How to compute density fluctuations at the nanoscale

Peter Krüger

Materials Science Department, Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

The standard definition of particle number fluctuations based on point-like particles neglects the excluded volume effect. This leads to a large and systematic finite-size scaling and an unphysical surface term in the isothermal compressibility. We correct these errors by introducing a modified pair distribution function which takes account of the finite size of the particles. For the hard sphere fluid in one-dimension, we show that the compressibility is strictly size-independent and we reproduce this result from the number fluctuations calculated with the new theory. In general, the present method eliminates the leading finite-size effect, which makes it possible to compute density fluctuations accurately in very small sampling volumes, comparable to the single particle size. These findings open the way for obtaining local response functions from fluctuation theory at the nanometer scale.

I. INTRODUCTION

From fundamental principles of statistical mechanics, response function are directly linked to the fluctuations of some extensive variable, e.g. the specific heat is related to energy fluctuations and the compressibility to volume or number fluctuations [1]. In inhomogeneous matter, intensive variables, including response functions, are position dependent. This raises the question whether fluctuation theory can still be used at length scales comparable to the particle size.

Various methods have been proposed for generalizing thermodynamics to systems at the nanometer scale [2– 9], many of which are based on Hill's thermodynamics of small system [3]. Hill considered statistical ensembles of finite-sized systems such as droplets and clusters. Here we focus on the related but somewhat different problem of a finite, open subvolume inside a large system. We ask the question whether the response functions can be accurately calculated from the knowledge of the fluctuations inside the subvolume alone. This is a crucial issue for confined or otherwise inhomogeneous systems, where the local intensive variables, including the response functions, may vary in space at the nanometer scale. In order to discuss such systems using thermodynamic language. the response functions of a small open subvolume must be unambiguously defined and computed in an efficient way.

Building on Hill's approach, a theory of nanothermodynamics has been formulated over the last two decades by the Trondheim group [10]. In their small system method, response functions are obtained from the fluctuations inside a finite subvolume embedded in a large reservoir [5]. It was found that in homogeneous fluids, the particle number fluctuations and the isothermal compressibility are strongly size-dependent at the nanometer scale [11]. For example, the compressibility of liquid water in a subvolume of size 1 nm³, was found to be three times larger than the bulk compressibility. This was attributed to a large surface term.

Here we argue that such a large surface term in the compressibility of a fluid is a numerical artefact induced by the discreteness of the particle number operator. We show that the surface term essentially vanishes when the excluded volume effect is taken into account in the definition of the density fluctuations. The importance of the excluded volume for the finite-size effects of the X-ray scattering factor has been stressed recently [12]. Here we demonstrate that the isothermal compressibility of a hard sphere fluid (HSF) in one dimension (1D) is sizeindependent down to the smallest physically meaningful size of a single particle. We show that this result can also be obtained from the particle number fluctuations in an open subvolume if the compressibility equation is corrected in two ways. First, a modified radial distribution function (RDF) is introduced which takes account of the finite particle size, i.e. the excluded volume effect. The modified RDF can be obtained from the usual RDF by a simple convolution. Second, the compressibility equation must be evaluated using the finite-volume Kirkwood-Buff integral (KBI) theory [13–17] rather than the standard running KBI [18, 19]. For the 1D HSF at high filling, we find that the fluctuations obtained with the modified RDF are virtually size-independent down to subvolumes containing a single particle. At low filling some size-dependence remains, but it can be made to vanish by using a reduced particle size in the modified RDF. By demanding that the compressibility be exactly sizeindependent, which holds for the 1D HSF in the canonical and the isobaric ensemble, we define an effective particle diameter which agrees with the hard-sphere diameter at large filling. We also apply the method to the HSF in 3D using the RDF in the Percus-Yevick approximation [20] and to the hard sphere solid and we obtain qualitatively the same results as in 1D. Our findings show that density fluctuations can be defined unambiguously at the nanometer scale and that the local compressibility can be computed accurately with sampling volumes of minimum size.

II. THE ISOTHERMAL COMPRESSIBILITY FROM DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS IN DIFFERENT ENSEMBLES

The isothermal compressibility κ_T of a system of N identical particles occupying a volume V at tempera-

ture T and pressure p is defined by

$$\kappa_T = -\frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial p}\right)_{NT} \tag{1}$$

From fluctuation theory we have

$$\kappa_T = \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\delta V^2}{V} \bigg|_{NT} = \frac{V}{k_B T} \frac{\delta \rho^2}{\rho^2} \bigg|_{NT}$$
(2)

where $\rho = N/V$ is the particle number density. We write $A \equiv \langle A \rangle$ for the average and $\delta A^2 \equiv \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2$ for the fluctuation of a quantity A. In Eq. (2) the statistical averages are taken in the isothermal-isobaric (NpT) ensemble. In fluids, it is often more convenient to work in the grand-canonical (μVT) ensemble and the following definition is commonly used, which relates the compressibility to the particle number fluctuations in an open volume V

$$\kappa_{TV} = \left. \frac{V}{k_B T} \frac{\delta \rho^2}{\rho^2} \right|_{VT} = \left. \frac{1}{\rho k_B T} \frac{\delta N^2}{N} \right|_{VT} \quad . \tag{3}$$

