# THE MODULI STACK OF TRIANGLES

ERIC BRUSSEL, MADELEINE GOERTZ, ELIJAH GUPTIL, KELLY LYLE

ABSTRACT. We prove that Dyck's Surface, which is the connected sum of three projective planes, is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate similarity classes of triangles, where degeneracy is defined broadly to be compatible with the metric closures of known constructions of nondegenerate spaces, which are based on either side-side-side or angleangle-angle criteria. We also prove the blowup of  $\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  at three hyperplanes is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate triangles, also using the broadest reasonable definition of degeneracy.

### Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Basic Definitions and Notation	4
2.1. Symmetry Groupoid and Stacks	5
2.2. Triangle Types	5
2.3. Smooth Manifolds and $\mathbb{R}$ -Varieties	7
3. Two Constructions	7
3.1. Side-Side	7
3.2. Angle-Angle	9
3.3. Unification	10
4. The Space of Triangles	10
4.1. Variety Structure	11
5. The Space of Similarity Classes	12
5.1. Variety Structure	13
6. Moduli Stacks	14
6.1. Moduli Space of Triangles and Their Similarity Classes	14

The second author thanks the Frost Foundation for their generous support in the form of the Frost Research Scholarship. The third and fourth authors thank the Frost Foundation for their support during the 2023 Frost Summer Research Program.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

References

15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A moduli space of triangles is a geometric representation of triangles or their similarity classes in the plane. Such a space is useful for studying 3-body systems, triangle billiards, or statistical properties of triangle types. The problem of constructing such a space is old, with examples dating back to the nineteenth century, but with recent examples including [Beh14], [BG23], [CNSS19], [ES15], [Guy93], [Ken85], and [Por94].

In this paper we construct a new compactification of the moduli stack of similarity classes of triangles, and prove it is a fine moduli space of similarity classes of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate triangles in the plane. The space, often called Dyck's surface, is a smooth manifold isomorphic to a connected sum of three projective planes. It is named after Walther van Dyck, who proved in 1888 [Dyc88] that it is also isomorphic to the connected sum of a torus and a plane.

We also construct the corresponding fine moduli stack of triangles, or what is the same, a fine moduli space of all labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate triangles in the plane (not similarity classes). This space is a blowup of  $\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  at three hyperplanes. Dyck's surface is a quotient of this space.

Our construction reconciles two constructions of moduli spaces of triangles that conflict when compactified: The Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  (see [Beh14] or [ES15]), and the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  ([BG23]). Both are metric completions of moduli spaces of nondegenerates, but defined using different criteria for similarity: one is side-side-side, the other angle-angle-angle. Each non-compactified space has a claim to metric legitimacy, and (hence) their metric completions have a similar claim. But these metric completions imply different notions of degenerate similarity class, resulting in nonisomorphic geometric objects: a sphere in the first case, a torus in the second. Our result incorporates both, which is a priori possible by Dyck's theorem. We prove that the missing degenerate triangles on the sphere are recovered by blowing up at three "double points", and the missing degenerate triangles on the torus are recovered by blowing up at a single point. The crucial role of the method of compactification in determining the parameterizing space is studied in [Beh14, 1.1.10].

We assert that our space  $\mathfrak{D}$  is in a sense the *ultimate* moduli space of triangle classes, because it incorporates every reasonable notion of degenerate triangle, hence every reasonable compactification of the well-known interior space. Thus we submit a universal family, not just for a restricted set of possibly-degenerate triangles, but for any conceivable set. We will not make this more precise.

The excellent treatment of triangles by Behrend [Beh14], which we will frequently refer to, shows the scalene classes (unrestricted) do in fact form a (noncompact) fine moduli space, and explains why the space will not extend to accommodate the isosceles classes. He constructs a fine moduli stack through a fine moduli space of labeled oriented nondegenerate classes in [Beh14, 1.1.9], and shows the compactification, incorporating degenerate classes, is a fine moduli stack ([Beh14, Exercise 1.41]) isomorphic to the Riemann sphere ([Beh14, 1.1.10, Complex Viewpoint]). See also [ES15] for a construction resulting in the sphere. The torus, a fine moduli space with a different definition of degenerate class, appears to our knowledge only in [BG23].

1.0.1. Acknowledgements. The authors thank Sean Gasiorek for sparking their interest in spaces of triangles in a Cal Poly colloquium on the subject of triangle billiards and Poncelet's Porism.

# 2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

**Definition 2.0.1** (Arguments). We identify  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\mathbb{R}/(\pi)$  via the isomorphism

$$\rho: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/(\pi)$$
$$[x, y] \longmapsto \tan^{-1}(y/x) (\text{mod } \pi)$$

The argument  $\operatorname{Arg}(x)$  of a complex number x is the image in  $\mathbb{R}/(\pi)$  of the angle from the positive real axis.

**Definition 2.0.2** (Triangles). Let X, Y, Z and P, Q, R be coordinate functions for the Cartesian products  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi)$ , respectively.

(a) Let  $\Sigma = V(X + Y + Z) - \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^3(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $\mathbb{T} = V(P + Q + R) \subset \mathbb{R}^3/(\pi)$ .

