

THE INVERSE SCATTERING THEORY OF KADOMTSEV PETVIASHVILI II EQUATIONS

Derchyi Wu

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

e-mail: mawudc@gate.sinica.edu.tw

August 16, 2024

ABSTRACT

An overview of the inverse scattering theory of the Kadomtsev Petviashvili II equation with an emphasis on the inverse problem for perturbed KP multi line solitons is provided. It is shown that, despite additional algebraic or analytic techniques are introduced due to new singular structures, there exists a consistency of the inverse scattering theories for different backgrounds such as the vacuum, 1-line solitons, and multi line solitons.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The IST for rapidly decaying potentials	3
2.1. Statement of results	3
2.2. The strategy	5
2.2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1	5
2.2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2	7
2.2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3	7
3. The IST for perturbed 1 line solitons	9
3.1. 1-line solitons	9
3.2. The direct problem for perturbed KP 1-solitons	11
3.2.1. Statement of results	11
3.2.2. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.1	14
3.2.3. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.2	23
3.3. The inverse problem for perturbed KP 1-solitons	23
3.3.1. Statement of results	24
3.3.2. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.3	25
3.3.3. Highlight: estimates for I_3	32
3.3.4. Highlight: estimates for I_4, I_5	35
4. The IST for perturbed multi line solitons	53

4.1. Statement of results	53
4.2. Comments on distinct features	58
4.2.1. The Lax-Sato formulation of the KP equation	58
4.2.2. The Lax equation	61
4.2.3. The inverse problem	64
References	69

1. INTRODUCTION

Originally derived as a model for small amplitude, long-wavelength, weakly two-dimensional waves in a weakly dispersive medium, the Kadomtsev Petviashvili II (KP-II) equation

$$(-4u_{x_3} + u_{x_1x_1x_1} + 6uu_{x_1})_{x_1} + 3u_{x_2x_2} = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

is a $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional generalization of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation and is related to various areas of mathematics and physics. It has been shown to be integrable by the Lax pair

$$\begin{cases} (-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u)\Phi(x, \lambda) = 0, \\ (-\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}^3 + \frac{3}{2}u\partial_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} - \lambda^3)\Phi(x, \lambda) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

since the beginning of the 1970's.

Thanks to the Lax pair, the initial value problem of the KP-II equation can be solved by studying an inverse scattering theory (IST) and proving the scattering data evolves linearly. When the background is a vacuum, the debar method has been introduced to solve the IST [1, 20, 15, 14, 29]. Around 2000, Boiti et al, Villarroel and Ablowitz started the investigation of the IST of the KP-II equation when the background are 1-line solitons [27, 4]. Boiti et al then integrated the Sato theory and set the foundation of the IST of the KP-II equation when the background is a multi line soliton. Their achievements at least include: deriving an explicit formula of the Green function, L^∞ estimates for the discrete part of the Green function, and the \mathcal{D} -symmetry, the relation between values of the eigenfunction at multi value points [21, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Based on their work, we complete a rigorous IST of the KP-II equation for smooth perturbations of multi line solitons [34, 35, 36]. Our work is the first rigorous IST of a multi-dimensional integrable system when both continuous and discrete scattering data are present, and the support of continuous scattering data does not degenerate into contours in the complex plane.

In this paper, we give an overview of the IST of the KP-II equation with an emphasis on the inverse problem of the perturbed KP multi line solitons. The goal is to show that, despite additional algebraic or analytic techniques are introduced due to new singular

structures, there exists a consistency of the inverse scattering theories for different background potentials such as the vacuum, 1-line solitons, and multi line solitons. That is, when discrete scattering data or continuous scattering data vanish, the forward and the inverse scattering transform constructed for perturbed line solitons degenerate into those transforms for rapidly decaying potentials or for multi line solitons.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present an IST for the vacuum background. This theory, beautifully intertwining with the Fourier theory, outlines the approaches of IST's of the KP-II equation for different backgrounds and characterizes scattering properties when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for multi line soliton background.

Without using the Sato theory, the IST for perturbed 1-line solitons is firstly solved in Section 3. We define the forward scattering transform; formulate the inverse problem as a system of a Cauchy integral equation (CIE) and the \mathcal{D} -symmetry constraint; solve the inverse problem by using Hölder interior estimates and deformation methods. We elucidate the connection between the forward and inverse problems and highlight Hölder interior estimates and deformation methods in § 3.3.3, § 3.3.4 since they are major additional analytical tools.

In Section 4, applying the Sato theory [22, 23, 24, 25, 2, 3, 19] and Boiti et al's direct scattering theory of the KP equation [21, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], we extend the approach of the IST for perturbed 1-solitons to that for perturbed multi line solitons. We state the complete theory but only provide proofs of distinct features which, additionally, shows the TP (totally positive) condition is necessary and justifies differences between IST for perturbed 1-solitons and perturbed multi line solitons are mainly algebraic.

2. THE IST FOR RAPIDLY DECAYING POTENTIALS

2.1. Statement of results. Taking a rapidly decaying potential $u_0(x_1, x_2)$ as the initial data, one can solve the Cauchy problem of the KP equation using the inverse scattering theory (IST) [1, 20, 15, 14, 29]. Precisely, the direct scattering theory can be stated as

Theorem 2.1. [29] *Given $\sum_{|l| \leq d+7} |\partial_{x_1}^{l_1} \partial_{x_2}^{l_2} u_0(x_1, x_2)|_{L^1 \cap L^2} \ll 1$, for $d \geq 0$,*

- (1) *there exists uniquely an eigenfunction $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda)$ of the Lax equation*

$$\begin{aligned} (-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_0(x_1, x_2))m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) &= 0, \\ |\partial_x^l [m_0 - 1]|_{L^\infty} &\leq C |\partial_x^l u_0|_{L^1 \cap L^2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) One can construct the forward scattering transform

$$\mathcal{S} : u_0 \mapsto s_c(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} [u_0(\cdot)m_0(\cdot, \lambda)]^\wedge \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2}{2\pi i} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m(x_1, x_2, \bar{\lambda}), \quad (2.3)$$

and the Cauchy integral equation

$$m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

The algebraic and analytic constraints of the scattering data are

$$\left| \left[|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2} \right] s_c(\lambda) \right|_{L^\infty} \leq C \sum_{h=0}^l |\partial_x^h u_0|_{L^1 \cap L^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$s_c(\lambda) = \overline{s_c(\bar{\lambda})}. \quad (2.6)$$

Here $\lambda = \lambda_R + i\lambda_I$, $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_R - i\lambda_I$, \mathcal{C} is the Cauchy integral operator, T_0 is the continuous scattering operator T at $x_3 = 0$, and $\widehat{\phi}$ is the Fourier transform defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}\phi(x, \lambda) &\equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\phi(x, \zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ T\phi(x, \lambda) &\equiv s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} \phi(x, \bar{\lambda}), \\ \widehat{\phi}(\xi, \lambda) &\equiv \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i(x_1 \xi_1 + x_2 \xi_2)} \phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

A linearization theorem is

Theorem 2.2. *If $\Phi(x, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the Lax pair (1.2) and*

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda, x_3) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m(x, \bar{\lambda}),$$

then

$$s_c(\lambda, x_3) = e^{(\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} s_c(\lambda). \quad (2.8)$$

The inverse scattering theory is stated as

Theorem 2.3. [29] *Given a small continuous scattering data $s_c(\lambda)$ decaying sufficiently rapidly in $(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda, \bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)$, one has*

(1) *the unique solvability of the Cauchy integral equation*

$$m(x, \lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{C}Tm(x, \lambda), \quad (2.9)$$

$$|\partial_x^l [m - 1]|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(1 + |\xi|^{l_1} + |\eta|^{l_2} \right) s_c|_{L^\infty \cap L^2(d\xi d\eta)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $2\pi i\xi = \bar{\zeta} - \zeta$, $2\pi i\eta = \bar{\zeta}^2 - \zeta^2$;

(2) *the Lax equation*

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} + u(x)) m(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad (2.12)$$

$$|(1 + |\xi|^k + |\eta|^k)\widehat{u}(x)|_{L^\infty} \leq C|(1 + |\xi|^{k+1} + |\eta|^k)s_c|_{L^\infty \cap L^2(d\xi d\eta)}, \quad (2.13)$$

the inverse scattering transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(s_c(\lambda)) \equiv -\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta; \quad (2.14)$$

(3) *the KP II equation is fulfilled*

$$(-4u_{x_3} + u_{x_1x_1x_1} + 6uu_{x_1})_{x_1} + 3u_{x_2x_2} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

2.2. The strategy.

Detailed proof can be found in [29]. We highlight features of the proof.

2.2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

(1) The Lax equation (2.1) is proved by

$$m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 1 - \left[\frac{\widehat{u_0 m_0}}{p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)} \right]^\vee = 1 - G_\lambda * u_0 m_0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$p_\lambda(\xi, \eta) = (2\pi i \xi + \lambda)^2 - (2\pi i \eta + \lambda^2), \quad G_\lambda = \left[\frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right]^\vee, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^1(\Omega_\lambda, d\xi d\eta)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |\lambda_I|^2)^{1/2}}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^2(\Omega_\lambda^c, d\xi d\eta)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |\lambda_I|^2)^{1/4}}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\Omega_\lambda = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)| < 1\}.$$

(2) We prove the forward scattering transform is a $\partial_{\bar{\lambda}}$ -characterization of m_0 . From (2.16), (2.17), and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \left[\frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right] &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \left[\frac{1}{p_\lambda} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} |p_\lambda|^2}) \right] = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{p_\lambda}] \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} |p_\lambda|^2} \\ &= -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} \delta_{\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2}{2\pi i}\right)}, \\ p_\lambda(D)f &= e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} p_{\bar{\lambda}}(D) e^{-[(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2]} f, \end{aligned}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} G_\lambda] * u_0 m_0 &= \left(\widehat{u_0 m_0} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \left[\frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right] \right)^\vee \\ &= -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} \widehat{u_0 m_0} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2}{2\pi i}, \lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -s_c(\lambda)e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2}, \\
G_\lambda e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} &= e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} G_{\bar{\lambda}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) &= (1 + G_\lambda * u_0)^{-1} 1 \tag{2.19} \\
&= - (1 + G_\lambda * u_0)^{-1} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} G_\lambda * u_0 m_0 \\
&= s_c(\lambda) (1 + G_\lambda * u_0)^{-1} e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} \\
&= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} (1 + G_{\bar{\lambda}} * u_0)^{-1} 1 \\
&= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \bar{\lambda}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Liouville's theorem and the Lax equation imply that, there exists $q(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = q(x_1, x_2) + \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda), \tag{2.20}$$

$$u_0 m_0 = -2\lambda \partial_{x_1} q - \partial_{x_1}^2 q + \partial_{x_2} q + (\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda \partial_{x_1}) \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0. \tag{2.21}$$

Via a change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi i \xi &= \bar{\zeta} - \zeta, \quad 2\pi i \eta = \bar{\zeta}^2 - \zeta^2, \\
\zeta &= -i\pi \xi + \frac{\eta}{2\xi}, \quad d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{|\xi|} d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and from (2.17), (2.18), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{C}T_0 \phi| &\leq C \left| \iint \frac{s_c(\zeta) e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1+(\bar{\zeta}^2-\zeta^2)x_2} \phi}{\zeta - \lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \right| \tag{2.23} \\
&\leq C |\phi|_{L^\infty} \iint \frac{|s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta))|}{|(2\pi\xi)^2 - 4\pi i \xi \lambda + 2\pi i \eta|} d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq C |\phi|_{L^\infty} \left\{ |s_c(\zeta)|_{L^2(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^2(\Omega_\lambda^\zeta, d\xi d\eta)} + |s_c(\zeta)|_{L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^1(\Omega_\lambda, d\xi d\eta)} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_1} \mathcal{C}T_0 m &= \mathcal{C}[(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)T_0 m + T_0(\partial_{x_1} m)], \\
\partial_{x_1}^2 \mathcal{C}T_0 m &= \mathcal{C}[(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)^2 T_0 m + 2(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)T_0(\partial_{x_1} m) + T_0(\partial_{x_1}^2 m)], \\
\partial_{x_2} \mathcal{C}T_0 m &= \mathcal{C}[(\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)T_0 m + T_0(\partial_{x_2} m)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\left(|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2} \right) s_c(\lambda)|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} < \infty$, $l_1 \leq 2$, $l_2 \leq 1$, from (2.18), one has $(\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda \partial_{x_1}) \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda_I \rightarrow \infty$. Together with (2.16),

(2.21), and letting $\lambda \gg 1$, yields

$$q = q(x_2).$$

Choosing $x_1 \gg 1$, we obtains $q \equiv 1$. Hence the initial CIE (2.4) is justified. \square

2.2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

Notice that

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \Phi(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda, x_3) \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}).$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = -\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}^3 + \frac{3}{2}u\partial_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} + \rho(\lambda), \quad \rho(\lambda) = -\lambda^3,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} [\mathcal{M}_\lambda \Phi(x, \lambda)] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \Phi(x, \lambda)] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [s_c(\lambda, x_3) \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda})] \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda)] s_c(\lambda, x_3) + s_c(\lambda, x_3) [\mathcal{M}_\lambda - \rho(\lambda)] \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda)] s_c(\lambda, x_3) + s_c(\lambda, x_3) [\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \rho(\bar{\lambda})] \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda) - \rho(\bar{\lambda})] s_c(\lambda, x_3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

\square

2.2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3.

(1) Unique solvability of the CIE (2.9) and the estimate (2.10) follow from

$$\begin{aligned} |CT\phi| \leq C|\phi|_{L^\infty} \{ & |s_c(x_3, \zeta)|_{L^2(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p\lambda} \right|_{L^2(\Omega_\lambda^c, d\xi d\eta)} \\ & + |s_c(x_3, \zeta)|_{L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p\lambda} \right|_{L^1(\Omega_\lambda, d\xi d\eta)} \} \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

which is proved by the same argument as (2.23).

(2) To derive the Lax equation (2.11), representation formula (2.12), and estimates (2.13) of the potential u , we introduce the shorthand notation for the heat operator

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} &= -\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \\ \nabla_1 &= \partial_{x_1} + \lambda, \quad \nabla_2 = \partial_{x_2} + \lambda^2, \quad [\nabla_j, T] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the heat operator to both sides of the CIE (2.9),

$$(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m = [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, CT]m + CT(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m. \tag{2.26}$$

Formally,

$$[-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, CT]m = [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}]Tm = 2[\lambda, \mathcal{C}]\partial_{x_1}(Tm) \tag{2.27}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \equiv -u(x),$$

along with the unique solvability of the CIE, yields the Lax equation

$$(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m = -(1 - \mathcal{C}T)^{-1}u(x)1 = -u(x)(1 - \mathcal{C}T)^{-1}1 = -u(x)m(x, \lambda). \quad (2.28)$$

Hence the key is to rigorously show boundedness of $(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m$ and (2.27) by apriori estimates. To this aim, taking Fourier transform of the Lax equation (2.11),

$$\widehat{u} = -\widehat{m-1} * \widehat{u} - p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)\widehat{m-1}.$$

By proving

$$|\widehat{m-1}|_{L^1(d\xi d\eta)} \leq C|s_c|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)}, \quad (2.29)$$

$$|p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)\widehat{m-1}|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} \leq C|s_c|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)}, \quad (2.30)$$

one derives

$$|\widehat{u}(x)|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} \leq C|s_c|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)}, \quad (2.31)$$

$$(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m \in L^\infty. \quad (2.32)$$

We prove (2.29) by writing the CIE (2.9) as

$$\widehat{m-1} = [\mathcal{C}T(m-1)]^\wedge + [\mathcal{C}T1]^\wedge \quad (2.33)$$

$$= [\mathcal{C}T(m-1)]^\wedge + \frac{2\pi i s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta))}{p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)},$$

$$\left| \frac{2\pi i s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta))}{p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)} \right|_{L^1} \leq C|s_c|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)};$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{C}Tf]^\wedge &= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{s_c(\zeta)f(x, \bar{\zeta})e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1 + (\bar{\zeta}^2 - \zeta^2)x_2}}{\zeta - \lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \right]^\wedge \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{s_c(\zeta)\widehat{f}\left(\xi - \frac{\bar{\zeta}-\zeta}{2\pi i}, \eta - \frac{\bar{\zeta}^2 - \zeta^2}{2\pi i}, \bar{\zeta}\right)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \\ &= R_{s_c}\widehat{f}, \quad \text{is a contraction of } \widehat{f} \in L^1(d\xi d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

We prove (2.30) by writing (2.33) as

$$p_\lambda\widehat{m-1} = p_\lambda R_{s_c}\widehat{m-1} + 2\pi i s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta)) \equiv M_{s_c}\left(p_\lambda\widehat{m-1}\right) + 2\pi i s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta)),$$

with

$$M_{s_c}f = (R_{s_c}f)(\xi, \eta, \lambda) - (R_{s_c}f)\left(\xi, \eta, \frac{\eta}{2\xi} - i\pi\xi\right),$$

$$|(1 + |\xi|^k + |\eta|^k)M_{s_c}f|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty} \leq C|(1 + |\xi|^k + |\eta|^k)s_c|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty}|(1 + |\xi|^k + |\eta|^k)f|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty}.$$

- (3) Finally, we justify the KP equation (2.15) by verifying the Lax pairs. Namely, if $|(|\bar{\lambda}-\lambda|^{l_1}+|\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2|^{l_2})s_c(\lambda)|_{L^2\cap L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} < \infty$, $l_1 \leq 5$, $l_2 \leq 3$, using the representation formula (2.12), we define $\Phi(x, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m(x, \lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{M} = -\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}^3 + \frac{3}{2}u\partial_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} - \lambda^3, \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}\Phi(x, \lambda) &= e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \left(\mathcal{M} + 3\lambda\partial_{x_1}^2 + 3\lambda^2\partial_{x_1} + \lambda^3 + \frac{3}{2}u\lambda \right) m(x, \lambda) \\ &\equiv e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \mathfrak{M}m. \end{aligned}$$

By reversing the procedure to prove (2.24), we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{M}m)(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda)e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3-\lambda^3)x_3} (\mathfrak{M}m)(x, \bar{\lambda}). \quad (2.35)$$

Besides, as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, formally, letting $m(x, \lambda) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_j(x)}{\lambda^j}$, from the Lax equation (2.11),

$$2\partial_{x_1}M_{j+1} = (\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - u)M_j, \quad (2.36)$$

$$M_0 = 1, \quad M_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u, \quad M_2 = -\frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}^{-2}u + \frac{1}{4}u + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}(u\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u), \quad \dots$$

As a result, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{M}m \quad (2.37) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} + 3\lambda\partial_{x_1}^2\left(1 + \frac{M_1}{\lambda}\right) + 3\lambda^2\partial_{x_1}\left(1 + \frac{M_1}{\lambda} + \frac{M_2}{\lambda^2}\right) + \frac{3}{2}u\lambda \\ &= \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} + \left(-\frac{3}{2}u_{x_1} + 3\partial_{x_1}\left[-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}^{-2}u + \frac{u}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}(u\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u)\right]\right) \\ &+ \lambda\left(3\partial_{x_1}M_1 + \frac{3}{2}u\right) + \frac{3}{2}u\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the unique solvability of the CIE, $\mathfrak{M}m(x, \lambda) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}\Phi(x, \lambda) = 0$. Hence the Lax pair holds and the Cauchy problem of the KP II equation is solved. \square

3. THE IST FOR PERTURBED 1 LINE SOLITONS

3.1. 1-line solitons.

The KP II equation (1.1) possesses explicit interesting solutions, $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{\geq 0}$ KP solitons, which are regular in the entire x_1x_2 -plane with peaks localized and non decaying along

certain line segments and rays for each fixed time x_3 . They can be constructed by the Sato theory [22, 23, 24, 25, 2, 3, 19]

$$u_s(x) = 2\partial_{x_1}^2 \ln \tau(x) \quad (3.1)$$

where the τ -function is the Wronskian determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & \cdots & a_{NM} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & \cdots & \kappa_1^{N-1} E_1 \\ E_2 & \cdots & \kappa_2^{N-1} E_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_M & \cdots & \kappa_M^{N-1} E_M \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_N \leq M} \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(A) E_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

with $\kappa_1 < \cdots < \kappa_M$, $E_j(x) = \exp \theta_j(x) = \exp(\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2 + \kappa_j^3 x_3)$, $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Gr}(N, M)_{\geq 0}$ (TNN, full rank $N \times M$ real matrices with non negative minors), $\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(A) = \Delta_J(A)$ the $N \times N$ minor of the matrix A whose columns are labelled by the index set $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_N\} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$, and $E_J = E_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(x) = \prod_{l < m} (\kappa_{j_m} - \kappa_{j_l}) \exp(\sum_{n=1}^N \theta_{j_n}(x))$. Moreover, $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ KP solitons means all minors Δ_{j_1, \dots, j_N} are positive, namely, fulfilling the totally positive (TP) condition, form a dense subset of $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{\geq 0}$ KP solitons. In particular, the $\text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0}$ KP solitons (or 1-line solitons for simplicity)

$$u_s(x) = \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2}{2} \text{sech}^2 \frac{\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x) - \ln a}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

are given by $A = (1, a)$, $a > 0$ in (3.1) - (3.2).

A brief introduction on the Sato theory will be given in § 4.2.1 and a formal IST which is applicable to a class of functions at least containing multi line solitons has been shown in [30, 31, 32]. Without involved with the Sato theory, we provide a rigorous IST for perturbed 1-line solitons in this section.

