

Deep Transfer Learning for Kidney Cancer Diagnosis

Yassine Habchi¹, (Member, IEEE), Hamza Kheddar², Yassine Himeur, ³,(Senior Member, IEEE), Abdelkrim Boukabou, (Senior Member, IEEE)⁴, Shadi Atalla³, (Senior Member, IEEE), Wathiq Mansoor³, (Senior Member, IEEE) and Hussain Al-Ahmad³, (Life Senior Member, IEEE)

¹Institute of Technology, University Center Salhi Ahmed, Naama, Algeria (e-mail: habchi@cuniv-naama.dz)

²LSEA Laboratory, Electrical Engineering Department, University of Medea, 26000, Algeria (e-mail: kheddar.hamza@univ-medea.dz)

³College of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Dubai, Dubai, UAE ((e-mail: yhimeur@ud.ac.ae)

⁴Department of Electronics, University of Jijel, BP 98 Ouled Aissa, Jijel 18000, Algeria (e-mail: aboukabou@univ-jijel.dz)

Corresponding author: First A. Author (e-mail: author@ boulder.nist.gov).

arXiv:2408.04318v1 [eess.IV] 8 Aug 2024

ABSTRACT Many incurable diseases prevalent across global societies stem from various influences, including lifestyle choices, economic conditions, social factors, and genetics. Research predominantly focuses on these diseases due to their widespread nature, aiming to decrease mortality, enhance treatment options, and improve healthcare standards. Among these, kidney disease stands out as a particularly severe condition affecting men and women worldwide. Nonetheless, there is a pressing need for continued research into innovative, early diagnostic methods to develop more effective treatments for such diseases. Recently, automatic diagnosis of Kidney Cancer has become an important challenge especially when using deep learning (DL) due to the importance of training medical datasets, which in most cases are difficult and expensive to obtain. Furthermore, in most cases, algorithms require data from the same domain and a powerful computer with efficient storage capacity. To overcome this issue, a new type of learning known as transfer learning (TL) has been proposed that can produce impressive results based on other different pre-trained data. This paper presents, to the best of the authors' knowledge, the first comprehensive survey of DL-based TL frameworks for kidney cancer diagnosis. This is a strong contribution to help researchers understand the current challenges and perspectives of this topic. Hence, the main limitations and advantages of each framework are identified and detailed critical analyses are provided. Looking ahead, the article identifies promising directions for future research. Moving on, the discussion is concluded by reflecting on the pivotal role of TL in the development of precision medicine and its effects on clinical practice and research in oncology.

INDEX TERMS Artificial intelligence, Deep learning, Domain adaptation, Fine-tuning, Kidney cancer, Transfer learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

The kidneys play a crucial role in regulating the body's fluid balance by filtering out toxins and excreting waste products. Various disorders, such as kidney cancer (KC), can impair kidney function [1]. Fig.1 shows the estimated number of KC incidence and mortality in 2022, for both genders in the world [2]. KC is typically graded on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the least aggressive and 4 being the most aggressive. The grade of a KC is determined by analyzing the cancer cells under a microscope and assessing the degree of differentiation and anaplasia. Grade 1 known as papillary renal cell carcinoma (PRCC), consists of well-differentiated

cells closely resembling normal kidney cells [3]. These cancers tend to grow slowly. Grade 2 or chromophobe renal cell carcinoma (CRCC), comprises moderately differentiated cells with some resemblance to normal kidney cells. These cancers grow more slowly than grade 3 or 4 cancers. Grade 3 known as clear cell renal cell carcinoma (CCRCC), consists of poorly differentiated cells bearing little resemblance to normal kidney cells [4]. These cancers tend to grow and spread more rapidly than grade 1 or 2 cancers. Grade 4 called as sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma (SRCC), is a rare and highly aggressive type of KC that grows and spreads rapidly. It's important to note that the grade of a KC is one of

several factors considered when determining the best course of treatment [5], [6].

Moreover, KC can be identified by employing a range of imaging methods such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), X-rays, and ultrasound (US) imaging [7]. These methods are capable of generating comprehensive visuals of the kidneys, enabling physicians to spot any irregularities or tumors [8]. Nonetheless, despite the utility of medical imagery in disease diagnosis, its reliability is not absolute in certain scenarios. Particularly in cancer cases, more precise techniques may be required to aid physicians in detecting malignant cells and assessing their metastasis to other body regions [9]. Moreover, interpreting whether an image truly signifies the presence of cancer can be challenging. Medical imagery is often rich in detail and data, complicating its analysis. In addition, the overwhelming volume of images and visual assessments may contribute to medical inaccuracies. The burden of analyzing numerous images can diminish a radiologist's focus and their capacity to notice crucial nuances. Such circumstances can lead to misdiagnosis, diagnosis delays, or even inappropriate treatments [9].

In recent years, deep learning (DL)-based early detection methods have recorded significant achievements in identifying various terminal illnesses [10]–[12]. These methods focus on accurately extracting diverse features that assist medical professionals in the diagnostic process through the utilization of the network's multiple layers [13], [14]. Attaining the necessary diagnostic precision for incurable diseases affecting the kidneys presents challenges due to: (i) the insufficiency of data resources for the training phase [15], [16]; (ii) the time-consuming, expensive, and exhaustive nature of data collection [17], [18]; (iii) the requirement for data annotation in the training phase; and (iv) the impracticality of manually labeling large datasets by experts, which is often unfeasible [19], [20].

While many DL algorithms, such as the convolutional neural network (CNN) [10], [11], [13], [21]–[23], are proficient at recognizing complex patterns, they encounter significant challenges, particularly during the transition from training to testing phases, which can impede their effectiveness. Additionally, various studies [24] have pointed out that the majority of datasets utilized for diagnosing either early or advanced cancer stages are often inadequate for the diagnostic process. This inadequacy is due to the intricate structure of certain organs, like the kidneys, and the variations in image depth, making it exceedingly difficult to accurately identify the type or grade of cancer [25]–[27]. Furthermore, these algorithms heavily rely on the assumption that the training and testing data are extracted from identical feature spaces and distributions. A deviation between these can necessitate a comprehensive model reconfiguration, which is not only costly but also labor-intensive. As [28] notes, supervised learning algorithms can yield remarkable outcomes with extensive volumes of annotated data. Yet, they generally falter with the complex variations present in medical images, where labeled data are scarce, and backgrounds are complex. Conversely,

diagnostic systems powered by artificial intelligence (AI) critically depend on having access to well-distributed and annotated datasets for training, a requirement that is often hard to fulfill [29]. This underscores the need for enhancements in AI-based diagnostic systems for incurable diseases, aiming to simplify them to improve diagnostic efficiency, timing, and precision [30].

