

Estimates of the gaps between consecutive eigenvalues for a class of elliptic differential operators in divergence form on Riemannian manifolds

Cristiano S. Silva¹, Juliana F.R. Miranda², and Marcio C. Araújo Filho³

ABSTRACT. In this work, we obtain estimates for the upper bound of gaps between consecutive eigenvalues for the eigenvalue problem of a class of second-order elliptic differential operators in divergent form, with Dirichlet boundary conditions, in a limited domain of n -dimensional Euclidean space. This class of operators includes the well-known Laplacian and the square Cheng-Yau operator. For the Laplacian case, our estimate coincides with that obtained by D. Chen, T. Zheng, and H. Yang, which is the best possible in terms of the order of the eigenvalues. For pinched Cartan-Hadamard manifolds the estimates were made in particular cases of this operator.

1. Introduction

Let $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, and $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let T be a symmetric positive definite $(1, 1)$ -tensor on M^n and a function $\eta \in C^2(M)$. Due to the boundedness of Ω there exist two positive real constants ε and δ such that $\varepsilon \leq \langle T(X), X \rangle \leq \delta$ for any unit vector field X on Ω .

In this paper, we are interested in studying the *gap* between consecutive eigenvalues of the following eigenvalue problem with the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = -\lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathcal{L} is defined as the second-order elliptic differential operator in the (η, T) -divergence form

$$\mathcal{L}u = \operatorname{div}_\eta(T(\nabla u)) := \operatorname{div}(T(\nabla u)) - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\nabla u) \rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

Here div stands for the divergence of smooth vector fields and ∇ for the gradient of smooth functions. We highlight that the operator \mathcal{L} is a class of second-order elliptic differential operators in divergence form that includes, e.g., the Laplace-Beltrami and Cheng-Yau operators.

We can notice that \mathcal{L} is a formally self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega, e^{-\eta}d\Omega)$ of all functions in $L^2(\Omega, e^{-\eta}d\Omega)$ that vanish on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35P15; Secondary 53C42, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic Operator, Eigenvalues, Dirichlet Problem, Immersions.

the trace. Then, Problem (1.1) has a real and discrete spectrum

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where each λ_i is repeated according to its multiplicity. Eigenspaces belonging to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega, e^{-\eta}d\Omega)$, which is the direct sum of all the eigenspaces. We refer to the dimension of each eigenspace as the multiplicity of the eigenvalue, for more details see Chavel [4].

For the case of T being the identity operator and η not necessarily constant function, the operator \mathcal{L} becomes the drifted Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_η , that is, Problem (1.1) becomes Dirichlet problem for operator Δ_η . In this case, it is worth mentioning the work by Gomes and Miranda [11], the paper by Xia and Xu [18], and their references. In particular, when T is the identity operator and η is a constant function the operator \mathcal{L} becomes the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ , that is, Problem (1.1) becomes the Dirichlet problem for operator Δ . For this case, we refer to Chen and Cheng [5], Cheng and Yang [6, 7], Yang [17], and their references.

When T is divergence-free, that is, when $\operatorname{div}T = 0$ the third author and Gomes [2] explained the relationship between the operator \mathcal{L} and the operator \square which was introduced by Cheng and Yau [8], that is, they checked that the operator \mathcal{L} becomes

$$\mathcal{L}f = \square f - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\nabla f) \rangle := \square_\eta,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M)$, see Section 2. Following the third author and Gomes, we call \square_η the *drifted Cheng-Yau operator* with a *drifting function* η . In particular, if η is constant, then \square is the Cheng-Yau operator with $\operatorname{div}T = 0$.

As mentioned above, the purpose of this work was to obtain the gap estimates of the consecutive eigenvalues of Problem (1.1) and we were motivated by the work of Chen, Zheng and Yang [9]. In their work they proposed a conjecture for the gap between the consecutive eigenvalues of Laplace-Beltrami operator, cf. [9, Conjecture 1.3]. Here we extend this conjecture for the case of \mathcal{L} operator, namely:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded domain in a complete Riemannian manifold. For Dirichlet Problem (1.1), the upper bound for the gap between consecutive eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami should be*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \quad k > 1,$$

where $C_{n,\Omega}$ is a constant dependent on Ω , on the dimension n de M , on the tensor T and on the drifting function η .

We prove the Conjecture 1.1 for the case when M is the Euclidean space. The proof is motivated by the corresponding results for the Laplacian operator Δ in [9], see Theorem 1.1. When M is the Hyperbolic space, we prove Conjecture 1.1 with some additional hypothesis, we assume that the function η is radially constant and the tensor T is a limited (1,1)-tensor such that $T(\nabla \ln x_n) = \psi \nabla \ln x_n$ for some radially constant $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$, see Theorem 1.2. We also prove this conjecture for the operator \square_η on bounded domain in pinched Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, see Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain in Euclidian space \mathbb{R}^n and λ_k be the k -th ($k > 1$) eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue Problem (1.1). Then, we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

where $C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)}$, for $\sigma = 2\delta - \varepsilon$, $T_0 = \sup_{\Omega} |\text{tr}(\nabla T)|$ and $C_0 = \sup_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{div} \left(T(T(\nabla\eta) - \text{tr}(\nabla T)) \right) - \frac{1}{4} |T(\nabla\eta)|^2 \right\}$.

Notice that for the case $\mathcal{L} = \square$ or \square_{η} we have $T_0 = 0$ and in the case of $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ or Δ_{η} we have, in addition, $\varepsilon = \delta = 1$. Moreover, in case when the function η is constant we get $C_0 = 0$. In particular we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. *Under the same setup as in Theorem 1.1, we have:*

i) *For the drifted Cheng-Yau operator \square_{η} , we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{C_0}{\delta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)};$$

ii) *For the Cheng-Yau operator \square , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4\lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)};$$

iii) *For drifted Laplacian operator Δ_{η} , we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + C_0 \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{4}{n} \right)};$$

iv) *For the Laplacian operator Δ , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4\lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{4}{n} \right)}.$$

Remark 1.1. *Item iv) of Corollary 1.1 extend the result obtained by Zheng and Yang [9, Theorem 1.5] for the case of a gap of the consecutive eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{R}^n for Laplacian operator Δ .*

With some additional hypotheses it was possible to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in Hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$ and λ_k be the k -th ($k > 1$) eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue Problem (1.1). If the drifting function η is radially constant and T is an $(1,1)$ -tensor, such that $T(\partial_n) = \psi \partial_n$ for some function radially constant $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$, then*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

where $C_{n,\Omega}$ depends of the Ω and the dimension n and is given by

$$C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\delta\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} (n-1)^2 \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where σ , C_0 , T_0 are as in Theorem 1.1 and $H_0 = \sup_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}_T|$ with $\mathbf{H}_T = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\alpha \circ T)$, α being the second fundamental form.

