

# Sharp Quartic Pinching for the Mean Curvature Flow in the Sphere

Artemis A. Vogiatzi

## Abstract

We prove a sharp quartic curvature pinching for the mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , which generalises Pu's work on the convergence of submanifolds in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$  to a round point. Using a blow up argument, we prove a codimension and a cylindrical estimate, where in regions of high curvature, the submanifold becomes approximately codimension one, quantitatively, and is weakly convex and moves by translation or is a self shrinker. With a decay estimate, the rescaling converges smoothly to a totally geodesic limit in infinite time, without using Stampacchia iteration or integral analysis.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $F_0: \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{n+m}$ ,  $m \geq 2$  be a smooth immersion of a compact manifold  $\mathcal{M}^n$ . The mean curvature flow starting from  $F_0$  is the following family of submanifolds  $F: \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{n+m}$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F(p, t) &= H(p, t), \quad \text{for } p \in \mathcal{M}, t \in [0, T) \\ F(p, 0) &= F_0(p), \end{cases}$$

where  $H(p, t)$  denotes the mean curvature vector of  $\mathcal{M}_t = F_t(p) = F(p, t)$  at  $p$ . This is a system of quasilinear weakly parabolic partial differential equations for  $F$ . Geometrically, the mean curvature flow is the steepest descent flow for the area functional of a submanifold and hence it is a natural curvature flow.

In the case of codimension one, a crucial step in the study of singularity formation in the mean convex mean curvature flow is the convexity estimate. This states that in regions of large mean curvature, the second fundamental form is almost positive definite.

In [8], Huisken proved that closed convex hypersurfaces under the mean curvature flow evolve into spherical singularities, using Stampacchia iteration, the Michael–Simons–Sobolev inequality together with recursion formulae for symmetric polynomials. In [9], Huisken generalises this theorem to Riemannian background curvature spaces with strict convexity depending on the background curvature.

In contrast, White [28, 29] uses compactness theorems of geometric measure theory together with the rigidity of strong maximum principle for the second fundamental form. Haslhofer-Kleiner [7] developed an alternative approach to White's results based on Andrews's non-collapsing [1] result for the mean curvature flow.

The analysis of high codimension mean curvature flow is far more challenging, than in the hypersurface case, since convexity does not make sense anymore. An alternative condition to convexity was introduced by Andrews–Baker in [2]: on a compact submanifold, if  $|H| > 0$ , there exists a  $c > 0$ , such that

$$|A|^2 \leq c|H|^2,$$

which is preserved by codimension one mean curvature flow. Also, this condition makes sense for all codimensions. For  $c = \min\{\frac{4}{3n}, \frac{1}{n-1}\}$ , they were able to prove convergence to a round sphere. Note that  $|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|H|^2 < 0, H > 0$  implies convexity in codimension one. In [10], Huisken proved a convergence theorem for the mean curvature flow of quadratically pinched hypersurfaces in the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . In [4], Baker and Nguyen generalised that result and proved a sharp convergence theorem for high codimension mean curvature flow as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}_0 = F_0(\mathcal{M}^n)$  be a closed submanifold smoothly immersed in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}})$ , with constant curvature  $\bar{K}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}_0$  satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} |A|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3n}|H|^2 + \frac{n}{2}\bar{K}, & n = 2, 3 \\ |A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n-1}|H|^2 + 2\bar{K}, & n \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

*then either the mean curvature flow has a unique, smooth solution on a finite time interval and the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_t$  contracts to a point, as  $t \rightarrow T$  or it exists for all time and the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_t$  converges to a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}})$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

If the relationship of  $|A|^2$  and  $|H|^2$  is nonlinear, these pinching conditions could be improved. In [16], Lei and Xu obtained a convergence theorem for the mean curvature flow of arbitrary codimension in spheres as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F_0 : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}})$  be an  $n$ -dimensional, smooth, compact submanifold with constant curvature  $\bar{K}$ ,  $n \geq 6$ . Assume  $\mathcal{M}_0$  satisfies*

$$|A|^2 < \gamma(n, |H|, \bar{K}),$$

*then the mean curvature flow with the initial value  $F_0$  either converges to a round point in finite time, or converges to a total geodesic sphere of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}})$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $\gamma(n, |H|, \bar{K})$  is an explicit positive scalar defined by*

$$\gamma(n, |H|, \bar{K}) = \min \{ \alpha(|H|^2), \beta(|H|^2) \},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x) &= n\bar{K} + \frac{n}{2(n-1)}x - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\sqrt{x^2 + 4(n-1)\bar{K}x}, \\ \beta(x) &= \alpha(x_0) + \alpha'(x_0)(x-x_0) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha''(x_0)(x-x_0)^2, \\ x_0 &= \frac{2n+2}{n-4}\sqrt{n-1}\left(\sqrt{n-1} - \frac{n-4}{2n+2}\right)^2\bar{K},\end{aligned}$$

Lei and Xu's convergence theorems imply that the Ricci curvatures of the initial submanifolds are positive, without implying the positivity of the sectional curvatures. In [26], Pu proved a convergence theorem that improved the theorem of Baker and Nguyen, using a quartic pinching condition and the condition introduced by Lei and Xu in [16]. By setting

$$b(x) = (1-\delta)\left(\frac{x}{n-1} + 2\bar{K}\right) + \delta\alpha(x), \quad x \geq 0,$$

where  $\delta = \frac{\sqrt{12n+9}-7}{2(n-2)}$ ,  $n = 4, 5, 6$ , he proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $F_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\right)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional, smooth compact submanifold immersed in the sphere,  $n \geq 4$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies*

$$|A|^2 \leq \begin{cases} b(|H|^2), & n = 4, 5, 6, \\ \sqrt{\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-1} + 2\bar{K}\right)^2 + (2n-4)\bar{K}^2}, & n \geq 7, \end{cases}$$

then the mean curvature flow with the initial value  $F_0$  converges to a round point in finite time or converges to a totally geodesic sphere of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\right)$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

The above pinching condition for  $n \geq 7$  is shown to be sharp. The purpose of this paper is to obtain an extension of Pu's result, using a suitable quartic pinching condition on the submanifold. More specifically, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $F_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\right)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional, smooth compact submanifold,  $n \geq 8$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , with constant curvature  $\bar{K}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}_t$  satisfies*

$$|A|^2 \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $0 \leq t < T$ , where  $T$  is the maximal time of existence, then one of the following holds. If  $T < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_t$  contracts to a codimension one limiting flow as  $t \rightarrow T$  or if  $T = \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_t$  converges to a smooth totally geodesic submanifold as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

Condition (1.1) is called the quartic pinching condition for  $\mathcal{M}$ . The quartic pinching condition is sharp, since  $|A|^4 - \left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\right)^2 - (4n - 16) = \frac{4((n-2)^2-1)}{(n-2)^2} \cdot \frac{s^4}{r^4}$ , by considering the submanifold  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^2(r) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}(s) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+m}(1)$ , with  $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ . Also, it satisfies  $\sqrt{\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n - 16)\bar{K}^2} > \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}$ . The latter indicates that the term involving  $\bar{K}$  becomes much more influential when considered in the quartic pinching condition than in the quadratic pinching condition. This shows that  $\bar{K}$  has an improved proportional impact in the quartic pinching condition.

For the case of finite time, the statement is proven by a blow up argument using gradient estimates on the second fundamental form and a cylindrical type estimate. For the case of infinite time, the statement is proven directly using the maximum principle, without using Stampacchia iteration or integral analysis that is used in [2], [3], [10], [16] and [26].

Nguyen in [23] developed a surgery construction allowing high codimension mean curvature flow with cylindrical pinching to pass through singularities. This generalised the codimension one result of [12] (see also [7]) to high codimension. A key aspect of this surgery procedure is the codimension estimate presented in [20], which shows that near regions of high curvature, singularities become approximately codimension one. Another crucial component is the cylindrical estimate, which shows that nears regions of high curvature, the submanifold becomes approximately cylindrical of the form  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ . These estimates are essential for the surgery to work and allow us to control the geometry of the submanifold in regions of high curvature.

This paper is part of a series of papers, aiming to extend Nguyen's result on high codimension mean curvature flow with surgery in Euclidean space to Riemannian manifolds.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we give all the technical tools needed for our work and set up our notation. In section 3, we give the proof for the preservation of the quartic pinching condition along the mean curvature flow. In section 4, we prove the gradient estimates. In section 5, we prove the codimension estimate, the cylindrical estimate and give a full classification for the singularity models of quartically pinched solutions in high codimension mean curvature flow. Finally, in section 6, we prove that the submanifold converges smoothly to a totally geodesic limit in infinite time.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank her PhD supervisor, Dr Huy The Nguyen, for many invaluable discussions and for his guidance in this work.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section presents the necessary preliminary results and establishes our notation. We adopt the following convention for indices:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n, \quad 1 \leq a, b, c, \dots \leq n + m \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \leq m.$$

For any  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , denote by  $N_p\mathcal{M}$  the normal space of  $\mathcal{M}$  at point  $p$ , which is the orthogonal complement of  $T_p\mathcal{M}$  in  $F^*T_{F(p)}\mathcal{N}$ . We choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}$  of  $T_p\mathcal{M}_t$  and  $\{\nu_\alpha\}$  for the normal bundle  $N_p\mathcal{M}_t$ , where

$$\nu_1 := \frac{H}{|H|}$$

is the principal normal direction. This is well defined, since this is used for section 5, where  $|H| \neq 0$ .

We denote by  $A$  to be the normal vector valued second fundamental form tensor and denote by  $H$  the mean curvature vector which is the trace of the second fundamental form given by  $H^\alpha = \text{tr}_g A^\alpha = \sum_i A_{ii}^\alpha$ . The tracefree second fundamental form  $\mathring{A}$  is defined by  $\mathring{A} = A - \frac{1}{n}Hg$ , whose components are given by  $\mathring{A}_{ij}^\alpha = A_{ij}^\alpha - \frac{1}{n}H^\alpha g_{ij}$ . Obviously, we have  $\sum_i \mathring{A}_{ii}^\alpha = 0$ . In particular, the squared length satisfies

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 = |A|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2.$$

We denote by  $A^-$  the second fundamental form tensor orthogonal to the principal direction and  $h$  to be the tensor valued second fundamental form in the principal direction, that is

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle}{|H|}.$$

Therefore, we have  $A = A^- + h\nu_1$  and  $|A|^2 = |A^-|^2 + |h|^2$ . Also,  $A_{ij}^+ = \langle A_{ij}, \nu_1 \rangle \nu_1$ . We denote  $\mathring{h}$  to be the traceless part of the second fundamental form in the principal direction. Let  $R^\perp$  denote the normal curvature tensor. Given a connection  $\nabla$  on  $A$ , from the definition of  $A^-$ , it is natural to define the connection  $\hat{\nabla}^\perp$  acting on  $A^-$ , by

$$\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- := \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^- - \langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle \nu_1.$$

The traceless second fundamental form can be rewritten as  $\mathring{A} = \sum_\alpha \mathring{A}^\alpha \nu_\alpha$ , where

$$\begin{cases} H^+ = \text{tr} A^+ = |H|, & \alpha = 1 \\ H^\alpha = \text{tr} A^\alpha = 0, & \alpha \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \mathring{A}^+ = A^+ - \frac{|H|}{n}Id, & \alpha = 1 \\ \mathring{A}^- = A^\alpha, & \alpha \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

When using a basis of this kind, we adopt the following notation:

$$|h|^2 := |A^+|^2, \quad |\mathring{h}|^2 := |\mathring{A}^+|^2, \quad |A^-|^2 = |\mathring{A}^-|^2 := \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} |\mathring{A}^\alpha|^2.$$

Let  $R_{ijkl} = g(R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l)$ ,  $\bar{R}_{abcd} = \langle \bar{R}(e_a, e_b)e_c, e_d \rangle$  and  $R_{ij\alpha\beta}^\perp = \langle R^\perp(e_i, e_j)\nu_\alpha, \nu_\beta \rangle$ . In higher codimension, the Ricci equation can be written as follows.

$$R_{ij\alpha\beta}^\perp = \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta} + \sum_k \left( A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jp}^\beta - A_{ip}^\beta A_{jp}^\alpha \right). \quad (2.1)$$

**Proposition 2.1** ([2], Section 3). *With the summation convention, the evolution equations of  $A_{ij}$  and  $H$  are*

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)A_{ij} &= \sum_{p,q,\beta} A_{ij}^\beta A_{pq}^\beta A_{pq} + \sum_{p,q,\beta} A_{iq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pj} + \sum_{p,q,\beta} A_{jq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pi} - 2 \sum_{p,q,\beta} A_{ip}^\beta A_{jq}^\beta A_{pq} \\ &\quad + 2\bar{K}H g_{ij} - n\bar{K}A_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)H = \sum_{p,q,\beta} H^\beta A_{pq}^\beta A_{pq} + n\bar{K}H. \quad (2.3)$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([3], Section 5.1). *Let us consider a family of immersions  $F: \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}$  moving by mean curvature flow. Then, we have the following evolution equations*

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)|A|^2 = -2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|\langle A, A \rangle|^2 + 2|R^\perp|^2 + 4\bar{K}|H|^2 - 2n\bar{K}|A|^2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)|H|^2 = -2|\nabla H|^2 + 2|\langle A, H \rangle|^2 + 2n\bar{K}|H|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

By Berger's inequality in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{R}_{acbc}| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(K_1 + K_2), \quad \text{for } a \neq b, \\ |\bar{R}_{abcd}| &\leq \frac{2}{3}(K_1 + K_2), \quad \text{for all distinct indices } a, b, c, d. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([18], Lemma 3.1). *For any  $\eta > 0$  we have the following inequality.*

$$|\nabla^\perp A|^2 \geq \left( \frac{3}{n+2} - \eta \right) |\nabla^\perp H|^2 - \frac{2}{n+2} \left( \frac{2}{n+2} \eta^{-1} - \frac{n}{n-1} \right) |w|^2,$$

where  $w = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} \bar{R}_{\alpha j i j} e_i \otimes \omega_\alpha$ .