To meet these goals, efforts are being directed towards leveraging transfer learning (TL) in many research fields [31]–[35]. This process fundamentally involves repurposing a network that has been previously trained on a specific dataset for a distinct task. This entails employing established models such as LeNet, AlexNet, VGGNet, ResNet, GoogLeNet, DenseNet, XceptionNet, and SqueezeNet [32] for tasks on smaller datasets, thereby facilitating the omission of labels. This concept draws inspiration from the human ability to apply knowledge and experiences from past learning to foster new insights. Consequently, various databases hosting extensive image collections have been made available online, such as the CNN algorithm's application on the ImageNet dataset, which is accessible for public experimentation with DL-based algorithms. This approach effectively mitigates data scarcity issues and circumvents the need for extensive data annotation [36]. Moreover, this algorithmic approach alleviates the lengthy durations typically required for learning processes, which can extend over days or weeks. In the realm of diagnosing terminal illnesses, TL has been categorized into two methodologies: fine-tuning and feature extraction, both of which have shown promising outcomes, notably in KC detection. Numerous studies within this domain, for instance, [37], exemplify its application. TL is particularly beneficial for cancer detection and diagnosis, including KC [38], [39]. Utilizing a pre-trained DL model, like a CNN, fine-tuned on kidney imaging scans (e.g., computerized tomography (CT) or MRI), can enhance its efficacy in identifying and diagnosing kidney tumors (Fig. 2). A method for fine-tuning a model for KC detection might involve using a dataset comprising both healthy and cancerous kidney scans, adjusting the model's final layers' weights to better recognize cancerous areas. Additionally, the model could be optimized by either freezing specific layers while training the last few or starting anew with the pre-trained weights. An alternative TL strategy in KC detection could leverage a model pre-tuned for a similar task, such as lung cancer detection, and further fine-tune it on kidney scans, beneficial when large datasets for KC detection are unavailable. TL proves invaluable in medical imaging, significantly when large, labeled datasets are scarce, enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of kidney tumor identification and diagnosis.

A. OUR CONTRIBUTIONS

Numerous reviews have covered the contributions of the TL algorithm, citing works such as [37], [40], [41]. However, this paper offers a novel examination by showcasing the role of TL in identifying and managing various types and degrees of renal conditions within a unified and comprehen-




FIGURE 1: The estimated number of KC incidence and mortality in the world.

sive framework that encompasses classification and concepts. This approach aids in demystifying the domain of TL and its utilization in KC detection endeavors. The primary focus has been on the enhancement through fine-tuning and domain adaptation (DA) in KC detection, particularly highlighting recent cross-domain advancements. Furthermore, this review underscores the significance of employing TL in data fusion for improving cancer detection and outlines the challenges and future research avenues, suggesting a shift towards generalized TL models for KC detection. The unique contributions of this analysis include:

- Exploring various facets of TL and DA that have propelled their evolution.
- Offering a detailed categorization of TL and DA frameworks along with an exploration of associated concepts.
- Conducting a thorough literature review on TL and DA's role in KC detection, grounded in specific standards.
- Illustrating how TL and DA frameworks enhance integrated data approaches to KC detection.
- Highlighting unresolved issues in cancer detection faced by TL and DA, such as (1) model precision concerns, (2) selection of evaluation metrics, (3) the challenge of transferring knowledge irrelevant to the subject matter, etc.
- Proposing future research trajectories for TL and DA frameworks that promise lower computational demands and expenses.
- Marking this review as a pioneering effort in discussing TL and DA for KC detection, it distinctively contributes by (1) delving into the diverse applications of TL and DA, (2) integrating TL and DA within the KC detection

landscape, (3) identifying assorted challenges, and (4) suggesting future research directions.

Table 1, displays the results of the comparison of the contribution of the proposed review with other surveys in the field of TL.

B. BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS

To explore and scrutinize the scientific investigations detailed in this review, bibliometric methods were utilized. The cumulative interest in TL-based KC over time is summarized in Fig. 3. This illustration provides a snapshot of the enduring interest in research on TL-based KC, highlighting a notable uptick in enthusiasm for crafting TL-based KC methodologies. Specifically, this surge in interest is represented in Fig. 3(a), indicating a sharp rise in the publication count, which peaked at 66 articles in 2023. Furthermore, Fig. 3(b) displays the countries contributing most significantly to research outputs in this area, with China and the United States emerging as the most prolific in TTL-based KC research advancements. Furthermore, Fig. 3(c) identifies the different domains of application of KC-oriented TL. Lastly, Fig. 3(d) delineates the distribution of paper types, revealing that journal articles predominate, constituting 65.8% of all published work, with conference papers making up 19.7%.

Table 2, presents a summary of TL research conducted in the field of kidney disease diagnosis.

C. PAPER ORGANIZATION

The structure of this document is outlined below. Section 2 examines the current landscape of TL-driven KC through a detailed classification scheme. Following this, Section 3




FIGURE 2: Kidney classification based on TL.

TABLE 1: A summary of a comparison of the proposed study’s contribution with other studies in the field of TL is shown. A check mark (✓) signifies that the area has been covered, while a cross mark (✗) indicates that it has not been addressed.

Review	Year	Description	TLB	TLS	DA	TLA	TLD	TLAD	TLL	KCH	FD
[42]	2018	TL for mental health	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
[43]	2018	TL for cancer detection	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
[44]	2019	TL for breast cancer	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
[45]	2020	TL for medical image	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
[46]	2021	TL for EEG signal analysis	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
[47]	2021	TL for MRI brain	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
[48]	2021	TL for Alzheimer’s disease	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
[49]	2022	TL for neuroimaging analysis	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
[50]	2022	TL for KC	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
[51]	2023	TL for COVID-19 medical image	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
[52]	2023	TL for Diabetic Retinopathy	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Our	2024	TL for KC	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Abbreviations: TL background (TLB), TL segmentation (TLS), TL applications (TLA), TL datasets (TLD), TL advantages (TLAD), TL limitations (TLL), Key challenges (KCH), Futur direction (FD)

outlines the significant advancements in various applications of TL-enhanced KC, such as in the detection of cancer, analysis of CT scans, examination of genomic data, and the integration of multi-modal data. Discussions on the datasets used for KC and the primary benefits and drawbacks of employing TL in the context of KC are presented in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Subsequently, Section 6 delves into the obstacles faced in KC applications utilizing TL and outlines potential areas for future investigation in Section 7. Conclusions are drawn in the final section, Section 8.

II. TAXONOMY OF TL

TL is a method in machine learning (ML) that involves applying knowledge obtained from solving one problem to a different, yet related, problem. This part presents the mathematical framework underlying TL, beginning with the concepts of a "domain" and a "task."

