

IReCa: Intrinsic Reward-enhanced Context-aware Reinforcement Learning for Human-AI Coordination

Xin Hao¹, Bahareh Nakisa¹, Mohammad Naim Rastgoo², Richard Dazeley¹

¹School of Information Technology, Deakin University, Victoria, Australia

²School of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
xin.hao@deakin.edu.au, bahar.nakisa@deakin.edu.au, naim.rastgoo@adelaide.edu.au, richard.dazeley@deakin.edu.au

Abstract

In human-AI coordination scenarios, human agents usually exhibit asymmetric behaviors that are significantly sparse and unpredictable compared to those of AI agents. These characteristics introduce two primary challenges to human-AI coordination: the effectiveness of obtaining sparse rewards and the efficiency of training the AI agents. To tackle these challenges, we propose an Intrinsic Reward-enhanced Context-aware (IReCa) reinforcement learning (RL) algorithm, which leverages intrinsic rewards to facilitate the acquisition of sparse rewards and utilizes environmental context to enhance training efficiency. Our IReCa RL algorithm introduces three unique features: (i) it encourages the exploration of sparse rewards by incorporating intrinsic rewards that supplement traditional extrinsic rewards from the environment; (ii) it improves the acquisition of sparse rewards by prioritizing the corresponding sparse state-action pairs; and (iii) it enhances the training efficiency by optimizing the exploration and exploitation through innovative context-aware weights of extrinsic and intrinsic rewards. Extensive simulations executed in the Overcooked layouts demonstrate that our IReCa RL algorithm can increase the accumulated rewards by approximately 20% and reduce the epochs required for convergence by approximately 67% compared to state-of-the-art baselines.

Introduction

In human-AI coordination scenarios, human agents and AI agents leverage each other's capabilities to achieve a shared goal collaboratively (Zhao et al. 2023; Sarkar et al. 2022). While significant progress has been made in scenarios involving multiple AI agents coordinating through reinforcement learning (RL) approaches that can interact with the environment smoothly (Vinyals et al. 2019; Berner et al. 2019; Silver et al. 2017), these approaches often assume that the coordinators are either optimal or similar to the ego AI agent itself (Carroll et al. 2019). This assumption can lead to distributional shifts when the well-trained ego AI agent is deployed alongside human coordinators (Zhao et al. 2023), as the ego AI agent has not encountered the asymmetric behaviors exhibited by human agents during their training phases.

Due to the asymmetric behaviors of human and AI agents and the dynamic nature of their interactions in the environment (Goecks et al. 2019), human-AI coordination scenarios

are inherently complicated (Ghosal et al. 2023; Zhao et al. 2020). These complexities can intensify the challenge of obtaining rewards, particularly in tricky environments where rewards are sparse. A common approach to mitigate the issue of sparse reward acquisition in RL is to encourage the AI agent to explore its environment more thoroughly (Jaques et al. 2019; Haarnoja et al. 2018). However, the ingrained trade-off between exploration and exploitation in RL (Sutton and Barto 2018; Mnih et al. 2016; Rashid et al. 2020) introduces a second challenge: the inefficiency of training. While augmenting exploration can improve the acquisition of sparse rewards, it simultaneously reduces exploitation efficiency that is crucial for training efficiency (Lowe et al. 2017; Hadfield-Menell et al. 2016; Pathak et al. 2017), therefore problematizing the optimization of human-AI coordination.

To enhance the effectiveness of obtaining sparse rewards, we design innovative intrinsic rewards that facilitate thorough environmental exploration; whilst to improve the efficiency of the training process, we introduce context-aware weights to enhance training efficiency by optimizing the exploration and exploitation.

On the one hand, intrinsic rewards, unlike traditional extrinsic rewards obtained from the environment, are usually derived from agents' observations and can increase the likelihood of identifying sparse state-action pairs for obtaining sparse rewards. Typical intrinsic rewards, such as maximum entropy (Haarnoja et al. 2018) and causal influence (Jaques et al. 2019), enhance exploration by focusing on the actions of the AI agent and its coordinators. However, their effectiveness in sparse human-AI coordination is limited by the high diversity of human actions. While recent work (Zhao et al. 2023) introduces a general approach that doesn't rely on specific human data, the no free lunch theorems (Wolpert and Macready 1997) suggest that exploiting a specific subclass of problems is necessary for improved performance (Andrychowicz et al. 2016; Hao et al. 2023a,b). Incorporating a logarithmic term of the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the RL policy and the human policy as a regularization term has proven effective in enhancing accuracy in text summarization (Christiano et al. 2017; Stiennon et al. 2020). Motivated by this strategy, we propose designing intrinsic rewards tailored to highlight sparse state-action pairs in human-AI coordination, to effectively

facilitate the acquisition of sparse rewards.

