

A DRINFELD TYPE PRESENTATION OF TWISTED YANGIANS OF QUASI-SPLIT TYPE

KANG LU AND WEINAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We formulate a family of algebras, twisted Yangians (of simply-laced quasi-split type) in Drinfeld type current generators and defining relations. These new algebras admit PBW type bases and are shown to be a deformation of twisted current algebras. For all quasi-split type excluding the even rank case in type AIII, we show that the twisted Yangians can be realized via a degeneration on the Drinfeld type presentation of affine \imath quantum groups. For both even and odd rank cases in type AIII, we use the Gauss decomposition method to show that these new algebras are isomorphic to Molev-Ragoucy's reflection algebras defined in the R-matrix presentation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Twisted loop and current algebras	5
3. Twisted Yangians in Drinfeld type presentations	8
4. A degeneration approach	13
5. Twisted Yangians in R-matrix presentation	18
6. Gauss decomposition approach	21
7. Relations between Gaussian generators	32
References	45

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** Yangians first emerged (for \mathfrak{gl}_N in R-matrix form) in the work of Faddeev and the St. Petersburg school in the late 1970s and early 1980s concerning the quantum inverse scattering method. Later, Drinfeld introduced in [Dr88] a remarkable new current presentation for Yangians. Two fundamental methods have been widely used in the study of the Drinfeld presentation for Yangians: the first method indicates that Yangians can be obtained from affine quantum groups through a suitable degeneration [Dr87, GM12, GTL13]; the second one is the Gauss decomposition approach, which is used to establish an explicit isomorphism between the Drinfeld presentation and the R-matrix presentation [BK05, JLM18, FT23].

The twisted Yangians, associated with symmetric pairs, are coideal subalgebras of Yangians. Inspired by [Ch84, Sk88], they were introduced in the R-matrix presentation by Olshanski [OI92] for type AI and AII, by Molev-Ragoucy [MR02] for type AIII, and by Guay-Regelskis [GR16] for other classical types. Twisted Yangians and their representation theory have been well studied in the R-matrix presentation; cf. [MNO96, Na04, Mo07, GRW17]. Twisted Yangians for general symmetric pair are also available in Drinfeld's original J-presentation [Ma02, BR17].

In contrast, the Drinfeld type presentation for twisted Yangians was unknown for a long time, until our recent work joint with Weiqiang Wang [KLWZ23, KLWZ24], where we formulated a Drinfeld

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Drinfeld presentation, twisted Yangians, \imath quantum groups, Gauss decomposition.

type presentation for twisted Yangians of arbitrary split type. Here, split type means that the involution for the underlying symmetric pair is conjugated to the Chevalley involution ϖ . For split type A (i.e., type AI), we established in [KLWZ23] an isomorphism between the twisted Yangian in Drinfeld type presentation and in R-matrix type presentation, using the Gauss decomposition approach.

Affine \imath quantum groups \mathbf{U}^\imath form another distinguished class of quantum algebras associated to symmetric pairs. They are coideal subalgebras of affine quantum groups, arising from the theory of quantum symmetric pairs of Kac-Moody type [Ko14]. The Drinfeld type presentation for \mathbf{U}^\imath was first constructed for split ADE types in [LW21], and generalized to split BCFG types in [Zh22], and further generalized for a class of quasi-split types in [LWZ23, LWZ24]. In [KLWZ24], we showed that the Drinfeld type presentation for twisted Yangian of split types can be obtained from the Drinfeld type presentation of \mathbf{U}^\imath via a degeneration approach.

1.2. Goal. Let \mathfrak{a} be a Kac-Moody algebra. A symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}^\omega)$ (of Kac-Moody type) is of quasi-split type if the involution ω has the form $\omega = \varpi \circ \tau$, where τ is an involution of the underlying Dynkin diagram. (In particular, ω is of split type if in addition $\tau = \text{id}$.) Given an involution ω_0 on a finite type Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , one can lift it to an involution ω on the untwisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by (2.5). The quasi-split symmetric pairs of affine type associated with a nontrivial τ obtained by such lifting are classified as AIII $_n$, DII $_n$, EII $_6$, where ADE corresponds to the type of \mathfrak{g} and n represents the rank of \mathfrak{g} .

The goal of this paper is to provide a Drinfeld current presentation for twisted Yangians of quasi-split types AIII $_n$, DII $_n$, EII $_6$, generalizing our previous work with Wang for split type [KLWZ23, KLWZ24]. Note that our scope exactly includes all quasi-split affine types with a nontrivial τ fixing the affine simple node.

1.3. Drinfeld type presentation for twisted Yangians. We formulate in Definition 3.1 the Drinfeld type presentation for twisted Yangians \mathbf{Y}^\imath in terms of current generators $\{h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}, i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and defining current relations (3.1)-(3.9) for type AIII $_n$, DII $_n$, EII $_6$. Most defining relations (3.1)-(3.8) are natural quasi-split generalizations for their split type counterparts in [KLWZ24, (4.1)-(4.6)]. As shown in later sections, these relations can be viewed as the degenerate version of the Drinfeld type defining relations for affine \imath quantum groups. On the contrary, the Serre relation (3.9) is essentially new, since it only appears when τ is nontrivial. We show that (3.9) can be obtained from the finite type Serre relation inductively using the other defining relations; see Section 3.4.

In the next two subsections, we shall elaborate on that our Drinfeld type presentation fits into two frameworks: the degeneration approach and the Gauss decomposition approach. Along the way, we shall establish a PBW type basis for \mathbf{Y}^\imath in terms of the current generators, which indicates that \mathbf{Y}^\imath is a flat deformation of twisted current algebras; see Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.8.

1.4. Degeneration approach. The degeneration approach has the advantage that it works uniformly for any types provided the Drinfeld type presentation for the corresponding affine \imath quantum groups is available; see [GM12, GTL13, KLWZ24].

Drinfeld type presentations for quasi-split affine \imath quantum groups \mathbf{U}^\imath of types AIII $_{2n-1}$, DII $_n$, EII $_6$ have been constructed in [LWZ24] (which were denoted by AIII $_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$, DI $_n^{(\tau)}$, EI $_6^{(\tau)}$ in that paper). We recall this result in Proposition 4.1. We show that \mathbf{Y}^\imath for these types can be realized as a suitable degenerate version of the Drinfeld type presentation of \mathbf{U}^\imath . More precisely, generalizing the construction in [CG15, KLWZ24], we define a filtration on \mathbf{U}^\imath in Section 4.3 and write $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^\imath$ for the associated graded algebra. We have the following result.

Theorem A (Theorem 4.6). Let \mathbf{Y}^ι be of type AIII_{2n-1} , DII_n , EII_6 . Then there is an algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbf{Y}^\iota &\longrightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^\iota, \\ b_{i,r} &\mapsto \bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \quad h_{i,r} \mapsto \bar{h}_{i,r,1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b_{i,r,1}, h_{i,r,1} \in \mathbf{U}^\iota$ are defined in (4.17) and $\bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \bar{h}_{i,r,1}$ are their images in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^\iota$.

In Section 4.4, we verify that the defining relations of \mathbf{Y}^ι are satisfied by $\bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \bar{h}_{i,r,1}$ in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^\iota$, showing that Φ is a homomorphism. Following similar arguments in [KLWZ24, Proof of Theorem 4.10] (cf. also [GM12]), Φ is an isomorphism and we establish a PBW basis for \mathbf{Y}^ι in terms of Drinfeld type generators in Theorem 3.6.

Drinfeld type presentations for affine ι quantum groups of type AIII_{2n} , $n > 1$ are not known so far, while the Drinfeld type presentation for the affine ι quantum group of type AIII_2 has been established in [LWZ23]. Nevertheless, due to the complexity of the Serre relations *loc. cit.*, it is technically much more difficult to formulate a degenerate version for the Drinfeld type presentation of type AIII_2 and compare it with our definition of \mathbf{Y}^ι . Hence, we will not include type AIII_{2n} in our degeneration approach. Note that, this case distinguishes with the others in that it contains two connecting nodes in a single τ -orbit and does not have a τ -fixed point except the affine node.

1.5. Gauss decomposition approach. Fortunately, we have another approach, the Gauss decomposition, which is widely used to establish the isomorphism between Drinfeld and R-matrix presentations for Yangians and affine quantum groups of classical type; see e.g. [BK05, JLM18, FT23]. We shall deal with AIII_{N-1} via the Gauss decomposition approach uniformly for arbitrary $N > 1$ regardless of the parity of N .

We start by recalling the twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N of type AIII_{N-1} in the R-matrix presentation from [MR02]. Instead of using the diagonal matrix (a solution of reflection equation) in [MR02, KLWZ23], we use the anti-diagonal matrix G as the initial matrix to define \mathcal{Y}_N ; see Section 5.2 for details. It turns out that, in this new setting, the Yangian of type A (of smaller rank) is naturally a subalgebra of the twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N . The special twisted Yangian $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ is naturally a subalgebra of \mathcal{Y}_N . Following the general Gauss decomposition approach (cf. [BK05, KLWZ23]), we are able to establish an isomorphism between twisted Yangians in the Drinfeld type presentation and in R-matrix presentation.

Theorem B (Theorem 6.17). Let $N > 1$ be arbitrary and \mathbf{Y}^ι be of type AIII_{N-1} . Then there is an algebra isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbf{Y}^\iota &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N, \\ h_{i,r} &\mapsto h_{i,r}, \quad b_{i,r} \mapsto b_{i,r}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Here the elements $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$ in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ are defined by (6.21)–(6.24).

In particular, for the case AIII_{2n-1} , \mathbf{Y}^ι defined via the Drinfeld type presentation fits into both degeneration picture and the Gauss decomposition approach.

In the quasi-split AIII case, our construction presents several distinctions from our previous work with Wang [KLWZ23]. Firstly, unlike the AI case, there are no natural embeddings known from twisted Yangians of lower rank into twisted Yangians of higher rank in the AIII case. We overcome this obstacle by constructing the shift homomorphisms ψ_m in Proposition 6.4, following a similar approach in [JLM18]. It is noteworthy that our shift homomorphisms only exist from \mathcal{Y}_M to \mathcal{Y}_N when M, N have the same parity. This parity condition did not show up in the previous work [KLWZ23] for the AI case. Secondly, when N is odd, the parameter shifts in our definition (6.23)–(6.24) of $h_{i,r}$

involves a multiple of $\frac{1}{4}$, and this is novel compared to those in [BK05, JLM18, FT23, KLWZ23] or other literature. In addition, an extra factor $1 \pm \frac{1}{4u}$ is needed for the Cartan currents (6.24) corresponding to the middle two nodes. This modification is crucial for making the relations simple and deriving the new Serre relations (3.9).

In Section 7, we verify that the elements $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$ in \mathcal{SY}_N satisfy the defining relations of \mathbf{Y}^i for the low rank cases $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$. The general rank case follows by applying the shift homomorphism ψ_m . This implies that Φ is an algebra homomorphism, and we show that it is an isomorphism by establishing and using a PBW basis of \mathcal{SY}_N ; see the proof of Theorem 6.17.

Meanwhile, we describe in Theorem 6.19 the center of twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N in terms of Gaussian generators. It would be interesting to study the relation between the Sklyanin determinant [MR02, Thm. 3.4] and Gaussian generators, cf. [Mo07, Thm. 2.12.1].

We expect that by carefully choosing the matrix form (K-matrix), the trigonometric counterpart of Theorem B can be established via a Gauss decomposition of the corresponding twisted quantum loop algebra [CGM14]. This may provide a Drinfeld type presentation for the affine \imath quantum groups of type AIII $_n$, including the type AIII $_{2n}$ which remains open for $n > 1$, cf. [Lu23] for the case of split type A.

1.6. Application. We expect the following applications for the Drinfeld type presentation of twisted Yangians.

Yangians in the Drinfeld presentation for simply-laced type have been realized geometrically in [Va00] using the equivariant Borel-Moore homology. The Drinfeld type presentation of twisted Yangians for quasi-split type AIII will be crucial for their geometric realization via the equivariant Borel-Moore homology of Steinberg varieties of classical type; see [SW24] for a geometric realization of affine \imath quantum groups. This can be further exploited to investigate the geometric Schur-Weyl duality between twisted Yangians and degenerate affine Hecke algebras of type B/C; cf. [CGM14].

Yet in another direction, the Drinfeld type presentation allows one to define the q -character of twisted Yangians (cf. [Kn95, FR99]), which should be an important tool to study finite-dimensional representations and tensor products (with a Yangian module) for twisted Yangians.

1.7. Organization. The paper is organized as follows. In the preliminary Section 2, we review the degeneration from loop algebras to current algebras and investigate their twisted analogs for quasi-split type. In Section 3, we introduce twisted Yangians of quasi-split ADE type via a Drinfeld type presentation and study some of their basic properties, including the PWB theorem. In Section 4, we recall the affine \imath quantum groups and establish the isomorphism between twisted Yangians and a certain associated graded algebra of affine \imath quantum groups (except for type AIII $_{2n}$).

Section 5 is devoted to the review of twisted Yangians and extended twisted Yangians of type AIII $_n$ in the R-matrix presentation. In Section 6, we study the shift homomorphisms of extended twisted Yangians from lower rank to higher rank and introduce Drinfeld type current generators arising from the Gauss decomposition for \mathcal{Y}_N . This section concludes with an isomorphism between the twisted Yangians defined via the Drinfeld type presentation and the ones defined via the R-matrix presentation in Theorem 6.17, as well as a description for the center of the twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N in terms of Cartan current generators. Finally, in Section 7, we verify various relations in the (extended) twisted Yangians for $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$, and this completes the proof of Theorem 6.17.

Acknowledgement. We thank Weiqiang Wang for helpful discussions. KL is partially supported by Wang's NSF grant DMS-2401351. WZ is partially supported by the New Cornerstone Foundation through the New Cornerstone Investigator grant, and by Hong Kong RGC grant 14300021, both awarded to Xuhua He.

2. TWISTED LOOP AND CURRENT ALGEBRAS

Throughout the paper, we use the notation $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

2.1. From loop algebras to current algebras. Let $\mathbb{I} = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbb{I}^0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra of type ADE with Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$. Let \mathfrak{g} be the corresponding simple Lie algebra with Cartan matrix $C^0 = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}^0}$.

Let \mathcal{R} be the root system of \mathfrak{g} , $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \mathbb{I}^0\}$ a simple system of \mathcal{R} , and \mathcal{R}^+ the corresponding set of positive roots. Recall that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (with the degree operator dropped) has a loop algebra realization that fits into a short exact sequence of Lie algebras,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The (enveloping algebra of) current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ can be obtained from the (enveloping algebra of) loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ via a degeneration as follows; see [KLWZ23] and cf. [GM12] for a quantum counterpart. Write $g_k := gt^k$ for any $g \in \mathfrak{g}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $g_{r,k} \in \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ for $g \in \mathfrak{g}, k \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$g_{r,k} := \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} g_{s+k} = g(t-1)^r t^k. \quad (2.2)$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, set κ_r to be the ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}])$ generated by elements $(g_1)_{r_1, k_1} \cdots (g_a)_{r_a, k_a}$ with $g_i \in \mathfrak{g}, r_i \in \mathbb{N}, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq a, r_1 + \dots + r_a \geq r, a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}])$ admits a decreasing filtration

$$U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]) = \kappa_0 \supset \kappa_1 \supset \cdots \supset \kappa_r \supset \cdots, \quad (2.3)$$

and we denote the associated graded algebra by

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \kappa_r / \kappa_{r+1}.$$

It is clear from the definition that $g_{r,k} \in \kappa_r \setminus \kappa_{r+1}$. Write $\overline{g_{r,k}}$ for the image of $g_{r,k}$ in κ_r / κ_{r+1} . Since $g_{r+1,k} = g_{r,k+1} - g_{r,k}$, we have that

$$\overline{g_{r,k}} = \overline{g_{r,k+1}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}], \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.1 ([KLWZ24, Prop. 2.1]). *There is an algebra isomorphism $\varrho : U(\mathfrak{g}[z]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Gr}_{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$, sending $gz^r \mapsto \overline{g_{r,1}}$, for $g \in \mathfrak{g}, r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

2.2. Twisted loop and current algebras. Let $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}^0}$ be the Chevalley generators of \mathfrak{g} . Let τ be a Dynkin diagram (associated with \mathfrak{g}) involution, i.e. $c_{ij} = c_{\tau i, \tau j}$ and $\tau^2 = \mathrm{id}$. We consider the involution

$$\omega_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad e_i \mapsto -f_{\tau i}, \quad f_i \mapsto -e_{\tau i}, \quad h_i \mapsto -h_{\tau i},$$

for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0$. Denote by \mathfrak{g}^{ω_0} the ω_0 -fixed point subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\omega_0})$ forms a quasi-split symmetric pair (of finite type).

We extend the involution ω_0 to an involution ω on the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ by the rule:

$$\omega : \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}], \quad gt^k \mapsto \omega_0(g)t^{-k}, \quad g \in \mathfrak{g}, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.5)$$

The involution ω extends to an involution, which we denote again by ω , on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in (2.1) by mapping $c \mapsto -c$. The involution τ lifts to an involution (which is also denoted by τ) on the affine Dynkin diagram which fixes the affine node. Then ω can be identified with the composition of the Chevalley involution on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the affine Dynkin diagram involution τ .

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following standard results.*

(1) The fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega$ of $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ is generated by

$$\theta_{i,k} := h_{\tau i} t^k - h_i t^{-k}, \quad b_{i,k} := f_i t^{-k} - e_{\tau i} t^k, \quad i \in \mathbb{I}^0, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.6)$$

(2) The fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega$ coincides with the fixed point subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to the proof of [KLWZ24, Lem. 2.2]. \square

We call the algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega$ a *twisted loop algebra*.

We extend the involution ω_0 on \mathfrak{g} to an involution $\tilde{\omega}$ on the current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ by the rule:

$$\tilde{\omega} : \mathfrak{g}[z] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[z], \quad gz^r \mapsto \omega_0(g)(-z)^r, \quad g \in \mathfrak{g}, r \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.7)$$

We call the fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ a *twisted current algebra*.

As in [KLWZ24, §2], we introduce a filtration and the corresponding associated graded for $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$ that will be used to exhibit a degeneration from $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$ to $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$.

For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by κ_r^ω the ideal $\kappa_r \cap U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$ of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$ admits a descending filtration,

$$U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega) = \kappa_0^\omega \supset \kappa_1^\omega \supset \cdots \supset \kappa_r^\omega \supset \cdots. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the two filtrations (2.3) and (2.8) are compatible, i.e., $\kappa_r^\omega = \kappa_r \cap U(\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega)$, therefore the associated graded of (2.8),

$$\text{Gr}_{\kappa^\omega} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \kappa_r^\omega / \kappa_{r+1}^\omega,$$

can be regarded naturally as a subalgebra of $\text{Gr}_\kappa \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$:

$$\text{Gr}_{\kappa^\omega} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega \subset \text{Gr}_\kappa \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]. \quad (2.9)$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\theta_{i,r,k} := \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} \theta_{i,s+k}, \quad b_{i,r,k} := \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} b_{i,s+k} \quad (2.10)$$

Then we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{i,r,k} &= h_{\tau i} (t-1)^r t^k - h_i (1-t)^r t^{-r-k}, \\ b_{i,r,k} &= f_i (1-t)^r t^{-r-k} - e_{\tau i} (t-1)^r t^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Let $\bar{\theta}_{i,r,k}, \bar{b}_{i,r,k}$ be their images in the r -th component of $\text{Gr}_{\kappa^\omega} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega$, respectively.

Recall the isomorphism $\varrho : U(\mathfrak{g}[z]) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_\kappa \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ from Lemma 2.1 and note (2.9).

Lemma 2.3. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\theta_{i,r,k} \in \kappa_r^\omega$ and $\varrho^{-1}(\bar{\theta}_{i,r,k}) = (h_{\tau i} - (-1)^r h_i) z^r$.
- (2) We have $b_{i,r,k} \in \kappa_k^\omega \setminus \kappa_{k+1}^\omega$ and $\varrho^{-1}(\bar{b}_{i,r,k}) = ((-1)^r f_i - e_{\tau i}) z^r$.

Proof. This lemma follows from (2.11). \square

Note that $\theta_{i,r+1,k} = \theta_{i,r,k+1} - \theta_{i,r,k}$ and $b_{i,r+1,k} = b_{i,r,k+1} - b_{i,r,k}$.

