

ON RELATIONS BETWEEN SOME TYPES OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS

SHOSHANA ABRAMOVICH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show how the superquadratic functions can be used as a tool for researching other types of convex functions like ϕ -convexity, strong-convexity and uniform convexity. We show how to use inequalities satisfied by superquadratic functions and how to adapt the technique used to get them in order to obtain new results satisfied by uniformly convex functions and to ϕ -convex functions.

Also, we show examples that emphasize relations between superquadracity and some other types of convex functions

1. Introduction

In this paper we show how the superquadratic functions can be used as a tool for researching other types of convex functions like ϕ -convexity, strong-convexity and uniform convexity. We show how to use inequalities satisfied by superquadratic functions and how to adapt the technique used to get them in order to obtain new results satisfied by uniformly convex functions and to ϕ -convex functions.

Also, we show examples that emphasize relations between superquadracity and some other types of convex functions

We start with definitions of these classes of functions:

Definition 1. [3, Definition 1] *A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is superquadratic provided that for all $x \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(y) \geq \varphi(x) + C_x(y - x) + \varphi(|y - x|)$$

for all $y \geq 0$. If the reverse of (1.1) holds then φ is called subquadratic.

Lemma 1. [3, Lemma1] *Let the function φ be superquadratic with C_x as in Definition 1.*

- (i) *Then $\varphi(0) \leq 0$*
- (ii) *If $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$, then $C_x = \varphi'(x)$ whenever φ is differentiable at $x > 0$.*
- (iii) *If $\varphi \geq 0$, then φ is convex and $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$.*

Date: August 12, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A15,

Key words and phrases. ϕ -convexity, Superquadracity, Strong-convexity, Uniform convexity, Error function .

Theorem 1. [6] *The inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi \left(\int f d\mu \right) \leq \int \left(\varphi(f(s)) - \varphi \left(\left| f(s) - \int f d\mu \right| \right) \right) d\mu(s)$$

holds for all probability measures μ and all non-negative, μ -integrable functions f if and only if φ is superquadratic. The discrete version of this inequality is

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r x_r \right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \left(\varphi(x_r) - \varphi \left(\left| x_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right| \right) \right)$$

for $x_r \geq 0$, $\lambda_r \geq 0$, $r = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r = 1$.

Remark 1. The functions $\varphi(x) = x^p$, $x \geq 0$, are superquadratic for $p \geq 2$ and subquadratic when $0 \leq p \leq 2$.

Lemma 2. [6, Lemma 4.1] *A non-positive, non-increasing, superadditive function is superquadratic.*

Definition 2. [1] *Let $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\Phi : [0, b-a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **generalized Φ -uniformly convex** if:*

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \geq f(tx + (1-t)y) + t(1-t)\Phi(|x-y|)$$

for $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If in addition $\Phi \geq 0$, then f is said to be **Φ -uniformly convex**, or **uniformly convex with modulus Φ** .

Remark 2. The functions $f(x) = x^n$, $x \geq 0$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$, are uniformly convex on $x \geq 0$ with a modulus $\Phi(x) = x^n$, $x > 0$ (see [2]), (these functions are also superquadratic).

Remark 3. It is proved in [11] that when f is uniformly convex, there is always a modulus Φ which is increasing and $\Phi(0) = 0$. It is also shown in [11] that the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad f \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r x_r \right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \left(f(x_r) - \Phi \left(\left| x_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right| \right) \right)$$

holds, and the inequality

$$(1.4) \quad f \left(\int g d\mu \right) \leq \int \left(f(g(s)) - \Phi \left(\left| g(s) - \int g d\mu \right| \right) \right) d\mu(s)$$

also holds for all probability measures μ and all non-negative μ -integrable functions g .

Definition 3. [9] *A real value function f defined on a real interval I is called ϕ -convex if for all $x, y \in I$, $t \in [0, 1]$ it satisfies*

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + t\phi((1-t)|x-y|) + (1-t)\phi(t|x-y|) \geq f(tx + (1-t)y),$$

where $\phi : [0, l(I)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, ($l(I)$ is the length of the interval I), is a non-negative error function. In case of a ϕ -convex function where ϕ satisfies the Γ property, there is a ϕ which is called **optimal error function**.

