

Symmetry reduction and reconstruction in contact geometry and Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations

Alexandre Anahory Simoes^{1,*}, Leonardo Colombo^{2,†},
Manuel de León^{3,4,‡}, Modesto Salgado^{5,6,§} and Silvia Souto^{5,¶}

¹ *IE School of Science and Technology, Madrid, Spain*

² *Centre for Automation and Robotics (CSIC-UPM), Arganda del Rey, Spain*

³ *Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain*

⁴ *Real Academia de Ciencias, Madrid, Spain*

⁵ *Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultade de Matemáticas,
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

⁶ *Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Matemática de Galicia (CITMAga), Spain*

August 14, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the reduction process of a contact Lagrangian system whose Lagrangian is invariant under a group of symmetries. We give explicit coordinate expressions of the resulting reduced differential equations, the so-called Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations. Our framework relied on the associated Herglotz vector field and its projected vector field, and the use of well-chosen quasi-velocities. Some examples are also discussed.

Keywords: Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations, symmetry, reduction, reconstruction, connections on principal bundles.

MSC: 37J55, 53D10, 37C79, 37J37, 70H03, 70H05, 70H20

*alexandre.anahory@ie.edu ORCID: 0000-0003-4644-876X

†leonardo.colombo@csic.es ORCID:0000-0001-6493-6113

‡mdeleon@icmat.es ORCID: 0000-0002-8028-2348

§modesto.salgado@usc.es ORCID: 0000-0003-3982-1845

¶silviasouto.perez@usc.es ORCID: 0000-0003-0755-1211

1 Introduction

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian contact systems have been the subject of intense activity in recent years. The fundamental difference with traditional mechanics is that, instead of possessing conservative properties, contact systems exhibit dissipation. The geometrical reasons for this different behaviour is that one case uses symplectic geometry (a closed, non-degenerate 2-form) while the latter case involves contact forms (a non-degenerate 1-form that is not closed). From the point of view of variations, symplectic mechanics is based on Hamilton's principle, while contact mechanics is based on Herglotz's principle, a generalisation that requires solving an implicit differential equation to define the action [12, 6, 10, 11]. These Lagrangian functions are commonly called in Physics as action-dependent Lagrangians.

One of the most relevant applications of differential geometry to the study of mechanical systems are the procedures for the reduction of the dynamics when the system exhibits symmetries. The mechanisms are: the symplectic reduction theorem of Marsden and Weinstein via the momentum application (an extension of the renowned Noether theorem [15, 1]) and its generalisation to the contact case [19, 2, 10]; the coisotropic reduction which in the symplectic case was developed by Weinstein [18, 1, 9], and in the contact case by de León and Lainz [10] (see also the recent survey [13]); and the direct reduction by the Lie group of symmetries, which in the symplectic case has been developed by Mestdag and Crampin [16, 8]. An alternative variational approach is the so-called Lagrangian reduction by stages developed by Cendra, Marsden and Ratiu [7].

In a recent work [4] we have obtained the Euler–Poincaré–Herglotz equations and Lagrange–Poincaré–Herglotz equations, respectively (correspondingly Lie–Poisson–Jacobi equations and Hamilton–Poincaré–Herglotz equations in the Hamiltonian side) when the contact Lagrangian system is considered on a Lie algebroid, and, in particular a Atiyah algebroid if we are in presence of symmetries. Similar results are obtained in [5], but in this case we are taking the methodology of prolongations of Lie algebroids, which permits to treat directly with contact structures and not general Jacobi structures as above. In [3], the authors discussed reduction procedures for contact mechanical systems on Lie groups based on variational principles.

In this paper, we develop a procedure for the reduction and reconstruction of the dynamics of Lagrangian contact systems using the quasi-coordinate method, extending the results of [16] for conservative systems to systems that include dissipation. One of the necessary geometrical constructions is the prolongation of a connection in a principal fiber bundle using the Hessian of the Lagrangian (instead of a Riemannian metric as in the case of Marsden [14]). The corresponding reduced dynamics are governed by the so-called Lagrange–Poincaré–Herglotz equations.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to introduce some concepts on the geometry of the fiber bundle $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, including Second Order Differential Equations (SODE for short), local frames and quasi-velocities. The main notions on contact Lagrangian systems are developed in Section 3, where the dynamics is provided by the so-called Herglotz SODE. In Section 4 we consider configuration manifolds that are principal bundles over a quotient $Q \rightarrow Q/G$, where G is a Lie group acting on Q ; we also

discuss connections and G -invariant vector fields, in order to get G -invariant local frames. Sections 5, 6 and 7 contain the main results of this paper. Indeed, in Section 5 we give a reduction procedure of the original dynamics to the space $TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$, and so we obtain the so-called Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations. Section 6 is an auxiliary section for Section 7; in fact, in order to get a reconstruction procedure we need to construct a connection in the principal bundle $TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$ using the nondegeneracy of the Lagrangian. We also include one example to illustrate our results as well as a final section of conclusions and further work.

2 Preliminaires

2.1 Connections and curvature

In what follows we will often use non-linear connections on different many fiber bundles. In order to unify notations, let us recall briefly some definitions. Let $p : E \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle, that is, p is a surjective submersion. For $e \in E$, the vertical space $V_e E$ at e is the kernel of $T_e p : T_e E \rightarrow T_{p(e)} B$. So, we obtain the so-called **vertical distribution** $VE = \{V_e E | e \in E\}$. Next, we construct a short exact sequence of vector bundles over E as follow,

$$0 \rightarrow VE \rightarrow TE \rightarrow E \times_B TB \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where the middle arrow $j : TE \rightarrow E \times_B TB$ is given by $v_e \mapsto (e, Tp(v_e))$, and $E \times_B TB$ denotes the fiber product of E and TB over B .

A **connection** on p is either given by a right splitting $\gamma : E \times_B TB \rightarrow TE$ (i.e. a linear map satisfying $j \circ \gamma = id_{E \times_B TB}$), or by the corresponding left splitting $\omega = id_{TE} - \gamma \circ j : TE \rightarrow VE \subset TE$.

The above short exact sequence naturally extends to the level of sections of the corresponding bundles over E ,

$$0 \rightarrow Sec(VE) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(E) \rightarrow Sec(E \times_B TB) \rightarrow 0.$$

The notation $\mathfrak{X}(E)$ stands for the Lie algebra of vector fields on E . A splitting of (1) induces a splitting of the second sequence, and conversely. When we interpret $\omega : \mathfrak{X}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(E)$ as a (1,1)-tensor field on E , we will call it the **connection form**, or the **vertical projection**. The map $h := id - \omega$ is the **horizontal projection** of the connection. Since vector fields T on B can be thought as basic sections in $Sec(E \times_B TB)$, we may define the **horizontal lift** of the vector field T as the vector field T^h of E , given by $T^h(e) = \gamma(e, T(\pi(e)))$, for each $e \in E$.

The **curvature** of the connection is the (1,2)-tensor field on E , given by $(X, Y) \mapsto -\omega([hX, hY])$, for two vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$. In what follows, however, we will also often use the word ‘curvature’ for the restriction of that map to two horizontal lifts and use the notation

$$K(T, S) = -\omega([T^h, S^h]) \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$$

when $T, S \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$.

Assume now that $p : E \rightarrow B$ is a principal bundle. This means that there exists a Lie group G acting on E on the left such that B is naturally identified with the quotient manifold E/G . In addition, the fiber bundle is locally trivial, in the sense that for any point in B there exists a neighborhood U such that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is diffeomorphic to the product $U \times G$ and this diffeomorphism preserves the action of G (the action of G on $U \times G$ is the trivial one). We know that for any element ξ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G there exists a fundamental vector field ξ_E on E provided by the exponential of the group.

Consider now the left splitting $\omega_e : T_e E \rightarrow V_e E$ as in (1), for any $e \in E$. Since

$$\omega_e(\xi_E)(e) = \xi_E(e)$$

we can define a mapping

$$\bar{\omega} : T_e E \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

by

$$\bar{\omega}_e(\xi_E(e)) = \xi$$

This mapping is called the connection form, it is a 1-form taking values in \mathfrak{g} and if it has the property that

$$\Phi_g^* \bar{\omega} = Ad(g) \bar{\omega}$$

for any $g \in G$, where Φ_g is the left action of the Lie group element g and Ad is the adjoint representation of the Lie group G , then it is called a principal connection.

2.2 Geometric structures on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$

Let Q be a manifold with dimension n with local coordinates (q^α) . Let $\tau_Q : TQ \rightarrow Q$ be the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold Q . If (q^α) are local coordinates on $U \subseteq Q$, the natural coordinates (q^α, u^α) on $TU = \tau_Q^{-1}(U)$ are

$$q^\alpha(v_q) = q^\alpha(q), \quad u^\alpha(v_q) = v_q(q^\alpha).$$

Lifts of functions. If f is a differentiable function on Q , the *vertical lift* f^V and the *complete lift* f^C of f , are the functions on TQ given by

$$f^V(v_q) = (\tau_Q)^* f(v_q) = f(q), \quad f^C(v_q) = v_q(f) \equiv u^\alpha(v_q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\alpha} \Big|_q.$$

Since $(q^\alpha)^V = q^\alpha$ and $(q^\alpha)^C = u^\alpha$, we deduce that vector fields on TQ are characterized by its action on vertical and complete lifts of functions.

Lifts of vector fields. Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, the *vertical lift* X^V , and the *complete lift* X^C are the vector fields on TQ given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^V(f^V) &= 0, & X^V(f^C) &= (X(f))^V, \\ X^C(f^V) &= (X(f))^V, & X^C(f^C) &= (X(f))^C, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for any function f on Q .