Corollary 2.4. *The following identities hold in $\text{Gr}_{\kappa^\omega} \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]^\omega$:*

$$\bar{\theta}_{i,r,k} = \bar{\theta}_{i,r,k+1}, \quad \bar{b}_{i,r,k} = \bar{b}_{i,r,k+1},$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3. Presentations of $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$. In this subsection, we formulate two presentations for $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$. Recall the Cartan matrix $C^0 = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}^0}$ for \mathfrak{g} . Throughout the paper, we use Sym_{k_1, k_2} to denote the symmetrization with respect to indices k_1, k_2 in the sense

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} f(k_1, k_2) = f(k_1, k_2) + f(k_2, k_1).$$

Proposition 2.5. *The algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$ is generated by $\xi_{i,r}, x_{i,r}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$, subject to the relations (2.12)–(2.15), for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}^0, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$[\xi_{i,r}, \xi_{j,s}] = 0, \quad \xi_{i,r} = (-1)^{r+1} \xi_{\tau i, r}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[\xi_{i,r}, x_{j,s}] = (c_{ij} - (-1)^r c_{\tau i, j}) x_{j, r+s}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$[x_{i, r+1}, x_{j, s}] - [x_{i, r}, x_{j, s+1}] = -2\delta_{j, \tau i} (-1)^r \xi_{\tau i, r+s+1}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$[x_{i, r}, x_{\tau i, s}] = (-1)^r \xi_{\tau i, r+s}, \quad c_{i, \tau i} = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

and the Serre relations (2.16)–(2.19): for $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$, (the left-hand side is symmetric with respect to k_1, k_2)

$$[x_{i, k_1}, [x_{i, k_2}, x_{\tau i, r}]] = ((-1)^{k_1} + (-1)^{k_2} + 2(-1)^r) x_{i, k_1+k_2+r}, \quad (2.16)$$

and for $j \neq i, \tau i$,

$$[x_{i, k}, x_{j, r}] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [x_{i, k_1}, [x_{i, k_2}, x_{j, r}]] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i \neq \tau i, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [x_{i, k_1}, [x_{i, k_2}, x_{j, r}]] = -((-1)^{k_1} + (-1)^{k_2}) x_{j, k_1+k_2+r}, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i = \tau i. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the algebra with generators $\xi_{i,r}, x_{i,r}$ and defining relations (2.12)–(2.19). Then it is straightforward to check that the map

$$\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}}), \quad \xi_{i,r} \mapsto (h_{\tau i} - (-1)^r h_i) z^r, \quad x_{i,r} \mapsto ((-1)^r f_i - e_{\tau i}) z^r,$$

defines an algebra homomorphism.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of [KLWZ24, Prop. 2.5]. \square

The following lemma indicates the left-hand side of (2.16) is symmetric with respect to k_1, k_2 .

Lemma 2.6. *If $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$, then $[x_{i, r}, x_{i, s}] = 0$.*

Proof. It is immediate from (2.14) as $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$ implies $i \neq \tau i$. \square

We also have the reduced presentation for $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$ which is analogous to [KLWZ24, Prop. 2.8].

Proposition 2.7. *The algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$ is generated by $\xi_{i,r}, x_{i,r}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$, subject to the relations (2.12)–(2.15) and the finite type Serre relations (2.20)–(2.23): for $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$,*

$$[x_{i, 0}, [x_{i, 0}, x_{\tau i, 0}]] = 4x_{i, 0}, \quad (2.20)$$

and for $j \neq i, \tau i$,

$$[x_{i, 0}, x_{j, 0}] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

$$[x_{i, 0}, [x_{i, 0}, x_{j, 0}]] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i \neq \tau i, \quad (2.22)$$

$$[x_{i, 0}, [x_{i, 0}, x_{j, 0}]] = -x_{j, 0}, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i = \tau i. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, it suffices to show that the relations (2.16)–(2.19) can be deduced from the relations (2.12)–(2.15) together with (2.20)–(2.23). The deduction of relations (2.17)–(2.19) are similar to that of [KLWZ24, Prop. 2.8]. Here we only provide the details for (2.16).

Now we assume that $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$. We first show that

$$[x_{i, 0}, [x_{i, 0}, x_{\tau i, r}]] = (2 + 2(-1)^r) x_{i, r}. \quad (2.24)$$

Bracketing (2.20) by $\xi_{i,r}$, we obtain that

$$2[x_{i,0}, [x_{i,r}, x_{\tau i,0}]] - (-1)^r [x_{i,0}, [x_{i,0}, x_{\tau i,r}]] = 4x_{i,r}, \quad (2.25)$$

where we also used Lemma 2.6. Note that by (2.14), we have

$$[x_{i,r}, x_{\tau i,s}] = [x_{i,0}, x_{\tau i,r+s}] + ((-1)^r - 1)\xi_{\tau i,r+s}. \quad (2.26)$$

Plugging (2.26) (with $s = 0$) into (2.25) and using (2.13), one proves (2.24).

Now let us consider the general case and we shall use Lemma 2.6. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_{i,k_1}, [x_{i,k_2}, x_{\tau i,r}]] \\ \stackrel{(2.26)}{=} & [x_{i,k_1}, [x_{i,0}, x_{\tau i,k_2+r}]] + ((-1)^{k_2} - 1)[x_{i,k_1}, \xi_{\tau i,k_2+r}] \\ = & [x_{i,0}, [x_{i,k_1}, x_{\tau i,k_2+r}]] + ((-1)^{k_2} - 1)[x_{i,k_1}, \xi_{\tau i,k_2+r}] \\ \stackrel{(2.13)}{=} & [x_{i,0}, [x_{i,k_1}, x_{\tau i,k_2+r}]] + ((-1)^{k_2} - 1)(1 + 2(-1)^{k_2+r})x_{i,k_1+k_2+r} \\ \stackrel{(2.26)}{=} & [x_{i,0}, [x_{i,0}, x_{\tau i,k_1+k_2+r}]] + ((-1)^{k_1} - 1)[x_{i,0}, \xi_{\tau i,k_1+k_2+r}] \\ & + ((-1)^{k_2} - 1)(1 + 2(-1)^{k_2+r})x_{i,k_1+k_2+r} \\ \stackrel{(2.13)}{=} & [x_{i,0}, [x_{i,0}, x_{\tau i,k_1+k_2+r}]] + ((-1)^{k_1} - 1)(1 + 2(-1)^{k_1+k_2+r})x_{i,k_1+k_2+r} \\ & + ((-1)^{k_2} - 1)(1 + 2(-1)^{k_2+r})x_{i,k_1+k_2+r}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the relation (2.16) is obtained from the above equation and the special case (2.24). \square

3. TWISTED YANGIANS IN DRINFELD TYPE PRESENTATIONS

In this section, we formulate in Definition 3.1 a Drinfeld type presentation for twisted Yangians \mathbf{Y}^ι of quasi-split AIII_n, DII_n, EII₆ types. In Section 3.2, we formulate in Theorem 3.6 a PBW basis for \mathbf{Y}^ι in terms of current root vectors. We provide the generating function formulations for the defining current relations in Section 3.3, and show that the general Serre relation can be deduced from the finite type Serre relation with the help of other defining current relations in Section 3.4.

3.1. Twisted Yangians of quasi-split type. Recall the Cartan matrix $(c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}^0}$ for \mathfrak{g} and write $\{x, y\} = xy + yx$.

Definition 3.1. The *twisted Yangian of quasi-split type* (\mathbb{I}, τ) , denoted by \mathbf{Y}^ι , is the $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebra generated by $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}, i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$, subject to

$$[h_{i,r}, h_{j,s}] = 0, \quad h_{\tau i,0} = -h_{i,0}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$[h_{i,0}, b_{j,r}] = (c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j})b_{j,r}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$[h_{i,1}, b_{j,r}] = (c_{ij} + c_{\tau i,j})b_{j,r+1} + \frac{\hbar(c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j})}{2}\{h_{i,0}, b_{j,r}\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [h_{i,r+2}, b_{j,s}] - [h_{i,r}, b_{j,s+2}] \\ & = \frac{c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j}}{2}\hbar\{h_{i,r+1}, b_{j,s}\} + \frac{c_{ij} + c_{\tau i,j}}{2}\hbar\{h_{i,r}, b_{j,s+1}\} + \frac{c_{ij}c_{\tau i,j}}{4}\hbar^2[h_{i,r}, b_{j,s}], \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$[b_{i,r+1}, b_{j,s}] - [b_{i,r}, b_{j,s+1}] = \frac{c_{ij}}{2}\hbar\{b_{i,r}, b_{j,s}\} - 2\delta_{\tau i,j}(-1)^r h_{j,r+s+1}, \quad (3.5)$$

and the Serre relations: for $c_{ij} = 0$,

$$[b_{i,r}, b_{j,s}] = \delta_{\tau i,j}(-1)^r h_{j,r+s}, \quad (3.6)$$

and for $c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i \neq i$,

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{j, r}]] = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

and for $c_{ij} = -1, \tau i = i$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{j, r}]] \\ &= (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p \geq 0} 2^{-2p} \hbar^{2p} ([h_{i, k_1 + k_2 - 2p - 1}, b_{j, r+1}] - \hbar \{h_{i, k_1 + k_2 - 2p - 1}, b_{j, r}\}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and for $c_{i, \tau i} = -1$,

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{\tau i, r}]] = \frac{4}{3} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p=0}^{k_1+r} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_2+p}, h_{\tau i, k_1+r-p}], \quad (3.9)$$

where $h_{i, s} = 0$ if $s < 0$.

Note that it follows from (3.1) and (3.5) that for $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$h_{\tau i, r} = (-1)^{r+1} h_{i, r}. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.2. The relation (3.9) only appears for type AIII_{2n}.

Remark 3.3. To indicate the dependence on \hbar , we write \mathbf{Y}^i as \mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}^i . The algebras \mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}^i with $\hbar \neq 0$ are all isomorphic to each other, with an explicit isomorphism given by

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\hbar}^i \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_1^i, \quad h_{i, r} \mapsto \hbar^r h_{i, r}, \quad b_{i, r} \mapsto \hbar^r b_{i, r}.$$

If $c_{\tau i, j} = 0$, then the relation (3.4) has a more familiar equivalent form, which corresponds to the current relations for the ordinary Yangians; see also §6.4 and §7.1.

Lemma 3.4. *If $c_{\tau i, j} = 0$, then the relations (3.2)–(3.4) are equivalent to*

$$[h_{i, 0}, b_{j, s}] = c_{ij} b_{j, s}, \quad [h_{i, r+1}, b_{j, s}] - [h_{i, r}, b_{j, s+1}] = \frac{c_{ij}}{2} \hbar \{h_{i, r}, b_{j, s}\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. If $c_{\tau i, j} = 0$, then the relation (3.2) is exactly the first relation of (3.11). Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(r, s) = [h_{i, r+1}, b_{j, s}] - [h_{i, r}, b_{j, s+1}] - \frac{c_{ij}}{2} \hbar \{h_{i, r}, b_{j, s}\}.$$

If $c_{\tau i, j} = 0$, then (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(r+1, s) + \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(r, s+1) = 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(0, s) = 0$ by (3.2) and (3.3). Thus the relations (3.2)–(3.4) imply (3.11).

The other direction is obvious. □

3.2. PBW type bases for \mathbf{Y}^i . For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$, fix $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{I}^0$ such that the element $f_{\alpha} := [f_{i_1}, [f_{i_2}, \dots [f_{i_{k-1}}, f_{i_k}] \dots]]$ is a nonzero root vector in $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. Define

$$b_{\alpha, r} := [b_{i_1, 0}, [b_{i_2, 0}, \dots [b_{i_{k-1}, 0}, b_{i_k, r}] \dots]]. \quad (3.12)$$

Extend the action of τ to \mathbb{I} by setting $\tau(0) = 0$. Let

$$\mathbb{I}_{\tau} = \{\text{the chosen representatives of } \tau\text{-orbits in } \mathbb{I}\}, \quad \mathbb{I}_{\tau}^0 = \mathbb{I}_{\tau} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.13)$$

Define

$$\mathbb{I}_{\pm}^0 = \{\text{fixed points of } \tau \text{ in } \mathbb{I}^0\}, \quad \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0 = \mathbb{I}_{\tau}^0 \setminus \mathbb{I}_{\pm}^0. \quad (3.14)$$

Proposition 3.5. *The ordered monomials of*

$$\{b_{\alpha,r}, h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0, j \in \mathbb{I}_{=}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (3.15)$$

(with respect to any fixed total ordering) form a spanning set of \mathbf{Y}^ι .

Proof. The proof is the same as [KLWZ24, Prop. 4.5] by defining a filtration on the algebra \mathbf{Y}^ι with $\deg b_{i,r} = \deg h_{i,r} = r + 1$. The choice of the subset of elements of the form $h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1}$ in (3.15) is due to the relation (3.10). \square

Theorem 3.6. *The ordered monomials of*

$$\{b_{\alpha,r}, h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0, j \in \mathbb{I}_{=}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(with respect to any fixed total ordering) form a basis of \mathbf{Y}^ι .

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, these monomials form a spanning set of \mathbf{Y}^ι . If \mathbf{Y}^ι is not of type AIII $_{2n}$, then they are also linearly independent by the proof of Theorem 4.6; see the proof [KLWZ24, Thm. 4.10] for detail. If \mathbf{Y}^ι is of type AIII $_{2n}$, the statement is a corollary of the proof of Theorem 6.17 via Gauss decomposition. \square

Remark 3.7. Setting $\hbar = 0$ in the defining relation of \mathbf{Y}^ι , one recovers a presentation of $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$. Moreover, it follows from comparing the PBW basis of \mathbf{Y}^ι in Theorem 3.6 and the corresponding PBW basis of $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$ that \mathbf{Y}^ι is a flat deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$.

We define a filtration on \mathbf{Y}^ι by setting $\deg h_{i,r} = \deg b_{i,r} = r$, and denote by $\text{gr } \mathbf{Y}^\iota$ the associated graded algebra of \mathbf{Y}^ι . Let $\bar{h}_{i,r}, \bar{b}_{i,r}$ denote the images of $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$ in $\text{gr } \mathbf{Y}^\iota$, respectively. Recall the presentation of $U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}})$ from Proposition 2.5.

Corollary 3.8. *Let \mathbf{Y}^ι be a twisted Yangian of quasi-split type. There is an algebra isomorphism*

$$\iota : U(\mathfrak{g}[z]^{\tilde{\omega}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{gr } \mathbf{Y}^\iota$$

which sends $\xi_{i,r} \mapsto \bar{h}_{i,r}, x_{i,r} \mapsto \bar{b}_{i,r}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [KLWZ24, Coro. 4.13] by using Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.6. \square

We also formulate an alternative presentation of the twisted Yangian \mathbf{Y}^ι which is simpler than the one in Definition 3.1. The new presentation is easier to verify as it avoids the full set of complicated Serre relations.

Theorem 3.9. *The twisted Yangian \mathbf{Y}^ι has the following presentation as a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebra with generators $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$, $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$, subject to the relations (3.1)–(3.5) and the finite type Serre relations (3.16)–(3.19): for $c_{i,\tau i} = -1$,*

$$[b_{i,0}, [b_{i,0}, b_{\tau i,0}]] = 4b_{i,0}, \quad (3.16)$$

and for $j \neq i, \tau i$,

$$[b_{i,0}, b_{j,0}] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$[b_{i,0}, [b_{i,0}, b_{j,0}]] = 0, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i \neq \tau i, \quad (3.18)$$

$$[b_{i,0}, [b_{i,0}, b_{j,0}]] = -b_{j,0}, \quad c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } i = \tau i. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to that of [KLWZ24, Thm. 4.14] with the help of Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 3.8. \square

3.3. Presentation via generating functions. Define

$$b_i(u) := \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} b_{i,r} u^{-r-1}, \quad h_i(u) := 1 + \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} h_{i,r} u^{-r-1}, \quad h_i^\circ(u) := h_i(u) - 1.$$

Defining relations (3.1)–(3.7) for \mathbf{Y}^2 can be reformulated in generating function form as below:

$$[h_i(u), h_j(v)] = 0, \quad h_{\tau i}(u) = h_i(-u), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)[b_i(u), b_j(v)] &= \frac{c_{ij}}{2} \hbar \{b_i(u), b_j(v)\} + \hbar ([b_{i,0}, b_j(v)] - [b_i(u), b_{j,0}]) \\ &\quad - \delta_{\tau i, j} \hbar \left(\frac{2u}{u+v} h_i^\circ(u) + \frac{2v}{u+v} h_j^\circ(v) \right), \quad (c_{i, \tau i} \neq 0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)[h_i(u), b_j(v)] &= \frac{c_{ij} - c_{\tau i, j}}{2} \hbar u \{h_i(u), b_j(v)\} + \frac{c_{ij} + c_{\tau i, j}}{2} \hbar v \{h_i(u), b_j(v)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{ij} c_{\tau i, j}}{4} \hbar^2 [h_i(u), b_j(v)] - \hbar [h_i(u), b_{j,1}] \\ &\quad - \hbar v [h_i(u), b_{j,0}] - \frac{c_{ij} + c_{\tau i, j}}{2} \hbar^2 \{h_i(u), b_{j,0}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$(u+v)[b_i(u), b_j(v)] = \delta_{\tau i, j} (h_j(v) - h_i(u)), \quad (c_{ij} = 0), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\text{Sym}_{u_1, u_2} [b_i(u_1), [b_i(u_2), b_j(v)]] = 0, \quad (c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i \neq i), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sym}_{u_1, u_2} [b_i(u_1), [b_i(u_2), b_j(v)]] \\ &= \frac{4\hbar}{u_1 + u_2} \text{Sym}_{u_1, u_2} \frac{u_2(v - \hbar) h_i(u_2) b_j(v) - u_2(v + \hbar) b_j(v) h_i(u_2)}{4u_2^2 - \hbar^2} \quad (c_{ij} = -1, \tau i = i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sym}_{u_1, u_2} [b_i(u_1), [b_i(u_2), b_{\tau i}(v)]] \\ &= \text{Sym}_{u_1, u_2} \frac{4\hbar v}{(u_1 + v)(3v - u_2)} [b_i(u_2), h_{\tau i}(v)], \quad (c_{i, \tau i} = -1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

3.4. Deducing Serre relation (3.9). In this subsection, we prove that assume relations (3.1)–(3.5), then the Serre relation (3.9) for arbitrary k_1, k_2, r can be deduced from (3.9) for the special case $k_1 = k_2 = r = 0$, following the approach of [Le93].

Define a new set of imaginary root vectors $\zeta_{i,r}$ by

$$\zeta(u) := \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} \zeta_{i,r} u^{-r-1} = \ln h_i(u). \quad (3.27)$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{I}^0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\zeta_{i,r}$ is a polynomial in $\{h_{i,s}\}_{0 \leq s \leq r}$.

Lemma 3.10 (cf. [Le93, GTL13]). *We have*

$$[\zeta_{i,r}, b_{j,s}] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \binom{r}{2\ell} \frac{2^{-2\ell}}{2\ell+1} \left(c_{ij} (c_{ij} \hbar)^{2\ell} + (-1)^{r+1} c_{\tau i, j} (c_{\tau i, j} \hbar)^{2\ell} \right) b_{j, r+s-2\ell}. \quad (3.28)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}^0$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebra generated by $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$ subject to the relations (3.1)–(3.4). Then for each j , there exists an algebra homomorphism σ_j for \mathcal{L} defined by the assignment

$$h_{i,r} \mapsto h_{i,r}, \quad b_{i,r} \mapsto b_{i, r + \delta_{ij}}.$$

Then, the relations (3.2)–(3.4) imply that

$$h_i(u)b_{j,s} = \frac{(u - \sigma_j + \frac{c_{ij}\hbar}{2})(u + \sigma_j - \frac{c_{\tau i,j}\hbar}{2})}{(u - \sigma_j - \frac{c_{ij}\hbar}{2})(u + \sigma_j + \frac{c_{\tau i,j}\hbar}{2})} b_{j,s} h_i(u). \quad (3.29)$$

Define $\zeta_i(u)$ and $\zeta_{i,r} \in \mathcal{L}$ again by (3.27). It follows from (3.29) that

$$[\zeta_i(u), b_{j,s}] = \ln \left(\frac{(1 - (\sigma_j - \frac{c_{ij}\hbar}{2})u^{-1})(1 + (\sigma_j - \frac{c_{\tau i,j}\hbar}{2})u^{-1})}{(1 - (\sigma_j + \frac{c_{ij}\hbar}{2})u^{-1})(1 + (\sigma_j + \frac{c_{\tau i,j}\hbar}{2})u^{-1})} \right) b_{j,s}.$$

Then, (3.28) follows from above by expanding the RHS as a power series in u^{-1} . \square

Now we fix i and suppose $c_{i,\tau i} = -1$ (which also implies that $i \neq \tau i$). It follows from Lemma 3.10 that there exist $\tilde{h}_{i,r}$ as polynomials in $\{h_{i,p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$[\tilde{h}_{i,r}, b_{i,s}] = (2 + (-1)^r) b_{i,r+s}, \quad [\tilde{h}_{i,r}, b_{\tau i,s}] = -(1 + 2(-1)^r) b_{\tau i,r+s}, \quad (3.30)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set

$$(k_1, k_2 | r) := \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{\tau i, r}]].$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *For $k_1, k_2, r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (k_1 + 1, k_2 | r) + (k_1, k_2 + 1 | r) - 2(k_1, k_2 | r + 1) \\ = -4 \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} (-1)^{k_1} [b_{i, k_2}, h_{\tau i, k_1 + r + 1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Proof. By Jacobi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_1 + 1, k_2 | r) + (k_1, k_2 + 1 | r) \\ &= [[b_{i, k_1 + 1}, b_{i, k_2}], b_{\tau i, r}] + [[b_{i, k_2 + 1}, b_{i, k_1}], b_{\tau i, r}] \\ & \quad + 2[b_{i, k_2}, [b_{i, k_1 + 1}, b_{\tau i, r}]] + 2[b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2 + 1}, b_{\tau i, r}]] \\ & \stackrel{(3.5)}{=} \hbar [\{b_{i, k_1}, b_{i, k_2}\}, b_{\tau i, r}] \\ & \quad + 2[b_{i, k_2}, [b_{i, k_1}, b_{\tau i, r + 1}]] - \hbar [b_{i, k_2}, \{b_{i, k_1}, b_{\tau i, r}\}] - 4(-1)^{k_1} [b_{i, k_2}, h_{\tau i, k_1 + r + 1}] \\ & \quad + 2[b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{\tau i, r + 1}]] - \hbar [b_{i, k_1}, \{b_{i, k_2}, b_{\tau i, r}\}] - 4(-1)^{k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, h_{\tau i, k_2 + r + 1}] \\ &= 2(k_1, k_2 | r + 1) - 4(-1)^{k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, h_{\tau i, k_2 + r + 1}] - 4(-1)^{k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, h_{\tau i, k_2 + r + 1}]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose the relations (3.1)–(3.5) hold. Assuming further that $c_{i,\tau i} = -1$ and*

$$[b_{i,0}, [b_{i,0}, b_{\tau i,0}]] = 4b_{i,0}, \quad (3.32)$$

then we have

$$(k_1, k_2 | r) = \frac{4}{3} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p=0}^{k_1+r} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_2 + p}, h_{\tau i, k_1 + r - p}]. \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. Note that the proofs of (3.30) and (3.31) only use the relations (3.1)–(3.5). Therefore, under our assumption, (3.30) and (3.31) hold. We shall work inductively on the number of nonzero numbers in k_1, k_2, r .