Remark 4. In [9, Theorem 3] it is proved that f is ϕ -convex iff the inequality

$$f\left(\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r x_r\right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \left(f(x_r) + \phi\left(\left|x_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j\right|\right) \right)$$

holds, from which it follows that

$$f\left(\int g d\mu\right) \leq \int \left(f(g(s)) + \phi\left(\left|g(s) - \int g d\mu\right|\right) \right) d\mu(s)$$

also holds for all probability measures μ and all non-negative μ -integrable functions g .

Remark 5. The functions $f(x) = -x^p$, $x \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ are ϕ -convex with error function $\phi = -f$ (see [6]) because $(-f)$ is superquadratic and negative as all superquadratic functions which are negative on an interval $[0, A]$, $A > 0$, are ϕ -convex.

Definition 4. [9] We say that an error function $\phi \in E(I) = [0, l(I)]$ possesses the property Γ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$(1.5) \quad \phi(x+y) \leq \phi(x) + \phi(y) + 2\frac{x}{y}\phi(y), \quad x \geq 0, \quad y > 0, \quad (x+y) < l(I).$$

The class of error function in $E(I)$ with the property Γ is denoted by $E^\Gamma(I)$. The subset of $E^\Gamma(I)$ whose elements also satisfy $\phi(0) = 0$ is denoted by $E_0^\Gamma(I)$.

The reverse of Inequality (1.5) is satisfied by all superquadratic functions f as proved in [10], that is, all the superquadratic functions possess the property $(-\Gamma)$.

However, only few of ϕ -convex functions possess the property Γ as shown in [9], and these specific ϕ -convex functions lead to important inequalities:

Theorem 2. [10, Theorem 1]. Let f be a superquadratic function. Then, when $a, b \geq 0$ the inequalities

$$f(a) + f(b) \leq f(a+b) - \frac{2a}{a+b}f(b) - \frac{2b}{a+b}f(a), \quad a+b > 0$$

and

$$f(a+b) \geq f(a) + f(b) + 2\frac{a}{b}f(b), \quad a \geq 0, \quad b > 0.$$

hold for $a \geq 0, b > 0$.

Theorem 3. [9, Theorem 4.1]. Let $\phi \in E^\Gamma(I)$, that is ϕ possesses the property Γ : Then $\sqrt{\phi}$ and $\frac{\phi(t)}{t}$ are subadditive on $[0, l(I)]$. If in addition $\varphi: [0, l(I)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is decreasing on $[0, l(I)]$, then $\Psi = \varphi\phi \in E^\Gamma(I)$. In particular $\Psi \in E(I)$ and $\frac{\Psi(t)}{t^2}$ is decreasing on $[0, l(I)]$, then $\Psi \in E^\Gamma(I)$.

The following theorem is an external version of Jensen inequality for superquadratic functions:

Theorem 4. [7, Theorem 1] *Let f be a superquadratic function, let $x_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\nu_n \geq 1$, $\nu_i \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and let $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i > 0$ then the inequality*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i f(x_i) + f\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i - x_n\right|\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i f(|x_i - x_n|) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Theorem 4 was proved in 2008 in [7, Theorem 1]. It was proved again only for the special case $n = 2$ in [10, Theorem 2] in 2014. It says in this case:

Theorem 5. [10, Theorem 2], [7, Theorem 3, for $n = 2$]. *Let g be a superquadratic function and $a, b \geq 0$. If $\nu < 0$ and $(1 - \nu)a + \nu b \geq 0$, then the inequality*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 - \nu)g(a) + \nu g(b) \\ & \leq g((1 - \nu)a + \nu b) + \nu g(|a - b|) - g(\nu|a - b|) \end{aligned}$$

holds, while for $\nu > 1$, then the inequality

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 - \nu)g(a) + \nu g(b) \\ & \leq g((1 - \nu)a + \nu b) + (1 - \nu)g(|a - b|) - g((\nu - 1)|a - b|), \end{aligned}$$

holds, provided that $(1 - \nu)a + \nu b \geq 0$.

A refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for superquadratic functions is the following Theorem 6.

Theorem 6. [7, Theorem 8] *Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable superquadratic function, $0 \leq a < b$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi\left(\left|t - \frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b [(b-t)\varphi(t-a) + (t-a)\varphi(b-t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

A. Cipu [8] proved the following theorem:

Theorem 7. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Denote $a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, then $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{ |x_k - a| \} \leq \sqrt{(n-1)(b-a^2)}$.*

Theorems 8 and 9 are stated and proved in [5]:

Theorem 8. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Denote $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ and $c = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^p$, where $0 < \alpha_i < 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, $p \geq 2$, then*

$$(1.9) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{ |x_k - a| \} \leq T(c - a^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where

$$T = \frac{(1 - \alpha_0)^{1 - \frac{1}{p}}}{\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\alpha_0^{p-1} + (1 - \alpha_0)^{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \alpha_0 = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\alpha_k).$$

Remark 6. It is easy to see that Theorem 7 is a special case of Theorem 8 where $p = 2$, $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{n}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 9. Let f be a positive superquadratic function on $[0, \infty)$, which satisfies $f(AB) \leq f(A)f(B)$ for $A > 0$, $B > 0$.