Clearly, the only difference between Eq. (2) and Eq. (3)is the statistical ensemble, which is indicated in κ_{TV} by the extra subscript V. For a macroscopic system, all ensembles are equivalent and so $\kappa_{TV} = \kappa_T$. For small systems there is no general equivalence between ensembles. Eq. (2) is valid on general statistical mechanical grounds for any number of particles N > 0. The only condition is that the *reservoir* is large enough such that the volume fluctuations are approximately gaussian. For the HSF in 1D, we shall prove explicitly that Eq. (2)holds for any N. However we find $\kappa_{TV} \neq \kappa_T$ and thus Eq. (3) should not be used to calculate the compressibility of small systems. The reason why $\kappa_{TV} \neq \kappa_T$ can be understood as follows. The compressibility κ_T is obviously a continuous variable as are all the quantities used in Eqs (1,2). In contrast, the particle number N, whose fluctuations are used in Eq. (3) is a discrete variable. For nanosystems, δN^2 is of the order of 1, and the probability distribution of N is not at all gaussian. As a consequence $\delta N^2/N^2|_{VT} \equiv \delta \rho^2/\rho^2|_{VT}$ differs from $\delta \rho^2/\rho^2|_{NT}$. However, Eq. (3) is more convenient for fluids than Eq. (2)because Eq. (3) corresponds to an open volume in an μVT ensemble. Using a finite open volume, one can, in principle, study the compressibility, density and concentration fluctuations *locally* in inhomogeneous or confined fluids [10]. This is not possible with Eq. (2) which corresponds to a closed system.

The fact that N is a discrete variable is related to the assumption of point-like particles, which is implicit in the definition of the n-particle densities. The 1-particle density is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \sum_{i} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}) \rangle \tag{4}$$

where the brackets denote the ensemble average and i counts the particles. We define the 2-particle density as

$$R(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \sum_{i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j) \rangle .$$
 (5)

Note that the diagonal terms i = j are included in the sum. In the more common definition [19], denoted $\rho^{(2)}$, the diagonal terms are omitted. The two definitions are equivalent and we have $R = \rho^{(2)} + \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\rho^{(1)}$. We use R because it is a more suitable starting point for the density fluctuations for particles of finite size, which will be introduced below. According to Eq. (4) the instantaneous particle density for a single microstate diverges at the particle positions $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$. While this may approximately be true for the mass density in a gas of atoms, it is not a good model for the present purpose, because it does not account for the size of the particles, which may be atoms or molecules. Instead of the mass density, it is better to think of the electronic density which determines the size of the particles and which explains the excluded volume effect by Pauli repulsion. If the individual particles are given a finite size and density, then N becomes a continuous variable, because a particle near the boundary of an open volume V, can be partially inside and partially outside of V. In section IV we shall introduce modified particle densities which take into account the finite size of the particles. We shall show that the error of κ_{TV} can thereby be corrected for.

The particle number fluctuations δN^2 in an open volume V are given by

$$\delta N^2 = \int_V d\mathbf{r}_1 \int_V d\mathbf{r}_2 R(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \left(\int_V d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r})\right)^2 \,. \quad (6)$$

In the following we focus on a homogeneous and isotropic fluid, where ρ is a constant and R only depends on the distance $r = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$. Then Eq. (6) can be simplified to [13]

$$\delta N^{2} = V \int_{0}^{L} (R(r) - \rho^{2}) w(r) dr$$
 (7)

where w(r) is a geometrical weight function that depends only on the size and shape of the volume V [13, 15] and L is the maximum distance in V. For a 1D system of length L we have w(r) = 2(1-r/L) and for a 3D sphere of diameter L we have $w(r) = 4\pi r^2 [1-3r/(2L)+(r/L)^3/2]$. Equation (7) can be written in terms of the RDF, which is given by

$$g(r) = [R(r) - \rho\delta(\mathbf{r})]/\rho^2$$
(8)

With $N \equiv \langle N \rangle = \rho V$, we obtain, from Eqs. (7,8) for the number fluctuations per particle [13]

$$\nu \equiv \frac{\delta N^2}{\langle N \rangle} = 1 + \rho \int_0^L (g(r) - 1)w(r)dr .$$
 (9)

III. HARD SPHERE FLUID IN 1D

We first consider the hard sphere fluid (HSF) in 1D, also known as the Tonks model [21], and we study the size dependence of the isothermal compressibility and of the density fluctuations. In 1D, force F and pressure p are the same. The system length L is the 1D hypervolume V. With these notations, the exact equation of the state of the Tonks model [21] with N particles of diameter σ is given by $V \equiv L = N(\sigma + k_B T/p)$. In the canonical ensemble, this equation of state is valid for any number of particles N and any system size $L > N\sigma$. The isothermal compressibility follows directly from the definition, Eq. (2) and we obtain