We first show (3.33) for the case $(0, 0 | r)$ by induction on r . By (3.2), we have $[h_{\tau i, 0}, b_{i, s}] = -3b_{i, s}$. Thus the base case follows from our assumption. Suppose (3.33) holds for $(0, 0 | r)$. Then bracketing it with $\tilde{h}_{i, 1}$, we find that

$$2(1, 0 | r) + (0, 0 | r + 1) = \frac{8}{3} \sum_{p=0}^r 3^{-p} [b_{i, 1+p}, h_{\tau i, r-p}]. \quad (3.34)$$

On the other hand, by (3.31), we have

$$2(1, 0 | r) - 2(0, 0 | r + 1) = -8[b_{i, 0}, h_{\tau i, r+1}]. \quad (3.35)$$

Solving for $(0, 0 | r + 1)$ from (3.34) and (3.35), we conclude that (3.33) also holds for $(0, 0 | r + 1)$. Therefore, we have proved (3.33) for $(0, 0 | r)$ with any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Bracketing (3.32) with $\tilde{h}_{i, r}$, it follows from (3.30) that

$$2(r, 0 | 0) - (-1)^r (0, 0 | r) = 8b_{i, r}.$$

Using (3.33) with $(0, 0 | r)$ and $[h_{\tau i, 0}, b_{i, s}] = -3b_{i, s}$, we also obtain (3.33) with $(r, 0 | 0)$.

Bracketing $(0, 0 | r)$ with \tilde{h}_{i, k_1} , we have

$$2(k_1, 0 | r) - (-1)^r (0, 0 | k_1 + r) = \frac{8}{3} \sum_{p=0}^r 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_1+p}, h_{\tau i, r-p}].$$

By (3.33) with $(0, 0 | k_1 + r)$, we obtain (3.33) with $(k_1, 0 | r)$.

Bracketing $(k_1, 0 | 0)$ with \tilde{h}_{i, k_2} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_1 + k_2, 0 | 0) + (k_1, k_2 | 0) - (-1)^{k_2} (k_1, 0 | k_2) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} [b_{i, k_1+k_2}, h_{\tau i, 0}] + \frac{4}{3} (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p=0}^{k_1} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_2+p}, h_{\tau i, k_1-p}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since we already obtained (3.33) with $(k_1 + k_2, 0 | 0)$ and $(k_1, 0 | k_2)$, we easily deduce (3.33) with $(k_1, k_2 | 0)$ from the above equation.

Finally, bracketing $(k_1, k_2 | 0)$ with $\tilde{h}_{i, r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_1 + r, k_2 | 0) + (k_1, k_2 + r | 0) - (-1)^r (k_1, k_2 | r) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p=0}^{k_1} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_2+r+p}, h_{\tau i, k_1-p}] + \frac{4}{3} (-1)^{k_2} \sum_{p=0}^{k_2} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_1+r+p}, h_{\tau i, k_2-p}]. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same procedure, we verify (3.33) with $(k_1, k_2 | r)$. \square

4. A DEGENERATION APPROACH

In this section, we first recall from [LWZ24] the Drinfeld type presentation for the quasi-split affine \mathfrak{u} quantum group $\mathbf{U}^{\mathfrak{u}}$ of type AIII_{2n-1} , DII_n , and EII_6 (which were denoted by $\text{AIII}_{2n-1}^{(\tau)}$, $\text{DII}_n^{(\tau)}$, $\text{EII}_6^{(\tau)}$ in [LWZ24]). We establish in Theorem 4.6 that the twisted Yangians $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathfrak{u}}$ can be realized via a degeneration of affine \mathfrak{u} quantum groups.

4.1. **Quasi-split affine \mathfrak{v} quantum groups.** Let \mathbf{v} be an indeterminate and define for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[m] = \frac{\mathbf{v}^m - \mathbf{v}^{-m}}{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}}, \quad [m]! = [1][2] \cdots [m], \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m]!}{[s]![m-s]!}.$$

We write $[x, y] = xy - yx$ and $[x, y]_a = xy - ayx$.

Let $\mathbf{U} := \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group, i.e., \mathbf{U} is the $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra generated by $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$, subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i, F_j] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}}, & [K_i, K_j] &= 0, \\ K_i E_j &= \mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} E_j K_i, & K_i F_j &= \mathbf{v}^{-c_{ij}} F_j K_i, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum Serre relations which we skip.

Recall \mathbb{I}_{τ} from (3.13). Let $\mathbf{U}^{\mathfrak{v}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -subalgebra of \mathbf{U} generated by $B_i, \mathbb{K}_j := K_j K_{\tau j}^{-1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}, j \in \mathbb{I}_{\tau}$, where

$$B_i := \begin{cases} F_i - \mathbf{v}^{-2} E_i K_i^{-1}, & \tau i = i, \\ F_i + E_{\tau i} K_i^{-1}, & \tau i \neq i. \end{cases}$$

We recall the Drinfeld presentation for $\mathbf{U}^{\mathfrak{v}}$ from [LWZ24]. Denote, for $c_{ij} = -1$,

$$\mathbb{S}_{i,j}(k_1, k_2 | l) := \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} \left(B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2} B_{j, l} - [2] B_{i, k_1} B_{j, l} B_{i, k_2} + B_{j, l} B_{i, k_1} B_{i, k_2} \right).$$

Proposition 4.1 (cf. [LWZ24, Thm. 4.9]). *The affine \mathfrak{v} quantum group $\mathbf{U}^{\mathfrak{v}}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra generated by the elements $B_{i, l}, H_{i, m}, \mathbb{K}_j$ where $i, j \in \mathbb{I}^0$, $\tau j \neq j$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, subject to the following relations: for $m, n \geq 1, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{I}^0$,*

$$\mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_{\tau i} = 1, \quad [\mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_j] = [\mathbb{K}_i, H_{j, n}] = 0, \quad \mathbb{K}_i B_{j, l} = \mathbf{v}^{c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij}} B_{j, l} \mathbb{K}_i, \quad (4.1)$$

$$[H_{i, m}, H_{j, n}] = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$[H_{i, m}, B_{j, l}] = \frac{[m c_{ij}]}{m} B_{j, l+m} - \frac{[m c_{\tau i, j}]}{m} B_{j, l-m}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$[B_{i, k}, B_{\tau i, l}] = \mathbb{K}_{\tau i} \Theta_{i, k-l} - \mathbb{K}_i \Theta_{\tau i, l-k}, \quad \text{if } c_{i, \tau i} = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [B_{i, k}, B_{i, l+1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} - \mathbf{v}^{-2} [B_{i, k+1}, B_{i, l}]_{\mathbf{v}^2} &= \mathbf{v}^{-2} \Theta_{i, l-k+1} - \mathbf{v}^{-2} \Theta_{i, l-k-1} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{v}^{-2} \Theta_{i, k-l+1} - \mathbf{v}^{-2} \Theta_{i, k-l-1}, \quad \text{if } \tau i = i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$[B_{i, k}, B_{j, l+1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-c_{ij}}} - \mathbf{v}^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i, k+1}, B_{j, l}]_{\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}}} = 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq \tau i, \quad (4.6)$$

and the Serre relations

$$\mathbb{S}_{i,j}(k_1, k_2 | l) = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, j \neq \tau i, \quad (4.7)$$

$$[B_{i, k}, B_{j, l}] = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0, j \neq \tau i \neq i, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_{i,j}(k_1, k_2 | l) &= - \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k_2 - k_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor} (\mathbf{v}^{2p+1} + \mathbf{v}^{-2p-1}) [\Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p - 1}, B_{j, l-1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \rfloor} (\mathbf{v}^{2p} + \mathbf{v}^{-2p}) [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} - [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} \\ &\quad + \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1 \text{ and } \tau i = i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Here

$$1 + (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 1} \Theta_{i, k} u^k = \exp \left((\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{i, k} u^k \right), \quad (4.10)$$

and $\Theta_{i,0} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^{-1}$, $\Theta_{i,m} = 0$ if $m < 0$.

Remark 4.2. We are using quasi-split analogs of Baseilhac-Kolb's root vectors [BK20] in Proposition 4.1; see [LWZ24, §4.4]. The presentation *loc. cit.* is formulated for the universal \imath quantum group, which involves certain central elements $\mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}_j, \mathbb{K}_{\tau j}, C, i, j \in \mathbb{I}^0, \tau i = i, \tau j \neq j$. The affine \imath quantum group \mathbf{U}^l in Proposition 4.1 can be recovered from the universal \imath quantum group by setting all these central elements to be 1.

It would be convenient to rewrite certain relations of \mathbf{U}^l in Proposition 4.1 for later use.

Lemma 4.3. *We have the following.*

(1) *The relation (4.3) is equivalent to the following relation (cf. [LWZ23, Lem. 5.4])*

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Theta_{i,m}, B_{j,k}] + \mathbf{v}^{c_{ij} - c_{\tau i, j}} [\Theta_{i,m-2}, B_{j,k}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2(c_{\tau i, j} - c_{ij})}} \\ & - \mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} [\Theta_{i,m-1}, B_{j,k+1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2c_{ij}}} - \mathbf{v}^{-c_{\tau i, j}} [\Theta_{i,m-1}, B_{j,k-1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2c_{\tau i, j}}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

(2) *The relation (4.4) for $k > l$ admits the following equivalent form*

$$[B_{i,l+m}, B_{\tau i, l}] = \mathbb{K}_{\tau i} \Theta_{i,m}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0. \quad (4.12)$$

4.2. Classical limit. The classical limit at $\mathbf{v} \mapsto 1$ of \mathbf{U}^l is identified with $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega)$ via the correspondence between generators:

$$\Theta_{i,m} \rightsquigarrow \theta_{i,m}, \quad B_{i,k} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{b}_{i,k}, \quad i \in \mathbb{I}^0, m \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\theta_{i,m}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}$ are defined in (2.6); cf. [Ko14].

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$, fix $i_1, \dots, i_a \in \mathbb{I}^0$ such that $f_\alpha := [f_{i_1}, [f_{i_2}, \dots [f_{i_{a-1}}, f_{i_a}] \dots]]$ is a nonzero root vector in $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$B_{\alpha,k} := \left[B_{i_1,0}, [B_{i_2,0}, \dots [B_{i_{a-1},0}, B_{i_a,k}] \dots] \right]. \quad (4.14)$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the localization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{-1}]$ with respect to the ideal $(\mathbf{v} - 1)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l$ the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \mathbf{U}^l generated by $B_{i,k}, \Theta_{i,m}$, for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \mathbf{U} generated by $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, \frac{K_i - 1}{\mathbf{v} - 1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}$. It is known (cf. [Ko14, Coro. 10.3]) that $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l \subset \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The following proposition is an analog of [GM12, Prop. 2.1].

Proposition 4.4 (cf. [Ko14]). *We have an algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l / (\mathbf{v} - 1) \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l \xrightarrow{\cong} U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega).$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l$ is a free \mathcal{A} -module isomorphic to $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The first statement was established in [Ko14, Thm. 10.8]. The second statement follows by similar arguments as [KLWZ24, Prop. 3.3]. \square

4.3. From affine \imath quantum groups to twisted Yangians. We define a filtration on $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l$ analogous to the one in [CG15, §2] on quantum loop algebras. Let ψ denote the following composition of algebra homomorphisms (see Proposition 4.4),

$$\Psi : \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l / (\mathbf{v} - 1) \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l \xrightarrow{\cong} U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega).$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by \mathbb{K}_r the Lie ideal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\omega$ spanned by

$$gt^s(t-1)^r + \omega(g)t^{-s-r}(1-t)^r, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{B_{\alpha,k}, \Theta_{i,l} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}^0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, l > 0\} \subset \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l. \quad (4.15)$$

Set \mathcal{K}_r to be the two-sided ideals of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^l$ generated by $\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{K}_r) \cap \mathcal{W}$. Define \mathbf{K}_r to be the \mathbb{C} -sums of ideals $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})^{r_0} \mathcal{K}_{r_1} \cdots \mathcal{K}_{r_a}$ such that $r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_a \geq r$.

Define $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$ to be the \mathbb{C} -algebra

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathbf{K}_r / \mathbf{K}_{r+1}. \quad (4.16)$$

Then $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$ can be viewed as a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebra by setting $\hbar := \overline{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}} \in \mathbf{K}_1 / \mathbf{K}_2$.

For $l \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$h_{i,r,l} = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} \Theta_{i,s+l}, \quad b_{i,r,k} = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} B_{i,s+k}. \quad (4.17)$$

Write $\bar{b}_{i,r,k}, \bar{h}_{i,r,k}$ for the images of $b_{i,r,k}, h_{i,r,k}$ in $\mathbf{K}_r / \mathbf{K}_{r+1}$.

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

- (1) $b_{i,r+1,k} = b_{i,r,k+1} - b_{i,r,k}$ and $h_{i,r+1,k} = h_{i,r,k+1} - h_{i,r,k}$;
- (2) $b_{i,r,k}, h_{i,r,k} \in \mathbf{K}_r$ and $h_{i,r,k} + (-1)^r h_{\tau i,r,k} \in \mathbf{K}_{r+1}$.

In particular, $\bar{b}_{i,r,k+1} = \bar{b}_{i,r,k}$ and $\bar{h}_{i,r,k} = (-1)^{r+1} \bar{h}_{\tau i,r,k}$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from the definition (4.17). It is also clear from (4.17) that $b_{i,r,k}, h_{i,r,k} \in \mathcal{W}$ and the Ψ -images of $b_{i,r,k}, h_{i,r,k}$ are $b_{i,r,k}, \theta_{i,r,k}$, respectively. By (2.11), we have $b_{i,r,k}, \theta_{i,r,k} \in \mathbf{K}_r$ and $\theta_{i,r,k} + (-1)^r \theta_{\tau i,r,k} \in \mathbf{K}_{r+1}$. Then the desired statement follows from the definition of \mathbf{K}_r . \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathbf{Y}^l be the algebra defined in Definition 3.1 of type AIII $_{2n-1}$, DII $_n$, EII $_6$. Then there is an algebra isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbf{Y}^l &\rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l, \\ b_{i,r} &\mapsto \bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \quad h_{i,s} \mapsto \bar{h}_{i,s,1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. In the subsequent Section 4.4, we shall show that the defining relations (3.1)–(3.8) for \mathbf{Y}^l are satisfied by $\bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \bar{h}_{i,s,1}$ in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$. Note that the relation (3.9) does not show up for these types, since we did not include type AIII $_{2n}$ (see also Remark 3.2). Therefore Φ is an algebra homomorphism. Recall that the ordered monomials in Proposition 3.5 form a spanning set of \mathbf{Y}^l . Using similar arguments as in [KLWZ24, Thm. 4.10], one can show that the Φ -images of these monomials form a basis for $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$. This implies that Φ is an algebra isomorphism. \square

4.4. Verifications of the relations. In this subsection, we verify that the degenerate current relations (3.1)–(3.8) are satisfied in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$. We will often write $a = b + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^r)$, which means that $a - b$ is an element in \mathbf{K}_r .

4.4.1. *Relations (3.2)–(3.3).*

Proposition 4.7. *We have the following relations in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^l$,*

$$[\bar{h}_{i,0,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1}] = (c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j}) \bar{b}_{j,r,1}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$[\bar{h}_{i,1,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1}] = (c_{ij} + c_{\tau i,j}) \bar{b}_{j,r+1,1} + \frac{\hbar(c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j})}{2} \{\bar{h}_{i,0,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1}\}. \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. Note that, by definition, $\Theta_{i,1} = H_{i,1}$. Setting $m = 1$ in (4.3), we have

$$[\Theta_{i,1}, B_{j,l}] = [c_{ij}] B_{j,l+1} - [c_{\tau i,j}] B_{j,l-1}. \quad (4.21)$$

Taking the summation with respect to l in (4.21), we obtain

$$[h_{i,0,1}, b_{j,r,l}] = [c_{ij}] b_{j,r,l+1} - [c_{\tau i,j}] b_{j,r,l-1}. \quad (4.22)$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.5, we have verified the relation (4.19).

Setting $m = 2$ in (4.3), we have

$$[H_{i,2}, B_{j,l}] = \frac{[2c_{ij}]}{2} B_{j,l+2} - \frac{[2c_{\tau i,j}]}{2} B_{j,l-2}. \quad (4.23)$$

By definition, we have $\Theta_{i,2} = H_{i,2} + \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}^{-1}}{2!} \Theta_{i,1}^2$ and recall that $h_{i,1,1} = \Theta_{i,2} - \Theta_{i,1}$. Using (4.22) and (4.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{i,1,1}, b_{j,r,l}] &= [\Theta_{i,2} - \Theta_{i,1}, b_{j,r,l}] \\ &= \frac{[2c_{ij}]}{2} b_{j,r,l+2} - \frac{[2c_{\tau i,j}]}{2} b_{j,r,l-2} + \frac{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}}{2} \{ \Theta_{i,1}, [\Theta_{i,1}, b_{j,r,l}] \} - [\Theta_{i,1}, b_{j,r,l}] \\ &= c_{ij} b_{j,r+1,l+1} + c_{\tau i,j} b_{j,r+1,l-2} + \frac{\hbar(c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j})}{2} \{ h_{i,0,1}, b_{j,r,l} \} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{r+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 4.5, the desired relation (4.20) follows. \square

4.4.2. *Relation (3.4).* We verify (3.4) in this subsection.

Proposition 4.8. *We have the following relation in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{U}^u$*

$$\begin{aligned} &[\bar{h}_{i,s+2,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1}] - [\bar{h}_{i,s,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r+2,1}] \\ &= \frac{c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j}}{2} \hbar \{ \bar{h}_{i,s+1,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1} \} + \frac{c_{ij} + c_{\tau i,j}}{2} \hbar \{ \bar{h}_{i,s,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r+1,1} \} + \frac{c_{ij} c_{\tau i,j}}{4} \hbar^2 [\bar{h}_{i,s,1}, \bar{b}_{j,r,1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that (4.6) has an equivalent form (4.11). Taking a summation with respect to m, k in (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{i,s,m}, b_{j,r,k}] + \mathbf{v}^{c_{i,j} - c_{\tau i,j}} [h_{i,s,m-2}, b_{j,r,k}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2(c_{\tau i,j} - c_{i,j})}} \\ - \mathbf{v}^{c_{i,j}} [h_{i,s,m-1}, b_{j,r,k+1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2c_{i,j}}} - \mathbf{v}^{-c_{\tau i,j}} [h_{i,s,m-1}, b_{j,r,k-1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2c_{\tau i,j}}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the above identity as

$$\begin{aligned} &[h_{i,s+1,m-1}, b_{j,r,k}] - \mathbf{v}^{c_{i,j}} [h_{i,s,m-1}, b_{j,r+1,k}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2c_{i,j}}} \\ &+ \mathbf{v}^{c_{i,j} - c_{\tau i,j}} [h_{i,s,m-2}, b_{j,r+1,k-1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2(c_{\tau i,j} - c_{i,j})}} - \mathbf{v}^{-c_{\tau i,j}} [h_{i,s+1,m-2}, b_{j,r,k-1}]_{\mathbf{v}^{2c_{\tau i,j}}} \\ &= (\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} - 1)(h_{i,s,m-1} b_{j,r,k} + \mathbf{v}^{-c_{ij}} b_{j,r,k} h_{i,s,m-1} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{v}^{-c_{\tau i,j}} h_{i,s,m-2} b_{j,r,k-1} - \mathbf{v}^{c_{\tau i,j} - c_{ij}} b_{j,r,k-1} h_{i,s,m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[h_{i,s+2,m-2}, b_{j,r,k-1}] - [h_{i,s,m-2}, b_{j,r+2,k-1}] \\ &= (\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} - 1)(h_{i,s+1,m-2} b_{j,r,k-1} + h_{i,s,m-2} b_{j,r+1,k-1} \\ &\quad + b_{j,r+1,k-1} h_{i,s,m-2} + b_{j,r,k-1} h_{i,s+1,m-2}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} - 1)(h_{i,s,m-1} b_{j,r+1,k-1} + b_{j,r+1,k-1} h_{i,s,m-1}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{v}^{-c_{\tau i,j}} - 1)(h_{i,s+1,m-2} b_{j,r,k-1} + b_{j,r,k-1} h_{i,s+1,m-2}) \\ &- (\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij} - c_{\tau i,j}} - 1)(h_{i,s,m-2} b_{j,r+1,k-1} + b_{j,r+1,k-1} h_{i,s,m-2}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{v}^{c_{ij}} - 1)(\mathbf{v}^{c_{\tau i,j}} - 1)[h_{i,s,m-2}, b_{j,r,k-1}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{r+s+3}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.5, We obtain the desired identity. \square

4.4.3. *Relation (3.6) for $j = \tau i$.* We verify the degenerate relation for (3.6) for $j = \tau i$ in this subsection.