A **domain** \mathcal{D} consists of two primary elements:

- A feature space \mathcal{X} , encompassing all potential inputs.
- A marginal probability distribution $P(X)$, with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, which describes the distribution of samples across the feature space.

A **task** \mathcal{T} is distinguished by:

- A label space \mathcal{Y} .




FIGURE 3: Bibliometric analysis in terms of (a) The number of published articles, (b) The most country known by active researchers, (c) The percentage of the contribution in each domain, and (d) Distribution of paper types.

- A goal-oriented predictive function $f(\cdot)$ that associates an input $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with an output $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. This function f is generally unknown and represents the target of learning algorithms to estimate.

In TL, we deal with a source domain \mathcal{D}_S and a target domain \mathcal{D}_T , along with their corresponding tasks \mathcal{T}_S and \mathcal{T}_T . The objective is to improve the learning of the target predictive function $f_T(\cdot)$ in \mathcal{D}_T using the knowledge from \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{T}_S .

In the context of TL, we engage with both a source domain \mathcal{D}_S and a target domain \mathcal{D}_T , as well as their respective tasks \mathcal{T}_S and \mathcal{T}_T . The aim is to enhance the learning of the target predictive function $f_T(\cdot)$ within \mathcal{D}_T by leveraging the knowledge from \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{T}_S .

The purpose of a TL approach is to utilize the source domain and task to improve the accuracy of the predictive function in the target domain. This involves adapting models, identifying shared feature representations, or employing other methods to make use of the similarities between the domains and tasks. Table 3, summarizes the types of TL. Table 4 provides a summary of various cutting-edge techniques that utilize TL types for KC, including the datasets and metrics employed.

A. INDUCTIVE TL

Inductive TL focuses on using the knowledge gained from the source domain and task to improve learning in a related but different target task. The key elements are defined as follows:

- Let $\mathcal{D}_S = \{X_S, P(X_S)\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_T = \{X_T, P(X_T)\}$ be the source and target domains, respectively, where X represents the input space and $P(X)$ the marginal probability distribution.
- The tasks $\mathcal{T}_S = \{Y_S, f_S(\cdot)\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_T = \{Y_T, f_T(\cdot)\}$, with Y being the output space and $f(\cdot)$ the target predictive function.
- The goal is to improve f_T by utilizing f_S , often requiring some labeled data in \mathcal{D}_T .

1) Fine-tuning

During fine-tuning, the model's weights, initially learned from the source domain, are adjusted to better suit the target task (Fig.4(a)). This adjustment is done by continuing the training process on the target dataset, often with a smaller learning rate to make subtle changes to the weights. Fine-tuning in this context allows the model to adapt the knowledge acquired from the source domain to the specifics of the target domain and task, making it a quintessential example of inductive TL.

TABLE 2: Summary of TL research conducted in the diagnosis of kidney disease.

Ref.	Year	Dataset	Sample/Input size	Used method	Performance assessment	Objects	Methods description	KSO
[53]	2022	KITS	-	3D-MS-RFCNN	ACC:0.9390	Improve segmentation in kidney tumors.	Multi-scale approach with a Deep neural network (DNN) based on 3D-MS-RFCNN is applied to capture global contextual features for kidney tumors	Kidney
[54]	2022	Private data	12,446	VGG16	ACC:99.30	Perform efficient an auto-diagnose kidney diseases	Development an intelligent system based on EAnet, CCT, Swin transformers and Resnet, VGG16, and Inceptionv3 models to auto-diagnose kidney stones, cysts, and tumors.	Kidney
[55]	2022	Private data	158	U-Net+TL	DC : 89.34 ± 5.31	Improve kidney segmentation	Proposed a fully automated 2D attention U-Net model for kidney segmentation on T1 weighted-nephrographic phase contrast enhanced	Kidney
[56]	2022	Private data	162 images	CNN+CM+TL	DC: 0.853	segmentation of kidney in US images	Propose a cross-modal TL from CT to US to segment kidney organ based on CycleGAN, U-Net, U-Res, PSPNet, and DeepLab v3+ and CNN models.	Kidney
[57]	2021	Private data	258 WSI	CNN+TL	SEN:0.93 F1:0.87	Quantify non-sclerotic and sclerotic glomeruli from kidney.	A 9-layer CNN based on the common U-Net architecture was developed and tested for the segmentation of non-sclerotic and sclerotic glomeruli of kidney.	Kidney
[58]	2021	Private data	-	VGG16+TL	F1:97.03	Extract the kidney stone fragment area	The U-Net was used to extract the kidney stone area. The VGG16 is used as the encoder of U-Net model to extract the semantic information.	Kidney
[59]	2020	Private data	560/ 768X512	VGG-16	ACC:0.89 SEN:0.81 SPE:0.92	Segmentation of kidneys from 2D US images.	Investigates the performances of pre-trained U-Net model using various backbones for segmentation of kidneys from 2D US images.	Kidney
[60]	2020	Private data	196	FCNN	DC:0.88 VD : 0.09 ± 0.05	Segment organs from large biomedical 3D images	Used 3D U-Net kernels for segmenting 3D MRI.	Kidney
[61]	2019	CHOP	185 kidney images	TL+CNN+BDRN	ACC : 0.989 ± 0.006 DC : 0.94 ± 0.03 MD : 2.72 ± 1.61	Segment the kidneys	Used a boundary distance regression network to learn kidney boundary	Kidney
[62]	2019	Private data	50:CAKUT 50:Controls	TL+SVM	ACC: 87.00 SEN:86.00	Classify of normal children and those with CAKUT.	support vector machine (SVM) classifiers and TL are used to classify diseased and normal kidneys.	Kidney
[63]	2019	KITS19	-	nnU-Net	DC: 0.9620	Kidney segmentation in CT scans	nnU-Net algorithm used to for kidney segmentation	Kidney
[64]	2018	Private data	48 Slide images	CNN	F1:0.8475	Classified non-sclerotic and sclerotic glomeruli.	CNN model is used to classified classifies normal and sclerotic glomeruli	Glomeruli
[65]	2018	Private data	512x512	Kid-Net	F1:Artery:0.72 F1:Vein:0.67	Segment and classify kidney vessels	Propose Kid-Net model for semantic kidney segmentation.	Kidney
[66]	2018	GCDB	935 images	DNN+TL	ACC:0.8823 SEN:0.8823	Classifying categories of glomeruli	Test DNN+TL model for classifying normal and abnormal categories of glomeruli	Glomeruli
[67]	2018	CHOP	50:CAKUT 50:CAKUT	CNN+BDRN+PWC	MD : 2.8717 ± 2.0665 SEN : 0.9354 ± 0.0625	Segment the kidneys in clinical US images	Propose subsequent boundary distance regression to segment the kidneys.	Kidney
[68]	2018	CHOP	50:CAKUT 50:Healthy	TL+SVM	ACC : 0.87 ± 2.1 AUC : 0.92 ± 0.7	Improve CAKUT and kidney diagnosis in children	Propose a TL-based method and SVM model to extract features from US kidney images to improve the CAKUT diagnosis.	Kidney

Abbreviation: Kind of segmented organ (KSO)

TABLE 3: Types of TL.