Taking adapted coordinates (q^α, u^α) on TQ , if $X = X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}$, then

$$X^V = X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad X^C = X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + u^\beta \frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

and we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} \right)^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} \right)^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, we have

$$[X^C, Y^C] = [X, Y]^C, \quad [X^C, Y^V] = [X, Y]^V. \quad (5)$$

and

$$(f X)^V = f^V X^V, \quad (f X)^C = f^V X^C + f^C X^V. \quad (6)$$

The **Liouville vector field** $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ)$ is the vector field defined by $\Delta(f^V) = 0$ and $\Delta(f^C) = f^C$. In adapted coordinates, it reads

$$\Delta = u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.1.

1. If X is a vector field on Q with local one-parameter group $\phi_t : Q \rightarrow Q$, then X^C is the infinitesimal generator of the flow $T\phi_t : TQ \rightarrow TQ$.
2. Δ is the infinitesimal generator of the flow $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times TQ \rightarrow TQ$, $\phi(t, v_q) = e^t v_q$.

As a consequence of (3) and (4) we deduce that the tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$ on TQ are characterized by the action on these lifts of vector fields.

The **canonical tangent structure** of TQ is the tensor field S of type $(1, 1)$ defined by $S(X^C) = X^V$ and $S(X^V) = 0$. In local adapted coordinates it is written as

$$S = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} \otimes dq^\alpha. \quad (8)$$

Remark 2.2. Since $TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ$ is a trivial vector bundle, the lifts of functions, vector fields, and the canonical structures on TQ (the canonical tangent structure and the Liouville vector field described above) can be extended to $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ in a natural way, and are denoted with the same notations (f^V , f^C , X^V , X^C , S and Δ).

2.3 Second-order differential equations

Definition 2.1. Consider a map $c_s: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}$ with $c_s(t) = (c(t), s(t))$, where $c: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Q$. The **first prolongation** of c_s to $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ is the curve $c_s^{(1)}: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$c_s^{(1)}(t) = (\dot{c}(t), s(t)).$$

In local coordinates, if $c_s(t) = (c(t), s(t)) = (q^\alpha(t), s(t))$, then we have

$$c_s^{(1)}(t) = (\dot{c}(t), s(t)) = (q^\alpha(t), \dot{q}^\alpha(t), s(t)). \quad (9)$$

Definition 2.2. A vector field Γ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ is a **second-order differential equation** (or a **SODE**) if $S(\Gamma) = \Delta$.

The local expression of a SODE is

$$\Gamma = u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad (10)$$

for some local functions $f^\alpha, g \in C^\infty(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$.

If $\sigma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, locally given by $\sigma(t) = (q^\alpha(t), u^\alpha(t), s(t))$, is an integral curve of a SODE Γ , from Definition 2.1 and equation (10), it follows that

$$\left. \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} \right|_t = u^\alpha(t), \quad \left. \frac{du^\alpha}{dt} \right|_t = f^\alpha(\sigma(t)), \quad \left. \frac{ds}{dt} \right|_t = g^\alpha(\sigma(t)). \quad (11)$$

Then, we have

$$\sigma(t) = (q^\alpha(t), \left. \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} \right|_t, s(t)) \quad \left. \frac{ds}{dt} \right|_t = g^\alpha(\sigma(t)).$$

and hence $\sigma(t) = c_s^{(1)}(t) = (\dot{c}(t), s(t))$ where $c(t) = \tau \circ \sigma(t) = (q^\alpha(t))$ is the **first prolongation** of $c_s(t) = (c(t), s(t))$ to $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$.

So, in coordinates a SODE defines a system of differential equations of the form

$$\frac{d^2 q^\alpha}{dt^2} = f^\alpha(q, \dot{q}, s), \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = g(q, \dot{q}, s). \quad (12)$$

2.4 Local frames and quasi-velocities

Consider $\{Z_\alpha\}$ a **local frame** on Q , that is, a local basis of vector fields on Q . Then each vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ can be written as

$$Z = Z^\alpha Z_\alpha$$

for some local functions $Z^\alpha \in C^\infty(Q)$.

Likewise, each tangent vector $w_q \in T_q Q$ can be decomposed as $w_q = v^\alpha(w_q) Z_\alpha(q)$, for some real numbers $v^\alpha(w_q)$.

This new fibre coordinates v^α , which are the components of w_q with respect to the basis $Z_\alpha(q)$, are called **quasi-velocities**.

If we consider the standard frame $\{\partial/\partial q^\beta\}$ on Q , then

$$Z_\alpha(q) = Z_\alpha^\beta(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\beta} \Big|_q.$$

Therefore,

$$w_q = v^\alpha(w_q) Z_\alpha(q) = v^\alpha(w_q) Z_\alpha^\beta(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\beta} \Big|_q,$$

and we have

$$u^\beta(w_q) = v^\alpha(w_q) Z_\alpha^\beta(q).$$

So, we can use (q^α, v^α, s) as (non-natural) coordinates in $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$v^\alpha(w_q) = (Z_\beta^\alpha(q))^{-1} u^\beta(w_q)$$

From the local expressions (3), we can easily conclude that:

Proposition 2.1. *If $\{Z_\alpha\}$ is any local frame on Q , then $\{Z_\alpha^C, Z_\alpha^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ is a local frame on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.1. *We may express (locally) a second-order differential equation (SODE) $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$ in the form*

$$\Gamma = v^\alpha Z_\alpha^C + \Gamma^\alpha Z_\alpha^V + g \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad (13)$$

where v^α are the quasi-velocities, and Γ^α, g are some local functions on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$.

The proof is a consequence of the above Proposition 2.1 and the following properties: $T\tau_Q \circ Z_\alpha^C = Z_\alpha \circ \tau_Q$ and $T\tau_Q \circ Z_\alpha^V = 0$, where $\tau_Q : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Q$ is the canonical projection.

3 Contact Lagrangian systems

In this section, we recall the Lagrangian contact formalism and we introduce the notion of G -invariant vector fields associated with a connection. For a regular Lagrangian, the solution of the Herglotz equations is given by the integral curves of some vector field, the so-called **Herglotz SODE**.

A *contact manifold* is a pair (M, η) where M has odd dimension $2n + 1$ and η is a contact 1-form, that is, $\eta \wedge d\eta^n \neq 0$. On these manifolds, there exist a unique vector field (called *Reeb vector field*) \mathcal{R} such that $i_{\mathcal{R}}\eta = 1$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}}d\eta = 0$.

Consider now a Lagrangian function $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $L = L(q^\alpha, u^\alpha, s)$. We will assume that L is regular. That is, its Hessian matrix with respect to the velocities $(W_{\alpha\beta})$, where

$$W_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^\alpha \partial u^\beta},$$

is regular.

Another way to read the regularity of the Lagrangian L in terms of a non-standard frame is the following: *a Lagrangian L is regular if, and only if, the matrix*

$$(Z_\alpha^V (Z_\beta^V (L)))$$

has maximal rank.

We are able to define the Lagrangian 1-form on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\eta_L = ds - \theta_L = ds - dL \circ S = ds - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha} dq^\alpha \quad (14)$$

which is a contact form on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if L is regular. Indeed,

$$d\eta_L = -\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s \partial u^\alpha} ds \wedge dq^\alpha - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^\beta \partial u^\alpha} dq^\beta \wedge dq^\alpha - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^\beta \partial u^\alpha} du^\beta \wedge dq^\alpha. \quad (15)$$

and then

$$\eta_L \wedge d\eta_L^n = \det(W_{\alpha\beta}) d^n q \wedge d^n u \wedge ds \neq 0.$$

The triple $(TQ \times \mathbb{R}, \eta_L, E_L)$ is said to be a *contact Lagrangian system*. The corresponding Reeb vector field, determined by the relations $i_{\mathcal{R}_L} \eta_L = 1$, $i_{\mathcal{R}_L} d\eta_L = 0$, is locally given by

$$\mathcal{R}_L = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - W^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s \partial u^\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

The Lagrangian energy of the system is defined as

$$E_L = \Delta(L) - L = u^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha} - L. \quad (17)$$

From a straightforward computation, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_L (E_L) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}.$$

We denote by \flat_L the vector bundle isomorphism for the contact form η_L on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \flat_L : \mathfrak{X}(TQ \times \mathbb{R}) &\longrightarrow \Omega^1(TQ \times \mathbb{R}) \\ Z &\longmapsto \flat_L(Z) = i_Z d\eta_L + \eta_L(Z) \eta_L. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The dynamics of the system is given by the Herglotz vector field Γ , which is the unique vector field satisfying

$$\flat_L(\Gamma) = dE_L + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s} - E_L \right) \eta_L. \quad (19)$$

A direct computation from equation (19) shows that Γ is a SODE locally given by

$$\Gamma = u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + \Gamma^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + L \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad (20)$$

where the components Γ^α satisfy the equation

$$\Gamma^\beta \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^\beta \partial u^\alpha} + u^\beta \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^\beta \partial u^\alpha} + L \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s \partial u^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha}. \quad (21)$$

Let $(q^\alpha(t), u^\alpha(t), s(t))$ be an holonomic integral curve of Γ , that is $u^\alpha(t) = \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} \Big|_t$, then by substituting its values in equation (21) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 q^\beta}{dt^2} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^\beta \partial u^\alpha} + \frac{dq^\beta}{dt} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^\beta \partial u^\alpha} + \frac{ds}{dt} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial s \partial u^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = L. \quad (22)$$

which are the *Herglotz equations* considered by Herglotz in 1930 [12], usually written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = L. \quad (23)$$

The SODE $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$, solution to (19), is called the *Herglotz vector field* associated with the Lagrangian function L .