Lemma 3.1 (IST for 1-line solitons). [10, (2.12)], [12, Theorem 6.3.8., (6.3.13)], [17, Proposition 2.2, (2.21)] *Let $u_s(x)$ be a $\text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0}$ KP soliton. The Sato eigenfunction φ and the Sato normalized eigenfunction χ , defined by*

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \frac{(1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{\lambda}) e^{\theta_1(x)} + (1 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\lambda}) a e^{\theta_2(x)}}{e^{\theta_1(x)} + a e^{\theta_2(x)}} \equiv e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \chi(x, \lambda) \quad (3.4)$$

satisfy the Lax equations for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x)) \varphi(x, \lambda) &= 0, \\ (-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_s(x)) \chi(x, \lambda) &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, \lambda) &= 1 + \frac{\chi_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ (e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} \chi(x, \kappa_1), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3} \chi(x, \kappa_2)) \mathcal{D}^b &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}^b = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_2 a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

The forward scattering transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{S} : u_s(x_1, x_2, 0) \mapsto \{0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{D}^b\}, \quad (3.8)$$

and the inverse scattering transform by

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\{0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{D}^b\}) = -2\partial_{x_1} \chi_{0,\text{res}}(x). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= 2e^{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1(x) + \theta_2(x) + \ln a)} \cosh \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x) - \ln a), \\ u_s(x) &= \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2}{2} \text{sech}^2 \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x) - \ln a), \\ \chi(x, \lambda) &= 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_{x_1} \ln \tau(x), \end{aligned}$$

one can verify that the Sato normalized eigenfunction χ satisfies the Lax equation (3.5) by checking $(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_s(x)) \chi(x, \lambda)$ is a holomorphic function tending to 0 at ∞ .

Notice that (3.6) can be viewed as a linear system of 1 + 2 variables $\chi_{0,\text{res}}$, $\chi(x, \kappa_1)$, and $\chi(x, \kappa_2)$ by evaluating the first equation at κ_1 and κ_2 . A direct computation yields $\chi_{0,\text{res}}(x) = -\partial_{x_1} \ln \tau(x)$.

□

3.2. The direct problem for perturbed KP 1-solitons.

3.2.1. Statement of results.

Building upon Boiti et al's work [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], rigorous direct scattering theory for perturbed $\text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0}$ KP solitons is carried out in [34, 35].

Theorem 3.1. [34, 35] *Given*

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x_1, x_2) &= u_s(x_1, x_2, 0) + v_0(x_1, x_2), \quad u_s(x) \text{ a } \text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0} \text{ KP soliton}, \\ \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} |(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|) \partial_x^l v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} &\ll 1, \quad d \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

one has

(1) for $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \kappa_j\}$, the unique existence of the eigenfunction $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda)$ of the Lax equation

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_0(x_1, x_2))m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \chi(x_1, x_2, 0, \lambda). \quad (3.12)$$

(2) The forward scattering transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(u_0) = (0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda)) \quad (3.13)$$

which satisfies the Cauchy integral equation and the \mathcal{D} -symmetry

$$m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 1 + \frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x_1, x_2)}{\lambda} + \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda), \quad (3.14)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$m_0 \in W_0, \quad \kappa_j^+ = \kappa_j + 0^+. \quad (3.16)$$

Here \mathcal{C} is the Cauchy integral operator, T_0 is the continuous scattering operator at $x_3 = 0$ defined by (2.7) with $s_c(\lambda)$ being the continuous scattering data,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) &= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \bar{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}, \\ s_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} [\xi(\cdot, 0, \bar{\lambda}) v_0(\cdot) m_0(\cdot, \lambda)]^\wedge \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2}{2\pi i} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

and $\xi(x) = \xi(x, \lambda)$ being the normalized Sato adjoint eigenfunction (see (3.36), (3.37) for definition). Moreover, \mathcal{D} can be computed by

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^\# \times \left(\mathcal{D}_{11}^\# \right)^{-1} \times \kappa_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 \\ \mathcal{D}_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\# = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{11}^\# \\ \mathcal{D}_{21}^\# \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{11}^\flat + \frac{c_{11} \mathcal{D}_{11}^\flat}{1 - c_{11}} + \frac{c_{12} \mathcal{D}_{21}^\flat}{1 - c_{11}} \\ \mathcal{D}_{21}^\flat + \frac{c_{22} \mathcal{D}_{21}^\flat}{1 - c_{22}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\flat = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_2 a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20)$$

with $c_{jl} = -\int \Psi_j(x_1, x_2, 0) v_0(x_1, x_2) \varphi_l(x_1, x_2, 0) dx_1 dx_2$, $\Psi_j(x)$, $\varphi_l(x)$ residues of the adjoint eigenfunction $\Psi(x, \lambda)$ (see (3.67) for definition) at κ_j and values of the Sato eigenfunction $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ at κ_l ; $W_0 = W_{(x_1, x_2, 0)}$ is the eigenfunction space defined in Definition 3.1.

Finally, the scattering data $\mathcal{S}(u_0)$ satisfies the algebraic and analytic constraints

$$s_c(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{2} \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_j(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times, \\ \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) \bar{h}_0(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_0^\times, \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = (\kappa_1, \mathcal{D}_{21})^T,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j}) \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} (|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2}) s_c(\lambda)|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 (|\gamma_j| + |h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}) + |\bar{h}_0|_{C^1(D_0)} + |\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}^b|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} |(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|) \partial_x^l v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, \\ & s_c(\lambda) = \overline{s_c(\bar{\lambda})}, h_j(\lambda) = -\overline{h_j(\bar{\lambda})}, \bar{h}_0(\lambda) = -\overline{\bar{h}_0(\bar{\lambda})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Here $D_{z,a\delta} = \{\lambda = z + re^{i\alpha} : 0 \leq r \leq a\delta, |\alpha| \leq \pi\}$, $D_{z,a\delta}^\times = D_{z,a\delta} \setminus \{z\}$, $1 \geq \delta = \frac{1}{2} \inf\{|z - z'| : z, z' \in \{0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}, z \neq z'\}$, $E_{z,a\delta}(\lambda) \equiv 1$ on $D_{z,a\delta}$, $E_{z,a\delta}(\lambda) \equiv 0$ elsewhere. We suppress the $a\delta$ -dependence for simplicity if $a = 1$.

Definition 3.1. The eigenfunction space $W_0 = W_{x_1, x_2, 0}$ consists of ϕ satisfying

- (a) $\phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \overline{\phi(x_1, x_2, \bar{\lambda})}$;
- (b) $(1 - E_0)\phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) \in L^\infty$;
- (c) for $\lambda \in D_0^\times$, $\phi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \frac{\phi_{0,\text{res}}(x_1, x_2)}{\lambda} + \phi_{0,r}(x_1, x_2, \lambda)$, $\phi_{0,\text{res}}, \phi_{0,r} \in L^\infty(D_0)$;
- (d) for $\lambda = \kappa_j + re^{i\alpha} \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times$, $\phi = \phi^b + \phi^\sharp$, $\phi^b = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \phi_l(X_1, X_2) (-\ln(1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|))^l \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp \in C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp(x_1, x_2, \kappa_j) = 0$.

Here

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \max\{1, |X_1|, \sqrt{|X_2|}\}, \quad (3.24)$$

is the rescaling parameter with X_k defined by the coefficients of the phase function of T ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1, x_2, \lambda) &= i[(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2] = X_1 r \sin \alpha + X_2 r^2 \sin 2\alpha \equiv \varphi(r, \alpha, X), \\ X_1 &= 2(x_1 + 2x_2 z), \quad X_2 = 2x_2, \quad \lambda = z + re^{i\alpha} \in D_z. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Finally, $C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) = C(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ and the rescaled Hölder space $H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of functions $\phi(x, \lambda) \equiv \phi(r, \alpha, X_1, X_2)$, satisfying

$$|\phi|_{H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \equiv \sup_{\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \leq 1, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq \pi} \frac{|\phi(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X_1, X_2) - \phi(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X_1, X_2)|}{|\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i\alpha_2}|^\mu} < \infty \quad (3.26)$$

for $\lambda_j = z + r_j e^{i\alpha_j} = z + \frac{\tilde{r}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\alpha_j} \in D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}$.

Theorem 3.2. [34, 35] If $\Phi = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the Lax pair (1.2) and

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda, x_3) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m(x, \bar{\lambda}), \quad (3.27)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m(x, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2} m(x, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D}(x_3) = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

with $\mathcal{D}(x_3) = (\kappa_1, \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3))^T$ then

$$s_c(\lambda, x_3) = e^{(\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} s_c(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{D}_{mn}(x_3) = e^{(\kappa_m^3 - \kappa_n^3)x_3} \mathcal{D}_{mn}. \quad (3.29)$$

We make several remarks to conclude this subsection.

For perturbed 1-line solitons, besides the CIE, we have extra \mathcal{D} -symmetry which is defined by the values of the eigenfunction at κ_j^+ . The decomposition $\phi^\# + \phi^\flat$ and the scaled topology $C_{\bar{\sigma}}^\mu$ of the eigenfunction space W_0 are introduced to obtain the pointwise structures of the CIE at κ_j for the inverse problem. To see this, for a perturbed 1-soliton, away from 0, κ_j , the continuous data s_c and eigenfunction m_0 are as regular as those in case of rapidly decaying potentials. But

- At 0, the boundary value χ has a simple pole; the eigenfunction m_0 has a "simple pole". Along with (3.21), near 0, the Cauchy integral operator $\mathcal{C}T_0 m_0$ is an oscillatory singular operator.
- At κ_j , the Green function G is multi-valued; the eigenfunction m_0 is multi-valued; the continuous scattering data s_c has a "simple pole with a discontinuous residue" (see (3.21)). Therefore, near κ_j , the Cauchy integral operator $\mathcal{C}T_0 m_0$ is an oscillatory singular operator.

Comparing (3.17)-(3.20), and (3.36) with (2.2) and (3.7), we prove when discrete scattering data or continuous scattering data vanish, the forward scattering transform constructed for perturbed 1 line solitons degenerate into those transforms for rapidly decaying potentials or for 1-line solitons.

3.2.2. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Throughout §3.2.2, $x = (x_1, x_2, 0)$, $x' = (x'_1, x'_2, 0)$ for simplicity.

(1) The Lax equation is proved by

$$m_0(x, \lambda) = \chi(x, \lambda) - G * v_0 m_0, \quad (3.30)$$

$$G(x, x', \lambda) = G_c(x, x', \lambda) + G_d(x, x', \lambda), \quad (3.31)$$

$$G_c(x, x', \lambda) = - \frac{\text{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2)}{2\pi} e^{\lambda(x'_1 - x_1) + \lambda^2(x'_2 - x_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \quad (3.32)$$

$$\times \varphi(x, \lambda_R + is) \psi(x', \lambda_R + is) ds,$$

$$G_d(x, x', \lambda) = - \theta(x'_2 - x_2) e^{\lambda(x'_1 - x_1) + \lambda^2(x'_2 - x_2)} \quad (3.33)$$

$$\times (\theta(\lambda_R - \kappa_1) \varphi_1(x) \psi_1(x') + \theta(\lambda_R - \kappa_2) \varphi_2(x) \psi_2(x')),$$

and

$$G * f(x, \lambda) \equiv \iint G(x, x', \lambda) f(x') dx',$$

$$|G_c(x, x', \lambda)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}\right), \quad (3.34)$$

$$|G_d(x, x', \lambda)| \leq C, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x', \lambda) * f(x') = 0.$$

Here $\theta(s)$ is the Heaviside function, ψ , ξ are the Sato adjoint eigenfunction, Sato normalized adjoint eigenfunction [10, (2.12)], [12, Theorem 6.3.8., (6.3.13)]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda) &= e^{-(\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2)} \frac{\frac{e^{\theta_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)}}{(1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{\lambda})} + \frac{ae^{\theta_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)}}{(1 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\lambda})}}{e^{\theta_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)} + ae^{\theta_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)}} \\ &\equiv e^{-[\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2]} \xi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda) &= 0, \\ (\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_s(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \xi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Finally,

$$\varphi_j(x) \equiv \varphi(x, \kappa_j) = e^{\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2} \chi_j(x), \quad \psi_j(x) \equiv \text{res}_{\lambda = \kappa_j} \psi(x, \lambda) = e^{-[\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2]} \xi_j(x).$$

We explain construction of the Green function and estimates in the following.

► **Construction of the Green function (3.31)-(3.33):** Using Fourier inversion theorem, the residue theorem, and the orthogonality

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(x') = 0, \quad (3.38)$$

we first derive the orthogonality relation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x - x') &= \delta(x_2 - x'_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x, \lambda_R + is) \psi(x', \lambda_R + is) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(x') \theta(\lambda_R - \kappa_j) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Therefore G defined by (3.31)-(3.33) satisfies

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u_s(x)) G(x, x', \lambda) = \delta(x - x')$$

by applying (3.39) and

$$\text{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_j^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) = \theta(x_2 - x'_2) \chi_-(s) - \theta(x'_2 - x_2) \chi_+(s),$$

where $\chi_{\pm}(s)$ the characteristic function for $\{s \mid \operatorname{Re}([\lambda + is]^2 - \lambda^2) \geq 0\}$.

► **Estimates of the Green function** (3.34), (3.35): Firstly, note

$$\begin{aligned} G_c(x, x', \lambda) & \tag{3.40} \\ &= -\frac{e^{i[\lambda_I(x'_1-x_1)+2\lambda_I\lambda_R(x'_2-x_2)]}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \operatorname{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \\ & \times e^{(s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x'_2 - x_2) - is[(x'_1 - x_1) + 2\lambda_R(x'_2 - x_2)]} \chi(x, \lambda_R + is) \xi(x', \lambda_R + is). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the following proof for G_c only requires totally non negative (TNN) condition. Precisely, if $\lambda \in D_{\kappa_1}^c \cap D_{\kappa_2}^c$, then a direct computation or special function properties yields

$$\begin{aligned} |G_c(x, x', \lambda)| & \leq C \theta(x_2 - x'_2) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-|\lambda_I|} + \int_{|\lambda_I|}^{\infty} \right) e^{(s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x'_2 - x_2)} ds \\ & \quad + C \theta(x'_2 - x_2) \int_{-|\lambda_I|}^{|\lambda_I|} e^{(s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x'_2 - x_2)} ds \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda \in D_{\kappa_j}^{\times}$, decompose (3.40) as

$$G_c(x, x', \lambda) = -\frac{e^{i[\lambda_I(x'_1-x_1)+2\lambda_I\lambda_R(x'_2-x_2)]}}{2\pi} \left(I_j^{[1]} + I_j^{[2]} + I_j^{[3]} + I_j^{[4]} \right), \tag{3.41}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_j^{[1]} &= \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \operatorname{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \chi(x, \lambda_R + is) \xi(x', \lambda_R + is) \\ & \quad \times [e^{is[x_1 - x'_1 + 2\lambda_R(x_2 - x'_2)] + (\lambda_I^2 - s^2)(x_2 - x'_2)} - 1] ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j^{[2]} &= \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \operatorname{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \\ & \quad \times \left[\chi(x, \lambda_R + is) \xi(x', \lambda_R + is) - \frac{\chi_j(x) \xi_j(x')}{\lambda_R + is - \kappa_j} \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$I_j^{[3]} = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \operatorname{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \frac{\chi_j(x) \xi_j(x')}{\lambda_R + is - \kappa_j} ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j^{[4]} &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(x_2 - x'_2) \theta((s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x_2 - x'_2)) \chi(x, \lambda_R + is) \\ & \quad \times \xi(x', \lambda_R + is) e^{(s^2 - \lambda_I^2)(x'_2 - x_2) - is[(x'_1 - x_1) + 2\lambda_R(x'_2 - x_2)]} ds. \end{aligned}$$

One can prove

$$|I_j^{[1]}|, |I_j^{[2]}|, |I_j^{[3]}| < C, \quad |I_j^{[4]}| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}} \right),$$

in particular, uniform estimates for $|I_j^{[1]}|$ are achieved by the residue theorem, contour integrals, and changes of variables and are involved a bit. Hence (3.34) is proved.

As for G_d , note $\varphi_j(x)$, $\psi_j(x')$ have 2-cells and 0-cells in nominators respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x) &= \frac{1 - a \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} e^{\theta_1(x) + \theta_2(x)}}{e^{\theta_1(x)} + a e^{\theta_2(x)}}, & \varphi_2(x) &= \frac{1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} e^{\theta_1(x) + \theta_2(x)}}{e^{\theta_1(x)} + a e^{\theta_2(x)}}, \\ \psi_1(x') &= \frac{\kappa_1}{e^{\theta_1(x')} + a e^{\theta_2(x')}}, & \psi_2(x') &= \frac{a \kappa_2}{e^{\theta_1(x')} + a e^{\theta_2(x')}}.\end{aligned}$$

Following argument to permute and exchange cells [8], [9], one obtains the decomposition

$$G_d(x, x', \lambda) = G_d^1(x, x', \lambda) + G_d^2(x, x', \lambda), \quad (3.43)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}& G_d^1(x, x', \lambda) \\ &= (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) a e^{i\lambda_I[x'_1 - x_1 + 2\lambda_R(x'_2 - x_2)]} \theta(k_{12}(x'_2 - x_2)) \theta((\lambda_R - \kappa_1)(z_{12} - z'_{12})) \\ & \times \operatorname{sgn}(z_{12} - z'_{12}) \frac{e^{-k_{12}(x'_2 - x_2) + (\lambda_R - \kappa_1)(z'_{12} - z_{12})} e^{\theta_1(x') + \theta_2(x)}}{\tau(x)\tau(x')},\end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& G_d^2(x, x', \lambda) \\ &= (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2) a e^{i\lambda_I[x'_1 - x_1 + 2\lambda_R(x'_2 - x_2)]} \theta(k_{12}(x'_2 - x_2)) \theta((\lambda_R - \kappa_2)(z_{12} - z'_{12})) \\ & \times \operatorname{sgn}(z_{12} - z'_{12}) \frac{e^{-k_{12}(x'_2 - x_2) + (\lambda_R - \kappa_2)(z'_{12} - z_{12})} e^{\theta_1(x) + \theta_2(x')}}{\tau(x)\tau(x')},\end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}z_{mn} &= x_1 + (\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x_2, & z'_{mn} &= x'_1 + (\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x'_2, \\ k_{mn} &= \lambda_I^2 - (\lambda_R - \kappa_m)(\lambda_R - \kappa_n),\end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

for $m, n \in \{1, 2\}$. Now all of the exponentials in the nominators of (3.44), (3.45) are either bounded or dominated by the tau functions in the denominators by the totally positive (TP) condition. So (3.35) is proved.

- (2) \blacktriangleright The continuous scattering data s_c will be extracted from $\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0$. Thanks to (3.30), we need to compute $\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} G(x, x', \lambda)$ first. To this aim, using the holomorphic property,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - a} \right) = \delta_{\lambda_R = a_R} \delta_{\lambda_I = a_I},$$

$$p_\lambda(D)f = e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} p_{\bar{\lambda}}(D)e^{-[(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2]} f,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} G(x, x', \lambda) &= -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)(x_1-x'_1)+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)(x_2-x'_2)} \chi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \xi(x', \bar{\lambda}), \\ G_\lambda e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} &= e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} G_{\bar{\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

As a result, by setting $s_c(\lambda) = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} [\xi(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})v_0(\cdot)m_0(\cdot, \lambda)]^\wedge \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2}{2\pi i}\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0(x, \lambda) \\ &= \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} [(1 + G_\lambda * v_0)^{-1} \chi] \\ &= -(1 + G_\lambda * v_0)^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} G_\lambda * v_0) m_0(x, \lambda) \\ &= -(1 + G_\lambda * v_0)^{-1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)(x_1-x'_1)+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)(x_2-x'_2)} \chi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \xi(x', \bar{\lambda})}{-2\pi i} * v_0 m_0 \\ &= s_c(\lambda) (1 + G_\lambda * v_0)^{-1} e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} \chi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} (1 + G_{\bar{\lambda}} * v_0)^{-1} \chi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} m_0(x, \bar{\lambda}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

In the following, we investigate analytic constraints of the continuous data s_c at ∞ , κ_j , and 0:

* **Hence away from κ_j** , Fourier transform theory implies

$$\left| \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j}(\lambda)\right) (|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2}) s_c(\lambda) \right|_{L^\infty} \leq C \sum_{h=0}^l |\partial_x^h v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}. \quad (3.49)$$

* **To characterize s_c at κ_j** , we need to investigate $G(x, x', \lambda)$, $m_0(x, \lambda)$ for $\lambda = \kappa_j + re^{i\alpha} \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times$. Refining estimates for $I_j^{[1]} - I_j^{[4]}$ in (3.42), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{e^{i[\lambda_I(x'_1-x_1)+2\lambda_I\lambda_R(x'_2-x_2)]}}{2\pi} I_j^{[3]} &\rightarrow [-1 + \frac{1}{2}\theta(x_2 - x'_2)] \chi_j(x) \xi_j(x') \\ &+ \theta(\lambda_R - \kappa_j) \theta(x'_2 - x_2) \chi_j(x) \xi_j(x') + \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_j(x) \xi_j(x') |\alpha|, \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda = \kappa_j + re^{i\alpha} \rightarrow \kappa_j$, $-\pi < \alpha < \pi$, which, together with G_d (3.33), yields discontinuity of G at κ_j .

$$G(x, x', \lambda) = \mathfrak{G}_j(x, x') + \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_j(x) \xi_j(x') |\alpha| + \omega_j(x, x', \lambda), \quad (3.50)$$

$$|\mathfrak{G}_j|_{C(D_{\kappa_j})} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}\right), \quad \omega_j(x, x', \kappa_j) = 0, \quad (3.51)$$

$$|\omega_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j}) \cap C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1 + |x'|}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}\right). \quad (3.52)$$

Here to derive (3.52), we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
& |e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)(x_1-x'_1)+(\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)(x_2-x'_2)}|_{H_\sigma^\mu(D_{z, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \\
&= \sup_{\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \leq 1, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq \pi} \\
& \quad \frac{|e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1-\lambda_1)(x_1-x'_1)+(\bar{\lambda}_1^2-\lambda_1^2)(x_2-x'_2)} - e^{(\bar{\lambda}_2-\lambda_2)(x_1-x'_1)+(\bar{\lambda}_2^2-\lambda_2^2)(x_2-x'_2)}|}{|\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i\alpha_2}|^\mu} \\
&= \sup_{\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \leq 1, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq \pi} \\
& \quad \frac{|e^{i([X_1-X'_1]\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma} \sin \alpha_1 + [X_2-X'_2](\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma})^2 \sin 2\alpha_1)} - e^{i([X_1-X'_1]\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma} \sin \alpha_2 + [X_2-X'_2](\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma})^2 \sin 2\alpha_2)}|}{|\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i\alpha_2}|^\mu} \\
&\leq \sup_{\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \leq 1, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq \pi} \\
& \quad \frac{|e^{i([X_1-X'_1]\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma} \sin \alpha_1 + [X_2-X'_2](\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma})^2 \sin 2\alpha_1)} - e^{i([X_1-X'_1]\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma} \sin \alpha_2 + [X_2-X'_2](\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma})^2 \sin 2\alpha_2)}|}{|\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i\alpha_2}|} \\
&\leq C(1 + |x'|),
\end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda_j = z + r_j e^{i\alpha_j} = z + \frac{\tilde{r}_j}{\sigma} e^{i\alpha_j} \in D_{z, \frac{1}{\sigma}}$, $z \in \{0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$.