(i) A labeled oriented triangle is an element

$$(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \in \mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}$$

consisting of a basepoint  $B_0$ , three ordered side vectors (a, b, c), not all zero, satisfying a + b + c = 0, and three ordered interior angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  satisfying  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , such that

- $\alpha = \operatorname{Arg}(\boldsymbol{b}/\boldsymbol{c})$  if  $\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c} \neq 0$
- $\beta = \operatorname{Arg}(\boldsymbol{c}/\boldsymbol{a})$  if  $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{a} \neq 0$
- $\gamma = \operatorname{Arg}(\boldsymbol{a}/\boldsymbol{b})$  if  $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \neq 0$ .
- (ii) The vertices are  $(A, B, C) = (B_0 c, B_0, B_0 + a)$ .
- (iii) A triangle is *degenerate* if a, b, c are colinear, i.e.,  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b, c] = 1$ .
- (iv) We write

$$\mathfrak{T} = \{ (B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \} \subset \mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}$$

for the set of all labeled, oriented (possibly-degenerate) triangles.

(b) T = (B<sub>0</sub>; (a, b, c); (α, β, γ)) ∈ ℑ is positively oriented if a, b, c are not colinear and the directed path (a, b, c) is counterclockwise, negatively oriented if it is clockwise, and neutrally oriented if a, b, c are colinear.



The inclusion of orientation to parameterize triangles is natural because of the existence of visibly continuous and nonisomorphic families distinguished by orientation reversals, such as Poncelet families, and the families using strings and pegs in [Beh14, 1.1.10].

**Definition 2.0.3** (Similarity). Labeled oriented triangles  $T, T' \in \mathfrak{T}$  are *similar* if their (ordered) side vectors differ by a nonzero constant in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and their (ordered) angles are equal. Denote the

similarity class of  $T = (B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$  by  $[T] = ([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}]; (\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$ , identify  $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma)$  with  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , and let

$$\mathfrak{D} := \{ [T] : T \in \mathfrak{T} \} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{T}$$

denote the set of all similarity classes of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate triangles. Denote the projection by

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{D}}:\mathfrak{T}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{D}$$
$$(B_0;(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c});(\alpha,\beta,\gamma))\longmapsto([\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}];(\alpha,\beta,\gamma))$$

**Remark 2.0.4.** For any  $T = (B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$  in  $\mathfrak{T}$  we may choose real representatives  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  such that either  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ , or  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are all either non-negative or non-positive and sum to  $\pm \pi$ , in accordance with T's orientation. In particular,  $\mathbb{T}$  distinguishes orientation. For suppose  $([\theta_1], [\theta_2], [\theta_3]) \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\theta_i \in [0, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$  represents  $[\theta_i]$ . Then  $\sum_i \theta_i = k\pi$  for some  $0 \le k \le 2$ . If k = 0 then  $\theta_i = 0$  for each i. If k = 1 then  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$  is a non-negative set summing to  $\pi$ . If k = 2 then putting  $\theta'_i = \theta_i - \pi$  if  $\theta_i \neq 0$  and  $\theta'_i = 0$  if  $\theta_i = 0$  gives non-positive representatives  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \theta'_3)$  summing to  $2\pi - 3\pi = -\pi$ .

2.1. Symmetry Groupoid and Stacks. A scalene triangle has a single absolute similarity class, but twelve labeled, oriented similarity classes: six corresponding to the six ways of assigning three distinct angles to three vertices, multiplied by two for the two orientations.

More generally the symmetries of triangles as we have defined them are determined by the symmetric group  $S_3$  acting simultaneously on the two triples of oriented elements  $(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$ , while fixing the basepoint, and the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acting by reversing orientation. These actions commute, and since  $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq D_6$ , the symmetry groupoid is the transformation groupoid  $\mathfrak{T} \times D_6$ . Similarly the symmetry groupoid of  $\mathfrak{D}$  is  $\mathfrak{D} \times D_6$ . This result appears in [Beh14, Exercise 1.38]. See [Beh14, 1.1.1] for background on transformation groupoids of triangles.

The quotients, which will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{T}/D_6$  and  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D}/D_6$ , are coarse moduli spaces of possibly-degenerate triangles and triangle classes. The constructions of triangle stacks in [Beh14] already show that  $[\mathfrak{T}/D_6]$  and  $[\mathfrak{D}/D_6]$  are (possibly coarse) moduli stacks of possibly-degenerate triangles and triangle classes, respectively. The result of this paper is that these are in fact fine spaces.

### 2.2. Triangle Types.

**Definition 2.2.1** (Types). A triangle  $T = (B_0; (a, b, c); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \in \mathfrak{T}$  is

- nondegenerate if a, b, c are not collinear in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so that the 2 × 3 matrix [a, b, c] has rank 2.
- degenerate if a, b, c are colinear, and a double point if abc = 0.
- scalene if |a|, |b|, |c| are all distinct
- *isosceles* if (at least) two side lengths are equal
- isoangular if (at least) two interior angles are equal
- equilateral if  $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}| = |\mathbf{c}|$

- *obtuse* if  $|\boldsymbol{x}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{y}|^2 < |\boldsymbol{z}|^2$  for some choice of side vectors  $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}$
- acute if  $|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 > |\mathbf{z}|^2$  for all choices of side vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$
- right if  $|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 = |\mathbf{z}|^2$  for some choice of side vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$

2.2.2. **Degenerate Classes.** In this section we briefly outline the philosophy and justification of our definition of degenerate triangle.