TL settings	Source	Target	Tasks
Traditional ML/DL	$D_s = D_T$	$D_s = D_T$	All
Inductive TL	$D_s \cong D_T$	$D_s \neq D_T$	Classification and regression
Transductive TL	$D_s \cong D_T$	$D_s = D_T$	Classification and regression
Unsupervised TL	$D_s \neq D_T$	$D_s \neq D_T$	Clusterings and reduction

In [69], the authors' research highlights the significance of fine-tuning in TL for enhancing a pre-trained VGG19 and naïve inception model's ability to detect kidney diseases from CT images. By adapting the DL model more specifically to this task, fine-tuning significantly boosts performance, achieving an impressive accuracy in identifying various kidney diseases.

The authors in [70], focused on exploring a variety of techniques to improve model performance on enhancing the efficiency of human kidney segmentation (HKS) using U-Net architectures for computer-aided detection (CAD) and diagnosis. Among these techniques, they particularly emphasized the importance of hyperparameter fine-tuning, alongside test time data augmentations, train time data augmentations, shuffling, randomization, and normalization. This advancement underscores the critical role of meticulous data preprocessing and model optimization techniques, such as hyperparameter fine-tuning, in refining the accuracy and efficiency of DL models for precise medical image segmentation tasks.

In the study referenced by [71], the researchers introduce a smart model for classification and prediction. This model employs an enhanced deep belief network for the task of predicting diseases related to the kidneys. It incorporates fine-tuning through the back-propagation method to adjust all network parameters optimally using the up-down algorithm. This algorithm is a contrastive version of the wake-sleep algorithm, which is applied for training deep belief network with labeled datasets. The assessment of this model demonstrates its effectiveness in the early detection of chronic kidney disease and its stages, thus contributing to slowing down kidney damage progression.

In the research cited by [72], the authors introduce a DL-driven segmentation framework that emphasizes the critical role of fine-tuning. The framework operates through a two-phase process, starting with broad segmentation and moving towards more precise segmentation, leveraging advanced DL techniques. A key innovation of this framework is the adoption of a novel loss function designed to incorporate the mean and variance of pixel values specific to kidneys and renal tumors, thereby allowing for meticulous refinement. The challenge of limited data is addressed by pre-training the model on images from abdominal datasets. This initial step equips the model with the capability to discern fundamental characteristics from abdominal images, facilitated by TL. This approach underscores the importance of fine-tuning in

enhancing the model's accuracy and applicability in medical imaging.

In [53], the fine-tuning is used to involves adapting a DL model, specifically a 3D CNN called NephNet3D, to improve its ability to classify cell types in human kidney tissue using 3D nuclear staining. The fine-tuning significantly improved the model's balanced accuracy on the new specimen's data, demonstrating that fine-tuning NephNet3D with a small subset of labeled data from a new specimen significantly enhances its predictive performance on that specimen.

Fig.4(a) illustrates two methods of fine-tuning CNN: (i) to fine-tune the weights of the whole network and (ii) to fine-tune some certain layers. Fig.4(b) showcases a CNN instance of deep network adaptation, which modifies the distribution in the full connection layer by measuring the domain distance.

2) Multi-Task Learning (MTL)

Multi-task Learning aims at simultaneously learning multiple related tasks to improve generalization. It is mathematically described as:

- Given a set of tasks $\{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_N\}$, the objective is to learn these tasks jointly by exploiting commonalities and differences.
- This involves optimizing a shared representation Φ and task-specific functions $\{f_{T_1}, f_{T_2}, \dots, f_{T_N}\}$.
- The objective function is $\min_{\Phi, f_{T_1}, \dots, f_{T_N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_{T_i}(f_{T_i}(\Phi(X_{T_i})), Y_{T_i})$, where \mathcal{L}_{T_i} is the loss function for task i .

The authors' objective in [73] is to introduce an innovative semi-supervised multi-task learning method designed for forecasting the short-term progression of kidney disease using data from general practitioners' electronic health records. They have shown that their methodology can: (i) track the progression of estimated glomerular filtration rate over time by enforcing a connection between sequential time periods, and (ii) harness valuable insights from patient data without labels, particularly when the data with labels are in limited supply.

In [74], the authors introduced a new multi-task learning approach aimed at assessing image quality, performing de-blurring, and classifying kidney diseases. The study analyzed immunofluorescence images from 1,608 patients, dividing them into 1,289 for training and 319 for testing. This innovative technique was not only effective in diagnosing four different kidney diseases using blurred immunofluorescence images, but it also demonstrated strong capabilities in two additional tasks: evaluating image quality and removing blur from images.

In [75], the authors introduce a multi-task 3D CNN designed for segmenting autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, which is identified by the continuous enlargement of renal cysts and stands as the most common severe hereditary kidney disorder. They successfully attained a high average dice score through this approach.

B. TRANSDUCTIVE TL

The objective of transductive TL is to predict the labels $\{y_j^T\}_{j=1}^{n_T}$ for the instances in the target domain \mathcal{D}_T , leveraging the knowledge from the source domain \mathcal{D}_S .

- A source domain $\mathcal{D}_S = \{(x_i^S, y_i^S)\}_{i=1}^{n_S}$ with n_S labeled instances, where $x_i^S \in \mathbb{R}^d$ represents the feature vector of the i -th instance and $y_i^S \in \mathcal{Y}$ represents its label.
- A target domain $\mathcal{D}_T = \{x_j^T\}_{j=1}^{n_T}$ with n_T unlabeled instances, where $x_j^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Transductive TL can be further categorized based on the strategies employed to bridge the gap between the source and target domains:

1) Domain Adaptation

It focuses on adapting the source domain model to work effectively on the target domain by minimizing the domain shift. Techniques include feature representation alignment, domain-invariant feature learning, and discrepancy minimization between source and target domain distributions. The aim of DA is to minimize the domain shift between the source domain \mathcal{D}_S and the target domain \mathcal{D}_T (Fig.4(b)) [76].