On the other hand, to enhance the training efficiency of AI agents, we propose a novel approach that incorporates context-aware weights into the reward structure. This method dynamically balances exploration and exploitation by adjusting the focus on intrinsic and extrinsic rewards based on their relevant changes over time. Inspired by adaptive weighting in multi-task deep learning, which modifies task importance based on loss changes (Liu, Johns, and Davison 2019; Vaswani et al. 2017), our approach ensures that the IReCa RL algorithm effectively manages exploration with intrinsic rewards, reducing unnecessary exploration and thereby improving training efficiency.

To facilitate effective human-AI coordination with sparse reward signals and asymmetric behaviors of human and AI agents, we propose a novel *Intrinsic Reward-enhanced Context-aware (IReCa)* RL algorithm. The contributions of this work are summarized as follows:

- To improve the effectiveness of obtaining sparse rewards, we propose to incorporate intrinsic rewards, supplementing the traditional extrinsic reward, motivating the AI agent to explore the environment comprehensively, and avoiding the omission of any potentially existing sparse rewards.
- We design innovative intrinsic rewards to prioritize sparse state-action pairs associated with sparse rewards, the AI agent pays attention to these valuable parts of the environment.
- To enhance training efficiency, context-aware weights are introduced to optimize the exploration and exploitation by dynamically adjusting intrinsic and extrinsic rewards based on the changing context of the episode return, thereby improving the overall learning process.

Experimental results demonstrate that our IReCa RL algorithm outperforms state-of-the-art algorithms in both accumulated reward and convergence speed, mapping an innovative path for effective human-AI coordination.

Human-AI Coordination Scenario

One of the primary objectives in human-AI coordination is to achieve the shared goal through seamless collaboration between AI agents and human coordinators (Goecks et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2020). Our IReCa RL algorithm aims to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of human-AI coordination by enhancing the AI agent’s ability to adapt to human behaviors and dynamic environments.

Overcooked environment is a widely recognized benchmark for human-AI collaboration scenarios that present complicated cooking tasks within a limited time, making it ideal for studying coordination dynamics. We experiment with two Overcooked layouts (Carroll et al. 2019). The first layout, *Cramped Room*, poses challenges related to low-level coordination due to its confined space, which often leads to agent collisions. In contrast, the second layout, *Asymmetric Advantages*, necessitates high-level strategic planning as agents begin in distinct areas with access to multiple cooking stations.




Figure 1: The Intrinsic Reward-Enhanced Context-Aware (IReCa) RL algorithm for human-AI coordination. The AI agent is trained using our IReCa RL algorithm, which integrates extrinsic rewards, intrinsic rewards, and context-aware weights. Extrinsic rewards are derived from the environment, while intrinsic rewards are based on the actions of both the human and AI agents. Context-aware weights are adjusted according to the episode return. In this figure, AI rewards-related are highlighted in blue, human rewards-related in green, and environmental rewards-related in brown to facilitate interpretation.

In our experiments, we evaluate our IReCa designed for optimizing the AI agent’s policy and follow a commonly used approach for the human model in human-aligned RL research (Zhao et al. 2023; Carroll et al. 2019; Stiennon et al. 2020; Christiano et al. 2017). The human model is pre-trained from extensive human behavior data, which offers a scalable and practical alternative to real-time human interaction. By rigorously testing in the Overcooked human-AI coordination environment, we gain insights into the challenges and solutions for achieving effective and efficient human-AI coordination in real-world scenarios.

IReCa Reinforcement Learning

In this section, we first provide an overview of the IReCa RL algorithm. Subsequently, we clarify six key definitions integral to IReCa’s detailed design. Finally, we present the design specifics of our IReCa RL algorithm.

IReCa Overview

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the core concept of our approach lies in the design of the IReCa reward, which is composed of three key elements: (1) standard extrinsic rewards derived from interactions with the environment, (2) innovative intrinsic rewards based on the actions of both AI and human agents, and (3) context-aware weights that automatically balance exploration and exploitation by dynamically adjusting the importance of extrinsic and intrinsic rewards. Using the IReCa reward, the AI agent leverages an RL al-

gorithm, such as proximal policy optimization (PPO), to smoothly interact and collaborate with human partners. We follow the methodology outlined in (Carroll et al. 2019), employing a human model pre-trained using a behavior cloning algorithm on human data. The use of an RL algorithm is essential, as it allows the AI agent to learn from its interactions with both the human coordinator and the environment.