From Theorem 1.2 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Under the same setup as in Theorem 1.2, we have:*

i) *For the drifted Cheng-Yau operator \square_η , we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right) \left(\delta\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}(n-1)^2\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

ii) *For the Cheng-Yau operator \square , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right) \left(\delta\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}(n-1)^2\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2}{4\delta}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

iii) *For drifted Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_η , we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left[\left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

iv) *For the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left[\left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2}{4}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 1.2. *Item iv) of Corollary 1.2 generalizes the result obtained by Chen, Zheng and Yang in [9, Corollary 1.6] for the case of the gap for eigenvalues of Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{H}^n for Laplace-Beltrami laplacian Δ .*

For the next result, we should remember that an n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) simply connected smooth manifold with a geodesically complete Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of non positive sectional curvature has been called a Cartan-Hadamard manifold after the Cartan-Hadamard theorem, which says that a simply connected geodesically complete Riemannian manifold with nonpositive sectional curvatures M^n has the same structure and topology of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . The most simple examples of Cartan-Hadamard manifold are Euclidean space and the Hyperbolic space, both with its respective canonical metrics. More examples can be found in Shiga [15].

We will call **pinched Cartan-Hadamard** manifold the Cartan-Hadamard manifolds that its sectional curvature $-\kappa_1^2 \leq K \leq -\kappa_2^2$, for some constants $0 \leq \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_1$.

We say that a tensor T is radially parallel if it is parallel in radial direction, that is, if $\nabla_{\partial_r} T = 0$. In particular, for each vector field X such that $\nabla_{\partial_r} X = 0$ we say that it is a radially parallel field.

The next result proves Conjecture 1.1 for the case where M is a pinched Cartan-Hadamard manifold with some hypothesis on T . This proof is inspired by the techniques used in the proofs of Proposition 2 in Fonseca and Gomes [10] and Corollary 1.7 in Chen, Zheng and Yang [9].

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a pinched n -dimensional Cartan-Hadamard manifold, $\Omega \subset M$ be a bounded domain and λ_k the k -th ($k > 1$) eigenvalue of the (1.1) for operator \square_η , with u_k its corresponding eigenfunction. Fix an origin $o \in M \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ and*

let $r(x)$ the distance function from o , such that ∂_r is an eigenvector of T . If T is radially parallel, then

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

where $C_{n,\Omega}$ depends of Ω and of the dimension n , which is given by

$$C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1}{4} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta^2\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} + \frac{a(n,T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\eta_1 = \sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |\nabla^2 \eta(\partial_r, \partial_r)|$, $\eta_r = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |\langle \nabla \eta, \partial_r \rangle|$, $d = \text{dist}(\Omega, o)$,

$$a(n,T) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{se } 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2 \leq 0, \\ 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2, & \text{se } 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

and σ, H_0, C_0 are as in Theorem 1.2.

From Theorem 1.3 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Under the same setup as in Theorem 1.3, we have:*

i) *For the Cheng-Yau operator \square , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}},$$

where

$$C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2}{4} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a(n,T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2}{4\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

ii) *For drifted Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_η , we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where

$$C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\kappa_2^2 + 2\eta_1}{4} + \frac{\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a(n)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\text{with } a(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{se } n \geq 3, \\ (n-1)(3-n), & \text{se } n < 3; \end{cases}$$

iii) *For the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ , we get*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq C_{n,\Omega} k^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where

$$C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\kappa_2^2}{4} + \frac{a(n)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\text{with } a(n) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{se } n \geq 3, \\ (n-1)(3-n), & \text{se } n < 3. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. *Item iii) of Corollary 1.3 generalizes the result obtained by Chen, Zheng and Yang in [9, Corolário 1.7] for the case of the gap for eigenvalues of Dirichlet problem on a pinched n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) Cartan-Hadamard manifold for Laplace-Beltrami laplacian Δ .*

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we are frequently using the identification of a $(0, 2)$ -tensor $T : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ with its associated $(1, 1)$ -tensor $T : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by the expression

$$\langle T(X), Y \rangle = T(X, Y).$$

Moreover, the tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ will be identified with the identity I in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. Since,

$$\varepsilon \leq \langle T(X), X \rangle \leq \delta, \quad (2.1)$$

for any unit vector field X on Ω , we have

$$\varepsilon \langle T(Y), Y \rangle \leq |T(Y)|^2 \leq \delta \langle T(Y), Y \rangle \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (2.2)$$

consequently,

$$\varepsilon^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \leq |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \leq \delta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2.$$

If T is a symmetric positive definite $(1, 1)$ -tensor on M , we can see that the tensor $\nabla_X T$ also is for each $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, that is,

$$\langle (\nabla_X T)Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, (\nabla_X T)Z \rangle.$$

Moreover, we define the vector field $\text{tr}(\nabla T) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$\text{tr}(\nabla T) := \sum_{j=1}^n \nabla T(e_j, e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_{e_j} T(e_j) - T(\nabla_{e_j} e_j)),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal local frame in $p \in M^n$. Given the $(1, 1)$ -tensors T and S in M^n and their respective adjoints T^* and S^* we recall that the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product is defined by

$$\langle T, S \rangle := \text{tr}(TS^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle TS^*(e_j), e_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle S^*(e_j), T^*(e_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle T(e_j), S(e_j) \rangle.$$

Definition 2.1. *Let T an $(1, 1)$ -tensor in M^n , let us define the divergence of the T as being $(0, 1)$ -tensor given by*

$$(\text{div}T)(v)_p = \text{tr}(w \mapsto (\nabla_w T)(v)_p),$$

where $p \in M$ and $v \in T_p M$. Moreover, we say that the tensor T is divergence-free when $\text{div}T = 0$.

Another important property is the following

$$(\text{div}T)(Z) = \text{div}(T(Z)) - \langle \nabla Z, T^* \rangle, \quad (2.3)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Recall that, the Cheng-Yau operator is given by

$$\square f = \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f \circ T) = \langle \nabla^2 f, T \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and T is a symmetric $(1, 1)$ -tensor. When M^n is compact and orientable, they proved that the operator \square is self-adjoint if, only if, T is divergence-free.

From (1.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we observe that

$$\mathcal{L}f = \square f - \langle \operatorname{div}_\eta T, \nabla f \rangle = \square_\eta f - \langle \operatorname{div} T, \nabla f \rangle, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\square_\eta f = \langle \nabla^2 f, T \rangle - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\nabla f) \rangle$. In particular, if \square operator is self-adjoint the equation (2.5) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}f = \square_\eta f = \langle \nabla^2 f, T \rangle - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\nabla f) \rangle,$$

which is a first-order perturbation of the Cheng-Yau operator.

One of the most important tool to obtain lower estimates for the first positive eigenvalue of the operator \mathcal{L} in compact Riemannian manifolds is a Bochner type formula proven by Gomes and Miranda [11] which is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}(|\nabla f|^2) = \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), \nabla f \rangle + R_{\eta, T}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \langle \nabla^2 f, \nabla^2 f \circ T \rangle - \langle \nabla^2 f, \nabla_{\nabla f} T \rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

where $R_{\eta, T} = R_T - \nabla(\operatorname{div}_\eta T)^\sharp$ with $R_T(X, Y) := \operatorname{tr}(T \circ (Z \mapsto R(X, Z)Y))$ and $R(X, Z)Y$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The Bochner type formula (2.6) is the most general case of traditional Bochner formula with relates harmonic functions f and the Ricci curvature. We can see particular cases of Equation (2.6) in the works of Bochner [3] (for Laplacian case), Setti [12, Proposition 2.1] (for drifted Laplacian case) and Alencar et. al [1, Theorem 1.1] or [11, Equation (2.1)] (for Cheng-Yau operator case).