Following Naff in [20], we have the following decomposition. Recalling that  $A_{jk}^-$  is traceless, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} |\nabla_i h_{jk} + \langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} |\nabla_i \mathring{h}_{jk} + \langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla |H||^2, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} |\nabla_i \mathring{h}_{jk} + \langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + \mathring{h}_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

**Proposition 2.4** ([20], Proposition 2.2).

$$|\nabla^\perp A|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle + \nabla_i h_{jk}|^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$|\nabla^\perp H|^2 = |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + |\nabla |H||^2, \quad (2.11)$$

$$|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 = |\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 + |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2. \quad (2.12)$$

It is very useful to consider the implications of the Codazzi equation for the decomposition of  $\nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}$  above. Projecting the Codazzi equation onto  $E_1$  and  $\hat{E}$  implies the both of the tensors

$$\nabla_i h_{jk} + \langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1$$

are symmetric in  $i, j, k$ . Consequently, it is equivalent to trace over  $j, k$  or trace over  $i, k$ , and this implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\nabla_k h_{ik} + \langle \nabla_k^\perp A_{ik}^-, \nu_1 \rangle) = \nabla_i |H|, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{\nabla}_k^\perp A_{ik}^- + h_{ik} \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1) = |H| \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1. \quad (2.14)$$

As in Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$\frac{3}{n+2} |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Now expanding the right-hand side of both inequalities above using (2.7) and (2.9), recalling (2.8) and noting that  $\frac{3}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}$ , we arrive at the estimates

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} \|\nabla |H|\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + \mathring{h}_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2. \quad (2.17)$$

We follow the notation from [12]. Given  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $r > 0$ , we let  $\mathcal{B}_{g(t)}(p, r) \subset \mathcal{M}$  be the closed ball of radius  $r$  around  $p$  with respect to the metric  $g(t)$ . In addition, if  $t, \theta$  are such that  $0 \leq t - \theta < t \leq T$ , we set

$$\mathcal{P}(p, t, r, \theta) = \{(q, s) : q \in \mathcal{B}_{g(t)}(p, r), s \in [t - \theta, t]\}, \quad (2.18)$$

Such a set will be called a (backward) parabolic neighbourhood of  $(p, t)$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([2], [3]).

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) |\mathring{A}|^2 = -2|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + 2R_1 - \frac{2}{n} R_2 - 2n\bar{K} |\mathring{A}|^2, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left( \sum_p \left( A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jp}^\beta - A_{jp}^\alpha A_{ip}^\beta \right) \right)^2, \\ R_2 &= \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_\alpha H^\alpha A_{ij}^\alpha \right)^2 = |h|^2 |H|^2 = |A|^2 |H|^2 - P_2 |H|^2, \\ P_2 &= \sum_{\alpha > 1} \left( \mathring{h}_{ij}^\alpha \right)^2 = |\mathring{A}^-|^2 = |A^-|^2. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Preservation of the quartic pinching condition

In this section, we show the preservation of the quartic pinching under the mean curvature flow. Denote

$$a(x) := \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}, \quad \mathring{a}(x) = a(x) - \frac{x}{n}, \quad (3.1)$$

By direct computations, we get

$$\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K} < a(x) < \frac{x}{n-2} + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathring{a}'(x) = \frac{\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}}{(n-2)\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n(n-2)}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathring{a}''(x) = \frac{(4n-16)\bar{K}^2}{(n-2)^2 \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}\right)^3}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Lemma 3.1** (cf. [26]). *For  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\mathring{a}$  has the following properties.*

- (i)  $\frac{4x(\mathring{a}')^2}{\mathring{a}} < 1$ ,
- (ii)  $2x\mathring{a}'' + \mathring{a}' < \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}$ ,
- (iii)  $n\bar{K}(\mathring{a} + x\mathring{a}') - a(\mathring{a} - x\mathring{a}') \geq \frac{8n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^4}{(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n(n-2)}\bar{K}})^2}$ ,
- (iv)  $2\mathring{a} - \frac{x}{n} + x\mathring{a}' \leq 2\sqrt{4n}\bar{K} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)} - \frac{6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x\bar{K}}{3x+2\sqrt{4n(n-2)}\bar{K}}$ ,
- (v)  $\frac{x}{n-2}(a + n\bar{K}) - \left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}\right)(\mathring{a} + a - n\bar{K} - x\mathring{a}') < -\frac{2x\bar{K}}{n-2}(2n\sqrt{n} - n + 2) + 4n\sqrt{n}(n-8)\bar{K}^2$ .

*Proof.* i) From (3.3), we have

$$\frac{4x(\mathring{a}')^2}{\mathring{a}} < \frac{2x}{n(n-2)\mathring{a}} \frac{8}{n(n-2)} < 1.$$

ii) Using (3.3), (3.4) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2x\mathring{a}'' + \mathring{a}' &= \frac{4x(4n-16)\bar{K}^2}{(n-2)^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}} \\ &< \frac{2x(4n-16)\bar{K}^2}{(n-2)^2 \left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right) \left(\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2\right)} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2(4n-16)\bar{K}^2}{\frac{x^2}{n-2} + 12x\bar{K} + \frac{16n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^3}{x} + 4(n+8)(n-2)\bar{K}^2} \\
&< \frac{4(2n-8)\bar{K}^2}{\frac{x^2}{n-2} + 4\sqrt{48n}(n-2)\bar{K}^2 + 4(n+8)(n-2)\bar{K}^2} \\
&< \frac{4(2n-8)\bar{K}^2}{4\sqrt{48n}(n-2)\bar{K}^2 + 4(n+8)(n-2)\bar{K}^2} \\
&= \frac{2(n-4)}{(\sqrt{48n} + n + 8)(n-2)} \\
&< \frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)(n+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$2x\ddot{a}'' + \dot{a}' < \frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} + \frac{2}{n(n-2)} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}.$$

iii) By a direct computation, we have that

$$-a(\dot{a} - x\dot{a}') = -\left(\frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 - (4n-16)\bar{K}^2 + \frac{\frac{x^2}{n-2} + 4x\bar{K}}{n-2} = -\frac{4x\bar{K}}{n-2} - 4n\bar{K}^2.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
n\bar{K}(\dot{a} + x\dot{a}') &= n\bar{K} \left( \frac{(n-2) \left( \left( \frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K} \right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2 \right) + \frac{x^2}{n-2} + 4x\bar{K}}{(n-2)\sqrt{\left( \frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K} \right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}} - \frac{2x}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{n-2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2 - \frac{x}{n}(n-2)\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{n-2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} - \frac{x(n-2)}{n} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two equations above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&n\bar{K}(\dot{a} + x\dot{a}') - a(\dot{a} - x\dot{a}') \\
&= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{n-2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} - \frac{x(n-2)}{n} - \frac{2x}{n} - 2(n-2)\bar{K} \right) \\
&= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{n-2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} - x - 2(n-2)\bar{K} \right) \\
&= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{a^2} a - (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})a^2(n-2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{(x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)a + (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})a^2(n-2)} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Working solely on the numerator and using (3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2 \right)^2 - (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})^2 a^2 (n-2)^2 \\ &= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2 \right)^2 \\ & \quad - (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})^2 (x^2 + 8x\bar{K}(n-2) + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2) \\ &= \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( (8n-32)x(n-2)^3\bar{K}^3 + n(4n-16)(n-2)^4\bar{K}^4 \right) \\ &= 8n(n-4)(n-2)(2x + n(n-2)\bar{K})\bar{K}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2n\bar{K}}{(n-2)^2} \left( \frac{x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{(x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)a + (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})a^2(n-2)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{8n(n-4)(n-2)(2x + n(n-2)\bar{K})\bar{K}^4}{(x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)a + (x + 2(n-2)\bar{K})a^2(n-2)} \\ &\geq \frac{8n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^4}{(x + \sqrt{8 + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\bar{K})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & x^3 + \left( 4\sqrt{8 + 2\sqrt{4n}} + n - 10 \right) x^2(n-2)\bar{K} + 2 \left( 2\sqrt{4n} + n\sqrt{8 + 2\sqrt{4n}} - 2n - 8 \right) x(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2 \\ & + \left( 2\sqrt{4n} - 8 \right) n(n-2)^3\bar{K}^3 + 16x + 8n(n-2)\bar{K} \\ & \geq (n-2)a(x^2 + 6x(n-2)\bar{K} + 2n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2). \end{aligned}$$

iv) By setting  $A = (n-2)a = \sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & 3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K} - \frac{3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} \\ &= \frac{(3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K})A - (3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)}{A} \\ &= \frac{(3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K})^2 A^2 - (3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)^2}{(3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K})A^2 + (3x + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)A}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Focusing solely on the numerator, we see that

$$(3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K})^2 A^2 - (3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)^2 = 12x^3(\sqrt{4n} - 4)(n-2)\bar{K}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} \left( \frac{n}{2} - 50 + 12\sqrt{4n} \right) x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2(12\sqrt{4n} - 48)x(n-2)\bar{K} \\
& \geq \left( 12(\sqrt{4n} - 4)(n-2)x\bar{K} \right) A^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K} - \frac{3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8x(n-2)\bar{K} + 4n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}} \\
& \geq \frac{(12(\sqrt{4n} - 4)(n-2)x\bar{K}) A}{(3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K})A + (3x + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2)} \geq \frac{6(\sqrt{4n} - 4)(n-2)x\bar{K}}{3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\dot{a} - \frac{x}{n} + x\dot{a}' & = \frac{3x^2 + 20(n-2)x\bar{K} + 8n(n-2)^2\bar{K}^2}{(n-2)A} - \frac{4x}{n} \\
& \leq 2\sqrt{4n}\bar{K} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)} - \frac{6(\sqrt{4n} - 4)x\bar{K}}{3x + 2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)\bar{K}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is equivalent to the last inequality in (3.9).

v) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x}{n-2}(a + n\bar{K}) - \left( \frac{x}{n-2} + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) (\dot{a} + a - n\bar{K} - x\dot{a}') \\
& = \frac{x}{n-2} (2n\bar{K} + x\dot{a}' - \dot{a}) + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (n\bar{K} + x\dot{a}' - \dot{a} - a).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x}{n-2} (2n\bar{K} + x\dot{a}' - \dot{a}) + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (n\bar{K} + x\dot{a}' - \dot{a} - a) \\
& < \frac{x}{n-2} \left( 2n\bar{K} + \frac{2x}{n(n-2)} - \left( \frac{2x}{n(n-2)} + 4\bar{K} \right) \right) \\
& + 4n\sqrt{n} \left( n\bar{K} + \frac{2x}{n(n-2)} - \left( \frac{2x}{n(n-2)} + 4\bar{K} \right) - \left( \frac{x}{n-2} + 4\bar{K} \right) \right) \\
& = \frac{x}{n-2} (2n\bar{K} - 4\bar{K}) + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \left( n\bar{K} - 8\bar{K} - \frac{x}{n-2} \right) \\
& = -\frac{2x\bar{K}}{n-2} (2n\sqrt{n} - n + 2) + 4n\sqrt{n}(n-8)\bar{K}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.2.** *For  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 8$ , the following inequality holds.*

$$a(\dot{a} - x\dot{a}') - n\bar{K}(\dot{a} + x\dot{a}') + P_2 \left( 2\dot{a} - \frac{x}{n} + x\dot{a}' \right) - \frac{3}{2}P_2^2 < 0.$$

*Proof.* We assume  $\bar{K} = 1$ , without loss of generality. The discriminant of the binomial satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \left(2\bar{a} - \frac{x}{n} + x\bar{a}'\right) - \sqrt{6(n(\bar{a} + x\bar{a}') - a(\bar{a} - x\bar{a}'))} \\ &= 2\sqrt{4n} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)} - \frac{6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x}{3x+2\sqrt{2n}(n-2)} - \frac{4\sqrt{n}(n-2)\sqrt{3(n-4)}}{x+\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}},\end{aligned}$$

using (iii) and (iv) from Lemma 3.1. We will prove that the above discriminant is negative. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\right) \left(2\sqrt{4n} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)} - \frac{6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x}{3x+2\sqrt{2n}(n-2)}\right) \\ &= \left(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\right) \left(2\sqrt{4n} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)}\right) \\ &\quad - \left(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\right) \frac{6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x}{3x+2\sqrt{2n}(n-2)} \\ &< \left(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\right) \left(2\sqrt{4n} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)}\right) - 6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x \frac{\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}}}{2\sqrt{4n}}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