$$\kappa_T = \frac{(1 - \rho\sigma)^2}{\rho k_B T} \ . \tag{10}$$

Note that the compressibility (10) is clearly sizeindependent. Next we calculate κ_T from fluctuation theory. First we consider volume fluctuations in the NpTensemble. To this end, we employ the grand-canonical RDF of the Tonks model, which is known analytically [22]

$$g(r) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(r) ,$$
 (11)

where

$$P_n(r) = \theta(x)\lambda^n \exp(-\lambda x)x^{n-1}/(n-1)! \qquad (12)$$

Here we have put $x = r - n\sigma$, $\lambda = \rho/(1 - \rho\sigma)$ and $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. $P_n(r)dr$ is the probability that the distance between a given particle and its *n*-th nearest neighbor to the right, lies in (r, r+dr). The RDF g(r) and its components $P_n(r)$ are plotted in Fig. 1 (a) for a HSF in 1D with $\rho = 1$, $\sigma = 0.8$. In the 1D HSF, the distance distribution $P_N(r)$ can also be interpreted as the length distribution of a closed system of N particles in a NpT ensemble. This was explicitly shown by Tonks [21] for N = 1 and the argument can easily be generalized to N > 1. It follows that the expectation value and the variance of the system length (L) in the NpT ensemble can be obtained from the first two moments of the $P_N(L)$ distribution, i.e. Eq. (12) with n = N, r = L. The k-th moment is $\langle L^k \rangle = \int_0^\infty L^k P_N(L) dL$. The calculation is straightforward and gives

$$\langle L \rangle = N/\rho$$
, $\delta L^2 = N(1-\rho\sigma)^2/\rho^2$. (13)

These expressions are valid for any N, i.e. for any system size in the NpT ensemble. Upon identifying $\delta L^2/\langle L \rangle$ with $\delta V^2/\langle V \rangle$, we obtain, from Eq. (2), exactly the same expression for κ_T as from the thermodynamic definition Eq. (10). Thus we have demonstrated that for the 1D HSF, the hyper-volume fluctuations in the NpT ensemble give the exact, size-independent, isothermal compressibility.

Next we study the density fluctuations of the 1D HSF in the grand-canonical ensemble, on a open segment of finite length $L \equiv V$. We have $\langle N \rangle = \rho V$ and δN^2 can be calculated from Eqs (9,11,12). The relative fluctuations $\nu \equiv \delta N^2 / \langle N \rangle$ are shown in Fig. 1 (b,c) (black lines). ν has a pronounced size dependence and becomes linear in



FIG. 1. Results for hard sphere fluid in 1D with $\rho = 1$, $a = \sigma = 0.8$. (a) Usual RDF g(r) (black line) with components $P_n(r)$, Eq. (12) for n even (green) and odd (blue). Modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ (red). (b) Relative number fluctuations ν and $\tilde{\nu} (= \delta N^2 / \langle N \rangle)$ as a function of finite system size L. ν' (green) is obtained with g(r) and the "Running-KBI", i.e. by putting w(r) = 2 in Eq. (9). (c) ν and $\tilde{\nu}$ as a function of 1/L. The thin black lines are linear interpolations, namely $\nu = 0.04$ and $\nu = 0.04 + 0.2\sigma/L$.

1/L for large L. For $L \to \infty$, ν goes to $(1 - \rho \sigma)^2$ (= 0.04 in this case). This is the exact limit, which follows from Eq. (10) and the fact that $\kappa_{TV} = \kappa_T$ in the thermodynamic limit. Since the exact isothermal compressibility κ_T , Eq. (10), is strictly size-independent, it is clear that the large size dependence of ν (and thus κ_{TV}) seen in Fig 1 (b.c), is a non-physical result. We conclude that the particle number fluctuations ν defined in the usual way with Eqs (5,6) cannot be used to compute the isothermal compressibility for finite-size systems. For completeness, we also show the result obtained when using the standard or "running"-KBI instead of the finite-volume KBI, Eq. (9). For the running KBI, the infinite-volume expression is simply truncated at the upper bound L. It can be computed from Eq (9) upon replacing w(r) by 2 in 1D or $4\pi r^2$ in 3D. The number fluctuations of the 1D HSF, computed with the running KBI are plotted in Fig. 1 (b) as ν' (blue dashed line). The function $\nu'(L)$ oscillates strongly and thus has an even larger size dependence than ν . Most importantly, ν' becomes negative for certain system sizes L, which is obviously wrong, since fluctuations cannot be negative on mathematical

grounds. This means that the running-KBI cannot be used to assess finite-volume density fluctuations.