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Denote $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{n}$ and $d = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$, then

$$(1.10) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (f(|x_k - a|)) \leq \frac{f(n-1)n}{n-1+f(n-1)} (d - f(a)).$$

Remark 7. For $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ Theorem 8 is a special case of Theorem 9.

In Section 2 we use theorems 4, 5 and 6 which are related to superquadratic functions to get similar results related to ϕ -convex functions and uniformly convex functions.

In Section 3 we use theorems 7, 8 and 9 which are related to superquadratic functions to get similar results related to ϕ -convex functions and uniformly convex functions.

In Section 4 we show examples about relations between superquadracity and some other types of convex functions.

The results and the examples shown in this paper are just few cases out of many others, where we use similar techniques of these generating results for superquadratic functions to get new results related to uniform convexity and ϕ -convexity. For instance we can adapt the inequalities obtained by using Theorem 2 proved in [10] only to the special cases of ϕ -convex functions with error function ϕ which satisfy Property Γ , among them the optimal error function (see Theorem 3).

2. External versions of Jensen inequality and Hermite-Hadamard inequality for ϕ -convex functions and uniform convex functions.

Theorem 10 deals with ϕ -convex functions and uniformly convex functions. The proof of the Theorem 10 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4 given in [7, Theorem 1] for the convenience of the reader we provide here a complete proof of Theorem 10 for ϕ -convex functions and uniformly convex functions.

Theorem 10. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\phi : [0, b-a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $x_i \in (a, b)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\nu_n \geq 1$, $\nu_i \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and let $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i \in (a, b)$ then:

a. if f is ϕ -convex, where the error function is ϕ , the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i f(x_i) - \phi\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i - x_n\right|\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i \phi(|x_i - x_n|)$$

holds.

In the special case that $n = 2$ we get that

$$(2.2) \quad (1 - \nu) f(a) + \nu f(b) \leq f((1 - \nu)a + \nu b) - (1 - \nu)\phi(|a - b|) + \phi((\nu - 1)|a - b|),$$

holds.

b. If f is uniformly convex with modulus Φ , the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i f(x_i) + \Phi\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i - x_n\right|\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i \Phi(|x_i - x_n|)$$

holds.

In the special case that $n = 2$ we get that

$$(2.4) \quad f(\nu_1 x_1 + \nu_2 x_2) \geq \nu_1 f(x_1) + \nu_2 f(x_2) - \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \Phi(\nu_2(|x_2 - x_1|))$$

holds.

Proof. a. From equality $f(x_n) = f\left(\frac{1}{\nu_n}(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\nu_i}{\nu_n} x_i\right)$ as $\frac{1}{\nu_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\nu_i}{\nu_n} = 1$ and from the ϕ -convexity of f we get that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_n) &= f\left(\frac{1}{\nu_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\nu_i}{\nu_n} x_i\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu_n} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\nu_i}{\nu_n} f(x_i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\nu_n} \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_i x_i - x_n|\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{-\nu_i}{\nu_n} \phi(|x_i - x_n|). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) we get by multiplying by ν_n that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_n f(x_n) &\leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i x_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i f(x_i) \\ &\quad + \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_i x_i - x_n|\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i \phi(|x_i - x_n|), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (2.1). From (2.1) when $n = 2$ we get (2.2).

b. The proof of (2.3), for uniformly convex functions is similar to the proof of (2.1) for ϕ -convex functions and therefore is omitted.