We need to show that for the last line of (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(x + \sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\right) \left(2\sqrt{4n} - \frac{(n-8)x}{n(n-2)}\right) - 6(\sqrt{4n}-4)x \frac{\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}}}{2\sqrt{4n}} \\ &< 4\sqrt{n}(n-2)\sqrt{3(n-4)}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.11)$$

The inequality (3.11) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{n-8}{n(n-2)}x^2 + \left(2\sqrt{4n} - \left(4 - \frac{8}{n} - 3\sqrt{\frac{4}{n}}\right)\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}}\right)x \\ &< 2\sqrt{n}(n-2)\left(\sqrt{12(n-4)} - \sqrt{32+8\sqrt{4n}}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.12)$$

For  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 8$ , we will prove that the discriminant of (3.12) is negative. It is enough to show that

$$2\sqrt{4n} - \left(4 - \frac{8}{n} - 3\sqrt{\frac{4}{n}}\right)\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}} - \sqrt{\frac{8(n-8)}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{12(n-4)} - \sqrt{32+8\sqrt{4n}}\right)} < 0.\quad (3.13)$$

We set  $g(n) = \frac{4 - \frac{8}{n} - 3\sqrt{\frac{4}{n}}}{2} = 2 - \frac{4}{n} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $h(n) = \frac{\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}}}{\sqrt{4n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}$ . From the monotonicity of  $g(n)$  and  $h(n)$ , for  $8 \leq n \leq 100$ , we have the following.

$$1 - g(n)h(n) = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} + \left(\frac{4}{n} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{100} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{100}}} + \left(\frac{4}{8} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{8}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{8} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}} \\ &= 1.52 = \sqrt{2.31}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $8 \leq n \leq 100$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{8(n-8)}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sqrt{12(n-4)} - \sqrt{32 + 8\sqrt{4n}} \right)}{(2\sqrt{4n})^2} = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{n} \right) \left( \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{n} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{32}{n} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{100} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{32}{100} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{100}}} \right) - \frac{4}{100} \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{8} \right)} + \frac{4}{8} \sqrt{\frac{32}{8} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{8}}} \\ &< 2.46 \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$2.31 < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{n} \right) \left( \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{n} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{32}{n} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) < 2.46. \quad (3.14)$$

In the same way,

$$1.249 < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{n} \right) \left( \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{n} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{32}{n} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) < 1.787, \text{ for } n \geq 101. \quad (3.15)$$

Set  $k_n = \begin{cases} 2.31, & 8 \leq n \leq 100, \\ 1.249, & n \geq 101. \end{cases}$  The following inequalities follow from (3.14) and (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - g(n)h(n) < \sqrt{k_n}, \\ &k_n < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{n} \right) \left( \sqrt{12 \left( 1 - \frac{4}{n} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{32}{n} + \frac{16}{\sqrt{n}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.13) is satisfied and this completes the proof.  $\square$

We denote  $\dot{a}'(|H|^2)$  and  $\dot{a}''(|H|^2)$  by  $\dot{a}'$  and  $\dot{a}''$ , respectively. Then the evolution equation of  $\dot{a}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{a} = \Delta \dot{a} + 2\dot{a}' \cdot (-|\nabla H|^2 + R_2 + n\bar{K}|H|^2) - \dot{a}'' \cdot |\nabla |H|^2|^2. \quad (3.16)$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}$ , set

$$\omega = \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}, \quad (3.17)$$

where from (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\omega > n\dot{a} > a.$$

In the following theorem, we prove the preservation of the quartic pinching condition under the mean curvature flow.

**Proposition 3.3** (cf.[26], Proposition 3.2). *Let  $F_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional, compact submanifold immersed in the sphere,  $n \geq 8, m \geq 2$ . Suppose there exists  $0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}$ , such that*

$$|A|^2 \leq a (|H|^2) - \varepsilon\omega. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, (3.18) holds along the mean curvature flow for every  $t \in [0, T)$ , where  $T \leq \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = |\dot{A}|^2 - \dot{a} + \varepsilon\omega$ . By (2.3), (2.19) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) f &= -2|\nabla \dot{A}|^2 + 2 \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) |\nabla H|^2 + \dot{a}'' |\nabla |H|^2|^2 + 2R_1 - \frac{2}{n}R_2 - 2n\bar{K}|\dot{A}|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) (R_2 + n\bar{K}|H|^2) \\ &\leq 2 \left( -\frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} + \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} + 2|H|^2\dot{a}'' \right) |\nabla H|^2 + 2|\dot{A}|^2 \left( |\dot{A}|^2 + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 - n\bar{K} \right) \\ &\quad + 2P_2 \left( 2|\dot{A}|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 - \frac{3}{2}P_2 \right) - 2 \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) \cdot |H|^2 \left( |\dot{A}|^2 + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 + n\bar{K} - P_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1 (ii), the coefficient of  $|\nabla H|^2$  is negative. Replacing  $|\dot{A}|^2$  with  $f + \dot{a} - \varepsilon\omega$ , the above formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) f &\leq 2f \left( 2\dot{a} + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 - n\bar{K} - \dot{a}'|H|^2 + 2P_2 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} - 2\omega \right) \right) + 2f^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left( a(\dot{a} - |H|^2\dot{a}') - n\bar{K}(\dot{a} + |H|^2\dot{a}') \right) \\ &\quad + 2P_2 \left( 2\dot{a} - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 + |H|^2\dot{a}' - \varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 2\omega \right) - \frac{3}{2}P_2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} \cdot (a + n\bar{K}) - \omega(a + \dot{a} - n\bar{K} - \dot{a}'|H|^2) \right) + 2\varepsilon^2\omega \left( \omega - \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1 (v) and the choice of  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} \cdot (a + n\bar{K}) - \omega(a + \dot{a} - n\bar{K} - \dot{a}'|H|^2) \right) + \varepsilon^2\omega \left( \omega - \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} \cdot (a + n\bar{K}) - \omega(a + \dot{a} - n\bar{K} - \dot{a}'|H|^2) + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}\varepsilon\omega \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< 2\varepsilon \left( 2n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}^2(n-8+4\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) - \frac{|H|^2\bar{K}}{n-2}(2n\sqrt{n}-n+2-2\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \right) \\
&< 2\varepsilon \left( 2n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}^2(n-4) - \frac{|H|^2\bar{K}}{n-2}(2n\sqrt{n}-n) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[5]{n}}$ . So, using Lemma 3.1 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\varepsilon \left( 2n\sqrt{n}\bar{K}^2(n-4) - \frac{|H|^2\bar{K}}{n-2}(2n\sqrt{n}-n) \right) &= 64\varepsilon n^2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \left( \frac{(n-4)\bar{K}}{16n} - \frac{|H|^2}{16n} \frac{2\sqrt{n}-1}{2\sqrt{n}(n-2)} \right) \\
&< 64\varepsilon n^2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \left( \frac{n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^3}{(|H|^2+4n(n-2)\bar{K})^2} - \frac{|H|^2}{16n} \frac{2\sqrt{n}-1}{2\sqrt{n}(n-2)} \right) \\
&< 64\varepsilon n^2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \left( \frac{n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^3}{(|H|^2+4n(n-2)\bar{K})^2} \right) \\
&< 8n^2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon \left( \frac{8n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^4}{(|H|^2+\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\bar{K})^2} \right). \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.19), Lemmas 3.1 (iii), 3.2 and the choice of  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(\dot{a}-|H|^2\dot{a}')-n\bar{K}(\dot{a}+|H|^2\dot{a}')+P_2\left(2\dot{a}-\frac{1}{n}|H|^2+|H|^2\dot{a}'-\varepsilon\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2}+2\omega\right)-\frac{3}{2}P_2\right) \\
&+\varepsilon\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2}(a+n\bar{K})-\omega(a+\dot{a}-n\bar{K}-\dot{a}'|H|^2)\right)+\varepsilon^2\omega\left(\omega-\frac{|H|^2}{n-2}\right) \\
&<a(\dot{a}-|H|^2\dot{a}')-n\bar{K}(\dot{a}+|H|^2\dot{a}')+P_2\left(2\dot{a}-\frac{1}{n}|H|^2+|H|^2\dot{a}'\right)-\frac{3}{2}P_2^2 \\
&+8n^2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon\left(\frac{8n(n-4)(n-2)^2\bar{K}^4}{(|H|^2+\sqrt{8+2\sqrt{4n}(n-2)}\bar{K})^2}\right) \\
&<0,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The proposition follows from the maximum principle.  $\square$

## 4 Gradient estimates

This section presents a proof of the gradient estimate for the mean curvature flow. We establish this estimate directly from the quartic curvature bound  $|A|^2 < a - \varepsilon\omega$ , without relying on the asymptotic cylindrical estimates. In fact, we demonstrate that the cylindrical estimates follow as a consequence of the gradient estimates we derive here.

The gradient estimates are pointwise estimates that rely solely on the mean curvature (or, equivalently, the second fundamental form) at a point and not on the maximum of curvature, as is the case with more general parabolic-type derivative estimates. The importance of these estimates is that they allow us to control the mean curvature and, hence, the full second fundamental form on a neighbourhood of fixed size.

**Theorem 4.1** (cf.[12], Section 6). *Let  $\mathcal{M}_t, t \in [0, T)$  be a closed  $n$ -dimensional, quadratically bounded solution to the mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ , that is*

$$|A|^2 - a + \varepsilon\omega < 0.$$

*Then, there exists a constant  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n, \mathcal{M}_0)$  and a constant  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(n, \mathcal{M}_0)$ , such that the flow satisfies the uniform estimate*

$$|\nabla A|^2 \leq \gamma_1 |A|^4 + \gamma_2,$$

for every  $t \in [0, T)$ .

*Proof.* We consider here the evolution equation for  $\frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2}$ , where  $g = a - |A|^2 - \varepsilon\omega > 0$ . Since  $|A|^2 - a < 0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is compact, there exists an  $\eta(\mathcal{M}_0) > 0, C_\eta(\mathcal{M}_0) > 0$ , so that

$$a(1 - \eta) - |A|^2 \geq C_\eta > 0.$$

Hence, we set  $g = a - |A|^2 \geq \eta a > \eta |A|^2 > \varepsilon_1 |A|^2 + \varepsilon_2$ , where  $\varepsilon_1 < \eta$  and  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ . From Proposition 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)g &= 2 \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} + 2|H|^2 \dot{a}'' \right) |\nabla H|^2 \right) - 2R_1 + \frac{2}{n}R_2 + 2n\bar{K}|A|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) (R_2 + n\bar{K}|H|^2) \\ &\geq 2 \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \left( \dot{a}' - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} + 2|H|^2 \dot{a}'' \right) |\nabla H|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 (ii), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)g &\geq 2 \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) |\nabla H|^2 \right) \\ &\geq 2 \left( 1 - \frac{n+2}{3} \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) \right) |\nabla A|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} |\nabla A|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where it remains positive for any  $n$ . The evolution equation for  $|\nabla A|^2$  is given by

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)|\nabla A|^2 \leq -2|\nabla^2 A|^2 + c|A|^2|\nabla A|^2 + d|\nabla A|^2.$$



If  $w, z$  satisfy the evolution equations  $\partial_t w = \Delta w + W$  and  $\partial_t z = \Delta z + Z$ , then we find

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{w}{z} = \frac{2}{z} \left\langle \nabla \left( \frac{w}{z} \right), \nabla z \right\rangle + \frac{W}{z} - \frac{w}{z^2} Z = 2 \frac{\langle \nabla w, \nabla z \rangle}{z^2} - 2 \frac{w |\nabla z|^2}{z^3} + \frac{W}{z} - \frac{w}{z^2} Z.$$

Furthermore, for any function  $g$ , we have by Kato's inequality

$$\langle \nabla g, \nabla |\nabla A|^2 \rangle \leq 2 |\nabla g| |\nabla^2 A| |\nabla A| \leq \frac{1}{g} |\nabla g|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + g |\nabla^2 A|^2.$$

We then get

$$-\frac{2}{g} |\nabla^2 A|^2 + \frac{2}{g} \left\langle \nabla g, \nabla \left( \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} \right) \right\rangle \leq -\frac{2}{g} |\nabla^2 A|^2 - \frac{2}{g^3} |\nabla g|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + \frac{2}{g^2} \langle \nabla g, \nabla |\nabla A|^2 \rangle \leq 0.$$

Then, if we let  $w = |\nabla A|^2$  and  $z = g$ , with  $W \leq -2 |\nabla^2 A|^2 + c |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + d |\nabla A|^2$  and  $Z \geq \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} |\nabla A|^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} &\leq \frac{2}{g} \left\langle \nabla g, \nabla \left( \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} \right) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{g} (-2 |\nabla^2 A|^2 + c |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + d |\nabla A|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} \frac{|\nabla A|^4}{g^2} \\ &\leq c |A|^2 \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} + d \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} - \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} \frac{|\nabla A|^4}{g^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We repeat the above computation with  $w = \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g}$ ,  $z = g$ ,

$$W \leq c |A|^2 \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} + d \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} - \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} \frac{|\nabla A|^4}{g^2}$$

and  $Z \geq 0$ , to get

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2} \leq \frac{2}{g} \left\langle \nabla g, \nabla \left( \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2} \right) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{g} \left( c |A|^2 \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} + d \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} - \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} \frac{|\nabla A|^4}{g^2} \right).$$

The nonlinearity then is

$$\frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2} \left( c |A|^2 + d - \frac{2(n+2)}{3n} \frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g} \right).$$

Since  $g > \varepsilon_1 |A|^2 + \varepsilon_2$ , there exists a constant  $N$ , such that

$$Ng \geq c |A|^2 + d.$$

Hence, by the maximum principle, there exists a constant (with  $\eta, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  chosen sufficiently small so that  $N$  is sufficiently large, this estimate holds at the initial time), such that

$$\frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2} \leq \frac{3nN}{2(n+2)}.$$

Therefore, we see there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C} = \frac{3nN}{2(n+2)} = \mathcal{C}(n, \mathcal{M}_0)$ , such that

$$\frac{|\nabla A|^2}{g^2} \leq \mathcal{C}$$

and from the definition of  $g$ , we get the result of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** ([24], Theorem 4.2). *Let  $\mathcal{M}_t, t \in [0, T)$  be a solution of the mean curvature flow. Then, there exist constants  $\gamma_3, \gamma_4$  depending only on the dimension, so that*

$$|\nabla^2 A|^2 \leq \gamma_3 |A|^6 + \gamma_4,$$

for any  $t \in [0, T)$ .