IV. MODIFIED RDF WITH EXCLUDED VOLUME

We have seen above that the difference between κ_{TV} and κ_T is related to the discreteness of the particle number and we have shown that the usual, point-like definition of the particle densities leads to unphysical results for the compressibility κ_{TV} of small systems. Now we go beyond the point-like particle picture and take account of the fact that real particles have a finite size, by replacing the $\delta(\mathbf{r})$ functions in the definition of the *n*-particle densities, by a regular function $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ which describes the finite density of the individual particles. Here we consider hyper-spherical particles with diameter *a* and constant internal density,

$$\Delta(r) = \begin{cases} 1/V_0 & (r < a/2) \\ 0 & (r > a/2) \end{cases}$$
(14)

where V_0 is the hyper volume, i.e. $V_0 = a$ in 1D and $V_0 = \pi a^3/6$ in 3D. Such a step-like density profile with $a = \sigma$ is the natural choice for the hard-sphere model. However, other simple density profiles lead to very similar results and to the same conclusions, as we shall show explicitly for a gaussian profile in a forthcoming paper. The modified quantities, obtained upon replacing $\delta(\mathbf{r})$ by $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$, are denoted by $\tilde{\rho}$, \tilde{R} , $\tilde{\nu}$ etc. Introducing a spherically symmetric internal density $\Delta(r)$ does not break the symmetry of the fluid. As a consequence, the modified 1-particle density is unchanged, $\tilde{\rho} = \rho$ and the modified 2-particle density, given by

$$\tilde{R}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \left\langle \sum_{ij} \Delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \Delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j) \right\rangle, \qquad (15)$$

only depends on the particle distance $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$. The function $\tilde{R}(r)$ can be obtained from R(r) by a convolution

$$\tilde{R}(r) = \int \chi(r, r') R(r') dr' , \qquad (16)$$

as we have shown previously [23]. Note that in Ref. [23], the convolution was derived for $\tilde{g}(r)$ from g(r), where the diagonal terms (i=j) are omitted, but this has no effect on the convolution, i.e. the functions $\chi(r,r')$ for $R \to \tilde{R}$ are the same as those for $g \to \tilde{g}$ in Ref. [23]. It is easy to see that in 1D we have $\chi = (a-|r-r'|)/a^2$ for |r-r'| < a and $\chi = 0$ otherwise.

The particle number fluctuations can be computed in the same way as before upon replacing R(r) by $\tilde{R}(r)$ in Eq (7). We define the modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ as

$$\tilde{g}(r) = \tilde{R}/\rho^2 . \tag{17}$$

In this definition, the diagonal (i = j) terms in the twopoint density Eq. (15) are included. In the definition of the usual RDF g(r), the diagonal terms are omitted because they would lead to a delta-function at r = 0. With the modified RDF, the relative fluctuations are given by

$$\tilde{\nu} = \rho \int_0^L (\tilde{g}(r) - 1)w(r)dr$$
 . (18)

Note that the constant 1 in the expression for ν , Eq (9), which compensates for the omitted diagonal terms in g(r), is absent in Eq (18).

For the 1D HSF with $\rho\sigma = 0.8$, the modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ is shown in Fig. 1 (a) as the red line. The large oscillations of q(r) are almost completely suppressed and $\tilde{g}(r) \approx 1$ for all $r > \sigma$. The corresponding particle number fluctuations $\tilde{\nu}$ in an open system of length L are shown as red lines in Fig. 1 (b,c). Except of $L < \sigma$, $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ is almost constant at 0.04, which is the correct value in the thermodynamic limit. This means that the density fluctuations are virtually size-independent down to the smallest physically meaningful system size of one particle $(L = 1/\rho)$ in agreement with the analysis in the NpT ensemble. Importantly, it follows that $\kappa_{TV}(L) \approx \kappa_T$ $\forall L > 1/\rho$, i.e. the true compressibility κ_T can be approximated, with very good accuracy by computing κ_{VT} from the particle number fluctuations in a open volume V of minimum size, provided that the modified RDF is used.

In Fig. 1 we have considered a large filling fraction $\rho\sigma = 0.8$, appropriate for modeling a liquid. The dependence of the RDF and the fluctuations on the density ρ is shown in Fig. 2 (a,b). For decreasing ρ , the oscillations of the usual RDF g(r) become smaller and g(r) approaches a step function for $\rho \to 0$. At the same time, the modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ deviates more strongly from 1 for small r up to about $1/\rho$. The peak seen at r = 0 (for small ρ) is due to the diagonal (i=j) terms in Eq. (15). It evolves to a delta-function in the limit $\rho\sigma \to 0$.

Looking at Fig. 2 (b), we see that in all cases, the relative fluctuations tend to the exact result $(1 - \rho \sigma)^2$ in the limit $L \to \infty$. The functions $\nu(L)$ (black lines) which were obtained with the usual RDF g(r), show a strong size dependence that is linear in 1/L for large L. Interestingly, the slope, which may be interpreted as a surface contribution [11, 24], hardly depends on the density ρ (at constant σ) but scales linearly with σ (at constant ρ). This suggests that the surface contribution to ν is essentially determined by the size of the individual particles, or their excluded volume, rather than by long-range correlations in the fluid.