Proposition 4.9. *We have the following relation in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{U}^e$*

$$[\bar{b}_{i,r,1}, \bar{b}_{\tau i,s,1}] = (-1)^s \bar{h}_{i,r+s,1}.$$

Proof. Recall the relation (4.4) admits an equivalent form (4.12). Taking a summation with respect to m in (4.12), we obtain

$$[b_{i,r,k+1}, b_{\tau i,0,k}] = h_{i,r,1}. \quad (4.24)$$

We calculate (4.24) _{k} - (4.24) _{$k-1$} and then obtain the following relation

$$[b_{i,r+1,k}, b_{\tau i,0,k-1}] + [b_{i,r,k}, b_{\tau i,1,k-1}] = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{r+2}).$$

We rewrite the left-hand side using (4.24)

$$[b_{i,r,k}, b_{\tau i,1,k-1}] = -h_{i,r+1,1} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{r+2}).$$

Inductively, we obtain the following relation

$$[b_{i,r,k+1-s}, b_{\tau i,s,k-s}] = (-1)^s h_{i,r+s,1} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{r+s+1}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.5, we obtain the desired relation. \square

4.4.4. *Other relations.* Note that formulations of defining relations (4.2), (4.5)-(4.6), (4.8)-(4.9) for \mathbf{U}^e are the same as the split type. Hence, their corresponding degenerate relations (3.1), (3.5) for $j \neq \tau i$ or $j = \tau i = i$, (3.6) for $j \neq \tau i$, and (3.8) can be verified in the same way as split type; see [KLWZ24, §5]. The relation (3.5) for $j = \tau i \neq i$ is a corollary of the relation (3.6) for $j = \tau i$ while the second relation in (3.1) (or more generally (3.10)) follows from Lemma 4.5.

5. TWISTED YANGIANS IN R-MATRIX PRESENTATION

The main goal for Sections 5-7 is to show that the twisted Yangians introduced in Definition 3.1 are isomorphic to the twisted Yangians (under the name *reflection algebra*) introduced by Molev and Ragoucy [MR02]. In the rest of the paper, we shall set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity; see Remark 3.3.

In this section, we recall the basics for the twisted Yangians \mathcal{Y}_N of quasi-split type AIII defined in the R-matrix presentation from [MR02].

5.1. **Yangians.** We start with recalling the basic theory of Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ from [MNO96, Mo07].

Definition 5.1. The *Yangian* $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_N is a unital associative algebra with generators $t_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and the defining relations written in terms of the generating series

$$t_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + t_{ij}^{(1)} u^{-1} + t_{ij}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots$$

by the relations,

$$(u-v)[t_{ij}(u), t_{kl}(v)] = t_{kj}(u)t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v)t_{il}(u). \quad (5.1)$$

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ has the following R-matrix presentation. Let $R(u)$ be the Yang R-matrix

$$R(u) = 1 - \frac{P}{u} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)[u^{-1}], \quad \text{where} \quad P = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$T(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(u) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)[[u^{-1}]].$$

Then the defining relations of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ can be written as

$$R(u-v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R(u-v).$$

Note that the Yang R-matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(u-v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u-v). \quad (5.3)$$

Let $g(u)$ be any formal power series in u^{-1} with leading term 1,

$$g(u) = 1 + g_1u^{-1} + g_2u^{-2} + \dots \in \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]].$$

There is an automorphism of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ defined by

$$T(u) \rightarrow g(u)T(u). \quad (5.4)$$

The Yangian for \mathfrak{sl}_N is the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ which consists of all elements stable under all the automorphisms of the form (5.4).

Consider the filtration on $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ obtained by setting

$$\deg t_{ij}^{(r)} = r - 1 \quad (5.5)$$

for every $r \geq 1$. Denote by $\text{gr}Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ the associated graded algebra. We write $\bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)}$ the image of $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ in $\text{gr}Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Then the map

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]) \rightarrow \text{gr}Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N), \quad e_{ij}z^r \mapsto \bar{t}_{ij}^{(r+1)}, \quad (5.6)$$

induces an Hopf algebra isomorphism.

5.2. Twisted Yangians. Fix N and define $i' = N + 1 - i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $G = (g_{ij})$ be the $N \times N$ matrix defined by $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij'}$. For any $N \times N$ matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, define

$$M' = GMG^{-1} = (m_{ij'}). \quad (5.7)$$

In particular, we have the modified R-matrix,

$$R'(u) = G_1R(u)G_1 = G_2R(u)G_2. \quad (5.8)$$

In this case, τ is the permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ defined by $\tau i = i' - 1$.

The following twisted Yangians were specific reflection algebras [Sk88] introduced in [MR02].

Definition 5.2. The *twisted Yangian* \mathcal{Y}_N of quasi-split type AIII is a unital associative algebra with generators $s_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and the defining relations written in terms of the generating series

$$s_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + s_{ij}^{(1)}u^{-1} + s_{ij}^{(2)}u^{-2} + \dots \quad (5.9)$$

by the *quaternary* relations,

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)[s_{ij}(u), s_{kl}(v)] &= (u+v)(s_{kj}(u)s_{il}(v) - s_{kj}(v)s_{il}(u)) \\ &+ (u-v)\left(\delta_{kj'} \sum_{a=1}^N s_{ia'}(u)s_{al}(v) - \delta_{il'} \sum_{a=1}^N s_{ka'}(v)s_{aj}(u)\right) \\ &- \delta_{ij'}\left(\sum_{a=1}^N s_{ka'}(u)s_{al}(v) - \sum_{a=1}^N s_{ka'}(v)s_{al}(u)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

and the *unitary* relation

$$\sum_{a=1}^N s_{ia'}(u)s_{aj}(-u) = \delta_{ij'}. \quad (5.11)$$

Define the operator $S(u) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N) \otimes \mathcal{Y}_N[[u^{-1}]]$,

$$S(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes s_{ij}(u),$$

and we treat it as a matrix $S(u) = (s_{ij}(u))$. Then the defining relations of \mathcal{Y}_N are given by

$$R(u-v)S_1(u)R'(u+v)S_2(v) = S_2(v)R'(u+v)S_1(u)R(u-v), \quad (5.12)$$

$$S(u)S'(-u) = \mathbf{1}_N, \quad (5.13)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_N$ is the identity matrix.

Remark 5.3. The presentation of \mathcal{Y}_N is different from the presentation of the reflection algebra $\mathcal{B}(N, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor)$ in [MR02, §2]; however, it is not hard to see that they are isomorphic, using similar arguments as in [Mo07, §2.15]. Indeed, let $B(u)$ be the matrix of generators for $\mathcal{B}(N, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor)$ defined in [MR02, (2.13)] with the initial matrix G exactly given by $G = (\delta_{ij'})$; then the constant component of $B(u)$ is G . The matrix $S(u)$ here corresponds to $B(u)G$; see also the discussion at the end of [MR02, §2].

It is convenient to work on the extended twisted Yangians defined below instead of twisted Yangians. By abuse of notations, we shall keep using the same notations for various elements, such as $s_{ij}(u)$ and $S(u)$, in the twisted Yangian and the extended twisted Yangian.

Definition 5.4. The *extended twisted Yangian* \mathcal{X}_N of quasi-split type AIII is the unital associative algebra with generators $s_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying the quaternary relations (5.12), where $s_{ij}(u)$ is again given by (5.9).

5.3. Basic properties. Let $\tilde{S}(u) = (S(u))^{-1} = (\tilde{s}_{ij}(u))$.

Proposition 5.5 ([MR02, Prop. 2.1]). *In the algebra \mathcal{X}_N , the product $S(u)S'(-u)$ is a scalar matrix,*

$$S(u)S'(-u) = S'(-u)S(u) = c(u)\mathbf{1}_N, \quad (5.14)$$

where $c(u)$ is an even series in u^{-1} whose coefficients are central in \mathcal{X}_N . In particular, we have $s_{i'j'}(-u) = c(u)\tilde{s}_{ij}(u)$.

Before further discussing the properties of \mathcal{Y}_N , we collect a few facts about the inverse of $T(u)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Define $\tilde{T}(u) := (T(u))^{-1}$ and let $\tilde{t}_{ij}(u)$ be its matrix elements,

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r>0} \tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{k>0} (-1)^k \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}=1}^N t_{ia_1}^\circ(u) t_{a_1 a_2}^\circ(u) \cdots t_{a_{k-1} j}^\circ(u), \quad (5.15)$$

where $t_{ij}^\circ(u) = t_{ij}(u) - \delta_{ij}$. In particular, by taking the coefficient of u^{-r} , for $r \geq 1$, one obtains

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}^{(r)} = \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^k \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}=1}^N \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_k = r} t_{ia_1}^{(r_1)} t_{a_1 a_2}^{(r_2)} \cdots t_{a_{k-1} j}^{(r_k)}, \quad (5.16)$$

where r_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are positive integers.

It is well known that \mathcal{Y}_N can be identified as a subalgebra of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, see [MR02, Thm. 3.1]. Specifically, the map

$$S(u) \mapsto T(u)\tilde{T}'(-u)$$

defines an algebra embedding $\mathcal{Y}_N \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Moreover, there is a filtration on \mathcal{Y}_N inherited from the one (5.5) on $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ such that $\deg s_{ij}^{(r)} = r - 1$. Let $\mathcal{F}_s(\mathcal{Y}_N)$ be the subspace of \mathcal{Y}_N spanned by elements of degree $\leq s$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{Y}_N) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{Y}_N) \subset \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{Y}_N) \subset \dots, \quad \mathcal{Y}_N = \bigcup_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_s(\mathcal{Y}_N). \quad (5.17)$$

Denote by $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$ the associated graded algebra. Let $\bar{s}_{ij}^{(r)}$ be the image of $s_{ij}^{(r)}$ in the $(r - 1)$ -st component of $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$. Then

$$\bar{s}_{ij}^{(r)} = \bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)} - (-1)^r \bar{t}_{i'j'}^{(r)}. \quad (5.18)$$

Proposition 5.6. *Given any linear order on the set of generators*

- (1) $s_{ij}^{(r)}, s_{kk}^{(r)}$, if $N = 2n$;
- (2) $s_{ij}^{(r)}, s_{kk}^{(r)}, s_{n+1, n+1}^{(2r-1)}$, if $N = 2n + 1$;

where $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, then the order monomials in these generators form a basis of \mathcal{Y}_N .

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of [MR02, Thm. 3.1 & Coro. 3.2]. \square

Let ϑ be the involution¹ of \mathfrak{gl}_N defined by

$$\vartheta : \mathfrak{gl}_N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_N, \quad e_{ij} \mapsto e_{i'j'}. \quad (5.19)$$

Extend this involution to $\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]$ by sending $g \otimes z^r$ to $\vartheta(g) \otimes (-z)^r$ for $g \in \mathfrak{gl}_N$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]^\vartheta$ be the fixed point subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]$ under the involution ϑ . Then it is well known that the map

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]^\vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N, \quad (e_{ij} + (-1)^r e_{i'j'})z^r \mapsto \bar{s}_{ij}^{(r+1)} \quad (5.20)$$

induces an algebra isomorphism, cf. (5.6) and (5.18). By restriction, we can also define $\mathfrak{sl}_N[z]^\vartheta$ and $U(\mathfrak{sl}_N[z]^\vartheta)$.

6. GAUSS DECOMPOSITION APPROACH

In this section, we formulate and study the Gauss decomposition for twisted Yangians. Using the Gaussian generators, we establish in Theorem 6.17 an isomorphism between \mathbf{Y}^t introduced in Definition 3.1 and the special twisted Yangian \mathcal{SY}_N .

6.1. Quasi-determinants and Gauss decomposition. We shall also need the quasi-determinant presentation, see [G²RW05], of Drinfeld current generating series in terms of R-matrix generating series. Let X be a square matrix over a ring with identity such that its inverse matrix X^{-1} exists, and such that its (j, i) -th entry is an invertible element of the ring. Then the (i, j) -th *quasi-determinant* of X is defined by the first formula below and denoted graphically by the boxed notation (cf. [Mo07, §1.10]):

$$|X|_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((X^{-1})_{ji})^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1j} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ & & & & \\ x_{i1} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{ij}} & \cdots & x_{in} \\ & & & & \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nj} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

By [G²RW05, Thm. 4.96], the matrix $S(u)$, for both \mathcal{X}_N and \mathcal{Y}_N , has the following Gauss decomposition:

$$S(u) = F(u)D(u)E(u)$$

¹We use ϑ instead of $\tilde{\omega}$ from (2.7) as they are slightly different.

for unique matrices of the form

$$D(u) = \begin{bmatrix} d_1(u) & \cdots & 0 \\ & d_2(u) & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & & d_N(u) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$E(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e_{12}(u) & \cdots & e_{1N}(u) \\ & \ddots & & e_{2N}(u) \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ f_{21}(u) & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ f_{N1}(u) & f_{N2}(u) & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrix entries are defined in terms of quasi-determinants:

$$d_i(u) = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & \cdots & s_{1,i-1}(u) & s_{1i}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ s_{i1}(u) & \cdots & s_{i,i-1}(u) & \boxed{s_{ii}(u)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \tilde{d}_i(u) = d_i(u)^{-1}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$e_{ij}(u) = \tilde{d}_i(u) \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & \cdots & s_{1,i-1}(u) & s_{1j}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{i-1,1}(u) & \cdots & s_{i-1,i-1}(u) & s_{i-1,j}(u) \\ s_{i1}(u) & \cdots & s_{i,i-1}(u) & \boxed{s_{ij}(u)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$f_{ji}(u) = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & \cdots & s_{1,i-1}(u) & s_{1i}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{i-1,1}(u) & \cdots & s_{i-1,i-1}(u) & s_{i-1,i}(u) \\ s_{j1}(u) & \cdots & s_{j,i-1}(u) & \boxed{s_{ji}(u)} \end{vmatrix} \tilde{d}_i(u). \quad (6.3)$$

The Gauss decomposition can also be written component-wise as, for $i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ii}(u) &= d_i(u) + \sum_{k < i} f_{ik}(u) d_k(u) e_{ki}(u), \\ s_{ij}(u) &= d_i(u) e_{ij}(u) + \sum_{k < i} f_{ik}(u) d_k(u) e_{kj}(u), \\ s_{ji}(u) &= f_{ji}(u) d_i(u) + \sum_{k < i} f_{jk}(u) d_k(u) e_{ki}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

We further denote

$$e_{ij}(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} e_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad f_{ji}(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} f_{ji}^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad d_k(u) = 1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} d_k^{(r)} u^{-r}. \quad (6.5)$$

$$e_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} e_i^{(r)} u^{-r} = e_{i,i+1}(u), \quad f_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} f_i^{(r)} u^{-r} = f_{i+1,i}(u), \quad 1 \leq i < N. \quad (6.6)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(u) &= D(u)^{-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} E_{ii} \otimes \tilde{d}_i(u), \\ \tilde{E}(u) &= E(u)^{-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} E_{ij} \otimes \tilde{e}_{ij}(u), \quad \tilde{F}(u) = F(u)^{-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} E_{ji} \otimes \tilde{f}_{ji}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{e}_{ij}(u) &= \sum_{i=i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_s=j} (-1)^s e_{i_0 i_1}(u) e_{i_1 i_2}(u) \cdots e_{i_{s-1} i_s}(u), \\ \tilde{f}_{ji}(u) &= \sum_{i=i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_s=j} (-1)^s f_{i_s i_{s-1}}(u) \cdots f_{i_2 i_1}(u) f_{i_1 i_0}(u).\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

6.2. A homomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{N-2m} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_N$. Unlike the case of type AI in [KLWZ23], the commutator relations (5.10) for type AIII involve summations. Consequently, there is no obvious embedding from \mathcal{X}_{N-m} to \mathcal{X}_N for $m > 0$. From the viewpoint of Satake diagrams, one still expects a homomorphism from \mathcal{X}_{N-2m} to \mathcal{X}_N . The main goal of this section is to construct such a homomorphism, following a similar strategy of [JLM18, §3].

For $2 \leq i \leq 2' (= N-1)$, by (5.10), we have

$$s_{11}(u+1)s_{i1}(u) = s_{i1}(u+1)s_{11}(u).\tag{6.9}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the following quasi-determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & s_{1j}(u) \\ s_{i1}(u) & \boxed{s_{ij}(u)} \end{vmatrix} &= s_{ij}(u) - s_{i1}(u)s_{11}(u)^{-1}s_{1j}(u) \\ &= s_{11}(u+1)^{-1}(s_{11}(u+1)s_{ij}(u) - s_{i1}(u+1)s_{1j}(u)).\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u) = s_{11}(u+1)s_{ij}(u) - s_{i1}(u+1)s_{1j}(u) = s_{11}(u+1) \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & s_{1j}(u) \\ s_{i1}(u) & \boxed{s_{ij}(u)} \end{vmatrix},\tag{6.10}$$

and introduce

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(u) &:= \sum_{a_i, b_i} E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes E_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u) \\ &= R_{12}(1)S_1(u+1)R'_{12}(2u+1)S_2(u) = S_2(u)R'_{12}(2u+1)S_1(u+1)R_{12}(1),\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

where $\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u)$ are matrix entries for $\Gamma(u)$ and the last equality follows from (5.12).

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

- (1) $[s_{11}(u), \mathcal{T}_{ij}(v)] = 0, 2 \leq i, j \leq 2'$;
- (2) $\Gamma_{1j}^{1i}(u) = \mathcal{T}_{ij}(u), 2 \leq i, j \leq 2'$;
- (3) $\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u) = -\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_2 a_1}(u) = -\Gamma_{b_2 b_1}^{a_1 a_2}(u)$.

Proof. (1) Note that by (5.10) we have $[s_{11}(u), s_{11}(v)] = 0$. It follows from (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} [s_{11}(u), \mathcal{T}_{ij}(v)] &= [s_{11}(u), s_{11}(v+1)s_{ij}(v) - s_{i1}(v+1)s_{1j}(v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{u-v} s_{11}(v+1) (s_{i1}(u)s_{1j}(v) - s_{i1}(v)s_{1j}(u)) - \frac{1}{u-v-1} (s_{i1}(u)s_{11}(v+1) \\ &\quad - s_{i1}(v+1)s_{11}(u)) s_{1j}(v) - \frac{1}{u-v} s_{i1}(v+1) (s_{11}(u)s_{1j}(v) - s_{11}(v)s_{1j}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (6.9), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u-v} s_{11}(v+1)s_{i1}(u) - \frac{1}{u-v-1} s_{i1}(u)s_{11}(v+1) \\ + \frac{1}{(u-v)(u-v-1)} s_{i1}(v+1)s_{11}(u) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(v+1-u)[s_{11}(v+1), s_{i1}(u)] = s_{i1}(v+1)s_{11}(u) - s_{i1}(u)s_{11}(v+1).$$

This follows directly from (5.10).

(2) Computing $\Gamma_{1j}^{1i}(u)$, $2 \leq i, j \leq 2'$, using the definition (6.11), one finds that it is given by

$$s_{11}(u+1)s_{ij}(u) - s_{i1}(u+1)s_{1j}(u)$$

which coincides with $\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u)$ in (6.10).

(3) Note that $(1 - P_{12})R_{12}(1) = 2R_{12}(1) = R_{12}(1)(1 - P_{12})$. Thus $R_{12}(1)$ remains unchanged when multiplying by $(1 - P_{12})/2$ from the left or the right. Then multiplying by $(1 - P_{12})/2$ from the left to (6.11), one derives $\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u) = -\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_2 a_1}(u)$. The other identity $\Gamma_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u) = -\Gamma_{b_2 b_1}^{a_1 a_2}(u)$ is obtained by multiplying by $(1 - P_{12})/2$ from the right to (6.11). \square

We will need the following simplified expression of (5.3) when $v = u - 1$.