Plugging (3.50) and (3.51) into (3.30), and applying

$$\begin{aligned}
\wp_j(x, x', \alpha) &= 1 + [\mathfrak{G}_j + \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_j(x) \xi_j(x') \cot^{-1} \frac{\lambda_R - \kappa_j}{|\lambda_I|}] * v_0, \\
m(x, \lambda) &= (1 + \wp_j^{-1} \omega_j * v_0)^{-1} \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \lambda) \\
&= \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \lambda) + \cdots + (-\wp_j^{-1} \omega_j * v_0)^k \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \lambda) + \cdots,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

one has

$$m_0(x, \kappa_j + 0^+ e^{i\alpha}) = \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \kappa_j) = \frac{\Theta_j(x)}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|}, \tag{3.54}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_j(x) &= [1 + \mathfrak{G}_j(x, x') * v_0(x')]^{-1} \chi_j(x'), \\
\gamma_j &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint \xi_j(x) v_0(x) \Theta_j(x) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Combining (3.36), (3.50), similar argument as that for deriving (3.52), and (3.54) (see (3.57) also),

$$\begin{aligned}
s_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} [\xi(\cdot, \bar{\lambda}) v_0(\cdot) m_0(\cdot, \lambda)]^\wedge \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2}{2\pi i} \right) \\
&= \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} \iint e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2-\lambda^2)x_2} \left(\frac{\xi_j(x)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} + h.o.t. \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times v_0(x) \left(\frac{\Theta_j(x)}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + h.o.t. \right) dx \\ &= \frac{\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_j(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

with $|h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} < |(1 + |x|)v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$.

* **Similarly, for** $\lambda \in D_0^\times$, using $|m_{0,r}|_{C^1(D_0)} < C(1 + |x|) \sum_{|k|=0}^1 |\partial_x^k (1 + |x|)v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} [\xi(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})v_0(\cdot)m_0(\cdot, \lambda)]^\wedge \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}{2\pi i}, \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2}{2\pi i} \right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{2\pi i} \iint e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} (0 \cdot \lambda + h.o.t.) \\ & \times v_0(x) \left(\frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda} + h.o.t. \right) dx \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I) \bar{h}_0(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

with $|\bar{h}_0|_{C^1(D_0)} < |(1 + |x|)v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$.

► Proof of $m_0 \in W_0$ follows from :

- (a) $m_0(x, \lambda) = \overline{m_0(x, \bar{\lambda})}$ follows from the reality of u_0 .
- (b) $(1 - E_0)m_0(x, \lambda) \in L^\infty$ follows from the integral equation (3.30) and $(1 - E_0)\chi \in L^\infty$.
- (c) For $\lambda \in D_0^\times$ $m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x_1, x_2)}{\lambda} + m_{0,r}(x_1, x_2, \lambda)$, with $m_{0,\text{res}}, m_{0,r} \in L^\infty(D_0)$ follows from (3.30) and

$$\chi(x, \lambda) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{e^{\kappa_1 \theta_1(x)} + a\kappa_2 e^{\theta_2(x)}}{e^{\theta_1(x)} + a e^{\theta_2(x)}}. \quad (3.56)$$

- (d) Applying (3.50)-(3.54), and (3.56), one has, for $\lambda = \kappa_j + re^{i\alpha} \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= m_0^b + m_0^\sharp, \quad m_0^b = \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \kappa_j), \\ m_0^\sharp &= \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \lambda) - \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \kappa_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\wp_j^{-1} \omega_j * v_0)^k \wp_j^{-1} \chi(x, \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m_0^b &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} m_{0,l}(X_1, X_2) (-\ln(1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|))^l \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j}), \\ m_0^\sharp &\in C_{\frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j}), \quad m_0^\sharp(x_1, x_2, \kappa_j) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

► To prove the initial CIE, we shall derive the following Cauchy integral estimates for $m_0(x, \lambda)$,

$$|CTm_0|_{L^\infty} \leq C|v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, \quad (3.58)$$

$$\mathcal{C}Tm_0(x, \lambda) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_I \neq 0. \quad (3.59)$$

Using (3.58) and (3.59), then applying Liouville's theorem,

$$m_0(x, \lambda) = g(x) + \frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda} + \mathcal{C}T_0m_0(x, \lambda), \quad (3.60)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x)m_0(x, \lambda) &= (\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda\partial_{x_1}) \left[g(x) + \frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda} \right] \\ &\quad + (\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda\partial_{x_1}) \mathcal{C}T_0m_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Therefore, if $\partial_x^k v_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$, $0 \leq |k| \leq 4$, letting $|\lambda| \gg 1$, $\lambda_I \neq 0$, one has first $g(x) \equiv g(x_2)$. Letting $x_1 \gg 1$, $\lambda_R \neq \kappa_1, \kappa_2$, we justify $g \equiv 1$ and establish the CIE.

To derive (3.58) and (3.59), we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}T_0m_0| &\leq \iint_{D_0} \frac{s_c(\zeta)e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1+(\bar{\zeta}^2-\zeta^2)x_2}m_0}{\zeta-\lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1,2} \iint_{D_{\kappa_j}} \frac{s_c(\zeta)e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1+(\bar{\zeta}^2-\zeta^2)x_2}m_0}{\zeta-\lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{C} \setminus (D_0 \cup D_{\kappa_1} \cup D_{\kappa_2})} \frac{s_c(\zeta)e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1+(\bar{\zeta}^2-\zeta^2)x_2}m_0}{\zeta-\lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \\ &\equiv P_1 + P_2 + P_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

Applying Stokes' theorem, the Sokhotski–Plemelj formula, $|m_{0,r}|_{L^\infty(D_0)}, |m_0|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} < C|v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$,

$$P_1 = |m_{0,r}(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{m_{0,r}(x, \zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta| \leq C|v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, \quad (3.63)$$

$$P_2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 |m(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta-\kappa_j|=\delta} \frac{m(x, \zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta| \leq C|v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}.$$

Estimate P_3 is derived by the change of variables (2.22) and from (2.17), (2.18), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} P_3 &\leq C \left| \iint_{\mathbb{C} \setminus (D_0 \cup D_{\kappa_1} \cup D_{\kappa_2})} \frac{s_c(\zeta)e^{(\bar{\zeta}-\zeta)x_1+(\bar{\zeta}^2-\zeta^2)x_2}m_0}{\zeta-\lambda} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq C|m_0|_{L^\infty(D_0^c)} \iint_{\mathbb{C} \setminus (D_0 \cup D_{\kappa_1} \cup D_{\kappa_2})} \frac{|s_c(\zeta(\xi, \eta))|}{|(2\pi\xi)^2 - 4\pi i\xi\lambda + 2\pi i\eta|} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C|m_0|_{L^\infty(D_0^c)} \left\{ |s_c(\zeta)|_{L^2(D_{\kappa_1}^c \cap D_{\kappa_2}^c, d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p\lambda} \right|_{L^2(\Omega_\lambda^c, d\xi d\eta)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

$$+ |s_c(\zeta)|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1}^c \cap D_{\kappa_2}^c, d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^1(\Omega_\lambda, d\xi d\eta)} \} \leq C|v|_{L^\infty \cap L^1}$$

► [10] To prove the \mathcal{D} -symmetry (3.15), introduce the total Green function $\mathcal{K}(x, x', \lambda)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x, x', \lambda) &= \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G} * v_0 \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{K}(x, x', \lambda) &= \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{K} * v_0 \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathcal{G}(x, x', \lambda) &= e^{\lambda(x_1 - x'_1) + \lambda^2(x_2 - x'_2)} G(x, x', \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

One has

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}_{v_0} \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}}_{v_0} = \delta(x - x'), \quad (3.66)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_0} = \mathcal{L} + v_0, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x),$$

with $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}$ the operator \mathcal{L} applying to the x variable of \mathcal{K} and $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}}$ the operator applying to the x' variable of \mathcal{K} .

Therefore the eigenfunction Φ and adjoint eigenfunction Ψ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^\dagger \Psi(x, \lambda) &\equiv (\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x)) \Psi(x, \lambda) = -v_0(x) \Psi(x, \lambda) \\ \Psi(x, \lambda) &\rightarrow \psi(x, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, \lambda) &= \mathcal{K}(x, x', \lambda) *_{x'} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} \varphi(x', \lambda) \equiv \mathcal{K} * \overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} \varphi, \\ \Psi(x', \lambda) &= \psi(x, \lambda) *_{x'} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{K}(x, x', \lambda) \equiv \psi * \overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.68)$$

with φ and ψ the Sato eigenfunction and the Sato adjoint eigenfunction (see (3.4), (3.5), (3.36), (3.37)).

In view of (3.68), the \mathcal{D} -symmetry is reduced to study symmetry of

$$(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) \mathcal{D}^b = 0, \quad (3.69)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{j-1} = \mathcal{G}_j + \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(x'), \quad (3.70)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{j-1} = \mathcal{K}_j + \frac{\Phi_j(x) \Psi_j(x')}{1 - c_{jj}}, \quad (3.71)$$

which rely on successively using (3.68) and (3.65) [10, 35]. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\Phi_j(x) \Psi_j(x')}{1 - c_j} = 0, \quad (3.72)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_l = \mathcal{K}_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{i+2} \frac{\Phi_j(x) \Psi_j(x')}{1 - c_j}. \quad (3.73)$$

Here $c_j = c_{jj}$ and the mod 2-condition is adopted.

Applying $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}}\varphi_i$ to (3.73) from the right and using (3.68), we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_l * \overleftarrow{\mathcal{L}}\varphi_i = \Phi_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{i+2} \frac{\Phi_j(x)c_{ji}}{1-c_j}. \quad (3.74)$$

Summing (3.74) up with the matrix \mathcal{D}_{im} and using the symmetry (3.69), we derive

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \Phi_i \mathcal{D}_{im}^\flat + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=l+1}^{i+2} \frac{\Phi_j(x)c_{ji} \mathcal{D}_{im}^\flat}{1-c_j} = 0. \quad (3.75)$$

Taking $l = 2$ in (3.75) and using (3.72), we prove

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D}^\sharp = 0. \quad (3.76)$$

Multiplying $(\mathcal{D}_{12}^\sharp)^{-1} \kappa_1$ from the right to both sides, we justify

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0.$$

3.2.3. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \Phi(x, \lambda) &= s_c(\lambda, x_3) \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}), \\ -\kappa_1 \Phi(x, \kappa_1) &= \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) \Phi(x, \kappa_2). \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = -\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}^3 + \frac{3}{2} u \partial_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4} u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u_{x_2} + \rho(\lambda), \quad \rho(\lambda) = -\lambda^3,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} [\mathcal{M}_\lambda \Phi(x, \lambda)] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \Phi(x, \lambda)] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [s_c(\lambda, x_3) \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda})] \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda)] s_c(\lambda, x_3) + s_c(\lambda, x_3) [\mathcal{M}_\lambda - \rho(\lambda)] \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda)] s_c(\lambda, x_3) + s_c(\lambda, x_3) [\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \rho(\bar{\lambda})] \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \Phi(x, \bar{\lambda}) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\lambda) - \rho(\bar{\lambda})] s_c(\lambda, x_3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\mathcal{M}_{\kappa_1} [\kappa_1 \Phi(x, \kappa_1)] = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_1} [\mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) \Phi(x, \kappa_2)] \\ &= \Phi(x, \kappa_1) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\kappa_1)] \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) + \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) [\mathcal{M}_{\kappa_1} - \rho(\kappa_1)] \Phi(x, \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \Phi(x, \kappa_1) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\kappa_1)] \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) + \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3) [\mathcal{M}_{\kappa_2} - \rho(\kappa_2)] \Phi(x, \kappa_2) \\ &= \Phi(x, \kappa_1) [-\partial_{x_3} + \rho(\kappa_1) - \rho(\kappa_2)] \mathcal{D}_{21}(x_3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

3.3. The inverse problem for perturbed KP 1-solitons.

3.3.1. *Statement of results.*

Definition 3.2. Let $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$, $d \geq 0$, and u_s be a $\text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0}$ KP soliton defined by $\{\kappa_j\}$, $A = (1, a)$. A scattering data $\mathcal{S} = (\{0\}, \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda))$ is called d -admissible if

$$s_c(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_j(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times, \\ \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) \bar{h}_0(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_0^\times, \end{cases} \quad (3.79)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = (\kappa_1, \mathcal{D}_{21})^T, \quad (3.80)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 &\geq (1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j}) \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} \left(|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2} \right) s_c(\lambda) |_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 (|\gamma_j| + |h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}) + |\bar{h}_0|_{C^1(D_0)} + |\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}^b|_{L^\infty}, \\ s_c(\lambda) &= s_c(\bar{\lambda}), \quad h_j(\lambda) = -\overline{h_j(\bar{\lambda})}, \quad \bar{h}_0(\lambda) = -\overline{\bar{h}_0(\bar{\lambda})}, \\ \mathcal{D}^b &= \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) A^T = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2 a)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Define T as the continuous scattering operator

$$T\phi(x, \lambda) \equiv s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} \phi(x, \bar{\lambda}). \quad (3.81)$$

Definition 3.3. The eigenfunction space $W = W_x$ consists of ϕ satisfying

- (a) $\phi(x, \lambda) = \overline{\phi(x, \bar{\lambda})}$;
- (b) $(1 - E_0)\phi(x, \lambda) \in L^\infty$;
- (c) for $\lambda \in D_0^\times$, $\phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\phi_{0, \text{res}}(x)}{\lambda} + \phi_{0, r}(x, \lambda)$, $\phi_{0, \text{res}}, \phi_{0, r} \in L^\infty(D_0)$;
- (d) for $\lambda = \kappa_j + r e^{i\alpha} \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times$, $\phi = \phi^b + \phi^\sharp$, $\phi^b = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \phi_l(X) (-\ln(1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|))^l \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp \in C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp(x, \kappa_j) = 0$.

Here

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \max\{1, |X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|\}, \quad (3.82)$$

is the rescaling parameter with X_k the coefficients of the phase function of T ,

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(x, \lambda) &= i[(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3] \quad \lambda = z + r e^{i\alpha} \in D_z \\ &= X_1 r \sin \alpha + X_2 r^2 \sin 2\alpha + X_3 r^3 \sin 3\alpha \equiv \wp(r, \alpha, X), \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

$$X_1(x, z) = 2(x_1 + 2x_2 z + 3x_3 z^2), \quad X_2(x, z) = 2(x_2 + 3x_3 z), \quad X_3(x, z) = 2x_3.$$

Moreover, $C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) = C(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ and the rescaled Hölder space $H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of functions $\phi(x, \lambda) \equiv \phi(r, \alpha, X)$, $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$, satisfying

$$|\phi|_{H_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \equiv \sup_{\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \leq 1, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq \pi} \frac{|\phi(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X) - \phi(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X)|}{|\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\alpha_1} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i\alpha_2}|^\mu} < \infty \quad (3.84)$$

for $\lambda_j = z + r_j e^{i\alpha_j} = z + \frac{\tilde{r}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\alpha_j} \in D_{z, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}$.

Finally, for $\phi \in W$, define

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi|_W \equiv & |(1 - E_0)\phi|_{L^\infty} + (|\phi_{0,\text{res}}|_{L^\infty} + |\phi_{0,r}|_{L^\infty(D_0)}) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 (|\phi^b|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} + |\phi^\sharp|_{C_{\bar{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

Our main results are

Theorem 3.3. [36] *Let u_s be a $\text{Gr}(1, 2)_{>0}$ KP soliton defined by $\{\kappa_j\}$, $A = (1, a)$. There exists a positive constant $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$, such that for any d -admissible scattering data $\mathcal{S} = (\{0\}, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda))$,*

(1) *the system of the Cauchy integral equation and the \mathcal{D} -symmetry,*

$$m(x, \lambda) = 1 + \frac{m_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda} + CTm, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \quad (3.86)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} m(x, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3} m(x, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (3.87)$$

is uniquely solved in W satisfying

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} [m(x, \lambda) - \chi(x, \lambda)]|_W \leq C\epsilon_0. \quad (3.88)$$

(2) *Moreover,*

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} + u(x)) m(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad (3.89)$$

$$u(x) \equiv -2\partial_{x_1} m_{0,\text{res}}(x) - \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad (3.90)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+4} |\partial_x^{l_1} [u(x) - u_s(x)]|_{L^\infty} \leq C\epsilon_0. \quad (3.91)$$

We define the inverse scattering transform by

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\{z_n, \kappa_j, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda)\}) = -\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta - 2\partial_{x_1} m_{z_0, \text{res}}(x); \quad (3.92)$$

(3) $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *solves the KP II equation*

$$(-4u_{x_3} + u_{x_1 x_1 x_1} + 6uu_{x_1})_{x_1} + 3u_{x_2 x_2} = 0. \quad (3.93)$$

3.3.2. The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.3.

(1) The system of the CIE and \mathcal{D} -symmetry, (3.86) and (3.87), represents both analytic and algebraic aspects of the IST toward an understanding of the KP II equation. We will show existence, uniqueness, and estimates of this system by taking the limit in W of the iteration sequence

$$\phi^{(k)}(x, \lambda) = 1 + \frac{\phi_{0,\text{res}}^{(k)}(x)}{\lambda} + CT\phi^{(k-1)}(x, \lambda), \quad k > 0, \quad (3.94)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3} \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (3.95)$$

$$\phi^{(0)}(x, \lambda) = \chi(x, \lambda). \quad (3.96)$$

Evaluating the CIE (3.94) at κ_1^+ , κ_2^+ , and using the \mathcal{D} -symmetry (3.95), one obtains a linear system of $2 + 1$ variables $\phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_1^+)$, $\phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_2^+)$, and $\phi_{0,\text{res}}^{(k)}(x)$. Hence the iteration turns into

$$\phi^{(k)}(x, \lambda) = 1 + \frac{\phi_{0,\text{res}}^{(k)}(x)}{\lambda} + CT\phi^{(k-1)}(x, \lambda), \quad k > 0, \quad (3.97)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{0,\text{res}}^{(k)}(x) = & - \frac{\kappa_1 e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a\kappa_2 e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}}{e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}} \\ & - \frac{\kappa_1 e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3}}{e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}} CT\phi^{(k-1)}(x, \kappa_1^+) \\ & - \frac{a\kappa_2 e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}}{e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}} CT\phi^{(k-1)}(x, \kappa_2^+), \end{aligned} \quad (3.98)$$

$$\phi^{(0)}(x, \lambda) = \chi(x, \lambda). \quad (3.99)$$

By the totally positive (TP) condition, it reduces to deriving uniform estimates of $CT\phi^{(k)}$ on D_∞ , D_{κ_j} , D_0 .