The fluctuations obtained with the modified RDF are shown in Fig. 2 (b) for two choices of the diameter a in Eq. (14), namely the "natural" choice $a = \sigma$ and a "corrected" value $a = a_1$ defined below. Considering first $a = \sigma$ (red lines), we see that for high density ($\rho\sigma > 0.6$, typical for a liquid phase) the fluctuations $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ are virtually independent of L. However, for lower densities ($\rho\sigma < 0.6$) a non-negligible size dependence appears and $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ deviates from $\tilde{\nu}(\infty)$ by a negative term which is linear in 1/L. We recall that the aim of the RDF modification is to obtain density fluctuations in finite open



FIG. 2. Results of hard sphere fluid in 1D as a function of filling fraction $\rho\sigma$. (a) Usual RDF (g) and modified RDF (\tilde{g}) for $a = \sigma$. (b) Corresponding number fluctuations.

systems that agree with those in the NpT ensemble and which thus yield the physically correct compressibility, i.e. $\kappa_{TV} = \kappa_T$. Since the κ_T is strictly size-independent in the 1D HSF, we choose the parameter a in Eq. (14) such that the size-dependence of the number fluctuations $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ becomes as small as possible. Empirically, we find that $a = a_1$, where

$$a_1/\sigma = 1 - (1 - \rho\sigma)^3$$
, (19)

gives excellent results for all densities, as seen in Fig. 2 (b), blue lines. Note that the difference between a_1 and the hard-sphere diameter σ is negligible for high density, e.g. for $\rho\sigma = 0.8$ it is merely 0.8%. In the low density limit, $\rho \to 0$, we have $a_1/\sigma \to 0$, which means that the modified RDF goes back to the usual, point-like definition. This is consistent with the fact that in the zero density limit, the HSF behaves like an ideal gas.

The need for reducing the particle size may seem to introduce some empirical element into the theory. This is not the case, however, since the reduction factor is determined by a physical condition. Indeed, our aim is to define the number fluctuations in a small volume in such a way as to obtain the same density fluctuations as in the isobaric ensemble, or equivalently we demand $\kappa_{TV} = \kappa_T$ in Eqs (2,3). Since κ_T is size-independent as shown above, so must be κ_{TV} and $\tilde{\nu}$, which is the condition we use for the particle size reduction. In the Appendix, we give generals arguments why the number fluctuation and the compressibility in an open subsystem of a homogeneous fluid *must* be size-independent in order to be physically meaningful.



FIG. 3. Hard sphere fluid in 3D with $\sigma = 1$ and different densities ρ . (a) Usual RDF (g) and modified RDF (\tilde{g}) for $a = \sigma$. (b) Corresponding number fluctuations.

V. HARD SPHERE FLUID IN 3D

For the HSF in 3D exact analytic solutions are not known. Here we use the solution of the Ornstein-Zernicke integral equations in the Percus–Yevick approximation (PYA) [20]. The corresponding RDF was given by Wertheim [25] and we use the implementation by Kelly et al. [26]. In the 3D HSF the density $\rho \equiv N/V$ is related to the filling fraction η as $\eta = \rho \sigma^3 \pi/6$. We put $\sigma = 1$ in the following. In Fig. 3 (a), the RDF g(r) is shown for $\rho = 0.4$ and $\rho = 0.7$. As in 1D, the modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ can be obtained by a convolution, Eq. (16). In 3D, for the constant density profile Eq. (14), the kernel function χ is given by [23]

$$\chi(x',x) = 6(x'/x)[2\xi_2(x',x) - 3\xi_3(x',x) + \xi_5(x',x)]$$
(20)

where x = r/a and

$$\xi_n(x',x) = \left(\left[\min(1, x + x') \right]^n - |x - x'|^n \right) / n \,. \tag{21}$$

This holds for |x - x'| < 1 while $\chi = 0$ for |x - x'| > 1. The modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ with $a = \sigma$ is shown in Fig. 3 (a). Compared to g(r), the oscillations are strongly suppressed in $\tilde{g}(r)$, which is very flat and close to 1 for all r > 1.5.

The relative number fluctuations $\delta N^2/N$ are plotted in Fig. 3 (b) as a function of 1/L, where L is the diameter of the spherical subvolume V. The values ν , obtained with the usual RDF g(r), show a 1/L scaling with a large slope. For $\rho = 0.7$, the value of ν at 1/L = 0.2, which corresponds to a subvolume containing $\langle N \rangle = 46$ particles, is about twice as large as the bulk value $\nu(\infty)$. This finding is in qualitative agreement with the study on liquid water by Strom et al. [11].

The results of a linear fit $\nu(L) = \nu(\infty) + C/L$ in the region 0 < 1/L < 0.4 are given in Table I, also for other densities not shown in Fig. 3. We also listed the values of ν obtained from the equation of state

ρ	$ u(\infty)$	$\tilde{ u}(\infty)$	$\nu(\text{EoS})$	$\operatorname{rel.err}$	C	\tilde{C}	a_3/σ
0.1	0.6635	0.6635	0.6611	0.003	0.318	0.001	0.429
0.2	0.4448	0.4449	0.4406	0.010	0.454	0.000	0.674
0.3	0.3017	0.3019	0.2949	0.023	0.499	0.001	0.814
0.4	0.2086	0.2088	0.1974	0.057	0.498	-0.002	0.894
0.5	0.1494	0.1495	0.1317	0.134	0.473	-0.011	0.939
0.6	0.1141	0.1141	0.0872	0.308	0.438	-0.027	0.965
0.7	0.0964	0.0965	0.0570	0.691	0.397	-0.049	0.980

TABLE I. Relative number fluctuations ν of the 3D HSF as a function of density ρ . Result of the linear fits $\nu(L) = \nu(\infty) + C/L$ and $\tilde{\nu}(L) = \tilde{\nu}(\infty) + \tilde{C}/L$ (for $a=a_3$). ν (EoS) corresponds to the Carnaghan-Starling equation of state. The relative error ("rel.err") is defined as $\nu(\infty)/\nu(\text{EoS})-1$. The values a_3/σ were used for $\tilde{\nu}$ and correspond to Eq. (22).

