

A study of bound states of $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesons with light and heavy nuclei within chiral SU(3) model

Arvind Kumar^{*}

*Department of Physics, Dr. B R Ambedkar National Institute of Technology Jalandhar,
Jalandhar – 144008, Punjab, India*

Amruta Mishra[†]

*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
Hauz Khas New Delhi 110016 India*

Abstract

In the present work we explore the possibilities of the formation of bound states of neutral pseudoscalar mesons $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0$ and $\bar{K}^0$ and the vector meson ϕ , with the nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , calculating their binding energy and absorption decay width. To calculate the optical potentials of these mesons in different nuclei under study, we shall use the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model, in which the properties of nucleons in the medium are modified through the scalar isoscalar fields σ and ζ and the scalar-isovector field δ . The scalar-isovector field δ account for the finite isospin asymmetry of different nuclei having asymmetry in number of protons and neutrons. The binding energy and absorption decay width of mesons are calculated for the ground state and some of possible excited states of the nuclei. In the chiral SU(3) model, the mesons $\eta, D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0$ and $\bar{K}^0$ are observed to have significant negative mass shift upto nuclear saturation density which lead to the possibility of the bound states at least for ground states and some of excited states in case of heavy nuclei. For the pseudoscalar singlet η' and the vector meson ϕ the mass shift obtained are found to be small and bound states are not formed. The present calculations are compared with different studies available in the field and will be useful in understanding the outcomes from different experimental facilities focusing on this area of research.

^{*} kumara@nitj.ac.in

[†] amruta@physics.iitd.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

The theory of strong interactions, quantum chromodynamics (QCD), has two well known properties: asymptotic freedom and confinement. The understanding of the low-energy non-perturbative regime of QCD poses extra challenges due to large value of coupling constant. The properties of QCD such as breaking of chiral symmetry and its expected restoration at high temperature and baryon density play an essential role in our understanding of strong interaction physics. The study of medium modification of hadron properties, specially pseudoscalar and vector mesons, play a pivotal role in revealing the nature of the partial restoration of the chiral symmetry at high temperature and baryon densities. The heavy-ion collision experiments, for example, the large hadron collider (LHC) at CERN, Switzerland and relativistic heavy-ion collider (RHIC) at BNL, USA, explore the regime of strongly interacting matter at high temperature and low baryon densities, whereas, the future experimental facilities such compressed baryon matter (CBM) of FAIR, GSI and the Nuclotron-based Ion Collider fAcility (NICA) at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Russia intend to explore the nature of interactions and phase transitions at high baryon densities and low/moderate temperatures. There are extensive theoretical studies using various approaches which explore the medium modification of hadron properties at finite temperature and baryon densities [1–4].

An alternative technique which play a significant role in understanding the strongly interacting matter and role of chiral symmetry is the study of mesic nuclei, i.e., the bound states of mesons and nuclei, where strong interactions play a dominant role. In the formation of mesic nuclei, one of the nucleon in a given nuclei is replaced with the meson. This is complementary to mesic atoms in which mesons replaces electron in the atom and Coulomb interactions play the key role [5]. Formation of mesic nuclei for charged and neutral mesons has been studied using different approach, for example, quark meson coupling (QMC) model [6, 7], Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model (NJL) [8], chiral unitary approach [9, 10] etc. The mesons which have strong attractive interactions in the dense nuclear medium, i.e., whose mass deceases with increase in the baryon density and thus, have deep attractive potential, may form the bound states with different nuclei.

The study of η and η' mesic nuclei shed light not only on interactions of these mesons with nucleons in the nuclear matter, but is also important to deepen our understanding on

the behaviour of $U_A(1)$ anomaly in the dense medium. Initial studies in the direction of η mesic nuclei were initiated by Haider and Liu [11]. In this work, through the analysis of $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$ cross-sections and solving relativistic wave equation, possibilities of existence of bound states of η mesons with nuclei having mass number $A \geq 12$ have been claimed. In [12], Green function method is applied to study the η - ^{16}O bound state. Subsequent to these initial studies, in-medium properties of η and η' mesons and possibility of their bound state formation with different nuclei have been studied by different groups [13, 14, 16–19]. The formation of $\eta'(958)$ -nucleus bound state is investigated in the (p, d) reaction to explore the medium modification of $\eta'(958)$ mass [13]. Many experiments are devoted to search the η mesic nuclei by different collaborations by studying reactions from heavy and light targets. Experiments at BNL [20] involved the reaction of π^+ with targets lithium, carbon, oxygen, and aluminium, whereas, Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility (LAMPF) considered ^{18}O [21], but both with negative results. The photo-production process, induced by reaction of γ photon on ^{12}C , lead to the formation of η -nucleon bound state, as claimed by Lebedev Physical Institute (LPI) group [22]. Deuteron induced reaction on carbon nuclei was studied in JINR to investigate the formation of η mesic nuclei through the decay of $N^*(1535)$ resonance [23]. Along with heavy nuclei as targets, experimentalist are actively looking for the bound state of η -mesons with light nuclei, for example, WASA at COSY collaboration through reaction channels $pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} 2\gamma$ and $pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} 6\gamma$ are looking for bound states of η with ^3He nuclei [24, 25]. Theoretically, using few body η - NNN system existence of ^3He η bound state is explored [26]. Recent reviews on the theoretical and experimental efforts on η and η' mesic nuclei are presented in Refs. [27, 28].

Investigation of the medium modification of open charm D mesons have implications in understanding the their production rate, the phenomenon of charmonium suppression which may shed light on formation of QGP, and also in exploring the possibility of formation of D [10, 29] and J/ψ mesic nuclei [30]. As the open charm D mesons have one light u/d quark or anti-quark as its content, these mesons can undergo the significant mass modifications, through the medium modification of light quark condensates. The medium modifications of charm mesons have been studied using QCD sum rules, chiral $\text{SU}(3)$ hadronic model, coupled channel approach, QMC model etc. [31–39]. In Ref. [7], using the QMC model, bound states of D^- , D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons in ^{208}Pb were studied. Using the D meson self-energies, calculated using the coupled channel approach, the bound states of D^0 mesons

with ^{12}C and ^{208}Pb were studied in Ref. [10]. The study of D meson bound states with the nuclei is also important for the PANDA experiment of FAIR project [62]. In literature the work has also been done on the bound states of ω [40], J/ψ [30], η_c [41] and η_b [42] mesons with different nuclei. Although the in-medium mass of bottom meson have been studied using different approaches [43], the B -mesic nuclei have not been explored except one recent study using QMC model [44].

Understanding the medium modification of ϕ mesons is also an active topic at present due to different results obtained from various experimental studies. In the KEK-PS E325 experiment, though the study of invariant mass spectra of e^-e^+ pairs produced in 12 GeV $p + A$ reaction, through the process $p + A \rightarrow \phi + X \rightarrow e^-e^+ + X'$, a negative mass shift of 3.4% and decay width 14.5 MeV is observed at nuclear saturation density [45]. Other experiments, for example, Laser-Electron Photon facility at SPring-8 (LEPS) [46] and by CLAS collaboration JLab [47, 48], have reported significant modifications in the in-medium decay width, without significant effect on mass shift. The J-PARC E16 collaboration aim to investigate the mass modifications of ϕ mesons through the mass spectra of e^-e^+ pairs, as in KEK, but with more high statistics and in the energy range of 50 GeV [49, 50]. Theoretically, the medium modifications of ϕ mesons have been studied using QCD sum rules, coupled channel approach, chiral hadronic model, quark meson model etc. [6, 51–59]. The negative mass shift for the ϕ mesons observed under various theoretical approaches and also as reported by KEK-PS 325 [45], motivate to study the possibility of the formation of ϕ -mesic nuclei [6]. At JPARC experimental facility, plans are to investigate the mass shift of ϕ mesons through the ϕ meson-nuclei bound states using reactions $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \phi\phi$ [60, 61].

In the present paper, we shall explore the formation of bound states of pseudoscalar mesons, $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{K}^0$ and the vector meson ϕ , whereas, the bound states of $\omega, J/\psi, \eta_c$ and η_b will be investigated in future work. For our current work, we shall use the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model which is based on low energy properties of QCD [63]. Chiral SU(3) model has been used in literature to study the modification of properties of kaons [64–66], vector mesons (ω, ρ and ϕ) [67], pseudoscalar η meson [68, 69], D [38, 39, 70] and B mesons [71], charmonium [72] and bottomonium [73], in nuclear and strange hadronic matter. The optical potentials of mesons under study, calculated using chiral effective model for different light and heavy nuclei, will be used to solve Klein Gordon equation in the momentum space to obtain the binding energies and decay width. Note that

in the current work we used mean field approximation in calculating the in-medium masses and optical potentials of different mesons throughout the manuscript. In future, we may further improve the results employing beyond mean field calculations for example including two loop contribution [74, 75].

Following is the outline of present paper: In Sec. II, we shall present the details of chiral SU(3) model. Details of how to obtain the optical potentials of mesons in the environment of nuclei will be discussed in Sec. III. The results and discussion will be presented in Sec. IV and finally, in Sec. V, summary and conclusion will be given.

II. CHIRAL SU(3) HADRONIC MODEL

To investigate the formation of mesic nuclei in the present work, we shall use the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean-field model based on nonlinear realization of chiral symmetry [63, 76–78]. In the chiral SU(3) model, the interactions between the nucleons are mediated through the exchange of non-strange scalar meson σ , strange scalar meson ζ , scalar-isovector meson δ , vector meson ω and vector-isovector meson ρ . The scalar field δ and vector field ρ contribute when medium has finite isospin asymmetry. In this model, the scalar dilaton field, χ , known as glueball field is introduced to incorporate the broken scale invariance property of the QCD [63]. As discussed in the introduction, QMC model, NJL model, chiral unitary approach, linear sigma model etc. have been used to calculate the in-medium optical potentials and hence the possibility of the formation of mesic nuclei. Comparing chiral SU(3) model with these approaches following are important differences. In the QMC model, quarks are treated as fundamental degrees of freedom confined inside the hadrons through a bag potential. Quarks confined inside the baryons interact through the exchange of scalar and vector fields, which in-turn modify the properties of baryons. In the QMC model in-medium masses of kaons and antikaons decrease as a function of density of the nuclear matter. Repulsive interactions for kaons and attractive for antikaons contributed by Weinberg Tomozawa term are not taken into account in this model (also for other pseudoscalar mesons). In the chiral unitary approach, coupled channel dynamics is employed to investigate the properties of antikaons and ϕ mesons. Coupled channel approach involve the coupling of $\bar{K}N$ to $\pi\Sigma$ and $\pi\Lambda$ channels and is important to study the dynamics of $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance also [79]. Such coupled channel effects are not considered in our present chiral model calculations. In

the NJL model calculations for the η and η' mesons, positive mass-shift for η and negative mass-shift for η' were observed [8]. In the NJL model interaction Lagrangian densities are expressed in terms of quark fields.