► **Estimates on D_∞ :** Away from 0, κ_j , the continuous data s_c and eigenfunction m_0 are regular as in the case of rapidly decaying potentials. Hence via the coordinates change (2.22) and applying (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |CT(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})\phi|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} \\ \leq & C|(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})\phi|_{L^\infty} \iint \frac{(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})|s_c(\zeta)|}{|p_\lambda(\xi, \eta)|} d\xi d\eta|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} \\ \leq & C|(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})\phi|_{L^\infty} \{ |(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})s_c|_{L^2(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^2(\Omega_\lambda^c, d\xi d\eta)} \\ & + |(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})s_c|_{L^\infty(d\xi d\eta)} \left| \frac{1}{p_\lambda} \right|_{L^1(\Omega_\lambda, d\xi d\eta)} \} \\ \leq & C\epsilon_0|(1 - E_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{\kappa_j})\phi|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

► **Estimates on D_{κ_j} :** To solve the inverse problem, we shall overcome the discontinuous leading singularity of s_c by noting

$$\frac{\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j|\alpha|} = -\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \ln(1 - \gamma_j|\alpha|) \equiv \tilde{\gamma}_j(\lambda) \quad (3.100)$$

and applying Stokes' theorem. To achieve an L^∞ -estimates for the CIO at κ_j , an oscillatory kernel, which is required to defined the \mathcal{D} -symmetry, we shall use the scaled topology $C_{\bar{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}}})$ introduced in Definition 3.1. Precisely, taking advantage of (3.100), the two dimensional property of \mathcal{C} ,

$|h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} < |(1 + |x|)v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$ (assured by the d -admissible condition), and scaling invariant properties,

$$|\mathcal{C}E_z\phi|_{L^\infty} \leq C|\phi|_{L^p(D_z)}, \quad |\mathcal{C}E_z\phi|_{H^\nu(D_z)} \leq C|\phi|_{L^p(D_z)}, \quad (3.101)$$

$$\mathcal{C}\tilde{\gamma}_j E_{\kappa_j} [-\ln(1 - \gamma_j|\beta|)]^l = \frac{[-\ln(1 - \gamma_j|\alpha|)]^{l+1}}{l+1} \quad (3.102)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta - \kappa_j| = \delta} \frac{\frac{1}{l+1} [-\ln(1 - \gamma_j|\beta|)]^{l+1}}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta,$$

$$|\mathcal{C}_\lambda \tilde{\gamma}_j e^{-i\varphi(x, \zeta)} E_{\kappa_j} f(\kappa_j + se^{i\beta})|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} \quad (3.103)$$

$$= |\mathcal{C}_\lambda \tilde{\gamma}_j e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X)} E_{\kappa_j, \tilde{\sigma}\delta} f(\kappa_j + \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\beta})|_{C^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, 1}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j, \tilde{\sigma}\delta})},$$

where the dilating polar coordinates near $z = \kappa_j$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= z + re^{i\alpha} = z + \frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\alpha}, \quad \zeta = z + se^{i\beta} = z + \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\beta}, \\ \tilde{\lambda} &= z + \tilde{r}e^{i\alpha}, \quad \tilde{\zeta} = z + \tilde{s}e^{i\beta}, \\ r, s &\leq \delta, \quad \tilde{r}, \tilde{s} \leq \tilde{\sigma}\delta, \quad |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq \pi, \end{aligned}$$

it then reduces to estimating $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \tilde{\gamma}_j e^{-i\varphi} E_{\kappa_j} f$ for $f \in W$. To this aim, decompose

$$\mathcal{C}_\lambda \tilde{\gamma}_j e^{-i\varphi(x, \zeta)} E_{\kappa_j} f \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5, \quad (3.104)$$

with

$$I_1 = -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < 2} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) f^\flat(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad (3.105)$$

$$I_2 = -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < 2} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) [e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} - 1] f^\flat(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad (3.106)$$

$$I_3 = -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < 2} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f^\sharp(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad (3.107)$$

$$I_4 = -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{2 < \tilde{s} < \tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad (3.108)$$

$$I_5 = -\frac{\theta(\tilde{r} - 1)}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < \tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}. \quad (3.109)$$

We shall apply Stokes' theorem (3.102) and Hölder interior estimates [13] to derive

$$|I_1^\flat|_{L^\infty}, \quad |I_1^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}, \quad |I_2|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}, \quad |I_3|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}.$$

For the estimates of

$$|I_4|_{C^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})}, \quad |I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}$$

which are integrals with slow decaying kernels on non uniformly \tilde{s} -compact domains. Taking advantage of the 2-dimensional property, we write them as iterated integrals in polar coordinates

$$I_4 = -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma_j|\beta|)] \int_{2<\tilde{s}<\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} d\tilde{s},$$

$$I_5 = -\frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma_j|\beta|)] \int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} d\tilde{s}.$$

Then estimates will be derived by applying meromorphic properties in \tilde{s} , the deformation method, and stationary point analysis of φ . Main ideas are, near stationary points, we deform

$$\tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{s}e^{i\tau} \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3.110)$$

such that the segment of \tilde{s} deforms into a union of line segments Γ 's and arcs S 's, satisfying

$$(a) \quad \text{on } \Gamma \text{'s, } \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin(k\beta)| \tilde{s}^k$$

$$(b) \quad \text{on } \Gamma \text{'s, } |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}| \geq \frac{1}{C} \max\{\tilde{s}, \tilde{r}\}, \quad (3.111)$$

$$(c) \quad \text{on } S \text{'s, } \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, estimates can be done if $|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}| \geq \frac{1}{C}$. When $|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \frac{1}{C}$, we shall prove I_5 near the stationary is no longer a singular integral and estimates can be obtained by means of (3.101) (see Proposition 3.2 for $\tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt[k]{|X_k|}$, $k = 3, 2$).

Estimates for $\tilde{\sigma} = |X_1|$ are more involved because $e^{\Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X))}$ either decays too slowly or creates a $\frac{1}{|\sin\beta|}$ singularity. To overcome difficulties, we shall either take advantage of the scaling invariant properties of the Hilbert transform or a finer decomposition (see Proposition 3.3 and remarks before Definition 3.7).

Details to prove the key estimates for I_3 , I_4 and I_5 will be provided in § 3.3.3, and § 3.3.4. Since they are major additional analytic features of the inverse problem for multi-soliton backgrounds.

► **Estimates on D_0 : Decompose**

$$\begin{aligned}
& CTE_0\phi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{D_0, \tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta)e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)}\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} + \mathcal{C}_\lambda TE_0\phi_{0,r} \\
&\equiv II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + II_4 + II_5,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &= -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta)\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \\
II_2 &= -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta)[e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} - 1]\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \\
II_3 &= \mathcal{C}_\lambda E_0 T\phi_{0,r}, \\
II_4 &= -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{2<\tilde{s}<\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta)e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)}\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \\
II_5 &= -\frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta)e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)}\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

From $|\hbar_0|_{C^1(D_0)} < |v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$ (assured by the d -admissible condition), the mean value theorem, and the Hilbert transform theory [13],

$$|II_1|_{L^\infty(D_0)}, |II_2|_{L^\infty(D_0)} \leq C\epsilon_0 |\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}|_{L^\infty}.$$

Writing $\hbar_0(\zeta) = \hbar_0(0) + [\hbar_0(\zeta) - \hbar_0(0)]$ and decompose $II_4 = II_{41} + II_{42}$, $II_5 = II_{51} + II_{52}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{41} &= -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{2<\tilde{s}<\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(0)e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)}\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}, \\
II_{51} &= -\frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<\tilde{\sigma}\delta} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)\hbar_0(0)e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)}\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}(x)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to \tilde{s} -meromorphic properties and adapting argument for estimating I_4, I_5 (see § 3.3.3) for II_{41}, II_{51} , we obtain

$$|II_{41}|_{L^\infty(D_0)}, |II_{51}|_{L^\infty(D_0)} \leq C\epsilon_0 |\phi_{0,\operatorname{res}}|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the remaining terms, by (3.101) and $|\hbar_0|_{C^1(D_0)} < |v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$ (assured by the d -admissible condition),

$$\begin{aligned} |II_{42}|_{L^\infty(D_0)}, |II_{52}|_{L^\infty(D_0)} &\leq C\epsilon_0|\phi_{0,\text{res}}|_{L^\infty}, \\ |II_3|_{L^\infty(D_0)} &\leq C\epsilon_0|\phi_{0,r}|_{L^\infty(D_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) To derive the Lax equation and the representation formula, firstly, introduce the shorthand notation for the heat operator

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} &= -\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \\ \nabla_1 &= \partial_{x_1} + \lambda, \quad \nabla_2 = \partial_{x_2} + \lambda^2, \quad J\phi = \frac{\phi_{0,\text{res}}(x)}{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

formally,

$$(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m = [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J + \mathcal{C}T]m + (J + \mathcal{C}T)(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m, \quad (3.112)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} [(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m(x, \kappa_1^+)]), \quad (3.113)$$

$$e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3} [(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m(x, \kappa_2^+)] \mathcal{D} = 0,$$

$$-u(x) \equiv [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J + \mathcal{C}T]m = +\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta + 2\partial_{x_1} m_{0,\text{res}}(x). \quad (3.114)$$

If we can prove rigorously

$$\begin{aligned} (-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m &\in W, \\ \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+4} |\partial_x^{l_1} [u(x) - u_s(x)]|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.115)$$

together with (3.113), then the unique of the CIE and the \mathcal{D} symmetry constraint imply

$$\begin{aligned} (-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2)m &= -(1 - J - \mathcal{C}T)^{-1}u(x)1 = -u(x)(1 - J - \mathcal{C}T)^{-1}1 \\ &= -u(x)m(x, \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.116)$$

Therefore, (3.89)-(3.91) are verified.

Rigorous argument is carried out by introducing

$$\phi^{(k)} = 1 + J\phi^{(k)} + \mathcal{C}T\phi^{(k-1)}, \quad J\phi^{(k)} = \frac{\phi_{0,\text{res}}^{(k)}(x)}{\lambda}, \quad (3.117)$$

proving

$$\begin{aligned} [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J]\phi^{(k)} &\text{ is independent of } \lambda, \\ [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}T]\phi^{(k-1)} &\text{ is independent of } \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

$$[-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J]\phi^{(k)} \rightarrow [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J]m = 2\partial_{x_1} m_{0,\text{res}}(x),$$

$$[-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}T] \phi^{(k-1)} \rightarrow [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}T] m = \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint T m d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta,$$

$$J(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2) \phi^{(k)} \rightarrow J(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2) m \quad \text{in } W, \quad (3.118)$$

$$\mathcal{C}T(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2) \phi^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}T(-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2) m \quad \text{in } W,$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+4} |\partial_x^l ([-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, J] m + u_s)|_{L^\infty} \leq C\epsilon_0,$$

$$|[-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}T] \phi^{(k-1)} - [-\nabla_2 + \nabla_1^2, \mathcal{C}T] \chi|_{L^\infty} \leq (C\epsilon_0)^k.$$

They are proved by using the estimates of the CIO's and the iteration method.

- (3) **The KP equation:** The KP equation will be derived by justifying the Lax pair. By the representation formula (3.91) and $\Phi(x, \lambda) = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m(x, \lambda)$, we define the evolution operators

$$\mathcal{M} = -\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}^3 + \frac{3}{2} u \partial_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4} u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u_{x_2} - \lambda^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}\Phi(x, \lambda) &= e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \left(\mathcal{M} + 3\lambda \partial_{x_1}^2 + 3\lambda^2 \partial_{x_1} + \lambda^3 + \frac{3}{2} u \lambda \right) m(x, \lambda) \\ &\equiv e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} (\mathfrak{M}m)(x, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

One can reverse the procedure, (3.77), (3.78), in the linearization theorem to prove

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} (\mathfrak{M}m)(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} (\mathfrak{M}m)(x, \bar{\lambda}), \quad (3.119)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} \mathfrak{M}m(x, \kappa_1^+), e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3} \mathfrak{M}m(x, \kappa_2^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0. \quad (3.120)$$

As $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, letting

$$m \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_j(x)}{\lambda^j}, \quad \mathfrak{M}m \sim q_2(x) \lambda^2 + q_1(x) \lambda + q_0(x) + \frac{q_{0, \text{res}}}{\lambda} + \dots, \quad (3.121)$$

from the Lax equation (3.89),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_{x_1} M_{j+1} &= (\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - u) M_j, \\ M_0 &= 1, \quad M_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u, \quad M_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u + \frac{1}{4} u + \frac{1}{4} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} (u \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u), \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.122)$$

As a result, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathfrak{M}m \quad (3.123)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{3}{4} u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} u_{x_2} + 3\lambda \partial_{x_1}^2 \left(1 + \frac{M_1}{\lambda}\right) + 3\lambda^2 \partial_{x_1} \left(1 + \frac{M_1}{\lambda} + \frac{M_2}{\lambda^2}\right) + \frac{3}{2} u \lambda \quad (3.124)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{3}{4}u_{x_1} + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u_{x_2} + \left(-\frac{3}{2}u_{x_1} + 3\partial_{x_1}(-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}^{-2}u + \frac{u}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}[u\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u]) \right) \\
&+ \lambda \left(3\partial_{x_1}M_1 + \frac{3}{2}u \right) + \frac{3}{2}u(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_1}^{-1}u) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $q_2 = q_1 = q_0 \equiv 0$ and then $\mathfrak{M}m \in W$. Together with (3.119), (3.120), and unique solvability of the system of the CIE and \mathcal{D} symmetry, yields $\mathfrak{M}m(x, \lambda) = 0$ and the Lax pair.

3.3.3. Highlight: estimates for I_3 .

The scaled Hölder estimate for

$$I_3 = -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<2} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\tilde{s}, \beta) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X)} f^\sharp(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}$$

is a reminiscence of the Hölder estimate of the Beltrami's equation [26, Theorem 1.32] which involves mainly estimates of

$$I'_3 g = \iint_D \frac{g(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \lambda)^2} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad |g|_{C^\mu} < \infty.$$

Both leading singular terms of I_3 and I'_3 can be integrated by Stokes' theorem.

Proposition 3.1.

$$|I_3|_{C^\mu_\sigma(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C^\mu_\sigma(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}. \quad (3.125)$$

Proof. From $f^\sharp \in C^\mu_\sigma(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})$ and $f^\sharp(x, \kappa_1) = 0$,

$$|\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{s}, \beta) f^\sharp(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X)|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{H^\mu_\sigma(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \tilde{s}^{\mu-1}.$$

Therefore, an improper integral yields

$$|I_3|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{H^\mu_\sigma(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}. \quad (3.126)$$

To derive the H^μ_σ -estimate of I_3 , let $\tilde{\lambda}_j = \kappa_1 + \tilde{r}_j e^{i\alpha_j}$, $\tilde{r}_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2$, define

$$\varphi_{f^\sharp}(x, \zeta) = e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X)} f^\sharp(x, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (3.127)$$

and decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_3(x, \lambda_1) - I_3(x, \lambda_2) \\
&= -\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
&- \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s}<2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma}, \alpha_2, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}
\end{aligned} \quad (3.128)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
& + \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} < 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $f^\sharp \in C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ and $f^\sharp(x, \kappa_1) = 0$, we have

$$|\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha, X)|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C |f^\sharp|_{H_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \tilde{r}^\mu. \quad (3.129)$$

Along with (3.100), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \leq C \epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{H_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu, \tilde{r}_1 = \tilde{r}_2, \\
& \left| \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \leq 0, \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \leq C \epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu. \quad (3.130)$$

In an entirely similar way,

$$\left| \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X)}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right] d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \leq C \epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu. \quad (3.131)$$

Let us now investigate the first term on the right hand side of (3.128). Applying (3.101), it suffices to derive the estimate for all λ_1, λ_2 with $\tilde{D} \subset \{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}$ being a disk centred at $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ with radius l and $l = 2|\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_1|$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
& = - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
& - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.132)$$

Let $\tilde{D}_0 = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \tilde{\lambda}_1| < \frac{3l}{2}\}$.

► If $\tilde{\zeta} \in \{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}$ and $\kappa_1 \in \tilde{D}_0$, then

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \right|, \left| \frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \kappa_1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right|, \left| \frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \kappa_1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \right| \leq C.$$

In this case, using $f^\sharp \in C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ and [26, Chapter 1, §6.1],

$$\left| - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \quad (3.133)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2| \iint_{\{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}} \frac{1}{|\tilde{\zeta} - \lambda_2| |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1|^{2-\mu}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

► If $\tilde{\zeta} \in \{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}$ and $\kappa_1 \notin \tilde{D}_0$ then

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \right| \leq C, \quad |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2| \leq \frac{1}{C} \min\{|\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \kappa_1|, |\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \kappa_1|\}.$$

In this case, using $f^\sharp \in C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})$ and [26, Chapter 1, §6.1],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| -\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \quad (3.134) \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2| \iint_{\{\tilde{s} \leq 2\}/\tilde{D}} \frac{1}{|\tilde{\zeta} - \kappa_1| |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1|^{2-\mu}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the second term on the RHS of (3.132) is done.

Let $\tilde{L}(\zeta) = 0$ be the line perpendicular to $\overline{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$ and passing through $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$. Set

$$\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, \pm} = \tilde{D} \cap \{\zeta : L(\zeta)L(\lambda_1) \geq 0\}.$$

Therefore, thanks to $f^\sharp \in C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})$, setting $\eta = \frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|}$, $\frac{\tilde{\zeta} - \kappa_1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|} = \eta - r_0 e^{i\alpha_0}$, and using [26, Chapter 1, §6.1],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, +}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \quad (3.135) \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2| |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, +}} \frac{1}{|\tilde{\zeta} - \kappa_1| |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1|^{1-\mu} |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2|} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \iint_{\{|\eta| \leq 2\} \cap \tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, +}} \frac{1}{|\eta - r_0 e^{i\alpha_0}| |\eta|^{1-\mu} |\eta - e^{i\alpha'}|} d\eta_R d\eta_I \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \iint_{\{|\eta| \leq 2\} \cap \tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, +}} \frac{1}{|\eta - r_0 e^{i\alpha_0}| |\eta|^{1-\mu}} d\eta_R d\eta_I \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

By analogy,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\sigma}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\sigma}, \alpha_2, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu \\
& + \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $f^\sharp \in C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})$, Stokes' theorem, and $|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1|, |\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2| \sim |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|$ on the boundary of $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}$ (assured by $|\tilde{\lambda}| \leq 1, \tilde{D} \subset \{\tilde{s} < 2\}$),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \\
& \leq C |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^{1+\mu} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{1}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
& = C |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^{1+\mu} \iint_{\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, -}} \frac{\partial_{\tilde{\zeta}} \left[\ln(1 - \gamma|\beta|) \frac{1}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \right]}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the first term on the RHS of (3.132) is done. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_1, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu, \quad \text{for } |\tilde{\lambda}_j - \kappa_1| \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.136)
\end{aligned}$$

In an entirely similar way,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2}{4\pi i} \iint_{\tilde{s} \leq 2} \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\zeta}) \frac{\varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X) - \varphi_{f^\sharp}(\frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \alpha_2, X)}{(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_1)(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}_2)} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right| \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu, \quad \text{for } |\tilde{\lambda}_j - \kappa_1| \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.137)
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (3.130), (3.131), (3.136), and (3.137) into (3.128), we obtain

$$|I_3(x, \lambda_1) - I_3(x, \lambda_2)| \leq C\epsilon_0 |f^\sharp|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} |\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2|^\mu \quad (3.138)$$

for $|\tilde{\lambda}_j - \kappa_1| \leq 1, j = 1, 2$. Hence (3.125) follows. \square

3.3.4. Highlight: estimates for I_4, I_5 .

Without loss of generality and for simplicity, we assume

$$\kappa_j = \kappa_1, \quad |\lambda - \kappa_1| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad X_1 > 0, \quad X_2, X_3 \geq 0, \quad (3.139)$$

in this section.

For $\hat{\sigma} \in \{X_1, \sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}$, consider the $\hat{\sigma}$ -scaled coordinates

$$\zeta = \kappa_1 + s e^{i\beta} = \kappa_1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{\sigma}} e^{i\beta} \in D_{\kappa_1},$$

and the deformation

$$\hat{s} \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \hat{s} e^{i\tau} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(-i\wp(\frac{\hat{s}e^{i\tau}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) &= \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \sin 3\tau \sin 3\beta \hat{s}^3 + \frac{X_2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sin 2\tau \sin 2\beta \hat{s}^2 + \frac{X_1}{\hat{\sigma}} \sin \tau \sin \beta \hat{s}, \\ \partial_{\hat{s}}\wp(\frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \beta, X) &= 3\frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma}^3} \sin 3\beta \hat{s}^2 + 2\frac{X_2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sin 2\beta \hat{s} + \frac{X_1}{\hat{\sigma}} \sin \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence as $|\tau| \ll 1$, zero roots of $\partial_{\hat{s}}\wp(\frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)$, the major obstruction of (a) in (3.111), are approximated by those of $\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\hat{s}e^{i\tau}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \beta, X))/\hat{s}$.

Definition 3.4. *The stationary points are defined to be*

$$\hat{s}_{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3\frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma}X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}}, \quad \Delta = 3\frac{X_1X_3}{X_2^2} \frac{\sin \beta \sin 3\beta}{\sin^2 2\beta}, \quad (3.140)$$

which satisfy

$$\partial_{\hat{s}}\wp(\frac{\hat{s}_{\pm}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \beta, X) = 3\frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sin 3\beta \hat{s}_{\pm}^2 + 2\frac{X_2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sin 2\beta \hat{s}_{\pm} + \frac{X_1}{\hat{\sigma}} \sin \beta = 0.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{0 \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}\}, & \Omega_2 &= \{\frac{\pi}{3} \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}, & \Omega_3 &= \{\frac{\pi}{2} \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}\}, \\ \Omega_4 &= \{\frac{2\pi}{3} \leq |\beta| \leq \pi\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.141)$$


one has Figure 1 for signatures of $\sin(k\beta)$ on Ω_j . Moreover, according to the determinant Δ , we have Table 3.1 for properties of roots \hat{s}_{\pm} . Notice that

$$|\hat{s}_+ - \hat{s}_-| = \left| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3\frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma}X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} \right|. \quad (3.142)$$

In the following, we will define essential stationary points $\hat{s}_{j,*}$ and decompose $[0, \hat{\sigma}]$ into intervals \mathcal{U}_j around $\hat{s}_{j,*}$. The deformation will be defined on \mathcal{U}_j .