(EoS) by Carnaghan and Starling [27]. The EoS is given by $p/(\rho k_B T) \equiv Z(\eta) = (1 + \eta + \eta^2 - \eta^3)/(1 - \eta)^3$ where $\eta = \rho \pi \sigma^3/6$ is the filling fraction. The isothermal compressibility is readily obtained from the definition, Eq. (1), and together with $\nu = \rho k_B T \kappa_T$, we have $\nu = (1 - \eta)^4/(1 + 4\eta + 4\eta^2 - 4\eta^3 + \eta^4)$. Despite its simplicity, the Carnaghan-Starling EoS is known to be very reliable for low and moderate densities up to about $\rho = 0.9$ [28].

From Table I we see that the $\nu(\infty)$ values obtained with the RDF in PYA agree very well with the EoS for low density, with an error of a few percent for $\rho \leq 0.4$. For higher density, the error rises quickly and reaches 70% for $\rho = 0.7$. Therefore, the results obtained for $\rho > 0.4$ must be considered preliminary due to the approximate nature of the RDF in PYA.

In Fig. 3 (b) the fluctuations $\tilde{\nu}(L)$, obtained with the modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ with $a = \sigma$ (red lines) are roughly constant for $L > \sigma$, in stark contrast to the large size dependence of $\nu(L)$. In order to make $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ as size-independent as possible, we use a reduced particle size in the calculation of the modified RDF. In 3D we put $a = a_3$, where

$$a_3/\sigma = 1 - \exp(-5.6\rho\sigma^3)$$
. (22)

The numerical values a_3/σ are given in the last column of Table I. This empirical correction works very well for $\rho \leq$ 0.4. $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ is virtually size-independent as seen directly from Fig. 3 (b) and from the negligible values of the slope \tilde{C} . For $\rho > 0.5$, the \tilde{C} values are not negligible and some 1/L-like size dependence remains. We do not attempt to correct for this by adjusting a_3 , because we attribute the residual size dependence to the quite large error of $\nu(L)$ (see Table I) coming from the RDF in PYA, rather than to the functional form of a_3 .

In Table I, the values $\tilde{\nu}(\infty)$ and $\nu(\infty)$ agree almost perfectly. Let us note that theoretically, $\tilde{\nu}(\infty) = \nu(\infty)$ holds whatever the choice of *a*. Indeed, it is easy to see from the definition (15) that attributing a finite density to the particles, does not change the relative number fluctuations in the thermodynamic limit [23].



FIG. 4. (a) RDF g(r) of a perfect face-centered cubic crystal The delta functions are replaced by bars of finite width 0.05. (b) Modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ with $a = \sigma = (\sqrt{2}/\rho)^{1/3}$. The dashed line is the contribution from the diagonal (i=j) terms in Eq. (15). (c) Relative fluctuations $\nu(L)$ (red) and $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ (blue). We have $\nu(0) = 1$ and $\nu(\infty) = 0$. The thin dotted line is a guide to the eye given by f(L) = 0.337/L.

Finally we apply our method to a hard sphere solid at zero temperature. It has a face-centered cubic crystal structure and the largest possible filling fraction of $\eta = \sqrt{2\pi/6}$. The density is $\rho = \sqrt{2}/\sigma^3$. Since the atoms are immobile, the usual RDF is a sum of deltafunctions, shown as a histogram in Fig. 4 (a) for $\sigma = 1$. The modified RDF $\tilde{g}(r)$ with $a = \sigma$ is the blue solid line in Fig. 4 (b). The blue dashed line is the contribution to $\tilde{g}(r)$ from the terms i=j in the two-particle density, Eq. (15). These terms are omitted in g(r), since they correspond to a term $\rho\delta(\mathbf{r})$ which integrates to 1 in ν in any system. For particles with finite density however, the diagonal terms are non-trivial and their integral leads to a non-singular peak in $\tilde{g}(r)$ near r = 0 (dashed line in Fig. 4 b). This peak fills the "hole" of the RDF for $r < \sigma$, which is present in all real systems due to the excluded volume effect. Quite surprisingly, $\tilde{q}(r)$ is virtually constant and equal to 1 for all r, and thus very similar to the RDF of the ideal gas $(q(r) = 1 \forall r)$. The crucial difference comes from the diagonal terms of the two particle density that are included in \tilde{g} but not in g. In the ideal gas these terms lead to $\nu = 1$, while in \tilde{g} of the solid they just fill hole of the excluded volume, so that $\tilde{\nu} \approx 0$ as seen in Fig. 4 (c).