Higher order estimates on  $|\nabla^m A|$  for all  $m$  follow by an analogous method. Furthermore, we derive estimates on the time derivative of the second fundamental form, since

$$|\partial_t A| = |\Delta A + A * A * A| \leq C |\nabla^2 A| + C |A|^3 \leq c_1 |A|^3 + c_2.$$

## 5 Convergence for finite time

In this section, we use a blow up argument to prove a codimension and a cylindrical estimate. In particular, we show that in regions of high curvature, the submanifold becomes approximately codimension one, quantitatively, and is weakly convex and moves by translation or is a self shrinker.

We need the evolution equation of the quantity  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$ . Firstly, we separate the second fundamental form in the principal direction and the second fundamental form in the orthogonal direction and compute their evolution equations separately. Later, we find estimates for the reaction and gradient terms, as well as for the lower order terms. Since in the limit the background space is Euclidean, the result will follow from the maximum principle.

Lastly, we give a full classification for singularity models of quartically pinched solutions in high codimension mean curvature flow.

### 5.1 The evolution of $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$

Here, we compute the evolution equation of the quantity  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$ . In order to prove the codimension estimate, we need good estimates for the reaction terms in this equation. These are proven following Andrews-Baker. From (2.2) and (2.3), we have that the projection  $\langle A, H \rangle$  satisfies

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) A_{ij}^\alpha H^\alpha = -2 \sum_{p,\alpha} \nabla_p A_{ij}^\alpha \nabla_p H^\alpha + 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha,\beta} H^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta A_{pq}^\beta A_{pq}^\alpha$$

$$+ \sum_{p,q,\alpha,\beta} H^\alpha (A_{iq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pj}^\alpha + A_{jq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pi}^\alpha - 2A_{ip}^\beta A_{jq}^\beta A_{pq}^\alpha) + 2\bar{K}|H|^2 g_{ij}.$$

The first of the reaction terms can be split into a hypersurface and a codimension component, as follows:

$$2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha,\beta} H^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta A_{pq}^\beta A_{pq}^\alpha = 2|H||h|^2 h_{ij} + 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{ij}^\alpha A_{pq}^\alpha h_{pq}.$$

Similarly, the remaining reaction terms can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p,q,\alpha,\beta} H^\alpha (A_{iq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pj}^\alpha + A_{jq}^\beta A_{qp}^\beta A_{pi}^\alpha - 2A_{ip}^\beta A_{jq}^\beta A_{pq}^\alpha) &= \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pj} + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{jq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pi} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha h_{pq}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) A_{ij}^\alpha H^\alpha &= -2 \sum_{p,\alpha} \nabla_p A_{ij}^\alpha \nabla_p H^\alpha + 2|H||h|^2 h_{ij} + 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| h_{pq} (A_{ij}^\alpha A_{pq}^\alpha - A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pj} + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} |H| A_{jq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pi} + 2\bar{K}|H|^2 g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

For a positive function  $f$ , we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \sqrt{f} = \frac{1}{4f^{3/2}} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}} (\partial_t - \Delta) f,$$

hence the quantity  $\sqrt{f} = |H|$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) |H| &= \frac{1}{4|H|^3} |\nabla |H|^2|^2 + \frac{1}{2|H|} (-2|\nabla H|^2 + 2|\langle A, H \rangle|^2 + 2n\bar{K}|H|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|^3} \langle H, \nabla_i H \rangle \langle H, \nabla_i H \rangle - \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{|H|} + \frac{|\langle A, H \rangle|^2}{|H|} + n\bar{K}|H|. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the identities  $\frac{|\langle A, H \rangle|^2}{|H|} = |h|^2 |H|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|\nabla H|^2}{|H|} + \frac{1}{|H|^3} \langle H, \nabla_i H \rangle \langle H, \nabla_i H \rangle &= -\frac{|\nabla |H|^2|^2}{|H|} - |H| |\nabla \nu_1|^2 + \frac{1}{|H|} \langle \nu_1, \nabla_i H \rangle \langle \nu_1, \nabla_i H \rangle \\ &= -|H| |\nabla \nu_1|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) |H| = |h|^2 |H| + n\bar{K}|H| - |H| |\nabla \nu_1|^2.$$

For a tensor  $B_{ij}$  divided by a positive scalar function  $f$ , there holds

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{B_{ij}}{f} = \frac{1}{f} (\nabla_t - \Delta) B_{ij} - \frac{B_{ij}}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f + \frac{2}{f} \left\langle \nabla \frac{B_{ij}}{f}, \nabla f \right\rangle.$$

Therefore, dividing  $\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle$  by  $|H|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)h_{ij} &= |h|^2 h_{ij} + 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{pq} (A_{ij}^\alpha A_{pq}^\alpha - A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha) + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pj} + 2|H|^{-1} \langle \nabla h_{ij}, \nabla |H| \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} A_{jq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pi} + 2\bar{K}|H|g_{ij} - n\bar{K}h_{ij} - 2|H|^{-1} \langle \nabla A_{ij}, \nabla H \rangle + h_{ij} |\nabla \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We simplify the gradient terms by decomposing

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \langle \nabla A_{ij}, \nabla H \rangle &= -2 \langle \nabla h_{ij} \nu_1 + h_{ij} \nabla \nu_1 + \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H| \nu_1 + 2|H| \nabla \nu_1 \rangle \\ &= -2 \langle \nabla h_{ij}, \nabla |H| \rangle - 2|H| h_{ij} |\nabla \nu_1|^2 - 2 \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H| \nu_1 \rangle - 2|H| \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and so obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)h_{ij} &= |h|^2 h_{ij} + 2 \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{pq} (A_{ij}^\alpha A_{pq}^\alpha - A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha) + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pj} - 2 \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q,\alpha \geq 2} A_{jq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha h_{pi} + 2\bar{K}|H|g_{ij} - n\bar{K}h_{ij} - h_{ij} |\nabla \nu_1|^2 - 2|H|^{-1} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H| \nu_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|h|^2 &= 2 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} (\nabla_t - \Delta)h_{ij} - 2|\nabla h|^2 \\ &= 2|h|^4 + 4 \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - 4 \sum_{i,j,p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{ij} h_{pq} A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha + 4 \sum_{i,j,p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{ij} h_{pj} A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha \\ &\quad + 4\bar{K}|H|^2 - 2n\bar{K}|h|^2 - 2|\nabla h|^2 - 2|h|^2 |\nabla \nu_1|^2 - 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1} h_{ij} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H| \nu_1 \rangle \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and following Naff in [20], rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{ij} h_{pj} A_{iq}^\alpha A_{qp}^\alpha - 4 \sum_{i,j,p,q,\alpha \geq 2} h_{ij} h_{pq} A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jq}^\alpha &= 2 \sum_{i,j,p,q} \langle h_{ij} A_{iq}^- - h_{iq} A_{ij}^-, h_{pj} A_{pq}^- - h_{pq} A_{pj}^- \rangle \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip} A_{pj}^- - h_{jp} A_{pi}^-|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|h|^2 &= 2|h|^4 + 4 \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip} A_{pj}^- - h_{jp} A_{pi}^-|^2 + 4\bar{K}|H|^2 - 2n\bar{K}|h|^2 \\ &\quad - 2|\nabla h|^2 - 2|h|^2 |\nabla \nu_1|^2 - 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1} h_{ij} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H| \nu_1 \rangle - 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and since  $|A^-|^2 = |A|^2 - |h|^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2 &= 2|\langle A, A \rangle|^2 - 2|h|^4 - 4 \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij}A_{ij}^-|^2 + 2|R^\perp|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 \\ &\quad - 2n\bar{K}|A^-|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|\nabla h|^2 + 2|h|^2|\nabla \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1}h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H|\nu_1 \rangle + 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The reaction terms can be simplified by observing

$$2|\langle A, A \rangle|^2 - 2|h|^4 - 4 \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij}A_{ij}^-|^2 = 2|\langle A^-, A^- \rangle|^2$$

and (recalling the decomposition of  $R^\perp$  carried out above)

$$2|R^\perp|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 = 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |A_{ip}^- \otimes A_{pj}^- - A_{jp}^- \otimes A_{pi}^-|^2,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2 &= 2|\langle A^-, A^- \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |A_{ip}^- \otimes A_{pj}^- - A_{jp}^- \otimes A_{pi}^-|^2 \\ &\quad - 2n\bar{K}|A^-|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|\nabla h|^2 + 2|h|^2|\nabla \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1}h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H|\nu_1 \rangle + 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nabla A = \nabla h\nu_1 + h\nabla \nu_1 + \nabla A^-$ , we compute

$$2|\nabla A|^2 = 2|\nabla h|^2 + 2|h|^2|\nabla \nu_1|^2 + 2|\nabla A^-|^2 + 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla \nu_1 \rangle + 4 \sum_{i,j} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla h_{ij}\nu_1 \rangle$$

and so obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2 &= 2|\langle A^-, A^- \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |A_{ip}^- \otimes A_{pj}^- - A_{jp}^- \otimes A_{pi}^-|^2 \\ &\quad - 2n\bar{K}|A^-|^2 - 2|\nabla A^-|^2 - 4 \sum_{i,j} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla h_{ij}\nu_1 \rangle + 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1}h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H|\nu_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating  $\langle A_{ij}^-, \nu_1 \rangle = 0$ , we see the last two gradient terms may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &-4 \sum_{i,j} \langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla h_{ij}\nu_1 \rangle + 4 \sum_{i,j} |H|^{-1}h_{ij}\langle \nabla A_{ij}^-, \nabla |H|\nu_1 \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k} (4\nabla_k h_{ij} - 4|H|^{-1}h_{ij}\nabla_k |H|) \langle \nabla_k A_{ij}^-, \nu_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} (4\nabla_k h_{ij} - 4|H|^{-1}h_{ij}\nabla_k |H|) \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k \nu_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2 &= 2 \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{pi}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} |A_{ip}^- \otimes A_{pj}^- - A_{jp}^- \otimes A_{pi}^-|^2 \\ &\quad - 2n\bar{K}|A^-|^2 - 2|\nabla A^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} (4\nabla_k h_{ij} - 4|H|^{-1}h_{ij}\nabla_k|H|)\langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k \nu_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq f = -|A|^2 + a - \varepsilon\omega$ , from Proposition 3.3 and sending  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)f &= 2|\nabla^\perp A|^2 - 2\hat{a}'|\nabla H|^2 - \hat{a}''|\nabla|H|^2|^2 - 2R_1 + \frac{2}{n}R_2 + 2n\bar{K}|A|^2 + 2\hat{a}'(R_2 + n\bar{K}|H|^2) \\ &> 2(|\nabla A|^2 - (\hat{a}' + 2|H|^2\hat{a}'')|\nabla H|^2) - 2R_1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \hat{a}'\right)R_2 + 2n\bar{K}|A|^2 \\ &\geq 2\left(|\nabla A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}|\nabla H|^2\right) \\ &\quad + 2\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} + \hat{a}'\right)\sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

and so, from Lemma 3.1 (ii) and Kato inequality (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} &= \frac{1}{f}(\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2 - |A^-|^2\frac{1}{f^2}(\partial_t - \Delta)f + 2\left\langle \nabla\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla\log f \right\rangle \\ &< \frac{2}{f}\left(\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i,j,p} |h_{ip}A_{pj}^- - h_{jp}A_{ip}^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j,p} |A_{ip}^- \otimes A_{pj}^- - A_{jp}^- \otimes A_{ip}^-|^2 - n\bar{K}|A^-|^2\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{f}\left(-|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 + 2\sum_{i,j,k} (\nabla_k h_{ij} - |H|^{-1}h_{ij}\nabla_k|H|)\langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle\right) \\ &\quad - |A^-|^2\frac{2}{f^2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} + \hat{a}'\right)\sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2\right) \\ &\quad - |A^-|^2\frac{2}{f^2}\left(|\nabla A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}|\nabla H|^2\right) + 2\left\langle \nabla\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla\log f \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

## 5.2 Codimension estimate

The goal for this subsection is to prove Theorem 5.9. Using a series of lemmas, we derive a suitable estimate of the evolution equation of  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$  that was computed above, which is needed for the codimension estimate at the end of this subsection. Specifically, we prove the following.