The Lagrangian density for chiral SU(3) hadronic mean-field model is written as [63]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{chiral}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \sum_{M=P,X,V,A} \mathcal{L}_{\text{BM}} + \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SB}}. \quad (1)$$

In Eq. (1), $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}$ represents the kinetic energy term for baryons and mesons and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = & i \text{Tr} \bar{B} \gamma_\mu D^\mu B + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} D_\mu X D^\mu X + \text{Tr} (u_\mu X u^\mu X + X u_\mu u^\mu X) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} D_\mu Y D^\mu Y \\ & + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \chi D^\mu \chi - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} (V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} (\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (2), the first term represents the kinetic energy term for the baryon octet, B . The covariant derivative D_μ appearing in this term is defined as $D_\mu B = \partial_\mu B + i [\Gamma_\mu, B]$, with $\Gamma_\mu = -\frac{i}{4} [u^\dagger \partial_\mu u - \partial_\mu u^\dagger u + u \partial_\mu u^\dagger - \partial_\mu u u^\dagger]$. Here, $u = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\sigma_0} \pi^a \lambda^a \gamma_5 \right]$ is the unitary transformation operator through which pseudoscalar mesons enter into the calculations. The second and third terms of Eq. (2) are the kinetic terms for the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons. Fourth and fifth terms represent the kinetic terms for the pseudoscalar singlet Y and the dilaton field χ , respectively. The last two terms defined in terms of field tensors, $V_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}$, represent the kinetic term of spin-1 vector and axial vector mesons, respectively.

Within the chiral SU(3) model, to describe the interactions of nucleons through the exchange of scalar fields σ, ζ and δ and vector fields ω and ρ , under the mean-field approximation, following interaction Lagrangian is written

$$\mathcal{L}_{BM} = - \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i [g_{\omega i} \gamma_0 \omega + g_{\rho i} \gamma_0 \tau_3 \rho + m_i^*] \psi_i, \quad (3)$$

where $i = p, n$. In the above, m_i^* is the effective mass of nucleons defined as

$$m_i^* = - (g_{\sigma i} \sigma + g_{\zeta i} \zeta + g_{\delta i} \tau_3 \delta). \quad (4)$$

The coupling constants $g_{\sigma i}$, $g_{\zeta i}$ and $g_{\delta i}$ describe the strength of interactions of nucleons with scalar fields. The term $\mathcal{L}_0$ of Eq. (1) gives the self interaction of scalar mesons σ, ζ and δ

and also the interactions for dilaton field χ . This term is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 = & -\frac{1}{2}k_0\chi^2(\sigma^2 + \zeta^2 + \delta^2) + k_1(\sigma^2 + \zeta^2 + \delta^2)^2 + k_2\left(\frac{\sigma^4}{2} + \frac{\delta^4}{2} + \zeta^4 + 3\sigma^2\delta^2\right) \\ & + k_3\chi(\sigma^2 - \delta^2)\zeta - k_4\chi^4 - \frac{1}{4}\chi^4 \ln \frac{\chi^4}{\chi_0^4} + \frac{\delta}{3}\chi^4 \ln \frac{(\sigma^2 - \delta^2)\zeta}{\sigma_0^2\zeta_0}.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

In Eq. (5), last two terms account for scale breaking effects introduced in the chiral SU(3) model through the dilation field χ . In Eq. (1), the term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}}$ describes the self interactions of vector mesons through the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\chi^2}{\chi_0^2}\left(m_\omega^2\omega^2 + m_\rho^2\rho^2\right) + g_4(\omega^4 + 6\omega^2\rho^2 + \rho^4). \quad (6)$$

The term, $\mathcal{L}_{SB}$, of Eq. (1) is the explicit symmetry breaking term and is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{SB} = -\left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 \left[m_\pi^2 f_\pi \sigma + \left(\sqrt{2}m_K^2 f_K - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}m_\pi^2 f_\pi \right) \zeta \right]. \quad (7)$$

At zero temperature, the thermodynamic potential per unit volume of the grand canonical ensemble is expressed as

$$\frac{\Omega}{V} = -\sum_i \frac{\gamma_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{k_{F,i}} d^3\mathbf{k} [E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_i^*] - \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} - \mathcal{L}_{SB} - \mathcal{V}_{vac}, \quad (8)$$

where $E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_i^{*2}}$ and $\mu_i^* = \mu_i - g_{\omega i}\omega - g_{\rho i}\tau_3\rho$. In addition, the vacuum potential energy, $\mathcal{V}_{vac}$, is subtracted from Eq. (8) to achieve vanishing vacuum energy. The equations of motion for the non-strange σ , the strange scalar ζ , the scalar isovector δ , the vector ω , the vector-isovector ρ , and the scalar dilaton χ fields are derived by minimizing the thermodynamic potential and are written as

$$\begin{aligned}k_0\chi^2\sigma - 2k_2(\sigma^3 + 3\sigma\delta^2) - 2k_3\sigma\zeta\chi - 4k_1(\sigma^2 + \delta^2 + \zeta^2)\sigma \\ - \frac{d}{3}\chi^4\left(\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma^2 - \delta^2}\right) + \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 m_\pi^2 f_\pi - \sum_i g_{\sigma i}\rho_i^s = 0,\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}k_0\chi^2\zeta - 4k_2\zeta^3 + k_3\chi(\delta^2 - \sigma^2) - 4k_1(\sigma^2 + \delta^2 + \zeta^2)\zeta \\ - \frac{d}{3}\frac{\chi^4}{\zeta} + \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 \left[\sqrt{2}m_K^2 f_K - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}m_\pi^2 f_\pi \right] - \sum_i g_{\zeta i}\rho_i^s = 0,\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

$$k_0\chi^2\delta + 2k_3\chi\delta\zeta - 2k_2(\delta^3 + 3\sigma^2\delta) - 4k_1(\sigma^2 + \delta^2 + \zeta^2)\delta + \frac{2}{3}d\chi^4\left(\frac{\delta}{\sigma^2 - \delta^2}\right) - \sum_i g_{\delta i}\tau_3\rho_i^s = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 m_\omega^2\omega + g_4(12\rho^2\omega + 4\omega^3) - \sum_i g_{\omega i}\rho_i = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 m_\rho^2\rho + g_4(12\omega^2\rho + 4\rho^3) - \sum_i g_{\rho i}\tau_3\rho_i = 0, \quad (13)$$

and

$$k_0\chi(\sigma^2 + \delta^2 + \zeta^2) + (4k_4 - d)\chi^3 + k_3(\delta^2 - \sigma^2)\zeta + \chi^3\left[1 + \ln\left(\frac{\chi^4}{\chi_0^4}\right)\right] - \frac{4}{3}d\chi^3\ln\left(\left(\frac{(\sigma^2 - \delta^2)\zeta}{\sigma_0^2\zeta_0}\right)\left(\frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^3\right) + \frac{2\chi}{\chi_0^2}\left[m_\pi^2 f_\pi\sigma + \left(\sqrt{2}m_K^2 f_K - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}m_\pi^2 f_\pi\right)\zeta\right] - \frac{\chi}{\chi_0^2}(m_\omega^2\omega^2 + m_\rho^2\rho^2) = 0, \quad (14)$$

respectively. In the present work, we shall discuss the formation of bound states for the nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb . As we shall describe later, the optical potentials of mesons required as input in the Klein Gordan equation for the study of bound states, will depend upon the scalar fields σ, ζ and δ . For a nuclei with radius R , the values of scalar fields are required as a function of radial coordinator r , such that r varies from zero to R . For this the coupled equations of motion for scalar and vector fields are solved for the baryon densities corresponding to these nuclei as a function of r . In the present work, we have considered the radial dependence of vector densities of nucleons through the parameterization in the form of harmonic oscillator or two parameter Fermi distribution function, as discussed below, and the second order derivative term of the form $-\nabla^2\sigma(r)$ in the field equations given above is not considered.