Definition 3.5. *We define the essential stationary points $\hat{s}_{j,*} = \hat{s}_{j,*}(\hat{\sigma}, \beta, X)$ by*

$$\hat{s}_{0,*} = 0,$$

FIGURE 1. Signatures of $(\sin \beta, \sin 2\beta, \sin 3\beta)$ for $X_1, X_2, X_3 > 0$

Type	Subtype	Range of Δ	Properties of \hat{s}_{\pm}	β -domain (order of \hat{s}_{\pm})
$\mathfrak{A}(\beta, X)$	\mathfrak{A}'	$(2, \infty)$	\hat{s}_{\pm} complex roots	$\Omega_1(\frac{\hat{s}_+ + \hat{s}_-}{2} \leq 0)$
	\mathfrak{A}''	$(1, 2)$		$\Omega_4(\frac{\hat{s}_+ + \hat{s}_-}{2} \geq 0)$
$\mathfrak{B}(\beta, X)$	\mathfrak{B}'	$(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$	adjacent real roots w .	$\Omega_1(\hat{s}_- \leq \hat{s}_+ \leq 0)$
	\mathfrak{B}''			$\Omega_4(\hat{s}_- \geq \hat{s}_+ \geq 0)$
$\mathfrak{C}(\beta, X)$	\mathfrak{C}'	$(0, \frac{1}{2})$	$\hat{s}_+ = \frac{-\Delta}{6\frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \sin 3\beta} + l.o.t.,$	$\Omega_1(\hat{s}_- \leq \hat{s}_+ \leq 0)$
	\mathfrak{C}''		$\hat{s}_- = \frac{-2}{3\frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \sin 3\beta} + l.o.t.$	$\Omega_4(\hat{s}_- \geq \hat{s}_+ \geq 0)$
$\mathfrak{D}(\beta, X)$		$(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$	$\hat{s}_+ = \frac{-\Delta}{6\frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \sin 3\beta} + l.o.t.,$	$\Omega_2(\hat{s}_- \geq 0 \geq \hat{s}_+)$
			$\hat{s}_- = \frac{-2}{3\frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \sin 3\beta} + l.o.t.$	$\Omega_3(\hat{s}_+ \geq 0 \geq \hat{s}_-)$
$\mathfrak{E}(\beta, X)$		$(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2})$	\hat{s}_{\pm} real roots	$\Omega_2(\hat{s}_- \geq 0 \geq \hat{s}_+)$ $\Omega_3(\hat{s}_+ \geq 0 \geq \hat{s}_-)$

TABLE 3.1. Properties of \hat{s}_{\pm} and Δ for Type $\mathfrak{A}, \dots, \mathfrak{E}$ when $X_1 > 0, X_2, X_3 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s}_{1,*} &= \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{s}_+ + \hat{s}_-}{2} \geq 0, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} \in \{\sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}), \mathfrak{A}'', \\ \inf \hat{s}_{\pm} > 0, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \sup \hat{s}_{\pm} > 0, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ -, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} = X_1), \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \end{cases} \\
\hat{s}_{2,*} &= \begin{cases} \sup \hat{s}_{\pm}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ -, & \text{others,} \end{cases} \tag{3.143}
\end{aligned}$$

where $-$ means no definition. Given $0 < \epsilon_1 < \frac{\pi}{2k} \ll 1$, define neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_j(\hat{\sigma}, \beta, X)$ of essential critical points $\hat{s}_{j,*}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0 &= \begin{cases} [0, \frac{1}{2}], & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} \in \{\sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}), \mathfrak{A}'', \\ [0, \frac{1}{2\cos\epsilon_1}\hat{s}_{1,*}], & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ [0, \hat{\sigma}\delta] = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, \hat{\sigma}\delta] = \mathcal{U}_{0,<} \cup \mathcal{U}_{0,>}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} = X_1), \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{U}_1 &= \begin{cases} [\frac{1}{2}, \hat{s}_{1,*}] \cup [\hat{s}_{1,*}, \hat{\sigma}\delta] \equiv \mathcal{U}_{1,<} \cup \mathcal{U}_{1,>}, & \text{Type } \{[\mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} \in \{\sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\})] \vee \mathfrak{A}''\} \wedge (\hat{s}_{1,*} > 0), \\ [\frac{1}{2}, \hat{\sigma}\delta] \equiv \mathcal{U}_{1,>}, & \text{Type } \{[\mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} \in \{\sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\})] \vee \mathfrak{A}''\} \wedge (\hat{s}_{1,*} < 0), \\ [(1 - \frac{1}{2\cos\epsilon_1})\hat{s}_{1,*}, \hat{s}_{1,*}] \cup [\hat{s}_{1,*}, \hat{s}_{1,*} + \frac{\hat{s}_{2,*} - \hat{s}_{1,*}}{2\cos\epsilon_1}] \equiv \mathcal{U}_{1,<} \cup \mathcal{U}_{1,>}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ [(1 - \frac{1}{2\cos\epsilon_1})\hat{s}_{1,*}, \hat{s}_{1,*}] \cup [\hat{s}_{1,*}, \hat{\sigma}\delta] \equiv \mathcal{U}_{1,<} \cup \mathcal{U}_{1,>}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ \phi, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} = X_1), \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{U}_2 &= \begin{cases} [\hat{s}_{2,*} - \frac{\hat{s}_{2,*} - \hat{s}_{2,*}}{2\cos\epsilon_1}, \hat{s}_{2,*}] \cup [\hat{s}_{2,*}, \hat{\sigma}\delta] \equiv \mathcal{U}_{2,<} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2,>}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \phi & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.144)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \kappa_1 + \frac{\hat{r}e^{i\alpha}}{\hat{\sigma}} = \kappa_1 + \frac{\hat{s}_{j,*}e^{i\beta} + \hat{r}_je^{i\alpha_j}}{\hat{\sigma}}, \\ \hat{r}_j &= \hat{r}_j(\hat{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda), \quad \alpha_j = \alpha_j(\hat{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda), \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.145)$$

We define the deformation defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \kappa_1 + se^{i\beta} = \kappa_1 + \frac{\hat{s}e^{i\beta}}{\hat{\sigma}} \\ \hat{s} &\mapsto \xi_j \equiv \hat{s}_{j,*} + \hat{s}_je^{i\tau_j}, \quad \hat{s} \equiv \hat{s}_{j,*} \pm \hat{s}_j \in \mathcal{U}_j, \quad |\tau_j| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \hat{s}_j \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.146)$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \tau_0 \equiv 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \subset \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \\ \tau_0 \equiv 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \subset \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \\ \pm\epsilon_1 \geq \tau_0 \geq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ \pm\frac{\epsilon_1}{4} \geq \tau_0 \geq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ \mp\epsilon_1 \leq \tau_0 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{0,>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{A}', \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \\ \mp\frac{\epsilon_1}{4} \leq \tau_0 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{0,>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{A}', \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \\ \mp\epsilon_1 \leq \tau_0 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \mp\frac{\epsilon_1}{4} \leq \tau_0 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_0 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \pm\pi \geq \tau_2 \geq \pm\pi \mp \epsilon_1, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad \|\alpha_2 - \beta - \pi\| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2,<}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \mp\epsilon_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2,>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \pm\pi \geq \tau_2 \geq \pm\pi \mp \frac{\epsilon_1}{4}, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad \|\alpha_2 - \beta - \pi\| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2,<}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \mp\frac{\epsilon_1}{4} \leq \tau_2 \leq 0, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & \hat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2,>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \end{array} \right.$$

Case	Type \mathfrak{A}
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}e^{i\tau}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau \sin 3\beta \widehat{s} (\widehat{s} - \frac{3 \sin 2\tau \widehat{s}_{1,*}}{2 \sin 3\tau})^2 + \frac{X_1}{\sigma} \sin \tau \sin \beta \widehat{s} (1 - \frac{3 \sin^2 2\tau}{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau} \frac{1}{\Delta})$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} + \widehat{s}_{1,*}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau_1 \sin 3\beta \widehat{s}_1^3 + \frac{X_1}{\sigma} \sin \tau_1 \sin \beta \widehat{s}_1 (1 - \frac{1}{\Delta})$
Case	Type $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}e^{i\tau}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau \sin 3\beta \widehat{s} (\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}}) (\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}})$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} + \widehat{s}_{1,*}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau_1 \sin 3\beta \widehat{s}_1^2 (\widehat{s}_1 + \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{3 \sin 2\tau_1}})$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_2$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}_2 e^{i\tau_2} + \widehat{s}_{2,*}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau_2 \sin 3\beta \widehat{s}_2^2 (\widehat{s}_2 - \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_2}{3 \sin 2\tau_2}})$
Case	Type $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}e^{i\tau}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau \sin 3\beta \widehat{s} (\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}}) (\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}})$
$\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ $\widehat{s}_{1,*} = \widehat{s}_{\pm}$	$\blacktriangleright \Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} + \widehat{s}_{1,*}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ $= \frac{X_3}{\sigma^3} \sin 3\tau_1 \sin 3\beta \widehat{s}_1^2 (\widehat{s}_1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\sigma X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{3 \sin 2\tau_1}})$

TABLE 3.2. $\Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\widehat{s}}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ for deformation defined by Definition 3.5

$$\tau_{2,\dagger} = \begin{cases} \pm\pi \mp \epsilon_1, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| - \pi \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \quad \widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2<}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \mp \epsilon_1, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \quad \widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \pm\pi \mp \frac{\epsilon_1}{4}, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| - \pi \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \quad \widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2<}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \\ \mp \frac{\epsilon_1}{4}, & \text{for } \sin 3\beta \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_2 - \beta| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \quad \widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{2>}, \text{ Type } \mathfrak{B}'', \mathfrak{C}'', \end{cases}$$

The following lemmas show that the deformation fulfills goals of (3.111) partially.

Lemma 3.2. Define the deformation $\widehat{s} \mapsto \xi_j = \widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}$ on \mathcal{U}_j by Definition 3.5. We have, for $j = 0, 1, 2$,

$$|\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} - \widehat{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)}| \geq \frac{1}{C} \max\{\widehat{r}_j, \widehat{s}_j\}, \quad \text{if } \tau_{j,\dagger} \neq 0, \quad (3.147)$$

and

$$\Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_j}{\sigma}, \beta, X)) \leq 0. \quad (3.148)$$

Proof.

- **Proof of (3.147)** : According to the definition of $\tau_{j,\dagger}$ in Definition 3.5, if $\tau_{j,\dagger} \neq 0$ then

$$|\alpha_j - \beta - \tau_{j,\dagger}| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{4}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.149)$$

As a result, (3.147) is justified.

- **Proof of (3.148)** : In view of Definition 3.5, Table 3.2, and Figure 1,
– for Type \mathfrak{A} :

- * On \mathcal{U}_1 , both terms of $\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\xi_j}{\sigma}, \beta, X))$ are of the same signatures. Along with Definition 3.5 and Figure 1, yields (3.148).
- * On \mathcal{U}_0 , according to the assumption, we need only to consider *Type \mathfrak{A}'* (i.e., $\Delta \geq 2$), $\hat{\sigma} = X_1$. Along with Definition 3.5 and Figure 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & 3 \frac{X_3}{X_1^3} \sin 3\beta \left(\hat{s} - \frac{3 \sin 2\tau}{2 \sin 3\tau} \frac{\hat{s}_+ + \hat{s}_-}{2} \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{X_1}{X_1} \sin \beta \left(1 - \frac{3 \sin^2 2\tau}{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau} \frac{1}{\Delta} \right) \\ & \leq - \left| \frac{X_1}{X_1} \sin \beta \left(1 - \frac{3 \sin^2 2\tau}{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau} \frac{1}{\Delta} \right) \right| \leq -C_0 |\sin \beta|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.150)$$

Hence we prove (3.148).

- For Type \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{C}'' :

- * on \mathcal{U}_0 , from $\hat{s} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \epsilon_1} \hat{s}_{1,*}$ and $\epsilon_1 \ll 1$, we prove (3.148) by means of

$$\left(\hat{s} - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}} \right) \left(\hat{s} - \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{2 \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin 2\tau}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{8} \hat{s}_{1,*}^2. \quad (3.151)$$

- * On \mathcal{U}_1 , from Figure 1, $\frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{\sin 2\tau_1} \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{1,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.148) on $\mathcal{U}_{1,>}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{1,>}$ and (3.142), we have

$$\hat{s}_1 \leq \frac{\hat{s}_{2,*} - \hat{s}_{1,*}}{2 \cos \epsilon_1} = \frac{1}{\cos \epsilon_1} \left| \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} \right|. \quad (3.152)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{s}_1 + \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{3 \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\hat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{3 \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq 0, \quad \hat{s}_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{1,>}. \quad (3.153)$$

As a result, (3.148) follows.

* On \mathcal{U}_2 , from Figure 1, $-\frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_2}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_2} \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{2,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.148) on $\mathcal{U}_{2,<}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{2,<}$, we have

$$\widehat{s}_2 \leq \frac{\widehat{s}_{2,*} - \widehat{s}_{1,*}}{2 \cos \epsilon_1} = \frac{1}{\cos \epsilon_1} \left| \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta}} \right|. \quad (3.154)$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{s}_2 - \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_2}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_2}} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_2}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_2}} \leq 0, \quad \widehat{s}_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{2,<}. \quad (3.155)$$

Hence (3.148) is proved.

– For Type \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{C}' : it is sufficient to consider (3.148) for $\widehat{s} \in \mathcal{U}_{0,>}$. Hence (3.148) is proved by $\widehat{s}_{\pm} \leq 0$ and $\widehat{s} > \frac{1}{2}$.

– For Type \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} :

* on \mathcal{U}_0 , we prove (3.148) by means of $\widehat{s} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \epsilon_1} \widehat{s}_{1,*}$, $\epsilon_1 \ll 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau}} \right) \left(\widehat{s} - \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \sin \tau \sin 3\tau}{3 \sin^2 2\tau} \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau}} \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{4} \widehat{s} \widehat{s}_{1,*} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \widehat{s}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.156)$$

* on \mathcal{U}_1 , if $\widehat{s}_{1,*} = \widehat{s}_+$, by means of Table 3.1 and Figure 1, $\frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1} \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{1,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.148) on $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$, (3.142), and two roots are opposite signs, we have

$$\widehat{s}_1 + \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq -\frac{1}{4} \widehat{s}_1, \quad (3.157)$$

and (3.148) is proved.

On \mathcal{U}_1 , if $\widehat{s}_{1,*} = \widehat{s}_-$, by means of Table 3.1 and Figure 1, $-\frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1} \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{1,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.148) on $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$, (3.142), and two roots are opposite signs, we have

$$\widehat{s}_1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3 \sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2 \sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1}} \leq -\frac{1}{4} \widehat{s}_1, \quad (3.158)$$

and (3.148) is proved. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $\tilde{\sigma} = \max\{1, X_1, \sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}$ and $X_j \geq 0$ defined by (3.83).

(i) For Type \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} on \mathcal{U}_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, and Type \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{C}' on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$, one has

$$\Re(-i\wp\left(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X\right)) \leq -\frac{|\sin 3\beta|}{C} \widehat{s}_j^3, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}; \quad (3.159)$$

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{|\sin 2\beta|}{C} \min\{\widehat{s}_j^3, \widehat{s}_j^2\}, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2}. \quad (3.160)$$

(ii) For Type \mathfrak{A} on \mathcal{U}_1 ,

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{|\sin 3\beta|}{C} \widehat{s}_j^3, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}; \quad (3.161)$$

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{|\sin 2\beta|}{C} \widehat{s}_j^3, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2} = \tilde{\sigma}. \quad (3.162)$$

(iii) For

– Type \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} on \mathcal{U}_0 ;

– Type \mathfrak{A}' , \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{C}' on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$,

one has

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_0 e^{i\tau_{0,\dagger}}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin \beta| \widehat{s}, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = X_1 = \tilde{\sigma}. \quad (3.163)$$

Proof.

► **Proof of (3.159) and (3.161):** In view of $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}$, Definition 3.5, Table 3.2, and Figure 1,

– for Type \mathfrak{A} on \mathcal{U}_1 , from Figure 1, both terms of $\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt[3]{X_3}}, \beta, X))$ are of the same signatures. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re(-i\wp(\frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt[3]{X_3}}, \beta, X)) \\ &= \sin 3\tau_1 \sin 3\beta \widehat{s}_1^3 + \frac{X_1}{\sqrt[3]{X_3}} \sin \tau_1 \sin \beta \widehat{s}_1 (1 - \frac{1}{\Delta}) \\ &\leq -|\sin 3\tau_1 \sin 3\beta| \widehat{s}_1^3 \end{aligned}$$

and (3.161) is proved.

– For Type \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{C}'' :

* On \mathcal{U}_0 , by means of (3.151), we prove (3.159).

* On \mathcal{U}_1 , from Figure 1, $\frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1} \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{1,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.159) on $\mathcal{U}_{1,>}$. Then (3.159) follows from (3.152), (3.153) and the definition of interval $\mathcal{U}_{1,>}$.

* On \mathcal{U}_2 , from Figure 1, $-\frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_2}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_2} \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{2,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.159) on $\mathcal{U}_{2,<}$. Then (3.159) follows from (3.154), (3.155) and the definition of interval $\mathcal{U}_{2,<}$.

– For Type \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{C}' on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$, (3.159) is proved since $\widehat{s}_\pm \leq 0$ and $\widehat{s} > \frac{1}{2}$.

– For Type \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} :

* on \mathcal{U}_0 , by means of (3.156), we prove (3.159).

* On \mathcal{U}_1 , from Figure 1, $\widehat{s}_{1,*} = \widehat{s}_\pm$, $\pm \frac{\sin 3\beta \sin 3\tau_1}{\sin 2\beta \sin 2\tau_1} \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{U}_{1,\leq}$. It reduces to proving (3.159) on $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$. Then (3.159) follows from (3.157), (3.158), and the definition of interval $\mathcal{U}_{1,<}$.

► **Proof of (3.160):** In view of $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2}$, Definition 3.5, Table 3.2, and Figure 1,
 – For Type \mathfrak{B}'' on \mathcal{U}_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$: Notice (3.140) implies

$$3 \frac{X_3}{X_2^{3/2}} \sin 3\beta = \frac{X_2^{1/2} \sin 2\beta}{X_1 \sin \beta} \Delta \sin 2\beta. \quad (3.164)$$

Together with Table 3.1, $\Delta > \frac{1}{2}$, and similar argument as that for $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}$, yields

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin 2\beta| \widehat{s}_j^3. \quad (3.165)$$

– For Type \mathfrak{B}' on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$: Using (3.164), Table 3.1, $\Delta > \frac{1}{2}$, $\widehat{s}_\pm \leq 0$, and $\widehat{s} > \frac{1}{2}$, yields

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin 2\beta| \widehat{s}_j^3. \quad (3.166)$$

– For Type \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} on \mathcal{U}_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$: Via (3.164), similar argument as that for $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{s}_j + (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_j}{2 \sin 2\tau_j}}| &\geq \frac{1}{C} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{X_3}{X_2^{3/2}} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} \right|, \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_j, j = 1, 2, \text{ for Type } \mathfrak{C}'', \\ |\widehat{s}_1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-\Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3}{\widehat{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta} \frac{\sin 3\tau_1}{2 \sin 2\tau_1}}| &\geq \frac{1}{C} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{X_3}{X_2^{3/2}} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} \right|, \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_1, 0 < \widehat{s}_\pm \text{ for Type } \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ |\widehat{s}_{1,*} - \widehat{s}_{2,*}| &\geq \frac{1}{C} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{X_3}{X_2^{3/2}} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} \right|, \text{ for Type } \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.167)$$

As a result,

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin 2\beta| \widehat{s}_j^2. \quad (3.168)$$

– For Type \mathfrak{C}' on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$: Thanks to $\widehat{s}_\pm \leq 0$, $\widehat{s} > \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \Delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, (3.164), and (3.167),

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin 2\beta| \widehat{s}_j^2. \quad (3.169)$$

Hence (3.160) is justified.

► **Proof of (3.162):** In this case, $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2} = \max\{X_1, \sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}$ for Type \mathfrak{A} on \mathcal{U}_1 . Together with (3.164), Table 3.1, $\Delta > \frac{1}{2}$, yields

$$\Re(-i\wp(\frac{\widehat{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger}} + \widehat{s}_{j,*}}{\widehat{\sigma}}, \beta, X)) \leq -\frac{1}{C} |\sin 2\beta| \widehat{s}_j^3. \quad (3.170)$$

Hence (3.162) is justified.

► **Proof of (3.163) :** In this case, $\widehat{\sigma} = X_1 = \max\{X_1, \sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}$,

– For Type \mathfrak{A}' on \mathcal{U}_0 , the estimates then follows from (3.163).

– For *Type* \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{E} on \mathcal{U}_0 , and for *Type* \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{C}' , on $\mathcal{U}_{0,>}$, we use

$$3\frac{X_3}{X_1^3} \sin 3\beta = \left(\frac{X_2 \sin 2\beta}{X_1^2 \sin \beta}\right)^2 \sin \beta \Delta, \quad 3\frac{X_3}{X_1 X_2 \sin 2\beta} = \frac{X_2 \sin 2\beta}{X_1^2 \sin \beta} \Delta. \quad (3.171)$$

Hence (3.163) follows from

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (3.146), (3.171), \frac{1}{2} \leq \Delta \leq 1, (3.140), \text{ Table 3.2} & \text{Type } \mathfrak{B}, \\ (3.140), \text{ Table 3.2}, (3.171), |(\widehat{s} - \widehat{s}'_+)(\widehat{s} - \widehat{s}'_-)| \geq \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{X_2 \sin 2\beta}{X_1^2 \sin \beta}\right)^2 |\Delta|}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \\ (3.140), \text{ Table 3.2}, (3.171), |(\widehat{s} - \widehat{s}'_+)(\widehat{s} - \widehat{s}'_-)| \geq \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{X_2 \sin 2\beta}{X_1^2 \sin \beta}\right)^2 |\Delta|}, & \text{Type } \mathfrak{E}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.172)$$

□

Definition 3.6. Let $\tilde{\sigma} = \max\{1, X_1, \sqrt{X_2}, \sqrt[3]{X_3}\}$ with X_j defined by (3.83), and scaled coordinates

$$\zeta = \kappa_1 + \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\beta} \in D_{\kappa_1}$$

by replacing $\widehat{\sigma}$, \widehat{s} , \widehat{s}_j , $\widehat{s}_{j,*}$, $\widehat{\lambda}$, $\widehat{\lambda}_{j,*}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}$, \tilde{s} , \tilde{s}_j , $\tilde{s}_{j,*}$, $\tilde{\lambda}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{j,*}$ in Definition 3.5. We decompose $X_1, X_2, X_3 > 0$ into following three cases

$$(F1) \tilde{\sigma} = X_1, \quad (F2) \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2}, \quad (F3) \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}. \quad (3.173)$$

Proposition 3.2. For Case (F3), (F2), and $f \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$ is \tilde{s} -holomorphic,

$$|I_4|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}, \quad (3.174)$$

$$|I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}. \quad (3.175)$$

Proof.