There are two types of degenerate classes, isoangular and isosceles nonisoangular. The first arises primarily when the vertices A, B, C are colinear but all distinct, and in that case all angles are  $0 \pmod{\pi}$ . Its class is determined by the ratio of its side lengths (see Subsection 3.1). When only these are considered we obtain for a moduli space of labeled, oriented, possibly degenerate classes the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . On  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  the degenerate classes are represented by a great circle. Exactly three points on this circle are double points, which are degenerate isosceles classes, denoted  $\delta_A, \delta_B, \delta_C$ .

The second type arises for double points, where we assign the angle 0 at the vertex, and two supplementary angles at the base. The inclusion of such classes is forced by the angle-angle-angle dispensation, since there are families converging to a double point that have one constant angle. For example, in the figure below the suggested family of inscribed triangles with fixed vertices Band C and variable A maintain constant angle  $\alpha$  and converge to the double point  $\delta_B$ :



The angle  $\alpha$  is constant by Euclid Proposition 20 of Book III, which states, In a circle the angle at the center is double the angle at the circumference when the angles have the same circumference as base. Since different approach directions to a double point define different base angles in the limit, the natural metric in the angle-angle-angle dispensation (see Section 3.2) forces us to distinguish classes of double points according to base angle. These are the degenerate isosceles, not-necessarily-isoangular classes.

The side-side dispensation is used to construct the Riemann sphere moduli space of classes, which is incomplete because it does not incorporate this distinction on double points. This is explored in detail in [Beh14, Comparison 1.1.11]. In this paper we retrieve these missing points from  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  by blowing up at the double points  $\delta_A, \delta_B$ , and  $\delta_C$ . Intuitively this works because we need different points for different approach directions, and the blowup does precisely this.



The double points of the three families suggested in this picture are at  $\delta_B$ , where  $\mathbf{b} = 0$ . Since the different approaches span  $\pi$  radians, the missing degenerates have form  $([\mathbf{a}, 0, -\mathbf{a}]; (\alpha, 0, -\alpha))$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$ . This is explained in more detail in Section 4.

**Remark 2.2.3.** Ignoring Triple Points. The vertices of the triangles in a fixed similarity class can be scaled by a positive real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and in the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we find a "multiplicity-three" triangle, of form  $((0,0,0); (0,0,0); (\alpha,\beta,\gamma))$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0 \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi)$ . Thus to each similarity class we could assign a unique "triangle" of multiplicity three. But since this results in no new classes, we may exclude them without affecting the geometry of the resulting spaces.

2.3. Smooth Manifolds and  $\mathbb{R}$ -Varieties. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety is an integral, separated scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{R}$ . All of the spaces we consider in this paper, including  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$ , are *algebraic manifolds*, which are smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -varieties that are naturally smooth manifolds. These include nonsingular affine and projective varieties over  $\mathbb{R}$  and their blowups. We let  $\mathbb{R}$ -Var denote the category of smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -varieties.

## 3. Two Constructions

We sketch two moduli spaces of similarity classes based on the side-side and angle-angleangle criteria, respectively. The first is well-known, and detailed presentations appear in [Beh14] and [ES15]. We present a version that is useful for our purposes.

3.1. Side-Side. In the side-side-side dispensation a labeled, oriented triangle is determined by a basepoint and three side vectors:  $(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c})) \in \mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma$ . In reducing modulo similarity we immediately dismiss the basepoint  $B_0$ , and what remains is  $\Sigma = \{(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c})\} = V(X + Y + Z) - \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$ . Two triples in  $\Sigma$  represent similar labeled, oriented classes if and only if they differ by a nonzero constant, which shows the classes are (complex) lines through the origin, naturally forming the space  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ . The degenerate classes are similar to one of two types, scalene and isosceles, or double points:



By definition two degenerates are similar if they define the same set of side ratios. This is forced by the metric completion of the interior space of  $\Sigma$ , which separates these points according to this criterion.

The space  $\Sigma$  has a claim to being "metrically correct", meaning it appears not to give any one triangle (or class) preference over any other (despite the space not being compact). The resulting similarity classes are given by projective triples [a, b, c], since (a, b, c) and (a', b', c') are similar if and only if they differ by a nonzero constant.

To map these classes onto the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  in a metrically correct way we map V(X+Y+Z) to V(Z) by a special unitary transformation, and then apply the Hopf map from  $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$  to the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A right-multiplication matrix taking V(X+Y+Z) to V(Z) is

$$\frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 3+\sqrt{3} & -3+\sqrt{3} & 2\sqrt{3} \\ -3+\sqrt{3} & 3+\sqrt{3} & 2\sqrt{3} \\ -2\sqrt{3} & -2\sqrt{3} & 2\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{SU}(3)$$

Thus the classes of labeled oriented triangles are given by the quotient

$$\mathfrak{T} \longrightarrow \mathsf{V}(X+Y+Z) \longrightarrow \mathsf{V}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$(B_{0}; (a, b, c); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \longrightarrow (a, b, c) \longrightarrow (u, v, 0) \longrightarrow [u, v] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{i}(u, v)$$

where  $[u, v] = [(1 + \sqrt{3})a + (-1 + \sqrt{3})b, (-1 + \sqrt{3})a + (1 + \sqrt{3})b]$ . To justify the last map, we identify  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$  with the quaternion  $u + vj \in \mathbb{H}^{\times}$ , and assign to it the point

$$\mathfrak{h}_i(u,v) \coloneqq (u+vj)^{-1}i(u+vj) = \frac{(u\bar{u}-v\bar{v},(\bar{u}v-u\bar{v})i,\bar{u}v+u\bar{v})}{u\bar{u}+v\bar{v}}$$

This is the Hopf map onto the unit sphere  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , which we identify with  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . The stabilizer of *i* under right multiplication is the subgroup  $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \leq \mathbb{H}^{\times}$ , which shows  $\mathfrak{h}_{i}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \mathfrak{h}_{i}(\boldsymbol{u}', \boldsymbol{v}')$  if and only if [u, v] = [u', v']. Therefore  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  gives the desired isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . The following images on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  of different triangle types are easy to verify.

