

# Can We Learn the Energy of Sublimation of Ice from Water Clusters?

Joel M. Bowman,<sup>\*,†</sup> Qi Yu,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Chen Qu,<sup>¶</sup> Riccardo Conte,<sup>¶</sup> and Paul L. Houston<sup>§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Chemistry and Cherry L. Emerson Center for Scientific Computation,  
Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, U.S.A.*

<sup>‡</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200438, P.R. China*

<sup>¶</sup>*Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Golgi 19, 20133 Milano,  
Italy*

<sup>§</sup>*Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York  
14853, U.S.A. and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of  
Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, U.S.A*

E-mail: jmbowma@emory.edu; qi\_yu@fudan.edu.cn

## Abstract

This short paper reports a study of the electronic dissociation energies,  $D_e$ , of water clusters from direct *ab initio* (mostly CCSD(T)) calculations and the q-AQUA and MB-pol potentials. These clusters range in size from 6-25 monomers. These are all in very good agreement with each other, as shown in a recent Perspective by Herman and Xantheas. To the best of our knowledge, we present for the first time results for the  $D_e$  per monomer. To our surprise this quantity appears to be converging to a value close to 12 kcal/mol. An estimate of 1.5 - 2 kcal/mol for the  $\Delta ZPE$  for these clusters puts the value of  $D_0$  at 10 to 10.5 kcal/mol. This value is remarkably (and probably fortuitously) close to the reported sublimation enthalpy of 10.2 kcal/mol at 10 K. However, given that these  $D_e$  energies correspond to dissociation of the cluster to  $N$  isolated monomers the interpretation of "vaporization" of these "solid" clusters is qualitatively reasonable.

Electronic dissociation energies, mostly at the CCSD(T) level of accuracy, for water clusters of  $N = 2-11, 16, 17, 20$  and  $25$  monomers were summarized in a recent Perspective by and Herman and Xantheas.<sup>1</sup> In addition the results obtained from "explicit many-body potentials", were also reported and compared to the accurate *ab initio* ones. These are the WHBB<sup>2,3</sup> MB-pol,<sup>4,5</sup> q-AQUA<sup>6</sup> potential. Here we consider just q-AQUA, MB-pol and the *ab initio* results. Fig. 1 shows a comparison of  $D_e$  and as seen there is excellent agreement of the q-AQUA and MB-pol results with the *ab initio* ones. The lower panel shows the same comparison but for  $D_e$  per monomer. This quantity has not been considered before, at least to the best of our knowledge. In any case, the  $D_e$  per monomer appears to be converging, at the largest value of  $N$  considered. To investigate this possible convergence with respect to  $N$ , we have plotted  $D_e$  per monomer vs  $1/N$  in Fig. 2. As seen, the behavior is almost linear and so simple linear fits were done to each set of results. The extrapolated results for  $1/N$  equal to zero are shown in the figure. As seen, they are 11.5 (MB-pol), 11.9 (q-AQUA) and 12.0 (*ab initio*) kcal/mol. When corrected with a reasonable estimate of zero-point energy differences (see below) these are remarkably (and probably fortuitously) close to the sublimation enthalpy of ice to vapor, which of course is a function of pressure and temperature.




Figure 1: Electronic dissociation energies,  $D_e$ , (upper panel) and dissociation energies per monomer (lower panel) vs cluster size  $N$ .




Figure 2: Electronic dissociation energies,  $D_e$ , vs  $1/N$ . Extrapolated limit values for  $1/N$  equal zero are also given for indicated source.

Considering now zero-point energy and the difference between  $D_e$  and  $D_0$ , which is just  $D_e + \Delta ZPE$ . There have not been systematic *ab initio* studies of this for clusters as large as the ones considered here and in ref. 1. Some scattering of results in that reference, but not at the CCSD(T) level of theory, are given. A systematic study of this can be made using the Supporting Information of the paper reporting the WHBB potential.<sup>3</sup> Their normal mode frequencies using that potential were given for clusters up to  $N = 22$ . Based on those and the known harmonic frequencies of the Partidge-Schwenke potential<sup>7</sup> we arrive at an estimate

of around 2 kcal/mol  $\Delta$ ZPE for the clusters. However, the trend of  $\Delta$ ZPE with N is not smooth and clearly more study of this is needed. In any case, based on that analysis the estimate for  $D_0$ /monomer would be value close to 10-10.5 kcal/mol, which is remarkably (and probably fortuitously) close to the thermodynamic enthalpy of sublimation, which at 10 and 273 K is 10.2 and 10.8 kcal/mol, respectively.<sup>8</sup>

## References

- (1) Herman, K. M.; Xantheas, S. S. An extensive assessment of the performance of pairwise and many-body interaction potentials in reproducing ab initio benchmark binding energies for water clusters  $n = 2-25$ . *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2023**, *25*, 7120–7143.
- (2) Wang, Y. M.; Shepler, B. C.; Braams, B. J.; Bowman, J. M. Full-Dimensional, Ab Initio Potential Energy and Dipole Moment Surfaces for Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *131*, 054511.
- (3) Wang, Y. M.; Huang, X. C.; Shepler, B. C.; Braams, B. J.; Bowman, J. M. Flexible, Ab Initio Potential, and Dipole Moment Surfaces for Water. I. Tests and Applications for Clusters up to the 22-mer. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*, 094509.
- (4) Babin, V.; Leforestier, C.; Paesani, F. Development of a “First Principles” Water Potential with Flexible Monomers: Dimer Potential Energy Surface, VRT Spectrum, and Second Virial Coefficient. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 5395.
- (5) Babin, V.; Medders, G. R.; Paesani, F. Development of a “First Principles” Water Potential with Flexible Monomers. II: Trimer Potential Energy Surface, Third Virial Coefficient, and Small Clusters. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2014**, *10*, 1599.
- (6) Yu, Q.; Qu, C.; Houston, P. L.; Conte, R.; Nandi, A.; Bowman, J. M. q-AQUA: a Many-body CCSD(T) Water Potential, Including 4-body Interactions, Demonstrates

the Quantum Nature of Water from Clusters to the Liquid Phase. *J. Phys. Chem. Letts.* **2022**, *13*, 5068–5074.

- (7) Partridge, H.; Schwenke, D. W. The Determination of an Accurate Isotope Dependent Potential Energy Surface for Water from Extensive Ab Initio Calculations and Experimental Data. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *106*, 4618.
- (8) Feistel, R.; Wagner, W. Sublimation pressure and sublimation enthalpy of H<sub>2</sub>O ice Ih between 0 and 273.16K. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2007**, *71*, 36–45.

## Data availability

The data generated and used in this study are available at upon request to the authors.

## Acknowledgment

Q.Y. thanks Fudan University for the start-up funding. J.M.B. acknowledges support from NASA grant (80NSSC22K1167). D.H.Z. acknowledges the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 22288201). R.C. thanks Università degli Studi di Milano for financial support under grant PSR2022\_DIP\_005\_PI\_RCONT.