Next, we will show an alternative form to write Herglotz equations.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$ be a SODE and L a regular Lagrangian on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. Γ is Lagrangian vector field ,
2. $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \eta_L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \eta_L$, $\eta_L(\Gamma) = -E_L$,
3. For each vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$,

$$\Gamma(Z^V(L)) - Z^C(L) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(Z^V(L));$$

or, equivalently, for each local frame $\{Z_\alpha\}$ of vector fields on Q ,

$$\Gamma(Z_\alpha^V(L)) - Z_\alpha^C(L) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(Z_\alpha^V(L)), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n. \quad (24)$$

In particular, if we take the standard frame $\{\partial/\partial q^\alpha\}$ on Q , equations (24) become

$$\Gamma \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^\alpha}.$$

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. Assume Γ is the unique solution of (19) whose local expression is given in (20). Then, by the isomorphism (18) we have

$$i_\Gamma d\eta_L + \eta_L(\Gamma)\eta_L = dE_L - (\mathcal{R}_L(E_L) + E_L)$$

i.e.

$$i_\Gamma d\eta_L - dE_L = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\eta_L,$$

where we used that $\eta_L(\Gamma) = -E_L$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \eta_L = i_\Gamma d\eta_L + di_\Gamma \eta_L = i_\Gamma d\eta_L - dE_L = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\eta_L.$$

2. \Rightarrow 3. If we apply the relation in 2 to a complete lift Z^C we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \eta_L - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\eta_L \right) (Z^C) \\ &= \Gamma(\eta_L(Z^C)) - \eta_L([\Gamma, Z^C]) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\eta_L(Z^C) \\ &= -\Gamma(Z^V(L)) + Z^C(L) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(Z^V(L)). \end{aligned}$$

When applied to a vertical lift Z^V or to $\partial/\partial s$ we obtain the identity “0 = 0”. So the result follows.

The implications 2. \Rightarrow 1. and 3. \Rightarrow 2. are easily proved. □

4 G-invariant vector fields

Throughout the paper we will assume that the configuration space Q of the Lagrangian system is equipped with a free and proper left Lie group action $\Phi : G \times Q \rightarrow Q$ of a connected Lie group G , which eventually will be the symmetry group of the Lagrangian system under consideration.

The projection

$$\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$$

on the set of equivalence classes gives Q the structure of a principal fibre bundle with structure group G .

Let $\Phi_g : Q \rightarrow Q$ and $\Phi_q : G \rightarrow Q$ be the maps

$$\Phi_g(q) = \Phi(g, q) = gq, \quad \Phi_q(g) = \Phi(g, q) = gq.$$

Definition 4.1. A vector field $W \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ is said to be G -invariant if

$$(\Phi_g)_*(q)(W(q)) = W(\Phi_g(q)),$$

for all $g \in G$, $q \in Q$.

In that case, the relation

$$\check{W} \circ \pi_Q = T\pi_Q \circ W \quad (25)$$

uniquely defines a *reduced vector field* $\check{W} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q/G)$.

That is,

$$\check{W}(\pi_Q(q)) = (\pi_Q)_*(q)(W(q)), \quad q \in Q.$$

Likewise, if $F : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an invariant function on Q (say, $F \circ \Phi_g = F$), it can be reduced to a function $f : Q/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f \circ \pi_Q = F$. We also have that

$$W(F) = W(f \circ \pi_Q) = \check{W}(f) \circ \pi_Q, \quad (26)$$

that is, $\check{W}(f)$ is the reduced function on Q/G of the invariant function $W(F)$ on Q .

From these relations, we have the following:

Proposition 4.1. *If ϕ is an integral curve of an invariant vector field $W \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, then $\check{\phi} = \pi_Q \circ \phi$ is an integral curve of the reduced vector field $\check{W} \in \mathfrak{X}(Q/G)$.*

We denote by ξ_Q the *fundamental vector field* on Q associated to an element ξ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G ,

$$\xi_Q(q) = (\Phi_q)_*(e)(\xi).$$

We have,

- A function $F \in C^\infty(Q)$ is invariant if, and only if, $\xi_Q(F) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- A vector field $W \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ is invariant if, and only if, $[W, \xi_Q] = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.1. *The Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ of two G -invariants vector fields X and Y on Q is also G -invariant.*

From now we will use local coordinates on Q defined as follows. Let $U \subset Q/G$ be an open set over which Q is locally trivial, so that $(\pi_Q)^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times G$.

We will use coordinates $(q^\alpha) = (q^i, q^a)$ on a suitable open subset $(\pi_Q)^{-1}(U)$ (containing $U \times \{e\}$, where e is the neutral element of the group G) such that (q^i) are coordinates on U , and (q^a) are coordinates on the fibre G .

Then, the local expression of the projection $\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_Q)^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times G &\longrightarrow U \\ (q^\alpha) = (q^i, q^a) &\longmapsto (q^i), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $1 \leq a \leq \dim G = d$, $1 \leq i \leq \dim Q - \dim G = n - d$.

The left action of G onto $(\pi_Q)^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times G$ is given by

$$\Phi_g(q, h) = (q, gh),$$

so, in these coordinates, we have

$$\Phi_g(q^i, q^a) = (q^i, (\Phi_g)^b(q^i, q^a)).$$

Let

$$X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \tilde{X}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} \quad (28)$$

be the local expression of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$. If X is G -invariant then we can conclude that the functions X^i on Q are invariant and they can be identified with functions on Q/G . The reduced vector field \check{X} we had defined in (25) is given by $\check{X} = \check{X}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$, where $\check{X}^i \circ \pi_Q = X^i$.

4.1 Connections on $\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$ and G -invariant vector fields

Suppose we are given a principal connection on the principal bundle $\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$. We will consider three sets of vector fields $\{X_i\}$, $\{\tilde{E}_a\}$ and $\{\hat{E}_a\}$ on Q .

The first set, $\{X_i\}$, is given by the horizontal lifts of a coordinate basis of vector fields $\partial/\partial q^i$ on Q/G by the given principal connection. These vector fields are G -invariant by construction, and they form a basis of the horizontal subspace at any point.

The other two sets of vector fields, $\{\tilde{E}_a\}$ and $\{\hat{E}_a\}$, will both form a basis for the vertical space of π_Q at each point.

The vector fields $\{\tilde{E}_a = (E_a)_Q\}$ are the fundamental vector fields on Q , associated to a basis $\{E_a\}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . They are in general not invariant vector fields. Since they are vertical by construction, we can write

$$\tilde{E}_a = K_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial q^b}, \quad (29)$$

for some non-singular matrix-valued function (K_a^b) .

The quasi-velocities corresponding to the basis X_i, \tilde{E}_a will be denoted by (v^i, v^a) , so for every tangent vector v_m , $v_m = v^i X_i + v^a \tilde{E}_a$.

The vector fields \hat{E}_a in the last set are defined as

$$\hat{E}_a(q, g) = (Ad_g E_a)_Q(q, g) = \widetilde{Ad_g E_a}(q, g) \quad (30)$$

where we are using the local trivialization (27), and Ad is the adjoint map and the notation ξ_Q refers again to the fundamental vector field of $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. One easily verifies that these vector fields are all invariant.

The relation between \hat{E}_a and \tilde{E}_a can be expressed as

$$\hat{E}_a(q, g) = A_a^b(g) \tilde{E}_b(q, g), \quad (31)$$

where $(A_a^b(g))$ is the matrix representing $Ad_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the basis $\{E_a\}$ of \mathfrak{g} . In particular $A_a^b(e) = \delta_a^b$.

If we set

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \gamma_i^b(q^i, q^a) \widehat{E}_b \quad (32)$$

the invariance of X_i implies that $\partial \gamma_i^b / \partial q^a = 0$.

The quasi-velocities corresponding to the basis X_i, \widehat{E}_a will be denoted by (v^i, w^a) , so for every tangent vector v_m , $v_m = v^i X_i + w^a \widehat{E}_a$.

Let C_{ab}^c be the structure constants of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , that is $[E_a, E_b] = C_{ab}^c E_c$, and K_{ij}^a be the components of the curvature of the principal connection (with respect to the vertical frame \widehat{E}_a),

$$K_{ij}^a = \frac{\partial \gamma_j^a}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial \gamma_i^a}{\partial q^j} + \gamma_i^b \gamma_j^c C_{bc}^a.$$

One easily verifies that the Lie brackets of the vector fields of interest are as follows (see e.g. [16]).

Lemma 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) [\widetilde{E}_a, \widetilde{E}_b] &= -C_{ab}^c \widetilde{E}_c, & (2) [\widehat{E}_a, \widehat{E}_b] &= C_{ab}^c \widehat{E}_c, & (3) [X_i, \widetilde{E}_a] &= 0, \\ (4) [X_i, \widehat{E}_a] &= \Upsilon_{ia}^b \widehat{E}_b, & (5) [X_i, X_j] &= -K_{ij}^a \widehat{E}_a, & (6) [\widetilde{E}_a, \widehat{E}_b] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\Upsilon_{ia}^b = X_i(A_a^c) \bar{A}_c^b$.

Proof. We have that (3) and (6) are consequence of that X_i and \widehat{E}_b are G -invariant. \square

5 Symmetry reduction of a Herglotz vector field

In this section, we show that, if the contact Lagrangian is G -invariant, then so is its Lagrangian SODE. The integral curves of the reduced SODE will provide the contact Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations.

Suppose there is an action $\Phi : G \times Q \rightarrow Q$ which is free and proper. It induces a lifted action $\Phi^{TQ} = T\Phi : G \times TQ \rightarrow TQ$ on the tangent bundle TQ given by

$$\Phi^{TQ}(g, v_q) = T\Phi_g(v_q) = (\Phi_g)_*(q)(v_q),$$

where $v_q \in TQ$ and $g \in G$. The lifted action Φ^{TQ} is also free and proper and, therefore, $\pi_{TQ} : TQ \rightarrow TQ/G$ is a principal fiber bundle.