For symbol simplicity, the following description is based on one AI agent coordinating with one human agent, but it can be easily extended to scenarios considering more agents. The IReCa reward of the AI agent is given by

$$r_t^A = \kappa_n^{\mathcal{E}} r_t^{\mathcal{E}} + \kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^A} r_t^{\mathcal{I}^A} + \kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^H} r_t^{\mathcal{I}^H}, \quad (1)$$

where $r_t^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the extrinsic reward obtained from the environment in the t -th timestep, $r_t^{\mathcal{I}^A}$ and $r_t^{\mathcal{I}^H}$ are a pair of intrinsic rewards in the t -th timestep, which encourages the AI agent to explore the environment comprehensively from the distinct behaviors of AI and its human coordinator. The $\kappa_n^{\mathcal{E}}$, $\kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^A}$, and $\kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^H}$ are the context-aware weights in the n -th epoch, where $n = \lfloor t/T \rfloor$, t is the current timestep, T is a predefined constant representing the number of timesteps in each epoch. We note that the use of distinguishable superscripts for the extrinsic reward and its corresponding context-aware weight is intentional to emphasize their distinct design rationale, which will be elaborated in the following subsections.

Key Definitions

In RL research, terms such as *episode* and *epoch* are often used interchangeably, which can hinder precise understanding. To avoid such confusion and ensure clarity within the context of our IReCa RL algorithm, it is essential to clearly define these six key terms we use: horizon, episode, epoch, reward, episode return, and long-term return. Establishing these precise definitions will facilitate a more accurate interpretation of our detailed design.

Horizon refers to the sequence of future timesteps that an agent considers when planning its policy. The task is terminated if the number of timesteps reaches the horizon length (Sutton and Barto 2018). **Episode** is defined as a sequence of states, actions, and rewards that concludes when a terminal state is reached (Achiam and OpenAI 2018). It represents one complete trial of the task and comprises multiple timesteps. The number of timesteps in an episode is fewer than or equal to the horizon length. **Epoch** (indexed by n in IReCa) refers to a predefined number of timesteps used for training the model. In our approach, the policy is typically updated after each epoch.

Reward defines the instantaneous objective in an RL problem, and is a scalar value provided by the environment at each timestep. **Episode Return** is the undiscounted summation of all rewards accumulated during a single episode, representing the cumulative reward from the start to the end of the episode (Achiam and OpenAI 2018). **Long-term Return** is defined as the infinite-horizon discounted return, where future rewards are discounted by a factor γ to account for their present value.

Detailed Design

Based on the six key concepts clarified above, we present the detailed design of our IReCa RL algorithm.

Extrinsic Reward In complex human-AI coordination scenarios with sparse reward signals, achieving the desired sparse rewards often requires leveraging the intermediate-stage rewards to guide the exploration and exploitation of key preliminary actions that lead to the target sparse rewards (Ng, Harada, and Russell 1999; Hu et al. 2020). For example, in the Overcooked environment, placing an onion in the pot is a crucial preliminary action for earning the sparse reward associated with cooking onion soup. Stage rewards are typically present during the early stages of training but tend to diminish as training progresses. We follow the design outlined in (Carroll et al. 2019), in which the extrinsic reward is composed of the target sparse reward, $r_t^{\mathcal{E}^S}$, and a linearly fading stage reward, $r_t^{\mathcal{E}^G}$, and is given by:

$$r_t^{\mathcal{E}} = r_t^{\mathcal{E}^S} + \max\{0, 1 - \lambda^{\mathcal{E}^G} \cdot t\} r_t^{\mathcal{E}^G}, \quad (2)$$

where $\max\{\cdot\}$ is the maximum function, and $\lambda^{\mathcal{E}^G}$ is a constant coefficient of the extrinsic stage reward that controls the fading speed of the stage reward.

Both the sparse reward and the stage reward are derived from the environment and represent the combined contributions of both the AI and human agents. Following the approach in (Carroll et al. 2019), these rewards can be expressed as:

$$r_t^{\mathcal{E}^S}(k) = \sum_k \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}^S}(o_t(k), a_t(k)), \quad (3)$$

and

$$r_t^{\mathcal{E}^G}(k) = \sum_k \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}^G}(o_t(k), a_t(k)), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}^S}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}^G}(\cdot)$ denote the sparse and stage reward functions, respectively, and $o_t(k)$ and $a_t(k)$ represent the observation and action of the k -th agent at the t -th timestep.

Intrinsic Reward While stage rewards can assist in this process, they also pose the risk of leading the learning process into local optima due to excessive exploitation. To counteract this limitation, intrinsic rewards serve as a powerful mechanism to enhance the exploration capabilities of AI agents (Song et al. 2020; Du et al. 2024).