Moreover, for drifted Cheng-Yau operator \square_η from (2.6) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \square_\eta(|\nabla f|^2) = \langle \nabla(\square_\eta f), \nabla f \rangle + R_{\eta, T}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \langle \nabla^2 f, \nabla^2 f \circ T \rangle - \langle \nabla^2 f, \nabla_{\nabla f} T \rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, let us consider on M the weighted measure given by $\operatorname{dm} = e^{-\eta} \operatorname{dvol}\Omega$, for some smooth function η . It is known that the divergence theorem is valid for operator div_η with respect the weighted measure dm , that is, we can get for operator \mathcal{L} defined by (1.2)

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{L}f \operatorname{dm} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nu, T(\nabla f) \rangle d\tau$$

and

$$\int_\Omega h \mathcal{L}f \operatorname{dm} = - \int_\Omega \langle T(\nabla h), \nabla f \rangle \operatorname{dm} + \int_{\partial\Omega} h \langle \nu, T(\nabla f) \rangle d\tau, \quad (2.8)$$

where ν is the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$ and $d\tau = e^{-\eta} d\partial\Omega$ is the weighted volume form on the boundary.

From (2.8) we can see that \mathcal{L} is a symmetric operator on the functions space $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, and Problem (1.1) has a real and discrete spectrum as in (1.3). The eigenfunctions u_j associated with the eigenvalues λ_j form an orthonormal base on $L^2(\Omega, \operatorname{dm})$, then for $f \in L^2(\Omega, \operatorname{dm})$ we have

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, u_j \rangle u_j \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, u_j \rangle^2. \quad (2.10)$$

The formulas (2.9) and (2.10) are called the Parseval identities. Finally, the eigenvalues of Problem (1.1) can be obtained by the following expression

$$\lambda_j = - \int_{\Omega} u_j \mathcal{L} u_j \, dm = \int_{\Omega} T(\nabla u_j, \nabla u_j) \, dm.$$

To demonstrate one of the auxiliary lemmas, the following procedure will be necessary. Given a real Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ we can give a complex structure to the tangent space for all $p \in M$ by

$$T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M := \{X_p + iY_p; X_p, Y_p \in T_p M\},$$

and we provide $T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M$ with a Hermitian inner product defined through $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ making the following extension for it

$$\langle iX, Y \rangle_p = \langle X, iY \rangle_p = i \langle X, Y \rangle_p, \text{ where } i = \sqrt{-1},$$

and defining

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_p : T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M \times T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (Z, W) &\longmapsto \langle \langle Z, W \rangle \rangle_p := \langle Z, \overline{W} \rangle_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{W}_p = X_p - iY_p$ is the conjugate vector of $W_p = X_p + iY_p$. In a similar way, the space of differentiable vector fields will be given by

$$\mathfrak{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} = \{X + iY; X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}.$$

For a smooth complex function $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that is, $f = f_1 + if_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, the gradient of f is the vector field $\nabla f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined on M by

$$\langle \langle \nabla f, \overline{Z} \rangle \rangle_p = Z(f) = df(Z),$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and we have

$$\nabla f = \nabla f_1 + i\nabla f_2.$$

Moreover, for $Z = Z_1 + iZ_2$ the following identities are valid

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} Z &= \operatorname{div} Z_1 + i \operatorname{div} Z_2, \\ \operatorname{div}(fZ) &= f \operatorname{div} Z + \langle \langle \nabla f, \overline{Z} \rangle \rangle_p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div}(\nabla f) = \Delta f_1 + i\Delta f_2.$$

Analogously, for a function $\eta \in C^\infty(M)$ we get the η -divergence as follows

$$\operatorname{div}_\eta(T(fZ)) = f \operatorname{div}_\eta(T(Z)) + \langle \langle \nabla f, \overline{T(Z)} \rangle \rangle_p,$$

where the tensor T satisfies $T(X + iY) = T(X) + iT(Y)$. Thus, it is natural to extend the operator \mathcal{L} for the complex case as follows

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \operatorname{div}_\eta(T(\nabla f)) = \operatorname{div}(T(\nabla f)) - \langle \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla \eta \rangle \rangle_p. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, for smooth complex functions g, h we have

$$\mathcal{L}h = \mathcal{L}h_1 + i\mathcal{L}h_2$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(hg) = h\mathcal{L}g + g\mathcal{L}h + 2\langle \langle T(\nabla h), \overline{\nabla g} \rangle \rangle_p.$$

Then, for the complex case, the divergence theorem takes the following form

$$\int_{\Omega} h\mathcal{L}g \, dm = - \int_{\Omega} \langle \langle T(\nabla h), \overline{\nabla g} \rangle \rangle_p \, dm + \int_{\partial\Omega} h \langle \langle \nu, T(\overline{\nabla g}) \rangle \rangle_p \, d\tau.$$

To finish this section we will highlight an important result of a Cartan-Hadamard manifold.

In what follows, we consider Ω be a bounded domain of M and let us denote by $r : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $r(x) = d(x, o)$ the distance function from some fixed point $o \in M \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, that is differentiable, with $|\nabla r| = 1$ and $\nabla r = \partial_r$.

Regarding Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, we would like to recall the following result.

Lemma 2.1 (Rauch Comparison, [16], p. 255). *Assume that $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfies $c \leq K \leq C$. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = dr^2 + g_r$ represents the metric in the polar coordinates, then*

$$\frac{sn'_C(r)}{sn_C(r)} g_r \leq \nabla^2 r \leq \frac{sn'_c(r)}{sn_c(r)} g_r,$$

where sn_k denotes the unique solution to $\ddot{x}(r) + k \cdot x(r) = 0$ with $x(0) = 0$ and $\dot{x}(0) = 1$.

In particular, $\frac{sn'_k(r)}{sn_k(r)} = \sqrt{-k} \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-kr})}{\sinh(\sqrt{-kr})}$ when $k < 0$, $\frac{sn'_k(r)}{sn_k(r)} = \frac{1}{r}$ when $k = 0$, and $\frac{sn'_k(r)}{sn_k(r)} = \sqrt{k} \frac{\cos(\sqrt{kr})}{\sin(\sqrt{kr})}$ when $k > 0$.

3. Auxiliary Results

We begin this section with first auxiliary Lemma due to Chen, Zheng and Yang, in [9].

Lemma 3.1 (Chen, Zheng and Yang, see [9], p. 298). *Assume that $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a nondecreasing sequence, that is,*

$$0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_k \leq \cdots \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where each μ_j has finite multiplicity m_j and is repeated according to its multiplicity.