**Proposition 3.1.1.** Let X, Y, Z be the coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , in which  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is embedded as the unit sphere  $V(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1)$ . Then

- (a) The positively oriented equilateral point E is  $[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}] = [1, \boldsymbol{i}]$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , mapping to  $-\boldsymbol{j}$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . The negatively oriented counterpart -E maps to j.
- (b) The degenerate classes map to  $V(Y) \cap \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- (c) The double points, sorted by vertex, are δ<sub>B</sub> = (√3/2, 0, 1/2), δ<sub>A</sub> = (-√3/2, 0, 1/2), and δ<sub>C</sub> = (0, 0, -1).
  (d) The isosceles classes form three great circles at X √3Z = 0, X + √3Z = 0, and X = 0, identified by vertices A, B, and C, respectively.
- (e) The right classes form three circles at  $-\sqrt{3}X + Z = -1$ ,  $\sqrt{3}X + Z = -1$ , and  $Z = \frac{1}{2}$ , identified by right angles A, B, and C, respectively.
- (f) The obtuse classes form three spherical caps of height  $\frac{1}{2}$  and area  $\pi$ .



The symmetry groupoid of this space (see [Beh14, 1.1.1]) is  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times (S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times D_6$ :  $S_3$  preserves orientation while permuting the three double points  $\delta_A, \delta_B$ , and  $\delta_C$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  reverses orientation via reflection across the plane Y = 0, transposing the left and right figures.

**Theorem 3.1.2** (see [Beh14, 1.1.10]). If degeneracy is defined in the side-side dispensation,  $[\tilde{\mathbb{C}}/D_6]$  is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate classes, or equivalently, the Riemann sphere  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ is a fine moduli space of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate classes.

The quotient  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}/D_6$  is the space of (unrestricted) similarity classes of possibly-degenerate triangles, and is represented by any one of the sectors. We label the multiplicites of the resulting "stacky points", as in [Beh14, (1.23)], which are given by the orders of the corresponding stabilizer subgroups.



Quotient  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}/D_6$  with Stacky Point Multiplicites

3.2. Angle-Angle-Angle. Similarity classes are also characterized by triples of angles, and the map  $\mathfrak{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$  given by  $(B_0; (a, b, c); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  takes  $\mathfrak{T}$  onto the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  ([BG23]). The positively oriented classes are parameterized by the equilateral triangle  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \pi$  in the first octant of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which has an obvious claim to being a "metrically correct" parameterization. The negatively oriented classes are across the degenerate border, as indicated below by the arrows on the edges of the two triangles, and they glue to make a topological torus. The definition of degenerate classes is visibly forced by the metric, and determines labeled classes of the form  $(0, \beta, -\beta), (\alpha, 0, -\alpha),$  and  $(\alpha, -\alpha, 0)$ , where the entries are interpreted (mod  $\pi$ ), along the sides  $\delta_A, \delta_B$ , and  $\delta_C$ , respectively. Each such triangle is a double point in the side-side-side construction, with nontrivial values assigned to the nonzero angles according to the different approaches to the double point:



Here are the two orientated triangles-of-triangle classes, with the gluing instructions indicated on the sides.



The positive and negative triangles glue into what we call the *Clifford torus*, which is a flat embedding of the torus in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , preserving the above metric. The symmetry groupoid of this space (see [BG23]) is  $\mathbb{T} \times (S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{T} \times D_6$ :  $S_3$  preserves orientation while permuting the three sides

 $\delta_A, \delta_B$ , and  $\delta_C$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  reverses orientation.

**Theorem 3.2.1** ([BG23]). If degeneracy is defined in the angle-angle dispensation,  $[\mathbb{T}/D_6]$  is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate triangle classes, or equivalently, the Clifford torus  $\mathbb{T}$  is a fine moduli space of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate triangle classes.

The quotient  $\mathbb{T}/D_6$  is represented by any of the sectors. We label the multiplicites of the resulting "stacky points":



Quotient  $\mathbb{T}/D_6$  with Stacky Point Multiplicites

3.3. Unification. We have two moduli spaces of triangle classes, a sphere and a torus, which are both compactifications of the spaces of nondegenerate triangles, but differ in how they define degenerate classes. We will see below that they can be unified by either blowing up the sphere at the three double points, or blowing up the torus at one point. Either operation results in the same surface, Dyck's surface.

As indicated in Subsection 2.1, the symmetry groupoid of  $\mathfrak{D}$  is  $\mathfrak{D} \times D_6$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D}/D_6$  be the quotient, representing the space of (unrestricted) possibly-degenerate classes, where degeneracy is defined most generally by Definition 2.0.2. This is a coarse moduli space whose points classify  $D_6$ -equivalence classes on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . By construction the stabilizer of every point of  $\mathfrak{D}$  is trivial. By pasting together the pictures for  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}/D_6$  and  $\mathbb{T}/D_6$  we obtain the following sketch of  $\mathfrak{C}$ , labeled with the stacky multiplicities of the various similarity classes.