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} < 2\left\langle \nabla\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla\log f \right\rangle - \delta\frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2}(\partial_t - \Delta)f + C\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} + C', \tag{5.2}$$

where  $C, C'$  depend on the background curvature  $\bar{R}$ , the dimension of the submanifold  $n$ ,  $\bar{K}$  and  $c_n$ , where  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$ .

We begin by estimating the reaction terms. In the following lemma, the first estimate is proven in [2], Section 3 and the second estimate is a matrix inequality, which is Lemma 3.3 in [17].

**Lemma 5.1.**

$$\sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 \leq 2|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)| |\mathring{h}| |A^-|, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}| |A^-|^2 \right). \quad (5.4)$$

*Proof.* Fix any point  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  and time  $t \in [0, T)$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis which identifies  $T_p \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  at time  $t$  and then choose  $\nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$  to be a basis of the orthogonal complement of principal normal  $\nu_1$  in  $N_p \mathcal{M}$  at time  $t$ . For each  $\beta \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , define a matrix  $A_\beta = \langle A, \nu_\beta \rangle$ , whose components are given by  $(A_\beta)_{ij} = A_{ij}^\beta$ .

Then  $A^- = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} A_\beta \nu_\beta$  and  $\mathring{h} = \langle \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle$ . To prove (5.3), let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\mathring{h}$ . Assume the orthonormal basis is an eigenbasis of  $\mathring{h}$ . We have

$$\sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i,j,p,q} \mathring{h}_{ij} \mathring{h}_{pq} A_{ij}^\beta A_{pq}^\beta = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} \mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^\beta \right)^2 = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_i \lambda_i A_{ii}^\beta \right)^2.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 \leq \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_i \lambda_j^2 \right) \left( \sum_i (A_{ii}^\beta)^2 \right) = |\mathring{h}|^2 \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_i (A_{ii}^\beta)^2. \quad (5.5)$$

Using

$$\sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 = \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} |\mathring{h}_{ik} A_{jk}^- - \mathring{h}_{jk} A_{ik}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} \langle \bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1), \mathring{h}_{ip} A_{jp}^- - \mathring{h}_{jp} A_{ip}^- \rangle$$

and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 &= \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i,j,k} \left( \mathring{h}_{ik} A_{jk}^\beta - \mathring{h}_{jk} A_{ik}^\beta \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} \langle \bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1), \mathring{h}_{ip} A_{jp}^- - \mathring{h}_{jp} A_{ip}^- \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (A_{ij}^\beta)^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} \langle \bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1), \mathring{h}_{ip} A_{jp}^- - \mathring{h}_{jp} A_{ip}^- \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (A_{ij}^\beta)^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} \langle \bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1), \mathring{h}_{ip} A_{jp}^- - \mathring{h}_{jp} A_{ip}^- \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \leq 2(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2) \leq 2|\mathring{h}|^2$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 \leq 2|\mathring{h}|^2 \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i \neq j} (A_{ij}^\beta)^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-|. \quad (5.6)$$

Summing (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 &\leq |\mathring{h}|^2 \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_i (A_{ii}^\beta)^2 + 2|\mathring{h}|^2 \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \sum_{i \neq j} (A_{ij}^\beta)^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 \\ &\quad + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \\ &\leq 2|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-|, \end{aligned}$$

which is (5.3). For  $\alpha, \beta \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , we define

$$S_{\alpha\beta} := \text{tr}(A_\alpha A_\beta) = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} A_{ij}^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad S_\alpha := |A_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} A_{ij}^\alpha A_{ij}^\alpha.$$

By letting  $S := S_2 + \dots + S_m = |A^-|^2$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j,p,q} \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} A_{ij}^\alpha A_{pq}^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta A_{pq}^\beta = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta \right) \left( \sum_{p,q} A_{pq}^\alpha A_{pq}^\beta \right) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} S_{\alpha\beta}^2.$$

In addition, we may write

$$|\hat{R}^\perp|^2 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( |A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,p} \langle \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}, A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jp}^\beta - A_{jp}^\alpha A_{ip}^\beta \rangle \right),$$

where  $(A_\alpha A_\beta)_{ij} = (A_\alpha)_{ik} (A_\beta)_{kj} = (A_\alpha)_{ik} (A_\beta)_{jk}$  denotes standard matrix multiplication and  $|\cdot|$  is the usual square norm of the matrix. We see that inequality (5.4) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} |A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} S^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 &\leq \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 2 \sum_p \langle \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}, A_{ip}^\alpha A_{jp}^\beta - A_{jp}^\alpha A_{ip}^\beta \rangle \right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2$ , inequality (5.4) is trivial since  $|\hat{R}^\perp|^2 = 0$  and  $\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 = |A^-|^4$ . If  $m \geq 3$ , inequality (5.7) follows Lemma 3.3 in [17]. This completes the proof.  $\square$



As an immediate consequence of the previous lemma, we have the following estimate.

**Lemma 5.2** (Upper bound for the reaction terms of  $(\partial_t - \Delta) |A^-|^2$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 &\leq \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4 |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}| |A^-|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2 |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4 |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)| |\mathring{h}| |A^-|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

Next, we express the reaction term in the evolution of  $f$  in terms of  $A^-$ ,  $\mathring{h}$ , and  $|H|$ . From (3.2), since  $f = -|A|^2 + a$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 &= |A|^2 = -f + a < -f + \frac{1}{n-2} |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \\ \iff \left( c_n - \frac{1}{n} \right) |H|^2 &= \frac{2}{n(n-2)} |H|^2 > |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |A|^2 = -f + a &> -f + \frac{1}{n-2} |H|^2 + 4\bar{K} \\ \iff \left( c_n - \frac{1}{n} \right) |H|^2 &= \frac{2}{n(n-2)} |H|^2 < |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 + f - 4\bar{K}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$ . In the following lemma, we get a lower bound for the reaction terms in the evolution of  $f$ .

**Lemma 5.3** (Lower bound for the reaction terms of  $(\partial_t - \Delta) f$ ).

For  $\frac{1}{n} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$  and  $n \geq 8$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \right) > \\ &- \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4 |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}| |A^-|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 8 |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)| |\mathring{h}| |A^-| \right) \\ &- \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{nc_n - 1} |A^-|^4 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

*Proof.* We do a computation that is similar to a computation in [2], except we do not throw away the pinching term  $f$ . By the following equations

$$|h|^2 = |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 &= |H|^2 |h|^2, \\
\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 &= |h|^4 + 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 + \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2, \\
2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 &= |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 = |R^\perp|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) |H|^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 \\
&- |\mathring{h}|^4 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 - \frac{2}{n} |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 - \frac{1}{n^2} |H|^4 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left( \left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) - \frac{1}{n} \right) |H|^4 + \left( \left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) - \frac{1}{n} \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 - |\mathring{h}|^4 \\
&- 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $|H| \rightarrow \infty$ , we can assume from (3.3), that  $\mathring{a}' = \frac{2}{n(n-2)} = c_n - \frac{1}{n}$  and so  $\left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) - \frac{1}{n} = \mathring{a}' = c_n - \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore, we can use (5.9) to substitute in for  $|H|^2$  and cancelling terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \\
&> \frac{1}{n} \left( |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) |H|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 \left( |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{n} |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 - |\mathring{h}|^4 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (f + |A^-|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |H|^2 + (f + |A^-|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\mathring{h}|^2 \\
&- 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.9) once more for the remaining factor of  $|H|^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}'\right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \\
&> \frac{1}{n} (f + |A^-|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) \left( c_n - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-1} (f + |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) + (f + |A^-|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\mathring{h}|^2 \\
&- 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij} A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} f(f + 2|A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 - 4\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) + f|\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{nc_n - 1}|A^-|^4 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1}|A^-|^2|\mathring{h}|^2 \\
&- \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}|\mathring{h}|^2 - \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}|A^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij}A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 \\
&- \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the two estimates in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij}A_{ij}^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 + \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 &\leq 4|\mathring{h}|^2|A^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 \\
&+ 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| + \frac{3}{2}|A^-|^4 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{nc_n - 1}|A^-|^4 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1}|A^-|^2|\mathring{h}|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\mathring{h}_{ij}A_{ij}^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 - |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 \\
&\geq \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) |A^-|^4 + \left( \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} - 4 \right) |\mathring{h}|^2|A^-|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 \\
&- 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| - \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$  and  $n \geq 8$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{nc_n - 1} - \frac{3}{2} \geq 0, \quad \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} - 4 \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 > \frac{2}{nc_n - 1} f|A^-|^2 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} f|\mathring{h}|^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} f^2 - \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) - \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} - 2 \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 \\
&- 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| - \sum_{\alpha,\beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$ , we have

$$\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> -\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \right) \\
&- \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{nc_n - 1} |A^-|^4 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 \\
&> -\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \right) \\
&- \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{nc_n - 1} |A^-|^4 + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4** (Reaction term estimate).

If  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i, j, p, q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 + \sum_{i, j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 < (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \sum_{i, j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 \right. \\
&- \sum_{i, j, p, q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i, j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \left. \right) + \left( 1 + (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) \\
&+ (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
&+ \left( 1 + (1 - \delta) \frac{2|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \right). \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In view of (5.8) and (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i, j, p, q} |\langle A_{ij}^-, A_{pq}^- \rangle|^2 + |\hat{R}^\perp|^2 + \sum_{i, j} |R_{ij}^\perp(\nu_1)|^2 - (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \sum_{i, j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 \right. \\
&- \sum_{i, j, p, q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i, j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \left. \right) \\
&< \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + 2|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 - \frac{2(1 - \delta)}{nc_n - 1} |A^-|^4 - (1 - \delta) \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) + \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 8|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \right) \\
& + (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
& = \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2(1 - \delta)}{nc_n - 1} \right) |A^-|^4 + \left( 2 - (1 - \delta) \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 \\
& + \left( 1 + (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}||A^-|^2 \right) \\
& + \left( 1 + (1 - \delta) \frac{2|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \left( \sum_{i, j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4|\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)||\mathring{h}||A^-| \right) \\
& + (1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$  and if  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2(1 - \delta)}{nc_n - 1} \leq \frac{3}{2} - 6(1 - \delta) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 - \frac{nc_n(1 - \delta)}{nc_n - 1} \leq 2 - 4(1 - \delta) \leq 0,$$

which gives (5.12).  $\square$

We will show that the rest of the gradient terms satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
4 \sum_{i, j, k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle & \leq 2|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 + 2(1 - \delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \left( |\nabla^\perp A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} |\nabla^\perp H|^2 \right) \\
& + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + (1 - \delta)(n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the gradient terms, we denote  $\tilde{c}_n = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}$ , where  $\frac{1}{n} < \tilde{c}_n \leq \frac{3}{n+2}$ .

**Lemma 5.5** (Lower bound for Bochner term of  $(\partial_t - \Delta)|A^-|^2$ ).

If  $\frac{1}{n} < \tilde{c}_n \leq \frac{3}{n+2}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ , then

$$2|\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 \geq \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} - 2 \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} (|A^-|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.$$

*Proof.* We begin by applying Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j, k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + \mathring{h}_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 & = |\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 + 2 \sum_{i, j, k} \langle \hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \mathring{h}_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1 \rangle + |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\
& \leq 2|\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 + 2|\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (5.9) by  $\frac{2(n-1)}{(n+2)(nc_n-1)}$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_n|H|^2 &> \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+2)(nc_n-1)} \left( f + |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\ &> \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} \left( f + |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right).\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.17), our observations give us that

$$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} \left( f + |A^-|^2 + |\mathring{h}|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \leq 2|\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 + 2|\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.$$

Subtracting the  $|\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2$  term on the right-hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} (f + |A^-|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} - 2 \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ \leq 2|\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which gives the estimate of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6** (Lower bound for Bochner term of  $(\partial_t - \Delta) f$ ).

If  $\frac{1}{n} < \tilde{c}_n \leq \frac{3}{n+2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}2\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (|\nabla^\perp A|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2) &\geq \frac{n+2}{n-1} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + (n-2) |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad - (n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla^\perp A|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle + \nabla_i h_{jk}|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla H|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that by (2.7), (2.8) and (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp A_{jk}^-, \nu_1 \rangle + \nabla_i h_{jk}|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla H|^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 - \frac{(n-4)}{n(n+2)} |\nabla H|^2 \\ &\geq \left( 1 - \frac{n-4}{2(n-1)} \right) \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{n+2}{2(n-1)} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.15) and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k} |\hat{\nabla}_i^\perp A_{jk}^- + h_{jk} \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 &\geq \left( \frac{3}{n+2} - \tilde{c}_n \right) |H|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{n-2}{2} (f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the three previous computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (|\nabla^\perp A|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2) &\geq \frac{n+2}{n-1} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + (n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{n+2}{n-1} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + (n-2) |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad + (2-n) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7** (Upper bound for gradient term of  $(\partial_t - \Delta) |A^-|^2$ ).