To prove (2.4) we use Definition 2 of uniformly convex functions with modulus Φ and get when $\nu_2 > 1$ and $\nu_1 < 0$ that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_2) &= f\left(\frac{1}{\nu_2}(\nu_1 x_1 + \nu_2 x_2) + \left(\frac{-\nu_1}{\nu_2}\right) x_1\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\nu_2}\right) f(\nu_1 x_1 + \nu_2 x_2) + \left(\frac{-\nu_1}{\nu_2}\right) f(x_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \Phi(\nu_2(|x_2 - x_1|)). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this inequality by ν_2 we get Inequality (2.4)

The proof is complete. \square

Example 1. Let the function f be

$$f(x) = 2x^n, \quad x \in [a, a+1], \quad a \geq 1, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then f is a uniformly convex function when its modulus Φ is:

$$\Phi = x^n (3x - x^3), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed, the function $g(x) = 2x^n$ where $n = 2, 3, \dots$ is uniformly convex (see [1]), that is,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & t2x^n + (1-t)2y^n - 2(tx + (1-t)y)^n \\ & \geq t(1-t)2|x-y|^n. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation shows that $x^n(3x - x^3) \leq 2x^n$. Hence, from (2.8) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & t2x^n + (1-t)2y^n - 2(tx + (1-t)y)^n \\ & \geq t(1-t)2|x-y|^n \\ & \geq t(1-t)|x-y|^n (3|x-y| - |x-y|^3), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $f(x) = 2x^n$ is a uniformly convex function with modulus $\Phi(x) = x^n(3x - x^3)$ as claimed.

As the function $f : [a, a+1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly convex where $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, it satisfies the inequality (2.7) for $\nu > 1$ and $(1-\nu)a + \nu b \in [a, a+1]$, $a \geq 1$, that is, the Inequality (2.4) holds.

The Hermite-Hadamard inequality versions for uniformly convex functions and for ϕ -convex functions are as follows:

Theorem 11. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable ϕ -convex function with error $\phi : [0, b-a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \phi\left(\left|t - \frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \\ & + \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b [(b-t)\phi(t-a) + (t-a)\phi(b-t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

In case that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an integrable uniformly convex function with modulus $\Phi : [0, b-a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Then

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi\left(\left|t - \frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \Phi(|b-a|)$$

Proof. First we prove (2.9) for ϕ -convex functions. From the Corollary of Definition 3 for $f(t) = t$ and measure μ on $\Omega = [a, b]$, defined by $d\mu = \frac{1}{b-a} dt$, Inequality 2.9 holds.

To get (2.10) we use Definition 3 for $t \rightarrow \frac{b-t}{b-a}$, $x = a$, $y = b$, that is:

$$f(t) \leq \frac{b-t}{b-a} (f(a) + \phi(t-a)) + \frac{t-a}{b-a} (f(b) + \phi(b-t))$$

for all t , such that $a < t < b$. After integrating this expression over the segment $[a, b]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t) dt &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b [bf(a) - af(b) + t(f(b) - f(a))] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b [(b-t)\phi(t-a) + (t-a)\phi(b-t)] dt \end{aligned}$$

and dividing with $(b-a)$ we get (2.10).

The proof Inequality (2.11) for a uniformly convex function with a modulus Φ is similar to the proof of the Inequality (2.9) for ϕ -convex functions and therefore is omitted.

To prove (2.12) we use Definition 2 and get

$$f(t) \leq \frac{b-t}{b-a} f(a) + \frac{t-a}{b-a} f(b) - \left(\frac{b-t}{b-a} \right) \left(\frac{t-a}{b-a} \right) \Phi(|b-a|)$$

for all t , such that $a < t < b$. After integrating this expression over the segment $[a, b]$ we get Inequality (2.12).

The proof is complete. \square

3. Upper bounds for deviations from a Mean Value

Theorem 12. *Let f be an uniformly convex function with modulus $\Phi(x) = mx^p$, $p \geq 2$, $m > 0$, that is, f is a strongly convex function. Let $n > 1$ be an integer and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Denote $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ and $c = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f(x_i)$, where $0 < \alpha_i < 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|x_k - a|\} \leq T (c - f(a))^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where

$$T = \frac{(1 - \alpha_0)^{1 - \frac{1}{p}}}{\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\alpha_0^{p-1} + (1 - \alpha_0)^{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \alpha_0 = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\alpha_k).$$

Example 2. *Let $\varphi(x), \geq 0$ be a convex function satisfying $\varphi'(0) > 0$. It is easy to verify that the function $g(x) = x\varphi(x)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(x_i) - g \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right) \geq \varphi'(0) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right)^2 \right).$$

Denoting $f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{\varphi'(0)}$ we get that $f(x)$ is strongly convex, and therefore uniformly convex satisfy Theorem 12.

If $\varphi'(0) < 0$ then g is ϕ -convex when $\phi = -\varphi'(0)x^2$.