Total baryon density, ρ_B , in a given nuclei is sum of densities of protons and neutrons, i.e.,

$$\rho_B(r) = \sum_{i=p,n} \rho_i(r). \quad (15)$$

For the nuclei upto ^{18}O , we shall use the harmonic oscillator type density distribution, given as [80]

$$\rho_i(r) = \rho_{i,0} \left(1 + a_i \left(\frac{r}{R_i}\right)^2\right) \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r}{R_i}\right)^2\right]. \quad (16)$$

On the other side, for heavy nuclei, two parameter Fermi distribution function will be used in the present work [80] and is written as

$$\rho_i(r) = \frac{\rho_{i,0}}{1 + \exp \left[\frac{(r-R_i)}{a_i} \right]}. \quad (17)$$

In Eqs. (16) and (17), $\rho_{i,0}$ is the density of nucleons at the center of nuclei and R_i and a_i are the radii and diffuseness parameters, respectively corresponding to nucleons of type i . Values of these parameters for different nuclei are tabulated in Table I of Ref. [80]. For the nuclei with mass number A and having different number of protons, Z and neutrons, N , the isospin asymmetry parameter I is given by, $I = (N - Z)/A$, which is further defined in terms of densities of nucleons as, $I = \frac{\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)}{2\rho_B(r)}$. The system of equations given by Eqs. (9) to (14) are solved for the densities in a given nuclei from center to surface, as given by Eq. (15). At zero temperature, the number density ρ_i and the scalar density ρ_i^s are related to the Fermi momentum $k_{F,i}$ through the relations

$$\rho_i = \frac{\gamma_i k_{F,i}^3}{6\pi^2}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\rho_i^s = \gamma_i \int_0^{k_{F,i}} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m_i^*}{E_i^*(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{\gamma_i m_i^*}{4\pi^2} \left[k_{F,i} E_i^* - m_i^{*2} \ln \left(\frac{k_{F,i} + E_i^*}{m_i^*} \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

respectively. In the above, γ_i is the degeneracy factor for the nucleons. To study the properties of mesons in infinite nuclear medium at zero temperature, Eqs. (9) to (14) are solved using the expressions of ρ_i and ρ_i^s given above. For finite temperature effects, ρ_i and ρ_i^s are defined as

$$\rho_i = \gamma_i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp [\beta(E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_i^*)]} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp [\beta(E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) + \mu_i^*)]} \right), \quad (20)$$

and

$$\rho_i^s = \gamma_i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m_i^*}{E_i^*(\mathbf{k})} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp [\beta(E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_i^*)]} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp [\beta(E_i^*(\mathbf{k}) + \mu_i^*)]} \right), \quad (21)$$

respectively. Here, $\beta = 1/T$.

III. OPTICAL POTENTIALS OF MESONS

To obtain the binding energy and absorption decay width of the mesic nuclei, we shall solve the Klein Gordon equation in the presence of local potential $V(r)$ and is written as

$$(-\nabla^2 + (\mu + V(r))^2) \psi(r) = \epsilon^2 \psi(r). \quad (22)$$

In the above equation, μ is the reduced mass of meson and nuclei under study. The local potential $V(r)$ is complex in nature, i.e.,

$$V(r) = U(r) - \frac{i}{2}W(r), \quad (23)$$

where the real part $U(r)$ is related to the mass shift of mesons, whereas, the imaginary part $W(r)$ relates to the absorption of mesons in the nuclei under consideration. For the real part, $U(r)$, we write [5]

$$U(r) = m_\psi^*(r) - m_\psi = \Delta m_\psi(\rho_0) \frac{\rho_B(r)}{\rho_0}, \quad (24)$$

where ρ_0 denotes the baryon density at the center of nuclei and $\Delta m_\psi(\rho_0)$ [$\psi = \eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, K^0, \phi$] is the mass shift at this density. Also, $\rho_B(r)$ is the baryon density distribution inside the nuclei and is given by Eq.(15). The potential $W(r)$ is related to the decay width Γ_0 through the relation [5]

$$W(r) = \Gamma_0(\rho_0) \frac{\rho_B(r)}{\rho_0}. \quad (25)$$

In the present work, the value of $\Gamma_0(\rho_0)$ for pseudoscalar mesons, $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0$ and $\bar{K}^0$ will be calculated following the procedure adopted in the calculations within QMC model [14]. Under this, $\Gamma_0(\rho_0)$ is related to the mass shift $\Delta m_\psi(\rho_0)$ through a parameter κ using relation

$$\Gamma_0(\rho_0) = -\kappa \Delta m_\psi(\rho_0) + \Gamma_{\text{vac}}. \quad (26)$$

The parameter κ imitate the absorption of mesons in the nuclear medium and Γ_{vac} is the decay width in the vacuum. In our present work, the contributions from the first term of above equation will be considered to estimate the contribution of imaginary part of potential to the binding energy. We shall solve the Klein Gordon equation in the momentum space using the Fourier transformation and following the partial wave decomposition method as

described in Refs. [81, 82] to obtain the complex energy eigen values ϵ which are further related to the binding energy $\mathcal{E}_B$ and decay width Γ through relations $\mathcal{E}_B = \text{Re } \epsilon - \mu$ and $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im } \epsilon$, respectively. In the following, we briefly describe the calculations of mass shift of $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesons which will be used as input to obtain the optical potentials of mesons in the environment of different nuclei.

A. Pseudoscalar η and η' mesons

In this section, we present the details of interaction Lagrangian density and dispersion relations to obtain the in-medium masses of pseudoscalar η and η' mesons in the nuclear medium relevant for different nuclei. The physical states η and η' result due to the mixing of η_8 and η_0 states. The pseudoscalar η_8 appears in the octet of pseudoscalar mesons defined by [63]

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi_a \lambda^a = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\pi^0 + \frac{\eta_8}{\sqrt{1+2w^2}} \right) & \pi^+ & 2 \frac{K^+}{w+1} \\ \pi^- & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\pi^0 + \frac{\eta_8}{\sqrt{1+2w^2}} \right) & 2 \frac{K^0}{w+1} \\ 2 \frac{K^-}{w+1} & 2 \frac{K^0}{w+1} & -\frac{\eta_8 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1+2w^2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where $w = \sqrt{2} \sigma_0 / \zeta_0$. For the case of pseudoscalar single η_0 , we define

$$Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \eta_0 I. \quad (28)$$

Within the chiral SU(3) model, for the pseudoscalar η_8 , the interaction Lagrangian density is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\eta_8 B} = & -\frac{1}{2} \left(m_{\eta_8}^2 - \frac{(\sqrt{2} \sigma' - 4 \zeta') m_\pi^2 f_\pi + 8 \zeta' m_K^2 f_K}{\sqrt{2} f^2} \right) \eta_8^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma' f_\pi + 4 \zeta' (2 f_K - f_\pi)}{\sqrt{2} f^2} \right) \partial^\mu \eta_8 \partial_\mu \eta_8 \\ & + \frac{d'}{4 f^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) \partial^\mu \eta_8 \partial_\mu \eta_8, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $d' = 3d_1 + d_2$. Also, σ' and ζ' are the fluctuations from the vacuum expectation values, i.e., $\sigma' = \sigma - \sigma_0$ and $\zeta' = \zeta - \zeta_0$. The first term of Eq.(29) (mass term) corresponds to the explicit symmetry breaking and is obtained from the general term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Mass term}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} A_p (u X u + u^\dagger X u^\dagger). \quad (30)$$

In the above equation, A_p is a diagonal matrix given as

$$A_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} m_\pi^2 f_\pi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\pi^2 f_\pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2m_K^2 f_K - m_\pi^2 f_\pi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

The vacuum mass m_{η_8} of η_8 meson deduced from Eq.(30) is identified as

$$m_{\eta_8} = \frac{1}{f} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(- (8f_K f_\pi (m_\pi^2 + m_K^2)) + 16f_K^2 m_K^2 + 6f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2)}, \quad (32)$$

where $f = \sqrt{f_\pi^2 + 2(2f_K - f_\pi)^2}$. The second term of Eq.(29) is obtained from the general kinetic term of the pseudoscalar mesons (third term of Eq. (2)) and is also known as first term in the chiral SU(3) model.

The last term of Eq.(29), in terms of d_1 and d_2 , is obtained from the Lagrangian densities (known as d_1 and d_2 terms) [65, 66]

$$\mathcal{L}_{d_1}^{\bar{B}B} = \frac{d_1}{2} \text{Tr}(u_\mu u^\mu) \text{Tr}(\bar{B}B), \quad \mathcal{L}_{d_2}^{\bar{B}B} = d_2 \text{Tr}(\bar{B}u_\mu u^\mu B). \quad (33)$$

In the present work for η_8 mesons, from the Weinberg Tomozawa term we do not obtain interaction terms with finite contributions unlike the case for kaons [65, 66] and D [38] mesons studied in past using the present chiral SU(3) mean field model. These observations are also consistent with the calculations of η mesons properties in the nuclear medium at zero temperature using chiral perturbation theory [83].

From the Lagrangian density given in Eq.(29), the equations of motion for η_8 is obtained, whose Fourier transformation leads to the dispersion relation

$$-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m_{\eta_8}^2 + \Pi_{\eta_8}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r)) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where Π_{η_8} stands for η_8 meson's self-energy and is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\eta_8}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & -\frac{8\zeta' m_K^2 f_K + (\sqrt{2}\sigma' - 4\zeta') m_\pi^2 f_\pi}{\sqrt{2}f^2} + \left[\frac{d'}{2f^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{f^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma' f_\pi + 4\zeta'(2f_K - f_\pi)}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] (-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

At zero momentum ($\mathbf{k} = 0$), the effective mass $m_{\eta_8}^*$ is written as

$$m_{\eta_8}^* = \sqrt{m_{\eta_8}^2 + \Pi_{\eta_8}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r))}. \quad (36)$$

For the pseudoscalar singlet η_0 , within the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model, we write

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} D_\mu Y D^\mu Y - \frac{1}{2} m_{\eta_0}^2 \text{Tr} Y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} A_p (u(X + iY)u + u^\dagger(X - iY)u^\dagger). \quad (37)$$

In above, the first term is the kinetic energy term for pseudoscalar mesons. The second term is the part of explicit symmetry breaking term and gives the finite mass to the pseudoscalar singlet. The last term of Eq.(37) is the extension of Eq.(30) where now the singlet Y is included. This leads to the terms having mixing between η_0 and η_8 states. Explicitly, using Eq.(28) in Eq.(37), we get

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \eta_0 \partial^\mu \eta_0 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\eta_0}^2 \eta_0^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sigma_0 c} (m_\pi^2 f_\pi - m_K^2 f_K) \eta_0 \eta_8, \quad (38)$$

where, $c = 2\sigma_0/(\sqrt{2}\zeta_0 + \sigma_0)$. In the last term of above equation the interaction terms corresponding to pure η_8 states, which are given in Eq.(29), are not repeated to avoid double counting. From Eq.(38) we observe that unlike η_8 , for pure η_0 state contributions from the in-medium interactions does not appear, i.e.,

$$m_{\eta_0}^* = m_{\eta_0}. \quad (39)$$

From the mixed term (last term of Eq.(38)), we identify

$$m_{\eta_0 \eta_8}^* = \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\sigma_0 c} (m_\pi^2 f_\pi - m_K^2 f_K) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (40)$$