- **Estimates for $|I_4|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})}$:** Using the \tilde{s} -holomorphic property of f , and a residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 = & -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \left\{ \left(\int_{S_<} + \int_{\Gamma_{40}} \right) \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_0}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_0}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_0 e^{i\tau_0} - \tilde{r}_0 e^{i(\alpha_0 - \beta)}} d\xi_0 \right. \\ & + \int_{\Gamma_{41}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} - \tilde{r}_1 e^{i(\alpha_1 - \beta)}} d\xi_1 + \int_{\Gamma_{42}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_1 e^{i\tau_2} - \tilde{r}_1 e^{i(\alpha_2 - \beta)}} d\xi_2 \\ & \left. + \int_{S_>} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_h}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_h}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_h e^{i\tau_h} - \tilde{r}_h e^{i(\alpha_h - \beta)}} d\xi_h \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.176)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{<}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda) &= \{\xi_0 : \tilde{s} = 2\}, \\
\Gamma_{40}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda) &= \{\xi_0 : \tilde{s} \in (2, \tilde{\sigma}\delta) \cap \mathcal{U}_0, \tau_0 = \tau_{0,\dagger}\}, \\
\Gamma_{41}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda) &= \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s} \in (2, \tilde{\sigma}\delta) \cap \mathcal{U}_1, \tau_1 = \tau_{1,\dagger}\}, \\
\Gamma_{42}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda) &= \{\xi_2 : \tilde{s} \in (2, \tilde{\sigma}\delta) \cap \mathcal{U}_2, \tau_2 = \tau_{2,\dagger}\}, \\
S_{>}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X, \lambda) &= \{\xi_h : h = \sup_{\mathcal{U}_j \neq \phi} j, \tilde{s} = \tilde{\sigma}\delta\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.177}$$

and $\xi_j, \tau_j, \tau_{j,\dagger}, \mathcal{U}_j = \mathcal{U}_j(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$ defined by Definition 3.5.

In view of (3.139), $\tilde{r} < 1$, (3.147), (3.148), and (3.177),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_{\beta} \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \right. \\
& \times \left(\int_{S_{<}} + \int_{S_{>}} \right) \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{i\tau} \Big|_{C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\mu}(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})}. \tag{3.178}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.159) and (3.161) for Case (F3), or (3.160) and (3.162) for (F2), (3.147), $\tilde{r} < 1$, (3.148), (3.177), and improper integrals,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^2 \left| \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_{\beta} \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \right. \\
& \times \int_{\Gamma_{4j}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)}} d\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} \Big|_{C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\mu}(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=1}^2 \sum_{j=0}^2 \left| \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_{\beta} \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] e^{-i(n-1)\beta} \right. \\
& \times \int_{\Gamma_{4j}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{(\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})^n} d\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} \Big|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta \int e^{-\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}\tilde{s}_j^3 |\sin 3\tau_j \sin 3\beta|} d\tilde{s}_j & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}, \\ C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta \int e^{-\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}\tilde{s}_j^2 |\sin 2\tau_j \sin 2\beta|} d\tilde{s}_j & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2}, \end{cases} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{|\sin 3\beta|}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^3 |\sin 3\tau_j|} dt & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt[3]{X_3}, \\ C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\sin 2\beta|}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^2 |\sin 2\tau_j|} dt & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{X_2}, \end{cases} \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.179}$$

Combining (3.176), (3.178), and (3.179), we derive

$$|I_4|_{C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\mu}(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\kappa_1})}. \tag{3.180}$$

- **Estimates for $|I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}$** : Using the \tilde{s} -holomorphic property of f , and the residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 = & -\frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{50}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_0}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_0}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_0 e^{i\tau_0} - \tilde{r}_0 e^{i(\alpha_0 - \beta)}} d\xi_0 \right. \\
& + \int_{\Gamma_{51}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} - \tilde{r}_1 e^{i(\alpha_1 - \beta)}} d\xi_1 + \int_{\Gamma_{52}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_2}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_2 e^{i\tau_2} - \tilde{r}_2 e^{i(\alpha_2 - \beta)}} d\xi_2 \\
& + \left. \int_{S_>} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_h}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_h}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_h e^{i\tau_h} - \tilde{r}_h e^{i(\alpha_h - \beta)}} d\xi_h \right\} \\
& - \theta(\tilde{r}-1) \theta(\tilde{r}_1 - \frac{1}{4}) \theta(\tilde{r}_2 - \frac{1}{4}) \int_{\beta \in \mathfrak{d}(\lambda)} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \operatorname{sgn}(\beta) \\
& \times e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.181}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}(\lambda) \equiv \left\{ \beta : \begin{cases} |\alpha_0 - \beta| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & (\alpha_0 - \beta)\beta < 0, \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \\ ||\alpha_1 - \beta| - \pi| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & (\alpha_1 - \beta)\beta < 0, \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{1<}, \\ |\alpha_1 - \beta| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & (\alpha_1 - \beta)\beta < 0, \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{1>}, \\ ||\alpha_2 - \beta| - \pi| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & (\alpha_2 - \beta)\beta < 0, \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{2<}, \\ |\alpha_2 - \beta| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, & (\alpha_2 - \beta)\beta < 0, \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{2>} \end{cases} \right\}, \tag{3.182}$$

and $S_>$ defined by (3.177), $\Gamma_{5j} = \Gamma_{5j}(\beta, X, \lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{50} &= \{\xi_0 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0, \tau_0 = \tau_{0,\dagger}\} \cup S_{50}, \\
\Gamma_{51} &= \Gamma_{51,out} \cup S_{51} \cup \Gamma_{51,in}, \\
\Gamma_{52} &= \Gamma_{52,out} \cup S_{52} \cup \Gamma_{52,in},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.183}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{50} &= \begin{cases} \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s}_0 = \frac{1}{2}^+\} \\ \phi, \end{cases} & \text{Type } \mathfrak{A}' \wedge (\hat{\sigma} = X_1), \mathfrak{B}', \mathfrak{C}', \\
& & \text{otherwise,} \\
\Gamma_{51,in} &= \begin{cases} \phi, & \tilde{r}_1 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1, \tau_1 = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_{1>}, \\ \tau_1 = \pi \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_{1<}, \tilde{s}_1 < 1/2\}, & \tilde{r}_1 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \\
\Gamma_{51,out} &= \begin{cases} \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1, \tau_1 = \tau_{1,\dagger}\}, & \tilde{r}_1 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_1, \tau_1 = \tau_{1,\dagger}, \tilde{s}_1 > 1/2\}, & \tilde{r}_1 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \\
S_{51} &= \begin{cases} \phi, & \tilde{r}_1 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_1 : \tilde{s}_1 = 1/2\} & \tilde{r}_1 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{52,in} &= \begin{cases} \phi, & \tilde{r}_2 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_2 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_2, \tau_2 = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_{2>}, \\ \tau_2 = \pi \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_{2<}, \tilde{s}_2 < 1/2\}, & \tilde{r}_2 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \\ \Gamma_{52,out} &= \begin{cases} \{\xi_2 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_2, \tau_2 = \tau_{2,\dagger}\}, & \tilde{r}_2 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_2 : \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_2, \tau_2 = \tau_{2,\dagger}, \tilde{s}_2 > 1/2\}, & \tilde{r}_2 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \\ S_{52} &= \begin{cases} \phi, & \tilde{r}_2 > \frac{1}{4}, \\ \{\xi_2 : \tilde{s}_2 = 1/2\} & \tilde{r}_2 < \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_j, \xi_j, \tau_{j,\dagger}, \mathcal{U}_j = \mathcal{U}_j(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$ defined by Definition 3.7.

Using (3.101), $\tilde{r} > 1$, and argument as that for I_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} &\leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left| \frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \int_{\Gamma_{5j,in}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)}} d\xi_j \right|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.184)$$

Namely, we have to pay extra attention when both $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ is close to one of the essential stationary point $\tilde{s}_{j,*}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$, say $\tilde{s}_{1,*}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$ without loss of generality because the other case can be done by analogy. In this situation, $\Gamma_{52,in} = \phi$. For the estimates on $\Gamma_{51,in}$, in view of (3.183), we have $|\kappa_1 - \tilde{\zeta}| \geq 1/4$ for $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma_{51,in}$. Hence I_5 for $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma_{51,in}$ is no longer a singular integral and we can apply (3.101). Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\theta(\tilde{r}-1) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \int_{\Gamma_{51,in}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_1 e^{i\tau_1} - \tilde{r}_1 e^{i(\alpha_1 - \beta)}} d\xi_1|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \\ &\leq C \left| \iint_{\tilde{s} \in \Gamma_{51,in}} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{s}, \beta) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\lambda}} d\tilde{\zeta} \wedge d\tilde{\zeta} \right|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.185)$$

Consequently,

$$|I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}. \quad (3.186)$$

□

Estimates for Case (F1) are more involved. Let's point out the difficulties and outline our strategy first for clarity.

- On \mathcal{U}_j for $j \geq 1$, in view of Lemma 3.3, there are no good uniform estimates (means the coefficients for the polynomial \tilde{s} only depends on functions of β) for $\Re(-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_{j,\dagger} + \tilde{s}_{j,*}}}{X_1}, \beta, X))$.

We shall take advantage of the scaling invariant properties of the Hilbert transform and estimates (3.160) and (3.161), where $\sqrt{X_2}$ and $\sqrt[3]{X_3}$ are not necessarily equal to $\tilde{\sigma} = X_1$, to derive estimates on Γ_{4j} or Γ_{5j} , $j \geq 1$. In view of argument of (3.185), one additional important issue is that, to apply (3.101), the Cauchy integral is no longer a singular integral near renormalized essential critical points (see Definition 3.7). This is done in Lemma 3.4.

- On \mathcal{U}_0 , the above scaling argument won't work since 0 is a singular point.

We have to take advantage of estimate (3.163)! If we apply argument as for Case (F3) or (F2) in Proposition 3.2 directly, then the difficulty encountered is the Jacobian $\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} d\beta$ instead of $\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{|\sin 3\beta|}} d\beta$ or $\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\sin 2\beta|}} d\beta$. To overcome this difficulty when $|\beta| \ll 1$, we shall squeeze out extra $|\sin \beta|$ -decay of integrals on Γ_{40} or Γ_{50} via deformation of a finer decomposition. This is done via \mathfrak{J}_1 - \mathfrak{J}_5 decomposition (3.198)-(3.202).

Definition 3.7. For Case (F1), introduce new scaled σ_j -parameters on $\mathcal{U}_j(\beta, X)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_0 = \tilde{\sigma}, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sqrt[3]{|X_3|}, & \text{for Type } \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{E}, \\ \sigma_0 = \tilde{\sigma}, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sqrt[2]{|X_2|}, & \text{for Type } \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \end{cases} \quad (3.187)$$

and scaled σ_j -coordinates on $\mathcal{U}_j(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \kappa_1 + \frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\sigma}} e^{i\alpha} = \kappa_1 + \frac{s_{j,*} e^{i\beta} + r_j e^{i\alpha_j}}{\sigma_j}, \\ s_{j,*} &\equiv \tilde{s}_{j,*} \frac{\sigma_j}{\tilde{\sigma}}, \quad r_j = \tilde{r}_j \frac{\sigma_j}{\tilde{\sigma}} \geq 0, \quad \alpha_j = \alpha_j(\beta, X, \lambda), \\ \frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}} &\mapsto \frac{\vartheta_j}{\sigma_j} \equiv \frac{s_{j,*} + s_j e^{i\tau_j}}{\sigma_j}, \quad \tilde{s} \equiv (s_{j,*} \pm s_j) \frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\sigma_j} \in \mathcal{U}_j(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X) \end{aligned} \quad (3.188)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_j(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta, X)$, $\tilde{s}_{j,*}$, and \tilde{r}_j are defined by Definition 3.6.

Lemma 3.4. For Case (F1), introduce the new scaled σ_j -coordinates defined by Definition 3.7,

$$\inf_{\beta} s_{1,*} = c_0, \quad 0 < c_0 < 1. \quad (3.189)$$

Proof.

► **Proof for Type \mathfrak{A}'' , \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{E} :** from Table 3.1, (3.187), and

$$|s_+ s_-| = \left| \frac{X_1}{X_3^{1/3}} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin 3\beta} \right| \geq \frac{1}{3}, \quad s_{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \Delta}}{3 \frac{X_3^{2/3}}{X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}},$$

we derive $|s_+| \sim |s_-|$ and then (3.189) for Type \mathfrak{A}'' , \mathfrak{B}'' , \mathfrak{E} .

► **Proof for Type \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D}** : from (3.140), Table 3.1, and (3.187),

$$|s_+| = \left| \frac{-\Delta}{6 \frac{X_3}{\tilde{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} + \text{l.o.t.} \right| = \left| \frac{-3 \frac{X_1 X_3}{X_2^2} \frac{\sin \beta \sin 3\beta}{\sin^2 2\beta}}{6 \frac{X_3}{X_2^{3/2}} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} + \text{l.o.t.} \right| \geq \frac{1}{C},$$

$$|s_-| = \left| \frac{-2}{3 \frac{X_3}{\tilde{\sigma} X_2} \frac{\sin 3\beta}{\sin 2\beta}} + \text{l.o.t.} \right| \geq \frac{1}{C} |s_+| \geq \frac{1}{C}.$$

Hence (3.189) is proved for Type \mathfrak{C}'' and \mathfrak{D} . □

Proposition 3.3. *For Case (F1), and $f \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$ is \tilde{s} -holomorphic,*

$$|I_4|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}, \quad (3.190)$$

$$|I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}. \quad (3.191)$$

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 3.4, we can following the same argument (3.176)-(3.178), (3.181)-(3.183) to obtain

$$|I_4|_{C_\sigma^\mu(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} + \sum_{j=0}^2 |I_{4j}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}, \quad (3.192)$$

$$|I_5|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} + \sum_{j=0}^2 |I_{5j}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}, \quad (3.193)$$

with

$$I_{4j} = \sum_{h=1,2} \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] e^{-i(n-1)\beta} \int_{\Gamma_{4j}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{(\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})^h} d\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j},$$

$$I_{5j} = \frac{\theta(\tilde{r}-1)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \int_{\Gamma_{5j, \text{out}}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\tilde{s}_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)}} d\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j}.$$

► **Proof for $I_{41}, I_{42}, I_{51}, I_{52}$** : For $j \geq 1$, using the scaling invariant of the Hilbert transform and

- for $\Gamma_{4j} \cap \{s_j < 1\}$: applying Lemma 3.4, (3.101);
- for $\Gamma_{4j} \cap \{s_j > 1\}$: thanks to the scaling invariant of the Hilbert transform, applying (3.161) on $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ for Type \mathfrak{A}'' , \mathfrak{B}'' \mathfrak{E} , and (3.162) on $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ for Type \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} ,

we have

$$|I_{4j}|_{C^1(D_{\kappa_1, \frac{1}{\sigma}})} \quad (3.194)$$

$$\leq \sum_{h=0}^1 \left\{ \left| \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_{\Gamma_{4j} \cap \{s_j < 1\}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{s_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} \tilde{\chi}(\frac{s_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{(\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})^h (s_j e^{i\tau_j} - r_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})} ds_j e^{i\tau_j} |_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \\
& + \sum_{h=0}^1 \left\{ \frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \right. \\
& \times \int_{\Gamma_{4j} \cap \{s_j > 1\}} \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{s_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, \beta, X)} \tilde{\chi}(\frac{s_j}{\sigma} e^{i\tau_j}, -\beta, X)}{(\tilde{s}_j e^{i\tau_j} - \tilde{r}_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})^h (s_j e^{i\tau_j} - r_j e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta)})} ds_j e^{i\tau_j} |_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \left. \right\} \\
& \leq C\epsilon_0 |\tilde{\chi}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

In an entirely similar way, namely, for $j \geq 1$,

- for $\Gamma_{5j} \cap \{s_j < 1\}$: applying Lemma 3.4, (3.101);
- for $\Gamma_{5j} \cap \{s_j > 1\}$: thanks to the scaling invariant of the Hilbert transform, applying (3.161) on $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ for *Type* \mathfrak{A}'' , \mathfrak{B}'' \mathfrak{E} , and (3.162) on $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ for *Type* \mathfrak{C}'' , \mathfrak{D} ,

we have

$$|I_{5j}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0 |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}. \quad (3.195)$$

As a result, proof for I_{4j}, I_{5j} for $j \geq 1$ is done.

- **Proof for I_{40}, I_{50} :** We shall use the estimate (3.163). But we have to deal with the singularity $\sin \beta = 0$ caused by the change of variables. We shall squeeze out extra $|\sin \beta|$ -decay of integrals on Γ_{40} or Γ_{50} via a finer decomposition. Namely, decompose

$$I_{40} = -\frac{\theta(1-\tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_0^\pi d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1-\gamma|\beta|)] \int_{\Gamma_{40}} \mathfrak{J}_<, \quad (3.196)$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_< = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}_1 + \mathfrak{J}_2 + \mathfrak{J}_3 + \mathfrak{J}_4 + \mathfrak{J}_5, & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \epsilon_1/8, \\ 0, & \text{if } -\epsilon_1/8 < \beta < 0, \\ \frac{e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\vartheta_0}{\sigma_0}, \beta, X)} f(\frac{\vartheta_0}{\sigma_0}, -\beta, X)}{s_0 e^{i\tau_0} - r_0 e^{i(\alpha_0 - \beta)}} d\vartheta_0, & \text{if } |\beta| > \epsilon_1/8; \end{cases} \quad (3.197)$$

and $\mathfrak{J}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{J}_5$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{J}_1 = \theta \left(\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} - |\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}| \right) \frac{[e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{X_1}, \beta, X)} - 1] f(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha - \beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}, \quad (3.198)$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_2 = \theta \left(\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} - |\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}| \right) \frac{[1 - e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X)}] f(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X)}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha - \beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}, \quad (3.199)$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_3 = \theta \left(\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} - |\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}| \right) e^{-i\varphi(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X)} f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X\right) \quad (3.200)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}} \right] d\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}, \\ \mathfrak{J}_4 = & \theta\left(\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} - |\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}|\right) e^{-i\varphi\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X\right)} \frac{f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X\right) - f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, +\beta, X\right)}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.201)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_5 = & \theta\left(|\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}| - \frac{1}{|\sin \beta|}\right) \left(e^{-i\varphi\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{X_1}, \beta, X\right)} \frac{f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X\right)}{\tilde{s}e^{i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{i\tau} \right. \\ & \left. - e^{-i\varphi\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, -\beta, X\right)} \frac{f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau}}{X_1}, +\beta, X\right)}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}} d\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.202)$$

with τ defined by Definition 3.5 for $\beta \in [0, \pi]$.

Let's explain our strategy before providing detailed estimates for $\mathfrak{J}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{J}_5$.

For \mathfrak{J}_1 - \mathfrak{J}_4 , one can squeeze out extra $|\sin \beta|$ -decay of nominators of integrands by taking advantage of difference terms. Through the change of variables

$$\tilde{s} \mapsto t = \tilde{s} |\sin \beta|, \quad (3.203)$$

the cut off function $\theta\left(\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|} - |\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}|\right)$ makes the t -domain of \mathfrak{J}_1 - \mathfrak{J}_4 compact, thus estimates can be derived. For \mathfrak{J}_5 , we use the scaling invariant of the Hilbert transform to cancel the Jacobian $\frac{1}{|\sin \beta|}$, the cut off function $\theta\left(|\tilde{s} - \tilde{r}| - \frac{1}{|\sin \beta|}\right)$ making the scaled Hilbert transform $\frac{1}{t - \tilde{r}|\sin \beta|}$ bounded, and the nominator is t -exponentially decaying. Hence estimates can be derived.