Proof. (of Theorem 12) $\Phi(x) = x^p$ $p \geq 2$, therefore applying Remark 3

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (|x_i - a|)^p$$

holds.

Denote $y_i = x_i - a$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then from $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0$ we get from Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_n |y_n|)^p &= \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i y_i \right| \right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i |y_i| \right)^p \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^{1-\frac{1}{p}} (\alpha_i |y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \right)^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i |y_i|^p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\alpha_n |y_n|)^p \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |y_i|^p - \alpha_n |y_n|^p \right).$$

Therefore from (3.2)

$$\alpha_n \left(\alpha_n^{p-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)^{p-1} \right) |y_n|^p \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^{p-1} (c - f(a))$$

which by taking into consideration that $\frac{(1-\alpha)^{p-1}}{\alpha(\alpha^{p-1} + (1-\alpha)^{p-1})}$ is decreasing for $0 < \alpha < 1$ leads to (3.1). \square

Theorem 13. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}$ be an uniformly convex function with modulus Φ , which satisfies $\Phi(AB) \leq \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ for $A > 0, B > 0$.

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers. Denote $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{n}$ and $d = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$. If Φ is convex then

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\Phi(|x_k - a|)) \leq \frac{\Phi(n-1)n}{n-1 + \Phi(n-1)} (d - f(a)).$$

Proof. The function f is uniformly convex with Φ defined on $[0, \infty)$, therefore from Remark 3 for $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{n} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi\left(\left|x_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right|\right)$$

holds.

In other words for $y_i = x_i - a$, $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(|y_i|) \leq d - f(a)$$

holds.

From $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 0$ we get that $|y_n| = \left| -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right|$. As Φ is positive, according to Definition 2, f is convex and also increasing, and as it is given that Φ is convex too and according to Remark 3, Φ is increasing and $\Phi(0) = 0$, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \Phi(|y_n|) &= \Phi\left(\left|-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right|\right) \leq \Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |y_i|\right) \\
 &= \Phi\left((n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(|y_i|))}{n-1}\right) \\
 &\leq \Phi\left((n-1) \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi(|y_i|)}{n-1}\right)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the left side inequality is because Φ is increasing and the right side inequality follows because Φ^{-1} is concave and Φ is increasing.

As Φ satisfies also $\Phi(AB) \leq \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ we get that

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi\left((n-1) \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi(|y_i|)}{n-1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\Phi(n-1)}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi(|y_i|)$$

and from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\Phi(|y_n|) \leq \frac{\Phi(n-1)}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi(|y_i|) - \Phi(|y_n|) \right).$$

From the last inequality as $\Phi(x)$ is positive and increasing, together with (3.5)

$$\frac{n-1 + \Phi(n-1)}{n-1} \Phi(|y_n|) \leq \frac{\Phi(n-1)}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(|y_i|) \leq \frac{\Phi(n-1)}{n-1} n(d-f(a))$$

holds, which is equivalent to (1.10). \square

4. Examples: Relations between superquadracity and some other types of convex functions

In addition to the examples which appear in remarks 1, 2 and 5 and in examples 1 and 2, the following examples emphasize the relations between superquadracity and other extensions of convexity.

Example 3. Let $f(x) = x^2 \ln x$, $x \geq 0$. This function is superquadratic (see [6]) and negative on $[0, 1]$. Hence Definition 3 is satisfied, and f on $I = [a, a+1]$, $a \geq 0$, is ϕ -convex, where $\phi = -f$ is defined on $[0, 1]$.

Moreover, f according to Theorem 2 possesses the $-\Gamma$ property as all superquadratic functions do, therefore ϕ possesses the property Γ and as $\phi(0) = 0$ then $f \in E_0^{\Gamma}(I)$.

Also, according to Theorem 3, $\sqrt{\phi(x)} = x\sqrt{-\ln x}$ is subadditive. As this function is increasing on $(0, e^{-1}]$, we get according to Lemma 2 that the function $-x\sqrt{-\ln x}$ is superquadratic on $[0, e^{-1}]$ and according to the definition of ϕ -convexity, it is also ϕ -convex where $\phi = x\sqrt{-\ln x}$ on $[0, e^{-1}]$.

Example 4. From Definition 1 and Definition 3 it is obvious that when a superquadratic function f is negative, the function f is ϕ -convex where $\phi = -f$.

Therefore, according to [6, Example 4.2] the set of functions

$$f_p(x) = -(1+x^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0,$$

are superquadratic and negative. Hence, these functions are also ϕ -convex where $\phi = -f$.