The effective masses m_η^* and $m_{\eta'}^*$ of physical η and η' mesons are obtained by diagonalization of mass matrix

$$m_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\eta_0}^* & m_{\eta_0 \eta_8}^* \\ m_{\eta_8 \eta_0}^* & m_{\eta_8}^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

The parameter d' is expressed in terms of $\eta - N$ scattering length, $a^{\eta N}$. The expression for scattering length $a^{\eta N}$ calculated within chiral SU(3) model is given by [68]

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\eta N} = & \frac{1}{4\pi \left(1 + \frac{m_\eta}{M_N}\right)} \left[\left(\frac{d'}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{g_{\sigma N} f_\pi}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{4(2f_K - f_\pi)g_{\zeta N}}{m_\zeta^2} \right) \frac{m_\eta^2}{\sqrt{2}f^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}g_{\sigma N}}{m_\sigma^2} - \frac{4g_{\zeta N}}{m_\zeta^2} \right) \frac{m_\pi^2 f_\pi}{2\sqrt{2}f^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}g_{\delta N} m_K^2 f_K}{m_\delta^2 f^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which can be rearranged to obtain the expression for d' . We calculate d' for the value of $a^{\eta N} = 0.91$ [83]. From the above calculated effective masses m_η^* and $m_{\eta'}^*$ of η and η' mesons, corresponding mass shift will be calculated which shall be used as input in Eqs. (24) and (26) to obtain the optical potentials of these mesons in the nuclei.

B. Pseudoscalar D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons

The effective masses of neutral D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons required in Eq.(24) to study the D mesic nuclei are obtained in the present work by extending the chiral SU(3) model to the SU(4) case [38, 84]. The mesons D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ are the members of D (D^+ , D^0) and $\bar{D}$ (D^- , $\bar{D}^0$) doublets, respectively. From the Lagrangian density describing the interactions of D and $\bar{D}$ mesons with nucleons in the nuclear medium, equations of motion are obtained whose Fourier transformation will give the dispersion relation

$$-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m_{D^0, \bar{D}^0}^2 + \Pi_{D^0, \bar{D}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r)) = 0. \quad (43)$$

For the D^0 mesons, the expression of self-energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{D^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & \frac{1}{2f_D^2} (2\rho_p + \rho_n) \omega + \frac{m_D^2}{2f_D} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_c + \delta' \right) \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{f_D} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_c + \delta' \right) + \frac{d_1}{2f_D^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) + \frac{d_2}{2f_D^2} \rho_p^s \right] (\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

For $\bar{D}^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\bar{D}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & -\frac{1}{2f_D^2} (2\rho_p + \rho_n) \omega + \frac{m_D^2}{2f_D} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_c + \delta' \right) \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{f_D} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_c + \delta' \right) + \frac{d_1}{2f_D^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) + \frac{d_2}{2f_D^2} \rho_p^s \right] (\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In Eqs. (44) and (45), ζ'_c denote the fluctuations of charm condensate ($c\bar{c}$) from the vacuum expectation value and being heavy in flavor, is considered zero in the current work. From the dispersion relation, the effective mass for the D mesons (at zero momentum) is written as

$$m_{D^0, \bar{D}^0}^* = \sqrt{m_{D^0, \bar{D}^0}^2 + \Pi_{D^0, \bar{D}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r))} \quad (46)$$

The in-medium mass of D mesons calculated using the above relation is used in Eqs. (24) and (26), to obtain the real and imaginary optical potentials.

C. Pseudoscalar B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons

To study the formation of bound states corresponding to B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons chiral SU(3) model is generalized to SU(5) sector as these bottom mesons are composed of one heavy b quark/antiquark. The pseudoscalar B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons belong to the open bottom $B(B^+, B^0)$

and $\bar{B}(B^-, \bar{B}^0)$ meson doublets. In Ref. [43] the masses of open bottom mesons have been calculated in nuclear and strange hadronic matter using chiral effective model. For our present calculations, we need the mass shift of B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons in the nuclear medium at zero temperature obtained by solving the dispersion relation

$$-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m_{B^0, \bar{B}^0}^2 + \Pi_{B^0, \bar{B}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r)) = 0. \quad (47)$$

In case of B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons, the expressions of self-energies are given by [43]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{B^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & -\frac{1}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p + 2\rho_n) \omega + \frac{m_B^2}{2f_B} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_b - \delta' \right) \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{f_B} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_b - \delta' \right) + \frac{d_1}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) + \frac{d_2}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p^s + 2\rho_n^s) \right] (\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\bar{B}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & \frac{1}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p + 2\rho_n) \omega + \frac{m_B^2}{2f_B} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_b - \delta' \right) \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{f_B} \left(\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta'_b - \delta' \right) + \frac{d_1}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) + \frac{d_2}{2f_B^2} (\rho_p^s + 2\rho_n^s) \right] (\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

respectively. Similar to ζ'_c , the fluctuations ζ'_b (corresponding the condensate, $b\bar{b}$, of heavy b quark) appearing in Eqs. (48) and (49), are considered zero in the calculations of mass shift of B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons. At zero momentum, the in-medium masses of neutral B mesons required to obtain the mass shift and hence, the optical potentials in different nuclei, can be written as

$$m_{B^0, \bar{B}^0}^* = \sqrt{m_{B^0, \bar{B}^0}^2 + \Pi_{B^0, \bar{B}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho_i(r))}. \quad (50)$$

D. Pseudoscalar K^0 mesons

The in-medium masses of kaons and antikaons have been studied using chiral SU(3) model in nuclear [65, 66] and strange hadronic medium [64]. In the nuclear matter, the effective mass of kaons (K^+, K^0) is observed to increase whereas the mass of antikaons ($K^-, \bar{K}^0$) decreases as a function of density of the nuclear medium. Since the negative mass shift implies the attractive optical potentials are required to form the bound states with nuclei, here we study the possible formation of bound states of $\bar{K}^0$ mesons with light and heavy

nuclei. Similar to Eqs. (43) and (47), within the chiral model, the effective mass of $\bar{K}^0$ mesons will be obtained by solving the dispersion relation, for which the required self energy $\Pi_{\bar{K}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r))$ is written as [66]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\bar{K}^0}(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|, \rho(r)) = & \frac{1}{2f_K^2} (\rho_p + 2\rho_n) \omega + \frac{m_K^2}{2f_K} (\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta' - \delta') \\ & + \left[-\frac{1}{f_K} (\sigma' + \sqrt{2}\zeta' - \delta') + \frac{d_1}{2f_K^2} (\rho_p^s + \rho_n^s) + \frac{d_2}{2f_K^2} \rho_n^s \right] (\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

E. Vector ϕ mesons

To investigate the possibility of the formation of ϕ mesic nuclei, we calculate the effective masses and decay width of ϕ mesons using the effective Lagrangian which takes into account the interactions of ϕ mesons with kaons, K (K^+ , K^0) and antikaons, $\bar{K}$ (K^- , $\bar{K}^0$) isospin doublets. This interaction Lagrangian density is written as [85, 86]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = ig_\phi \phi^\mu [\bar{K} (\partial_\mu K) - (\partial_\mu \bar{K}) K]. \quad (52)$$

Using above, ϕ meson self-energy, $\Pi_\phi^*(p)$, in the nuclear medium relevant for different nuclei, is calculated for the decay process $\phi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ at the one-loop. In Eq. (52), g_ϕ represents the coupling constant. Since the contributions of $\phi\phi K\bar{K}$ interactions to the in-medium masses and decay width are smaller than those of $\phi K\bar{K}$ interactions, we have not taken into account these interactions in our current work on the interaction Lagrangian [86]. The in-medium mass of the ϕ meson is expressed in terms of real part of self energy, $\Pi_\phi^*(p)$, through the relation

$$m_\phi^{*2} = (m_\phi^0)^2 + \text{Re } \Pi_\phi^*(m_\phi^{*2}). \quad (53)$$

In the above equation, m_ϕ^0 is the bare mass of the ϕ meson. Also, the real part of the self energy is expressed in terms of in-medium energies of kaons and antikaons as [59, 86]

$$\text{Re } \Pi_\phi^* = -\frac{4}{3} g_\phi^2 \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \bar{q}^2 \frac{(E_K^* + E_{\bar{K}}^*)}{E_K^* E_{\bar{K}}^* ((E_K^* + E_{\bar{K}}^*)^2 - m_\phi^{*2})}, \quad (54)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the principal value of the integral. Also, $E_K^* = (\bar{q}^2 + m_K^{*2})^{1/2}$, and $E_{\bar{K}}^* = (\bar{q}^2 + m_{\bar{K}}^{*2})^{1/2}$, where, $m_K^* (= \frac{m_{K^0}^* + m_{K^+}^*}{2})$ and $m_{\bar{K}}^* (= \frac{m_{\bar{K}^0}^* + m_{K^-}^*}{2})$ are the in-medium average masses of kaon and antikaon doublet, respectively. The values of effective masses, $m_{K^+}^*$, $m_{K^0}^*$, $m_{K^-}^*$, and $m_{\bar{K}^0}^*$ are calculated in the nuclear medium using the chiral SU(3)

model [64, 66]. The integral in Eq. (54) is regularized by incorporating a phenomenological form factor with the cutoff parameter Λ_c , i.e., [87]

$$\text{Re } \Pi_\phi^* = -\frac{4}{3}g_\phi^2\mathcal{P} \int_0^{\Lambda_c} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \bar{q}^2 \left(\frac{\Lambda_c^2 + m_\phi^{*2}}{\Lambda_c^2 + 4E_K^{*2}} \right)^4 \frac{(E_K^* + E_{\bar{K}}^*)}{E_K^* E_{\bar{K}}^* \left((E_K^* + E_{\bar{K}}^*)^2 - m_\phi^{*2} \right)}. \quad (55)$$