Let $r = (r_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_2$ such that $r_{m_1} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j r_j^2 < \sqrt{AB}$ with

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^\infty r_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad A = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j^2 r_j^2 < +\infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j r_j^2 \leq \frac{A + \mu_{m_1} \mu_{m_1+1} B}{\mu_{m_1} + \mu_{m_1+1}}.$$

A detailed proof of the Lemma 3.1 can be found in [13].

For the next result, note that if g is a complex smooth function in M^n we have

$$\mathcal{L}(|g|^2) = 2g_1 \mathcal{L}g_1 + 2g_2 \mathcal{L}g_2 + 2\langle T(\nabla g), \nabla g \rangle_p,$$

then we can conclude $\langle T(\nabla g), \nabla g \rangle_p$ is a real number for all $p \in M^n$.

Lemma 3.2. *For the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem (1.1), let $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k . Then for any complex-valued function $g \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ such that gu_j is not the \mathbb{C} -linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+1} and such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} gu_j u_{k+1} dm \neq 0,$$

for $\lambda_j < \lambda_{k+1} < \lambda_{k+2}$, $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)] \int_{\Omega} \langle\langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle\rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{dm} \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p + u_j \mathcal{L}g \right|^2 \, \text{dm} + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. We will use the Lemma 3.1. Start by defining

$$a_{js} = \int_{\Omega} gu_j u_s \, \text{dm} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{js} = \int_{\Omega} (u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p) u_s \, \text{dm}.$$

Note that $a_{js} = a_{sj}$, and using integration by parts formula and (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s a_{js} &= - \int_{\Omega} gu_j (\mathcal{L}u_s) \, \text{dm} = - \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}gu_j) u_s \, \text{dm} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(g \mathcal{L}u_j + u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p \right) u_s \, \text{dm} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(-g \lambda_j u_j + u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p \right) u_s \, \text{dm} \\ &= \lambda_j \int_{\Omega} gu_j u_s \, \text{dm} - \int_{\Omega} \left(u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p \right) u_s \, \text{dm} \\ &= \lambda_j a_{js} - b_{js}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\lambda_s a_{js} - \lambda_j a_{js} = (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) a_{js} = -b_{js}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is complete and orthonormal basis on $L_2(\Omega, \text{dm})$, using the inner product of $L_2(\Omega, \text{dm})$, Parseval identity and definition of the a_{js} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm} &= |gu_j|_{L_2(\Omega, \text{dm})}^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\langle gu_j, u_s \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} gu_j \bar{u}_s \, \text{dm} \right|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} gu_j u_s \, \text{dm} \right|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |a_{js}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Whereas u_j is a real function for all j and using Stokes' theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle\langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle\rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{dm} &= \int_{\Omega} \langle\langle \nabla g, T(\bar{u}_j^2 \nabla g) \rangle\rangle_p \, \text{dm} = - \int_{\Omega} g (\text{div}_{\eta}(T(u_j^2 \nabla \bar{g}))) \, \text{dm} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} g \left(u_j^2 \text{div}_{\eta}(T(\nabla \bar{g})) + \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j^2), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p \right) \, \text{dm} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} g \left(u_j^2 \mathcal{L}\bar{g} + 2u_j \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p \right) \, \text{dm} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} gu_j (u_j \mathcal{L}\bar{g} + 2 \langle\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle\rangle_p) \, \text{dm}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From the definitions of a_{js} and b_{js} , and identities (3.2) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \langle \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{dm} &= - \int_{\Omega} g u_j (u_j \mathcal{L} \bar{g} + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla g \rangle \rangle_p) \, \text{dm} \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} g u_j \left(\overline{u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p} \right) \, \text{dm} \\
&= - \langle g u_j, u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p \rangle \\
&= - \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \langle g u_j, u_s \rangle u_s, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p, u_k \rangle u_k \right\rangle \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \langle g u_j, u_s \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p, u_k \rangle \langle u_s, u_k \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{s,k=1}^{\infty} \langle g u_j, u_s \rangle \overline{\langle u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p, u_k \rangle} \delta_{sk} \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \langle g u_j, u_s \rangle \overline{\langle u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p, u_s \rangle} \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g u_j u_s \, \text{dm} \int_{\Omega} (u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p) u_s \, \text{dm} \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{js} \bar{b}_{js} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{js} \overline{(-b_{js})} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{js} \overline{(\lambda_s - \lambda_j) a_{js}} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) a_{js} \bar{a}_{js} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Again from Parseval identity and from equation (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p|^2 \, \text{dm} &= \left| u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p \right|_{L_2(\Omega, \text{dm})}^2 \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left| \langle u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p, u_s \rangle \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} (u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p) \bar{u}_s \, \text{dm} \right|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p|^2 \, \text{dm} &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} (u_j \mathcal{L} g + 2 \langle \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle \rangle_p) u_s \, \text{dm} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |b_{js}|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |(\lambda_j - \lambda_s) a_{js}|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |(\lambda_j - \lambda_s)|^2 |a_{js}|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From equality (3.5), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Follow from identities (3.3), (3.6) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} ((\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|) |a_{js}| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} (|a_{js}|)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} ((\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|)^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |a_{js}|^2 - \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |g u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |g u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, as $a_{jk+1} \int_{\Omega} g u_j u_{k+1} \neq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A(j) &= \int_{\Omega} |u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$B(j) = \int_{\Omega} |g u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 = \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} |a_{js}|^2 > 0,$$

$$C(j) = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 = \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 > 0.$$

Notice that gu_j is not the \mathbb{C} -linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_{k+1} then there are some $l > k + 1$ such that

$$a_{jl} = \int_{\Omega} gu_j u_l \neq 0.$$

Moreover $\lambda_j < \lambda_{k+1} < \lambda_{k+2} \leq \lambda_l$, the vector $(|a_{js}|)_{s=k+1}^{\infty}$ is not proportional to $((\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|)_{s=k+1}^{\infty}$, and from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we conclude that (3.7) is equivalent to

$$C(j) < \sqrt{A(j)B(j)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $a_{jk+1} \neq 0$ and from (3.8), applying the Theorem 3.1 we deduce

$$C(j) \leq \frac{A(j) + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)B(j)}{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)}. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9) and the definitions of $A(j)$, $B(j)$ and $C(j)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)) \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{dm} - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p \right|^2 \, \text{dm} - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \\ & \quad + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \left(\int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm} - \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{dm} \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p \right|^2 \, \text{dm} + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm} \\ & \quad + \left[\left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j) |a_{js}|^2 - \sum_{s=1}^k (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 |a_{js}|^2 \right] \\ & \quad - (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{js}|^2 \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left| u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p \right|^2 \, \text{dm} + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm} \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=1}^k \left[(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_s - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_s - \lambda_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) - (\lambda_s - \lambda_j)^2 \right] |a_{js}|^2 \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left| u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p \right|^2 \, \text{dm} + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} |gu_j|^2 \, \text{dm} \\ & \quad - \sum_{s=1}^k \left[(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_s)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_s) \right] |a_{js}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{d}m \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| u_j \mathcal{L}g + 2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p \right|^2 \, \text{d}m + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{\Omega} |g u_j|^2 \, \text{d}m. \end{aligned}$$