The fluctuations are shown in Fig. 4 (c). The function $\nu(L)$ has large oscillations, which are due to the long-range correlations in g(r). It shows the familiar 1/Lscaling and converges to the correct thermodynamic limit $\nu(\infty) = 0$ [29]. Note that this trivial result cannot be obtained from the standard expression of the compressibility equation [19], i.e. with $4\pi r^2$ instead of w(r) in Eq. (9). Indeed, the running-KBI strongly diverges in solids and finite-volume KBI theory must be used to obtain convergent results [23, 29]. While $\nu(\infty)$ is correct, $\nu(L)$ for finite L is not. In a perfect crystal at T=0 K all atoms are immobile, and so the density fluctuations and the compressibility are strictly zero, whatever the size of the system. In other words, the whole functional form of $\nu(L)$ (i.e. its deviation from zero) is a finite-size effect and has no physical meaning. In stark contrast to $\nu(L)$, the function $\tilde{\nu}(L)$ obtained with the modified RDF is very nearly constant and equal to zero for any L, which is the physically correct result.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a method for calculating density fluctuations ν and the isothermal compressibility κ_T at the nanoscale, from a modified RDF which takes account of the finite size of the individual particles. This modification removes the large and systematic 1/L size-dependence of ν that is found with the usual method, i.e. with the particles defined as point-like in the RDF. We have shown that the 1/L term is a non-physical finite-size effect due to the neglect of the excluded volume. We have applied the new method to the HSF in 1Dand 3D. We find that at high density, typical for the liquid state, the fluctuations are size-independent down to the smallest physically meaningful sampling volume containing one particle. For the HSF at low density, some size-dependence of ν remains, but it can be made vanish by using a particle diameter in the RDF which is smaller than the hard sphere diameter. Simple empirical formula for the optimum size reduction are given for the HSF in 1D and 3D. The method also gives excellent results for the hard sphere solid. While we have focused on the HSF in this paper, the method can be applied to any system, with a suitable definition of the effective particle size used in the modified RDF. The main findings should be valid for any fluid, because they are related to the excluded volume effect, which is independent of the type of particle interactions. The new method has major advantages over the usual method based on point-like particles. First, numerical calculations of particle number fluctuations converge much faster as a function of the sampling volume. For the HSF, a sampling volume containing a single particle gives already well converged results. Second, density fluctuations can be computed locally with good accuracy using very small sampling volumes, thereby minimizing the finite size effects. This should make it possible to compute the local compressibility and related quantities

in inhomogeneous and confined system, unambiguously and accurately from fluctuation theory. To this end, the RDF needs to be replaced by the general pair distribution function $g(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ from which the fluctuations $\tilde{R}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ can be obtained by a convolution. Alternatively the number fluctuations in a given sampling volume can be computed directly as in the small system method [5] without the RDF. Details on the generalization of the present theory to inhomogeneous systems will be given elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Jean-Marc Simon for many stimulating discussions.

Appendix A: Why physically meaningful density fluctuations in a homogeneous system must be size-independent

Here we argue that in a homogeneous system, the relative particle number fluctuations ν must be sizeindependent to be physically meaningful, more precisely: only if ν is size-independent can it be interpreted as a thermodynamic response function. We consider a homogeneous system at temperature T and density $\rho = N_0/V_0$, where $N_0, V_0 \to \infty$. Sampling is done in a finite subvolume V. The pressure of the subvolume, obtained by averaging over the (N_0V_0T) ensemble and over all particles inside V, is in principle a function of V, N_0 , V_0 and T, but in a homogeneous system, p is obviously independent of V, i.e. it is a function of (N_0, V_0, T) alone. Assuming that p depends on V leads to a contradiction. Indeed, when the total system is filled up with small identical sub-volumes V, the pressure in each subvolume must be the same as the macroscopic pressure, so it cannot be size-dependent. Now, by definition, the pressure function $p(N_0, V_0, T)$ completely determines the isothermal compressibility as $1/\kappa_T = -V_0(\partial p/\partial V_0)_{TN_0}$. Since p is independent of V, so is κ_T . The foregoing argument clearly shows that the compressibility of an *open* sub-volume Vof a *homogeneous* system, is independent of V. So, if the compressibility is to be obtained from fluctuation theory, the density fluctuations must be defined in such as way as to be size-independent. We note that the same reasoning can be done for the variable pair (N_0, μ) instead of (V_0, p) . It follows that the derivative of the chemical potential $(\partial \log N / \partial \mu)_{TV}$, which is the response function most directly related to the particle number fluctuations in an open systeme [16], is also independent of the subvolume size V.

- Landau, L.D.; Lifshitz E. M. Statistical Physics, Part 1, 3rd ed., Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1980.
- [2] Hill T. L, Thermodynamics of small systems. J. Chem. Phys. **1962**, 36, 3182-3197.