For the case of ϕ meson, the value of decay width required in Eq. (25), is obtained from the imaginary component of its self energy. The expression of decay width, expressed in terms of in-medium masses of ϕ mesons as well as the masses of kaons and antikaons, is given by [88]

$$\Gamma_\phi^* = \frac{g_\phi^2}{24\pi m_\phi^{*5}} \left[\left(m_\phi^{*2} - (m_K^* + m_{\bar{K}}^*)^2 \right) \times \left(m_\phi^{*2} - (m_K^* - m_{\bar{K}}^*)^2 \right) \right]^{3/2}. \quad (56)$$

The value of coupling constant g_ϕ is fixed to empirical width of the ϕ meson in the vacuum and found to be 4.539. Also, the bare mass of the ϕ meson appearing in Eq. (53) is calculated by setting its vacuum mass at 1019.461 MeV.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now discuss the possibility of the formation of mesic nuclei of various mesons through the numerical calculations of the optical potentials and binding energies. We shall calculate the optical potentials of $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesons in the medium relevant for the nuclei $^{12}\text{C}, ^{16}\text{O}, ^{40}\text{Ca}$ and ^{208}Pb . As we discussed in the previous section, the in-medium properties of mesons are calculated using the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model and extended to SU(4) and SU(5) sector to obtain the in-medium masses of charmed and bottom mesons [63]. The parameters k_0, k_2 and k_4 of chiral SU(3) model appearing in Eq. 5 are fitted to reproduce the vacuum values of σ, ζ and χ fields [63]. Further, the vacuum values σ_0 and ζ_0 are calculated using the values of pion decay constant $f_\pi = 93.3$ and kaon decay constant $f_K = 122$ MeV through relations $\sigma_0 = -f_\pi$ and $\zeta_0 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2f_K - f_\pi)$. The vacuum value of χ_0 is constrained so that the binding energy -16 MeV for symmetric nuclear matter at saturation density $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ is reproduced. Also, the parameter k_3 is constrained through the mass of η and η' meson and k_1 is fixed to give a mass of the order of $m_\sigma \sim 500$ MeV. The values of parameters, $d_1 = 2.56/m_K$ and $d_2 = 0.73/m_K$ are fitted to empirical values of kaon-nucleon scattering length [65, 66, 90].

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	$1s$	-0.511	-0.103	–	0.0	2.142	–
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	$1s$	-1.72	-1.38	-0.458	0.0	3.775	8.094
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	$1s$	-9.769	-9.538	-8.91	0.0	9.527	19.387
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	$1s$	-20.642	-20.555	-20.309	0.0	12.987	26.046
	$1p$	-14.444	-14.303	-13.921	0.0	11.996	24.175
	$1d$	-7.244	-7.005	-6.392	0.0	10.621	21.656
	$2s$	-5.123	-4.74	-3.846	0.0	9.544	19.896

Table I: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and full decay width, Γ , for η mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

A. Binding energies for η and η' mesic nuclei

In this subsection, we shall explore the possibility of the formation of η and η' mesic nuclei. Using Eq. (41), the mass shifts of pseudoscalar η and η' mesons are calculated within the chiral SU(3) model. In Fig. 1, we have shown the mass shift of η and η' mesons as a function of baryon density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of the infinite nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3, at temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV. The isospin asymmetry $I = 0.211$ corresponds to the heavy nuclei ^{208}Pb considered in the present work for the bound state formation. As we discussed earlier, for the calculations of η and η' meson properties, we considered the mixing of η_8 and η_0 states. The pseudoscalar η meson which is member of octet is observed to undergo larger mass shift as compared to the singlet η' . At nuclear saturation density $\rho_B = \rho_0$, for isospin asymmetry $I = 0(0.3)$ and temperature $T = 0$ MeV, the values of mass shift Δm_η and $\Delta m'_\eta$ are observed to be $-34.64(-34.21)$ and $-1.03(-1.02)$ MeV, respectively. At baryon density $\rho_B = 4\rho_0$, the above values of mass shift change to $-124.15(-120.92)$ and $-3.00(-2.94)$ MeV. The finite isospin asymmetry of the medium causes less drop in the masses of η and η' , although the impact is small. An increase in the temperature of nuclear medium from $T = 0$ to 100 MeV, enhances the in-medium mass of these mesons. Furthermore, in the present chiral model calculations, compared to η mesons, the singlet η' undergoes very less drop in mass




Figure 1: The mass shift of η [in subplots (a) and (c)] and η' [in subplots (b) and (d)] mesons are shown as a function of density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry, $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 . Results are shown for temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV.

as a function of density of nuclear medium. Recall that the in-medium masses of η and η' mesons are obtained from the diagonalization of Eq. (41). Neglecting the off-diagonal terms (having very small contributions), $m_\eta^* \sim m_{\eta_8}^*$ and $m_{\eta'}^* \sim m_{\eta_0}^*$. As can be seen from Eq.(39), within the chiral SU(3) model $m_{\eta_0}^* = m_{\eta_0}$, i.e., η_0 is not modified in the medium and therefore, η' mesons are not modified much in the present calculations (small modifications




Figure 2: The real optical potential $U(r)$ of η [in subplot (a)] and η' [in subplot (b)] mesons are shown as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208 , respectively.

are stimulated through the diagonalization of Eq. (41).

To study the bound states, the Klein Gordon equation [Eq. (22)], which require the optical potential corresponding to different nuclei under study as input is solved. In Fig. 2 the real part of the optical potentials, for η and η' mesons, calculated using Eq. (24) is plotted as a function of distance r from the center of nuclei. The heavy nuclei are observed to have larger value of negative optical potential values as compared to lighter nuclei. The imaginary optical potential which corresponds to the absorption of mesons in the nuclei is calculated using Eq. (25). Using the real and imaginary values of optical potential in the Klein Gordon equation, the binding energy and absorption decay width are calculated. In case of η mesons, the values of binding energies and decay width are tabulated in Table I. In case of light nuclei ^{12}C the negative values for the binding energies are observed only in $1s$ state for the value of phenomenological parameter $\kappa = 0$ and 0.5 . As described earlier in Sec. III, through the parameter κ we simulate the impact of imaginary optical potential on the formation of bound states. As the value of κ is increased to 1 , no bound state is

observed. For the case of ^{16}O and ^{40}Ca , bound state formation in the $1s$ state may take place for all values of κ considered in the present work. As can be seen from Table I, in the case of ^{208}Pb nuclei the negative values for the binding energies are observed not only for the $1s$ state, but also for the other excited states, for example, $1p$, $1d$ and $2s$ as well. Due to larger value of attractive optical potential (magnitude) of η meson with heavy ^{208}Pb nuclei, the possibility of the formation of bound state is more with these nuclei.

As discussed earlier, in the present calculations the η' mesons are not observed to undergo appreciable mass drop in the nuclear medium ($\Delta m_{\eta'} = -1.03$ MeV at $\rho_B = \rho_0$) and bound states are not observed for these pseudoscalar singlet meson with any of the nuclei considered in the present work. The present results of η and η' mesons can be compared with the earlier studies using different approaches. In Ref. [14] the mass shifts of η and η' mesons were calculated in the symmetric nuclear medium using the QMC model considering the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing. The values of mass shift are observed to be -63.6 and -61.3 MeV, respectively, at $\rho_B = \rho_0$. The binding energies and absorption decay width were calculated for light and heavy nuclei and were observed to form the bound states due to significant mass drop. Using the coupled channel approach, the optical potential for η' mesons was found to be $-(8.7+1.8i)$ MeV at nuclear saturation density, ρ_0 and no bound state is observed in Ref. [9]. Bound states of η mesons have also been explored using unitarized coupled channel approach in Ref. [17], considering the energy independent and dependent potentials. The binding energies obtained were larger compared to our present calculations. The feasibility of the formation of η and η' meson bound states with nuclei has also been explored using the NJL model [8]. In Ref. [89], relativistic field theoretical model under mean field approximation is used to study the η' mesic nuclei through the direct coupling of η' meson with scalar mean field σ (interaction of form $\sim g_{\sigma\eta'} m_{\eta'} \eta'^2 \sigma$). Assuming the partial restoration of chiral symmetry and 30% reduction in the chiral condensate to determine the coupling constant $g_{\sigma\eta'}$, the η' meson is observed to undergo a mass drop of 80 MeV at nuclear saturation density from vacuum value. Such larger mass drop obviously lead to the formation of bound states with nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O and ^{40}Ca , considered in the work.

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_B$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	1s	-25.965	-25.741	-25.118	0.0	18.731	37.748
	1p	-11.246	-10.811	-9.638	0.0	14.235	29.144
	2s	-0.935	—	—	0.0	—	—
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	1s	-29.11	-28.941	-28.474	0.0	19.504	39.224
	1p	-16.516	-16.184	-15.282	0.0	16.451	33.407
	1d	-3.884	-3.234	-1.619	0.0	12.057	25.31
	2s	-3.946	-3.152	-1.182	0.0	9.717	20.971
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	1s	-47.743	-47.607	-47.22	0.0	27.865	55.842
	1p	-38.223	-38.016	-37.44	0.0	26.065	52.369
	1d	-27.642	-27.338	-26.509	0.0	23.945	48.313
	2s	-25.594	-25.233	-24.263	0.0	23.249	47.047
	2p	-14.212	-13.608	-12.07	0.0	19.93	40.966
	2d	-3.852	-2.7	-0.152	0.0	15.302	33.133
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	1s	-49.133	-49.073	-48.897	0.0	26.019	52.054
	1p	-46.417	-46.344	-46.135	0.0	25.734	51.507
	1d	-43.096	-43.008	-42.757	0.0	25.382	50.832
	2s	-41.77	-41.674	-41.401	0.0	25.235	50.554
	2p	-37.132	-37.008	-36.664	0.0	24.711	49.56
	2d	-32.078	-31.919	-31.486	0.0	24.102	48.414

Table II: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_B$, and full decay width, Γ for D^0 mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

B. Binding energies for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesic nuclei

Now we discuss the formation of bound states for neutral D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons with the nuclei within the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model. The mass shift of D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons calculated using Eq. (46) are plotted in Fig. (3) as a function of baryon density ratio ρ_B/ρ_0 . As can be seen from the figure, the in-medium masses of both D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons decrease as a function of density of the nuclear medium. Furthermore, the increase