this concludes the proof. \square

Then, by choosing the appropriate function in the Lemma above we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.2, for any nonconstant real-valued function $f \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, \text{d}m \\ & \leq 2 \sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, \text{d}m + \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, \text{d}m. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We will start applying the Lemma 3.2 for $g = e^{i\alpha f}$, where f is a non-constant function $f \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. We have

$$\nabla g = \nabla(e^{i\alpha f}) = e^{i\alpha f} (i\alpha \nabla f),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}g & := \operatorname{div}_{\eta}(T(\nabla g)) = \operatorname{div}(T(\nabla g)) - \langle T(\nabla g), \nabla \eta \rangle_p \\ & = \operatorname{div}(e^{i\alpha f} i\alpha T(\nabla f)) - \langle i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} T(\nabla f), \nabla \eta \rangle_p \\ & = i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \operatorname{div}(T(\nabla f)) + \langle T(\nabla f), \overline{-\alpha^2 e^{i\alpha f} \nabla f} \rangle_p - i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla \eta \rangle_p \\ & = i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \operatorname{div}_{\eta}(T(\nabla f)) - \alpha^2 e^{i\alpha f} \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle_p \\ & = i\alpha (e^{i\alpha f}) \mathcal{L}f - \alpha^2 e^{i\alpha f} \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle_p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |g u_j|^2 \, \text{d}m = \int_{\Omega} |e^{i\alpha f} u_j|^2 \, \text{d}m = \int_{\Omega} |e^{i\alpha f}|^2 |u_j|^2 \, \text{d}m = \int_{\Omega} u_j^2 \, \text{d}m = 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla g, T(\nabla g) \rangle_p u_j^2 \, \text{d}m & = \int_{\Omega} i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \langle \nabla f, i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} T(\nabla f) \rangle_p \, \text{d}m \\ & = \int_{\Omega} i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \overline{i\alpha e^{i\alpha f}} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle_p \, \text{d}m \\ & = \int_{\Omega} |i\alpha (e^{i\alpha f})|^2 \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, \text{d}m \\ & = \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, \text{d}m \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p + u_j \mathcal{L}g \right|^2 dm \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \overline{i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \nabla f} \rangle_p + u_j \mathcal{L}g \right|^2 dm \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| 2i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle_p + u_j i\alpha e^{i\alpha f} \mathcal{L}f - u_j \alpha^2 e^{i\alpha f} \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle_p \right|^2 dm \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{i\alpha f} \left[i\alpha (2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f) - u_j \alpha^2 \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle \right] \right|^2 dm \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| i\alpha (2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f) - u_j \alpha^2 \langle T(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle \right|^2 dm,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla \bar{g} \rangle_p + u_j \mathcal{L}g \right|^2 dm \\
&= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 dm + \alpha^4 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 dm. \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^2 \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 dm \\
& \leq \alpha^4 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 dm + \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 dm \\
& \quad + (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j),
\end{aligned}$$

and dividing the previous expression by α^2 on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 dm \\
& \leq \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 dm + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) + \int_{\Omega} \left(2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 dm. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the inequality (3.13) is valid for any $\alpha \neq 0$, $(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \neq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 dm \neq 0$, we can choose

$$\alpha^2 = \left(\frac{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)}{\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 dm} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, dm \\
& \leq \left(\frac{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)}{\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm + \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, dm \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm}{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \\
& = \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, dm \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& = 2 \sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla f) \rangle^2 u_j^2 \, dm + \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, dm,
\end{aligned}$$

this concludes the proof of Corollary 3.1. \square

From Corollary 3.1 we obtain the following result that will be useful to prove our main theorems.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same setup as in Lemma 3.2, for any real-valued function $f \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ with $|\nabla f|^2 = 1$, we have*

$$(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1})^2 \leq \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle^2 \, dm - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 u_j^2 \, dm - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, dm \right) \lambda_{k+2}. \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover,

$$\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_j - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 u_j^2 \, dm - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 \, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\sigma = 2\delta - \varepsilon$, with ε and δ given by (2.1).

PROOF. Since $|\nabla f|^2 = 1$, from Corollary 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) \int_{\Omega} u_j^2 \, dm & \leq 2\delta \sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \int_{\Omega} u_j^2 \, dm \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, dm,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\varepsilon \left((\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \right) - 2\delta \sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(2 \langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle + u_j \mathcal{L}f \right)^2 \, dm.$$

Taking $\sigma = 2\delta - \varepsilon$ we get

$$\frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)}}{(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_j) + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon - \sigma}{2(\delta - \sigma)},$$

so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}-\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 &\leq \varepsilon\left((\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j)+(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j)\right)-2\delta\sqrt{(\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j)} \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega}\left(2\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle+u_j\mathcal{L}f\right)^2 dm \\
&= \int_{\Omega}\left(4\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2+(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2+4\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle u_j\mathcal{L}f\right) dm \\
&= 4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm+\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm+4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle u_j\mathcal{L}f dm.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Green's formula and since that f is a real-valued function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle u_j\mathcal{L}f dm &= 2\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j^2), (\mathcal{L}f)\nabla f\rangle dm = 2\int_{\Omega}\langle \nabla u_j^2, T((\mathcal{L}f)\nabla f)\rangle dm \\
&= -2\int_{\Omega}u_j^2(\operatorname{div}_{\eta}[T((\mathcal{L}f)\nabla f)]) dm \\
&= -2\int_{\Omega}u_j^2\left(\mathcal{L}f(\operatorname{div}_{\eta}[T(\nabla f)])+\langle T(\nabla f), \nabla(\mathcal{L}f)\rangle\right) dm \\
&= -2\int_{\Omega}u_j^2(\mathcal{L}f)^2 dm-2\int_{\Omega}u_j^2\langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f)\rangle dm.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}-\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 &\leq 4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm+\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm+4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle u_j\mathcal{L}f dm \\
&= 4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm+\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm-2\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm \\
&\quad -2\int_{\Omega}\langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f)\rangle u_j^2 dm \\
&= 4\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm-\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm-2\int_{\Omega}\langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f)\rangle u_j^2 dm.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the previous inequality by $\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j}\right)^2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_{k+1})^2 &\leq \frac{4}{\sigma}\left(\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm\right. \\
&\quad \left.-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f)\rangle u_j^2 dm\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{16}{\sigma}\left(\int_{\Omega}\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f\rangle^2 dm-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{L}f)^2u_j^2 dm-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f)\rangle u_j^2 dm\right)\lambda_{k+2},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of inequality (3.14), once that $\lambda_{k+1}\leq\lambda_{k+2}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 &\leq \left(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}+\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 = \left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j}\right)^2 \\
&= 4(\lambda_{k+2}-\lambda_j)\leq 4\lambda_{k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, to prove (3.15) observe that from (2.2) and $|\nabla f|^2 = 1$ we have

$$\langle T(\nabla u_j), \nabla f \rangle^2 \leq |T(\nabla u_j)|^2 |\nabla f|^2 = |T(\nabla u_j)|^2 \leq \delta \langle \nabla u_j, T(\nabla u_j) \rangle. \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, applying (3.16) into (3.14) and remembering that $\lambda_j = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u_j, T(\nabla u_j) \rangle dm$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1})^2 &\leq \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla f, T(\nabla u_j) \rangle dm - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 u_j^2 dm - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 dm \right) \lambda_{k+2} \\ &\leq \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\delta \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u_j, T(\nabla u_j) \rangle dm - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 u_j^2 dm - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 dm \right) \lambda_{k+2} \\ &= \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\delta \lambda_j - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 u_j^2 dm - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}f), T(\nabla f) \rangle u_j^2 dm \right) \lambda_{k+2}, \end{aligned}$$

this is sufficient to prove expression (3.15). \square

4. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

In addition to the auxiliary results, to proof the main results, we will make use of the following fact