In human-AI coordination scenarios with sparse rewards, to effectively collaborate with human coordinators who behave diversely and sparsely, a focus on the critical state-action pairs for obtaining those sparse rewards is necessary. Drawing inspiration from the approach in (Stiennon et al. 2020), which introduced a regularization term that improved upon state-of-the-art reward functions, we propose a novel method. Traditional regularization terms such as entropy, KL divergence, and cross-entropy often underestimate the impact of these sparse state-action pairs due to their low probability of occurrence. To address this, we introduce a logarithmic term to emphasize the significance of these low-probability pairs, thereby enhancing the learning process.

Our intrinsic reward consists of two components: the self-motivated intrinsic reward for the AI agent and the human coordinator-motivated intrinsic reward.

a) Self-motivated The self-motivated intrinsic reward is designed to encourage the AI agent to adopt a more diverse policy, and it is defined as

$$r_t^{\mathcal{I}^A} = \lambda^{\mathcal{I}^A} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\pi^A} \left[-\log \left(\pi^A(a_t^A | o_t^A) \right) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda^{\mathcal{I}^A}$ is a constant coefficient that determines the significance of the self-motivated intrinsic reward, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation, $\pi^A(\cdot)$ is the AI agent’s policy, a_t^A represents the AI agent’s action at the t -th timestep, and o_t^A is the AI agent’s observation at the same timestep.

b) Human Coordinator-motivated To enhance coordination between the AI agent and the human, prior research (Jaques et al. 2019) has explored the benefits of encouraging coordinators to adjust their behavior based on the AI agent’s actions. However, in human-AI coordination scenarios, a key challenge arises from the fact that human coordinator models are often pre-trained and remain untrainable during the AI agent’s training phase (Carroll et al. 2019; Stienon et al. 2020; Christiano et al. 2017).

To address this limitation, we propose optimizing the AI agent’s policy to be more adaptable, using the known actions of the human coordinator at each timestep. Similar to the intrinsic reward mechanism that encourages exploration by discounting low-probability events in the KL divergence, the human coordinator-motivated intrinsic reward is calculated by selectively emphasizing significant state-action pairs. This approach guides the AI agent toward more effective collaboration with the human coordinator. The human coordinator-motivated intrinsic reward is given by

$$r_t^{\mathcal{I}^H} = \lambda^{\mathcal{I}^H} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\pi^A} \left[\left| \log \left(\frac{\pi_t^A(a_t^A | o_t^A)}{\tilde{\pi}_t^A(\tilde{a}_t^A | \tilde{o}_t^A(a_t^H, o_t^A))} \right) \right| \right], \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda^{\mathcal{I}^H}$ is a constant representing the weight of the intrinsic reward driven by the human agent. The term $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value function, and $\tilde{o}_t^A(a_t^H, o_t^A)$ represents the counterfactual observation of the AI agent when only the human agent takes action a_t^H at the t -th timestep. The policy $\tilde{\pi}_t^A$ corresponds to the AI agent’s behavior in this counterfactual scenario.

Context-aware Weights Incorporating stage rewards into the extrinsic reward can enhance the acquisition of sparse rewards by guiding exploration. However, it also introduces the risk of the AI agent becoming trapped in local optima, particularly in the early training stages when the stage reward is greater than the sparse reward. While intrinsic rewards can help mitigate this issue by encouraging exploration, excessive exploration can also be detrimental. Therefore, a desirable policy must be context-aware – able to optimize exploration and exploitation based on the current situation. Specifically, the policy should increase exploration when the AI agent is at risk of converging to suboptimal solutions and favor exploitation when the current policy is performing effectively.

To achieve this balance, we introduce context-aware weights that adaptively adjust the AI agent’s exploration and exploitation strategies based on the evolving context. The core idea is to assign greater weight to intrinsic rewards when the extrinsic reward shows minimal improvement and reduce this weight when the extrinsic reward is increasing steadily. To maintain policy robustness, these context-aware weights are updated at each epoch, in synchronization with the policy updates.

We first define the average episode return to quantify the changes in extrinsic and intrinsic rewards by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{E}} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=nT}^{(n+1)T} \left(r_t^{\mathcal{E}} \right) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=nT}^{(n+1)T} \left(r_t^{\text{Es}} + r_t^{\text{EG}} \right), \\ \bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{I}^A} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=nT}^{(n+1)T} \left(r_t^{\mathcal{I}^A} \right), \\ \bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{I}^H} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=nT}^{(n+1)T} \left(r_t^{\mathcal{I}^H} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where T is the number of timesteps in each epoch. In our design, we assign equal weight to both sparse and stage returns, based on the premise that stage rewards correspond to preliminary actions that ideally lead to the target sparse rewards. Therefore, the ratio between sparse and stage returns should remain consistent, reflecting an effective policy. This approach contrasts with the design of the extrinsic reward, where the stage reward component is linearly faded out over time, as it is not the ultimate objective. The primary goal is to maximize the sparse reward, which drives the desired behavior.