If  $\frac{1}{n} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle &\leq 2 |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \left( 2a_2 + 2a_3 \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_2} f |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_3} |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $Q_{ijk}$ , we get

$$|Q| \leq |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle| + |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle| + |H|^{-1} |\mathring{h}| |\nabla |H||. \quad (5.13)$$

It easily follows from the definition of  $f$  and (5.9) that

$$f \leq \left( c_n - \frac{1}{n} \right) |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} < \frac{2}{n(n-2)} |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}.$$

For  $|H| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $f < \frac{2}{n(n-2)} |H|^2$ . Consequently, using (2.16), we obtain

$$\frac{|A^-|^2}{|H|^2} |\nabla |H||^2 < \frac{n(n+2)}{2(n-1)} \frac{2}{n(n-2)} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 = \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2. \quad (5.14)$$

Then,

$$|\langle A^-, \nabla^\perp \nu_1 \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_i^\perp \nu_1 \rangle^2 \leq \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{\beta \geq 2} (A_{ij}^\beta)^2 \langle \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1, \nu_\beta \rangle^2$$

and (5.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle &\leq 4|Q| |\langle A^-, \nabla^\perp \nu_1 \rangle| \\ &\leq 4 \left( |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle| + |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle| + |H|^{-1} |\mathring{h}| |\nabla |H|| \right) |A^-| |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Now to each of these three summed terms above we apply Young's inequality with constants  $a_1, a_2, a_3 > 0$ . Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4|\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle| |A^-| |\nabla^\perp \nu_1| &\leq 2a_1 |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{a_1} |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2, \\ 4|\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle| |A^-| |\nabla^\perp \nu_1| &= 4|\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle| \frac{|A^-|}{\sqrt{f}} f^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1| \leq 2a_2 \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{a_2} f |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2, \\ 4|H|^{-1} |\mathring{h}| |\nabla |H|| |A^-| |\nabla^\perp \nu_1| &\leq 2a_3 \frac{|A^-|^2}{|H|^2} |\nabla |H||^2 + \frac{2}{a_3} |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\leq 2a_3 \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{a_3} |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note we used (5.14) in the last inequality. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle &\leq 2a_1 |\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \left( 2a_2 + 2a_3 \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{a_1} |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_2} f |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_3} |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and keeping  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  as they are for now, we get the desired result.  $\square$

Finally, combining the conclusions of Lemma 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7, we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.8** (Gradient term estimate).

If  $\frac{1}{n} < \tilde{c}_n \leq \frac{3}{n+2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{n^3 - 11n^2 + 24n + 4}{n^3 - 7n^2 + 8n + 4}$  and  $n \geq 6$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle &\leq 2|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 + 2(1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + (1-\delta)(n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* Expanding  $|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2$  using (2.12) and using the inequality of Lemma 5.5, gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 &= 2|\hat{\nabla}^\perp A^-|^2 + 2|\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \geq 2|\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} - 2 \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} (|A^-|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the result in Lemma 5.6 by  $(1-\delta)$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2) &\geq (1-\delta) \frac{n+2}{n-1} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1-\delta)(n-2) |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 - (1-\delta)(n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 + 2(1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} (|\nabla^\perp A^-|^2 - \tilde{c}_n |\nabla^\perp H|^2) &\geq 2|\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} - 2 \right) |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} (|A^-|^2 + f - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}) |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad + (1-\delta) \frac{n+2}{n-1} \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \sum_{i,j,k} |\langle \nabla_i^\perp \mathring{A}_{jk}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + 2(1-\delta)(n-2) |A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \\ &\quad - (1-\delta)(n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the first result of Lemma 5.7 gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{i,j,k} Q_{ijk} \langle A_{ij}^-, \nabla_k^\perp \nu_1 \rangle &\leq 2|\langle \nabla^\perp A^-, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 + \left( 2a_2 + 2a_3 \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + 2|A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_2} f |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 + \frac{2}{a_3} |\mathring{h}|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it only remains to compare the coefficients of like terms in the two inequalities above. For the term  $|A^-|^2 |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2$ , we have

$$2 \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} + 2(1-\delta)(n-2) \iff \delta \leq \frac{3n^2 - 5n - 10}{2(n-2)(n+2)}. \quad (5.15)$$

For  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$ , we need at least

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\alpha_3} &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} - 2 \iff \alpha_3 = \frac{2(n+2)}{(n-1)(n-2) - 2(n+2)}, \\ \frac{2}{\alpha_2} &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} \iff \alpha_2 = \frac{2(n+2)}{(n-1)(n-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these values for  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$ , for the coefficients of  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f} |\langle \nabla^\perp \mathring{A}, \nu_1 \rangle|^2$ , we need

$$\begin{aligned}
2a_2 + 2a_3 \frac{n+2}{(n-1)(n-2)} &\leq (1-\delta) \frac{n+2}{n-1} \\
\iff \frac{4(n+2)}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{4(n+2)^2}{((n-1)(n-2) - 2(n+2))(n-1)(n-2)} &\leq (1-\delta) \frac{n+2}{n-1} \\
\iff \frac{4((n-1)(n-2) - 2(n+2)) + 4(n+2)}{(n-2)((n-1)(n-2) - 2(n+2))} &\leq (1-\delta) \\
\iff \delta &\leq \frac{n^3 - 11n^2 + 24n + 4}{n^3 - 7n^2 + 8n + 4}. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Also, from (5.15) and (5.16), we see that

$$\frac{n^3 - 11n^2 + 24n + 4}{n^3 - 7n^2 + 8n + 4} \leq \frac{3n^2 - 5n - 10}{2(n-2)(n+2)} \iff n \geq 6.$$

All in all, we need  $n \geq 6$ , for all the inequalities to hold at the same time.  $\square$

Let  $\delta$  be sufficiently small so that each of our above calculations hold. We begin by splitting off the desired nonpositive term in the evolution equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} &= \frac{1}{f} (\partial_t - \Delta) |A^-|^2 - |A^-|^2 \frac{1}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f + 2 \left\langle \nabla \frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla \log f \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle \nabla \frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla \log f \right\rangle - \delta \frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f + \frac{1}{f} (\partial_t - \Delta) |A^-|^2 - (1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the previous calculations and (5.1), we have the following estimate.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{f} (\partial_t - \Delta) |A^-|^2 - (1-\delta) |A^-|^2 \frac{1}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f \\
&< \frac{2}{f} \left( 1 + (1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 2} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}|^2 + 4 |\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}| |A^-|^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{f} \left( 1 + (1-\delta) \frac{2|A^-|^2}{f} \right) \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)|^2 + 4 |\bar{R}_{ij}(\nu_1)| |\mathring{h}| |A^-| \right) \\
&+ 2(1-\delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2} \left( \frac{1}{nc_n - 1} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} (|A^-|^2 + 2f) + \frac{nc_n}{nc_n - 1} |\mathring{h}|^2 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{f} \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n+2} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \right) + (1-\delta) \frac{2}{f} \left( (n-2) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} |\nabla^\perp \nu_1|^2 \right) \\
&< C \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} + C',
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C, C'$  depend on the background curvature  $\bar{K}$ , the dimension of the submanifold  $n$ ,  $\bar{K}$  and  $c_n$ . Thus, according to our previous calculations, and using Young's inequality, we get (5.2), which was our initial claim:

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} < 2 \left\langle \nabla \frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla \log f \right\rangle - \delta \frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f + C \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} + C'.$$

**Theorem 5.9** (Codimension estimate, cf.[24], Theorem 5.12). *Let  $F : \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right)$  be a smooth solution to the mean curvature flow, so that  $F_0(p) = F(p, 0)$  is compact and quartically pinched, where  $n \geq 8, m \geq 2$ . Then,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists H_0 > 0$ , such that if  $f \geq H_0$ , then*

$$|A^-|^2 \leq \varepsilon f + C_\varepsilon,$$

$\forall t \in [0, T)$ , where  $C_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon(n, m)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is quartically bounded and from (3.2), there exist constants  $C, D$ , such that  $|A^-|^2 \leq Cf + D$ . Therefore, the above estimate holds for all  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{c_n}{\delta}$ . Indeed, from the (3.2), we have  $|A|^2 \leq a < c_n |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}$  and we can make a little bit more space so that

$$|A^-|^2 + |A^+|^2 = |A|^2 \leq (c_n - \delta) |H|^2 - C_\delta + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}$$

and therefore,

$$\delta |H|^2 \leq c_n |H|^2 - |A|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}.$$

But since  $|A^-|^2 \leq |A|^2 < c_n |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}$ , we have  $\frac{\delta |A^-|^2}{c_n} \leq \frac{\delta |A|^2}{c_n} < \delta |H|^2 + \frac{\delta}{c_n} 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}$ , so from (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{c_n} |A^-|^2 &< c_n |H|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{c_n}\right) 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K} - |A|^2 \\ &< -|A|^2 + a + \left( \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{c_n}\right) 2\sqrt{n} - 4 \right) \bar{K} \\ &= f + \left( \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{c_n}\right) 2\sqrt{n} - 4 \right) \bar{K}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$|A^-|^2 \leq \frac{c_n}{\delta} f + \frac{c_n}{\delta} \left( \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{c_n}\right) 2\sqrt{n} - 4 \right) \bar{K} \leq \varepsilon f + C_\varepsilon.$$

Hence, let  $\varepsilon_0$  denote the infimum of such  $\varepsilon$  for which the estimate is true and suppose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . We will prove the theorem by contradiction. Hence, let us assume that the conclusions of

the theorem are not true. That is, there exists a family of mean curvature flow  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  with points  $(p_k, t_k)$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A_k^-(p_k, t_k)|^2}{f_k(p_k, t_k)} = \varepsilon_0, \quad (5.17)$$

with  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $f_k(p_k, t_k) \rightarrow \infty$ . We perform a parabolic rescaling of  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  in such a way that  $f_k$  at  $(p_k, t_k)$  becomes 1. If we consider the exponential map  $\exp_{\bar{p}}: T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{S} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}$  and  $\gamma$  a geodesic, then for a vector  $v \in T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{S}$ , then

$$\exp_{\bar{p}}(v) = \gamma_{\bar{p}, \frac{v}{|v|}}(|v|), \quad \gamma'(0) = \frac{v}{|v|} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(0) = \bar{p} = F_k(p_k, t_k).$$

That is, if  $F_k$  is the parameterisation of the original flow  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$ , we let  $\hat{r}_k = \frac{1}{f_k(p_k, t_k)}$ , and we denote the rescaled flow by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_t^k$  and we define its parameterisation by

$$\overline{F}_k(p, \tau) = \exp_{F_k(p_k, t_k)}^{-1} \circ F_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k).$$

In the Riemannian case, when we change the metric after dilation, we do not need to multiply the immersion by the same constant as we would do in the Euclidean space. When we rescale the background space, we see that

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\hat{r}_k^2} g_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{K} = \hat{r}_k^2 K,$$

where  $\bar{K}$  is the sectional curvature of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ . In the same way,

$$|\bar{A}|^2 = \hat{r}_k^2 |A|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{H}|^2 = \hat{r}_k^2 |H|^2.$$

Since  $d_n$  depends on  $n$  and the sectional curvature  $\bar{K}$ , the new  $\bar{d}_n$  depends on  $n$  and  $\bar{K}$ . Hence,

$$\bar{d}_n = \hat{r}_k^2 d_n.$$

For  $\hat{r}_k \rightarrow 0$ , the background Riemannian manifold will converge to its tangent plane in a pointed  $C^{d, \gamma}$  Hölder topology [25]. Therefore, we can work on the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$  as we would work in a Euclidean space. For simplicity, we choose for every flow a local co-ordinate system centred at  $p_k$ . In these co-ordinates we can write 0 instead of  $p_k$ . Recall (2.18). The parabolic neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{P}^k(p_k, t_k, \hat{r}_k L, \hat{r}_k^2 \theta)$  in the original flow becomes  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^k(0, 0, L, \theta)$ . By construction, each rescaled flow satisfies

$$\overline{F}_k(0, 0) = 0, \quad \overline{f}_k(0, 0) = 1. \quad (5.18)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F}_k(0, 0) &= \exp_{F_k(0, 0)}^{-1} \circ F_k(0, \hat{r}_k^2 \cdot 0) = 0, \\ \overline{f}_k(p, \tau) &= -|\overline{A}_k(p, \tau)|^2 + \bar{a}_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -|\bar{A}_k(p, \tau)|^2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{|\bar{H}|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2} \\
&= \hat{r}_k^2 \left( -|A_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k)|^2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{|H_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k)|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2} \right) \\
&= \hat{r}_k^2 \left( -|A_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k)|^2 + a_k \right) \\
&= \hat{r}_k^2 f_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k).
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\bar{f}_k(0, 0) = \hat{r}_k^2 f_k(0, 0) = 1,$$

since  $\hat{r}_k(0, 0) = \frac{1}{f_k(0, 0)} = 1$  from the change of coordinates. The gradient estimates give us uniform bounds (depending only on the pinching constant) on  $|A_k|$  and its derivatives up to any order on a neighbourhood of the form  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^k(0, 0, d, d)$  for a suitable  $d > 0$ . From Theorem 4.1, we obtain gradient estimates on the second fundamental form in  $C^\infty$  on  $\bar{F}_k$ . Hence we can apply Arzela-Ascoli (via the Langer-Breuning compactness theorem [5] and [13]) and conclude there exists a subsequence converging in  $C^\infty$  to some limit flow which we denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\tau^\infty$ . We analyse the limit flow  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\tau^\infty$ . Note we have for the Weingarten map

$$[\bar{A}_k^-]_i^j(p, \tau) = \hat{r}_k [A_k^-]_i^j(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k),$$

so that

$$\frac{|\bar{A}_k^-(p, \tau)|^2}{\bar{f}_k(p, \tau)} = \frac{|A_k^-(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k)|^2}{f_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k)}.$$