Lemma 4.1 ([14]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold M^n isometrically immersed in \mathbb{R}^m , and λ_i be the i -th eigenvalue of Problem (1.1). Then, we have*

$$v_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) k^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}} v_1,$$

where

$$v_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+1} + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta},$$

with H_0 , C_0 and T_0 are given as in Theorem 1.2.

The first result to be presented states that Conjecture 1.1 is true in the Euclidean case. We emphasize that the main tool in the proof is Corollary 3.2.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda_{k+1} < \lambda_{k+2}$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the standard coordinate functions in \mathbb{R}^n . Since $\nabla x_l = e_l$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n$, we have $|\nabla x_l| = 1$, taking $j = 1$ and $f = x_l$ in (3.14). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1})^2 &= \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle e_l, T(\nabla u_1) \rangle^2 dm - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle \nabla \eta, T(e_l) \rangle)^2 u_1^2 dm \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle \nabla \eta, T(e_l) \rangle), T(e_l) \rangle u_1^2 dm \right) \lambda_{k+2}, \end{aligned}$$

and summing over l from 1 to n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1})^2 &\leq \frac{16}{\sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n \langle e_l, T(\nabla u_1) \rangle^2 dm - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n (\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle)^2 u_1^2 dm \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n \langle \nabla(\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle), T(e_l) \rangle u_1^2 dm \right) \lambda_{k+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Now, we will use (2.2) to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n \langle e_l, T(\nabla u_1) \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}m = \int_{\Omega} |T(\nabla u_1)|^2 \mathrm{d}m \leq \delta \int_{\Omega} \langle T(\nabla u_1), \nabla u_1 \rangle \mathrm{d}m = \delta \lambda_1. \quad (4.2)$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(e_l), e_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle (\nabla_{e_j} T)(e_l), e_j \rangle = \left\langle e_l, \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_{e_j} T) e_j \right\rangle = \langle e_l, \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T) \rangle,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n (\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle)^2 u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \langle e_l, \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T) \rangle^2 - 2 \operatorname{div} \left(T \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle e_l \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \langle \nabla(\langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle), T(e_l) \rangle + |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \right) u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (|\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)|^2 - 2 \operatorname{div}(T^2(\nabla \eta))) \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), \sum_{l=1}^n \langle T(e_j), e_l \rangle e_l \right\rangle + |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \Big) u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)|^2 - 2 \operatorname{div}(T^2(\nabla \eta)) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), T(e_j) \rangle + |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \right) u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n \langle \nabla(\operatorname{div}(T(e_l)) - \langle T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle), T(e_l) \rangle u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \langle e_l, \nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T) \rangle e_j, T(e_l) \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), e_l \rangle e_j, T(e_l) \right\rangle \right) u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \langle T(e_j), \nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T) \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), T(e_j) \rangle \right) u_1^2 \mathrm{d}m. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(T(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T))) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)), e_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle (\nabla_{e_j} T)(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)) + T(\nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)), e_j \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T), \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_{e_j} T) e_j \right\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T), T(e_j) \rangle = |\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T), T(e_j) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and from the expressions (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1})^2 &\leq \frac{16}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \left[-\frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(T^2(\nabla \eta)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), T(e_j) \rangle - \frac{1}{4} |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle T(e_j), \nabla_{e_j} \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_j} T(\nabla \eta), T(e_j) \rangle \right] \operatorname{dm} \right) \lambda_{k+2} \\
&= \frac{16}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(T(T(\nabla \eta) - \operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)) \right) - \frac{1}{4} |T(\nabla \eta)|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{tr}(\nabla T)|^2 \right] \operatorname{dm} \right) \lambda_{k+2} \\
&\leq \frac{16}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + \int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \left[C_0 + \frac{T_0^2}{4} \right] \operatorname{dm} \right) \lambda_{k+2} = \frac{16}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + C_0 + \frac{T_0^2}{4} \right) \lambda_{k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $H_0^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} &\leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + C_0 + \frac{T_0^2}{4} \right) \lambda_{k+2}} \\
&\leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma n} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + C_0 + \frac{T_0^2}{4} \right) \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) (k+1)^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}}} \\
&= 4 \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right)^2 (k+1)^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}}} \\
&= 4 \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) (k+1)^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}}} \\
&= C_{n,\Omega} (k+1)^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{n,\Omega} = 4 \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sigma n} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right)}$. Therefore, (4.5) is true for arbitrary $k > 1$. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

PROOF. We will use the upper-half-plane model of Hyperbolic space, that is,

$$\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_n > 0\},$$

equipped with the metric

$$g_{js}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \langle \partial_j, \partial_s \rangle = \frac{1}{(x_n)^2} \delta_{js},$$

where $\{\partial_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is the basis of the coordinate vector fields of \mathbb{H}^n .

Taking $f = \ln x_n$ we will have

$$\nabla(\ln x_n) = x_n \partial_n, \quad |\nabla(\ln x_n)| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\ln x_n) = -(n-1), \tag{4.6}$$

so, make use of the hypothesis that $T(\partial_n) = \psi \partial_n$ and the fact that η and ψ are radially constant, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\ln x_n) &= \operatorname{div}(T(\nabla(\ln x_n))) - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\nabla(\ln x_n)) \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{div}(T(x_n \partial_n)) - \langle \nabla \eta, T(x_n \partial_n) \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{div}(\psi(x_n \partial_n)) - \langle \nabla \eta, \psi(x_n \partial_n) \rangle \\
&= \psi \Delta(\ln x_n) + \langle \nabla \psi, x_n \partial_n \rangle - \psi \langle \nabla \eta, x_n \partial_n \rangle \\
&= -(n-1)\psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_{k+1} < \lambda_{k+2}$. From (4.7), (4.6) and taking $j = 1$ in (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}(\ln x_n))^2 u_1^2 \, \mathrm{d}m - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\mathcal{L}(\ln x_n)), T(\nabla(\ln x_n)) \rangle u_1^2 \, \mathrm{d}m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}} \\
&= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{4} (n-1)^2 \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 u_1^2 \, \mathrm{d}m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\varepsilon \leq \langle T(\nabla \ln x_n), \nabla \ln x_n \rangle = \psi$ we have

$$\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} (n-1)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}}. \tag{4.8}$$