Next, based on the changes in the episode returns, the context-aware weights are computed using the `Softmax` function as

$$\hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{I}^A}, \hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{I}^H} = \lambda^{\text{R}} \cdot \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\bar{R}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{E}}}{\bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{E}}}, \frac{\bar{R}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{I}^A}}{\bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{I}^A}}, \frac{\bar{R}_{n-1}^{\mathcal{I}^H}}{\bar{R}_n^{\mathcal{I}^H}} \right), \quad (8)$$

where λ^{R} is a constant coefficient that controls the magnitude of the context-aware weights. The rationale behind this design is straightforward: if the episode return is increasing, it suggests that the current policy is effective and requires minimal updates. Conversely, if the episode return is decreasing, it signals that the policy is underperforming and should be adjusted more significantly.


To prevent excessive exploration in later stages of training, we limit the exploration by applying a threshold on the episode number N_{th} . Finally, the context-aware weights are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n^{\mathcal{E}} &= \hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \mathbb{1}\{n < N_{th}\} + \mathbb{1}\{n \geq N_{th}\}, \\ \kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^A} &= \hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{I}^A} \cdot \mathbb{1}\{n < N_{th}\}, \\ \kappa_n^{\mathcal{I}^H} &= \hat{\kappa}_n^{\mathcal{I}^H} \cdot \mathbb{1}\{n < N_{th}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbb{1}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function.

Hyper-parameters	Values
Episodes in each epoch	5
Horizon length in each episode	400
Clip-ratio	0.03
Learning rate of actor networks	1×10^{-4}
Learning rate of critic networks	3×10^{-4}
Constant coefficients	$\lambda^{\text{EG}} = 4 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\lambda^{\text{IA}} = 0.02$
	$\lambda^{\text{IH}} = 1$
	$\lambda^{\text{R}} = 3$

Table 1: Key Hyper-parameters



(a) Cramped Room.

(b) Asymmetric Advantages.

Figure 2: Layouts of Overcooked human-AI coordination environment. *Cramped Room* presents low-level coordination challenges: in this shared, confined space it is very easy for the agents to collide. *Asymmetric Advantages* tests whether players can choose high-level strategies that play to their strengths (Carroll et al. 2019).

Experiments

Environment

Our experiments are conducted in the Overcooked environment (Carroll et al. 2019), where a human agent and an AI agent work together to prepare as many onion soups as possible within a limited number of timesteps across a variety of layouts. The goal is to serve the soup in the designated area, earning a sparse reward of 20 points for each successfully served soup. Achieving this requires completing a sequence of actions that correspond to stage rewards: picking up onions from a specified location, placing three onions into a pot, and serving the soup after cooking it for 20 timesteps. In this collaborative scenario, both sparse and stage rewards are equally shared between the agents.

Agent Policies

For the human agent, we follow the methodology outlined in (Carroll et al. 2019) and model the human agent’s behavior by using the behavior cloning policy. Specifically, the human behavior data is split into training and testing datasets (Carroll 2024) and the behavior cloning models used in the training and testing phases employed the respective datasets.

For the AI agent, we utilize the RL algorithm to develop its policy since we need to interact with its dynamic human coordinator and the environment. The RL algorithm’s

state space corresponds to the Overcooked grid world, and its action space includes six discrete actions: move up, move down, move left, move right, stay, and interact. The “interact” action is context-sensitive, enabling the agent to pick up or place onions, plates, or soup, depending on the current state of the environment. Our implementation is based on the Gym-compatible Overcooked environment given in (Carroll 2024).

To evaluate the performance of our proposed IReCa RL algorithm, we compare it with two baseline RL algorithms, training and testing the AI agent using each of the three policies.

PPO_{BC} is a baseline algorithm employing the traditional proximal policy optimization (PPO) policy, where the reward consists of both the sparse reward and a linearly faded stage reward, as described in (Carroll et al. 2019).

Causal is a baseline algorithm that incorporates an intrinsic causal influence reward supplementing the extrinsic reward in *PPO_{BC}*. The causal influence reward encourages the AI agent to take actions that can lead to significant changes in its coordinator’s actions (Jaques et al. 2019).

IReCa is our proposed IReCa RL algorithm, which incorporates our innovative intrinsic rewards and the context-aware weights supplementing the extrinsic reward in *PPO_{BC}*.