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	1s	-1.633	-1.49	-1.102	0.0	2.539	5.306
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	1s	-2.142	-2.03	-1.726	0.0	2.756	5.694
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	1s	-9.87	-9.801	-9.615	0.0	7.096	14.299
	1p	-4.25	-4.091	-3.676	0.0	5.674	11.637
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	1s	-13.541	-13.524	-13.479	0.0	7.646	15.313
	1p	-11.434	-11.403	-11.32	0.0	7.41	14.869
	1d	-8.887	-8.836	-8.701	0.0	7.101	14.29
	2s	-7.936	-7.869	-7.694	0.0	6.924	13.973
	2p	-4.607	-4.478	-4.164	0.0	6.303	12.881
	2d	-1.3	-1.007	-0.43	0.0	5.311	11.331

Table III: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and full decay width, Γ , for $\bar{D}^0$ mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

of isospin asymmetry of the medium from zero to finite value (which is relevant for the study of nuclei having different number of protons and neutrons) decreases the magnitude of mass shift for these neutral pseudoscalar mesons. At zero temperature and baryon density $\rho_B = \rho_0(4\rho_0)$, the values of mass shift for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons are observed to $-76.96(-343.82)$ and $-26(-159.71)$ MeV in the symmetric nuclear medium, whereas for $I = 0.3$ these values changes to $-64.17(-298.80)$ and $-23.37(-148.43)$ MeV, respectively. For temperature $T = 100$ MeV, the values of mass shift for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons are observed to be $-64.92(-316.43)$ and $-14.24(-129.53)$ MeV, respectively, in symmetric nuclear medium at $\rho_B = \rho_0(4\rho_0)$.

Using the observed values of mass shift for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons at center of given nuclei in Eq. (24), the real part of optical potential is calculated and is plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of r for nuclei, ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb . As one can see from the figure, depth of optical potential (large negative value) is observed to be more for D^0 mesons as compared to $\bar{D}^0$. In case of $\bar{D}^0$ mesons the optical potential $U_{\bar{D}^0}(r)$ is observed to have positive values for certain range of r causing a bump as can be seen from Fig. 4(b). On the otherside, such positive values are not observed for the optical potential of D^0 mesons. The observed difference is because of opposite contribution of Weinberg Tomozawa term for the D^0 and

$\bar{D}^0$ mesons as can be seen from Eqs. (44) and (45), respectively. Weinberg Tomozawa term alone gives positive contribution to the optical potential of $\bar{D}^0$ mesons, whereas the combined contribution of all other terms give negative value for $U_{\bar{D}^0}(r)$. For certain range of r , the positive contribution due to Weinberg Tomozawa term dominates over the net negative contribution of other terms, causing overall positive value of optical potential for $\bar{D}^0$ mesons. To understand this in Fig. 4(c) and Fig. 4(d), we plot the optical potentials of D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons, respectively, considering (i) Weinberg Tomozawa term only and (ii) without Weinberg Tomozawa term, for ^{208}Pb . As can be seen from Fig. 4(c), in case of D^0 mesons optical potentials from Weinberg term have negative values and therefore, unlike $\bar{D}^0$ mesons, positive values are not observed for D^0 mesons.

The imaginary part of optical potential, required to understand the absorption of mesons in the nuclei and its impact on the binding energy of neutral D mesic nuclei, is calculated using Eq. (25). The values of binding energy and decay width obtained by solving the Klein Gordon equation are given in Tables II and III, for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons, respectively, for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1. As one can see from Table II, the bound states of D^0 mesons, for ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb may be found not only for lower states $1s$ and $1p$, but also for other higher states when $\kappa = 0$ and 0.5 . When the value of κ is increased to one, the magnitude of binding energy is observed to be less as compared to the decay width value. This may cause a broadening of the peak and hindrance in the observation of clear signature of bound states. Comparing the values of binding energies in Tables II and III, magnitude of binding energies for $\bar{D}^0$ mesons are significantly less than D^0 mesons. In Ref. [10], the bound states of D^0 mesons have been studied for several nuclei using the coupled channel approach. Comparing our results for ^{12}C , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb with Ref. [10], the magnitude of binding energies are observed to be more in our case. The binding energies of D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons for ^{208}Pb nuclei are calculated using QMC model and are found to approximately -96 and -25 MeV, respectively [7].

C. Binding energies for B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesic nuclei

The studies on the bound states of B meson with nuclei has not been done much in literature [44]. In the present section we shall discuss the formation of bound states of pseudoscalar B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons by calculating their optical potential using the chiral SU(3) model. In Fig. 5, the mass shift of these pseudoscalar mesons are plotted as a function of




Figure 3: The mass shift of D^0 [in subplots (a) and (c)] and $\bar{D}^0$ [in subplots (b) and (d)] mesons are shown as a function of baryon density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry, $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 . Results are shown for temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV.

baryon density ρ_B (in units of ρ_0) for isospin asymmetry $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 , at $T = 0$ and 100 MeV. Both pseudoscalar B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons are observed to undergo appreciable mass drop at nuclear matter density, whereas, for given density, an increase in temperature from zero to finite values causes an increase in the mass, i.e., less drop in mass is observed. However, the isospin asymmetry effects are not appreciable. In cold symmetric nuclear




Figure 4: The real optical potentials $U(r)$ of D^0 [in subplot (a)] and $\bar{D}^0$ [in subplot (b)] mesons are shown as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208 , respectively. In subplots (c) and (d) optical potentials are plotted for $A = 208$ considering the impact of Weinberg Tomozawa (WT) term to the total optical potential.

medium, $\rho_B = \rho_0$, the values of Δm for B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons are observed to be -48.69 and -74.06 MeV, whereas at $4\rho_0$ these values changes to -356.40 and -453.28 MeV, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6, for a given nuclei, at the center, the real part of optical potentials have larger value for $\bar{B}^0$ as compared to B^0 mesons. In case of B^0 mesons the optical potentials have positive values for certain range whereas for $\bar{B}^0$ this is not the case. This is because of opposite contribution of Weinberg Tomozawa term to B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons (see first term




Figure 5: The mass shift of B^0 [in subplots (a) and (c)] and $\bar{B}^0$ [in subplots (b) and (d)] mesons are shown as a function of density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry, $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 . Results are shown for temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV.

of Eqs.(48) and (49)). For B^0 mesons, Weinberg Tomozawa term lead to positive values of optical potentials. The values of binding energy and decay width for these pseudoscalar mesons are given in Table IV and V. As expected from the magnitude of mass drop for B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons, the magnitude of binding energy with given nuclei is more for $\bar{B}^0$ mesons




Figure 6: The real optical potential $U(r)$ of B^0 [in subplot (a)] and $\bar{B}^0$ [in subplot (b)] mesons are shown as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208 , respectively.

as compared to B^0 .

D. Binding energies for $\bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesic nuclei

Lastly, in this subsection we explore the formation of bound states for $\bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesons. Within the chiral $\text{SU}(3)$ model, among the neutral K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ mesons, only latter is observed to undergo mass drop as a function of density of the nuclear medium [64–66] and therefore, we consider $\bar{K}^0$ neutral meson only to explore the bound state formation. In Fig. 7 we have shown the variation of mass shift for $\bar{K}^0$ mesons as a function of baryon density ρ_B , at temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV. The plot of real part of optical potential $U(r)$ as a function of distance r from the center of the given nuclei of mass number A is given for $\bar{K}^0$ meson in Fig. 8. At $I = 0$ and $T = 0$ (100) MeV, the values of mass shift for $\bar{K}^0$ mesons are found to be $-36.90(-36.43)$ and $-184.31(-175.87)$ MeV, at $\rho_B = \rho_0$ and $4\rho_0$, respectively. For a given density of medium, an increase in the isospin asymmetry enhances the mass




Figure 7: The mass shift of $\bar{K}^0$ mesons is shown as a function of density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry, $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 . Results are shown for temperatures $T = 0$ (subplot (a)) and 100 MeV (subplot (b)).




Figure 8: The real optical potential $U(r)$ of $\bar{K}^0$ mesons is shown as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208 , respectively.

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	1s	-10.933	-10.845	-10.604	0.0	7.907	15.944
	1p	-4.572	-4.385	-3.886	0.0	5.989	12.285
	2s	-0.272	—	—	0.0	—	—
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	1s	-10.848	-10.789	-10.627	0.0	7.362	14.816
	1p	-5.789	-5.657	-5.302	0.0	6.133	12.486
	1d	-0.805	-0.513	—	0.0	4.274	—
	2s	-1.02	-0.662	—	0.0	3.274	—
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	1s	-26.07	-26.032	-25.926	0.0	14.613	29.275
	1p	-22.064	-21.996	-21.809	0.0	13.928	27.954
	1d	-17.562	-17.456	-17.166	0.0	13.13	26.425
	2s	-16.627	-16.502	-16.166	0.0	12.902	26.009
	2p	-11.516	-11.316	-10.79	0.0	11.741	23.84
	2d	-6.481	-6.158	-5.352	0.0	10.282	21.205
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	1s	-31.71	-31.698	-31.665	0.0	16.342	32.691
	1p	-30.648	-30.632	-30.586	0.0	16.245	32.505
	1d	-29.347	-29.325	-29.263	0.0	16.125	32.277
	2s	-28.826	-28.802	-28.733	0.0	16.08	32.19
	2p	-26.996	-26.961	-26.868	0.0	15.91	31.867
	2d	-24.983	-24.938	-24.815	0.0	15.717	31.502

Table IV: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and full decay width, Γ for B^0 mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

drop for $\bar{K}^0$ mesons, which is more appreciable at higher baryon densities. As can be seen from Table VI, for the nuclei ^{12}C , ^{18}O and ^{40}Ca , the negative values of binding energies are observed only for 1s state. Further, in this case when the value of κ is considered finite, it may be difficult to observe the bound state of $\bar{K}^0$ meson with these nuclei due to comparable or larger value of decay width Γ .