From (5.17) and (5.18), we see

$$\frac{|\tilde{A}^-(0, 0)|^2}{\tilde{f}(0, 0)} = \varepsilon_0, \quad \tilde{f}(0, 0) = 1.$$

We claim

$$\frac{|\tilde{A}^-(p, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{f}(p, \tau)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\bar{A}_k^-(p, \tau)|^2}{\bar{f}_k(p, \tau)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0.$$

Since  $\tilde{f}(0, 0) = 1$ , it follows that  $|\tilde{f}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\infty(0, 0, r, r)$  for some  $r < d^\#$ . This is true since any point  $(p, \tau) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\tau^\infty$  is the limit of points  $(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k}) \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^k$  and for every  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$  if we let  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon, c_n) < d^\#$  then for large  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  is defined in

$$\mathcal{P}^k \left( p_{j_k}, t_{j_k}, \frac{1}{f_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})} \eta, \left( \frac{1}{f_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})} \right)^2 \eta \right),$$

which implies

$$\frac{|\overline{A}_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2}{\overline{f}_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0.$$

Hence the flow  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  has a space-time maximum  $\varepsilon_0$  for  $\frac{|\widetilde{A}^-(p, \tau)|^2}{\widetilde{f}(p, \tau)}$  at  $(0, 0)$ . The evolution equation for  $\frac{|A^-|^2}{f}$  is given by

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} \leq 2 \left\langle \nabla \frac{|A^-|^2}{f}, \nabla \log f \right\rangle - \delta \frac{|A^-|^2}{f^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) f + C \frac{|A^-|^2}{f} + C'.$$

But in the limit our background space is Euclidean, therefore the background curvature tensor is identically zero. So the evolution equation becomes

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}} \leq 2 \left\langle \nabla \frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}}, \nabla \log \widetilde{f} \right\rangle - \delta \frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}^2} (\partial_t - \Delta) \widetilde{f}.$$

Hence, since  $\frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}}$  attains a maximum  $\varepsilon_0$  at  $(0, 0)$  by the strong maximum principle, then there exists this constant  $\mathcal{C}$  depending up to  $n, \bar{K}$ , such that  $\frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}} = \mathcal{C}$ . Putting this into the evolution equation, we have

$$0 \leq -\delta \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\widetilde{f}} (\partial_t - \Delta) \widetilde{f} \leq 0,$$

which means that we get  $\mathcal{C} = 0$  and therefore,  $|\widetilde{A}^-| = 0$ . This implies

$$\frac{|\widetilde{A}^-|^2}{\widetilde{f}} = 0 \implies \varepsilon_0 = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\overline{A}_k(p_k, t_k)|^2}{\overline{f}_k(p_k, t_k)} = 0.$$

□

### 5.3 Cylindrical estimate

Here, we present estimates that demonstrate an improvement in curvature as we approach a singularity. These estimates play a critical role in the analysis of high curvature regions in geometric flows. In particular, in the high codimension setting, we prove that the pinching ratio  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2}$  approaches the ratio of the standard cylinder, which is  $\frac{1}{n-1}$ .

**Theorem 5.10** (Cylindrical estimate, cf.[12], cf.[24]). *Let  $F : \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right)$  be a smooth solution to the mean curvature flow, so that  $F_0(p) = F(p, 0)$  is compact and quartically pinched with constant  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$ , where  $n \geq 8$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists H_1 > 0$ , such that if  $f \geq H_1$ , then*

$$|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|H|^2 \leq \varepsilon|H|^2 + C_\varepsilon,$$

$\forall t \in [0, T)$ , where  $C_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon(n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows closely the proof of Theorem 5.9. From (3.2), we have

$$|A|^2 \leq a < \frac{1}{n-2}|H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}$$

and therefore, there exist constants  $C, D$  such that

$$|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|H|^2 \leq C|H|^2 + D.$$

Hence, let  $\varepsilon_0$  denote the infimum of such  $\varepsilon$ , for which the estimate is true and suppose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . We will prove the theorem by contradiction. Hence, let us assume that the conclusions of the theorem are not true. That is, there exists a family of mean curvature flow  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  with points  $(p_k, t_k)$ , such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(|A_k(p_k, t_k)|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|H_k(p_k, t_k)|^2)}{|H_k(p_k, t_k)|^2} = \varepsilon_0, \quad (5.19)$$

with  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $|H_k(p_k, t_k)|^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . We perform a parabolic rescaling of  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  exactly as in Theorem 5.9, which is in such a way that  $|H_k|^2$  at  $(p_k, t_k)$  becomes 1. If we consider the exponential map  $\exp_{\bar{p}} : T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{S} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}$  and  $\gamma$  a geodesic, then for a vector  $v \in T_{\bar{p}}\mathbb{S}$ , we have

$$\exp_{\bar{p}}(v) = \gamma_{\bar{p}, \frac{v}{|v|}}(|v|), \quad \gamma'(0) = \frac{v}{|v|} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(0) = \bar{p} = F_k(p_k, t_k).$$

That is, if  $F_k$  is the parameterisation of the original flow  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$ , we let  $\hat{r}_k = \frac{1}{|H_k(p_k, t_k)|^2}$ , and we denote the rescaled flow by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_t^k$  and we define its parameterisation by

$$\overline{F}_k(p, \tau) = \exp_{F_k(p_k, t_k)}^{-1} \circ F_k(p, \hat{r}_k^2 \tau + t_k).$$

For  $\hat{r}_k \rightarrow 0$ , the background Riemannian manifold will converge to its tangent plane in a pointed  $C^{d, \gamma}$  Hölder topology [25]. Therefore, we can work on the manifold  $\mathbb{S}$  as we would work in a Euclidean space. For simplicity, we choose for every flow a local co-ordinate system centred at  $p_k$ . In these co-ordinates we can write 0 instead of  $p_k$ . The parabolic neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{P}^k(p_k, t_k, \hat{r}_k L, \hat{r}_k^2 \theta)$  in the original flow becomes  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^k(0, 0, L, \theta)$ . By construction, each rescaled flow satisfies

$$\overline{F}_k(0, 0) = 0, \quad |\overline{H}_k(0, 0)|^2 = 1. \quad (5.20)$$

The gradient estimates give us uniform bounds (depending only on the pinching constant) on  $|A_k|$  and its derivatives up to any order on a neighbourhood of the form  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^k(0, 0, d, d)$  for a suitable  $d > 0$ . From Theorem (4.1), we obtain gradient estimates on the second fundamental form in  $C^\infty$  on  $\overline{F}_k$ . Hence we can apply Arzela-Ascoli (via the Langer-Breuning compactness theorem [5] and [13]) and conclude there exists a subsequence converging in  $C^\infty$  to some limit flow which we denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\tau^\infty$ . From (5.19) and (5.20), we see

$$\frac{|\widetilde{A}(0, 0)|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|\widetilde{H}(0, 0)|^2}{|\widetilde{H}(0, 0)|^2} = \varepsilon_0, \quad |\widetilde{H}(0, 0)|^2 = 1.$$

We claim

$$\frac{|\widetilde{A}(p, \tau)|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|\widetilde{H}(p, \tau)|^2}{|\widetilde{H}(p, \tau)|^2} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\overline{A}_k(p, \tau)|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|\overline{H}_k(p, \tau)|^2}{|\overline{H}_k(p, \tau)|^2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0.$$

Since  $|\widetilde{H}(0, 0)|^2 = 1$ , it follows that  $|\widetilde{H}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^\infty(0, 0, r, r)$  for some  $r < d^\#$ . This is true since any point  $(p, \tau) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\tau^\infty$  is the limit of points  $(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_t^k$  and for every  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$  if we let  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon, c_n) < d^\#$  then for large  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_t^k$  is defined in

$$\mathcal{P}^k \left( p_{j_k}, t_{j_k}, \frac{1}{|H_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2} \eta, \left( \frac{1}{|H_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2} \right)^2 \eta \right),$$

which implies

$$\frac{|\overline{A}_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|\overline{H}_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2}{|\overline{H}_k(p_{j_k}, t_{j_k})|^2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0.$$

Hence, the flow  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  has a space-time maximum  $\varepsilon_0$  for  $\frac{|\widetilde{A}(p, \tau)|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}|\widetilde{H}(p, \tau)|^2}{|\widetilde{H}(p, \tau)|^2}$  at  $(0, 0)$ , which implies that the flow  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^\infty$  has a space-time maximum  $\frac{1}{n-1} + \varepsilon_0$  for  $\frac{|\widetilde{A}(p, \tau)|^2}{|\widetilde{H}(p, \tau)|^2}$  at  $(0, 0)$ . Since the evolution equation for  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \partial_t - \Delta \right) \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} &= \frac{2}{|H|^2} \left\langle \nabla |H|^2, \nabla \left( \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} \right) \right\rangle - \frac{2}{|H|^2} \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} |\nabla H|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{|H|^2} \left( R_1 - \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} R_2 \right) + 4\bar{K} \left( 1 - n \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and knowing that  $\frac{3}{n+2}|\nabla H|^2 \leq |\nabla A|^2$  and  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} \leq c_n$ , we arrive at

$$-\frac{2}{|H|^2} \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} |\nabla H|^2 \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 4\bar{K} \left( 1 - n \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} \right) < 0.$$

Furthermore, if  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} = c < c_n$ , according to Lemma 2.3 in [23] and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$R_1 - \frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} R_2 = R_1 - cR_2$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{c - 1/n} |A^-|^2 f + \left(6 - \frac{2}{n(c - 1/n)}\right) |h|^2 |\mathring{A}^-|^2 + \left(3 - \frac{2}{n(c - 1/n)}\right) |\mathring{A}^-|^4 + 2f|A^+|^2 \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the strong maximum principle applies to the evolution equation of  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2}$  and shows that  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2}$  is constant. The evolution equation then shows  $|\nabla A|^2 = 0$ , that is the second fundamental form is parallel and that  $|A^-|^2 = |\mathring{A}^-|^2 = 0$ , that is the submanifold is codimension one. Finally, this shows locally  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^{n-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q$ , [15]. As  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} < c_n \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ , we can only have

$$\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R},$$

which gives  $\frac{|A|^2}{|H|^2} = \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1} \neq \frac{1}{n-1} + \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

## 6 Singularity models of quartically pinched solutions of mean curvature flow in higher codimension

In this subsection, we derive a corollary from Theorem 5.9, which provides information about the blow up models at the first singular time. Specifically, we show that these models can be classified up to homothety.

**Corollary 6.1** ([21, Corollary 1.4]). *Let  $n \geq 5$  and  $N > n$ . Let  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$  if  $n \geq 8$  and  $c_n = \frac{3(n+1)}{2n(n+2)}$  if  $n = 5, 6$ , or  $7$ . Consider a closed,  $n$ -dimensional solution to the mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  initially satisfying  $|H| > 0$  and  $|A|^2 < c_n |H|^2$ . At the first singular time, the only possible blow-up limits are codimension one shrinking round spheres, shrinking round cylinders and translating bowl solitons.*

According to Theorem 5.9 and Theorem 5.10, for  $F : \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ ,  $n \geq 8, m \geq 2$  a smooth solution to mean curvature flow, so that  $F_0(p) = F(p, 0)$  is compact and quartically pinched, with  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$ , then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists H_0, H_1 > 0$ , such that if  $f \geq \max\{H_0, H_1\}$ , then

$$|A^-|^2 \leq \varepsilon f + C_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |A|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} |H|^2 \leq \varepsilon |H|^2 + C_\varepsilon,$$

$\forall t \in [0, T)$ , where  $C_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon(n, m)$ . At the first singular time, the only possible blow-up limits are codimension one shrinking round spheres, shrinking round cylinders, and translating bowl solitons. Therefore, we can classify these blowup limits as follows.

**Corollary 6.2** (cf.[11], cf.[24], cf.[27]). *Let  $c_n = \frac{1}{n-2}$ . Suppose  $F_t : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ ,  $n \geq 8, m \geq 2$  is a smooth solution of the mean curvature flow, compact and quartically pinched with  $|H| > 0$ , on the maximal time interval  $[0, T)$ .*

1. If the singularity for  $t \rightarrow T$  is of type I, the only possible limiting flows under the rescaling procedure as in [11], are the homothetically shrinking solutions associated with  $\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .
2. If the singularity is of type II, then from Theorem 5.9, the only possible blow-up limits at the first singular time are codimension one shrinking round spheres, shrinking round cylinders, and translating bowl solitons.