For fair comparisons, these three RL algorithms share the same neural network architecture and hyper-parameters. The PPO structure follows the classical PPO algorithm given in (Schulman et al. 2017; Chrysovergis 2024). The architecture of both the actor and critic networks comprise three convolutional layers (with filter sizes of 5×5 , 3×3 , and 3×3 , each containing 25 filters), followed by two fully connected layers with 64 hidden units each. Key hyper-parameters are summarized in Table 1, and further details for parameter settings can be found in our GitHub repository.

Results and Analysis

As shown in Fig. 2, we present experimental results in two distinct layouts of the Overcooked environment. The *Cramped Room* layout shown in Fig. 2a poses significant low-level coordination challenges due to the confined space, leading to a high susceptibility to agent collisions. In contrast, the *Asymmetric Advantages* layout depicted in Fig. 2b evaluates the ability of agents to adopt high-level strategies that leverage their individual strengths.

For each layout, the training phase consists of statistical results from 18 independent experiments, with each experiment plotting the episode return over 400 epochs, using a human model trained on the corresponding dataset. In the testing phase, we provide the average episode return from 400 independent runs using the human model derived from the testing dataset.

In the experimental results, we address following two key questions:

- 1) Is our IReCa RL algorithm more effective and efficient than the baseline algorithms?
- 2) If so, what factors contribute to the superior performance of IReCa compared to the baselines?




Figure 3: Simulation results in Cramped Room layout of Overcooked environment depicted in Fig 2a. By using the intrinsic rewards to have comprehensive exploration and context-aware weights to highlight the exploration in the early training phases, our IReCa RL algorithm achieves higher sparse rewards compared with the baselines.

Cramped Room Fig. 3 presents the simulation results for the Cramped Room layout of the Overcooked environment.

As shown in Fig. 3a, the IReCa algorithm can achieve approximately 20% higher average sparse episode returns compared to baseline methods during both the training and testing phases. These results indicate that IReCa is more effective than the baselines, and validate that our IReCa is more effective in identifying and exploring sparse state-action pairs that lead to targeted sparse rewards. Furthermore, the superior episode returns observed during testing experiments suggest that IReCa not only enhances exploration but also exhibits robustness and generalization capabilities, ensuring that the performance gains are due to effective exploration rather than overfitting to the training environment.

Previous studies have identified two primary factors contributing to performance degradation for AI agents in the Cramped Room layout: collisions with the human coordinator (Carroll et al. 2019) and waiting for the human agent to vacate the AI agent’s preferred path (Zhao et al. 2023). Our analysis extends this by hypothesizing that the AI agent may

also become trapped in local optima by prioritizing stage returns over sparse rewards. This is because the stage episode returns are significantly higher than the sparse episode returns in the early training epochs, which may lead the AI agent to engage in unnecessary and redundant actions aimed at optimizing stage rewards.

Fig. 3c further investigates the role of intrinsic rewards in this process. As depicted in the figure, these intrinsic rewards are significantly higher than those in the causal baseline, helping the AI agent avoid local optima and achieve better overall performance. Since the primary objective in the Overcooked environment is task completion, independent exploration of the AI agent’s action space is crucial. The intrinsic rewards in IReCa facilitate this exploration, complementing the human agent’s actions and improving both coordination and efficiency.

Lastly, Fig. 3d illustrates the evolution of context-aware weights during training. In the initial epochs, the weights assign higher values to intrinsic rewards and lower values to extrinsic rewards. This trend aligns with our expectation that comprehensive early-stage exploration will ultimately




Figure 4: Simulation results in Asymmetric Advantages layout of Overcooked environment depicted in Fig 2b, which is easier to explore compared with Cramped Room depicted in Fig. 2a. By using the designed context-aware weights to highlight the exploitation, our IReCa RL algorithm converges significantly faster than the baselines.

lead to better sparse rewards in human-AI coordination.

Asymmetric Advantages Fig. 4 presents the experimental results for the Asymmetric Advantages layout (see Fig. 2b). In this layout, agents start in different areas and have access to two pots for cooking onion soup, which reduces the likelihood of collisions compared to the Cramped Room layout.

Similar to the Cramped Room results, Figs. 4a, 4b, and 4c show that IReCa consistently outperforms the baseline algorithms in sparse, stage, and intrinsic episode returns, respectively. Interestingly, the gains of the episode returns are less pronounced during the training phases. This phenomenon is due to the reduced frequency of collisions and waiting times compared with the Cramped Room layout, as the agents are spatially separated and have access to more pots.

Despite this, IReCa reduces the training epochs required for convergence by approximately 67% compared to the baselines. This acceleration is attributed to the context-aware weights (Fig. 4d), which prioritize updates to extrinsic rewards and optimize the AI agent’s exploration of its action space. This trend of the context-aware weights is in contrast

to the Cramped Room layout, where additional exploration is necessary to minimize waiting times.