- Feature representation alignment: Aim to find a transformation ϕ that aligns the feature distributions of the source and target domains by minimizing a distance metric $d(\phi(\mathcal{D}_S), \phi(\mathcal{D}_T))$, such as the maximum mean discrepancy [77].
- Domain-invariant feature learning: Learn a feature representation ϕ that is invariant to the change in domains, optimizing ϕ such that the predictive model $f(\phi(\cdot))$ achieves low error on both \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{D}_T [78].
- Discrepancy minimization: Directly minimize the discrepancy between the source and target domain distributions using techniques like adversarial training, where a discriminator is trained to distinguish between the source and target domain features while the feature extractor ϕ is trained to fool the discriminator [79].

Instance weighting, feature transformation, and kernel methods are key techniques used in the DA process, aimed at addressing the issue of domain shift—where the data distribution in the source domain differs from that in the target domain [80]. These techniques facilitate the transfer of knowledge from a source domain to a target domain, helping to improve the performance of ML models on the target domain despite differences in data distribution [81].

- Instance weighting: It assigns weights to instances in the source domain based on their relevance to the target domain. The goal is to give higher importance to source instances that are more similar to the target domain, thereby reducing the bias towards the source domain distribution [82]. Typically, given a source instance (x_i^S, y_i^S) , assign a weight w_i based on its similarity to the target domain. The weighted loss for the source domain is then $\sum_{i=1}^{n_S} w_i \ell(f(x_i^S), y_i^S)$, where ℓ is a loss function [83].




FIGURE 4: Example of TL models used in KC: (a) Fine-tuning, and (b) DA.

- Feature transformation: It transforms the feature space to find a common subspace where the source and target domain data can be directly compared or combined. Methods include subspace alignment, manifold learning, and DL approaches that learn domain-agnostic feature representations [84].
 - Subspace alignment: Find transformations ϕ_S and ϕ_T for the source and target domains, respectively, that align their subspaces, minimizing $\|\phi_S(\mathcal{D}_S) - \phi_T(\mathcal{D}_T)\|$ [85].
 - Manifold learning: Discover a low-dimensional manifold that both domains can be projected onto, learning a mapping ϕ that captures the intrinsic geometry of the data [86].
 - DL approaches: Use neural networks to learn a domain-agnostic feature representation, possibly with domain-adversarial components [87].
- Kernel methods: They employ kernel functions to map the original feature space into a higher-dimensional space, where the source and target domains are more comparable. This approach facilitates finding a common representation that reduces the distributional differences between the domains [88]. Given a kernel function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$, transform instances x_i^S and x_j^T into a higher-dimensional space via ϕ , where ϕ is implicitly defined by k . The goal is to learn a predictive model in this space that reduces the domain discrepancy [89].

These strategies are not mutually exclusive and can be combined to develop more sophisticated transductive TL models that effectively utilize the knowledge from the source domain to make accurate predictions in the target domain.

In [90], the authors propose a two-stage source-free unsupervised domain-adaptation framework for cross-modality abdominal multi-organ segmentations. The proposed method only requires a well-trained source model and unlabeled target dataset and does not require access to the source data. The experimental results highlight that this approach achieves satisfactory performance for adaptation of a labeled

CT dataset to an unlabeled MRI dataset on abdominal organ segmentations including the right kidney and left kidney.

In [91], the authors present a new DA approach called dimension-based disentangled dilated DA to disentangle the storage locations between the features to tackle the problem of domain shift for medical image segmentation tasks without the annotations of the target domain. They use adaptive instance normalization to encourage the content information to be stored in the spatial dimension, and the style information to be stored in the channel dimension. They validate the proposed method for cross-modality medical image segmentation tasks on public datasets such as liver, right kidney, left kidney and spleen.

2) Domain Generalization

Domain generalization focuses on the challenge of developing models that can generalize well to unseen domains. In domain generalization, a model is trained on data from multiple source domains to perform effectively on data from target domains that were not encountered during training. The core objective is to learn a domain-invariant representation that captures the underlying structure of the data, enabling the model to make accurate predictions across different, unseen domains [92]. In domain generalization, the goal is to learn a model f that generalizes well to any target domain D_T , given multiple source domains $\{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_K\}$ [93]:

$$\min_f \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{L}(f(X_k), Y_k) + \lambda \Omega(f) \quad (1)$$

In [94], the authors present an innovative approach utilizing neural style transfer to reduce model bias towards texture and intensity, aiming to prioritize shape characteristics. They conduct experiments using 200 T2-weighted MR images, half with fat-saturation and half without, to segment kidneys in patients with polycystic kidney disease. The results demonstrate a significant improvement in segmentation performance, indicating better alignment with actual kidney shapes.

In [95], the authors address the challenge of single-source domain generalization in medical image segmentation, specifically noting the issue of domain shifts common in clinical image datasets. Traditional approaches, relying on global or random data augmentation, often produce samples that lack diversity and informativeness, inadequately representing the potential target domain distribution. To tackle this, the authors propose a novel data augmentation strategy for domain generalization, inspired by the inherent class-level representation invariance and style mutability of medical images. They suggest that unseen target data could be represented as a linear combination of class-specific random variables, each adhering to a location-scale distribution. This concept is operationalized through the use of constrained bézier transformation for augmenting data at both global and class-specific levels, significantly enhancing augmentation diversity. Additionally, a saliency-balancing fusion mechanism is introduced to increase the informativeness of augmented samples by utilizing gradient information for orientation and magnitude guidance. The authors theoretically demonstrate that their augmentation strategy effectively limits the generalization risk for unseen target domains. Their saliency-balancing location-scale augmentation method notably outperforms existing state-of-the-art techniques in two single-source domain generalization tasks, underscoring the potential of their approach to improve kidney and other medical image segmentation tasks by addressing the challenges posed by domain shifts.

3) Self-Taught Learning

In self-taught learning, the model learns from unlabeled data that is not necessarily from the same distribution as the labeled data it was initially trained on. The key idea behind self-taught learning is to leverage large amounts of unlabeled data to improve the learning algorithm's performance on a related task for which labeled data is scarce [96]. The process typically involves two main steps: first, extracting useful features or representations from the unlabeled data, and then, using these features to enhance the learning process on the labeled dataset. Self-taught learning enables the model to generalize better to new, unseen data by exploiting the underlying structure shared between the labeled and unlabeled datasets, even when they come from different domains. This approach is particularly valuable in scenarios where acquiring labeled data is expensive or labor-intensive, allowing for more efficient use of available data resources [97].

$$\min_f \mathcal{L}(f(X_S), Y_S) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{AE}(X_T) \quad (2)$$

4) Few-Shot Learning

Few-shot learning is a subset of transductive TL that focuses on the ability of a model to learn and make accurate predictions from a very limited number of training examples. The primary challenge in few-shot learning is to design algorithms that can quickly adapt to new tasks or classes

using only a few labeled samples, often referred to as "shots." This approach is crucial in scenarios where collecting large amounts of labeled data is impractical or impossible, such as in medical diagnosis, where rare diseases may only have a handful of known cases. Few-shot learning leverages prior knowledge, gained from related tasks or a broader dataset, to infer the new task's structure with minimal data. Typically, in few-shot learning, the model learns from a few examples in the target domain through a meta-learning approach:

$$\min_f \sum_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{L}(f_{\theta+\Delta\theta_\tau}(X_\tau), Y_\tau) \quad (3)$$

This involves techniques such as meta-learning, where the model learns learning strategies from multiple tasks, or embedding learning, where data is transformed into a space where tasks with little data can be more easily learned. Few-shot learning aims to mimic human learning's efficiency and flexibility, enabling models to generalize well from limited information.