As we discussed earlier in Sec. III E, the mass shift and decay width of ϕ meson are

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_B$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	1s	-25.403	-25.292	-24.986	0.0	16.151	32.455
	1p	-16.432	-16.222	-15.646	0.0	13.936	28.186
	1d	-7.473	-7.117	-6.171	0.0	11.205	22.994
	2s	-7.506	-7.101	-6.028	0.0	10.381	21.446
	2p	-1.086	-0.26	—	0.0	6.142	—
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	1s	-26.085	-26.014	-25.818	0.0	15.715	31.532
	1p	-19.262	-19.116	-18.717	0.0	14.435	29.096
	1d	-11.721	-11.471	-10.799	0.0	12.632	25.674
	2s	-10.487	-10.193	-9.407	0.0	11.52	23.535
	2p	-4.153	-3.645	-2.362	0.0	8.743	18.447
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	1s	-45.779	-45.725	-45.572	0.0	24.844	49.743
	1p	-41.003	-40.917	-40.677	0.0	24.034	48.174
	1d	-35.617	-35.492	-35.146	0.0	23.119	46.409
	2s	-34.457	-34.317	-33.934	0.0	22.917	46.034
	2p	-28.121	-27.919	-27.371	0.0	21.716	43.749
	2d	-21.612	-21.326	-20.57	0.0	20.34	41.161
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	1s	-55.277	-55.252	-55.179	0.0	28.274	56.555
	1p	-54.102	-54.073	-53.986	0.0	28.164	56.343
	1d	-52.663	-52.627	-52.523	0.0	28.029	56.085
	2s	-52.09	-52.052	-51.941	0.0	27.981	55.992
	2p	-50.065	-50.017	-49.883	0.0	27.796	55.639
	2d	-47.833	-47.775	-47.612	0.0	27.59	55.244

Table V: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_B$, and full decay width, Γ for $\bar{B}^0$ mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

obtained in the present work by calculating the self energy, which involve the interactions of ϕ mesons with K and $\bar{K}$, at one loop level. In Fig. 9 we have plotted the mass shift and decay width of ϕ mesons as a function of ρ_B/ρ_0 . At temperature $T = 0$ MeV, the

A	nl	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (MeV)			Γ (MeV)		
		$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 0.5$	$\kappa = 1$
$^{12}_6\text{C}$	1s	-0.573	-0.128	—	0.0	2.368	—
$^{16}_8\text{O}$	1s	-1.84	-1.469	-0.462	0.0	4.071	8.731
$^{40}_{20}\text{Ca}$	1s	-11.209	-10.949	-10.24	0.0	10.803	21.964
$^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$	1s	-28.644	-28.509	-28.123	0.0	17.663	35.389
	1p	-21.387	-21.202	-20.688	0.0	16.485	33.146
	1d	-12.892	-12.621	-11.9	0.0	14.939	30.257
	2s	-10.123	-9.746	-8.799	0.0	13.919	28.501
	2p	-0.991	—	—	0.0	—	—

Table VI: Values of binding energies, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and full decay width, Γ for $\bar{K}^0$ mesons in four nuclei with different mass number A are tabulated for $\kappa = 0, 0.5$ and 1.

values of mass shift Δm_ϕ^* and decay width Γ_ϕ^* at baryon density $\rho_B = \rho_0(4\rho_0)$ are observed to be $-2.00(-20.28)$ and $4.96(27.68)$ MeV, respectively, for the value of cutoff parameter, $\Lambda_c = 3000$ MeV. In Fig. 10 the real and imaginary optical potentials, $U_\phi(r)$ and $W_\phi(r)$ of ϕ mesons are plotted as a function of distance r for the four nuclei ($A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208). As we observed very small negative mass shift for the ϕ mesons, the bound states are not possible for these mesons within the present calculations where the in-medium masses of K and $\bar{K}$ mesons are evaluated using the chiral SU(3) model. In the chiral SU(3) model, the properties of kaons and antikaons are modified differently in the nuclear medium. The effective mass of kaons increases whereas the mass of antikaons decrease as the density of the medium is changed from zero to finite value [64–66]. From Fig. 10, we observe that the real part of the optical potential remains mostly repulsive. This is because of dominance of repulsive contributions of kaons as a function of r over the attractive contribution of antikaons to the in-medium masses of ϕ mesons. As discussed in Sec. III E, average masses $m_K^* \left(= \frac{m_{K^0}^* + m_{K^+}^*}{2} \right)$ and $m_{\bar{K}}^* \left(= \frac{m_{\bar{K}^0}^* + m_{\bar{K}^-}^*}{2} \right)$ are used in the calculations of self-energy of ϕ mesons given by Eq. (54). As shown in Fig. 11, average mass m_K^* remains repulsive (in-medium mass greater than vacuum mass (~ 494 MeV)) as a function of r whereas $m_{\bar{K}}^*$ is attractive (in-medium mass less than vacuum mass (~ 494 MeV)). For certain range of r values, increased dominance of repulsive contribution causes a bump in real part of optical




Figure 9: The mass shift [in subplots (a) and (c)] and decay width [in subplots (b) and (d)] of ϕ mesons are shown as a function of density ρ_B (in units of nuclear saturation density ρ_0) of nuclear medium for isospin asymmetry, $I = 0, 0.211$ and 0.3 . Results are shown for temperatures $T = 0$ and 100 MeV.

potential of ϕ mesons and a well in the imaginary part. In Ref. [6], the ϕ meson bound states are investigated considering the modification of kaons and antikaons within the QMC model. The in-medium masses of kaons and antikaons are considered same in the nuclear medium and are observed to decrease as a function of baryon density ρ_B . Thus, the mass drop in the ϕ mesons is also observed to be large as compared to our present calculations.




Figure 10: The real optical potential $U(r)$ [in subplot (a)] and imaginary optical potential $W(r)$ [in subplot (b)] of ϕ mesons are shown as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12, 16, 40$ and 208 , respectively.

For example, at $\rho_B = \rho_0$, Δm_ϕ is observed to be ≈ -20 MeV for the cutoff $\Lambda_c = 2000$ MeV. This larger mass drop in the ϕ meson masses also leads to the possibility of bound states in the calculations of Ref. [6]. However, as discussed above, in the chiral SU(3) model considered in the present calculations, the in-medium mass of kaons increases whereas the mass of antikaon decreases as a function of density of nuclear medium. Actually, the effective masses of kaons and antikaons in the chiral SU(3) model are modified in the nuclear medium through the Weinberg Tomozawa term, scalar meson exchange term and range terms [64–66]. As a function of density of the nuclear medium, the leading order Weinberg Tomozawa term gives repulsive contributions to kaons and attractive for antikaons. Overall this leads to an increase in the mass of kaons and a decrease in the mass of antikaons as function of ρ_B . Since the in-medium masses of ϕ mesons are modified through the medium modifications of kaons and antikaons, their opposite behavior as a function of density leads to small mass shift in the mass of ϕ mesons in the present calculations.




Figure 11: The average effective masses $m_K^* \left(= \frac{m_{K^0}^* + m_{K^+}^*}{2} \right)$ and $m_{\bar{K}}^* \left(= \frac{m_{\bar{K}^0}^* + m_{K^-}^*}{2} \right)$ for kaons and antikaons are plotted as a function of distance r from center, for nuclei ^{12}C and ^{208}Pb , with mass number, $A = 12$ and 208 , respectively.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we investigated the possibility of the formation of bound states for neutral mesons $\eta, \eta', D^0, \bar{D}^0, B^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{K}^0$ and ϕ mesons with nuclei, ^{12}C , ^{16}O , ^{40}Ca and ^{208}Pb . The binding energy for different mesons were calculated by solving the Klein Gordon equation considering the complex potential. The imaginary potential is introduced to understand the impact of absorption of mesons in the nuclei on the formation of bound states. The mass shifts for different mesons considered in the present work are used to obtain the real and imaginary optical potentials for nuclei of different mass numbers. Except for vector ϕ mesons, the imaginary optical potential for all other mesons is introduced in the current work through phenomenological prescription in terms of a parameter κ following some previous studies [14].

For the study of bound states for pseudoscalar η and η' mesons within the chiral SU(3) model, we considered the mixing between the states η_8 and η_0 . We observed appreciable

mass drop for the η mesons whereas η' mass is observed to decrease very less. This leads to the possibility of bound states of η mesons with the nuclei considered in this work, whereas for the η' meson no bound state is observed. Using the chiral SU(3) model, generalized to SU(4) sector, we also elaborated on the formation of bound states for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons. For both D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons the mass drop observed at nuclear saturation density is such that it lead to formation of bound states. Among D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$, former is observed to undergo larger mass drop and this lead to the formation of bound states with higher states also. For the case of B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ mesons also the obtained negative mass shift lead to the formation of bound states for lower and excited states, especially for nuclei with larger mass number A . In our present work we also observed that the pseudoscalar $\bar{K}^0$ mesons can form the bound states as negative mass shift is observed within the chiral SU(3) model. For the case of vector ϕ mesons the mass drop obtained in current work is not large enough to form their bound states with the light and heavy nuclei. In Ref. [44], meson-nucleus bound states are studied using the QMC model. In the QMC model, quarks are treated as fundamental degrees of freedom and are confined inside the nucleon bag. These quarks confined inside the nucleons interact through the exchange of scalar fields σ and δ and the vector fields ω and ρ . In the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model, hadrons are treated as point particle. As discussed before, the properties of nucleons in the chiral SU(3) hadronic mean field model are modified through the exchange of scalar mesons, σ, ζ and δ and vector mesons ω and ρ . In the QMC model, the effective masses of different mesons in the nuclear medium are calculated through the energy of static bag in which quark and antiquarks are confined. On the otherhand, as explained in detail in Sec. III, in chiral hadronic model, the interaction Lagrangian density, describing the interactions of mesons under study with the nucleons of the medium are written. The dispersion relations are obtained from the interaction Lagrangian to calculate the optical potentials of mesons in the nuclear medium. In Ref. [44], the possibilities of bound states for K , D and B mesons were explored whereas in our current work, bound states of η, η' and ϕ mesons with different nuclei were also considered.