The testing results in Figs. 4a and 4b further support that in human-AI coordination scenarios employing the pre-trained human model, IReCa is more effective than traditional causal influence rewards that are valuable in purely MARL contexts.

Conclusion

To enhance human-AI coordination, we introduced an Intrinsic Reward-enhanced Context-aware (IReCa) reinforcement learning algorithm. This approach incorporated innovative intrinsic rewards to facilitate comprehensive exploration and exploitation, supplementing traditional extrinsic rewards. Extensive experimental training results in two layouts of the Overcooked environment demonstrated that our IReCa increased episode returns by approximately 20% and reduced the number of epochs required for convergence by approximately 67%. Testing experiments further underscored the algorithm’s robustness and generalization ability.

ties across different human-AI coordination layouts. These findings highlighted IReCa's potential applicability in real-world domains requiring critical human-AI coordination and environment demanding seamless human-AI interaction.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Development under Grants 23IOA087.

References

- Achiam, J.; and OpenAI. 2018. Introduction to RL: Part 1: Key Concepts in RL. https://spinningup.openai.com/en/latest/spinningup/rl_intro.html. Accessed: 2024-07-13.
- Andrychowicz, M.; Denil, M.; Colmenarejo, S. G.; Hoffman, M. W.; Pfau, D.; Schaul, T.; Shillingford, B.; and de Freitas, N. 2016. Learning to learn by gradient descent by gradient descent. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'16, 3988–3996. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc.
- Berner, C.; Brockman, G.; Chan, B.; Cheung, V.; Debiak, P.; Dennison, C.; Farhi, D.; Fischer, Q.; Hashme, S.; Hesse, C.; Józefowicz, R.; Gray, S.; Olsson, C.; Pachocki, J. W.; Petrov, M.; de Oliveira Pinto, H. P.; Raiman, J.; Salimans, T.; Schlatter, J.; Schneider, J.; Sidor, S.; Sutskever, I.; Tang, J.; Wolski, F.; and Zhang, S. 2019. Dota 2 with Large Scale Deep Reinforcement Learning. *ArXiv*, abs/1912.06680.
- Carroll, M. 2024. HumanCompatibleAI, overcooked-ai. https://github.com/HumanCompatibleAI/overcooked_ai. Accessed: 2024-07-13.
- Carroll, M.; Shah, R.; Ho, M. K.; Griffiths, T. L.; Seshia, S. A.; Abbeel, P.; and Dragan, A. 2019. On the utility of learning about humans for human-AI coordination. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc.
- Christiano, P. F.; Leike, J.; Brown, T.; Martic, M.; Legg, S.; and Amodei, D. 2017. Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences. In Guyon, I.; Luxburg, U. V.; Bengio, S.; Wallach, H.; Fergus, R.; Vishwanathan, S.; and Garnett, R., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Chrysovergis, I. 2024. Code examples, Proximal Policy Optimization. https://keras.io/examples/rl/ppo_cartpole/. Accessed: 2024-07-13.
- Du, X.; Ye, Y.; Zhang, P.; Yang, Y.; Chen, M.; and Wang, T. 2024. Situation-Dependent Causal Influence-Based Cooperative Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 17362–17370.
- Ghosal, G. R.; Zurek, M.; Brown, D. S.; and Dragan, A. D. 2023. The Effect of Modeling Human Rationality Level on Learning Rewards from Multiple Feedback Types. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 37(5): 5983–5992.
- Goecks, V. G.; Gremillion, G. M.; Lawhern, V. J.; Valasek, J.; and Waytowich, N. R. 2019. Efficiently Combining Human Demonstrations and Interventions for Safe Training of Autonomous Systems in Real-Time. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33(01): 2462–2470.
- Haarnoja, T.; Zhou, A.; Abbeel, P.; and Levine, S. 2018. Soft Actor-Critic: Off-Policy Maximum Entropy Deep Reinforcement Learning with a Stochastic Actor. In Dy, J.; and Krause, A., eds., *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 1861–1870. PMLR.
- Hadfield-Menell, D.; Dragan, A.; Abbeel, P.; and Russell, S. 2016. Cooperative inverse reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'16, 3916–3924. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781510838819.
- Hao, X.; She, C.; Yeoh, P. L.; Liu, Y.; Vucetic, B.; and Li, Y. 2023a. Hybrid-Task Meta-Learning: A Graph Neural Network Approach for Scalable and Transferable Bandwidth Allocation. *arXiv*:2401.10253.
- Hao, X.; Yeoh, P. L.; Liu, Y.; She, C.; Vucetic, B.; and Li, Y. 2023b. Graph Neural Network-Based Bandwidth Allocation for Secure Wireless Communications. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, 332–337.
- Hu, Y.; Wang, W.; Jia, H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Hao, J.; Wu, F.; and Fan, C. 2020. Learning to utilize shaping rewards: a new approach of reward shaping. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS '20. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781713829546.
- Jaques, N.; Lazaridou, A.; Hughes, E.; Çağlar Gülçehre; Ortega, P. A.; Strouse, D.; Leibo, J. Z.; and de Freitas, N. 2019. Social Influence as Intrinsic Motivation for Multi-Agent Deep Reinforcement Learning. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Liu, S.; Johns, E.; and Davison, A. J. 2019. End-To-End Multi-Task Learning With Attention. In *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1871–1880.
- Lowe, R.; WU, Y.; Tamar, A.; Harb, J.; Pieter Abbeel, O.; and Mordatch, I. 2017. Multi-Agent Actor-Critic for Mixed Cooperative-Competitive Environments. In Guyon, I.; Luxburg, U. V.; Bengio, S.; Wallach, H.; Fergus, R.; Vishwanathan, S.; and Garnett, R., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Mnih, V.; Badia, A. P.; Mirza, M.; Graves, A.; Lillicrap, T.; Harley, T.; Silver, D.; and Kavukcuoglu, K. 2016. Asynchronous Methods for Deep Reinforcement Learning. In Balcan, M. F.; and Weinberger, K. Q., eds., *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 48 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 1928–1937. New York, New York, USA: PMLR.