It remains to show  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$ , given abstractly as sets of triangles and triangle classes, are in fact the (smooth) blowups of  $\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  or  $\mathbb{T}$ .

# 4. THE SPACE OF TRIANGLES

In this section we show that  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a smooth manifold, isomorphic to the blowup of  $\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  at three hyperplanes, which will be used in Section 6 to show  $[\mathfrak{T}/D_6]$  is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate triangles.

Let  $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}$  be the space of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate triangles in Definition 2.0.2, with  $\Sigma = \mathsf{V}(X+Y+Z) \subset \mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  the space of side vectors and  $\mathbb{T} = \mathsf{V}(P+Q+R) \subset \mathbb{R}^3/(\pi)$  the torus of interior angles. A general element has form  $(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$  with  $\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{c} = 0$ and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ . A double point has one zero angle and another two that are supplementary, and otherwise unrestricted. Let  $H_1 = \mathsf{V}(X) \cap \Sigma$ ,  $H_2 = \mathsf{V}(Y) \cap \Sigma$ , and  $H_3 = \mathsf{V}(Z) \cap \Sigma$ , and put  $H = ||H_i|$ . The double points form the sets

$$\mathfrak{T}|_{H_1} := \mathbb{C}_0 \times H_1 \times \{(0, \beta, -\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi)\}$$
  
$$\mathfrak{T}|_{H_2} := \mathbb{C}_0 \times H_2 \times \{(\alpha, 0, -\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi)\}$$
  
$$\mathfrak{T}|_{H_3} := \mathbb{C}_0 \times H_3 \times \{(\alpha, -\alpha, 0) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi)\}$$

Put  $\mathfrak{T}_{dbl} = \mathfrak{T}|_{H} = \mathfrak{T}|_{H_1} \sqcup \mathfrak{T}|_{H_2} \sqcup \mathfrak{T}|_{H_3}$ . A triangle in  $\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T}_{dbl}$  is completely determined by its basepoint and side vectors by Euclid's side-side theorem.

4.1. Variety Structure. Let  $\operatorname{Bl}_H(\mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma)$  denote the iterated real blowup at  $H_1, H_2$ , and  $H_3$ . Since these are disjoint on  $\Sigma$  the order doesn't matter. Let  $\pi : \operatorname{Bl}_H(\mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma) \to \Sigma$  be the projection, put  $E_i = \pi^{-1}(H_i)$ , the exceptional fiber over  $H_i$ , and let  $E = \pi^{-1}(H) = \bigsqcup_i E_i$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Bl}_{H}(\mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma) - E = \{ (B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{c}}) \} \subset \mathbb{C}_{0} \times (\Sigma - H) \times \mathbb{R}^{3} / (\pi) \}$$

where  $\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c$  are the arguments of a, b, c, which are the images in  $\mathbb{R}/(\pi)$  of the values in the three exceptional fibers  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , whose computation is standard, see e.g. [Har95, Example 7.18]. For example, let  $X_1, X_2$  be the real coordinate functions for the *a*-component in  $\Sigma$ . Then the value in the first component of  $\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi)$  is the image under  $\rho: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}/(\pi)$  of the real point

 $[X_1(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c})), X_2(B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}))] = [re(\boldsymbol{a}), im(\boldsymbol{a})]$ 

which is the argument  $\xi_a$  of a. The values on the three fibers signal the normal directions of the points of  $\operatorname{Bl}_H(\mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma)$  from the real-codimension-two planes V(X), V(Y), or V(Z), respectively. Similar triangles can differ on the fibers in general, since for example a simple rotation will transform all three values  $\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c$  by the same amount. A general element, possibly over H, has form  $(B_0; (a, b, c); (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3))$ , and on the fibers themselves we have

$$E_{1} = \{ (B_{0}; (0, b, -b); (\xi_{1}, \xi_{b}, \xi_{b})) : \xi_{1} \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \}$$
  

$$E_{2} = \{ (B_{0}; (a, 0, -a); (\xi_{a}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{a})) : \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \}$$
  

$$E_{3} = \{ (B_{0}; (a, -a, 0); (\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}, \xi_{3})) : \xi_{3} \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \}$$

Since the angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and exceptional fiber values  $(\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c)$  at non-double points  $\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T}_{dbl}$ are uniquely determined by (a, b, c), we have an obvious isomorphism  $\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T}_{dbl} \xrightarrow{\sim} Bl_H(\mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma) - E$ . This extends to an isomorphism from all of  $\mathfrak{T}$ :

Theorem 4.1.1. The map

$$\psi : \operatorname{Bl}_{H}(\mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T} \subset \mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}$$

$$(B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3})) \longmapsto \begin{cases} (B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}, \xi_{3} - \xi_{1}, \xi_{1} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } \operatorname{Bl}_{H}(\mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma) - E \\ (B_{0}; (0, \boldsymbol{b}, -\boldsymbol{b}); (0, \xi_{2} - \xi_{1}, \xi_{1} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } E_{1} (\xi_{2} = \xi_{3} = \xi_{b}) \\ (B_{0}; (-\boldsymbol{c}, 0, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}, 0, \xi_{3} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } E_{2} (\xi_{1} = \xi_{3} = \xi_{c}) \\ (B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, -\boldsymbol{a}, 0); (\xi_{1} - \xi_{3}, \xi_{3} - \xi_{1}, 0)) & \text{on } E_{3} (\xi_{1} = \xi_{2} = \xi_{a}) \end{cases}$$

is a bijection, with inverse

$$\phi: \mathfrak{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_{H}(\mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma) \subset \mathbb{C}_{0} \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{3}/(\pi)$$

$$(B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{a}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{b}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{c})) \qquad on \ \mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T}_{dbl}$$

$$(B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{b} + \gamma, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{b}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{b})) \qquad on \ \mathfrak{T}|_{H_{1}}$$

$$(B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, 0, -\boldsymbol{a}); (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{a}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a} + \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a})) \qquad on \ \mathfrak{T}|_{H_{2}}$$

$$(B_{0}; (\boldsymbol{a}, -\boldsymbol{a}, 0); (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{a}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a} + \beta)) \qquad on \ \mathfrak{T}|_{H_{3}}$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety.