According to Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) k^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \tag{4.9}$$

then we can apply (4.9) to (4.8), and conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} (n-1)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right) (k+1)^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}}} \\
&= C_{n,\Omega} (k+1)^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \quad \text{for any } k > 1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } C_{n,\Omega} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon} \right) \left(\delta \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} (n-1)^2 \right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0 + T_0^2}{4\delta} \right)}. \quad \square$$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

PROOF. From inequality (3.15) of the Corollary 3.2 for $j = 1$ and the distance function $f = r$, since $|\nabla r|^2 = 1$ we obtain

$$\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (-(\square_{\eta} r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta} r), T(\partial r) \rangle) u_1^2 \, \mathrm{d}m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}}. \tag{4.10}$$

We need to estimate the expression

$$-(\square_{\eta} r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta} r), T(\partial r) \rangle.$$

For this, we use that the tensor T is radially parallel, that is, $\nabla_{\partial_r} T$ is null, such that, from Bochner formula (2.7) for drifted Cheng-Yau operator, we get

$$-\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta} r), T(\partial r) \rangle = -\psi_n \langle \nabla(\square_{\eta} r), \partial_r \rangle = \psi_n R_{\eta,T}(\partial_r, \partial_r) + \psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$-(\square_\eta r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_\eta r), T(\partial_r) \rangle = -(\square r)^2 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r - \langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle^2 + 2\psi_n R_{\eta, T}(\partial_r, \partial_r) + 2\psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

Let us consider an orthonormal basis $\{\partial_r, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ of the eigenvectors of the operator $\nabla^2 r$ with $0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_{n-1}$ the associated eigenvalues, respectively. Using the diagonalized form of the matrix associated with the operator $\nabla^2 r$ we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle \nabla^2 r(e_j), \nabla^2 r \circ T(e_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j \langle e_j, \nabla^2 r \circ T(e_j) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j \langle \nabla^2 r(e_j), T(e_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \langle e_j, T(e_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \theta_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_j = \langle e_j, T(e_j) \rangle$, and also

$$\square r = \text{tr}(\nabla^2 r, T) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle \nabla^2 r(e_j), T(e_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j \theta_j.$$

Since $\varepsilon |X|^2 \leq \langle T(X), X \rangle \leq \delta |X|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2\psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle - (\square r)^2 \\ &= 2\psi_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \theta_j - \left(\sum_i h_i \theta_i \right)^2 = 2\psi_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \theta_j - \sum_{ij=1}^{n-2} h_i h_j \theta_i \theta_j \\ &= 2\psi_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \theta_j - \sum_{ij=1}^{n-2} h_i h_j \theta_i \theta_j \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 \delta^2 - \sum_{ij} h_i h_j \varepsilon^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j^2 (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) - \sum_{i \neq j} h_i h_j \varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) h_{n-1}^2 + (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) h_{n-2} h_{n-1} + \dots + (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) h_1 h_2 - 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i < j} h_i h_j \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &2\psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle - (\square r)^2 \\ &\leq (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) h_{n-1}^2 + (2\delta^2 - 3\varepsilon^2) h_{n-2} h_{n-1} + \dots + (2\delta^2 - 3\varepsilon^2) h_1 h_2 - 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ j \neq i+1}} h_i h_j \\ &\leq (2\delta^2 - \varepsilon^2) h_{n-1}^2 + (n-2)(2\delta^2 - 2\varepsilon^2) h_{n-1}^2 - (n-2)\varepsilon^2 h_1^2 - [(n-2)^2 - (n-2)]\varepsilon^2 h_1^2 \\ &= [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2] h_{n-1}^2 - (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^2 h_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$2\psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle - (\square r)^2 \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2] h_{n-1}^2 - (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^2 h_1^2. \quad (4.12)$$

Recall that $-\kappa_1^2 \leq K \leq -\kappa_2^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2\psi_n R_{\eta,T}(\partial_r, \partial_r) &= 2\psi_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle R(e_j, \partial_r) \partial_r, T(e_j) \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} ((\operatorname{div} T)^\# - T(\nabla \eta)), \partial_r \rangle \right) \\ &= 2\psi_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \psi_j \langle R(e_j, \partial_r) \partial_r, e_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} T(\nabla \eta), \partial_r \rangle \right) \\ &\leq 2\psi_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \psi_j (-k_2^2) + \langle (\nabla_{\partial_r} T)(\nabla \eta) + T(\nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla \eta), \partial_r \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

and once that $\nabla_{\partial_r} T = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\psi_n R_{\eta,T}(\partial_r, \partial_r) &\leq 2\psi_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \psi_j (-k_2^2) + \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \psi_n \psi_j k_2^2 + 2\psi_n^2 \langle \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla \eta, \partial_r \rangle \quad (4.13) \\ &\leq -2(n-1)\varepsilon^2 k_2^2 + 2\psi_n^2 |\nabla^2 \eta(\partial_r, \partial_r)| \\ &\leq -2(n-1)\varepsilon^2 k_2^2 + 2\delta^2 \eta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_1 = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} |\nabla^2 \eta(\partial_r, \partial_r)|$.

Using Rauch Comparison Theorem (Lemma 2.1), we get

$$\kappa_1 \frac{\cosh(\kappa_1 r)}{\sinh(\kappa_1 r)} \geq h_{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq h_1 \geq \kappa_2 \frac{\cosh(\kappa_2 r)}{\sinh(\kappa_2 r)},$$

then, from (4.11) (4.12) e (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-(\square_{\eta r})^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta r}), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\ &= 2\psi_n \langle \nabla^2 r, \nabla^2 r \circ T \rangle - (\square r)^2 + 2\langle \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r - \langle \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle^2 + 2\psi_n R_{\eta,T}(\partial_r, \partial_r) \\ &\leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2] h_{n-1}^2 - (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^2 h_1^2 - 2(n-1)\varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^2 \\ &\quad + 2\delta^2 \eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-(\square_{\eta r})^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta r}), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\ &\leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2] \kappa_1^2 \frac{\cosh^2(\kappa_1 r)}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} - (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^2 \frac{\cosh^2(\kappa_2 r)}{\sinh^2(\kappa_2 r)} \\ &\quad - 2(n-1)\varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2 \eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r \\ &= [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2] \left(\kappa_1^2 + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} \right) - (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^2 \left(\kappa_2^2 + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_2 r)} \right) \\ &\quad - 2(n-1)\varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2 \eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla \eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r \\
& \quad + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} - (n-2)^2\varepsilon^2\frac{\kappa_2^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_2 r)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Here, there are three cases to consider:

- (1) $0 < k_2 \leq k_1$: since $0 < r$ and $f(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{\sinh^2(\theta r)}$ is a decreasing function for $\theta > 0$, we have

$$\frac{k_1^2}{\sinh^2(k_1 r)} \leq \frac{k_2^2}{\sinh^2(k_2 r)},$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} - (n-2)^2\varepsilon^2\frac{\kappa_2^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_2 r)} \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2 - (n-2)^2\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} \\
& = [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 \\
& \quad + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

- (2) $0 = k_2 < k_1$: in this case, we begin by estimating the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& = [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r \\
& \quad + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} - (n-2)^2\varepsilon^2\frac{1}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < k_1$ e $0 < r$, we know that

$$\frac{k_1^2}{\sinh^2(k_1 r)} \leq \frac{1}{r^2},$$

because it is sufficient to check that $g(\theta) = \sinh(a\theta) - a\theta$ is an increasing function for $a > 0$ and $\theta > 0$, with $g(0) = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)} - (n-2)^2\varepsilon^2\frac{1}{r^2} \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2]\frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r \\
& \quad + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2] \frac{\kappa_1^2}{\sinh^2(\kappa_1 r)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

(3) $0 = k_2 = k_1$: here, we begin by estimating the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2] \frac{1}{r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

From inequalities (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17) the inequality (4.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r,
\end{aligned}$$

when $[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2] \leq 0$.

