to 0.

To correct this, we need to ensure that the `done` signal is only set to 1 for one clock cycle when the stop bit is correctly received and then reset to 0 in the next clock cycle as the FSM transitions back to the `IDLE` state.

### Corrected Verilog Module

```
```verilog
```

```
module TopModule (
```

```
    input logic clk,
```

```
    input logic in,
```

```

input logic reset,
output logic [7:0] out_byte,
output logic done
);
// State definitions
localparam IDLE = 3'b000;
localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;
localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;
localparam ERROR = 3'b011;
// State register
logic [2:0] state;
logic [2:0] next_state;
// Data bit counter
logic [3:0] bit_count;
// Data storage
logic [7:0] data_buffer;
// FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (reset) begin
        state <= IDLE;
        bit_count <= 0;
        data_buffer <= 0;
        done <= 0;
    end else begin
        state <= next_state;
        if (state == CHECK_STOP && in == 1) begin
            done <= 1; // Set done only for one cycle when stop bit is correct
        end else begin
            done <= 0;
        end
    end
end
// Combinational logic for next state and output control
always @(*) begin
    next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state
    case (state)
        IDLE: begin
            if (in == 0) begin
                next_state = RECEIVE;
            end
        end
        RECEIVE: begin
            if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received
                next_state = CHECK_STOP;
            end
        end
        CHECK_STOP: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
                out_byte = data_buffer;
            end else begin
                next_state = ERROR;
            end
        end
        ERROR: begin
            if (in == 1) begin
                next_state = IDLE;
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        end
    endcase
end
// Data reception logic
always @(posedge clk) begin
    if (state == RECEIVE) begin
        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;
        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation
    end else begin
        bit_count <= 0;
    end
end
endmodule
` ` `

```

Now, let's re-run the simulation tool to verify the corrected module.

\*\*\*\*\* Suggested tool call (call\_azcbF1Igu934nD80wolnesYr): verilog\_simulation\_tool \*\*\*\*\*

Arguments:

```

{"completed_verilog": "module TopModule (\n  input logic clk,\n  input logic in,\n  input logic reset,\n  output logic [7:0] out_byte,\n  output logic done);\n\n  // State definitions\n  localparam IDLE = 3'b000;\n  localparam RECEIVE = 3'b001;\n  localparam CHECK_STOP = 3'b010;\n  localparam ERROR = 3'b011;\n\n  // State register\n  logic [2:0] state;\n  logic [2:0] next_state;\n  // Data bit counter\n  logic [3:0] bit_count;\n  // Data storage\n  logic [7:0] data_buffer;\n\n  // FSM logic to handle state transitions and outputs\n  always @(posedge clk) begin\n    if (reset) begin\n      state <= IDLE;\n      bit_count <= 0;\n      data_buffer <= 0;\n      done <= 0;\n    end else begin\n      state <= next_state;\n      if (state == CHECK_STOP && in == 1) begin\n        done <= 1; // Set done only for one cycle when stop bit is correct\n      end else begin\n        done <= 0;\n      end\n    end\n\n    // Combinational logic for next state and output control\n    always @(*) begin\n      next_state = state; // Default to stay in current state\n    end\n\n    case (state)\n      IDLE: begin\n        if (in == 0) begin\n          next_state = RECEIVE;\n        end\n      end\n      RECEIVE: begin\n        if (bit_count == 7) begin // Check if 8 bits have been received\n          next_state = CHECK_STOP;\n        end\n      end\n      CHECK_STOP: begin\n        if (in == 1) begin\n          next_state = IDLE;\n        end\n      end\n      ERROR: begin\n        if (in == 1) begin\n          next_state = IDLE;\n        end\n      end\n    endcase\n\n    // Data reception logic\n    always @(posedge clk) begin\n      if (state == RECEIVE) begin\n        bit_count <= bit_count + 1;\n        data_buffer <= {in, data_buffer[7:1]}; // Shift register operation\n      end else begin\n        bit_count <= 0;\n      end\n    end\n  end\nendmodule"}

```

\*\*\*\*\*

>>>>>> EXECUTING FUNCTION verilog\_simulation\_tool...

user (to chat\_manager):

user (to chat\_manager):

\*\*\*\*\* Response from calling tool (call\_azcbF1Igu934nD80wolnesYr) \*\*\*\*\*

[Compiled Success]

[Function Check Success]

VCD info: dumpfile wave.vcd opened for output.

VCD warning: \$dumpvars: Package (\$unit) is not dumpable with VCD.

./test.sv:45: \$finish called at 4526 (1ps)

Hint: Output 'out\_byte' has no mismatches.

Hint: Output 'done' has no mismatches.

Hint: Total mismatched samples is 0 out of 905 samples

Simulation finished at 4526 ps

Mismatches: 0 in 905 samples

\*\*\*\*\*

Removed 11 messages. Number of messages reduced from 15 to 4.

**verilog\_engineer (to chat\_manager):**

TERMINATE

-----