In order to give an action of G on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, we will consider the following induced action

$$\Phi_g^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} = (\Phi_g^{TQ}, Id_{\mathbb{R}}) : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ \times \mathbb{R},$$

so that, G acts on \mathbb{R} as the identity

$$\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(g, (v_q, s)) = (\Phi^{TQ}(g, v_q), s) = ((\Phi_g)_*(q)(v_q), s)$$

and then the coordinate function s is G -invariant. Therefore, the projection

$$\pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (TQ \times \mathbb{R}) / G \simeq \frac{TQ}{G} \times \mathbb{R}$$

gives $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ the structure of a principal fiber bundle over $\frac{TQ}{G} \times \mathbb{R}$, provided that the action $\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$ is free and proper.

As before, we will denote by ξ_Q the fundamental vector field corresponding to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. From the definition of the complete lift in Section 2.2, it follows that the fundamental vector field $\xi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$ given by the action $\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$ is actually the complete lift ξ_Q^C of the fundamental vector field ξ_Q given by the action on Q , that is,

$$\xi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} = \xi_Q^C.$$

Now, from the properties in (5) and since $\partial/\partial s$ is G -invariant (namely, $[\partial/\partial s, \xi_Q^C] = 0$), we have the following.

Lemma 5.1. *If the frame $\{Z_\alpha\}$ on Q is invariant, then the frame $\{Z_\alpha^C, Z_\alpha^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ is also invariant, with respect to the action $\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$,*

Without loss of generality we may suppose that the local frame $\{Z_\alpha\}$ on Q , consists of only invariant vector fields (for example, we can use the invariant frame $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a\}$ that we had introduced in Section 4.1).

We will use coordinates $(q^\alpha) = (q^i, q^a)$ on Q that are adapted to the principal fiber bundle structure $Q \rightarrow Q/G$, as explained in Section 4.

The quasi-velocities corresponding to the basis X_i, \widehat{E}_a will be denoted by (v^i, w^a) , so for every tangent vector v_q , $v_q = v^i X_i + w^a \widehat{E}_a$, then the set (q^i, q^a, v^i, w^a, s) represents coordinates on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ adapted to the basis $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ of vector fields.

The coordinate s is G -invariant, since $\xi_Q^C(s) = 0$. The coordinates q^i are also G -invariant since the fundamental vector fields ξ_Q are vertical with respect to the projection $\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$, and therefore we have $0 = \xi_Q(q^i) = \xi_Q^C(q^i)$.

Now we will see that the coordinate functions v^i, w^a are also G -invariant functions on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, in fact we shall prove that $\xi_Q^C(v^i) = 0$ and $\xi_Q^C(w^a) = 0$.

Let θ be a 1-form on Q . We define the function $\vec{\theta}$ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ as $\vec{\theta}(v_q, s) = \theta(v_q)$.

In local coordinates (q^α, u^α, s) , if $\theta = \theta_\alpha dq^\alpha$, then

$$\vec{\theta} = \theta_\alpha u^\alpha. \quad (34)$$

From Remark 2.2, (2) and (34), we can conclude the following relations.

Lemma 5.2. *Let Z be a vector field on Q , f a function on Q , and θ a 1-form on Q . Then*

$$Z^C(f^V) = (Z(f))^V, \quad Z^V(f^V) = 0, \quad Z^C(\vec{\theta}) = \overrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_Z \theta)}, \quad Z^V(\vec{\theta}) = (\theta(Z))^V. \quad (35)$$

Lemma 5.3. *If $\{Z_\alpha\}$ is a local frame on Q and $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ is its dual basis, then the local quasi-velocities v^α on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $u^\beta = v^\alpha Z_\alpha^\beta$ can in fact be represented by the linear functions $v^\alpha = \overrightarrow{(\theta^\alpha)}$.*

Proof. It is a straightforward computation since

$$Z_\alpha = Z_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\beta}, \quad \theta^\alpha = \theta_\beta^\alpha dq^\beta, \quad \overrightarrow{\theta^\alpha} = \theta_\beta^\alpha u^\beta = \theta_\beta^\alpha v^\gamma Z_\gamma^\beta = v^\alpha.$$

□

Now, from Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\xi_Q^C(v^\alpha) = \xi_Q^C(\overrightarrow{\theta^\alpha}) = \overrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_Q} \theta^\alpha)}.$$

Finally, we observe that

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_Q} \theta^\alpha)(Z_\beta) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_Q}(\theta^\alpha(Z_\beta)) - \theta^\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_Q} Z_\beta) = \xi_Q(\delta_\beta^\alpha) - \theta^\alpha([\xi_Q, Z_\beta]) = 0,$$

since Z_β is G -invariant, therefore $\xi_Q^C(v^\alpha) = 0$.

In particular, if $Z_\alpha = (X_i, \widehat{E}_a, \frac{\partial}{\partial s})$ and $\theta^\alpha = (\theta^i, \theta^a, ds)$ is its dual basis, then $\overrightarrow{\theta^i} = v^i$, $\overrightarrow{\theta^a} = w^a$, and we get $\xi_Q^C(v^i) = 0$ and $\xi_Q^C(w^a) = 0$.

5.1 Invariant Herglotz SODES

For the remainder of the paper we will assume that the Lagrangian L is invariant under the action $\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$, for a connected Lie group G . In view of what we said before this means that $\xi_Q^C(L) = 0$, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Given $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a regular invariant Lagrangian, then the Herglotz SODE Γ associated with the Lagrangian function L is G -invariant, that is*

$$0 = [\widetilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma].$$

Proof. From (13) we know that if $\{Z_\alpha\}$ is an invariant local frame on Q , the local expression of a Lagrangian SODE is

$$\Gamma = v^\alpha Z_\alpha^C + \Gamma^\alpha Z_\alpha^V + L \frac{\partial}{\partial s}. \quad (36)$$

We only need to check that $[\widetilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma] = 0$, where \widetilde{E}_a^C is the fundamental vector field $(E_a)_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}$ of the action on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$.

From the invariance of the vector fields Z_α^C , Z_α^V and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$, and the functions L and v^α we have

$$[\widetilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma] = [\widetilde{E}_a^C, v^\alpha Z_\alpha^C + \Gamma^\alpha Z_\alpha^V + L \frac{\partial}{\partial s}] = \widetilde{E}_a^C(\Gamma^\alpha) Z_\alpha^V, \quad (37)$$

so Γ is G -invariant if the functions Γ^α are invariants.

We apply the vector field \tilde{E}_a^C to both sides of the equations (24)

$$\Gamma(Z_\alpha^V(L)) - Z_\alpha^C(L) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(Z_\alpha^V(L)).$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_a^C(\Gamma(Z_\alpha^V(L)) - Z_\alpha^C(L)) &= \tilde{E}_a^C(\Gamma(Z_\alpha^V(L))) - \tilde{E}_a^C(Z_\alpha^C(L)) \\ &= [\tilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma](Z_\alpha^V(L)) + \Gamma(\tilde{E}_a^C(Z_\alpha^V(L))) - [\tilde{E}_a^C, Z_\alpha^C](L) - Z_\alpha^C(\tilde{E}_a^C(L)) \\ &= [\tilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma](Z_\alpha^V(L)), \end{aligned}$$

because of $\tilde{E}_a^C(L) = 0$ and the zero Lie brackets of $[\tilde{E}_a^C, Z_\alpha^C]$ and $[\tilde{E}_a^C, Z_\alpha^V]$.

Since

$$0 = [\tilde{E}_a^C, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}](L) = \tilde{E}_a^C(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(\tilde{E}_a^C(L)) = \tilde{E}_a^C(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s})$$

then

$$\tilde{E}_a^C\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}(Z_\alpha^V(L))\right) = \tilde{E}_a^C\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\right)Z_\alpha^V(L) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}\tilde{E}_a^C(Z_\alpha^V(L)) = 0.$$

So, by making use of expression (37), we have

$$0 = [\tilde{E}_a^C, \Gamma](Z_\alpha^V(L)) = \tilde{E}_a^C(\Gamma^\beta)Z_\beta^V(Z_\alpha^V(L)).$$

Given that the matrix $Z_\beta^V(Z_\alpha^V(L))$ has maximal rank for a regular Lagrangian L , the result follows. \square

Now, since Γ is invariant, it reduces to a vector field $\check{\Gamma}$ on $(TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G = TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\check{\Gamma}([v_q], s_0) = (\pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}})_*(v_q, s_0)\Gamma(v_q, s_0),$$

for $v_q \in TQ$, $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The goal of the next few sections is to provide a coordinate expression of this vector field. To do so, we will need to invoke a principal connection on the bundle $Q \rightarrow Q/G$.

5.2 Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations

Suppose we are given a principal connection on the principal bundle $\pi_Q : Q \rightarrow Q/G$. We choose the invariant frame $\{X_i, \hat{E}_a, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ (see Section 4.1), and we will write (q^i, q^a, v^i, w^a, s) for the coordinates and the corresponding quasi-velocities on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$.