In [98], the authors address the challenge of domain adaptation in DL for medical imaging, specifically in classifying kidney stones from endoscopic images taken under various conditions. They introduce a novel approach combining few-shot learning with meta-learning. Initially, a self-supervised learning phase pre-trains the model to improve feature generalization across domains. Subsequently, meta-learning fine-tunes these features specifically for the domain of kidney stones, adapting the model to new, unseen domains effectively. This method significantly enhances the model's generalization ability and demonstrates a promising direction for overcoming domain adaptation challenges in medical imaging applications.

The paper [99] introduces the affinity network model, an innovative approach to few-shot learning, which excels in learning from a minimal set of examples and generalizing effectively. Its core is formed by layers of k-nearest-neighbor attention pooling, an advancement of the graph attention model, versatile enough to handle various object sets, with or without an underlying graph structure. This k-nearest-neighbor attention pooling is designed to be integrated seamlessly into any neural network, akin to how convolutional layers are used. A particular subset, the feature attention layer, is adept at highlighting critical features for classification. Through testing on both artificial and real-world genomic data from the the cancer genome atlas (TCGA) database, the affinity network has demonstrated superior generalization abilities compared to conventional CNN models, especially when trained on limited KC datasets.

In this study [100], the authors present a 3D few-shot segmentation framework tailored for precise segmentation of conditions such as KC, despite the scarcity of target organ annotation in training samples. A network resembling U-Net is developed to infer segmentation by understanding the relationship between 2D slices from support data and a query image, incorporating a bidirectional gated recurrent unit to ensure consistency in the encoded features among adjacent

slices. Furthermore, they propose a TL approach to adjust the model to the specificities of the target image and organ by pre-testing updates using arbitrarily selected support and query samples. This model significantly outperforms existing few-shot segmentation models and rivals fully supervised models trained on more extensive target data.

In [101], the authors present an innovative approach for few-shot segmentation in medical imaging, specifically targeting left and right KC, to facilitate rapid adaptation of segmentation models to novel, previously unseen classes with minimal training data. They developed a segmentation framework based on episodic training within a CNN structure. Leveraging the natural spatial consistency found in medical images, a global correlation module was devised to determine the correlation between support and query images, integrating this module directly into the network. This technique is designed to enhance the network's discriminative power, clustering features of the same class closer together while distinctly separating those of different organs. The efficacy of this method was validated using anatomical images from both CT and MRI scans, including cases related to KC.

C. UNSUPERVISED TL

Unsupervised TL focuses on transferring knowledge from a source domain to a target domain, where both domains lack labeled data, or the target domain lacks labeled data but the source domain may have some. The mathematical background of unsupervised TL (UTL) involves techniques and models that aim to learn useful representations or patterns that can be applied to new, unseen data. While there isn't a single mathematical framework that encompasses all of UTL, several key concepts are commonly employed, including DA, representation learning, clustering and density estimation and autoencoders and generative models

1) Representation Learning

The goal of representation learning is to find a transformation of the data that makes it easier to extract useful information for classification, regression, or other tasks. Mathematically, this involves learning a function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, where \mathcal{X} is the input space and \mathcal{Z} is a feature space that ideally captures the underlying factors of variation in the data.

In [102], the authors detail a self-supervised learning technique aimed at delineating the morphological features of molecular structures in microscopy images, critical for diagnosing disease phenotypes. They adeptly encode images of the slit diaphragm into a high-dimensional space without reliance on conventional segmentation or quantification methods. This process reveals a correlation between the self-supervised learning-generated embeddings and the slit diaphragm's morphometric parameters, validated by established methodologies. Additionally, these embeddings mirror albuminuria levels, a key marker of kidney disease progression, and can distinguish between healthy and diseased mouse models with precision akin to traditional analyses. This self-supervised learning method facilitates insightful,

label-free analysis of biomedical images, setting the stage for further refined, task-specific studies.

In [103], the authors tap into electronic health records to investigate the various patient subgroups within chronic kidney disease, applying the ConvAE open-source framework to conduct unsupervised DL on a dataset comprising 2.2 million U.S. patients from the OPTUM® EHR database. Through this methodology, they employ representation learning to create numerical representations of patients, enabling the identification of chronic kidney disease subtypes, associated comorbidities, and demographics of rare disease groups. Additionally, by modifying an existing algorithm for assessing disease severity, they pinpoint patient subgroups prone to rapid chronic kidney disease progression. This innovative combination of representation learning and data-driven analysis offers a novel perspective on chronic kidney disease diversity and identifies patients at an increased risk of progressing to end-stage kidney disease, underscoring the importance of early intervention.

2) Clustering and Density Estimation

Unsupervised learning often involves clustering, where the goal is to group the data into clusters that represent underlying patterns or structures. Mathematically, this can be formulated as optimizing an objective function that measures the quality of the clustering. For example, the k-means clustering algorithm aims to minimize the within-cluster sum of squares:

$$\min_{\{c_k\}} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in C_k} \|\mathbf{x}_i - c_k\|^2$$

where C_k is the set of points assigned to cluster k and c_k is the centroid of cluster k .

Density estimation, such as using gaussian mixture models, involves estimating the probability distribution that generated the observed data. This can be particularly useful for capturing the complex distributions of data in both source and target domains.

In [104], the authors detail a method to assess kidney function loss after renal transplants by monitoring monthly estimated glomerular filtration rate changes over two years. The approach involves principal component analysis to categorize the estimated glomerular filtration rate data into two clusters, followed by the use of kernel density estimation to analyze the distribution of estimated glomerular filtration rate values within these clusters. This reveals distinct patterns in kidney function post-transplant, important for patient monitoring and treatment adjustments.