We have not considered the effect of finite density and temperature of the nuclear medium on the binding energy of mesic nuclei. An estimate of this can be obtained considering following picture: consider the formation of mesic nuclei in a nuclear medium at finite temperature, the medium effects can be incorporated by replacing the vacuum mass of mesons with the in-medium value in the calculation of binding energy (appearing in definitions of reduced

mass μ [in Eq. (22)] and the real and imaginary part of optical potentials [Eqs. (24) and 25]] at given density and temperature. As an example, consider the formation of D^0 mesic nuclei in the nuclear medium $\rho_B = \rho_0$ and $T = 100$ MeV, at which the mass of D^0 meson is found to be 1799.57 MeV. Using this value, the mass shift $\Delta m_\psi(\rho_0)$ at center of $^{208}_{82}\text{Pb}$ nuclei is found to be -42.29 MeV. This can be compared with the value -64.98 MeV which was calculated without considering the impact of nuclear medium on formation of bound states and mass of D^0 in free space was 1864 MeV (see Fig.4(a)). Using the modifications due to finite density and temperature, the values of binding energy for $1s$ and $1p$ states change to -40.10 and -37.41 MeV and can be compared values -49.133 and -43.096 MeV given in Table II. Thus, we observe a reduction in the magnitude of binding energy at finite density and temperature of the medium. The decrease in the magnitude of binding energy is also expected for other cases where bound states with different mesons are formed due to attractive interactions in the medium. The many-body effects which may impact the density distributions of protons and neutrons inside the nuclei and may also cause additional attractive interaction and absorption [91] will be studied in future work. The present investigations on the formation of meson-nuclei bound states will be significant for the experiments of $\bar{\text{PANDA}}$ at FAIR, WASA at COSY and J-PARC facilities.

-
- [1] R. S. Hayano and T. Hatsuda, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 2949 (2010).
 - [2] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 015202 (2013).
 - [3] S. H. Lee, Symmetry **15**, 799 (2023).
 - [4] S. Leupold, V. Metag and U. Mosel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **19**, 147 (2010).
 - [5] V. Metag, M. Nanova and E. Y. Paryev, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **97**, 199 (2017).
 - [6] J. J. Cobos-Martínez, K. Tsushima, G. Krein and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 035201 (2017).
 - [7] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, K. Saito and R. H. Landau, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2824 (1999).
 - [8] H. Nagahiro, M. Takizawa and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 045203 (2006).
 - [9] H. Nagahiro, S. Hirenzaki, E. Oset and A. Ramos, Phys. Lett. B **709**, 87 (2012).
 - [10] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves and L. Tolos, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 369 (2010).

- [11] Q. Haider and L. C. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **172**, 257 (1986)
- [12] W. K. Cheng, T. T. S. Kuo and G. L. Li, Phys. Lett. B **195**, 515 (1987).
- [13] H. Nagahiro, D. Jido, H. Fujioka, K. Itahashi and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. C **87** (2013) 045201
- [14] J. J. Cobos-Martinez and K. Tsushima, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 2 (2024).
- [15] N. Ikeno, *et.al.*, arXiv:2406.06058.
- [16] N. G. Kelkar, K. P. Khemchandani, N. J. Upadhyay and B. K. Jain, Rept. Prog. Phys. **76**, 066301 (2013).
- [17] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, T. Inoue and E. Oset, Phys. Lett. B **550**, 47 (2002).
- [18] S. Sakai and D. Jido, Phys. Rev. C **107**, 025207 (2023).
- [19] S. Hirenzaki, N. Ikeno and H. Nagahiro, PoS **Hadron2013**, 014 (2013)
- [20] R. E. Chrien *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 2595.
- [21] J. D. Johnson *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **47**, 2571 (1993).
- [22] G. A. Sokol, A. I. L'vov and L. N. Pavlyuchenko, arXiv:nucl-ex/0111020.
- [23] S. V. Afanasiev *et al.* Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **8**, 1073 (2011).
- [24] P. Adlarson *et al.* [WASA-at-COSY], Phys. Rev. C **102**, 044322 (2020).
- [25] P. Adlarson, *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **802**, 135205 (2020).
- [26] Q. Wu, G. Xie and X. Chen, Phys. Lett. B **850**, 138502 (2024).
- [27] S. D. Bass, V. Metag and P. Moskal, arXiv:2111.01388 [hep-ph].
- [28] A. Khreptak, M. Skurzok and P. Moskal, Front. in Phys. **11**, 1186457 (2023).
- [29] L. Tolos, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22**, 1330027 (2013).
- [30] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, G. Krein and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 065208 (2011).
- [31] A. Hayashigaki, Phys. Lett. B **487**, 96 (2000).
- [32] T. Mizutani and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 065201 (2006).
- [33] L. Tolos, A. Ramos and T. Mizutani, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 015207 (2008).
- [34] A. Mondal and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 025201 (2024).
- [35] R. Kumar, R. Chhabra and A. Kumar, Eur. Phys. J. A **56**, 278 (2020).
- [36] P. Gubler, T. Song and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 114029 (2020).
- [37] K. Suzuki, P. Gubler and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 045209 (2016).
- [38] A. Mishra and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 024908 (2009).
- [39] A. Kumar and A. Mishra, Eur. Phys. J. A **47**, 164 (2011).
- [40] S. Schadmand, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **186**, 373 (2010).

- [41] J. J. Cobos-Martínez, K. Tsushima, G. Krein and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **811**, 135882 (2020).
- [42] J. J. Cobos-Martínez, G. N. Zeminiani and K. Tsushima, Phys. Rev. C **105**, 025204 (2022).
- [43] D. Pathak and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 045206 (2015).
- [44] A. Mondal and A. Mishra, arXiv:2407.19896 [nucl-th].
- [45] R. Muto *et al.* [KEK-PS-E325], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 042501 (2007).
- [46] T. Ishikawa, *et al.* Phys. Lett. B **608**, 215 (2005)
- [47] X. Qian *et al.* [CLAS], Phys. Lett. B **680**, 417 (2009).
- [48] M. H. Wood *et al.* [CLAS], Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 112301 (2010).
- [49] <http://rarfaxp.riken.go.jp/~yokkaich/paper/jparc-proposal-0604.pdf>
- [50] K. Aoki [J-PARC E16], arXiv:1502.00703.
- [51] T. Hatsuda and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C **46**, R34 (1992).
- [52] T. Hatsuda, H. Shiomi and H. Kuwabara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95**, 1009 (1996).
- [53] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A **679**, 616 (2001).
- [54] F. Klingl, T. Waas and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B **431**, 254 (1998).
- [55] P. Gubler and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B **751**, 396 (2015).
- [56] E. Y. Paryev, Nucl. Phys. A **1032**, 122624 (2023).
- [57] E. Chizzali, Y. Kamiya, R. Del Grande, T. Doi, L. Fabbietti, T. Hatsuda and Y. Lyu, Phys. Lett. B **848**, 138358 (2024).
- [58] J. Kim, P. Gubler and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 114053 (2022).
- [59] R. Kumar and A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 045206 (2020).
- [60] https://j-parc.jp/researcher/Hadron/en/pac_0907/pdf/Ohnishi.pdf
- [61] https://j-parc.jp/researcher/Hadron/en/pac_1007/pdf/KEK_J-PARC-PAC2010-02.pdf
- [62] J. Yamagata-Sekihara, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo and L. Tolos, Phys. Lett. B **754**, 26 (2016)
- [63] P. Papazoglou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **59** (1999) 411.
- [64] A. Mishra, A. Kumar, S. Sanyal and S. Schramm, Eur. Phys. J. A **41**, 205 (2009).
- [65] A. Mishra and S. Schramm, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 064904 (2006).
- [66] A. Mishra, S. Schramm and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **78**, 024901 (2008).
- [67] A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 035201 (2015).
- [68] R. Kumar and A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 065207 (2020).

- [69] S. Tiwari, R. Kumar, M. Kumari and A. Kumar, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **139**, 310 (2024).
- [70] A. Kumar and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 065204 (2010).
- [71] N. Dhale, S. P. Reddy, A. C. Jahan and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **98**, 015202 (2018).
- [72] A. Kumar and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 045207 (2010).
- [73] A. Mishra and D. Pathak, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 025201 (2014).
- [74] X. Zhang and M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 055805 (2016).
- [75] C. Constantinou, S. Lalit and M. Prakash, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **26**, 1740005 (2017).
- [76] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **166**, 1568 (1968).
- [77] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2239 (1969).
- [78] W. A. Bardeen and B. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2389 (1969).
- [79] A. Ramos and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **671**, 481 (2000).
- [80] J. Nieves, E. Oset and C. Garcia-Recio, Nucl. Phys. A **554**, 509 (1993).
- [81] Y. R. Kwan and F. Tabakin, Phys. Rev. C **18**, 932 (1978).
- [82] R. H. Landau, Phys. Rev. C **27**, 2191 (1983).
- [83] X. H. Zhong, G. X. Peng, L. Li and P. Z. Ning, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 015205 (2006).
- [84] L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B **635**, 85 (2006).
- [85] C. M. Ko, P. Levai, X. J. Qiu and C. T. Li, Phys. Rev. C **45**, 1400 (1992).
- [86] J. J. Cobos-Martínez, K. Tsushima, G. Krein and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **771**, 113 (2017).
- [87] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas and K. Tsushima, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 136 (2011).
- [88] G. Q. Li and C. M. Ko, Nucl. Phys. A **582**, 731 (1995).
- [89] D. Jido, H. Masutani and S. Hirenzaki, PTEP **2019**, 053D02 (2019).
- [90] T. Barnes and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 1166 (1994).
- [91] K. Itahashi, H. Fujioka, H. Geissel, R. S. Hayano, S. Hirenzaki, S. Itoh, D. Jido, V. Metag, H. Nagahiro and M. Nanova, *et al.* Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, 601 (2012).