From Lemma 5.1 we know that the frame $\{Z_\alpha^C, Z_\alpha^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\} = \{X_i^C, X_i^V, \hat{E}_a^C, \hat{E}_a^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ consists only of invariant vector fields on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Also the coordinate functions (q^i, v^i, w^a, s) are G -invariant functions on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ and, therefore, they can be used as coordinates on $(TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G$. In summary, we may say that the canonical projections are locally given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_Q : Q &\rightarrow Q/G & \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow (TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G \\ (q^i, q^a) &\mapsto (q^i) & (q^i, q^a, v^i, w^a, s) &\mapsto (q^i, v^i, w^a, s). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Lemma 5.4. *If we apply the vector fields $X_i^C, X_i^V, \widehat{E}_a^C, \widehat{E}_a^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ to the invariant functions q^i, v^i, w^a, s we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^C(q^j) &= \delta_i^j, & X_i^C(v^j) &= 0, & X_i^C(w^a) &= -\Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b + K_{ij}^a v^j, & X_i^C(s) &= 0, \\ X_i^V(q^j) &= 0, & X_i^V(v^j) &= \delta_i^j, & X_i^V(w^a) &= 0, & X_i^V(s) &= 0, \\ \widehat{E}_a^C(q^j) &= 0, & \widehat{E}_a^C(v^j) &= 0, & \widehat{E}_a^C(w^b) &= \Upsilon_{ia}^b v^i - C_{ac}^b w^c, & \widehat{E}_a^C(s) &= 0, \\ \widehat{E}_a^V(q^j) &= 0, & \widehat{E}_a^V(v^j) &= 0, & \widehat{E}_a^V(w^b) &= \delta_a^b, & \widehat{E}_a^V(s) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(q^j) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(v^j) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(w^a) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(s) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\{\vartheta^j, \vartheta^a\}$ be the dual basis of $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a\}$. From the bracket relations (33), we can see that $\mathcal{L}_{X_i}\vartheta^j = 0$ and that $\mathcal{L}_{X_i}\vartheta^b = -\Upsilon_{ic}^b\vartheta^c + K_{ik}^b\vartheta^k$. Therefore,

$$X_i^C(v^j) = X_i^C(\overrightarrow{\vartheta^j}) = \overline{(\mathcal{L}_{X_i}\vartheta^j)} = 0$$

and, from (35), we deduce

$$X_i^C(w^b) = X_i^C(\overrightarrow{\vartheta^b}) = \overline{(\mathcal{L}_{X_i}\vartheta^b)} = -\Upsilon_{ic}^b w^c + K_{ik}^b v^k.$$

Since we also have

$$X_i^C(q^j) = X_i(q^j) = \delta_i^j,$$

then, the first row in the Lemma follows. The other properties follow by similar arguments. \square

Lemma 5.5. *The projections of the G -invariant vector fields $X_i^C, X_i^V, \widehat{E}_a^C, \widehat{E}_a^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ onto $(TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G$ are locally given, respectively, by*

$$\begin{aligned} \check{X}_i^C &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (K_{ij}^a v^j - \Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^a}, & \check{X}_i^V &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \\ \check{E}_a^C &= (\Upsilon_{ia}^b v^i - C_{ac}^b w^c) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^b}, & \check{E}_a^V &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w^a}, \\ \check{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}. \end{aligned}$$

where we are using the notations

$$\check{E}_a^C = \check{\widehat{E}}_a^C, \quad \check{E}_a^V = \check{\widehat{E}}_a^V$$

To finish, we will get the local expression of the reduced vector field $\check{\Gamma}$ of a Herglotz SODE Γ .

Assume that an invariant Lagrangian $L \in C^\infty(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$ is given. Since the vector fields

$$X_i^C, X_i^V, \widehat{E}_a^C, \widehat{E}_a^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

are also invariant, then the functions

$$X_i^C(L), X_i^V(L), \widehat{E}_a^C(L), \widehat{E}_a^V(L), \frac{\partial L}{\partial s}$$

are invariant too. From the relation (26) between invariant vector fields and its reduced vector fields, we can therefore write

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^V(L) &= \check{X}_i^V(l) \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}, & X_i^C(L) &= \check{X}_i^C(l) \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}, \\ \widehat{E}_a^V(L) &= \check{E}_a^V(l) \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}, & \widehat{E}_a^C(L) &= \check{E}_a^C(l) \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}, \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $l : (TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *reduced Lagrangian*, defined by $l \circ \pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} = L$.

From Proposition 3.1, we know that a Herglotz SODE Γ satisfies, with respect to the frame $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$, the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(X_i^V(L)) - X_i^C(L) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} X_i^V(L), \\ \Gamma(\widehat{E}_a^V(L)) - \widehat{E}_a^C(L) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} \widehat{E}_a^V(L). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By making use of the fact that Γ is an invariant vector field on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (26), (39) and (40) that the reduced vector field $\check{\Gamma}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma}(\check{X}_i^V(l)) - \check{X}_i^C(l) &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \check{X}_i^V(l), \\ \check{\Gamma}(\check{E}_a^V(l)) - \check{E}_a^C(l) &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \check{E}_a^V(l). \end{aligned}$$

on $(TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G$. Taking into account the result in Lemma 5.5 we can rewrite these equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial v^i} \right) - \frac{\partial l}{\partial q^i} &= (K_{ij}^a v^j - \Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b) \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial v^i}, \\ \check{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \right) &= (\Upsilon_{ia}^b v^i - C_{ac}^b w^c) \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^b} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From (36) and in terms of the frame $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$, the Herglotz SODE can be written as

$$\Gamma = v^i X_i^C + w^a \widehat{E}_a^C + \Gamma^i X_i^V + \Gamma^a \widehat{E}_a^V + L \frac{\partial}{\partial s}. \quad (42)$$

We have already established in the proof of Prop 5.1 that the functions Γ^i and Γ^a are invariant, so they may be considered as functions on $(TQ)/G \times \mathbb{R}$.

From Lemma 5.5, and since $C_{ab}^c w^a w^b = 0$ and $K_{ij}^a v^i v^j = 0$, we see that:

Lemma 5.6. *The reduced vector field $\check{\Gamma}$ on $(TQ/G) \times \mathbb{R}$ of a Herglotz SODE Γ is given by*

$$\check{\Gamma} = v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^j \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} + \Gamma^a \frac{\partial}{\partial w^a} + l \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

Now, if

$$t \longrightarrow \check{\phi}(t) = (q^i(t), v^i(t), w^a(t), s(t)) \in (TQ/G) \times \mathbb{R}$$

is an integral curve of $\check{\Gamma}$ then it satisfies, in view of relations (41), the *Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations*,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq^i}{dt} &= v^i, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial v^i} \circ \check{\phi} \right) - \frac{\partial l}{\partial q^i} \circ \check{\phi} &= (K_{ik}^a v^k - \Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b) \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \circ \check{\phi} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial v^i} \circ \check{\phi}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \circ \check{\phi} \right) &= (\Upsilon_{ia}^b v^i - C_{ac}^b w^c) \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^b} \circ \check{\phi} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \circ \check{\phi}. \\ \frac{ds}{dt} &= l \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Remark 5.1. *In the case $Q = G$, if we choose the connection to be trivial, equations (43) are now*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \circ \check{\phi} \right) &= -C_{ac}^b \phi^c \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^b} \circ \check{\phi} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} \circ \check{\phi}. \\ \frac{ds}{dt} &= l \end{aligned}$$

which are the Euler-Poincaré-Herglotz equations considered in [3], [4], [5].

5.3 Illustrative example: Dissipative Wong's equations

Let (Q, g_Q) be a Riemannian manifold on which a group G acts freely and properly to the left as isometries, and we make the further stipulation that the vertical part of the metric (that is, its restriction to the fibres of $\pi : Q \rightarrow Q/G$) comes from a bi-invariant metric κ on G . Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , $\omega : TQ \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a principal connection on Q and g is the reduced metric on Q/G . Then, the metric g_Q has the following expression

$$g_Q(v_q, u_q) = \kappa(e)(\omega(v_q), \omega(u_q)) + g(\pi(q))(\pi_*(q)(v_q), \pi_*(q)(u_q)),$$

for $v_q, u_q \in T_q Q$ and $\kappa(e)$ being the metric κ at the identity element e in G .

The fact that the symmetry group acts as isometries means that the fundamental vector fields \widetilde{E}_a are Killing vector fields, $\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{E}_a} g_Q = 0$. It follows that the components of g_Q with respect to the members of an invariant basis $\{X_i, \widehat{E}_a\}$ are themselves invariant. For this metric, we have $g_Q(X_i, \widehat{E}_a) = 0$ and we will set $g_Q(X_i, X_j) = g_{ij}$ and $g_Q(\widehat{E}_a, \widehat{E}_b) = h_{ab}$.

Since both g_{ij} and h_{ab} are G -invariant functions, they pass to the quotient; in particular g_{ij} are the components with respect to the coordinate fields of the metric g on Q/G .

The further assumption about the vertical part of the metric has the following implications. It means in the first place that $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{E}_c} g_Q(\widehat{E}_a, \widehat{E}_b) = 0$ (since $\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{E}_c} g_Q(\widetilde{E}_a, \widetilde{E}_b) = 0$), and secondly that the h_{ab} must be independent of the coordinates q^i on Q/G , which is to say that they must be constants. From the first condition, taking into account the bracket relations $[\widehat{E}_a, \widehat{E}_b] = C_{ab}^c \widehat{E}_c$, we easily find that h_{ab} must satisfy $h_{bd} C_{ac}^b + h_{bc} C_{ad}^b = 0$. Also, if we set

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \gamma_i^a \widehat{E}_a$$

for some G -invariant coefficients γ_i^a , we get $\Upsilon_{ia}^b = \gamma_i^c C_{ac}^b$, and therefore $h_{ac} \Upsilon_{ib}^c + h_{bc} \Upsilon_{ia}^c = 0$.

We consider the kinetic contact energy $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with g_Q , which is given by the Lagrangian

$$L(v_q, s) = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa(e) (\omega(v_q), \omega(v_q)) + g(\pi(q)) (\pi_*(q)(v_q), \pi_*(q)(v_q))) - \gamma s,$$

for $v_q \in T_q Q$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In local coordinates (q^i, q^a, v^i, w^a, s) , we have

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (g_{ij} v^i v^j + h_{ab} w^a w^b) - \gamma s.$$

It is clear that L is hyperregular and G -invariant.