From inequalities (4.15)-(4.17) the inequality (4.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \\
& \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1 \\
& \quad + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r + [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2] \frac{1}{d^2},
\end{aligned}$$

when $[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2] > 0$, since by taking $d = \text{dist}(\Omega, o)$, we have $0 < d \leq r(x)$ and $\frac{1}{r^2} \leq \frac{1}{d^2}$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Let us define

$$a(n, T) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{se } 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2 \leq 0, \\ 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2, & \text{se } 2(n-1)\delta^2 - (n-1)^2\varepsilon^2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\square_{\eta}r)^2 - 2\langle \nabla(\square_{\eta}r), T(\partial_r) \rangle \leq [2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 \\
& \quad + 2\delta^2\eta_1 + 2\langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle \square r + \frac{a(n, T)}{d^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

By using [10, Proposition 3, p. 11] by Fonseca and Gomes, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} u_1^2 \square r \langle \nabla\eta, T(\partial_r) \rangle dm \leq \delta^2 \eta_r (n-1) \left(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d} \right), \tag{4.19}$$

where $\eta_r = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |\langle \nabla\eta, \partial_r \rangle|$, then from (4.10), (4.18) and (4.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} & \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1}{4} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\delta^2\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} + \frac{a(n, T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_{k+2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

From Lemma 4.1, for the \square_η operator, we have

$$\lambda_{k+2} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta}\right) (k+1)^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \quad (4.21)$$

where $C_0 = \sup_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(T^2(\nabla\eta)) - \frac{1}{4} |T(\nabla\eta)|^2 \right\}$ and $H_0 = \sup_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}_T|$. From (4.20) and (4.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_{k+1} \\ & \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1}{4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\delta^2\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} + \frac{a(n, T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right) \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta}\right) (k+1)^{\frac{2\delta}{n\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1}{4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\delta^2\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} + \frac{a(n, T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (k+1)^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}} \\ & = C_{n, \Omega} (k+1)^{\frac{\delta}{n\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n, \Omega} & = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(\delta\lambda_1 + \frac{[2(n-1)\delta^2 - (2n-3)\varepsilon^2]\kappa_1^2 - [n^2 - 2n + 2]\varepsilon^2\kappa_2^2 + 2\delta^2\eta_1}{4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\delta^2\eta_r(n-1)(\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{d})}{2} + \frac{a(n, T)}{4d^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{4\delta}{n\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n^2 H_0^2 + 4C_0}{4\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, inequality (4.22) is valid for all $k > 1$. \square

References

- [1] H. ALENCAR, G.S. NETO, D. ZHOU, Eigenvalue estimates for a class of elliptic differential operators on compact manifolds, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, (N.S.) 46 (3) (2015) 491-514.
- [2] M.C. ARAÚJO FILHO AND J.N.V. GOMES, *Eigenvalue estimates for a class of elliptic differential operators in divergence form on Riemannian manifolds isometrically immersed in Euclidean space*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 74.4 (2023) 157.
- [3] S. BOCHNER, *Vector fields and Ricci curvature*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1946) 776-797.
- [4] I. CHAVEL, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York, (1984).
- [5] D. CHEN, AND Q.-M. CHENG, *Extrinsic Estimates for Eigenvalues of the Laplace Operator*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 60 (2) (2008) 325-339.
- [6] Q.-M. CHENG AND H. YANG, *Estimates on Eigenvalues of Laplacian*, *Math. Ann.*, 331 (2) (2005) 445-460.
- [7] Q.-M. CHENG, AND H. YANG, *Bounds on Eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian*, *Math. Ann.*, 337 (1) (2007) 159-175.
- [8] S.Y. CHENG AND S.T. YAU, *Hypersurfaces with Constant Scalar Curvature*, *Math. Ann.*, 225 (1977) 195-204.
- [9] D. CHEN, T. ZHENG, AND H. YANG, *Estimates of the Gaps Between Consecutive Eigenvalues of Laplacian*, *Pac. J. Math.*, 282 (2) (2016) 293-311.

- [10] J.C.M. FONSECA AND J.N.V. GOMES, *Eigenvalue estimates of the drifted Cheng-Yau operator on bounded domains in pinched Cartan-Hadamard manifolds*, (2022) arXiv:2107.09135v4.
- [11] J.N.V. GOMES, AND J.F.R. MIRANDA, *Eigenvalue estimates for a class of elliptic differential operators in divergence form*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 176 (2018) 1-19.
- [12] A.G. SETTI, *Eigenvalue estimates for the weighted laplacian on a riemannian manifold*, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 100 (1998) 27–55.
- [13] C.S. SILVA, *Estimativas de Gaps entre Autovalores Consecutivos do Laplaciano*, Dissertação de Mestrado, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Manaus (2018).
- [14] C.S. SILVA, J.F.R. MIRANDA AND M.C. ARAÚJO FILHO, *Inequalities for eigenvalues of operators in divergence form on Riemannian manifolds isometrically immersed in Euclidean space*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 531 (2) (2024).
- [15] K. SHIGA, *Hadamard Manifolds*, *Geometry of Geodesics and Related Topics. Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 3 (1984) 239-281.
- [16] P. PETERSEN, *Riemannian Geometry*, 3rd ed. Springer, Los Angeles, (2016).
- [17] H. YANG, *An Estimate of the Difference Between Consecutive Eigenvalues*, preprint, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste (1991) disponível em https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:23015356
- [18] C. XIA, H. XU, *Inequalities for eigenvalues of the drifting Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 45 (3) (2014) 155-166.

^{1,2}DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO AMAZONAS, AV. GENERAL RODRIGO OCTÁVIO, 6200, 69080-900 MANAUS, AMAZONAS, BRAZIL.

³DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE RONDÔNIA, CAMPUS JI-PARANÁ, R. RIO AMAZONAS, 351, JARDIM DOS MIGRANTES, 76900-726 JI-PARANÁ, RONDÔNIA, BRAZIL

Email address: ¹cristianosilva@ufam.edu.br

Email address: ²jfrmiranda@ufam.edu.br

Email address: ³marcio.araujo@unir.br

URL: ^{1,2}<http://dmice.ufam.edu.br>

URL: ³<https://dmej.unir.br>