Lemma 6.2 (cf. [Mo07, Coro. 1.7.3]). *We have the following relations,*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(1)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(u-1) &= R_{12}(1)\left(1 - \frac{P_{13} + P_{23}}{u-1}\right), \\ R_{23}(u-1)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(1) &= \left(1 - \frac{P_{13} + P_{23}}{u-1}\right)R_{12}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.3. *The map $s_{ij}(u) \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{ij}(u)$, $2 \leq i, j \leq 2'$, defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{N-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_N$.*

Proof. We first introduce some shorthand notations. Let u and v be parameters. Set $a = u - v$, $\tilde{a} = u + v$. We have the following equality in the algebra $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 4} \otimes \mathcal{X}_N$,

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{23}(a-1)R_{13}(a)R_{24}(a)R_{14}(a+1)\Gamma_{12}(u)R'_{14}(\tilde{a}+1)R'_{24}(\tilde{a})R'_{13}(\tilde{a}+2)R'_{23}(\tilde{a}+1)\Gamma_{34}(v) \\ &= \Gamma_{34}(v)R'_{23}(\tilde{a}+1)R'_{13}(\tilde{a}+2)R'_{24}(\tilde{a})R'_{14}(\tilde{a}+1)\Gamma_{12}(u)R_{14}(a+1)R_{24}(a)R_{13}(a)R_{23}(a-1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

This follows from the Yang-Baxter equation (5.3), and the relations (5.8), (5.12). We shall rewrite both sides of (6.12) by Lemma 6.2 and then equate certain matrix elements.

Consider the right hand side of (6.12). Applying (6.11) and Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_{34}(v)R'_{23}(\tilde{a}+1)R'_{13}(\tilde{a}+2)R'_{24}(\tilde{a})R'_{14}(\tilde{a}+1)\Gamma_{12}(u)R_{14}(a+1)R_{24}(a)R_{13}(a)R_{23}(a-1) \\ &= \Gamma_{34}(v)\left(1 - \frac{P'_{13} + P'_{23}}{\tilde{a}+1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{P'_{14} + P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right)\Gamma_{12}(u)\left(1 - \frac{P_{14} + P_{24}}{a}\right)\left(1 - \frac{P_{13} + P_{23}}{a-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we apply the operator above to a basis vector of the form $e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_l$ for $j, l \in \{2, \dots, 2'\}$. First, an application of the factor

$$\left(1 - \frac{P_{14} + P_{24}}{a}\right)\left(1 - \frac{P_{13} + P_{23}}{a-1}\right)$$

on $e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_l$ provides

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{a-2}{a-1}\left(e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_l - \frac{1}{a}e_1 \otimes e_l \otimes e_1 \otimes e_j\right) - \frac{1}{a-1}(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_l) \\ &+ \frac{1}{a(a-1)}(e_l \otimes e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_l \otimes e_j \otimes e_1) - \frac{a-2}{a(a-1)}(e_l \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

It follows from Lemma 6.1 (3) that terms inside the second and third brackets in (6.13) are annihilated by $\Gamma_{12}(u)$. We consider the further application of the rest factors acting on the last term in (6.13). The application of $\Gamma_{12}(u)$ on $e_l \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$ gives vectors of the form $e_a \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$. A further application of the factor

$$\left(1 - \frac{P'_{13} + P'_{23}}{\tilde{a}+1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{P'_{14} + P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) \quad (6.14)$$

on $e_a \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} e_a \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 &- \frac{1}{\tilde{a}+1}(e_{1'} \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_{a'} + e_{1'} \otimes e_b \otimes e_{a'} \otimes e_1) \\ &- \frac{1}{\tilde{a}+1}(e_a \otimes e_{1'} \otimes e_{b'} \otimes e_1 + e_a \otimes e_{1'} \otimes e_1 \otimes e_{b'}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(\tilde{a}+1)}(e_{1'} \otimes e_{1'} \otimes e_{a'} \otimes e_{b'} + e_{1'} \otimes e_{1'} \otimes e_{b'} \otimes e_{a'}). \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 6.1 (3), $\Gamma_{34}(v)$ annihilates the above vectors. Thus it suffices to consider the action of the operator

$$\Gamma_{34}(v) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{13} + P'_{23}}{\tilde{a}+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{14} + P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) \Gamma_{12}(u)$$

on the terms inside the first bracket in (6.13). Note that the action of $\Gamma_{12}(u)$ gives vectors of the form $e_a \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_c$ where $2 \leq c \leq 2'$. A similar calculation as above shows that the restriction of the image of $e_a \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_c$ under the operator

$$\Gamma_{34}(v) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{13} + P'_{23}}{\tilde{a}+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{14} + P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right)$$

to the subspace spanned by the vectors of the form $e_1 \otimes e_i \otimes e_1 \otimes e_k$ with $2 \leq i, k \leq 2'$ is nonzero only if $a = 1$ and $2 \leq b \leq 2'$. Moreover,

$$\left(1 - \frac{P'_{13} + P'_{23}}{\tilde{a}+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{14} + P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) e_1 \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_c \equiv \left(1 - \frac{P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) e_1 \otimes e_b \otimes e_1 \otimes e_c,$$

when the symbol \equiv means we only keep the basis vectors which can give a nonzero contribution to the coefficients of $e_1 \otimes e_i \otimes e_1 \otimes e_k$ after the subsequent application of the operator $\Gamma_{34}(v)$.

To sum up, we have proved that the restriction of the operator on the right hand side of (6.12) to the subspace spanned by the basis vectors of the form $e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_l$ with $2 \leq j, l \leq 2'$ coincides with the operator

$$\frac{a-2}{a-1} \Gamma_{34}(v) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) \Gamma_{12}(u) \left(1 - \frac{P_{24}}{a}\right) = \frac{a-2}{a-1} \mathcal{T}_4(v) R'_{24}(u+v) \mathcal{T}_2(u) R_{24}(u-v), \quad (6.15)$$

where $\mathcal{T}(u) = (\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u))_{2 \leq i, j \leq 2'}$ and the subscripts in $\mathcal{T}_4(v), \mathcal{T}_2(u)$ indicate which component they act on. The equality in (6.15) follows from Lemma 6.1 (2).

For the left hand side of (6.12), we again apply it to the basis vectors of the form $e_1 \otimes e_j \otimes e_1 \otimes e_l$ with $2 \leq j, l \leq 2'$ and look at the coefficients of the basis vectors of the same form in the image. Then the same argument as for the right hand side (with the reversed factors in the operators) implies that the coefficients of such basis vectors coincide with those of the operator

$$\frac{a-2}{a-1} \left(1 - \frac{P_{24}}{a}\right) \Gamma_{12}(u) \left(1 - \frac{P'_{24}}{\tilde{a}}\right) \Gamma_{34}(v) = \frac{a-2}{a-1} R_{24}(u-v) \mathcal{T}_2(u) R'_{24}(u+v) \mathcal{T}_4(v), \quad (6.16)$$

where the equality follows again by Lemma 6.1 (2).

Note that $R_{24}(u-v)$ and $R'_{24}(u+v)$ are the R-matrices used to define the extended twisted Yangian \mathcal{X}_{N-2} . Therefore, by equating the matrix elements of the operators (6.15) and (6.16), we get the R-matrix form of the defining relations for the algebra \mathcal{X}_{N-2} is satisfied by the series $\mathcal{T}_{ij}(u) = \Gamma_{1j}^{1i}(u)$, see Lemma 6.1 (2), as required. \square

We also need the following generalization of Proposition 6.3. Fix a positive integer m such that $m \leq n$ if $N = 2n + 1$ and $m \leq n - 1$ if $N = 2n$. Suppose that the generators $s_{ij}^{(r)}$ of the algebra \mathcal{X}_{N-2m} are labelled by the indices $m + 1 \leq i, j \leq (m + 1)'$ and $r > 0$.

Proposition 6.4. *The mapping*

$$\psi_m : s_{ij}(u) \mapsto \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & \cdots & s_{1m}(u) & s_{1j}(u) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ s_{m1}(u) & \cdots & s_{mm}(u) & s_{mj}(u) \\ s_{i1}(u) & \cdots & s_{im}(u) & \boxed{s_{ij}(u)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad m+1 \leq i, j \leq (m+1)', \quad (6.17)$$

defines an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{N-2m} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_N$.

Proof. The $m = 1$ case is Proposition 6.3. The general case follows from an induction on m parallel to the one in [JLM18, Proof of Prop. 3.7], by using the Sylvester theorem for quasi-determinants and Proposition 6.3. \square

The homomorphisms ψ_m have the following consistence property. We write $\psi_m = \psi_m^{(N)}$ to indicate the dependence of N . For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the corresponding homomorphism

$$\psi_m^{(N-2l)} : \mathcal{X}_{N-2m-2l} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{N-2l}$$

given by (6.17).

Proposition 6.5. *We have the equality of algebra homomorphisms,*

$$\psi_l^{(N)} \circ \psi_m^{(N-2l)} = \psi_{l+m}^{(N)}.$$

Proof. Follows from the same argument as in [JLM18, Prop. 3.8]. \square

For subsets $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ of $\{1, \dots, N\}$, introduce quantum minors by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}_{b_1 \dots b_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \text{sign } \sigma \cdot s_{a_{\sigma(1)} b_1}(u) \cdots s_{a_{\sigma(k)} b_k}(u - k + 1).$$

These are formal series in u^{-1} with coefficients in \mathcal{X}_N .

Proposition 6.6. *For all $m+1 \leq i, j \leq (m+1)'$, we have the identity*

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(u) & \cdots & s_{1m}(u) & s_{1j}(u) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ s_{m1}(u) & \cdots & s_{mm}(u) & s_{mj}(u) \\ s_{i1}(u) & \cdots & s_{im}(u) & \boxed{s_{ij}(u)} \end{vmatrix} = \mathcal{S}_{1 \dots m}^{1 \dots m}(u+m)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{1 \dots m}^{i \dots j}(u+m).$$

Proof. It follows from e.g. [Mo07, §1.11] that the identity holds for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Note that the commutator relations between the generating series $s_{ab}(u)$ of \mathcal{X}_N appearing in this identity are the same as for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, except for the commutators $[*_1, *_2]$ where $*_1$ is from the last row and $*_2$ is from the last column. However, we shall always keep the series $s_{aj}(u)$ for $1 \leq a \leq m$ or $a = i$ to the right-most. Moreover, the quantum minors depends linearly on such generating series. Thus the identity does not depends on such commutators and hence it holds in \mathcal{X}_N as well. \square

The following is a counterpart of the corresponding property of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$; see, e.g., [BK05].

Corollary 6.7. *We have the relations*

$$[s_{ab}(u), \psi_m(s_{ij}(v))] = 0$$

for all $1 \leq a, b \leq m$ and $m+1 \leq i, j \leq (m+1)'$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, it suffices to check that $s_{ab}(u)$ commutes with the quantum minors. This follows essentially the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.6. \square

6.3. Gaussian generators and their properties. Recall the definition of the central series $c(u)$ from (5.14) and note that the unitary relation in \mathcal{Y}_N (5.13) is equivalent to $c(u) = 1$.

Lemma 6.8. *In the algebra \mathcal{X}_N , we have $c(u)\tilde{d}_{i'}(u) = d_i(-u)$,*

$$\tilde{d}_i(u)d_{i+1}(u) = \tilde{d}_{i'-1}(-u)d_{i'}(-u), \quad \tilde{e}_{ij}(u) = f_{i'j'}(-u), \quad \tilde{f}_{ji}(u) = e_{j'i'}(-u). \quad (6.18)$$

In particular, we have $e_i(u) = -f_{\tau i}(-u)$.

Equivalently, we have $\tilde{E}(u) = F(-u)'$ in the matrix form; see (5.7) and (6.7).

Proof. By (6.8) we have $e_i(u) = -\tilde{e}_{i,i+1}(u)$. Thus (6.18) implies that $e_i(u) = -\tilde{e}_{i,i+1}(u) = -f_{\tau i}(-u)$.

It remains to show (6.18). Consider the Gauss decompositions of $S(u)$ and $\tilde{S}(u)$,

$$S(u) = F(u)D(u)E(u), \quad \tilde{S}(u) = \tilde{E}(u)\tilde{D}(u)\tilde{F}(u),$$

where $\tilde{E}(u), \tilde{D}(u), \tilde{F}(u)$ are defined in (6.7). Then for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{i'i'}(u) &= \tilde{d}_{i'}(u) + \sum_{k < i} \tilde{e}_{i'k'}(u)\tilde{d}_{k'}(u)\tilde{f}_{k'i'}(u), \\ \tilde{s}_{i'j'}(u) &= \tilde{d}_{i'}(u)f_{i'j'}(u) + \sum_{k < i} \tilde{e}_{i'k'}(u)\tilde{d}_{k'}(u)\tilde{f}_{k'j'}(u), \\ \tilde{s}_{j'i'}(u) &= \tilde{e}_{j'i'}(u)\tilde{d}_{i'}(u) + \sum_{k < i} \tilde{e}_{j'k'}(u)\tilde{d}_{k'}(u)\tilde{f}_{k'i'}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing it with (6.4) and using $s_{ij}(-u) = c(u)\tilde{s}_{i'j'}(u)$ from Proposition 5.5, we find that

$$c(u)\tilde{d}_{i'}(u) = d_i(-u), \quad \tilde{e}_{j'i'}(u) = f_{ji}(-u), \quad \tilde{f}_{i'j'}(u) = e_{ij}(-u),$$

as the Gauss decomposition is unique. Hence the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Suppose $m \leq \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$, then the map $\psi_m : \mathcal{X}_{N-2m} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_N$ sends*

$$d_i(u) \rightarrow d_{m+i}(u), \quad e_{ij}(u) \rightarrow e_{m+i,m+j}(u), \quad f_{ji}(u) \rightarrow f_{m+j,m+i}(u).$$

Proof. The lemma follows from (6.1)–(6.3) and Propositions 6.3, 6.5; cf. the proof of [KLWZ23, Coro. 3.2]. \square

Due to Lemma 6.9, we call ψ_m a *shift homomorphism*.

Lemma 6.10. *Suppose $m \leq \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$. We have $[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and*

$$[d_i(u), e_j(v)] = [d_i(u), f_j(v)] = 0,$$

for (1) $1 \leq i \leq m, m < j < (m+1)'$ and (2) $1 \leq j < m, m+1 \leq i \leq (m+1)'$.

Proof. This is a corollary of Corollary 6.7, Lemma 6.8, and Lemma 6.9. Note that $[d_1(u), d_1(v)] = 0$ follows from (5.10) and this implies by Lemma 6.9 that $[d_i(u), d_i(v)] = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. \square

Lemma 6.11. *There is an anti-automorphism η for \mathcal{X}_N (and for \mathcal{Y}_N) defined by*

$$\eta : S(u) \longrightarrow S^t(u), \quad s_{ij}(u) \mapsto s_{ji}(u). \quad (6.19)$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$\eta(e_{ij}(u)) = f_{ji}(u), \quad \eta(f_{ji}(u)) = e_{ij}(u), \quad \eta(d_k(u)) = d_k(u).$$

Proof. It is straightforward to prove that η defines an anti-automorphism for \mathcal{X}_N and \mathcal{Y}_N . Applying η to (6.4), the second statement follows from the uniqueness of Gauss decomposition. \square

Lemma 6.12. *The algebra \mathcal{X}_N is generated by the coefficients of $d_i(u)$ and $e_j(u)$, where $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq j < N$.*

Proof. We say that a series in u^{-1} can be generated if its coefficients can be generated by the coefficients of $d_i(u)$ and $e_j(u)$, where $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq j < N$.

By Lemma 6.8 and (6.8), it suffices to show that $e_{kl}(u)$ with $k < l$ can be generated. We prove it by induction on N . The base case $N = 2$ is trivial. Now assume $N \geq 3$. Then it follows from Lemma 6.9 and the induction hypothesis that $d_k(u), e_{ij}(u), f_{ji}(u)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 2'$ and $2 \leq i < j \leq 2'$ can be generated.

Then we prove that $e_{1j}(u)$ for $j \geq 3$. Note that $s_{1j}(u) = d_1(u)e_{1j}(u)$, it suffices to show $s_{1j}(u)$ can be generated by another induction on j . Now let $2 \leq j < N$ and suppose that $s_{1k}(u)$ for $1 \leq k \leq j$ can be generated. By (5.10), we have

$$(u^2 - v^2)[s_{1j}(u), s_{j,j+1}(v)] = (u + v)(s_{jj}(u)s_{1,j+1}(v) - s_{jj}(v)s_{1,j+1}(u)) \\ + (u - v) \left(\delta_{jj'} \sum_{a=1}^N s_{1a'}(u)s_{a,j+1}(v) - \delta_{1(j+1)'} \sum_{a=1}^N s_{ja'}(v)s_{aj}(u) \right).$$

Taking the coefficients of v , we have

$$s_{1,j+1}(u) = [s_{1j}(u), s_{j,j+1}^{(1)}] - \delta_{jj'} s_{1,j'-1}(u) + \delta_{1,j'-1} s_{j'j}(u) \quad (6.20)$$

Clearly, $[s_{1j}(u), s_{j,j+1}^{(1)}]$ can be generated. If $j = j'$, then $s_{1,j'-1}(u) = s_{1,j-1}(u) = d_1(u)e_{1,j-1}(u)$ can be generated by induction hypothesis. If $j' = 2$, then $s_{j'j}(u) = s_{2j}(u)$ can be expressed by

$$s_{j'j}(u) = \begin{cases} d_2(u)e_{2j}(u) + f_1(u)d_1(u)e_{1j}(u), & \text{if } j > 2. \\ d_2(u) + f_1(u)d_1(u)e_1(u), & \text{if } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

can also be generated by induction hypothesis. Thus it follows from (6.20) that $s_{1,j+1}(u)$ can be generated.

Similarly, one proves that $f_{j1}(u)$ can also be generated. Finally, using (6.8), we find that $e_{jN}(u) = \tilde{f}_{j'1}(-u)$ can be generated. Similarly, $f_{Nj}(u)$ can also be generated. The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 6.13. *Fix a linear ordering on the elements $f_{ji}^{(r)}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, $d_{kk}^{(r)}$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$, and $d_{n+1,n+1}^{(2r-1)}$ if $N = 2n + 1$, $r > 0$. Then the ordered monomials of these elements form a basis of \mathcal{Y}_N .*

Proof. Recall the filtration from (5.17) and the Gauss decomposition from (6.4). Then we have $f_{ji}^{(r)} \equiv s_{ji}^{(r)}$ and $d_{ii}^{(r)} \equiv s_{ii}^{(r)}$, modulo terms of degree at most $r - 2$. Thus the statement follows from Proposition 5.6. \square

6.4. Special twisted Yangians. For later use, we define the following generating series, for $0 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq j < N$,

(1) if $N = 2n$ is even, then we set

$$b_j(u) = \sqrt{-1} f_j(u + \frac{n-j}{2}), \quad (6.21)$$

$$h_i(u) = \tilde{d}_i(u + \frac{n-i}{2}) d_{i+1}(u + \frac{n-i}{2}); \quad (6.22)$$

(2) if $N = 2n + 1$ is odd, then we set

$$b_j(u) = \sqrt{-1} f_j(u + \frac{n-j}{2} + \frac{1}{4}), \quad (6.23)$$

$$h_i(u) = \begin{cases} (1 + \frac{1}{4u}) \tilde{d}_i(u + \frac{1}{4}) d_{i+1}(u + \frac{1}{4}), & \text{if } i = n, \\ (1 - \frac{1}{4u}) \tilde{d}_i(u - \frac{1}{4}) d_{i+1}(u - \frac{1}{4}), & \text{if } i = n + 1, \\ \tilde{d}_i(u + \frac{n-i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}) d_{i+1}(u + \frac{n-i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}), & \text{if } i \neq n, n + 1. \end{cases} \quad (6.24)$$

Here we set $d_0(u) = d_{N+1}(u) = 1$. Clearly, we have

$$\prod_{i=0}^N h_i\left(u - \frac{N-2i}{4}\right) = 1 - \delta_{N,\text{odd}} \frac{1}{16u^2}, \quad (6.25)$$

where $\delta_{N,\text{odd}} = 1$ if N is odd and $\delta_{N,\text{odd}} = 0$ otherwise.

Remark 6.14. Note that our special shifts satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n-i}{2} + \frac{n-\tau i}{2} &= 0, & \text{if } N = 2n, \\ \frac{n-i}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{n-\tau i}{2} + \frac{1}{4} &= 0, & \text{if } N = 2n+1. \end{aligned}$$

The purpose to multiply $\sqrt{-1}$ is to change a sign so that it matches with the relations in Definition 3.1. The other modifications become apparent later in the calculation of relations of low rank cases.