On the other hand, since the Riemannian metric g_Q is also G -invariant, it induces a fiber metric $g_{TQ/G}$ on the quotient vector bundle $TQ/G \rightarrow Q/G$. The reduced contact Lagrangian $l : TQ/G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is just the kinetic contact energy of the fiber metric $g_{TQ/G}$, that is,

$$l([v_q], s) = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa(e) (\omega(v_q), \omega(v_q)) + g(\pi(q)) (\pi_*(q)(v_q), \pi_*(q)(v_q))) - \gamma s,$$

which in local coordinates (q^i, v^i, w^a, s) is given by

$$l = \frac{1}{2} (g_{ij} v^i v^j + h_{ab} w^a w^b) - \gamma s.$$

The Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations (43) for the contact Lagrangian function l are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (g_{ij} v^j) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial q^i} v^j v^k &= (B_{ij}^a v^j - \Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b) h_{ac} w^c - \gamma g_{ij} v^j, \\ \frac{d}{dt} (h_{ab} w^b) &= (\Upsilon_{ia}^c v^i - C_{ad}^c w^d) h_{ce} w^e - \gamma h_{ab} w^b, \\ \frac{ds}{dt} &= l, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where B_{ij}^a are the components of the curvature of the principal connection ω .

Since $\Upsilon_{ib}^a h_{ac}$ is skew-symmetric in b and c , and $C_{ad}^c h_{ce}$ is skew-symmetric in d and e , the terms $\Upsilon_{ib}^a w^b h_{ac} w^c$ and $C_{ad}^c w^d h_{ce} w^e$ in the first and second equations vanish identically. Now, let Γ_{jk}^i be the connection coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection of the reduced metric g_{ij} , then we may write the equations in the form

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} (\ddot{q}^j + \Gamma_{kl}^j \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l) &= h_{ac} B_{ij}^a \dot{q}^j w^c - \gamma g_{ij} \dot{q}^j \\ h_{ab} (\dot{w}^b + \Upsilon_{id}^b \dot{q}^i w^d + \gamma w^b) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

using the skew-symmetry of $\Upsilon_{ia}^b h_{bd}$ again in the second equation. Given that B_{ij}^a is of course skew-symmetric in its lower indices, these equations are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}^m + \Gamma_{kl}^m \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l &= g^{im} h_{bc} B_{ji}^c \dot{q}^j w^b - \gamma \dot{q}^m \\ \dot{w}^a + \Upsilon_{ib}^a \dot{q}^i w^b + \gamma w^a &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

These are dissipative Wong's equations (see [17]) for the usual case).

Let us now take Q to be $E^3 \times S$ with coordinates (x^i, θ) . Let A_i be the components of a covector field on E^3 , and define a metric g_Q on Q , the Kaluza-Klein metric, by

$$g_Q = \delta_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + (A_i dx^i + d\theta)^2, \quad (47)$$

where (δ_{ij}) is the Euclidean metric. The Kaluza-Klein admits the Killing field $E = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$. The vector fields $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ are orthogonal to E (that is, $g_Q(X_i, E) = 0$), and invariant. Moreover, $g_{ij} = g_Q(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}$, while $g_Q(E, E) = 1$. Finally,

$$[X_i, X_j] = \left(\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Putting these values into the reduced equations above (46) we obtain

$$\ddot{x}^m = \left(\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^i} \right) \dot{x}^j w - \gamma \dot{x}^m, \quad \dot{w} + \gamma w = 0. \quad (48)$$

6 The principal connection on $TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$

In order for the reconstruction method to work, we need a connection on $\pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G \equiv TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$. We start by defining the horizontal distribution, constructed from a given Lagrangian function satisfying a certain regularity assumption.

Consider the contact form η_L associated to a Lagrangian L . We define linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(v_q, s)} &: T_q Q \times T_q Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (u_q, w_q) &\rightarrow g_{(v_q, s)}(u_q, w_q) = d\eta_L(v_q, s)(X^C(v_q, s), Y^V(v_q, s)), \end{aligned}$$

where X, Y are vector fields on Q for which $X(q) = u_q$ and $Y(q) = w_q$.

In the natural coordinates (q^α, u^α, s) on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, the coordinate expression of $g_{(v_q, s)}$ is

$$g_{(v_q, s)} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^\alpha \partial u^\beta} \Big|_{(v_q, s)} dq^\alpha(v_q, s) \otimes dq^\beta(v_q, s). \quad (49)$$

In what follows, we will use the following notations for the coefficients with respect to the basis $\{X_i, \tilde{E}_a\}$ of vector fields on Q :

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ia}(v_q, s) &= g_{(v_q, s)}(X_i(q), \tilde{E}_a(q)) = g_{(v_q, s)}(\tilde{E}_a(q), X_i(q)) = g_{ai}(v_q, s), \\ g_{ij}(v_q, s) &= g_{(v_q, s)}(X_i(q), X_j(q)), \quad g_{ab}(v_q, s) = g_{(v_q, s)}(\tilde{E}_a(q), \tilde{E}_b(q)), \end{aligned}$$

from the local expressions (29),(30), (32) and (49) we obtain

$$g_{ij} = X_i^V(X_j^V(L)), \quad g_{ib} = X_i^V(\tilde{E}_b^V(L)), \quad g_{ab} = \tilde{E}_a^V(\tilde{E}_b^V(L)). \quad (50)$$

Definition 6.1. *A Lagrangian L is G -regular if the matrix (g_{ab}) is non-singular.*

Let us observe that

$$g_{ab} = \tilde{E}_a^V(\tilde{E}_b^V(L)) = K_a^c K_b^d \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^c \partial u^d} \right)$$

and thus L is G -regular if the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^c \partial u^d} \right)$ is non-singular.

We define now the horizontal subspace of the connection on $\pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G \equiv TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

Definition 6.2. *An element $W_{(v_q, s)} \in T(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$ is said to be horizontal if it satisfies*

$$g_{(v_q, s)}((\tau_Q)_*(v_q, s)W_{(v_q, s)}, \xi_Q(q)) = 0,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, and where $(\tau_Q)_*(v_q, s) : T_{(v_q, s)}(TQ \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T_q Q$.

Of course, this defines a splitting only if we suppose that g is non-singular when restricted to the set of fundamental vector fields; this will be the case in particular if g is everywhere positive definite.

Each element $W_{(v_q, s)}$ can be written with respect to the lifted frame $\{X_i^C, \tilde{E}_a^C, X_i^V, \tilde{E}_a^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\}$ as follows

$$W_{(v_q, s)} = W^i X_i^C(v_q, s) + W^a \tilde{E}_a^C(v_q, s) + Z^i X_i^V(v_q, s) + Z^a \tilde{E}_a^V(v_q, s) + f \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{(v_q, s)}. \quad (51)$$

and, then, the condition for $W_{(v_q, s)}$ to be horizontal becomes

$$g_{ib} W^i + g_{ab} W^a = 0. \quad (52)$$

Since we assume that the Lagrangian is regular, we can conclude that a horizontal vector $W_{(v_q, s)}$ takes the form

$$W_{(v_q, s)} = W^i H_i(v_q, s) + Z^i X_i^V(v_q, s) + Z^a \tilde{E}_a^V(v_q, s) + f \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{(v_q, s)},$$

where

$$H_i = X_i^C - B_i^a \tilde{E}_a^C$$

with $B_i^a = g^{ba} g_{ib}$.

Then we have that every element of $T(TQ \times \mathbb{R})$ can be written in a ‘horizontal part’ \mathbf{HW} and a ‘vertical part’ \mathbf{VW} . Indeed, from (51), we write as follows

$$W_{(v_q, s)} = \text{hor } W + \text{vert } W$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{HW} = \text{hor } W &= W^i H_i(v_q, s) + Z^i X_i^V(v_q, s) + Z^a \tilde{E}_a^V(v_q, s) + f \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{(v_q, s)} \\ \mathbf{VW} = \text{vert } W &= (W^a + W^i B_i^a) \tilde{E}_a^C(v_q, s) \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding connection map $\Omega : T(TQ \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the one that has the property that

$$\Omega(\text{hor } W) = 0, \quad \Omega(\xi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(v_q, s)) = \xi.$$

and thus we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.1.

$$\Omega(X_i^C) = B_i^a E_a, \quad \Omega(\hat{E}_a^C) = A_a^b E_b, \quad \Omega(X_i^V) = \Omega(\tilde{E}_a^V) = 0, \quad \Omega(\tilde{E}_a^C) = E_a, \quad \Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = 0. \quad (53)$$

Proof. We only need to prove the following

$$0 = \Omega(H_i) = \Omega(X_i^C - B_i^a \tilde{E}_a^C) = \Omega(X_i^C) - \Omega(\tilde{E}_a^C) = \Omega(X_i^C) - B_i^a E_a$$

$$\Omega(\hat{E}_a^C) = (A_a^b \tilde{E}_a^C)^C = \Omega\left((A_a^b)^C \tilde{E}_a^V + A_a^b \tilde{E}_a^C\right) = A_a^b E_b$$

□

Proposition 6.2. *Let L be a G -regular and G -invariant Lagrangian. Then Ω is a principal connection on the principal bundle $\pi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (TQ \times \mathbb{R})/G \equiv TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The condition we need to check is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}} \bar{\Omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_{TQ}} \bar{\Omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_Q^C} \bar{\Omega} = 0, \quad (54)$$

where $\bar{\Omega}$ is the connection (1,1)-tensor field that is associated to Ω and \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative (see Section 2).