*Proof.* To show the maps are inverses we apply the identities

$$\alpha = \xi_b - \xi_c \qquad \beta = \xi_c - \xi_a \qquad \gamma = \xi_a - \xi_b$$

On  $\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{T}_{dbl}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \psi \circ \phi \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right) &= \psi \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}) \right) \\ &= \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{\boldsymbol{b}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{a}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}) \right) \\ &= \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right) \checkmark \end{split}$$

On  $\operatorname{Bl}_H(\mathbb{C}_0 \times \Sigma) - E$ ,

$$\begin{split} \phi \circ \psi \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}) \right) &= \phi \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{\boldsymbol{b}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{a}} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}) \right) \\ &= \phi \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right) \\ &= \left( B_0; (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}); (\xi_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}) \right) \checkmark \end{split}$$

On  $\mathfrak{T}|_{H_1}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \phi (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (0, -\gamma, \gamma)) = \psi (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (\xi_{\mathbf{b}} + \gamma, \xi_{\mathbf{b}}, \xi_{\mathbf{b}}))$$
$$= (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (0, \xi_{\mathbf{b}} - (\xi_{\mathbf{b}} + \gamma), \xi_{\mathbf{b}} + \gamma - \xi_{\mathbf{b}}))$$
$$= (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (0, -\gamma, \gamma)) \checkmark$$

On  $E_1$  points have the form  $(B_0; (0, \boldsymbol{b}, -\boldsymbol{b}); (\xi_1, \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}}))$ , where  $\xi_1 = \xi_{\boldsymbol{b}} - \gamma$ , so

$$\phi \circ \psi (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (\xi_1, \xi_b, \xi_b)) = \phi (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (0, \xi_b - \xi_1, \xi_1 - \xi_b)$$
$$= (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (\xi_b + \xi_1 - \xi_b, \xi_b, \xi_b))$$
$$= (B_0; (0, \mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b}); (\xi_1, \xi_b, \xi_b)) \checkmark$$

The others are similar. We conclude  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are inverses. Both maps are clearly regular, and give  $\mathfrak{T}$  the structure of a smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety.

# 5. THE SPACE OF SIMILARITY CLASSES

In this section we show that  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a smooth manifold, isomorphic to the blowup of  $\mathbb{C}$  at three double points or  $\mathbb{T}$  at one point, and hence that  $[\mathfrak{D}/D_6]$  is a fine moduli stack of possibly-degenerate triangle classes, whose underlying space is Dyck's surface.

Let  $\pi_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}} : \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the projection. If  $L \subset \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  is a linear form that is not a multiple of X + Y + Z then the image  $[V(L)] \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is a point. Let  $\delta_i = [H_i] \cap \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , and let  $\delta = \bigsqcup_i \delta_i$ . For

example,  $\delta_1$  is the double point on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  where a = 0:  $(-\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$ . The double-point classes in  $\mathfrak{D}$  are the preimages  $\pi_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(\delta_i)$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_1} &= \{ [0, 1, -1] \} \times \{ (0, \beta, -\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \} \\ \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_2} &= \{ [1, 0, -1] \} \times \{ (\alpha, 0, -\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \} \\ \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_3} &= \{ [1, -1, 0] \} \times \{ (\alpha, -\alpha, 0) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(\pi) \} \end{split}$$

Put  $\mathfrak{D}|_{\delta} = \bigsqcup_{i} \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_{i}}$ , the set of double-point classes.

5.1. Variety Structure. We will prove  $\mathfrak{D}$  is isomorphic to the real blowup of the smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  at the three points  $\delta_i$ . To do this we blow up the real structure underlying affine open sets  $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}) \cap \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  at the  $\delta_i$ , where as usual we identify  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}(X + Y + Z)) \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $\operatorname{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$  denote the iterated real blowup of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  at  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ , and  $\delta_3$ . Again the order doesn't matter. Let  $\pi_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}} : \operatorname{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  be the projection, put  $[E_i] = \pi_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(\delta_i)$  and set  $[E] = \bigsqcup [E_i]$  in  $\operatorname{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) - [E] = \{ ([a, b, c]; [\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c]) : abc \neq 0 \} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi)) \}$$

where  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi))$  denotes the projective space. To see this, let  $L \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  be a linear form that is not a multiple of X + Y + Z, and let  $\mathbb{A}^2_L(\mathbb{C})$  denote the affine open set  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) - \mathsf{V}(L)$ , with coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[X/L, Y/L, Z/L]$ , let  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_L = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{A}^2_L(\mathbb{C})$ . As before the standard computation shows the triple of exceptional fiber values of  $p = [a, b, c] \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_L$  at  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$  is

$$(\rho[X\overline{L}(p)], \rho[Y\overline{L}(p)], \rho[Z\overline{L}(p)]) = (\xi_a + \xi, \xi_b + \xi, \xi_c + \xi)$$

where  $\xi$  is the argument of  $\overline{L}(p)$ , which is defined since  $p \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_L$ . Evidently the value depends on L, but the triple is well-defined modulo improvement of each argument by the same  $\xi$ , so it is well-defined in the real projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi))$ . Thus we write  $[\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3/(\pi))$  for the equivalence class of the triple.