It follows from Lemma 6.8 that

$$h_{\tau i}(u) = h_i(-u), \quad (6.26)$$

$$b_i(u) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{-1} e_{\tau i}\left(-u + \frac{n-\tau i}{2}\right), & \text{if } N = 2n. \\ -\sqrt{-1} e_{\tau i}\left(-u + \frac{n-\tau i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right), & \text{if } N = 2n+1. \end{cases} \quad (6.27)$$

In particular, if $N = 2n$ is even, then $h_n(u)$ is an even series in u^{-1} . Moreover, under the anti-automorphism η , we have

$$\eta(h_i(u)) = h_i(u), \quad \eta(b_i(u)) = -b_{\tau i}(-u). \quad (6.28)$$

Introduce $h_{i,r}$ and $b_{j,r}$ for $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j < N$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows,

$$h_i(u) = 1 + \sum_{r \geq 0} h_{i,r} u^{-r-1}, \quad b_j(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} b_{j,r} u^{-r-1}, \quad (6.29)$$

namely they are coefficients of $h_i(u)$ and $b_j(u)$. It is also convenient to set $h_{i,-1} = 1$ and $h_{i,r} = 0$ if $r < -1$.

Definition 6.15. The *special twisted Yangian* \mathcal{SY}_N is the subalgebra of \mathcal{Y}_N generated by $b_{i,r}$ and $h_{i,r}$ for $1 \leq i < N$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define the root vectors $b_{\alpha,r} = b_{j_i;r}$ for $\alpha = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ recursively as follows, cf. (3.12),

$$b_{i+1,i;r} = b_{i,r}, \quad b_{\alpha,r} = b_{j_i;r} = [b_{j-1,0}, b_{j-1,i;r}]. \quad (6.30)$$

Recall \mathbb{I}_τ^0 , \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0 , and \mathbb{I}_\pm^0 from (3.13) and (3.14). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0 &= \{1, \dots, n-1\}, & \mathbb{I}_\pm^0 &= \{n\}, & \text{if } N = 2n; \\ \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0 &= \{1, \dots, n\}, & \mathbb{I}_\pm^0 &= \emptyset, & \text{if } N = 2n+1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

Proposition 6.16. *The ordered monomials of*

$$\{b_{\alpha,r}, h_{0,2r}, h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0, j \in \mathbb{I}_\pm^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (6.32)$$

(with respect to any fixed total ordering) are linearly independent in \mathcal{Y}_N . In particular, the ordered monomials of

$$\{b_{\alpha,r}, h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0, j \in \mathbb{I}_\pm^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(with respect to any fixed total ordering) are linearly independent in \mathcal{SY}_N .

Proof. Let $\bar{b}_{ji;r}, \bar{h}_{i,r}$ be the images of $b_{ji;r}, h_{i,r}$ in the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$, respectively. Then by (5.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b}_{i+1,i;r} &= \sqrt{-1}(e_{i+1,i} + (-1)^r e_{i'-1,i'})z^r, \\ \bar{h}_{0,r} &= (e_{11} + (-1)^r e_{NN})z^r, \\ \bar{h}_{i,r} &= (e_{i+1,i+1} - e_{ii} + (-1)^r (e_{i'-1,i'-1} - e_{i'i'}))z^r.\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

If $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, we set $\alpha = \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}$. Recall ϑ from (5.19). Then it follows from (6.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b}_{\alpha,r} &\in (\sqrt{-1})^{j-i}(f_\alpha + (-1)^r \vartheta(f_\alpha))z^r + \sum_{1 \leq i < N} \mathbb{C}\bar{h}_{i,r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\mu: \text{ht}(\mu) < \text{ht}(\alpha)} \mathbb{C}(f_\mu + (-1)^r \vartheta(f_\mu))z^r,\end{aligned}\tag{6.34}$$

where $\text{ht}(\mu)$ denotes the height of the root μ . It follows from (6.33), (6.34) and the PBW theorem that the images of the ordered monomials of these elements are linearly independent in the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$, completing the proof. \square

We will see soon that they actually form a basis for the corresponding algebras.

6.5. Explicit isomorphism. In this subsection, we discuss the explicit isomorphism between the twisted Yangian \mathbf{Y}^ι defined in Drinfeld type presentation and the (special) twisted Yangians constructed via the R-matrix presentation.

Theorem 6.17. *Let $N > 1$ and \mathbf{Y}^ι be the algebra defined in Definition 3.1 of type AIII_{N-1} . Then there is an algebra isomorphism,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \mathbf{Y}^\iota &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N, \\ h_{i,r} &\mapsto h_{i,r}, \quad b_{i,r} \mapsto b_{i,r},\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

where $h_{i,r}, b_{i,r}$ on the right-hand side are defined in (6.21)-(6.24) for $i \in \mathbb{I}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. In the next section, we shall prove that the defining relations for \mathbf{Y}^ι are satisfied in \mathcal{X}_N for small rank cases $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$, and then the case for general N follows by applying the shift homomorphism ψ_m defined in Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 6.9. Since $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ is a subquotient of \mathcal{X}_N , the defining relations for \mathbf{Y}^ι are also satisfied in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$. Thus Φ is an algebra homomorphism.

It follows by Definition 6.15 that Φ is surjective. Therefore, it suffices to show that Φ is injective. For that, we only need to prove that a spanning set of \mathbf{Y}^ι is sent to a set of linearly independent vectors of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$.

By Proposition 3.5, the ordered monomials of the elements in the set (3.15) with the index sets given by (6.31) in \mathbf{Y}^ι form a spanning set of \mathbf{Y}^ι . It is clear from (3.12) and (6.30) that Φ sends $b_{\alpha,r}$ to $b_{\alpha,r}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, these ordered monomials are sent via Φ to the ordered monomials of the elements in the set (6.32) in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ which are linearly independent in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ by Proposition 6.16. \square

We can also obtain a Drinfeld type presentation for the twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N . To that end, we use the following notation, which is inconsistent with the notation used before.

Set $\tau(0) = N, \tau(N) = 0, c_{0i} = -\delta_{1i}$ and $c_{Ni} = -\delta_{N-1,i}$ for $1 \leq i < N$.

Theorem 6.18. *The twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N is isomorphic to the algebra generated by $h_{i,r}, b_{j,r}, 0 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j < N, r \in \mathbb{N}$, subject to the relations (3.1)-(3.9) together with the relation (6.25) and $h_0(u) = h_N(-u)$. Moreover, the ordered monomials of*

$$\{b_{\alpha,r}, h_{0,2r}, h_{i,r}, h_{j,2r+1} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}_{\neq}^0, j \in \mathbb{I}_{=}^0, r \in \mathbb{N}\}\tag{6.36}$$

(with respect to any fixed total ordering) form a basis of \mathcal{Y}_N .

Proof. Let \mathfrak{Y}^r be the algebra generated by $h_{i,r}, b_{j,r}$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j < N$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ subject to the relations (3.1)–(3.9), (6.25), and $h_0(u) = h_N(-u)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 6.17, there is an algebra homomorphism from

$$\Xi : \mathfrak{Y}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_N, \quad h_{i,r} \mapsto h_{i,r}, \quad b_{j,r} \rightarrow b_{j,r}.$$

Indeed, in the next section, (3.1)–(3.9) hold in the extended twisted Yangian \mathcal{X}_N , and hence holds in \mathcal{Y}_N . By Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 6.8, the unitary relation (5.11) or (5.13) implies that $d_1(u) = \tilde{d}_N(-u)$ in \mathcal{Y}_N , i.e., $h_0(u) = h_N(-u)$.

It remains to prove that Ξ is an isomorphism. It is clear from Lemma 6.12 and (6.27) that Ξ is surjective. Now we establish the injectivity. Note that by the relation (6.25) and $h_0(u) = h_N(-u)$, we have

$$h_0\left(u - \frac{N}{4}\right)h_0\left(-u - \frac{N}{4}\right) = \left(1 - \delta_{N,\text{odd}} \frac{1}{16u^2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < N} h_i\left(u - \frac{N-2i}{4}\right)^{-1}.$$

Thus $h_{0,2s+1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ can be expressed as polynomials in $h_{0,2r}$ and $h_{i,r}$ for $1 \leq i < N$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. With this observation, we can argue in the same way as Proposition 3.5 that the ordered monomials of elements in (6.36) span the algebra \mathfrak{Y}^r . By Proposition 6.16, the Ξ -images of these ordered monomials are linearly independent and hence the ordered monomials of (6.36) form a basis for \mathfrak{Y}^r . This shows that Ξ is injective. \square

6.6. Center of twisted Yangians. As an application, we take the chance to discuss a set of algebraically independent generators of the center \mathcal{Y}_N in terms of the generating series $d_i(u)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Define

$$\mathcal{C}(u) = \begin{cases} d_1\left(u + n - \frac{1}{2}\right)d_2\left(u + n - \frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots d_N\left(u - n + \frac{1}{2}\right), & \text{if } N = 2n, \\ d_1(u + n)d_2(u + n - 1) \cdots d_N(u - n), & \text{if } N = 2n + 1. \end{cases} \quad (6.37)$$

Recall $c(u)$ from (5.14) and note that $c(u) = 1$ in \mathcal{Y}_N ; see (5.13) and Proposition 5.5. Then it follows from Lemma 6.8 that

$$\mathcal{C}(u)\mathcal{C}(-u) = 1. \quad (6.38)$$

Define the elements $\mathcal{C}_i \in \mathcal{Y}_N$ by

$$\mathcal{C}(u) = 1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_r u^{-r}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Y}_N$ the center of the twisted Yangian \mathcal{Y}_N .

Theorem 6.19. *We have the following statements.*

- (1) *The coefficients of the elements $\mathcal{C}(u)$ are central in \mathcal{Y}_N .*
- (2) *The elements \mathcal{C}_{2r+1} for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ are algebraic free generators of the center $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Y}_N$ of \mathcal{Y}_N .*
- (3) *We have an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}_N \cong \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Y}_N \otimes \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$. Moreover, the center of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ is trivial.*
- (4) *We have $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N = \mathbf{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \cap \mathcal{Y}_N$.*

In particular, (4) implies that our Definition 6.15 for the special twisted Yangian $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ is equivalent to the one in [Mo07, Def. 2.9.1].

Proof. (1) By Theorem 6.17 or Lemma 6.10, we have $[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Thus it suffices, by Lemmas 6.8 and 6.12, to verify that

$$[\mathcal{C}(u), e_i(v)] = [\mathcal{C}(u), f_i(v)] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n := \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor.$$

If $i < n$, then by Proposition 7.1 below and [BK05, Thm. 8.6] we have $d_1(u) \cdots d_n(u - n + 1)$ commutes with $e_i(v)$ and $f_i(v)$ if $1 \leq i < n$. Thus it follows from Lemma 6.10 that $[\mathcal{C}(u), e_i(v)] = [\mathcal{C}(u), f_i(v)] = 0$ for $1 \leq i < n$.

Hence it remains to verify that $[\mathcal{C}(u), e_n(v)] = [\mathcal{C}(u), f_n(v)] = 0$. Again by Lemma 6.10, it reduces to verify the statement for the cases $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ which have been done in Lemmas 7.6, 7.19.

(2) It follows from (6.38) that \mathcal{C}_{2r} can be expressed by \mathcal{C}_i for $i < 2r$. Thus it is easy to see by induction that all \mathcal{C}_i can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{C}_{2r+1} for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to prove the statement in the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_i$ be the image of \mathcal{C}_i in the $(i-1)$ -st component of $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$.

Recall from Section 5.3 that $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N \cong U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]^\theta)$. By (5.20), one easily sees that

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{2r+1} = 2\mathcal{I} z^{2r}, \quad \mathcal{I} = e_{11} + \cdots + e_{NN}.$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that the center of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]^\theta)$ is generated by the elements $\mathcal{I} z^{2r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}_N[z]^\theta) = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{I} z^{2r}]_{r \geq 0} \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_N[z]^\theta). \quad (6.39)$$

The rest is similar to the end of the proof of [MR02, Thm. 3.4].

(3) As e.g. [KLWZ23, Lem. 3.11], one verifies that $\mathcal{Y}_N = \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Y}_N \cdot \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$. Thus it suffices to show that $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Y}_N \cap \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N = \{1\}$. Again, it reduces to the associated graded level which follows easily as the image of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N$ in $\text{gr } \mathcal{Y}_N$ equals $U(\mathfrak{sl}_N[z]^\theta)$; see also (6.39).

(4) It is clear by the definition of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ and the Gauss decomposition that $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}_N \subset Y(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \cap \mathcal{Y}_N$. Thus, to show the equality, it suffices to note that we have the corresponding equality in $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ (recall that the filtration on \mathcal{Y}_N is induced from the one on $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$). \square

Remark 6.20. It would be interesting to investigate the relation between the Sklyanin determinant of $S(u)$ and the central series $\mathcal{C}(u)$; see [MR02, Thm. 3.4].

7. RELATIONS BETWEEN GAUSSIAN GENERATORS

In this section, we work out the relations of type A and the relations between Gaussian generators when $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$. For low rank situation, there will be two main cases, i.e. with a fixed point ($N = 2, 4$) for the the Dynkin diagram automorphism τ and without a fixed point ($N = 3, 5$).

7.1. Relations of type A. Suppose $N \geq 2m \geq 4$. By (5.10), there is a homomorphism from

$$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_N, \quad t_{ij}(u) \mapsto s_{ij}(u), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

Therefore, the relations among $d_i(u), e_j(v), f_k(w)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j, k < m$ are the same as those in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$. These relations are given in [BK05, Thm. 5.2 and its proof] which we shall list below. It is not hard to see from the PBW theorem that this homomorphism is indeed an embedding. However, we do not need this fact.

Let $n = \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$. For $1 \leq i < n$, set

$$e_i^\circ(u) = \sum_{r \geq 2} e_i^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad f_i^\circ(u) = \sum_{r \geq 2} f_i^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad \zeta_i(u) = \tilde{d}_i(u) d_{i+1}(u).$$

Proposition 7.1. *The following relations hold in \mathcal{X}_N , with the conditions on the indices $1 \leq i, j < n$ and $1 \leq k, l \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [d_k(u), d_k(v)] &= 0, \\ [e_i(u), f_j(v)] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{\zeta_i(u) - \zeta_i(v)}{u - v}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_k(u), e_j(v)] &= (\delta_{k,j+1} - \delta_{k,j}) \frac{d_k(u)(e_j(u) - e_j(v))}{u - v}, \\
[d_k(u), f_j(v)] &= (\delta_{k,j} - \delta_{k,j+1}) \frac{(f_j(u) - f_j(v))d_k(u)}{u - v}, \\
[e_i(u), e_i(v)] &= \frac{(e_i(u) - e_i(v))^2}{u - v}, \\
[f_i(u), f_i(v)] &= -\frac{(f_i(u) - f_i(v))^2}{u - v}, \\
[e_i(u), e_j(v)] &= [f_i(u), f_j(v)] = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u[e_i^\circ(u), e_{i+1}(v)] - v[e_i(u), e_{i+1}^\circ(v)] &= e_i(u)e_{i+1}(v), \\
u[f_i^\circ(u), f_{i+1}(v)] - v[f_i(u), f_{i+1}^\circ(v)] &= -f_{i+1}(v)f_i(u),
\end{aligned}$$

and the Serre relations, for $1 \leq i, j < n$ with $|i - j| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i(u), [e_i(v), e_j(w)]] + [e_i(v), [e_i(u), e_j(w)]] &= 0, \\
[f_i(u), [f_i(v), f_j(w)]] + [f_i(v), [f_i(u), f_j(w)]] &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall Lemma 6.8 and the definition of $h_i(u), b_i(u)$ from (6.21)–(6.24). It is clear that the commutators relations (3.1)–(3.6) between the generating series $h_i(u), b_i(u)$ for i far away from $\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$ can be deduced from the relations listed in Proposition 7.1 (exactly as $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$); see also Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 6.10. Thus, we are left with verifying the relations for i close to $\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$. By Lemma 6.9, it suffices to do that for the case when N is small, namely $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Note that the case $N = 4, 5$ is mainly for the Serre relations.

7.2. Relations in \mathcal{X}_2 . It has been known in [MR02] that this case is essentially the Olshanski's twisted Yangian for \mathfrak{o}_2 [Ol92].

Lemma 7.2. *We have the following relations in \mathcal{X}_2 ,*

$$[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

$$e(u) = -f(-u), \tag{7.2}$$

$$\tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) = \tilde{d}_1(-u)d_2(-u), \tag{7.3}$$

$$[d_1(u), f(v)] = \frac{1}{u - v}(f(u) - f(v))d_1(u) + \frac{1}{u + v}d_1(u)(e(u) + f(v)), \tag{7.4}$$

$$[d_2(u), f(v)] = \frac{1}{u - v}(f(v) - f(u))d_2(u) - \frac{1}{u + v}d_2(u)(e(u) + f(v)), \tag{7.5}$$

$$[f(u), f(v)] = -\frac{1}{u - v}(f(u) - f(v))^2 + \frac{1}{u + v}(\tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v)). \tag{7.6}$$

Proof. Equations (7.1)–(7.3) follow directly from Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.10.

Equations (7.4)–(7.5). Setting $i = j = k = 1$ and $l = 2$ in (5.10) and using (7.1), we have

$$(u^2 - v^2)[d_1(u), e(v)] = (u + v)d_1(u)(e(v) - e(u)) - (u - v)(e(v) + f(u))d_1(u). \tag{7.7}$$

Thus (7.4) follows from (7.2) and (7.7). By Lemma 6.8, we have $d_2(u) = c(u)\tilde{d}_1(-u)$. Note that $c(u)$ is central. Therefore (7.5) follow from (7.2) and (7.4).

Equation (7.6). Setting $i = k = 1$ and $j = l = 2$, we have

$$(u + v)[s_{12}(u), s_{12}(v)] = [s_{12}(u), s_{12}(v)] + s_{11}(u)s_{22}(v) - s_{11}(v)s_{22}(u).$$

Rewriting it in terms of Gaussian generating series, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)e(u)d_1(v)e(v) - d_1(v)e(v)d_1(u)e(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{u+v} \left(d_1(u)e(u)d_1(v)e(v) - d_1(v)e(v)d_1(u)e(u) + d_1(u)d_2(v) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + d_1(u)f(v)d_1(v)e(v) - d_1(v)d_2(u) - d_1(v)f(u)d_1(u)e(u) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

By (7.7), we have

$$e(v)d_1(u) = d_1(u)e(v) - \frac{1}{u-v}d_1(u)(e(v) - e(u)) + \frac{1}{u+v}(e(v) + f(u))d_1(u). \quad (7.9)$$

Using (7.9) to commute $e(u)d_1(v)$ and $e(v)d_1(u)$ in (7.8), we find that the left-hand side of (7.8) is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)d_1(v)[e(u), e(v)] - \frac{1}{u-v}d_1(u)d_1(v)(e(u) - e(v))^2 + \\ & \frac{1}{u+v} \left(d_1(u)e(u)d_1(v)e(v) + d_1(u)f(v)d_1(v)e(v) - d_1(v)e(v)d_1(u)e(u) - d_1(v)f(u)d_1(u)e(u) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (7.8) that

$$[e(u), e(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v}(e(u) - e(v))^2 - \frac{1}{u+v}(\tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v)).$$

By (7.2), we obtain (7.6). \square

Recall $b(u) = \sqrt{-1}f(u)$ and $h(u) = \tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u)$ from (6.21) and (6.22), respectively. Here we drop the subscript i as the rank is one.

Lemma 7.3. *We have the relations in \mathcal{X}_2 in terms of generating series,*

$$\begin{aligned} [h(u), h(v)] &= 0, & h(u) &= h(-u), \\ [b(u), b(v)] &= -\frac{1}{u-v}(b(u) - b(v))^2 - \frac{1}{u+v}(h(u) - h(v)), \\ [h(u), b(v)] &= \frac{1}{u^2 - v^2}((2v+1)h(u)b(v) + (2v-1)b(v)h(u)) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of [KLWZ23, Lem. 4.2]. \square

Proposition 7.4. *For $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [h_r, h_s] &= 0, \\ [b_{r+1}, b_s] - [b_r, b_{s+1}] &= b_r b_s + b_s b_r - 2(-1)^r h_{r+s+1}, \\ [h_{r+2}, b_s] - [h_r, b_{s+2}] &= 2(b_{s+1} h_r + h_r b_{s+1}) + [h_r, b_s]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of [KLWZ23, Prop. 4.3] by taking the coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ from the relations (expanded in the region $|u| \gg |v|$) in Lemma 7.3. Note that we can take $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the third relation as the power of v in the terms we dropped are nonnegative; see the proof of Proposition 7.20 below for more details. \square

Remark 7.5. If we set $b(u) = f(u)$, then we have

$$[b(u), b(v)] = -\frac{1}{u-v}(b(u) - b(v))^2 + \frac{1}{u+v}(h(u) - h(v)).$$

The purpose for the extra $\sqrt{-1}$ in (6.21) and (6.23) is to change $+$ in the above equation to $-$ so that it will match with the formulas in split type [KLWZ23, KLWZ24] and Definition 3.1.

It is convenient to use the following notation. We write

$$A(u, v) \simeq B(u, v) \quad (7.10)$$

if $A(u, v)$ and $B(u, v)$ have the same coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Later, we will also use the same notation for the case $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. When the case $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ is used, we will clarify it further.