## 7 Convergence for infinite time

In this section, we assume  $T = \infty$ . We primarily follow [27]. We prove a decay estimate on the traceless part of the second fundamental form, which proves that the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_t$  converges to a totally geodesic limit, as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

**Proposition 7.1** (Decay estimate, cf.[27]). *Let  $F : \mathcal{M}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+m} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right)$  be a smooth solution to the mean curvature flow, so that  $F_0(p) = F(p, 0)$  is compact and quartically pinched,  $n \geq 8$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(n, \bar{K})$ , depending only on the initial manifold  $M_0$ , such that*

$$\frac{|\dot{A}|^2}{f} \leq \mathcal{C} e^{-2(2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n))t},$$

for any  $0 \leq t < T = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following functions

$$Q := -\frac{f}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad q := \frac{1}{2} \left( |A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right),$$

where recall  $f := -|A|^2 + a - \varepsilon\omega$ , where  $a, \omega$  come from (3.1) and (3.17). In the case where  $H \neq 0$ , from (2.4), (2.5) and as we did in Proposition 3.3, the evolution equation of  $Q$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) Q &\leq - \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} |\nabla H|^2 \right) - n\bar{K}|A|^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} + \dot{a}' \right) \sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

At a point where  $H \neq 0$ , decomposing  $A$  into its irreducible components according to [2], [14], [17] and [20], we have

$$|A|^2 = |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 + |A^-|^2,$$

$$\sum_{i,j} |\langle A_{ij}, H \rangle|^2 = |\mathring{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^4,$$

$$\sum_{i,j,p,q} |\langle A_{ij}, A_{pq} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^\perp|^2 \leq 3|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) |A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |A^-|^2 |H|^2$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) Q &\leq 3|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 + \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) |A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |A^-|^2 |H|^2 - n\bar{K} |A|^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) |H|^2 - \left( |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} |\nabla H|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, from (3.2), (3.17), (5.9) and (5.10), we have

$$|A|^2 = 2Q + a - \varepsilon\omega < 2Q + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n), \quad (7.1)$$

$$|A|^2 = 2Q + a - \varepsilon\omega > 2Q + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} |H|^2 + 4\bar{K}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}). \quad (7.2)$$

Moreover, from (2.3), we have that the term  $|\nabla A|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} |\nabla H|^2$  is negative. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) Q &\leq 3|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 - \frac{1}{n} |A^-|^2 |H|^2 + \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) (2Q + a - \varepsilon\omega) \\ &\quad - n\bar{K} (|\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) - \bar{K} |H|^2 - \left( \frac{1}{n} + \mathring{a}' \right) \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) |H|^2 \\ &< 3|\mathring{h}|^2 |A^-|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^4 - \frac{1}{n} |A^-|^2 |H|^2 + \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) (2Q + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n)) \\ &\quad - n\bar{K} (|\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) + \left( \frac{2-n\varepsilon}{n(n-2)} - \mathring{a}' \right) |h|^2 |H|^2 \\ &= \left( 3|\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |A^-|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right) |A^-|^2 - n\bar{K} (|\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) + 2Q \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) + \left( \frac{2-n\varepsilon}{n(n-2)} - \mathring{a}' \right) |h|^2 |H|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (7.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \left( |\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 \right) \\ &\quad < 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \left( 2Q + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} |H|^2 + 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and substituting (7.2), we have

$$-n\bar{K} |A|^2 = -n\bar{K} (|\mathring{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) < -n\bar{K} \left( 2Q + \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) |H|^2 + 4\bar{K}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \right)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 \right) - n\bar{K}|\dot{A}|^2 \\
& < 2Q \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}(1-2\varepsilon n) - 1 \right) n\bar{K} + |H|^2 \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}(1-2\varepsilon n) \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) - \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) n\bar{K} \\
& + n^2\bar{K}^2 \left( \frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \right) \\
& < 2Q \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right) n\bar{K} + |H|^2 \left( \left( \frac{2-4\varepsilon n - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \right) n\bar{K} \\
& + n^2\bar{K}^2 \left( \frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, from (7.1), writing

$$\frac{1}{n}|H|^2 > \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 - 2Q - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right),$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3|\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|A^-|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \\
& < 3|\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|A^-|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 - 2Q - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right) - 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) \\
& = \left( 3 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \right) |\dot{h}|^2 + \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \right) |A^-|^2 + 2Q \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} - \left( 1 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \right) 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , the first term on the right hand side is non positive. Indeed,

$$3 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \leq 0 \iff n \geq \frac{8}{1+3\varepsilon}, \quad (7.3)$$

where for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we have  $4 < \frac{8}{1+3\varepsilon} < 8$ . Therefore, (7.3) holds for  $n \geq 5$ . Disregarding this term and putting things back together we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t - \Delta)Q & < \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \right) |A^-|^4 - \left( 1 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} \right) 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n)|A^-|^2 \\
& + n^2\bar{K}^2 \left( \frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \right) \\
& + 2Q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}|A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 + \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}(1-2\varepsilon n) \right) n\bar{K} \right) \\
& + |H|^2 \left( \left( \frac{2-4\varepsilon n - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \right) n\bar{K} + \left( \frac{2-\varepsilon n}{n(n-2)} - \dot{a}' \right) |h|^2 |H|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The term  $|H|^2 \left( \left( \frac{2-4\varepsilon n - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \right) n\bar{K}$  is negative. Indeed,

$$\left( \frac{2-4\varepsilon n - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-2} \right) + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{-2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}(2n\varepsilon-1) - 2 + n\varepsilon}{n(n-2)} < 0,$$

since  $0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}$ . Moreover, from (3.3), we note that  $\dot{a}' = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n}$ , where

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4\bar{K}\right)^2 + (4n-16)\bar{K}^2}} < 1.$$

Denoting  $\varepsilon_0 := 1 - \varepsilon$ , we see that  $\dot{a}' = \frac{2-\varepsilon n}{n(n-2)}$  and, hence, the term  $\left(\frac{2-\varepsilon n}{n(n-2)} - \dot{a}'\right) |h|^2 |H|^2$  is zero. Also, the discriminant of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) |A^-|^4 - \left(1 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) 2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n)|A^-|^2 \\ & + n^2\bar{K}^2 \left(\frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n})\right) \end{aligned}$$

is negative. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left(1 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right)^2 \frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) \left(\frac{4}{n}(1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n})\right) \\ &= \left(-5 + \frac{2(n-2)}{2-\varepsilon n} + \left(\frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right)^2\right) (1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - \left(6 - \frac{4(n-2)}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) ((1-2\varepsilon n)^2 - (1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n})) \\ &= \left(-5 + \frac{2(n-2)}{2-\varepsilon n} + \left(\frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right)^2\right) (1-2\varepsilon n)^2 + \left(6 - \frac{4(n-2)}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) (1-\varepsilon n\sqrt{n}) \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon < 1$  and any  $n \geq 0$ , so we can disregard these terms. Therefore, whenever  $H \neq 0$ , the evolution equation of  $Q$ , becomes

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) Q < 2Q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 + \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}(1-2\varepsilon n)\right) n\bar{K} \right).$$

For the evolution equation of  $q$ , we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) q < \left( 3|\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|A^-|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 \right) |A^-|^2 - n\bar{K}(|\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) + 2q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 \right).$$

Since  $|\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2 = |\dot{A}|^2 = 2q$ , we have

$$3|\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|A^-|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 = \left(3 - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) |\dot{h}|^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n}\right) |A^-|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|H|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} 2q.$$

The first three terms of the above equality are non-positive for any  $n \geq 8$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}$ , from (7.3). Moreover,

$$-n\bar{K}(|\dot{h}|^2 + |A^-|^2) = -n\bar{K}|\dot{A}|^2 = -n\bar{K}2q.$$

Also, using (2.3), we arrive at

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) q \leq 2q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 - n\bar{K} \right).$$

Finally, for the evolution equation of  $\frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{q}{Q}}{\frac{q}{Q}}$ , we have the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{q}{Q}}{\frac{q}{Q}} &= \frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) q}{q} - \frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) Q}{Q} + 2 \left\langle \nabla \log \frac{q}{Q}, \nabla \log Q \right\rangle \\ &< 2 \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 - n\bar{K} \right) + 2 \left\langle \nabla \log \frac{q}{Q}, \nabla \log Q \right\rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |H|^2 + \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} (1-2\varepsilon n) \right) n\bar{K} \right) \\ &< -2 (2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n)) + 2 \left\langle \nabla \log \frac{q}{Q}, \nabla \log Q \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n) > 0$ , for  $0 < \varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}$ . In the case of  $H = 0$ , in the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) Q &\leq 2Q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 + \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} (1-2\varepsilon n) \right) n\bar{K} \right), \\ (\partial_t - \Delta) q &\leq 2q \left( |\dot{h}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2-\varepsilon n} |A^-|^2 - n\bar{K} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the evolution equation of  $\frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{q}{Q}}{\frac{q}{Q}}$ , in the case where  $H = 0$ , we have the following computation

$$\frac{(\partial_t - \Delta) \frac{q}{Q}}{\frac{q}{Q}} \leq -2 (2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n)) + 2 \left\langle \nabla \log \frac{q}{Q}, \nabla \log Q \right\rangle,$$

which is the same evolution equation as in the case  $H \neq 0$ . Hence, by the strong maximum principle there exists this constant  $\mathcal{C}$  depending upon  $\varepsilon, n$  and  $\bar{K}$ , such that

$$\frac{q}{Q} \leq \mathcal{C} e^{-2(2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n))t},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Proposition 7.1 implies, that there exists  $\tau = \tau(n, \varepsilon)$ , such that the inequality  $|A|^2 - a(|H|^2) + \varepsilon \left( \frac{|H|^2}{n-2} + 4n\sqrt{n}\bar{K} \right) < 0$  holds for every  $t \in (0, T) \cap \left[ \tau (2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n))^{-1}, +\infty \right)$  on any solution initially satisfying (3.18). If  $T > \tau (2\sqrt{n}\bar{K}(1-2\varepsilon n))^{-1}$ , this means that at that time the solution satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 in [26]. Consequently, the solution either exists forever and converges to a totally geodesic submanifold as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , or else contracts to codimension one solution in finite time, from Theorem 5.9.

## References

- [1] Ben Andrews. Noncollapsing in mean-convex mean curvature flow. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(3):1413–1418, 2012.
- [2] Ben Andrews and Charles Baker. Mean curvature flow of pinched submanifolds to spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 85(3):357–395, 2010.
- [3] Charles Baker. The mean curvature flow of submanifolds of high codimension. Australian National University, 2011. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Australian National University.
- [4] Charles Baker and Huy The Nguyen. Evolving pinched submanifolds of the sphere by mean curvature flow. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 303:50, 2023.
- [5] Patrick Breuning. Immersions with bounded second fundamental form. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(2):1344–1386, 2015.
- [6] Samuel I. Goldberg. *Curvature and Homology*, Academic Press, London, 1962.
- [7] Robert Haslhofer and Bruce Kleiner. Mean curvature flow with surgery. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(9):1591–1626, 2017.
- [8] Gerhard Huisken. Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(1):237–266, 1984.
- [9] Gerhard Huisken. Contracting convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 84(3):463–480, 1986.
- [10] Gerhard Huisken. Deforming hypersurfaces of the sphere by their mean curvature. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 195, 205–219, 1987.
- [11] Gerhard Huisken and Carlo Sinestrari. Mean curvature flow singularities for mean convex surfaces. *Calc. Var. PDE*, 8(1):1–14, 1999.
- [12] Gerhard Huisken and Carlo Sinestrari. Mean curvature flow with surgeries of two-convex hypersurfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 175(1):137–221, 2009.
- [13] Joel Langer. A compactness theorem for surfaces with  $L_p$ -bounded second fundamental form. *Math. Ann.*, 270(2):223–234, 1985.
- [14] Mat Langford, Stephen Lynch and Huy The Nguyen. Quadratically pinched submanifolds of the sphere via mean curvature flow with surgery. Preprint, arXiv:2109.03651v1 [math.DG].
- [15] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 89:187–197, 1969.
- [16] Li Lei and Hongwei Xu. Mean curvature flow of arbitrary codimension in spheres and sharp differentiable sphere theorem. Preprint, arXiv:1506.06371v3 [math.DG].

- 
- [17] An-Min Li and Jimin Li. An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 58(6):582–594, 1992.
- [18] Kefeng Liu, Hongwei Xu, and Entao Zhao. Mean curvature flow of higher codimension in Riemannian manifolds. arXiv:1204.0107v1 [math.DG], 2012.
- [19] Stephen Lynch and Huy The Nguyen. Convexity estimates for high codimension mean curvature flow. Preprint, arXiv:2006.05227 [math.DG].
- [20] Keaton Naff. A planarity estimate for pinched solutions of mean curvature flow. *Duke Math. J.*, 171(2):443–482, 2022.
- [21] Keaton Naff. Singularity models of pinched solutions of mean curvature flow in higher codimension. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2023(794), 2023.
- [22] Huy The Nguyen. Cylindrical Estimates for High Codimension Mean Curvature Flow. arXiv:1805.11808v1, 2018.
- [23] Huy The Nguyen. High Codimension Mean Curvature Flow with Surgery. Preprint, arXiv:2004.07163v2 [math.DG].
- [24] Huy T. Nguyen and Artemis A. Vogiatzi. Singularity Models for High Codimension Mean Curvature Flow in Riemannian Manifolds. Preprint, arXiv:2303.00414v1 [math.DG].
- [25] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, third edition, 2016.
- [26] Dong Pu. A sharp convergence theorem for the mean curvature flow in the sphere. *Calc. Var. PDE*, 63:19, 2024.
- [27] Artemis A. Vogiatzi. Mean Curvature Flow of High Codimension in Complex Projective Space. Preprint, arXiv:2311.01407v2 [math.DG].
- [28] Brian White. The nature of singularities in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):123–138 (electronic), 2003.
- [29] Brian White. A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1487–1519, 2005.