

# Higgs production at NLL accuracy in the BFKL approach

**Francesco Giovanni Celiberto,<sup>a,\*</sup> Luigi Delle Rose,<sup>b,c</sup> Michael Fucilla,<sup>d</sup> Gabriele Gatto,<sup>b,c</sup> Dmitry Yu. Ivanov,<sup>e</sup> Mohammed M. A. Mohammed<sup>b,c</sup> and Alessandro Papa<sup>b,c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>*Universidad de Alcalá (UAH), Departamento de Física y Matemáticas, Campus Universitario, Alcalá de Henares, E-28805, Madrid, Spain*

<sup>b</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende, I-87036, Cosenza, Italy*

<sup>c</sup>*INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Arcavacata di Rende, I-87036, Cosenza, Italy*

<sup>d</sup>*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405, Orsay, France*

<sup>e</sup>*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

*E-mail: francesco.celiberto@uah.es, luigi.dellerose@unical.it, michael.fucilla@ijclab.in2p3.fr, gabriele.gatto@unical.it, d-ivanov@math.nsc.ru, mohammed.maher@unical.it, alessandro.papa@fis.unical.it*

Precision physics in the Higgs sector has been one of the main challenges of particle physics in the recent years. The pure fixed-order calculations entering the collinear factorization framework, which have been pushed up to next-cube-leading-order, are not able to describe the entire kinematic spectrum. In particular sectors, they have to be necessarily enhanced by all-order resummations. In the so-called semi-hard regime, large energy-type logarithms spoil the perturbative convergence of the series and must be resummed to all orders. This resummation is a core ingredient for a correct description of the inclusive hadroproduction of a forward Higgs boson in the limit of small Bjorken  $x$ , as well as for a precision study of inclusive forward emissions of a Higgs boson in association with a backward identified object. A complete resummation for these processes can be achieved at the at next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy thanks to the Balitsky–Fadin–Kuraev–Lipatov approach. In the present work we present and discuss a series of recent phenomenological results within a partial next-to-leading accuracy. They include the analysis of rapidity and azimuthal-angle differential rates for Higgs plus jet and Higgs plus charm reactions in forward and ultraforward directions of rapidity at the LHC.

*31st International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS2024)  
8–12 April 2024  
Grenoble, France*

---


\*Speaker

## 1. Hors d'œuvre

The *all-order* resummation of high-energy logarithms represents a valuable tool for a precise description of semi-inclusive Higgs-production rates at the LHC as well as the future FCC. In the *semi-hard* regime of QCD, the stringent scale order,  $\sqrt{s} \gg \{\mu_i\} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , with  $\{\mu_i\}$  being a set of process-characteristic hard scales and  $\sqrt{s}$  standing for the center-of-mass energy, heightens the weight of energy logarithms. The Balitsky–Fadin–Kuraev–Lipatov (BFKL) approach [1–3] offers us a powerful way to resum those logarithms at the leading-logarithmic (LL) and next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) level. It also allows us to probe the gluon content of the proton at low  $x$  [4–16]. Semi-inclusive hadroproductions of two particles tagged with large transverse masses and a high rapidity separation,  $\Delta Y$ , stand as a promising testing ground of high-energy QCD. To study these two-particle processes, a *multilateral* factorization, where both high-energy and collinear dynamics come into play, is needed. To this scope, a *hybrid* factorization formalism (HyF) was developed [17, 18] (see also [19–21] for single-particle detections). HyF cross sections feature a transverse-momentum convolution of the universal BFKL Green’s function with two process-related impact factors. The latter read in turn as a sub-convolution of singly off-shell coefficient functions and collinear parton distributions (PDFs). Phenomenological studies of the HyF formalism within a full or partial NLL accuracy were done through: Mueller–Navelet jet emissions [22–31], Drell–Yan pair [32–35], light [36–43] or heavy-light [44–56] hadron, quarkonium [57–60], and exotic-matter [61–63] detections. In this work we will study the semi-inclusive tag of a forward Higgs boson accompanied by a light-flavored jet [17] (for corresponding next-to-next-to-leading analyses without resummations, or next-to-NLL investigations within the transverse-momentum resummation formalism, see [64, 65] and [66], respectively). We will go with a partial NLL accuracy, which relies upon the NLL Green’s function plus leading-order coefficient functions.