Lemma 7.6. *The coefficients of $d_1(u)d_2(u-1)$ are central elements in \mathcal{X}_2 .*

Proof. Since $[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = 0$ and $e(u) = -f(-u)$ by (7.1) and (7.2), respectively, it suffices to prove that $[d_1(u)d_2(u-1), f(v)] = 0$. By ignoring the terms like $f(u)d_1(u)$ and $d_1(u)e(u)$ in (7.4) and (7.5) (as these series do not contribute if we expand them in the region $|u| \gg |v|$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(u)f(v) &\simeq \frac{(u+v)(u-v-1)}{(u+v-1)(u-v)} f(v)d_1(u), \\ d_2(u)f(v) &\simeq \frac{(u+v)(u-v+1)}{(u+v+1)(u-v)} f(v)d_2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_1(u)d_2(u-1)f(v) \simeq f(v)d_1(u)d_2(u-1)$ and the statement follows. \square

7.3. Relations in \mathcal{X}_4 . Let us consider the case $N = 4$. Thanks to Lemma 6.9, we have the shift homomorphism

$$\psi_1 : \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_4, \quad d_i(u) \rightarrow d_{i+1}(u), \quad e(u) \mapsto e_2(u), \quad f(u) \mapsto f_2(u).$$

Thus, by Lemmas 6.8, 7.2 and Proposition 7.1, we immediately have the following relations

$$[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = [d_1(u), e_2(v)] = [d_1(u), f_2(v)] = 0, \quad (7.11)$$

$$(u-v)[e_1(u), f_1(v)] = \tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v), \quad (7.12)$$

$$(u-v)[e_1(u), e_1(v)] = (e_1(u) - e_1(v))^2, \quad (7.13)$$

$$(u-v)[d_1(u), e_1(v)] = d_1(u)(e_1(v) - e_1(u)), \quad (7.14)$$

$$(u-v)[d_2(u), e_1(v)] = d_2(u)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)), \quad (7.15)$$

$$(u-v)[d_1(u), f_1(v)] = (f_1(u) - f_1(v))d_1(u), \quad (7.16)$$

$$[e_2(u), e_2(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v}(e_2(u) - e_2(v))^2 - \frac{1}{u+v}(\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u) - \tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v)). \quad (7.17)$$

Lemma 7.7. *We have*

$$(u-v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] = e_1(u)e_2(v) - e_1(v)e_2(u) - e_{13}(u) + e_{13}(v), \quad (7.18)$$

$$(u-v)[f_1(u), f_2(v)] = f_2(v)f_1(v) - f_2(v)f_1(u) + f_{31}(u) - f_{31}(v). \quad (7.19)$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.8, it suffices to show (7.18). By (5.10), we have

$$(u-v)[s_{12}(u), s_{23}(v)] = s_{22}(u)s_{13}(v) - s_{22}(v)s_{13}(u).$$

In terms of Gaussian generators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(u-v)[d_1(u)e_1(u), d_2(v)e_2(v) + f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v)] \\ &= (d_2(u) + f_1(u)d_1(u)e_1(u))d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - (d_2(v) + f_1(v)d_1(v)e_1(v))d_1(u)e_{13}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

We shall transform the left-hand side of (7.20). Expanding the commutator, the left-hand side of (7.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(u-v) \left(d_1(u)e_1(u)d_2(v)e_2(v) - d_2(v)e_2(v)d_1(u)e_1(u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_1(u)e_1(u)f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v)d_1(u)e_1(u) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Permuting the products $e_1(u)d_2(v)$, $e_2(v)d_1(u)$, and $e_1(u)f_1(v)$ using (7.15), (7.11), (7.12), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)((u-v)d_2(v)e_1(u) - d_2(v)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)))e_2(v) - (u-v)d_2(v)d_1(u)e_2(v)e_1(u) \\ & + d_1(u)((u-v)f_1(v)e_1(u) + \tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v))d_1(v)e_{13}(v) \\ & \quad - (u-v)f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v)d_1(u)e_1(u), \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies further to

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)d_2(v)((u-v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - (e_1(u) - e_1(v))e_2(v) - e_{13}(v)) + d_1(v)d_2(u)e_{13}(v) \\ & \quad + (u-v)(d_1(u)f_1(v)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v)d_1(u)e_1(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & (u-v)(d_1(u)f_1(v)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_{13}(v)d_1(u)e_1(u)) \\ & \quad = f_1(u)d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_{13}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Applying (7.16), i.e. $(u-v)d_1(u)f_1(v) - f_1(u)d_1(u) = (u-v)f_1(v)d_1(u) - f_1(v)d_1(u)$, it reduces to show

$$(u-v)[d_1(u)e_1(u), d_1(v)e_{13}(v)] = d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_{13}(u), \quad (7.21)$$

which is equivalent to $(u-v)[s_{12}(u), s_{13}(v)] = s_{12}(u)s_{13}(v) - s_{12}(v)s_{13}(u)$ and follows from (5.10). \square

Lemma 7.8. *We have*

$$[e_1(u), e_{13}(v) - e_1(v)e_2(v)] = -[e_1(u), e_2(v)]e_1(u). \quad (7.22)$$

Proof. It follows from (5.10) that

$$(u-v)[s_{11}(u), s_{13}(v)] = s_{11}(u)s_{13}(v) - s_{11}(v)s_{13}(u),$$

which implies that

$$(u-v)[d_1(u), e_{13}(v)] = d_1(u)(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)). \quad (7.23)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_{13}(u) \\ & \stackrel{(7.14)}{=} d_1(u)(d_1(v)e_1(u) + \frac{1}{u-v}d_1(v)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)))e_{13}(v) \\ & \quad - d_1(v)(d_1(u)e_1(v) + \frac{1}{u-v}d_1(u)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)))e_{13}(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_{13}(u) \\ & \stackrel{(7.21)}{=} (u-v)(d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_{13}(v) - d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_{13}(u)) \\ & \stackrel{(7.14)(7.23)}{=} d_1(u)((u-v)d_1(v)e_1(u) + d_1(v)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)))e_{13}(v) \\ & \quad - d_1(v)((u-v)d_1(u)e_1(v) - d_1(u)(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)))e_1(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

Combining (7.24) and (7.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)[e_1(u), e_{13}(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v}(e_1(u) - e_1(v))(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)) \\ & \quad + e_1(v)(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)) - (e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u))e_1(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.26)$$

To prove (7.22), it suffices to show

$$(u-v)[e_1(u), e_{13}(v)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (u-v)([e_1(u), e_1(v)]e_2(v) + e_1(v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - [e_1(u), e_2(v)]e_1(u)) \\
&\stackrel{(7.13)(7.18)}{=} e_1(u)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - [e_1(u), e_1(v)]e_2(v) \\
&\quad + e_1(v)(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)) - (e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u))e_1(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (7.26), it reduces to show

$$e_1(u)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - [e_1(u), e_1(v)]e_2(v) = \frac{1}{u-v}(e_1(u) - e_1(v))(e_{13}(v) - e_{13}(u)). \quad (7.27)$$

Rewrite $(u-v)(e_1(u)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - [e_1(u), e_1(v)]e_2(v))$ as

$$(u-v)(e_1(u)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] - [e_1(u), e_1(v)]e_2(v) - e_1(v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)]),$$

then (7.27) follows from (7.13) and (7.18). \square

Lemma 7.9. *We have the following Serre relation,*

$$[e_1(u), [e_1(v), e_2(w)]] + [e_1(v), [e_1(u), e_2(w)]] = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of [BK05, Lem. 5.7 (ii)] as the commutator relations used in the proofs are the same, see (7.13), (7.18), (7.22); cf. [BK05, Lem. 5.5]. Note that again we can prove that $[e_1(u), [e_1(u), e_2(v)]] = 0$. \square

Remark 7.10. Note that the calculations above involve only relations of non-twisted Yangians of type A; cf. the proofs of Proposition 6.6 and Corollary 6.7. We give complete detail to convince the reader that these arguments in Proposition 6.6 and Corollary 6.7 are valid.

Next, we discuss the Serre relation involving

$$[e_2(u), [e_2(v), e_1(w)]] + [e_2(v), [e_2(u), e_1(w)]].$$

To this end, we first need the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.11. *We have*

$$[e_{13}(u), e_2^{(1)}] = e_1(u), \quad (7.28)$$

$$[e_1^{(1)}, \tilde{d}_2(v)] = -e_1(v)\tilde{d}_2(v), \quad [e_1^{(1)}, d_3(v)] = f_3(v)d_3(v), \quad (7.29)$$

$$[e_1(u), e_2^{(1)}] = e_{13}(u), \quad [e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)] = e_{13}(v) - e_1(v)e_2(v), \quad (7.30)$$

$$[e_2^{(1)}, e_2(v)] = e_2(v)e_2(v) - 1 + \tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v). \quad (7.31)$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.8, we have $d_3(v) = c(u)\tilde{d}_2(-v)$ and $f_3(v) = -e_1(-v)$, the relation (7.29) is straightforward from (7.15) while the relations (7.30), (7.31) follow from (7.18), (7.17), respectively.

Then we prove (7.28). By (5.10), we have

$$(u-v)[s_{13}(u), s_{32}(v)] = s_{33}(u)s_{12}(v) - s_{33}(v)s_{12}(u)$$

which implies $[s_{13}(u), s_{32}^{(1)}] = s_{12}(u)$. In terms of Gaussian generators, it transforms to

$$[d_1(u)e_{13}(u), f_2^{(1)}] = d_1(u)e_1(u).$$

By (7.11), we have $[e_{13}(u), f_2^{(1)}] = e_1(u)$. Then (7.28) follows from $f_2^{(1)} = e_2^{(1)}$ as $e_2(u) = -f_2(-u)$ by Lemma 6.8. \square

Lemma 7.12. *We have*

$$[e_{13}(u), e_2(v)] = e_2(v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] + \frac{1}{u+v}\tilde{d}_2(v)(f_3(v) + e_1(u))d_3(v).$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_{13}^{(1)}, e_2(v)] &= [[e_1^{(1)}, e_2^{(1)}], e_2(v)] = [[e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)], e_2^{(1)}] + [e_1^{(1)}, [e_2^{(1)}, e_2(v)]] \\
&= [e_{13}(v) - e_1(v)e_2(v), e_2^{(1)}] + [e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)e_2(v) + \tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v)] \\
&= e_1(v) - e_{13}(v)e_2(v) + e_1(v)(e_2(v)e_2(v) - 1 + \tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v)) \\
&\quad + (e_{13}(v) - e_1(v)e_2(v))e_2(v) + e_2(v)[e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)] + [e_1^{(1)}, \tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v)] \\
&= e_2(v)[e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)] + e_1(v)\tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v) + [e_1^{(1)}, \tilde{d}_2(v)]d_3(v) + \tilde{d}_2(v)[e_1^{(1)}, d_3(v)] \\
&= e_2(v)[e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)] + \tilde{d}_2(v)f_3(v)d_3(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (7.14) and (7.23), we have

$$[d_1(u), e_1^{(1)}] = d_1(u)e_1(u), \quad [d_1(u), e_{13}^{(1)}] = d_1(u)e_{13}(u). \quad (7.32)$$

Using (7.11), (7.14), (7.32) and $f_3(v) = -e_1(-v)$ (by Lemma 6.8), the lemma follows from the equality above by taking the commutator relation with $d_1(u)$. \square

Lemma 7.13. *We have*

$$[[e_1(u), e_2(v)], e_2(v)] = \frac{\tilde{d}_2(v)}{u-v-1} \left(\frac{f_3(v) + e_1(v+1)}{2v+1} - \frac{f_3(v) + e_1(u)}{u+v} \right) d_3(v).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [KLWZ23, Lemma 4.8] using Lemmas 7.7 and 7.12. \square

It is convenient to set

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1(u, v) &= \frac{1}{u+v} \tilde{d}_2(v) (f_3(v) + e_1(u)) d_3(v), \\
\beta_2(u, v) &= -\frac{1}{u+v} (\tilde{d}_2(u) d_3(u) - \tilde{d}_2(v) d_3(v)), \\
\beta_3(u, v) &= \frac{\tilde{d}_2(v)}{u-v-1} \left(\frac{f_3(v) + e_1(v+1)}{2v+1} - \frac{f_3(v) + e_1(u)}{u+v} \right) d_3(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 7.12, (7.17), and Lemma 7.13, respectively, that

$$[e_{13}(u), e_2(v)] = e_2(v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)] + \beta_1(u, v), \quad (7.33)$$

$$[e_2(u), e_2(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (e_2(u) - e_2(v))^2 + \beta_2(u, v), \quad (7.34)$$

$$[[e_1(u), e_2(v)], e_2(v)] = \beta_3(u, v). \quad (7.35)$$

Comparing these relations with their counterparts in [BK05, §5], one finds that the series $\beta_i(u, v)$ are extra terms appearing in the relations of twisted Yangians. By the same strategy of [KLWZ23, Lem. 4.9], we obtain the following.

Lemma 7.14. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[[e_1(u), e_2(v)], e_2(w)] + \{v \leftrightarrow w\} &= \frac{1}{u-v} \left(\beta_1(v, w) - \beta_1(u, w) + (e_1(u) - e_1(v))\beta_2(v, w) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta_3(u, w) - \beta_3(v, w) \right) + \{v \leftrightarrow w\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall $b_i(u)$ and $h_i(u)$ from (6.21) and (6.22), respectively.

Proposition 7.15. *We have the following Serre relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
[b_1(u), [b_1(v), b_2(w)]] + [b_1(v), [b_1(u), b_2(w)]] &= 0, \\
[b_3(u), [b_3(v), b_2(w)]] + [b_3(v), [b_3(u), b_2(w)]] &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{2, k_1}, [b_{2, k_2}, b_{j, r}]] = (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p \geq 0} 2^{-2p} ([h_{2, k_1 + k_2 - 2p - 1}, b_{j, r+1}] - \{h_{2, k_1 + k_2 - 2p - 1}, b_{j, r}\}),$$

where $j = 1, 3$.

Proof. The first equality follows from Lemma 7.9 by applying the anti-automorphism η (recall η is defined in Lemma 6.11), while the second one follows from Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 7.9. The proof for the third equality is parallel to the counterpart in [KLWZ23, Thm. 4.10] by using Lemma 7.14 and the anti-automorphism η . \square

We have established the desired Serre relations in \mathcal{X}_4 . Let us consider the other relations.

Proposition 7.16. *For $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$[h_{i, r}, h_{j, s}] = 0, \quad h_{i, r} = (-1)^{r+1} h_{\tau i, r}, \quad (7.36)$$

$$[b_{1, r}, b_{3, s}] = (-1)^r h_{3, r+s}, \quad [b_{3, r}, b_{1, s}] = (-1)^r h_{1, r+s}, \quad (7.37)$$

$$[b_{i, r+1}, b_{j, s}] - [b_{i, r}, b_{j, s+1}] = \frac{c_{ij}}{2} \{b_{i, r}, b_{j, s}\} - 2\delta_{i, \tau j} (-1)^r h_{\tau i, r+s+1}, \quad (7.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{i, r+2}, b_{j, s}] - [h_{i, r}, b_{j, s+2}] &= \frac{c_{ij} - c_{i, \tau j}}{2} \{h_{i, r+1}, b_{j, s}\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{ij} + c_{i, \tau j}}{2} \{h_{i, r}, b_{j, s+1}\} + \frac{c_{ij} c_{\tau i, j}}{4} [h_{i, r}, b_{j, s}]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

Here we allow $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the relation (7.39) with $h_{i, -1} = 1$ and $h_{i, r} = 0$ for $r < -1$.

Proof. We shall frequently use (6.26)–(6.28) obtained from Lemma 6.8. The relation (7.36) follows from Lemma 6.10. The relation (7.37) is immediate from (7.12) and Lemma 6.8. The relation (7.37) clearly implies the relation (7.38) for $(i, j) = (1, 3), (3, 1)$. The relation (7.38) for $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 3)$ is a corollary of Lemma 7.7 with (6.27). The relation (7.38) for $(i, j) = (3, 3)$ follows from (7.13) while the relation (7.38) for $(i, j) = (2, 2)$ follows by applying the shift homomorphism ψ_1 to the corresponding rank 1 relation in Proposition 7.4. Applying the anti-automorphism η , we obtain the relation (7.38) for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$ as η sends $b_1(u)$ to $-b_3(-u)$ by (6.28).

By the anti-automorphism η , $h_1(u) = h_3(-u)$ and (6.28) (or Lemma 6.8), it suffices to consider the relation (7.39) for (i, j) such that $i, j \leq 2$. The relation (7.39) for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$ is the same as Yangian of type A as observed in Section 7.1, while this relation for $(i, j) = (2, 2)$ follows from Proposition 7.4 by applying the shift homomorphism ψ_1 to the corresponding relation in \mathcal{X}_2 .

We show the relation (7.39) for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$. Applying the shift homomorphism ψ_1 to the relation (7.4) in \mathcal{X}_2 , we obtain

$$[d_2(u), f_2(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (f_2(u) - f_2(v)) d_2(u) + \frac{1}{u+v} d_2(u) (e_2(u) + f_2(v)).$$

Note that $[d_1(u), f_2(v)] = 0$ and then $[\tilde{d}_1(u), f_2(v)] = 0$. By definition, $h_1(u) = \tilde{d}_1(u + \frac{1}{2}) d_2(u + \frac{1}{2})$ and $b_2(v) = \sqrt{-1} f_2(v)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v + \frac{1}{2})(u+v + \frac{1}{2}) [h_1(u), b_2(v)] \\ = (u+v + \frac{1}{2})(b_2(u) - b_2(v)) h_1(u) + (u-v + \frac{1}{2}) h_1(u) (\sqrt{-1} e_2(u) + b_2(v)). \end{aligned}$$

The desired statement follows by taking the coefficients of $u^{-r-1} v^{-s-1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{N}$ in this identity. Here the terms $b_2(u) h_1(u)$ and $h_1(u) e_2(u)$ in the RHS do not contribute to the coefficients of $u^{-r-1} v^{-s-1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, it remains to consider the relation (7.39) for $(i, j) = (2, 1)$. Applying the anti-automorphism η to (7.15), we have

$$[\tilde{d}_2(u), f_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} \tilde{d}_2(u) (f_1(u) - f_1(v)).$$

By Lemma 6.8, we further have

$$[d_3(u), f_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u+v} d_3(u)(e_2(u) + f_1(v)). \quad (7.40)$$

Therefore, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)[\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u), f_1(v)] &= (u+v)\tilde{d}_2(u)(f_1(u) - f_1(v))d_3(u) + (u-v)\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u)(e_3(u) + f_1(v)) \\ &\simeq -(u+v)\tilde{d}_2(u)f_1(v)d_3(u) + (u-v)\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u)f_1(v). \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

By (7.40), we have

$$(u+v)f_1(v)d_3(u) \simeq (u+v-1)d_3(u)f_1(v). \quad (7.42)$$

Plugging (7.42) into (7.41), we obtain

$$(u^2 - v^2)[\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u), f_1(v)] \simeq (-2v+1)\tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u)f_1(v).$$

By definition, we have $h_2(u) = \tilde{d}_2(u)d_3(u)$ and $b_1(v) = \sqrt{-1}f_1(v + \frac{1}{2})$, and then the relation (7.39) for $(i, j) = (2, 1)$ follows by taking the components of this relation. \square

Remark 7.17. Similarly, we can also compute the relations involving $h_0(u)$ or $h_4(u)$ which is required to complete the proof of Theorem 6.18. These relations are much simpler to obtain as $h_0(u)$ is $d_1(u+1)$. So we omit the detail.

7.4. Relations in \mathcal{X}_3 . We start with listing relations between Gaussian generators $d_1(u)$, $d_2(u)$, $e_1(u)$, $f_1(u)$; cf. Lemma 6.8.

Lemma 7.18. *We have*

$$[d_i(u), d_j(v)] = 0, \quad (7.43)$$

$$[d_1(u), e_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} d_1(u)(e_1(v) - e_1(u)), \quad (7.44)$$

$$[d_1(u), f_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (f_1(u) - f_1(v))d_1(u), \quad (7.45)$$

$$[e_1(u), e_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (e_1(u) - e_1(v))^2, \quad (7.46)$$

$$[f_1(u), f_1(v)] = -\frac{1}{u-v} (f_1(u) - f_1(v))^2, \quad (7.47)$$

$$[e_1(u), f_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (\tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v)) + \frac{1}{u+v} (e_{13}(u) + e_1(u)f_1(v) + f_{31}(v)), \quad (7.48)$$

$$[d_2(u), e_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} d_2(u)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)) - \frac{1}{u+v} (e_1(v) + f_2(u))d_2(u), \quad (7.49)$$

$$[d_2(u), f_1(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (f_1(v) - f_1(u))d_2(u) + \frac{1}{u+v} d_2(u)(f_1(v) + e_2(u)). \quad (7.50)$$

Proof. We verify the essential relations (7.43), (7.46), (7.48), (7.49) as the other relations follow from the essential ones by taking the anti-automorphism η ; see Lemma 6.11.

The relation (7.43) follows from Lemma 6.10. Then (7.44) follows from (5.10) with $i = j = k = 1$, $l = 2$ and $[d_1(u), d_1(v)] = 0$. Applying the anti-automorphism η to (7.44), we obtain (7.45).