Precisely,

- $\mathfrak{J}_1, \mathfrak{J}_2$: From the mean value theorem and (3.163),

$$\left| e^{-i\varphi\left(\frac{\tilde{s}e^{\pm i\tau}}{X_1}, \pm\beta, X\right)} - 1 \right| \leq C |\sin \beta|, \quad \text{for } \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{U}_0(\beta, X), |\beta| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{8}. \quad (3.204)$$

- \mathfrak{J}_3 : From $|\beta| < \epsilon_1/8$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}} \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{\tilde{r}e^{i\alpha} 2 \sin \beta}{(\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha-\beta)})(\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)})} \right| \leq C |\sin \beta|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.205)$$

- \mathfrak{J}_4 : From \tilde{s} -holomorphic properties of f ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{-i\tau_\dagger}, -\beta, X\right) - f\left(\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{\sigma}}e^{-i\tau_\dagger}, +\beta, X\right)}{\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}} \right| \\ & \leq C |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \frac{\tilde{s} |\sin \beta|}{|\tilde{s}e^{-i\tau_\dagger} - \tilde{r}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}|} \leq C |f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} |\sin \beta|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.206)$$

Applying (3.198)-(3.201), (3.204)-(3.206), and the change of variables (3.203), for $j = 1, \dots, 4$,

$$\left| -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_0^\pi d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1 - \gamma|\beta|)] + \int_{\Gamma_{40}} \mathfrak{J}_j |_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \right| \quad (3.207)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \left| \int_0^\pi d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1 - \gamma|\beta|)] \int_{2|\sin\beta|}^{X_1\delta|\sin\beta|} \theta(1 - |t - (\tilde{r}|\sin\beta)|) dt \right|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.202), $\epsilon_1 > 0$, the rescaling (3.203), the scaling invariant property of the Hilbert transform, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| -\frac{\theta(1 - \tilde{r})}{2\pi i} \int_0^\pi d\beta [\partial_\beta \ln(1 - \gamma|\beta|)] \int_{\Gamma_{40}} \mathfrak{I}_5 \right|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \tag{3.208} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \int_{2|\sin\beta|}^{X_1\delta|\sin\beta|} \theta(|t - \tilde{r}|\sin\beta| - 1) \frac{e^{-t \sin \frac{\epsilon_1}{4}}}{|t - \tilde{r}|\sin\beta|} dt \Big|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \\
&\leq C\epsilon_0|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.207) and (3.208), $|I_{40}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}$. In an entirely similar way, $|I_{50}|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})} \leq C\epsilon_0|f|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_1})}$. Combining with (3.192)-(3.195), the proof for (3.190), (3.191) is completed. \square

4. THE IST FOR PERTURBED MULTI LINE SOLITONS

Using the Sato theory [22, 23, 24, 25, 2, 3, 19] and Boiti et al's direct scattering theory of KP equation [21, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], we adapt our method and extend the approach of the IST for perturbed 1-solitons to that for perturbed $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ KP solitons. We shall state the complete theory for perturbed $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ KP solitons but only give explanation of distinct features which shows the TP (totally positive) condition is necessary and justifies differences between IST for perturbed 1-solitons and perturbed multi line solitons are mainly algebraic.

4.1. Statement of results.

Definition 4.1. Given $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$, $d \geq 0$, and a $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ KP soliton $u_s(x)$ defined by $\{\kappa_j\}, A$, a scattering data $\mathcal{S} = (\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda))$ is called d -admissible if

$$s_c(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\lambda - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_j(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times, \\ \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) \tilde{h}_n(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{z_n}^\times, \end{cases}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^N & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \kappa_N^N \\ \mathcal{D}_{N+11} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{N+1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_{M1} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{MN} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_k - z_h}\right)_{1 \leq k, h \leq N} \neq 0, \quad z_1 = 0, \{z_n, \kappa_j\} \text{ distinct real}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\epsilon_0 \geq \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^M E_{\kappa_j}\right) \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} \left(|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2}\right) s_c(\lambda)|_{L^\infty} \quad (4.4)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^M (|\gamma_j| + |h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}) + \sum_{n=1}^N |\bar{h}_n|_{C^1(D_{z_n})} \quad (4.5)$$

$$+ |\text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_M)^{-1} \times \mathcal{D} \times \text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_N) - \mathcal{D}^b|_{L^\infty},$$

$$s_c(\lambda) = \overline{s_c(\bar{\lambda})}, h_j(\lambda) = -\overline{h_j(\bar{\lambda})}, \bar{h}_n(\lambda) = -\overline{\bar{h}_n(\bar{\lambda})}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^b = \text{diag}(\kappa_1^N, \dots, \kappa_M^N) A^T, \quad q_j = \frac{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\kappa_j - z_n)}{(\kappa_j - z_1)^{N-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq M. \quad (4.7)$$

Define T as the continuous scattering operator

$$T\phi(x, \lambda) \equiv s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2 + (\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} \phi(x, \bar{\lambda}). \quad (4.8)$$

Definition 4.2. Given $\{z_n, \kappa_j\}$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq M$, the eigenfunction space $W = W_x$ consists of ϕ satisfying

- (a) $\phi(x, \lambda) = \overline{\phi(x, \bar{\lambda})}$;
- (b) $(1 - \sum_{n=1}^N E_{z_n})\phi(x, \lambda) \in L^\infty$;
- (c) for $\lambda \in D_{z_n}^\times$, $\phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}(x)}{\lambda - z_n} + \phi_{z_n, r}(x, \lambda)$, $\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}, \phi_{z_n, r} \in L^\infty(D_{z_n})$;
- (d) for $\lambda = \kappa_j + r e^{i\alpha} \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times$, $\phi = \phi^b + \phi^\sharp$, $\phi^b = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \phi_l(X) (-\ln(1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|))^l \in L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp \in C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})$, $\phi^\sharp(x, \kappa_j) = 0$.

Here the rescaling parameter $\tilde{\sigma}$ and rescaled Hölder spaces $C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}})$ are defined as in Definition 3.3. Finally, for $\phi \in W$,

$$|\phi|_W \equiv \left| \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^N E_{z_n}\right) \phi \right|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{n=1}^N (|\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}|_{L^\infty} + |\phi_{z_n, r}|_{L^\infty(D_{z_n})}) \quad (4.9)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^M (|\phi^b|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})} + |\phi^\sharp|_{C_{\tilde{\sigma}}^\mu(D_{\kappa_j, \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}}) \cap L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}).$$

We have shown that for the IST of perturbed 1-line solitons, the Sato eigenfunctions and the Sato adjoint eigenfunction play an essential role, for instance, for the construction for the Green function; proving estimates of the Green function; and estimating of residue of the eigenfunctions at 0. For a $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{\geq 0}$ KP soliton $u_s(x)$ defined by (3.1) and (3.2), we introduce the Sato eigenfunctions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and the Sato adjoint eigenfunction $\psi(x, \lambda)$,

defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x, \lambda) &= e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_N \leq M} \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(A) \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_{j_1}}{\lambda}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_{j_N}}{\lambda}\right) E_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(x)}{\tau(x)} \\ &\equiv e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} \chi(x, \lambda),\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, \lambda) &= e^{-(\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2)} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_N \leq M} \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(A) \frac{E_{j_1, \dots, j_N}(x)}{\left(1 - \frac{\kappa_{j_1}}{\lambda}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_{j_N}}{\lambda}\right)}}{\tau(x)} \\ &\equiv e^{-(\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2)} \xi(x, \lambda)\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

[10, (2.12)], [12, Theorem 6.3.8., (6.3.13)], [17, Proposition 2.2, (2.21)]. Here $\chi(x, \lambda)$ and $\xi(x, \lambda)$ are called the Sato normalized eigenfunction and the Sato normalized adjoint eigenfunction. They satisfy the Lax equation for $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}\varphi(x, \lambda) &\equiv \left(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x)\right) \varphi(x, \lambda) = 0, \\ L\chi(x, \lambda) &\equiv \left(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} + u_s(x)\right) \chi(x, \lambda) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

and the adjoint Lax equation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^\dagger\psi(x, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(x)\right) \psi(x, \lambda) = 0, \\ L^\dagger\xi(x, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} + u_s(x)\right) \xi(x, \lambda) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Proofs for (3.1), (3.2), (4.10)-(4.13) will be provided in § 4.2.1 for convenience.

Theorem 4.1. [34, 35] *Given a perturbed $Gr(N, M)_{>0}$ KP soliton $u_0(x_1, x_2)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}u_0(x_1, x_2) &= u_s(x_1, x_2, 0) + v_0(x_1, x_2), \\ u_s(x) &\text{ a } Gr(N, M)_{>0} \text{ KP soliton defined by } \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_M \text{ and } A \in Gr(N, M)_{>0}, \\ \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} |(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|) \partial_x^l v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} &\ll 1, \quad d \geq 0, \\ z_1 = 0, \{z_n, \kappa_j\}_{1 \leq n \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M} &\text{ distinct reals, } \det\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_k - z_h}\right)_{1 \leq k, h \leq N} \neq 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

we have

(1) *the unique solvability of*

$$\left(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda\partial_{x_1} + u_0(x_1, x_2)\right) m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 0,\tag{4.15}$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \tilde{\chi}(x_1, x_2, 0, \lambda) = \frac{(\lambda - z_1)^{N-1}}{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\lambda - z_n)} \chi(x_1, x_2, 0, \lambda)\tag{4.16}$$

for $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_n, \kappa_j\}$.

(2) *The forward scattering transform is defined as*

$$\mathcal{S}(u_0, \{z_n\}) = (\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda))\tag{4.17}$$

satisfying

$$m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{m_{0; z_n, \text{res}}(x_1, x_2)}{\lambda - z_n} + \mathcal{C}T_0 m_0 \in W_0 = W_{(x_1, x_2, 0)}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_1^+), \dots, e^{\kappa_M x_1 + \kappa_M^2 x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \kappa_M^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\{z_n\}$ and $\{\kappa_j\}$ are blow-up and multi-valued points of m_0 respectively; \mathcal{D} are norming constants between values of m_0 at $\lambda = \kappa_j^+ = \kappa_j + 0^+$ and can be computed by

$$\mathcal{D} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \times \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1N} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{N1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{NN} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \text{diag}(\kappa_1^N, \dots, \kappa_N^N), \quad (4.20)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\kappa_1 - z_n)}{(\kappa_1 - z_1)^{N-1}}, \dots, \frac{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\kappa_M - z_n)}{(\kappa_M - z_1)^{N-1}}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^\sharp,$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\sharp = (\mathcal{D}_{ji}^\sharp) = \left(\mathcal{D}_{ji}^b + \sum_{l=j}^M \frac{c_{jl} \mathcal{D}_{li}^b}{1 - c_{jj}} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^b = \text{diag}(\kappa_1^N, \dots, \kappa_M^N) A^T,$$

with $c_{jl} = -\int \Psi_j(x_1, x_2, 0) v_0(x_1, x_2) \varphi_l(x_1, x_2, 0) dx_1 dx_2$, $\Psi_j(x)$, $\varphi_l(x)$ are residues of the adjoint eigenfunction at κ_j [35, (3.17)] and values of the Sato eigenfunction at κ_l (4.49). Moreover, $T_0 = T|_{x_3=0}$ and T is the continuous scattering operator defined by (4.8), $s_c(\lambda)$ is the continuous scattering data, arising from the $\bar{\partial}$ -characterization

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) &= s_c(\lambda) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m_0(x_1, x_2, \bar{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}, \\ s_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\bar{\lambda} - z_n) \text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{(\bar{\lambda} - z_1)^{N-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint e^{-[(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2]} \\ &\quad \times \xi(x_1, x_2, 0, \bar{\lambda}) v_0(x_1, x_2) m_0(x_1, x_2, \lambda) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

The scattering data is a d -admissible scattering data. Namely, it satisfies the algebraic and analytic constraints:

$$s_c(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{i}{2} \text{sgn}(\lambda_I)}{\bar{\lambda} - \kappa_j} \frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j |\alpha|} + \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_j(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{\kappa_j}^\times, \\ \text{sgn}(\lambda_I) h_n(\lambda), & \lambda \in D_{z_n}^\times, \end{cases}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^N & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \kappa_N^N \\ \mathcal{D}_{N+11} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{N+1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_{M1} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{MN} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1 - \sum_{j=1}^M E_{\kappa_j}) \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} (|\bar{\lambda} - \lambda|^{l_1} + |\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2|^{l_2}) s_c(\lambda)|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^M (|\gamma_j| + |h_j|_{L^\infty(D_{\kappa_j})}) + \sum_{n=1}^N |\tilde{h}_n|_{C^1(D_{z_n})} \\ & + |\text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_M)^{-1} \times \mathcal{D} \times \text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_N) - \mathcal{D}^b|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|l| \leq d+8} |(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|) \partial_x^l v_0|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$s_c(\lambda) = \overline{s_c(\bar{\lambda})}, h_j(\lambda) = -\overline{h_j(\bar{\lambda})}, \tilde{h}_n(\lambda) = -\overline{\tilde{h}_n(\bar{\lambda})}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$q_j = \frac{\prod_{2 \leq n \leq N} (\kappa_j - z_n)}{(\kappa_j - z_1)^{N-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq M.$$

Theorem 4.2. [35, Theorem 5] *If $\Phi = e^{\lambda x_1 + \lambda^2 x_2} m(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the Lax pair (1.2) and*

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} m(x, \lambda) = s_c(\lambda, x_3) e^{(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)x_1 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 - \lambda^2)x_2} m(x, \bar{\lambda}), \quad (4.26)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2} m(x, \kappa_1^+), \dots, e^{\kappa_M x_1 + \kappa_M^2 x_2} m(x, \kappa_M^+)) \mathcal{D}(x_3) = 0, \quad (4.27)$$

with $\mathcal{D}(x_3)$ begin in the form of (4.2), then

$$s_c(\lambda, x_3) = e^{(\bar{\lambda}^3 - \lambda^3)x_3} s_c(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{D}_{mn}(x_3) = e^{(\kappa_m^3 - \kappa_n^3)x_3} \mathcal{D}_{mn}. \quad (4.28)$$

Theorem 4.3. [36] *Given a d -admissible scattering data $\mathcal{S} = (\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda))$, $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$,*

- (1) *there exists uniquely an eigenfunction $m \in W$ for the system of the Cauchy integral equation and the \mathcal{D} -symmetry,*

$$m(x, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{m_{z_n, \text{res}}(x)}{\lambda - z_n} + \mathcal{C}Tm, \quad \lambda \neq z_n, \quad (4.29)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} m(x, \kappa_1^+), \dots, e^{\kappa_M x_1 + \kappa_M^2 x_2 + \kappa_M^3 x_3} m(x, \kappa_M^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} [m(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda)]|_W \leq C\epsilon_0. \quad (4.31)$$

- (2) *Moreover,*

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + 2\lambda \partial_{x_1} + u(x)) m(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad (4.32)$$

$$u(x) \equiv -2\partial_{x_1} \sum_{n=1}^N m_{z_n, \text{res}}(x) - \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+4} |\partial_x^l [u(x) - u_s(x)]|_{L^\infty} \leq C\epsilon_0, \quad (4.34)$$

The inverse scattering transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\{z_n, \kappa_j, \mathcal{D}, s_c(\lambda)\}) = -\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial_{x_1} \iint Tm \, d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta - 2\partial_{x_1} \sum_{n=1}^N m_{z_n, \text{res}}(x); \quad (4.35)$$

(3) $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solves the KP II equation.

It can be seen that when discrete scattering data or continuous scattering data vanish, the forward and inverse scattering transform constructed for perturbed line solitons degenerate into those transforms for rapidly decaying potentials or for N -line solitons.

4.2. Comments on distinct features.

4.2.1. The Lax-Sato formulation of the KP equation.

Our approach to establish an IST of perturbed $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ KP solitons is based on (3.1), (3.2), (4.10)-(4.13). To verify these formula, we summarize the Lax-Sato formulation of the KP equation [17, §2.1-2.4] in this subsection.

► **(The KP hierarchy, the KP equation, and the Lax pair)** : Suppose the Lax operator \mathcal{L} can be gauge transformed into the trivial operator $\partial = \partial_{x_1}$, i.e.

$$\partial = W^{-1} \mathcal{L} W, \quad (4.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 1 - w_1 \partial^{-1} - w_2 \partial^{-2} - w_3 \partial^{-3} + \cdots, \\ w_j &= w_j(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots). \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

If the Sato equation

$$\partial_{x_n} W = B_n W - W \partial^n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \cdots, \quad (4.38)$$

holds where $B_n = (W \partial^n W^{-1})_{\geq 0}$, the polynomial part of $W \partial^n W^{-1}$ in ∂ , then

$$\partial_{x_n} \mathcal{L} = [B_n, \mathcal{L}], \quad (4.39)$$

$$\partial_{x_m} B_n - \partial_{x_n} B_m + [B_n, B_m] = 0, \quad (4.40)$$

and the gauge transform (4.36) transforms the linear system of the vacuum wave function

$$\begin{cases} \partial \phi_0 = \lambda \phi_0, \\ \partial_{x_n} \phi_0 = \partial^n \phi_0 = k^n \phi_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, \end{cases} \quad \phi_0(x, \lambda) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n x_n\right), \quad (4.41)$$

to the KP linear system

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{L}\phi = \lambda\phi, \\ \partial_{x_n}\phi = B_n\phi, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \quad \phi(x, \lambda) = W\phi_0. \quad (4.42)$$

Note that given a pair (n, m) with $n > m$, from (4.40) (called the Zakharov-Shabat equations), we obtain a system of $n - 1$ equations for u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} &= \partial + u_2\partial^{-1} + u_3\partial^{-2} + \dots, \\ u_2 &= w_{1,x_1}, \quad u_3 = w_{2,x_1} + w_1w_{1,x_1}, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

in the variables x_1, x_m, x_n . For $(n, m) = (3, 2)$, the Zakharov-Shabat equations yield the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation (1.1) for $u = 2u_2 = 2w_{1,x_1}$, and, from the second equation of (4.42), we derive the Lax pair (1.2).

► **(The tau function and wave eigenfunctions)** : In particular, let

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 1 - w_1\partial^{-1} - w_2\partial^{-2} - \dots - w_N\partial^{-N}, \\ W_N &\equiv W\partial^N = \partial^N - w_1\partial^{N-1} - w_2\partial^{N-2} - \dots - w_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Sato equation (4.38) turns into

$$\partial_{x_n}W_N = B_nW_N - W_N\partial^n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.44)$$

which yield

$$\partial_{x_n}(W_N f) = B_n(W_N f) + W_N(\partial_{x_n}f - \partial_{x_1}^n f).$$

We conclude, the N -th order differential equation $W_N f = 0$ is invariant under any flow of the linear heat hierarchy, $\{\partial_{x_n}f = \partial_{x_1}^n f : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Conversely, if $\partial_{x_n}f_j = \partial_{x_1}^n f_j$, $W_N f_j = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then the Sato equation (4.44) holds and an explicit KP solution can be found via the τ -function

$$u(x) = 2w_{1,x_1} = 2\partial_{x_1}^2 \ln \tau(x) \equiv 2\partial_{x_1}^2 \ln \text{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_N) \quad (4.45)$$

by writing $W_N f_j = 0$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_1^{(1)} & \dots & f_1^{(N-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_N & f_N^{(1)} & \dots & f_N^{(N-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_N \\ \vdots \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1^{(N)} \\ \vdots \\ f_N^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the wave function $\phi = W_N \phi_0$ of the KP linear system (4.42), one has [17, Proposition 2.2]

$$\phi = W_N \phi_0 = \left(1 - \frac{w_1}{\lambda} - \frac{w_2}{\lambda^2} - \cdots - \frac{w_N}{\lambda^N}\right) \phi_0 = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_1^{(1)} & \cdots & f_1^{(N)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_N & f_N^{(1)} & \cdots & f_N^{(N)} \\ \lambda^{-N} & \lambda^{-N+1} & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \phi_0.$$

Using elementary column operations, the determinant of the above expression can be rewritten as

$$\frac{(-1)^N}{\lambda^N} \left| \left(f_i^{(j)} - \lambda f_i^{(j-1)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \right|.$$

Besides, let $f_i(x) = \int_C e^{\sum \zeta^n x_n} \rho_i(\zeta) d\zeta$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & f_i^{(j)}(x) - \lambda f_i^{(j-1)}(x) \\ &= -\lambda \int_C \zeta^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\lambda}\right) e^{\sum \zeta^n x_n} \rho_i(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= -\lambda \int_C \zeta^{j-1} e^{-\sum \frac{\zeta^n}{n\lambda^n}} e^{\sum \zeta^n x_n} \rho_i(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= -\lambda f_i^{(j-1)}\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}, x_2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}, x_3 - \frac{1}{3\lambda^3}, \cdots\right). \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\tau\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}, x_2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}, x_3 - \frac{1}{3\lambda^3}, \cdots\right)}{\tau(x)} \phi_0(x). \quad (4.46)$$

For the eigenfunction ϕ^\dagger of the adjoint system (4.42), one has [17, §2.4]

$$\phi^\dagger(x, \lambda) = \frac{\tau\left(x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}, x_2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}, x_3 + \frac{1}{3\lambda^3}, \cdots\right)}{\tau(x)} \phi_0^{-1}(x). \quad (4.47)$$

► **(The N -solitons and Sato eigenfunctions)** : The N -solitons (3.1) is defined by letting

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_N(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{N1} & a_{N2} & \cdots & a_{NM} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ \vdots \\ E_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.48)$$

$$A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Gr}(N, M)_{\geq 0},$$

$$E_j(x) = \exp \theta_j(x) = \exp(\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2 + \kappa_j^3 x_3), \quad \kappa_1 < \cdots < \kappa_M,$$

in (4.45); and formula (4.10) of the Sato eigenfunction $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ ((4.11) of the Sato adjoint eigenfunction $\psi(x, \lambda)$) is derived by (4.46) ((4.47)), (4.48), and noting

$$e^{\theta_i(x_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}, x_2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}, x_3 - \frac{1}{3\lambda^3}, \dots)} = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_i^n (x_n - \frac{1}{n\lambda^n})} = e^{(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_i^n x_n) - \ln(1 - \frac{\kappa_i}{\lambda})} = \frac{\lambda - \kappa_i}{\lambda} e^{\theta_i(x)}.$$

4.2.2. *The Lax equation.* It can be proved by replacing the Sato eigenfunction and Sato adjoint eigenfunction by (4.10) and adapting the procedure (3.30)-(3.46) for the proof of perturbed 1-solitons. Major difficulties and differences occur in proving the orthogonality relation (for construction of Green's function G) and boundedness of G_d . More precisely,