Theorem 5.1.1. The map

$$\begin{split} [\psi] : \mathrm{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{D} \\ ([a, b, c]; [\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}]) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} ([a, b, c]; (\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}, \xi_{3} - \xi_{1}, \xi_{1} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } \mathrm{Bl}_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) - [E] \\ ([0, 1, -1]; (0, \xi_{2} - \xi_{1}, \xi_{1} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } [E_{1}] \\ ([1, 0, -1]; (\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}, 0, \xi_{3} - \xi_{2})) & \text{on } [E_{2}] \\ ([1, -1, 0]; (\xi_{1} - \xi_{3}, \xi_{3} - \xi_{1}, 0)) & \text{on } [E_{3}] \end{cases} \end{split}$$

is a bijection, with inverse

$$\begin{split} [\phi] : \mathfrak{D} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\delta}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{3}/(\pi)) \\ ([a, b, c]; (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} ([a, b, c]; [\xi_{a}, \xi_{b}, \xi_{c}]) & \text{on } \mathfrak{D} - \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta} \\ ([0, 1, -1]; [\xi_{b} + \gamma, \xi_{b}, \xi_{c}]) & \text{on } \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_{1}} \\ ([1, 0, -1]; [\xi_{a}, \xi_{c} + \alpha, \xi_{c}]) & \text{on } \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_{2}} \\ ([1, -1, 0]; [\xi_{a}, \xi_{b}, \xi_{a} + \beta]) & \text{on } \mathfrak{D}|_{\delta_{3}} \end{cases}$$

In particular  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety, isomorphic to Dyck's surface.

*Proof.* The computation is similar to the one in Theorem 4.1.1, and we omit it.

**Remark 5.1.2.** It is not hard to show  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the orbit space of  $\mathfrak{T}$  under the group action

$$(\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \times \mathfrak{T} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}$$
$$((\boldsymbol{v}, \lambda), T) \longmapsto \lambda(T + \boldsymbol{v})$$

where  $\boldsymbol{v}$  and  $\lambda$  are applied to the vertices. The subgroups  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  of the semidirect product satisfy  $\lambda \circ \boldsymbol{v} \circ \lambda^{-1} = \lambda(\boldsymbol{v})$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  and  $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{T}/\sim$ .

## 6. Moduli Stacks

In this section we show  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  are fine moduli spaces and that the corresponding stacks  $[\mathfrak{T}/D_6]$ and  $[\mathfrak{D}/D_6]$  are fine moduli stacks of possibly-degenerate triangles and triangle classes.

### 6.1. Moduli Space of Triangles and Their Similarity Classes.

**Definition 6.1.1.** If B is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety, let  $\pi_B$ ,  $\pi_{\mathfrak{T}}$ , and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{D}}$  be the projections on  $B \times \mathfrak{T}$  and  $B \times \mathfrak{D}$ .

- (a) A family F/B in  $\mathfrak{T}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{D}$ ) with base B is a subvariety  $F \subset B \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{T}$  (resp.  $F \subset B \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}$ ) such that  $\pi_B|_F : F \to B$  is an isomorphism.
- (b) The pullback  $m^*(G/C)$  of a family G/C along  $m: B \to C$  is the fiber product  $(G \times_C B)/B$ .
- (c) The (contravariant) moduli functor for similarity classes in  $\mathfrak{T}$  is the functor

$$F_{\mathfrak{T}}: \mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathsf{Var} \to \mathsf{Set} \qquad (resp.\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}: (-\mathsf{Var}\mathbb{R}) \to \mathsf{Set})$$

that assigns to each  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ -Var the set of families over B in  $\mathfrak{T}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{D}$ ), and to each map  $m : B \to C$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ -Var the pullback families.

**Lemma 6.1.2.**  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  are represented by  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$ , respectively.

Proof. The proofs are so similar we just prove it for  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We must show  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}\operatorname{-Var}}(-,\mathfrak{D})$ . A family  $F \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(B)$  defines a morphism  $f: B \to \mathfrak{D}$  by composing  $(\pi_B|_F)^{-1}$ :  $B \to F$  with  $\pi_{\mathfrak{D}}: \mathfrak{D} \times B \to \mathfrak{D}$ . This is a morphism since  $\pi_B|_F$  is an isomorphism. Define the component of  $\Theta: \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}} \to \operatorname{Hom}(-,\mathfrak{D})$  on the (arbitrary) base B by  $\Theta_B(F) = f$ . To prove  $\Theta$  is a natural transformation we must show that a morphism  $m: B \to C$  determines a commutative diagram

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(C) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_C} & \operatorname{Hom}(C, \mathfrak{D}) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(B) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_B} & \operatorname{Hom}(B, \mathfrak{D}) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $G \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(C)$  defines the *C*-point  $g: C \to \mathfrak{D}$ . By definition  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(m)(G) = G \times_C B$ , and the resulting morphism is restriction of  $\pi_D$  to  $G \times_C B$ . The isomorphism  $\mathfrak{D} \times_{\mathbb{R}} C \times_B C = \mathfrak{D} \times_{\mathbb{R}} B$  shows the resulting morphism is  $g \circ m$ . Thus  $(\Theta_B \circ \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}(m))(G) = \pi_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ (g \circ m)$ , whereas  $(\operatorname{Hom}(m, \mathfrak{D}) \circ \Theta_C)(G) = (\pi_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ g) \circ m$ . Since these are evidently equal, this proves  $\Theta$  is a natural transformation.