## 2. Higgs production at NLL accuracy

Left panel of Fig. 1 shows the Higgs plus jet hadroproduction rate at 14 TeV, differential in the transverse momentum of the Higgs boson,  $|\vec{p}_H|$ , and taken at  $\Delta Y = 5$ . Rapidity ranges are the typical one of CMS or ATLAS studies, with the Higgs boson detected only in the barrel calorimeter ( $|y_H| < 2.5$ ) and the jet reconstructed also by the endcaps ( $|y_J| < 4.7$ ). We observe that, in the BFKL-expected kinematic sector, namely the peak region plus the first part of the distribution tail, where  $|\vec{p}_H| \sim |\vec{p}_J|$ , resummed predictions are quite stable under energy scale variations, with NLL uncertainty bands (red) almost completely contained inside pure LL ones (blue). This brings clear evidence that the emission of a Higgs boson acts as a *natural stabilizer* of the high-energy resummation [17, 67–69]. Conversely, in the large  $|\vec{p}_H|$ -tail, NLL BFKL decouples from its LL limit and the corresponding uncertainty band becomes wider and wider with  $|\vec{p}_H|$ . This happens because, in this kinematic sector, large DGLAP-type as well as *threshold* logarithms, not accounted for by our formalisms, are enhanced. We also note that NLL results are qualitatively close to NLO fixed-order ones from POWHEG [70–72] only in the peak region. This is a clear signal that, to get a precise description of our high-energy observables, a *matching* between the NLL HyF formalism and the NLO background is needed [73–75]. Right panel of Fig. 1 shows the Higgs plus  $D^{*\pm}$  NLL azimuthal multiplicity at 14 TeV, for different values of  $\Delta Y$  and with the  $D^{*\pm}$  meson detected



**Figure 1:** Left panel: Higgs plus jet transverse-momentum spectrum at 14 TeV LHC. Right panel: Higgs plus  $D^{*\pm}$  meson angular multiplicity at 14 TeV FPF + ATLAS. Uncertainty bands show  $\mu_{R,F}$  variation in the  $1 < C_\mu < 2$  range. Text boxes refer to kinematic cuts.

in the ultraforward rapidity directions ( $6 < y_C < 7.5$ ) reachable at the planned Forward Physics Facility [50, 53, 54]. We note that, as  $\Delta Y$  grows, distribution peaks shrink while their widths moderately widen. This is a clear signal of the onset of BFKL dynamics. Indeed, larger and larger values of  $\Delta Y$  heighten the weight of secondary gluons strongly ordered in rapidity, whose effect is caught by the BFKL resummation.

### 3. Closing statements

We have studied the production of a Higgs boson, accompanied by a jet [17] or a singly charmed hadron [50] in (ultra)forward directions of rapidity at 14 TeV LHC. Future analyses will include: (i) NLO contributions to the Higgs impact factor [76–78], (ii) a *matching* with the fixed-order signal [73–75], and (iii) a phenomenological extension to nominal FCC energies [79, 80].

### References

- [1] V. S. Fadin et al., Phys. Lett. B **60**, 50 (1975).
- [2] E. A. Kuraev et al., Sov. Phys. JETP **44**, 443 (1976).
- [3] I. I. Balitsky, L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28**, 822 (1978).
- [4] A. Bacchetta et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 733 (2020), [2005.02288](#).
- [5] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici, Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 576 (2024), [2402.17556](#).
- [6] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici, PoS **EPS-HEP2021**, 376 (2022), [2111.01686](#).
- [7] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici, PoS **PANIC2021**, 378 (2022), [2111.03567](#).
- [8] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici, PoS **SPIN2023**, 049 (2024), [2406.04893](#).
- [9] A. Arbuzov et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **119**, 103858 (2021), [2011.15005](#).
- [10] F. G. Celiberto, Nuovo Cim. **C44**, 36 (2021), [2101.04630](#).
- [11] S. Amoroso et al., Acta Phys. Polon. B **53**, A1 (2022), [2203.13923](#).

- [12] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78**, 1023 (2018), [1808.02395](#).
- [13] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 846 (2021), [2107.13415](#).
- [14] M. Hentschinski et al., *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **54**, 2 (2023), [2203.08129](#).
- [15] F. G. Celiberto, *Nuovo Cim.* **C42**, 220 (2019), [1912.11313](#).
- [16] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl.* **3**, 0308109 (2022), [2202.02513](#).
- [17] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 293 (2021), [2008.00501](#).
- [18] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 094004 (2021), [2103.07396](#).
- [19] A. van Hameren, L. Motyka, G. Ziarko, *JHEP* **11**, 103 (2022), [2205.09585](#).
- [20] M. Bonvini, S. Marzani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 202003 (2018), [1802.07758](#).
- [21] F. Silveti, M. Bonvini, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 267 (2023), [2211.10142](#).
- [22] B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, *JHEP* **05**, 096 (2013), [1302.7012](#).
- [23] B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 082003 (2014), [1309.3229](#).
- [24] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 292 (2015), [1504.08233](#).
- [25] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **8**, 935 (2015), [1510.01626](#).
- [26] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 224 (2016), [1601.07847](#).
- [27] F. G. Celiberto, Ph.D. thesis (2017), [1707.04315](#).
- [28] F. Caporale et al., *Nucl. Phys. B* **935**, 412 (2018), [1806.06309](#).
- [29] F. G. Celiberto, A. Papa, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 114004 (2022), [2207.05015](#).
- [30] A. I. Egorov, V. T. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 014010 (2023), [2305.19854](#).
- [31] C. Baldenegro et al. (2024), [2406.10681](#).
- [32] L. Motyka, M. Sadzikowski, T. Stebel, *JHEP* **05**, 087 (2015), [1412.4675](#).
- [33] L. Motyka, M. Sadzikowski, T. Stebel, *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, 114025 (2017), [1609.04300](#).
- [34] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B786**, 201 (2018), [1808.09511](#).
- [35] K. Golec-Biernat et al., *JHEP* **12**, 091 (2018), [1811.04361](#).
- [36] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 034013 (2016), [1604.08013](#).
- [37] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 382 (2017), [1701.05077](#).
- [38] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 772 (2018), [1808.05483](#).
- [39] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **12**, 773 (2019), [1902.04511](#).
- [40] A. D. Bolognino et al., *PoS DIS2019*, 049 (2019), [1906.11800](#).
- [41] F. G. Celiberto, D. Yu. Ivanov, A. Papa, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 094019 (2020), [2008.10513](#).
- [42] F. G. Celiberto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 691 (2021), [2008.07378](#).
- [43] F. G. Celiberto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 332 (2023), [2208.14577](#).
- [44] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 141 (2018), [1709.10032](#).