- [3] Hill T. L. Thermodynamics of Small Systems. Part 1 and 2. Benjamin, New York, 1963.
- [4] Carrete, J.; Verela, L. M.; Gallego, L. J. Nonequilibrium nanothermodynamics. Phys. Rev. E 2008, 77, 022102.
- [5] Schnell, S. K.; Liu, X.; Simon, J.-M.; Bardow, A.; Bedeaux, D.; Vlugt, T. J. H.; Kjelstrup, S. Calculating thermodynamic properties from fluctuations at small scales. J. Phys. Chem. B **2011**, 115, 10911.
- [6] Chamberlin, R. V. The Big World of Nanothermodynamics. Entropy 2015, 17, 52-73.
- [7] de Miguel, R.; Rubí J. M. Thermodynamics Far from the Thermodynamic Limit. J. Phys. Chem. B 2017, 121, 10429-1043.
- [8] Dong, W. Nanoscale thermodynamics needs the concept of a disjoining chemical potential. Nat. Commun. 2023, 14, 1824.
- [9] Dong, W., Franosch, T.; Schilling, R. Thermodynamics, statistical mechanics and the vanishing pore width limit of confined fluids. Commun. Phys. 2023, 6, 161.
- [10] Bedeaux, D.; Kjelstrup, S.; Schnell, S. K. Nanothermodynamics: Theory and Applications. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore 2023.
- [11] Strom, B. A.; Simon, J.-M.; Schnell, S. K.; Kjelstrup, S.; He, J.; Bedeaux, D. Size and shape effects on the thermodynamic properties of nanoscale volumes of water. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2017**, 19, 9016.
- [12] Dohn, A. O.; Markmann, V.; Nimmrich, A.; Haldrup, K.; Moller, K. B.; Nielsen, M. M. Eliminating finite-size effects on the calculation of x-ray scattering from molecular dynamics simulations. J. Chem. Phys. **2023**, 159, 124115.
- [13] P. Krüger, Schnell, S. K.; D. Bedeaux, S. Kjelstrup, Vlugt, T. J. H.; and Simon, J.-M. Kirkwood-Buff integrals for finite volumes. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2013**, 4, 235.
- [14] Dawass, N.; Krüger, P.; Schnell, S. K.; Bedeaux, D.; Kjelstrup, S.; Simon, J.-M.; Vlugt, T. J. H. Finite-size effects of Kirkwood-Buff integrals from molecular simulations. Mol. Sim. **2018**, 44, 599.
- [15] Krüger, P.; Vlugt, T. J. H. Size and shape dependence of finite-volume Kirkwood-Buff integrals. Phys. Rev. E 2018, 97, 051301(R).
- [16] Dawass, N.; Krüger, P.; Simon, J.-M.; Vlugt, T. J. H. Kirkwood-Buff integrals from molecular simulation.

Fluid Phase Equilibria **2019**, 486, 21-36.

- [17] Simon, J.-M.; Krüger, P.; Schnell, S. K.; Vlugt, T. J. H.; Kjelstrup, S.; Bedeaux, D. Kirkwood-Buff integrals: From fluctuations in finite volumes to the thermodynamic limit. J. Chem. Phys. **2022**, 157, 130901.
- [18] Kirkwood, J. G.; Buff, F. P. The statistical mechanical theory of solutions. I. J. Chem. Phys. **1951**, 19, 774.
- [19] Ben-Naim, A. Molecular Theory of Solutions. Oxford Univ. Press 2006.
- [20] Percus, J. K.; Yevick, G. J. Analysis of Classical Statistical Mechanics by Means of Collective Coordinates. Phys. Rev. **1958**, 110, 1.
- [21] Tonks, L. The complete equation of state of one, two and three-dimensional gases of hard elastic spheres. Phys. Rev. 1935, 50, 955-963.
- [22] Salzburg, Z. W.; Zwanzig, R. W.; Kirkwood, J. G. Molecular distribution functions in a one-dimensional fluid. J. Chem. Phys. **1953**, 21, 1098.
- [23] Miyaji, M.; Radola, B.; Simon, J.-M.;, Krüger, P. Extension of Kirkwood–Buff theory to solids and its application to the compressibility of fcc argon. J. Chem. Phys. 2021, 154, 164506.
- [24] Dawass, N.; Krüger, P.;, Schnell, S. K.; Moultos, O. A.; Economou, I. G.; T. J. H. Vlugt, Simon, J.-M. Kirkwood-Buff Integrals Using Molecular Simulation: Estimation of Surface Effects. Nanomaterials **2020**, 10, 771.
- [25] Wertheim, M. S. Exact Solution of the Percus-Yevick Integral Equation for Hard Spheres. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1963, 10, 32.
- [26] Kelly, B. D.; Smith, W. R.; Henderson, D. Analytical representation of the density derivative of the Percus–Yevick hard-sphere radial distribution function. Molecular Physics **2016**, 114, 2446-2450.
- [27] Carnahan, N. F.; Starling, K. E. Equation of State for Nonattracting Rigid Spheres. J. Chem. Phys. 1969, 51, 635.
- [28] Mulero, A.; Gala, C.; Cuadros, F. Equations of state for hard spheres. A review of accuracy and applications. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2001**, 3, 4991.
- [29] Krüger, P. Validity of the compressibility equation and Kirkwood-Buff theory for crystalline matter. Physical Review E 2021, 103, L061301.