This tensor field is given by

$$\bar{\Omega}(\mathbf{H}W) = 0, \quad \bar{\Omega}(\mathbf{V}W) = \mathbf{V}W \quad (55)$$

Let W be a vector field on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{E}_a^C} \bar{\Omega}(W) &= [\tilde{E}_a^C, \bar{\Omega}(W)] - \bar{\Omega}([\tilde{E}_a^C, W]) \\ &= -\bar{\Omega}([\tilde{E}_a^C, \mathbf{H}W]) = -\mathbf{V}[\tilde{E}_a^C, \mathbf{H}W]\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (55) and that $[\tilde{E}_a^C, \mathbf{V}W]$ is vertical. Computing $[\tilde{E}_a^C, \mathbf{H}W]$ we obtain that its vertical part is

$$\mathbf{V}[\tilde{E}_a^C, \mathbf{H}W] = W^i [\tilde{E}_a^C, H_i] \quad (56)$$

and thus $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{E}_a^C} \bar{\Omega}(W) = 0$ if the vector fields H_i is invariant.

A direct computation shows that

$$\mathbf{V}[\tilde{E}_a^C, H_i] = \left(-\tilde{E}_a^C(B_i^d) + B_i^b C_{ab}^d \right) \tilde{E}_d^C. \quad (57)$$

therefore H_i is invariant if

$$\tilde{E}_a^C(B_i^d) = B_i^b C_{ab}^d. \quad (58)$$

Now, using the identities (50) and that L is invariant one obtains

$$\tilde{E}_d^C(g_{ab}) = C_{da}^e g_{eb} + C_{db}^e g_{ae}, \quad \tilde{E}_d^C(g_{ib}) = C_{db}^e g_{ie}, \quad \tilde{E}_d^C(g^{ec}) = -\tilde{E}_d^C(g_{ab}) g^{ac} g^{eb}, \quad (59)$$

and with these identities one deduce finally (58). \square

7 Reconstruction

Now that we have derived the reduced form of the Herglotz-Euler-Lagrange equations it remains to consider the problem of reconstruction: suppose we can find a solution of these equations, that is an integral curve $\check{\Gamma}$, how do we reconstruct from it a solution of the original equations, that is, an integral curve of Γ .

There is in fact a standard method for reconstructing integral curves of an invariant vector field from reduced data, which makes use of connection theory [1, 14].

Let $\check{c}(t)$ be an integral curve of $\check{\Gamma}$ on $TQ/G \times \mathbb{R}$ and let (v_{q_0}, s_0) be a point of $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ in the fibre over $\check{c}(0)$: we aim to find the integral curve of Γ through (v_{q_0}, s_0) .

Let $\check{c}^H(t)$ be the horizontal lift through (v_{q_0}, s_0) of $\check{c}(t)$ with respect to the connection Γ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, this is the unique curve in $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ projecting onto $\check{c}(t)$ such that $\Omega(\check{c}^H(t)) = 0$ and $\check{c}^H(0) = (v_{q_0}, s_0)$.

Since

$$\pi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(c(t)) = \pi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(\check{c}^H(t)) = \check{c}(t)$$

there exists a curve $g(t)$ on G such that

$$c(t) = \Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(g(t), \check{c}^H(t)) \equiv g(t) \cdot \check{c}^H(t) \quad (60)$$

with $g(0) = e$.

Now, we define a curve $\xi(t)$ on \mathfrak{g} as follows

$$\xi(t) = (L_{g(t)^{-1}})_*(g(t))\dot{g}(t) \quad (61)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\dot{g}(t) = (L_{g(t)})_*(e)\xi(t) \quad \text{with } g(0) = e.$$

Now, using the following lemma

Lemma 7.1. *For an action $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ we have*

$$(\Phi_m)_*(g)v_g = (\Phi_g)_*(m)\eta_M(m) \quad \eta = (L_{g^{-1}})_*(g)v_g \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and (60) and (61), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(c(t)) = \dot{c}(t) &= (\Phi_{g(t)}^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}})_*(\check{c}^H(t))\dot{\check{c}}^H(t) + ((\Phi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}})_{\check{c}^H(t)})_*(g(t))\dot{g}(t) \\ &= (\Phi_{g(t)}^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}})_*(\check{c}^H(t)) \left[\dot{\check{c}}^H(t) + (\xi(t))_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(\check{c}^H(t)) \right] \in T_{c(t)}(TQ \times \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

From equation (62) and since Γ is invariant, we deduce

$$\dot{\check{c}}^H(t) + (\xi(t))_{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(\check{c}^H(t)) = \Gamma(\check{c}^H(t))$$

then, the reconstruction equation is

$$\Omega(\check{c}^H(t))(\Gamma(\check{c}^H(t))) = \xi(t). \quad (63)$$

From (42) and Proposition 6.1 we have

$$\Omega(X_i^C) = B_i^a E_a, \quad \Omega(\hat{E}_a^C) = A_a^b E_b, \quad \Omega(X_i^V) = \Omega(\tilde{E}_a^V) = 0, \quad \Omega(\tilde{E}_a^C) = E_a, \quad \Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = 0.$$

and therefore

$$\Omega(\Gamma) = (A_b^a w^b + B_i^a v^i) E_a. \quad (64)$$

The reconstruction equation is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &= [A_b^a(\check{c}^H(t))w^b(\check{c}^H(t)) + B_i^a(\check{c}^H(t))v^i(\check{c}^H(t))] E_a \\ &= [A_b^a(\check{c}^H(t))w^b(t) + B_i^a(\check{c}^H(t))v^i(t)] E_a. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

since v^i and w^a are invariant, and then $v^i(t)$ and $w^a(t)$ are just their values on $\check{c}(t)$.

Let us denote by

$$\check{c}(t) = (q^i(t), v^i(t), w^a(t), s(t)) \quad \check{c}^H(t) = (q^i(t), h^a(t), v^i(t), w^a(t), s(t))$$

the local coordinates of the corresponding of curves, where $h(t) = (h^a(t))$ is a curve on G determined by (v_{q_0}, s_0) and the relation $\Omega(\dot{c}^H(t)) = 0$.

The reconstruction equation (63) is therefore

$$\xi(t) = (A_b^a w^b(\check{c}^H(t)) + B_i^a v^i(\check{c}^H(t)))E_a = (A_b^a w^b(t) + B_i^a v^i(t))E_a$$

We assume that we are able to calculate the integral curve

$$\check{c}(t) = (q^i(t), v^i(t), w^a(t), s(t))$$

of the reduced vector field $\check{\Gamma}$ through $\pi^{TQ \times \mathbb{R}}(v_{q_0}, s_0)$.

The horizontal lift of \check{c} is a curve in $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\check{c}^H(t) = (q(t), h(t), v^i(t), w^a(t), s(t))$$

where $h(t)$ is a curve in G to be determined by (v_0, s_0) and by the relation $\Omega(\dot{c}^H) = 0$.

The reconstruction equation can therefore be written as

$$\xi(t) = (A_b^a(h(t)) w^b(t) + B_i^a(\check{c}^H(t)) v^i(t)) E_a$$

and the integral curve of Γ is just

$$c(t) = (q(t), g(t)h(t), v^i(t), w^a(t)).$$

7.1 A full example

Let G be the Lie group of invertible affine transformations on the real line. An element of this group is an affine map of the form $T_{(\theta, \phi)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto \exp(\theta)t + \phi$ and can be represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp(\theta) & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The identity element is just $t \mapsto t$ (the identity matrix) and multiplication on the left of (θ_2, ϕ_2) by (θ_1, ϕ_1) is given by the composition of the two affine maps, i.e. the element

$$(\theta_1, \phi_1) * (\theta_2, \phi_2) = (\theta_1 + \theta_2, \exp(\theta_1)\phi_2 + \phi_1).$$

The corresponding Lie algebra is the vector space spanned by the matrices

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take now the manifold Q to be $G \times \mathbb{R}$ and the action of G on Q given by the left translation on the first factor of Q . We will denote by x the coordinate on \mathbb{R} . Then $\pi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a (trivial) principal fibre bundle and there is a trivial principal connection associated to the identification of TQ with the direct sum $TG \oplus T\mathbb{R}$.

A basis of fundamental vector fields is

$$\tilde{E}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad \tilde{E}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

which span the vertical space $V = \ker T\pi = TG$. In addition, the horizontal space with respect to the trivial connection is spanned by the vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Equivalently, the connection form is the map $\omega : TQ \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\omega(\gamma^0 X + \gamma^1 \tilde{E}_1 + \gamma^2 \tilde{E}_2) = \gamma^1 E_1 + \gamma^2 E_2.$$

The adapted coordinates (v^i, v^a) with respect to this basis are $v^0 = \dot{x}$ and $v^1 = \dot{\theta}$, $v^2 = \dot{\phi} - \phi \dot{\theta}$. The only non-vanishing Lie algebra structure constant is $C_{12}^2 = -1$ in virtue of the fact that $[\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2] = -\tilde{E}_2$. The complete and vertical lifts of this basis are

$$\tilde{E}_1^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \dot{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}}, \quad \tilde{E}_2^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad \tilde{E}_1^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}}, \quad \tilde{E}_2^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}}.$$

Alternatively, an invariant basis of vector fields is given by $\{\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_2, X\}$, where

$$\hat{E}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad \hat{E}_2 = \exp(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

and its adapted coordinates are $v^0 = \dot{x}$, $w^1 = \dot{\theta}$ and $w^2 = \exp(-\theta) \dot{\phi}$. The complete and vertical lifts of the above basis are

$$X^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}, \quad \hat{E}_1^C = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad \hat{E}_2^C = \exp(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \dot{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \right),$$

$$\hat{E}_1^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\theta}}, \quad \hat{E}_2^V = \exp(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}}.$$

In addition, the matrix A of change of basis $\hat{E}_a(x, g) = A_a^b(g) \tilde{E}_b(x, g)$, is

$$A(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\phi & \exp(\theta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we use the invariant fiber coordinates (v^0, w^a) , the induced action on TQ is

$$\psi_{TM}(\theta_1, \phi_1)(x, (\theta, \phi), \dot{x}, w^1, w^2) = (x, (\theta_1, \phi_1) * (\theta, \phi), \dot{x}, w^1, e^{\theta_1} w^2).$$

Since the coordinates (x, \dot{x}, w^1, w^2) can be interpreted as coordinates on $TQ/G = T\mathbb{R} \times TG/G = T\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{g}$, G -invariance of a Lagrangian function implies that the group variables $h = (\theta, \phi)$ do not explicitly appear in the Lagrangian, when it is written in terms of the invariant fiber coordinates.