We invert  $\Theta$  by taking a morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}(B, \mathfrak{D})$  to the family F/B defined by the graph of f. The proof that these are inverses is straightforward. This proves the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 6.1.3.**  $\mathfrak{T}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{D}$ ) is a fine moduli space for the set of all labeled, oriented, possiblydegenerate triangles (resp. similarity classes of triangles). In particular, the family  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a universal family of labeled, oriented, possibly-degenerate similarity classes in  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Theta$  :  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}} \to \operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathfrak{D})$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.1.2, for any transformation  $\Psi : \mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}} \to \operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathfrak{E})$  where  $\mathfrak{E}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety, there is a natural transformation  $\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathfrak{D}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathfrak{E})$  such that  $\Psi = \Phi \circ \Theta$ . This proves the first statement. The second follows immediately from the first.

6.1.4. Moduli Stacks. Let G be a finite group, and  $X \in \mathbb{R}$ -Var a G-scheme with quotient X/G. By [DM69] or [Beh14] the stack quotient [X/G] is the category whose objects (E/U, f) are diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{f} X \\ \downarrow \\ U \end{array}$$

where  $U \in \mathbb{R}$ -Var, E/U is a *G*-torsor, and *f* is *G*-equivariant, and whose morphisms  $(g, \phi)$ :  $(E'/U', f') \to (E/U, f)$  are given by morphisms  $g: U' \to U$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ -Var and *G*-equivariant isomorphisms  $\phi: E' \to E \times_U U' \to E$  satisfying  $f' = f \circ \theta$ . We write [X/G](U) for the subcategory determined by fixed *U*. A morphism  $g: U' \to U$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ -Var determines the pullback functor

$$g^*: [X/G](U) \longrightarrow [X/G](U')$$

which is base extension, taking (E/U, f) to  $(E \times_U U', f \circ p_1)$ , where  $p_1 : E \times_U U' \to E$  is the projection. By construction each [X/G](U) is a groupoid, and since X is a scheme its functor of points is a sheaf, hence [X/G] is an algebraic stack, which is a sheaf fibered in groupoids.

Since every point of  $\mathfrak{D}$  represents a labeled and oriented triangle class, the symmetric groupoid of  $\mathfrak{D}$  is  $\mathfrak{D} \times D_6$ , as noted in Subsection 2.1. If  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}/U$  is a family over a  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety U, let  $\pi : U' \to U$ be a  $D_6$ -torsor, so that  $\pi^{-1}(u)$  is a set of 12 points with a free transitive  $D_6$ -action. Let  $\mathscr{F}'_{\mathfrak{D}}/U'$ be the corresponding family, which now has all labelings and orientations of a class over a given  $u \in U$ . The family  $\mathscr{F}'_{\mathfrak{D}}$  thus determines a  $D_6$ -equivariant morphism  $U' \to \mathfrak{D}$ , hence an element of  $[\mathfrak{D}/D_6](U)$ . The same analysis applies to  $\mathfrak{T}$  and we obtain a stack  $[\mathfrak{T}/D_6]$ . Thus we have the following.

**Corollary 6.1.5.**  $[\mathfrak{T}/D_6]$  (resp.  $[\mathfrak{D}/D_6]$ ) is a fine moduli stack of (unrestricted) triangles (resp. similarity classes), with  $\mathfrak{T}/D_6 = \mathfrak{S}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{D}/D_6 = \mathfrak{C}$ ) the corresponding coarse moduli space, and where degeneracy is defined as in Definition 2.0.2.

#### References

- [Beh14] K. Behrend. Introduction to algebraic stacks. In Moduli spaces, volume 411 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 1–131. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [BG23] Eric Brussel and Madeleine E. Goertz. The torus of triangles, 2023.
- [CNSS19] Jason Cantarella, Tom Needham, Clayton Shonkwiler, and Gavin Stewart. Random triangles and polygons in the plane. Amer. Math. Monthly, 126(2):113–134, 2019.
- [DM69] P. Deligne and D. Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (36):75–109, 1969.
- [Dyc88] Walther Dyck. Beiträge zur Analysis situs. Math. Ann., 32(4):457–512, 1888.

### BRUSSEL, GOERTZ, GUPTIL, LYLE

- [ES15] A. Edelman and G. Strang. Random triangle theory with geometry and applications. Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 15:681–713, 2015.
- [Guy93] Richard K. Guy. There Are Three Times as Many Obtuse-Angled Triangles as There Are Acute-Angled Ones. Math. Mag., 66(3):175–179, 1993.
- [Har95] Joe Harris. Algebraic geometry, volume 133 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. A first course, Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [Ken85] D. G. Kendall. Exact distributions for shapes of random triangles in convex sets. Adv. in Appl. Probab., 17(2):308–329, 1985.
- [Por94] Stephen Portnoy. A Lewis Carroll pillow problem: probability of an obtuse triangle. Statist. Sci., 9(2):279–284, 1994.