► **(The orthogonality relation) :** Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(x) &= \varphi(x, \kappa_j), & \psi_j(x) &= \text{res}_{\lambda=\kappa_j} \psi(x, \lambda), \\ \varphi(x, \kappa) &= (\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_M(x)), & \psi(x, \kappa) &= (\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_M(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^b &= \text{diag}(\kappa_1^N, \dots, \kappa_M^N) A^T, \\ \mathcal{D}^{b,\dagger} &= \left(-d^T, I_{M-N} \right) \pi \text{diag}(\kappa_1^{-N}, \dots, \kappa_M^{-N}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

where π is an $M \times M$ permutation matrix and d is an $N \times (M - N)$ matrix satisfying

$$A = \left(I_N, d \right) \pi. \quad (4.51)$$

We can implement various Plücker relations to (4.10), and (4.47) to prove

$$\mathcal{D}^{b,\dagger} \mathcal{D}^b = 0, \quad \varphi(x, \kappa) \mathcal{D}^b = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^{b,\dagger} \psi(x, \kappa)^T = 0$$

and orthogonality relation (see [35, Lemma 2.1, 2.2] for detailed proofs) between Sato eigenfunctions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and Sato adjoint eigenfunctions $\psi(x, \lambda)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(x') = 0. \quad (4.52)$$

► **(Boundedness of G_d) :**

– following argument to permute and exchange cells, one obtains the decomposition [8, (3.16),(3.17)], [9],

$$G_d(x, x', \lambda) = G_d^1(x, x', \lambda) + G_d^2(x, x', \lambda), \quad (4.53)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &G_d^1(x, x', \lambda) \\ &= - \frac{\theta(x_2 - x'_2)}{[(N-1)!]^2 (N+1)} \sum_{\{m_i\}, \{n_i\}} \text{sgn}(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times e^{-k_{m_N n_N}(x_2 - x'_2)} V(\{m_i\}, n_N) V(n_1, \dots, n_{N-1}) \\
& \times \theta((\lambda_R - \kappa_{m_N})(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N})) e^{-(\lambda_R - \kappa_{m_N})(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N})} \\
& \times \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\{m_i\}) \exp(\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} E_{m_l}(x) + E_{n_N}(x))}{\tau(x)} \\
& \times \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\{n_i\}) \exp(\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} E_{n_l}(x') + E_{m_N}(x'))}{\tau(x')},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_d^2(x, x', \lambda) \tag{4.55} \\
& = + \frac{\theta(x_2 - x'_2)}{[(N-1)!]^2(N+1)} \sum_{\{m_i\}, \{n_i\}} \text{sgn}(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N}) \\
& \times e^{-k_{m_N n_N}(x_2 - x'_2)} V(\{m_i\}, n_N) V(n_1, \dots, n_{N-1}) \\
& \times \theta((\lambda_R - \kappa_{n_N})(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N})) e^{-(\lambda_R - \kappa_{n_N})(z_{m_N n_N} - z'_{m_N n_N})} \\
& \times \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\{m_i\}) \exp(\sum_{l=1}^N E_{m_l}(x))}{\tau(x)} \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\{n_i\}) \exp(\sum_{l=1}^N E_{n_l}(x'))}{\tau(x')},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{mn} &= x_1 + (\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x_2, & z'_{mn} &= x'_1 + (\kappa_m + \kappa_n)x'_2, \\
k_{mn} &= \lambda_I^2 - (\lambda_R - \kappa_m)(\lambda_R - \kappa_n),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\{n_i\}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \kappa_{n_1} & \kappa_{n_2} & \cdots & \kappa_{n_N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa_{n_1}^{N-1} & \kappa_{n_2}^{N-1} & \cdots & \kappa_{n_N}^{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{D}^b(\{n_i\}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{n_1,1}^b & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{n_1,N}^b \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_{n_N,1}^b & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{n_N,N}^b \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

for $m, n \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\{m_i\} = \{m_1, \dots, m_N\}$, $\{n_1, \dots, n_N\}$ denote unordered set of N indices from $\{1, \dots, M\}$. Now, in the x -variable, all of the exponentials in the nominators of (4.54), (4.55) are either bounded or dominated by the tau functions in the denominators by totally positive condition of $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$. So

$$|G_d(x, x', \lambda)| < C(C_{x'} + 1), \tag{4.57}$$

and then

$$|G(x, x', \lambda)| < C(C_{x'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}). \quad (4.58)$$

– We will use the duality to prove boundedness of Green's function. Namely, suppose that $u(x)$ satisfies the KPII equation then $u(-x) \equiv w(x)$ also satisfies the KPII equation. In particular, if

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x_1, x_2) &= u_s(x_1, x_2, 0) + v_0(x_1, x_2), \\ u(x_1, x_2, 0) &= u_0(x_1, x_2), \quad u_s(x) \text{ a } \text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0} \text{ KP soliton,} \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x_1, x_2) &= u_s(-x_1, -x_2, 0) + v_0(-x_1, -x_2), \\ w(x_1, x_2, 0) &= w_0(x_1, x_2), \quad u_s(-x) \text{ a } \text{Gr}(M - N, M)_{>0} \text{ KP soliton.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

Here we have used the duality of between $\text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0}$ and $\text{Gr}(M - N, M)_{>0}$ [18, Section 4.4].

Consider the Lax operators

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_0(x_1, x_2))\Phi_+(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 0, \quad (4.61)$$

and

$$(-\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + w_0(x_1, x_2))\Phi_-(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 0. \quad (4.62)$$

Notice that (4.62) is equivalent to

$$(+\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_0(x_1, x_2))\Phi_-(-x_1, -x_2, \lambda) = 0. \quad (4.63)$$

Denote the Lax operators

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm = -\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(\pm x_1, \pm x_2, 0), \quad (4.64)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = +\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 + u_s(+x_1, +x_2, 0), \quad (4.65)$$

and the associated Green functions by $\mathcal{G}_\pm(x, x', \lambda)$, $\mathcal{G}_1(x, x', \lambda)$ respectively, namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm \mathcal{G}_\pm(x, x', \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{G}_1(x, x', \lambda) = \delta(x - x'), \quad (4.66)$$

and define

$$\mathcal{G}_\pm(x, x', \lambda) = e^{\lambda(x_1 - x'_1) + \lambda^2(x_2 - x'_2)} G_\pm(x, x', \lambda), \quad (4.67)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_1(x, x', \lambda) = e^{\lambda(x_1 - x'_1) + \lambda^2(x_2 - x'_2)} G_1(x, x', \lambda), \quad (4.68)$$

$$G_\pm(x, x', \lambda) = G_{\pm,d}(x, x', \lambda) + G_{\pm,c}(x, x', \lambda), \quad (4.69)$$

$$G_1(x, x', \lambda) = G_{1,d}(x, x', \lambda) + G_{1,c}(x, x', \lambda). \quad (4.70)$$

Thanks to [9, (1.6)]

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_+^d, \quad G_1(x, x', \lambda) = G_+(x', x, \lambda). \quad (4.71)$$

From $\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{L}_-(-x_1, -x_2)$, one has

$$G_1(x, x', \lambda) = G_-(-x, -x', \lambda). \quad (4.72)$$

Applying (4.59), (4.60), (4.58), one has

$$|G_+(x, x', \lambda)| < C(C_{x'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}), \quad (4.73)$$

$$|G_-(x, x', \lambda)| < C(C_{x'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}). \quad (4.74)$$

Combining with (4.71) and (4.72),

$$|G_1(x, x', \lambda)| < C(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}). \quad (4.75)$$

Hence we conclude

$$|G_+(x, x', \lambda)| < C(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_2 - x'_2|}}) \quad (4.76)$$

and improve the estimate (4.58).

4.2.3. *The inverse problem.* Via the iteration sequence

$$\phi^{(k)}(x, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x)}{\lambda - z_n} + CT\phi^{(k-1)}(x, \lambda), \quad k > 0, \quad (4.77)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_1^+), \dots, e^{\kappa_M x_1 + \kappa_M^2 x_2 + \kappa_M^3 x_3} \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_M^+)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (4.78)$$

$$\phi^{(0)}(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda), \quad (4.79)$$

one can adapt the procedure of perturbed 1-solitons to solve the CIE and \mathcal{D} -symmetry. The only issue we have to address more is that, for perturbed 1-line solitons, by evaluating the CIE (3.94) at κ_1^+ , κ_2^+ , and using the \mathcal{D} -symmetry (3.95), one obtains

$$\phi_{0, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) = - \frac{\kappa_1 e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}}{e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} + a e^{\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_2^2 x_2 + \kappa_2^3 x_3}}, \quad (4.80)$$

and successfully reduces solvability to deriving uniform estimates of $CT\phi^{(k)}$ on D_∞ , D_{κ_j} , D_0 .

In the following proposition, we show the same argument works for perturbed N -line solitons for totally positive types.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{S} = (\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, \mathcal{D}, s_c)$ is d -admissible and $\phi^{(k)}$, $\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}$ satisfy (4.77), (4.78). Then for $k > 0$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{z_1, \text{res}}^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{z_N, \text{res}}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = -B^{-1} \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + C_{\kappa_1^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 + C_{\kappa_M^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.81)$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^N e^{\theta_1} & \cdots & 0 & \mathcal{D}_{N+1,1} e^{\theta_{N+1}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{M,1} e^{\theta_M} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \kappa_N^N e^{\theta_N} & \mathcal{D}_{N+1,N} e^{\theta_{N+1}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{M,N} e^{\theta_M} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.82)$$

and $e^{\theta_j} = e^{\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2 + \kappa_j^3 x_3}$.

Moreover, for $k > 0$,

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} \phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + \epsilon_0) \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} \phi^{(k-1)}|_W, \quad (4.83)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} [\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)} - \phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k-1)}]|_{L^\infty} \leq (C\epsilon_0)^k, \quad (4.84)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^{l_1} [\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)} - \tilde{\chi}_{z_n, \text{res}}]|_{L^\infty} \leq C\epsilon_0. \quad (4.85)$$

Proof. Write the \mathcal{D} -symmetry and the evaluation at κ_j^+ of $\phi^{(k)}$ as a linear system for $M + N$ variables $\{\phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_j^+), \phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x)\}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^N e^{\theta_1} & \cdots & 0 & \mathcal{D}_{N+1,1} e^{\theta_{N+1}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{M,1} e^{\theta_M} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \kappa_N^N e^{\theta_N} & \mathcal{D}_{N+1,N} e^{\theta_{N+1}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_{M,N} e^{\theta_M} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_1^+) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_M^+) \\ \phi_{z_1, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{z_N, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -1 - \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_1^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ -1 - \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_M^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.86)$$

Solving $\phi^{(k)}(x, \kappa_j^+)$ in terms of $\phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x)$ and plugging the outcomes into (4.86) again yields

$$B \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{z_1, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{z_N, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) \end{pmatrix} = -\tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_1^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 + \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_M^+} T \phi^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.87)$$

with B and \tilde{A} defined by (4.82). By the d -admissible condition, the system (4.86) is just determined and is equivalent to (4.81).

Proofs of (4.83)-(4.85) rely heavily on Sato theory. The key observation is that the leading term of the right hand side of (4.81) can be realized by residue of some normalized Sato eigenfunction $\tilde{\chi}'$. Through the direct computation of $\tilde{\chi}'$ and matching with (3.2), (4.10), we shall prove boundedness of $B^{-1}A$ and then verify (4.83)-(4.85) by multi linearity and the totally positive (TP) condition.

Precisely, the d -admissible condition implies that

$$A'' = \text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_M)^{-1} \times \mathcal{D} \times \text{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_N) = \begin{pmatrix} a''_{11} & \cdots & a''_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a''_{N1} & \cdots & a''_{NN} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a''_{M1} & \cdots & a''_{MN} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A' = A'' \times \begin{pmatrix} a''_{11} & \cdots & a''_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a''_{N1} & \cdots & a''_{NN} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \quad A' \in \text{Gr}(N, M)_{>0},$$

such that setting

$$\tilde{\chi}'(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\chi}_{\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, A'}(x, \lambda) \quad (4.88)$$

as the normalized Sato eigenfunction with data $(\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, A', 0)$, one has

$$\tilde{\chi}'(x, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\chi}'_{z_n, \text{res}}(x)}{\lambda - z_n}, \quad (4.89)$$

$$(e^{\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^2 x_2 + \kappa_1^3 x_3} \tilde{\chi}'(x, \kappa_1), \dots, e^{\kappa_M x_1 + \kappa_M^2 x_2 + \kappa_M^3 x_3} \tilde{\chi}'(x, \kappa_M)) \mathcal{D} = 0, \quad (4.90)$$

and, from the d -admissible condition, $\forall k$,

$$|\tilde{\chi}'_{z_n, \text{res}}(x) - \tilde{\chi}_{z_n, \text{res}}(x)|_{C^k} \leq C_k \epsilon_0. \quad (4.91)$$

Moreover, using \mathcal{D} -symmetry and evaluating $\tilde{\chi}'$ at κ_j and the above argument, yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}'_{z_1, \text{res}}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\chi}'_{z_N, \text{res}}(x) \end{pmatrix} = -B^{-1} \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.92)$$

with B and \tilde{A} defined by (4.82). Let $E_j = e^{\theta_j} = e^{\kappa_j x_1 + \kappa_j^2 x_2 + \kappa_j^3 x_3}$ and write

$$\tilde{A} = \mathcal{D}^T \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_M), \quad (4.93)$$

$$B = \mathcal{D}^T \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_M) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - z_N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\kappa_M - z_N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Sato theory, (4.10), (4.3), (4.92), (4.93), elementary row and column operations, and matching the coefficients of $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_N$,

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tau'(x)} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{N1} & \cdots & b_{NN} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$b_{kl} = \sum_{J(kl)=(j(kl),1), \dots, j(kl),N-1)} \Delta_{J(kl)} E_{J(kl)}(x), \quad 1 \leq j(kl),1 < \cdots < j(kl),N-1 \leq M, \quad (4.94)$$

$\tau'(x)$ is the tau function with data κ_j, A' ,

$$|\Delta_{J(kl)}| = |\Delta_{J(kl)}(\{z_n\}, \{\kappa_j\}, A')| < C.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau'(x) \widetilde{\chi}'_{z_h, \text{res}}(x) \tag{4.95} \\
&= \text{the } h\text{-row of } \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{N1} & \cdots & b_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1^N E_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{N+1,1} E_{N+1} + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{M,1} E_M \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \kappa_N^N E_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{N+1,N} E_{N+1} + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{M,N} E_M \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\kappa_1^N E_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{N+1,1} E_{N+1} + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{M,1} E_M) \sum_{|J(h1)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(h1)} E_{J(h1)}(x) \\
&+ \cdots + (\kappa_N^N E_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{N+1,N} E_{N+1} + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{M,N} E_M) \sum_{|J(hN)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(hN)} E_{J(hN)}(x) \\
&\equiv (\widetilde{a}_{11} E_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{a}_{1M} E_M) \sum_{|J(h1)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(h1)} E_{J(h1)}(x) \\
&+ \cdots + (\widetilde{a}_{N1} E_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{a}_{NM} E_M) \sum_{|J(hN)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(hN)} E_{J(hN)}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \widetilde{a}_{1k} E_k \sum_{k \in J(h1), |J(h1)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(h1)} E_{J(h1)}(x) + \cdots \\
&+ \widetilde{a}_{Nk} E_k \sum_{k \in J(hN), |J(hN)|=N-1} \Delta_{J(hN)} E_{J(hN)}(x). \tag{4.96}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.81), (4.93)-(4.96), and multi linearity,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau'(x) \phi_{z_h, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x) = \tau'(x) \widetilde{\chi}'_{z_h, \text{res}}(x) \\
&+ \text{the } h\text{-row of } \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{N1} & \cdots & b_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{a}_{11} E_1 \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_1^+} T\phi^{(k-1)} + \cdots + \widetilde{a}_{1M} E_M \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_M^+} T\phi^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{a}_{N1} E_1 \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_1^+} T\phi^{(k-1)} + \cdots + \widetilde{a}_{NM} E_M \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_M^+} T\phi^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{|J(h)|=N} \Delta_{J(h)} E_{J(h)}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^l \Delta_{J(h)}| < C \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^l \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_j^+} T\phi^{(k-1)}| \right).$$

Along with the totally positive (TP) condition of A' , yield

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^l \phi_{z_n, \text{res}}^{(k)}(x)| \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + 3l_3 \leq d+5} |\partial_x^l \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_j^+} T \phi^{(k-1)}| \right),$$

and (4.83)-(4.85) follow from and (4.91).

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Ablowitz, M., Bar Yaacov, D., Fokas, A.: On the inverse scattering transform for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 69 (1983), no. 2, 135-143.
- [2] Biondini, G., Chakravarty, S.: Elastic and inelastic line-soliton solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 74 (2007), no.2-3, 237-250.
- [3] Biondini, G., Kodama, Y.: On a family of solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation which also satisfy the Toda lattice hierarchy. *J. Phys. A* 36 (2003), no. 42, 10519-10536.
- [4] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K., Prinari, B.: Towards an inverse scattering theory for non-decaying potentials of the heat equation. *Inverse problems* 17 (2001), 937-957.
- [5] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K., Prinari, B.: Inverse scattering theory of the heat equation for a perturbed one-soliton potential. *J. Math. Phys.* 43 (2002), no. 2, 1044-1062.
- [6] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K., Prinari, B.: Building an extended resolvent of the heat operator via twisting transformations. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 153 (2009), no. 3, 721-733.
- [7] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K., Prinari, B.: The equivalence of different approaches for generating multisoliton solutions of the KP-II equation. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 165 (2010), no. 1, 1237-1255.
- [8] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K.: Green's function of heat operator with pure soliton potential. *ArXiv:1201.0152v1*, 1-10, [nlin.SI] 30 Dec 2011.
- [9] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K.: Extended resolvent of the heat operator with a multisoliton potential. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 172 (2012), no. 2, 181-197. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* 172 (2012), no. 2, 1037-1051.
- [10] Boiti, M., Pempinelli, F., Pogrebkov, A. K.: IST of KP-II equation for perturbed multisoliton solutions. *Topology, geometry, integrable systems, and mathematical physics*, 49-73, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 234, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/trans2/234>
- [11] Chakravarty, S., Kodama, Y.: Soliton solutions of the KP equation and application to shallow water waves. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 123 (2009), no. 1, 83-151.
- [12] Dickey, L. A. : Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems. *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*, 12, (1991). World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ.
- [13] Gakhov, F. D.: Boundary value problems, (1966) Oxford, New York, Pergamon Press; Reading, Mass., Addison-Wesley Pub. Co.

- [14] Grinevich, P. G.: Nonsingularity of the direct scattering transform for the KP II equation with a real exponentially decaying-at-infinity potential. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 40 (1997), no. 1, 59-73.
- [15] Grinevich, P. G., Novikov, S. P.: A two-dimensional "inverse scattering problem" for negative energies, and generalized-analytic functions. I. Energies lower than the ground state. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 22 (1988), no. 1, 23–33, 96; translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 22 (1988), no. 1, 19–27.
- [16] Kadomtsev, B. B., Petviashvili, V. I.: On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media. *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* 15 (1970), 539-541.
- [17] Kodama, Y.: KP solitons and the Grassmannians. Combinatorics and geometry of two-dimensional wave patterns. *SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics*, 22, (2017). Springer, Singapore.
- [18] Kodama, Y.: Solitons in two-dimensional shallow water. *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, 92. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, (2018).
- [19] Kodama, Y., Williams, L. K.: The Deodhar decomposition of the Grassmannian and the regularity of KP solitons. *Adv. Math.* 244 (2013), 979-1032.
- [20] V. D. Lipovskii: The Hamiltonian structure of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-II equation in a class of decreasing Cauchy data. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 20 (1986), no. 4, 35-45.
- [21] Prinari, B.: On some nondecaying potentials and related Jost solutions for the heat conduction equation. *Inverse Problems* 16 (2000), no. 3, 589-603.
- [22] Sato, M.: Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold. *RIMS Kokyuroku* 439, (1981).
- [23] Sato, M., Sato, Y.: Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold. *Nonlinear partial differential equations in applied science* (Tokyo, 1982), 259-271, North-Holland Math. Stud., 81, Lecture Notes Numer. Appl. Anal., 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [24] Sato, M.: The KP hierarchy and infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds. Theta functions–Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), 51-66, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 49, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [25] Sato, M.: D-modules and nonlinear integrable systems. *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory* (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 325-339, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [26] Vekua, I. N.: *Generalized analytic functions*, 1962, Pergamon Press, London-Paris-Frankfurt; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass..
- [27] Villarroel, J., Ablowitz, M. J.: On the initial value problem for the KP II equation with data that do not decay along a line. *Nonlinearity* 17 (2004), no. 5, 1843-1866.
- [28] Wickerhauser, M. V.: Nonlinear evolutions of the heat operator. *Dissertation, Yale University* (1985).
- [29] Wickerhauser, M. V.: Inverse scattering for the heat operator and evolutions in $2+1$ variables. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 108 (1987), no. 1, 67-89.

- [30] Willox, R.: A direct linearization of the KP hierarchy and an initial value problem for tau functions. *RIMS Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* (2000), no.1170, 111–118.
- [31] Willox, R.: On the relationship between the debar method and the Sato theory. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* (2002), no.1280, 1–10.
- [32] Willox, R., Satsuma, J: Sato theory and transformation groups. A unified approach to integrable systems. *Lecture Notes in Phys.* 644, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, 17–55.
- [33] Wu, D.: The direct problem for the perturbed Kadomtsev - Petviashvili II one line solitons. *arXiv:1807.01420* (2018) 1-33.
- [34] Wu, D.: The direct scattering problem for the perturbed $Gr(1, 2)_{>0}$ Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II solitons. *Nonlinearity* 33 (2020), no. 12, 6729–6759.
- [35] Wu, D.: The direct scattering problem for perturbed Kadomtsev–Petviashvili multi line solitons. *J. Math. Phys.* 62 (2021), no. 9, Paper No. 091513, 19 pp.
- [36] Wu, D.: Stability of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili multi-line solitons. *arXiv:2205.07432 [math.AP]* <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.07432>
- [37] Zakharov, V. E.: Instability and nonlinear oscillations of solitons. *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters* Vol. 22 (1975), 172-174.