Consider the contact Lagrangian $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$L(x, h, \dot{x}, \dot{h}, s) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 + q \dot{x} \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \ln(\exp(-\theta) \dot{\phi}) - \gamma s,$$

where q is a constant. The Lagrangian is G -invariant since $\tilde{E}_1^C(L) = 0 = \tilde{E}_2^C(L)$ and in the invariant fiber coordinates (\dot{x}, w^1, w^2) , the Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(w^1)^2 + q\dot{x}w^1 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 + \ln(w^2) - \gamma s.$$

The Hessian matrix in the basis $\{\tilde{E}_a, X\}$ is given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\phi^2}{\dot{\phi}^2} & -\frac{\phi}{\dot{\phi}^2} & q \\ -\frac{\phi}{\dot{\phi}^2} & -\frac{1}{\dot{\phi}^2} & 0 \\ q & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of g is $(q^2 - 1)/\dot{\phi}^2$, so the Lagrangian is regular as long as $q^2 \neq 1$. The upper left $(2, 2)$ matrix represents (g_{ab}) . It is non-singular since its determinant is $-1/\dot{\phi}^2$. Its inverse is

$$(g^{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\phi \\ -\phi & \phi^2 - \dot{\phi}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Euler-Lagrange-Herglotz equations for the Lagrangian L are

$$q\ddot{\theta} + \ddot{x} = -\gamma(\dot{x} + q\dot{\theta}), \quad \ddot{\theta} + q\ddot{x} + 1 = -\gamma(\dot{\theta} + q\dot{x}), \quad -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}^2} = -\frac{\gamma}{\dot{\phi}}.$$

We will assume that $\dot{\phi}_0 > 0$. Then $\ddot{\phi} = \gamma\dot{\phi}$.

We now use the symmetry reduction and reconstruction to reobtain the same solutions. Since for the current example the connection coefficients of the trivial connection vanish, the Lagrange-Poincare-Herglotz equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial w^b} \right) &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a} C_{ba}^a w^d + \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^a}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) - \frac{\partial l}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial s} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

and substituting for the Lagrangian function we have that

$$\dot{w}_1 + q\ddot{x} = -1 - \gamma(w_1 + q\dot{x}), \quad \dot{w}_2 = -w_1 w_2 + \gamma w_2, \quad q\dot{w}_1 + \ddot{x} = -\gamma(\dot{x} + q w_1).$$

From the reduced solution $\check{v}(t) = (x(t), \dot{x}(t), w_1(t), w_2(t), s(t))$, we could determine the remaining coordinates $(\theta(t), \phi(t))$ directly from the relations $\dot{\theta} = w_1$ and $\exp(-\theta)\dot{\phi} = w_2$. However, let us reproduce the reconstruction process in detail: first we calculate the horizontal lift of $\check{v}(t)$, and then we use it in the reconstruction equation. In this way, we will see the effect of the connection in the reconstruction equation.

The coordinates of the horizontal lift using the generalized mechanical connection are $\check{v}^H(t) = (x(t), \phi_H(t), \theta_H(t), \dot{x}(t), w_1(t), w_2(t), s(t))$, with respect to the invariant basis. They can be determined by the relation $0 = \Omega(\check{v}^H(t))$, which in coordinates reads

$$\dot{\theta}_H = -q\dot{x}, \quad \dot{\phi}_H = -q\dot{x}\phi_H + q\dot{x}\phi_H = 0.$$

Therefore, $\theta_H(t) = -qx(t) + qx_0 + \theta_0$ and $\phi_H(t) = \phi_0$. Now we determine the curve $g(t) = (\theta_1(t), \phi_1(t))$ in G such that $v = g\check{v}^H$ is the solution of the Euler-Lagrange-Herglotz equations with the given initial values. This curve is the solution through the identity of the reconstruction equation $g^{-1}\dot{g} = \Omega(\Gamma(\check{v}^H))$. The left-hand side is $\dot{\theta}_1 E_1 + \exp(-\theta_1)\dot{\phi}_1 E_2$. The right-hand side is $(w_1 + q\dot{x})\tilde{E}_1^C \circ \check{v}^H + (w_2 + q\phi_H\dot{x})\tilde{E}_1^C \circ \check{v}^H$. The reconstruction equations are therefore

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = w_1 + q\dot{x}, \quad \exp(-\theta_1)\dot{\phi}_1 = -\phi_H w_1 + \exp(\theta_H)w_2 - q\phi_H\dot{x}.$$

Thus, we may write the solution $v(t) = (x(t), \phi(t), \theta(t), \dot{x}(t), w_1(t), w_2(t), s(t))$ with respect to the invariant basis, where $\phi(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ are of the form $\theta(t) = \theta_1(t) + \theta_H(t)$ and $\phi(t) = \phi_1(t) + \exp(\theta_1(t))\phi_H(t)$, from where we deduce that $\dot{\theta} = w_1$ and $\dot{\phi} = \exp(\theta)w_2$.

8 Conclusions and further work

In this paper we have developed a process for the reduction of Lagrangian contact systems in the presence of a group of symmetries. We have used the quasi-coordinate method and adapted local references to obtain the corresponding reduced equations, the so-called Lagrange-Poincaré-Herglotz equations. One of the necessary geometrical constructions is the prolongation of a connection in a principal fiber bundle using the Hessian of the Lagrangian.

In a future work, we propose the following objectives directly related to the research investigated in this paper:

- Develop a reduction procedure for discrete contact Lagrangian systems with symmetries.
- Explore the analog results of the present paper to the case of contact Lagrangian systems subject to nonholonomic constraints and symmetries.
- Extend the above results for higher-order contact Lagrangian systems with symmetries.

Acknowledgements

Modesto Salgado and Silvia Souto acknowledge financial support from Grant Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (Spain), project PID2021-125515NB-C21. A. Anahory Simoes, L. Colombo and M. de León acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, under grants PID2019-106715GB-C21, PID2022-137909NB-C2 and the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (CEX2019-000904-S).

References

- [1] R.A. Abraham, J.E. Marsden. *Foundations of Mechanics*, (Second Edition), Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company, New York, (1978).
- [2] C. Albert, Le théorème de réduction de Marsden-Weinstein en géométrie cosymplectique et de contact. *J. Geom. Phys.* 6, 627–649 (1989).
- [3] A. Anahory Simoes, L. Colombo, M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego, and E. Padrón. Reduction by symmetries of contact mechanical systems on Lie groups. to appear in: *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07028. (2023).
- [4] A. Anahory Simoes, L. Colombo, M. de León, M. Salgado, and S. Souto. Euler–Lagrange–Herglotz equations on Lie algebroids. *Anal. Math. Phys.*14(2024), no.1, Paper No. 3, 19 pp.
- [5] A. Anahory Simoes, L. Colombo, M. de León, M. Salgado, and S. Souto, Contact formalism for dissipative mechanical systems on Lie algebroids. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00990. (2023)
- [6] A. Bravetti, Contact Hamiltonian dynamics: the concept and its use. *Entropy* 19 (2017), no. 10, Paper No. 535, 12 pp.
- [7] H. Cendra, J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, Lagrangian reduction by stages. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 152 (2001), no. 722.
- [8] M. Crampin and T. Mestdag, Reduction and reconstruction aspects of second-order dynamical systems with symmetry. *Acta Appl. Math.* 105 (2009) 241–266.
- [9] M. de León and P.R. Rodrigues, *Methods of differential geometry in analytical mechanics*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 158. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989.
- [10] M. de León and M. Lainz, Contact Hamiltonian systems. *J. Math. Phys.* 60 (2019), no. 10, 102902, 18 pp.
- [11] M. de León and M. Lainz, A review on contact Hamiltonian and Lagrangian systems. *Rev.Acad.Canaria de Ciencias*, XXXI:1–46, 2019.
- [12] G. Herglotz, “Berührungstransformationen,” 1930.
- [13] R. Izquierdo-López and M. de León, A review on coisotropic reduction in symplectic, cosymplectic, contact and co-contact Hamiltonian systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 57 (2024) 163001 (50pp).
- [14] J.E. Marsden, *Lectures on mechanics*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 174. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. xii+254 pp.
- [15] J.E. Marsden and A. Weinstein, Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 5, 121–130 (1974).

- [16] T. Mestdag and M. Crampin, Invariant Lagrangians, mechanical connections and the Lagrange-Poincaré equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 41 (2008) 344015 (20pp).
- [17] R. Montgomery, Canonical formulations of a classical particle in a Yang-Mills field and Wong's equations. *Lett. Math. Phys.*8(1984), no.1, 59–67.
- [18] A. Weinstein, Lectures on symplectic manifolds. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979, ii+48 pp.
- [19] C. Willett, Contact reduction. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 354, 4245–4260 (2002).