In [105], digital image processing techniques were applied to 3D CT scans to identify and diagnose various kidney disorders, including stones, cancer (tumors), cysts, and renal fibrosis, alongside healthy kidney tissue. Utilizing k-means clustering and co-occurrence matrices for segmentation and texture analysis, this method effectively distinguishes between healthy and diseased tissues, enabling the detection

of tumors at very early stages. Additionally, density slicing transforms grayscale images into color-coded images based on texture density, aiding in the visualization of different tissue conditions. The analysis revealed that KC tissues exhibit distinct statistical properties like higher standard deviation and mean values, contrasting significantly from other conditions, thus facilitating early diagnosis through detailed tissue characterization.

This study [106], addresses chronic kidney disease, characterized by a progressive decline in kidney function, commonly caused by diabetes and high blood pressure. It aims to enhance diagnosis accuracy and efficiency by applying clustering algorithms to patient test data. The research focuses on categorizing chronic kidney disease stages by severity using algorithms such as k-means, k-medoids, and Fuzzy C Means. Findings indicate that the Fuzzy C Means algorithm outperforms others in distinguishing between different chronic kidney disease stages, thereby promising quicker and more precise diagnoses.

In this study [107], examines the link between chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk factors within nigerian populations, specifically addressing the notable lack of research on the clustering of these conditions in black homogeneous groups. Conducted in south-west nigeria, this research utilized a modified world health organization questionnaire for gathering a range of data and performed biochemical analysis on plasma samples from 1084 participants. Findings revealed a 14.2% prevalence of stage 3 chronic kidney disease, with an increase in hypertension and low HDL-C levels associated with greater clustering of chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk factors. The data indicated an inverse relationship between the estimated glomerular filtration rate and the aggregation of risk factors, highlighting the significant linkage between chronic kidney disease, proteinuria, and the clustering of cardiovascular risk factors. This study emphasizes the critical need for chronic kidney disease screening in individuals showing clusters of cardiovascular risk factors and suggests the importance of integrated management for these health issues.

3) Autoencoders and Generative Models

Autoencoders learn a compressed, encoded representation of data, aiming to capture its most salient features. The autoencoder consists of an encoder function $f(\mathbf{x})$ that maps an input to a hidden representation, and a decoder function $g(\mathbf{h})$ that reconstructs the input from the hidden representation. The learning objective is to minimize the reconstruction error:

$$\min_{f,g} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{x} - g(f(\mathbf{x}))\|^2$$

Generative models, like variational autoencoders and generative adversarial networks, aim to model the distribution of data in such a way that new, synthetic data points can be generated. These models are powerful tools for unsupervised learning and TL, as they can capture complex data distributions.

The study [108] introduces a DL framework designed to diagnose cancer by leveraging pre-trained autoencoder technologies for effective feature extraction from complex, high-dimensional gene data, with a focus on KC. By fine-tuning the model for specific tasks, the framework uses neural network classifiers to predict clinical information accurately. Notably, the model significantly outperformed traditional techniques, achieving high micro and macro f1-score (F1) across gender, race, and sample type predictions. Specifically, the introduction of a conditional variational mutation autoencoder notably enhanced race prediction accuracy. The findings underscore the framework's potential in improving prognosis, prevention, and early detection of KC.

III. APPLICATIONS OF TL IN KC

TL has been applied in the field of KC diagnosis and prognosis using medical imaging and genomic data. Some applications include:

A. CANCER DETECTION

TL is a method in DL that utilizes knowledge acquired from one task to enhance performance on a novel, but related, task, as outlined in [109]. Within the scope of analyzing medical images, TL enables the training of models on extensive datasets of analogous images, like radiology scans, before refining these models on a smaller set of medical images designated for a specific purpose, such as the detection of KC. To deploy TL for KC detection, the initial step involves gathering a comprehensive dataset of medical scans, such as CT or MRI images, which includes both healthy kidneys and those with cancerous growths. This collection serves as the foundation for pre-training a CNN to differentiate between normal and cancerous images. After the CNN has been pre-trained on the extensive dataset, it is then fine-tuned using a more focused collection of kidney images, precisely for KC detection. This fine-tuning adjusts the CNN's pre-trained weights to refine its performance for this specific detection task. Throughout the fine-tuning phase, the CNN hones in on distinct characteristics within the kidney images that signal the presence of cancerous tumors. This process benefits from the insights gained from the larger dataset of similar images, allowing the CNN to learn how to identify KC in the smaller dataset with increased speed and accuracy [110].

B. CT SCAN ANALYSIS

TL is a prominent strategy in DL, facilitating the adaptation of pre-trained models to novel tasks. This method has been particularly effective in the medical imaging domain for tasks such as the automatic segmentation and classification of kidney tumors from CT scans. TL operates on the principle of leveraging the insights acquired from a model trained on a related issue trained on a similar dataset. This strategy is advantageous because it conserves time and resources that would otherwise be expended on initiating training from the ground up, while also enhancing accuracy through the transference of knowledge from the pre-trained model. Specifi-

TABLE 4: A summary of TL types for KC.

Ref/Year	Model-based	TL	TL type	KC task	Datasets	Image features	Metrics
[69] (2022)	VGG19 and Naïve inception	Inductive	Fine-tuning	Detection	Private data	4000, CT	ACC
[70] (2022)	U-Net	Inductive	Fine-tuning	Segmentation	HuBMAP	15, CT	Dice score
[72] (2024)	U-Net	Inductive	Fine-tuning	Segmentation	Private data	CT	-
[53] (2023)	3D-MS-RFCNN	Inductive	Fine-tuning	Segmentation	KiTS	1059, 512×512, CT	ACC and dice score
[73] (2021)	-	Inductive	MTL	Prediction	Private data	CT	Recall
[74] (2021)	CNN	Inductive	MTL	Classification	Private data	1608, CT	ACC and AUC
[75] (2018)	3D CNN	Inductive	MTL	Segmentation	Private data	203, CT	Dice score
[90] (2022)	-	Transductive	DA	Segmentation	Private data	30, CT and 20, MRI	Dice score
[91] (2023)	-	Transductive	DA	Segmentation	Private data	20, CT and 20, MRI	Average
[94] (2021)	CNN	Transductive	DG	Segmentation	Private data	200, MRI	Dice score
[95] (2023)	-	Transductive	DG	Segmentation	Private data	MRI	Dice score
[98] (2022)	DL	Transductive	FSL	Classification	Private data	600, CT	ACC
[99] (2019)	KNN	Transductive	FSL	Prediction	GDCD	654 samples	ACC
[100] (2021)	U-Net	Transductive	FSL	Segmentation	BCV	30, 3D, CT	Dice score
[101] (2022)	CNN	Transductive	FSL	Segmentation	Private data	3D, 20, MRI, 30, CT	Dice score
[102] (2024)	CNN	Unsupervised	RL	Segmentation	Private data	288, CT	ACC, F1
[103] (2022)	CNN	Unsupervised	RL	Classification	OPTUM@EHR	US	ACC
[105] (2023)	K-Mean	Unsupervised	CDE	Classification	-	-	Mean
[106] (2017)	K-Mean	Unsupervised	CDE	Prediction	UCIMLR	400 instances	ACC, SEN, SPE
[108] (2022)	DL	Unsupervised	Autoencoders	Classification	-	-	F1

cally, in the analysis of CT scans, TL has proven beneficial in refining pre-trained DL models for more precise and efficient identification and categorization of kidney tumors, as reported in [111], [112].