- [45] A. D. Bolognino et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 939 (2019), [1909.03068](#).
- [46] A. D. Bolognino et al., *PoS DIS2019*, 067 (2019), [1906.05940](#).
- [47] I. Adachi et al. (ILC International Community) (2022), [2203.07622](#).
- [48] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 780 (2021), [2105.06432](#).
- [49] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 114007 (2021), [2109.11875](#).
- [50] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 114056 (2022), [2205.13429](#).
- [51] F. G. Celiberto, *Phys. Lett. B* **835**, 137554 (2022), [2206.09413](#).
- [52] F. G. Celiberto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 384 (2024), [2401.01410](#).
- [53] L. A. Anchordoqui et al., *Phys. Rept.* **968**, 1 (2022), [2109.10905](#).
- [54] J. L. Feng et al., *J. Phys. G* **50**, 030501 (2023), [2203.05090](#).
- [55] F. G. Celiberto, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 114008 (2022), [2204.06497](#).
- [56] F. G. Celiberto, *Particles* **7**, 502 (2024), [2405.09526](#).
- [57] R. Boussarie et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 014008 (2018), [1709.01380](#).
- [58] E. Chapon et al., *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **122**, 103906 (2022), [2012.14161](#).
- [59] F. G. Celiberto, M. Fucilla, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 929 (2022), [2202.12227](#).
- [60] F. G. Celiberto, *Universe* **9**, 324 (2023), [2305.14295](#).
- [61] F. G. Celiberto, A. Papa, *Phys. Lett. B* **848**, 138406 (2024), [2308.00809](#).
- [62] F. G. Celiberto, G. Gatto, A. Papa (2024), [2405.14773](#).
- [63] F. G. Celiberto, *Symmetry* **16**, 550 (2024), [2403.15639](#).
- [64] X. Chen et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **740**, 147 (2015), [1408.5325](#).
- [65] R. Boughezal et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 082003 (2015), [1504.07922](#).
- [66] P. F. Monni, L. Rottoli, P. Torrielli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 252001 (2020), [1909.04704](#).
- [67] F. G. Celiberto et al., *PoS EPS-HEP2021*, 589 (2022), [2110.09358](#).
- [68] F. G. Celiberto et al., *SciPost Phys. Proc.* **8**, 039 (2022), [2107.13037](#).
- [69] F. G. Celiberto et al., *PoS PANIC2021*, 352 (2022), [2111.13090](#).
- [70] K. Hamilton et al., *JHEP* **05**, 082 (2013), [1212.4504](#).
- [71] E. Bagnaschi et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 1054 (2023), [2309.10525](#).
- [72] A. Banfi et al., *JHEP* **02**, 023 (2024), [2309.02127](#).
- [73] F. G. Celiberto et al., *Proceedings of Moriond QCD* (2023), [2305.05052](#).
- [74] F. G. Celiberto et al., *PoS RADCOR2023*, 069 (2024), [2309.11573](#).
- [75] F. G. Celiberto et al., *PoS EPS-HEP2023*, 390 (2024), [2310.16967](#).
- [76] M. Hentschinski et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 112 (2021), [2011.03193](#).
- [77] F. G. Celiberto et al., *JHEP* **08**, 092 (2022), [2205.02681](#).
- [78] M. A. Nefedov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **946**, 114715 (2019), [1902.11030](#).
- [79] S. Dawson et al. (2022), [2209.07510](#).
- [80] F. G. Celiberto, A. Papa (2023), [2305.00962](#).