- Ng, A. Y.; Harada, D.; and Russell, S. J. 1999. Policy Invariance Under Reward Transformations: Theory and Application to Reward Shaping. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML '99*, 278–287. San Francisco, CA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc. ISBN 1558606122.
- Pathak, D.; Agrawal, P.; Efros, A. A.; and Darrell, T. 2017. Curiosity-driven exploration by self-supervised prediction. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 70, ICML'17*, 2778–2787. JMLR.org.
- Rashid, T.; Samvelyan, M.; De Witt, C. S.; Farquhar, G.; Foerster, J.; and Whiteson, S. 2020. Monotonic value function factorisation for deep multi-agent reinforcement learning. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 21(1).
- Sarkar, B.; Talati, A.; Shih, A.; and Sadigh, D. 2022. PantheonRL: A MARL Library for Dynamic Training Interactions. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 36(11): 13221–13223.
- Schulman, J.; Wolski, F.; Dhariwal, P.; Radford, A.; and Klimov, O. 2017. Proximal Policy Optimization Algorithms. *CoRR*, abs/1707.06347.
- Silver, D.; Hubert, T.; Schrittwieser, J.; Antonoglou, I.; Lai, M.; Guez, A.; Lanctot, M.; Sifre, L.; Kumaran, D.; Graepel, T.; Lillicrap, T. P.; Simonyan, K.; and Hassabis, D. 2017. Mastering Chess and Shogi by Self-Play with a General Reinforcement Learning Algorithm. *ArXiv*, abs/1712.01815.
- Song, Y.; Wang, J.; Lukasiewicz, T.; Xu, Z.; Zhang, S.; Wojcicki, A.; and Xu, M. 2020. Mega-Reward: Achieving Human-Level Play without Extrinsic Rewards. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(04): 5826–5833.
- Stiennon, N.; Ouyang, L.; Wu, J.; Ziegler, D. M.; Lowe, R.; Voss, C.; Radford, A.; Amodei, D.; and Christiano, P. 2020. Learning to summarize from human feedback. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS '20*. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781713829546.
- Sutton, R. S.; and Barto, A. G. 2018. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. The MIT Press, second edition.
- Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, L.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS'17*, 6000–6010. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781510860964.
- Vinyals, O.; Babuschkin, I.; Czarnecki, W. M.; Mathieu, M.; Dudzik, A.; Chung, J.; Choi, D. H.; Powell, R. E.; Ewalds, T.; Georgiev, P.; Apps, C.; and Silver, D. 2019. Grandmaster level in StarCraft II using multi-agent reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 575(7782): 350–354.
- Wolpert, D.; and Macready, W. 1997. No free lunch theorems for optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1): 67–82.
- Zhao, R.; Song, J.; Yuan, Y.; Hu, H.; Gao, Y.; Wu, Y.; Sun, Z.; and Yang, W. 2023. Maximum Entropy Population-Based Training for Zero-Shot Human-AI Coordination. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 37(5): 6145–6153.
- Zhao, T.; Liu, L.; Huang, G.; Li, H.; Liu, Y.; GuiQuan, L.; and Shi, S. 2020. Balancing Quality and Human Involvement: An Effective Approach to Interactive Neural Machine Translation. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(05): 9660–9667.