The same holds for the function $f(x) = -x^p$, $0 \leq p \leq 2$, $x \geq 0$ are ϕ -convex where $\phi = -f(x)$.

We get easily the following results:

Example 5. Let $f(x) = -x^p$, $x \geq 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Then f is ϕ -convex where $\phi(x) = x^q$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $1 < q \leq p \leq 2$.

Example 6. The function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+x^2) - x \arctan(x), \quad f(0) = 0$$

satisfies

$$f'(x) = -\arctan(x) \quad f'(0) = 0$$

and is superquadratic because $\left(\frac{f'(x)}{x}\right)' > 0$ (see [6]).

Therefore, this function is superquadratic and because it is negative on $[0, \infty)$ it is also ϕ -convex for $\phi = -f$.

Example 7. The function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^x \frac{t(t-2)}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{x^2+1} - 2\sqrt{x^2+1} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(x + \sqrt{x^2+1}\right) + 2, \quad x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is superquadratic, (see [4]) and $f(x) \leq 0$, $x \in [0, T]$, where T satisfies $2 < T < 3$ and $f(x) \geq 0$, $x \geq T$. Therefore, on $[a, a+1]$, $a \geq 0$ is ϕ -convex where $\phi = -f$, $0 \leq x \leq T$.

Another set of functions f which are either ϕ -convex or strongly convex are:

Example 8. Let $f(x) = x\varphi(x)$, $x \geq 0$, where φ is convex. It is easy to verify that

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \geq f(tx + (1-t)y) + t(1-t)\varphi'(0)(x-y)^2$$

holds. Therefore if $\varphi'(0) < 0$ it means that $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is ϕ -convex, $\phi = -\varphi'(0)x^2$, $x \geq 0$.

If $\varphi'(0) > 0$, it means that f is strongly convex and uniformly convex where $\Phi = \varphi'(0)x^2$ as explained in Example 2.

In particular, the function $f(x) = x(x-1)^{2n} = x\varphi(x)$, $x \geq 0$ where the function $\varphi(x) = (x-1)^{2n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is convex and satisfies $\varphi'(0) = -2n$. Therefore, f is ϕ -convex where $\phi = 2nx^2$.

In the special case that the function $f(x) = x(x-1)^{2n+1} = x\varphi(x)$, $x \geq 0$ where the function $\varphi(x) = (x-1)^{2n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is convex and satisfies $\varphi'(0) = 2n+1$. Therefore, f is strongly convex where $\phi = (2n+1)x^2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramovich, "Refinement of Jensen's inequality by uniformly convex functions", *Aequationes Mathematicae*. *Aequationes Mathematicae*. 97 no. 1, (2023), 75-88.
- [2] S. Abramovich, "On compound Superquadratic functions", Rassias, Themistocles M. *Nonlinear Analysis, Differential Equations and Applications*, Springer, Springer Optim. Appl. 173, (2021), 1-15. (Hard copy book sent to University of Haifa on January 31, 2022).
- [3] S. Abramovich, "New inequalities related to superquadratic functions ", *Aequationes Math.*, 96 no 1 (2022), 201-219.
- [4] S. Abramovich, S. Banić and M. Matić, "Superquadratic functions in several variables", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007), 1444-1460.
- [5] S. Abramovich, J. Baric, and J. Pecaric, "Superquadracity, Bohr's inequality and deviation from a Mean Value", *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 7(1), (2009), Article 1.
- [6] S. Abramovich, G. Jameson and G. Sinnamon, "Refining Jensen Inequality", *Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Romanie*, 47(95), No. 1-2, 2004, 3-14.
- [7] S. Barić, J. Pecarić, and S. Varosanec, "S.Q. functions and refinements of some classical inequalities", *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 45 no..2, (2008), 513-525.
- [8] A. Cipu, "An Upper Bound for the Deviation From the Mean Value" *JIPAM Vol 7 article 126*, 2006.
- [9] A. R. Goswami and Z. Páles, "On approximately convex and affine functions", *J. Math. Inequal.*, 17, no. 2,(2023), 459-480.
- [10] M. Krnić, H. R. Moradi and M. Sababheh, "On superquadratic and logarithmically superquadratic functions", *Mediterranean J. Math.*, 20, (2023), 1-18.
- [11] C. Zălinescu, "On uniformly convex functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 95 (1983) 344-374.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, HAIFA, ISRAEL

Email address: abramos@math.haifa.ac.il