Equation (7.46). By (5.10) with $i = k = 1$ and $j = l = 2$, we have $[s_{12}(u), s_{12}(v)] = 0$ which implies that

$$d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v)e_1(v) = d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)e_1(u). \quad (7.51)$$

Using (7.44) to commute $d_1(u)$ and $e_1(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(u) \left(d_1(v)e_1(u) + \frac{1}{u-v} d_1(v)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)) \right) e_1(v) \\ = d_1(v) \left(d_1(u)e_1(v) + \frac{1}{u-v} d_1(u)(e_1(u) - e_1(v)) \right) e_1(u). \end{aligned}$$

Canceling $d_1(u)d_1(v)$, we obtain (7.46).

Equation (7.48). We first claim that

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v) ([d_1(u)e_1(u), f_1(v)d_1(v)] - d_1(u)[e_1(u), f_1(v)]d_1(v)) \\ = f_1(u)d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.52)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} ((u-v)d_1(u)f_1(v) - f_1(u)d_1(u))e_1(u)d_1(v) \\ = f_1(v)d_1(v)((u-v)d_1(u)e_1(u) - e_1(v)d_1(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (7.45), we have

$$(u-v)d_1(u)f_1(v) - f_1(u)d_1(u) = f_1(v)((u-v)d_1(u) - d_1(u)).$$

Hence, to prove (7.52), it reduces to show

$$((u-v)d_1(u) - d_1(u))e_1(u)d_1(v) = d_1(v)((u-v)d_1(u)e_1(u) - e_1(v)d_1(u)),$$

that is

$$(u-v)d_1(u)[d_1(v), e_1(u)] = d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u) - d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v).$$

Applying (7.44) to the left-hand side, it transforms to

$$d_1(v)[d_1(u), e_1(v)] = d_1(u)[d_1(v), e_1(u)]$$

which follows directly from (7.44) by applying it to both sides.

Let us come back to (7.48). By (5.10) with $i = l = 1$ and $j = k = 2$ in terms of Gaussian generators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)[d_1(u)e_1(u), f_1(v)d_1(v)] \\ = (u+v)(d_2(u)d_1(v) + f_1(u)d_1(u)e_1(u)d_1(v) - d_2(v)d_1(u) - f_1(v)d_1(v)e_1(v)d_1(u)) \\ + (u-v)(d_1(u)e_{13}(u)d_1(v) + d_1(u)e_1(u)f_1(v)d_1(v) + d_1(u)f_{31}(v)d_1(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Now using (7.52) for $[d_1(u)e_1(u), f_1(v)d_1(v)]$ and multiplying $\tilde{d}_1(u)$, $\tilde{d}_1(v)$ from the left and the right, respectively, one finds (7.48).

Equation (7.49). Taking the coefficients of u in (5.10) with $i = 1, j = k = l = 2$ in terms of Gaussian generators, we find that

$$[e_1^{(1)}, d_2(v) + f_1(v)d_1(v)e_1(v)] = d_1(v)e_1(v) + f_2(v)d_2(v) + f_{31}(v)d_1(v)e_1(v). \quad (7.53)$$

It follows from (7.51) that

$$[e_1^{(1)}, d_1(v)e_1(v)] = 0. \quad (7.54)$$

Note also that, by (7.48), we have

$$[e_1^{(1)}, f_1(v)] = 1 - \tilde{d}_1(v)d_2(v) + f_{31}(v). \quad (7.55)$$

Combining (7.53), (7.54), and (7.55), we conclude that

$$[e_1^{(1)}, d_2(v)] = d_2(v)e_1(v) + f_2(v)d_2(v). \quad (7.56)$$

On the other hand, we have $[d_1(u), e_1^{(1)}] = d_1(u)e_1(u)$ by (7.44) and $f_2(v) = -e_1(-v)$ by Lemma 6.8. Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(u)[e_1(u), d_2(v)] &\stackrel{(7.43)}{=} [d_1(u)e_1(u), d_2(v)] = [d_1(u), [e_1^{(1)}, d_2(v)]] \\
&\stackrel{(7.56)}{=} [d_1(u), d_2(v)e_1(v) + f_2(v)d_2(v)] \\
&\stackrel{(7.43)}{=} d_2(v)[d_1(u), e_1(v)] + [d_1(u), f_2(v)]d_2(v) \\
&\stackrel{(7.44)}{=} \frac{1}{u-v}d_2(v)d_1(u)(e_1(v) - e_1(u)) + \frac{1}{u+v}d_1(u)(e_1(u) + f_2(v))d_2(v),
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (7.49). \square

Lemma 7.19. *The coefficients of $d_1(u+1)d_2(u)d_3(u-1)$ are central elements in \mathcal{X}_3 .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 7.6. \square

Recall $b_{i,r}$ and $h_{i,r}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ from (6.23), (6.24), and (6.29).

Proposition 7.20. *For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$[h_{i,r}, h_{j,s}] = 0, \quad h_{i,r} = (-1)^{r+1}h_{\tau i, r}, \quad (7.57)$$

$$[b_{i,r+1}, b_{j,s}] - [b_{i,r}, b_{j,s+1}] = \frac{c_{ij}}{2}\{b_{i,r}, b_{j,s}\} - 2\delta_{i,\tau j}(-1)^r h_{\tau i, r+s+1}, \quad (7.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_{i,r+2}, b_{j,s}] - [h_{i,r}, b_{j,s+2}] &= \frac{c_{ij} - c_{i,\tau j}}{2}\{h_{i,r+1}, b_{j,s}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{c_{ij} + c_{i,\tau j}}{2}\{h_{i,r}, b_{j,s+1}\} + \frac{c_{ij}c_{\tau i, j}}{4}[h_{i,r}, b_{j,s}].
\end{aligned} \quad (7.59)$$

Here we allow $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the relation (7.59) with $h_{i,-1} = 1$ and $h_{i,r} = 0$ for $r < -1$.

Proof. The relation (7.57) is clear from (6.26), (7.43), and the definition of $h_{i,r}$.

The relation (7.58) for $i = j$ is obvious from (7.46) and (7.47). Here for (7.46), we apply (6.27) to get the relation (7.58) for $i = j = 2$. Then we consider the case $(i, j) = (1, 2)$. It follows from (7.48) and $e_1(u) = -f_2(-u)$ (by Lemma 6.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(u-v)[f_1(u), f_2(v)] &= \frac{u-v}{u+v}(\tilde{d}_1(u)d_2(u) - \tilde{d}_1(-v)d_2(-v)) + e_{13}(-v) - f_2(v)f_1(u) + f_{31}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\tilde{d}_2(v)d_3(v) = \tilde{d}_1(-v)d_2(-v)$ from Lemma 6.8 and rewriting it in terms of $b_1(u)$ and $b_2(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(u-v + \frac{1}{2})[b_1(u), b_2(v)] &= \frac{u-v + \frac{1}{2}}{u+v} \left(\tilde{d}_2(v - \frac{1}{4})d_3(v - \frac{1}{4}) - \tilde{d}_1(u + \frac{1}{4})d_2(u + \frac{1}{4}) \right) \\
&\quad - e_{13}(-v + \frac{1}{4}) - b_2(v)b_1(u) - f_{31}(u + \frac{1}{4}).
\end{aligned} \quad (7.60)$$

Expanding the RHS in the region $|u| \gg |v|$, then the term

$$\frac{u-v + \frac{1}{2}}{u+v} \tilde{d}_1(u + \frac{1}{4})d_2(u + \frac{1}{4}) = \left(1 - 2(v - \frac{1}{4}) \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \frac{v^k}{u^{k+1}} \right) \tilde{d}_1(u + \frac{1}{4})d_2(u + \frac{1}{4}) \quad (7.61)$$

does not contribute to the coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For the relation (7.58), we shall only consider the coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we can drop terms like $\tilde{d}_1(u + \frac{1}{4})d_2(u + \frac{1}{4})$, $f_{31}(u + \frac{1}{4})$, $e_{13}(-v + \frac{1}{4})$ in (7.60) and this gives rise to

$$(u-v + \frac{1}{2})[b_1(u), b_2(v)] \simeq \frac{u-v + \frac{1}{2}}{u+v} \tilde{d}_2(v - \frac{1}{4})d_3(v - \frac{1}{4}) - b_2(v)b_1(u).$$

Thus we have

$$(u-v)[b_1(u), b_2(v)] \simeq \left(1 - \frac{2(v - \frac{1}{4})}{u+v}\right) \tilde{d}_2(v - \frac{1}{4}) d_3(v - \frac{1}{4}) - \frac{1}{2} \{b_1(u), b_2(v)\},$$

which implies further

$$(u-v)[b_1(u), b_2(v)] \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \{b_1(u), b_2(v)\} - \frac{2v}{u+v} h_2(v).$$

By taking the coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the relation (7.58) for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$.

We consider the relation (7.59). Note that $h_1(u) = h_2(-u)$ by (6.26) and the anti-automorphism η sends $b_1(u)$ to $-b_2(-u)$; see (6.28). It suffices to consider the case $(i, j) = (1, 1)$. It follows from (7.45) and (7.50) that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tilde{d}_1(u) d_2(u), f_1(v)] \\ &= \frac{2}{u-v} \tilde{d}_1(u) (f_1(v) - f_1(u)) d_2(u) + \frac{1}{u+v} \tilde{d}_1(u) d_2(u) (f_1(v) + e_2(u)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.62)$$

Thus, we have

$$[\tilde{d}_1(u) d_2(u), f_1(v)] \simeq \frac{2}{u-v} \tilde{d}_1(u) f_1(v) d_2(u) + \frac{1}{u+v} \tilde{d}_1(u) d_2(u) f_1(v), \quad (7.63)$$

where we dropped terms like $\tilde{d}_1(u) f_1(u) d_2(u)$. By (7.45), we find

$$\frac{1}{u-v} \tilde{d}_1(u) f_1(v) \simeq \frac{1}{u-v-1} f_1(v) \tilde{d}_1(u).$$

Plugging it into (7.63), clearing the denominator, substituting $u \rightarrow u + \frac{1}{4}$ and $v \rightarrow v + \frac{1}{4}$ and multiplying both sides by $\sqrt{-1}(1 + \frac{1}{4u})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (u+v+\frac{1}{2})(u-v-1)[h_1(u), b_1(v)] \\ & \simeq 2(u+v+\frac{1}{2})b_1(v)h_1(u) + (u-v-1)h_1(u)b_1(v), \end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to

$$(u^2 - v^2)[h_1(u), b_1(v)] \simeq \frac{3u+v}{2} \{h_1(u), b_1(v)\} - \frac{1}{2}[h_1(u), b_1(v)].$$

Taking the coefficients of $u^{-r-1}v^{-s-1}$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{N}$, one finds the relation (7.59) for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$. Here we can make $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the terms we dropped give rise to $u^k v^l$ for $l \geq 0$ if we expand the denominator in the region $|u| \gg |v|$ as exhibited in (7.61). \square

We need one more lemma for the Serre relations among generators of degree zero, which will be sufficient to deduce more general Serre relations; see Proposition 3.12.

Proposition 7.21. *We have*

$$[b_{1,0}, [b_{1,0}, b_{2,0}]] = 4b_{1,0}, \quad [b_{2,0}, [b_{2,0}, b_{1,0}]] = 4b_{2,0}.$$

Proof. We only prove the first relation. The other one is similar or can be obtained by taking the anti-automorphism η from Lemma 6.11.

It follows from (6.23), (7.48), and Lemma 6.8 that

$$[b_{1,0}, b_{2,0}] = -[f_1^{(1)}, f_2^{(1)}] = -[f_1^{(1)}, e_1^{(1)}] = -h_{1,0} + \frac{1}{4} + f_{31}^{(1)}.$$

On the other hand, setting $i = 2, j = k = 3, l = 1$ in (5.10), we find

$$[s_{23}(u), s_{31}(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (s_{33}(u)s_{21}(v) - s_{33}(v)s_{21}(u)),$$

which implies further

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{1,0}, f_{31}^{(1)}] &= \sqrt{-1} [f_1^{(1)}, f_{31}^{(1)}] = \sqrt{-1} [e_2^{(1)}, f_{31}^{(1)}] \\ &= \sqrt{-1} [s_{23}^{(1)}, s_{31}^{(1)}] = \sqrt{-1} s_{21}^{(1)} = \sqrt{-1} f_1^{(1)} = b_{1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by (7.59) that $[h_{1,0}, b_{1,0}] = 3b_{1,0}$, we deduce from above that $[b_{1,0}, [b_{1,0}, b_{2,0}]] = 4b_{1,0}$. \square

Corollary 7.22. *We have for $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} [b_{i, k_1}, [b_{i, k_2}, b_{j, r}]] = \frac{4}{3} \text{Sym}_{k_1, k_2} (-1)^{k_1} \sum_{p=0}^{k_1+r} 3^{-p} [b_{i, k_2+p}, h_{\tau i, k_1+r-p}].$$

Proof. This identity follows from Propositions 7.20-7.21 using Proposition 3.12. \square

7.5. Relations in \mathcal{X}_5 . The calculations for (3.1)-(3.7) in \mathcal{X}_5 are very similar to the previous subsections, while the relation (3.9) in \mathcal{X}_5 follows by applying the shift homomorphism ψ_1 (see Proposition 6.4, Lemma 6.9, and Corollary 7.22); also note that (3.8) does not show up in \mathcal{X}_5 . Here we only provide details for the verification of the relation (3.7).

Lemma 7.23. *We have the following relations in \mathcal{X}_5 ,*

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1(u), [e_1(v), e_2(w)]] + [e_1(v), [e_1(u), e_2(w)]] &= 0, \\ [e_2(u), [e_2(v), e_1(w)]] + [e_2(v), [e_2(u), e_1(w)]] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again, one shows the same relations as in Lemmas 7.7 and 7.8. Then the verification of the first Serre relation follows from exactly the same arguments as in Lemmas 7.7-7.9.

For the second Serre relation, we have the following identities; cf. Lemma 7.11,

$$[e_{13}(u), e_2^{(1)}] = 0, \tag{7.64}$$

$$[e_1^{(1)}, \tilde{d}_2(v)] = -e_1(v)\tilde{d}_2(v), \tag{7.65}$$

$$[e_1(u), e_2^{(1)}] = e_{13}(u), \quad [e_1^{(1)}, e_2(v)] = e_{13}(v) - e_1(v)e_2(v), \tag{7.66}$$

$$[e_2^{(1)}, e_2(v)] = e_2(v)e_2(v). \tag{7.67}$$

Here (7.64) follows from

$$(u - v)[s_{13}(u), s_{23}(v)] = s_{23}(u)s_{13}(v) - s_{23}(v)s_{13}(u).$$

The relations (7.65) and (7.66) are proved the same as the corresponding relations in (7.29) and (7.30). The relation (7.67) follows from the relation $(u - v)[e_2(u), e_2(v)] = (e_2(u) - e_2(v))^2$ (which follows from (7.46) and Lemma 6.9).

Repeating the argument as in Lemma 7.12, one obtains $[e_{13}(u), e_2(v)] = e_2(v)[e_1(u), e_2(v)]$ which is exactly the relation for non-twisted Yangian of type A; cf. [BK05, Lem. 5.5 (iii)]. The rest is done similarly as in [BK05, Lem. 5.7 (i)]. \square

Remark 7.24. The lemma above can be proved similarly to Proposition 6.6 as follows. The series $e_1(u)$ is expressed in terms of $s_{11}(u)$ and $s_{12}(u)$ while $e_2(u)$ is expressed in terms of $s_{11}(u)$, $s_{13}(u)$, $s_{21}(u)$ and $s_{23}(u)$. Note that the commutator relations between these series $s_{ab}(u)$ are the same as in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ (with $s_{ab}(u)$ replaced by $t_{ab}(u)$); see (5.1) and (5.10). Thus these Serre relations hold as the same Serre relations hold in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$.

Corollary 7.25. *We have the following Serre relations in \mathcal{X}_5 ,*

$$[b_i(u), [b_i(v), b_j(w)]] + [b_i(v), [b_i(u), b_j(w)]] = 0,$$

for the pairs $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 4), (4, 3)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 7.23 by applying the anti-automorphism η and Lemma 6.8. \square

REFERENCES

- [BK05] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Parabolic presentations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), no. 1, 191–220.
- [BK20] P. Baseilhac and S. Kolb, *Braid group action and root vectors for the q -Onsager algebra*, Transform. Groups **25** (2020), 363–389.
- [BR17] S. Belliard and V. Regelskis, *Drinfeld J Presentation of Twisted Yangians*, SIGMA **13** (2017), 011, 35 pages.
- [CG15] P. Conner and N. Guay, *From twisted quantum loop algebras to twisted Yangians*, SIGMA **11** (2015), 040, 14 pages.
- [CGM14] H. Chen, N. Guay, X. Ma, *Twisted Yangians, twisted quantum loop algebras and affine Hecke algebras of type BC* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 5, 2517–2574.
- [Ch84] I. Cherednik, *Factorizing particles on a half line, and root systems*, Theor. and Math. Phys. **61** (1984), 977–983.
- [Dr87] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. **1, 2** (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Dr88] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.
- [FR99] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, *The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of \mathcal{W} -algebras*, in: Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics, in: Contemp. Math., vol. **248**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, 163–205.
- [FT23] R. Frassek, A. Tsymbaliuk, *Orthosymplectic Yangians*, preprint, [arXiv:2311.18818](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.18818).
- [G²RW05] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, and R. Wilson, *Quasideterminants*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), 56–141.
- [GM12] N. Guay and X. Ma, *From quantum loop algebras to Yangians*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **86** (2012), 683–700.
- [GR16] N. Guay and V. Regelskis, *Twisted Yangians for symmetric pairs of types B, C, D* , Math. Z. **284** (2016), 131–166.
- [GRW17] N. Guay, V. Regelskis and C. Wendlandt, *Representations of twisted Yangians of types B, C, D : I*, Selecta Math. New Ser. **23** (2017), 2071–2156.
- [GTL13] S. Gautam and V. Toledano Laredo, *Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), 271–336.
- [JLM18] N. Jing, M. Liu, and A. Molev, *Isomorphism Between the R -Matrix and Drinfeld Presentations of Yangian in Types B, C and D* , Comm. Math. Phys. **361** (2018), no. 3, 827–872.
- [Kn95] H. Knight, *Spectra of Tensor Products of Finite Dimensional Representations of Yangians*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), no.1, 187–196.
- [KLWZ23] K. Lu, W. Wang and W. Zhang, *A Drinfeld type presentation of twisted Yangians*, preprint, [arXiv:2308.12254](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.12254).
- [KLWZ24] K. Lu, W. Wang and W. Zhang, *Affine quantum groups and twisted Yangians in Drinfeld presentations*, preprint, [arXiv:2406.05067](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.05067).
- [Ko14] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, Adv. Math. **267** (2014), 395–469.
- [Le93] S.Z. Levendorskii, *On generators and defining relations of Yangians*, J. Geom. Phys. **12** (1993), no. 1, 1–11.
- [Lu23] K. Lu, *Isomorphism between twisted q -Yangians and affine quantum groups: type AI* , preprint, [arXiv:2308.12484](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.12484).
- [LW21] M. Lu and W. Wang, *A Drinfeld type presentation of affine quantum groups I: split ADE type*, Adv. Math. **393** (2021), 108111, 46 pp.
- [LWZ23] M. Lu, W. Wang and W. Zhang, *Braid group action and quasi-split affine quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **27** (2023), 1000–1040.
- [LWZ24] M. Lu, W. Wang and W. Zhang, *Braid group action and quasi-split affine quantum groups II: higher rank*, Comm. Math. Phys. **405** (2024), article no. 142.
- [Ma02] N. Mackay, *Rational K -matrices and representations of twisted Yangians*, J. Phys. A **35** (2002), 7865–7876.
- [Mo07] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **143**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [MNO96] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **51** (1996), 205–282.
- [MR02] A. Molev, E. Ragoucy, *Representations of reflection algebras*. Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), no. 3, 317–342.

- [Na04] M. Nazarov, *Representations of twisted Yangians associated with skew Young diagrams*, *Selecta Math. New Ser.* **10** (2004), 71–129.
- [Ol92] G. Olshanski, *Twisted Yangians and infinite-dimensional classical Lie algebras*, In: “Quantum Groups (Leningrad, 1990)”, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1510**, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp.103–120.
- [Sk88] E. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, *J. Phys. A* **21** (1988), no. 10, 2375, 2375–2389.
- [SW24] C. Su and W. Wang, *Affine quantum groups and Steinberg varieties of type C*, preprint, [arXiv:2407.06865](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.06865).
- [Va00] M. Varagnolo, *Quiver varieties and Yangians*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **53** (2000), 273–283,
- [Zh22] W. Zhang, *A Drinfeld type presentation of affine quantum groups II: split BCFG type*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **112**, 89 (2022).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22903, USA
Email address: `kang.lu@virginia.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NEW CORNERSTONE SCIENCE LABORATORY, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG SAR, P.R.CHINA
Email address: `mathzwn@hku.hk`