C. GENOMIC DATA ANALYSIS

TL stands as a formidable method within ML, permitting the application of insights acquired from addressing one issue to enhance outcomes on a closely related issue. This methodology has seen use in genomics for forecasting the prognosis of KC patients through gene expression data and distinguishing KC's molecular subtypes. The core concept of TL is to harness the knowledge from a pre-existing solution to a comparable challenge, specifically, utilizing a model pre-trained on extensive datasets, to bolster the new model's efficacy on a pertinent task. The adoption of TL allows for a conservation of time and resources that would be otherwise spent on building a model from the ground up, simultaneously achieving superior results by drawing upon the learnings from prior endeavors. Within the realm of genomic data analysis concerning KC, TL has facilitated more accurate and efficient prognostic predictions and molecular subtype identification, significantly refining the diagnosis and treatment strategies for this ailment [113]–[115].

D. MULTI-MODAL DATA FUSION

TL has been instrumental in enhancing the precision of KC diagnosis and prognosis by consolidating diverse data sources, including CT scans, MRI images, and gene expression data. This approach allows for a comprehensive analysis by leveraging the strengths of each data type. For instance, imaging data like CT and MRI scans offer visual insights into the physical state of the kidneys, identifying tumors' size, shape, and location. On the other hand, gene expression data provides a molecular perspective, offering clues about the tumor's aggressiveness and potential response to treatment.

By applying TL, models pre-trained on large datasets from one domain (e.g., radiology imaging) can be fine-tuned with data from another domain (e.g., genomic data), facilitating a multi-faceted understanding of KC. This integration enables the models to learn from the complex interplay between the imaging characteristics of tumors and their molecular profiles, leading to more accurate and personalized diagnostic and prognostic assessments. The ability to draw upon pre-existing knowledge from various domains not only saves significant time and resources but also enhances the models' predictive capabilities, making TL a powerful tool in the fight against KC [116], [117]. Fig.5 illustrate the applications of TL in KC.

IV. EXISTING DATASETS

The efficacy of CAD systems is significantly dependent on the dataset they are trained on, whether these systems employ TL techniques or other methodologies. The volume and integrity of the dataset not only affect its quality but also the performance of the resultant models. Yet, the acquisition of extensive medical imaging data presents challenges due to the intricate nature of imaging techniques and potential risks to patients. Moreover, the process of annotating such data demands the expertise of skilled radiologists to ensure precision, making high-quality, large-scale annotated datasets rare. In response to this limitation, research into novel data augmentation strategies, including photometric and geometric alterations, is underway to enrich existing datasets, thereby alleviating the issue of data scarcity. Enhancing the dataset's quality via advanced imaging technique is another strategy to bolster the performance of models based on TL. These initiatives pave the way for enhanced model outcomes through the use of enriched data. There are several key datasets accessible to the public that offer insights into kidney tumors, namely: (i) The kidney tumor segmentation 19 (KiTS19) challenge dataset, offering CT scans for




FIGURE 5: The applications of TL for KC

tumor segmentation algorithm assessment. (ii) The national cancer institute’s kidney renal clear cell carcinoma dataset, with gene expression and clinical details pertinent to clear cell renal cell carcinoma, the prevalent form of KC. (iii) The TCGA kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma dataset, which provides genomic and clinical data for patients with papillary renal cell carcinoma. (iv) The dataset from radboud university medical center focusing on kidney tumors, featuring CT scans alongside segmentation masks for evaluating kidney tumor segmentation techniques. Each dataset is distinct in terms of the data it encompasses, the patient count, and the imaging techniques employed, as summarized in Table. 5, which centers on datasets related to kidney tumors.

V. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF TL IN KC

TL can have several advantages in the context of KC: Securing annotated data in the healthcare sector is a significant challenge, often requiring considerable effort. TL plays a pivotal role in this context by facilitating the use of existing pre-trained models. These models, which have been educated on large and universally accessible datasets, can be adapted to develop specialized models for diagnosing specific conditions like KC. The advantages of applying pre-trained models are manifold. Firstly, these models, having been exposed to vast amounts of data, have the capability to significantly enhance performance on smaller, task-specific datasets, particularly in diagnosing KC. This approach not only leads to considerable savings in time and financial resources but also accelerates the training process. This is because fine-tuning a pre-trained model for a new task is much faster than starting training from scratch. Furthermore, TL reduces the need for extensive data and computational power for training models on specific tasks. In the realm of medical imaging, where missing or scarce data can pose significant challenges, especially for rare diseases like KC, TL offers a valuable solution by employing models that have been pre-trained on extensive datasets. This method effectively bridges the data gap, providing a way to address the issues of scarce data and domain shift that are prevalent due to the high variability in medical imaging data, making it especially beneficial for scenarios involving small datasets.

In addition there are several limitations to using TL for KC diagnosis:

The application of TL in diagnosing KC presents several challenges and considerations. One primary challenge is domain adaptation, which necessitates identifying a pre-trained

model trained on a domain or task closely related to KC diagnosis. The effectiveness of TL hinges on the alignment between the original and target domains and tasks, with discrepancies potentially undermining the model’s ability to transfer knowledge effectively. Moreover, the risk of overfitting is heightened when fine-tuning pre-trained models on limited datasets, leading to models that perform poorly on new or unseen data. Fine-tuning entails careful adjustments of model layers, learning rates, and regularization methods to avoid such overfitting. Data scarcity for the new task can further complicate fine-tuning, potentially resulting in suboptimal performance. Additionally, TL can be computationally demanding, especially with large pre-trained models, posing scalability challenges. Interpretability issues also arise, as understanding the decision-making process of a model trained on a different task can be complex. The biases in the datasets used for training pre-trained models may carry over, affecting performance on certain data subsets. Moreover, these models, being trained on specific datasets, might not generalize well across different datasets, especially when data distributions vary significantly. Privacy concerns are another critical consideration, particularly when sensitive medical data must be shared with third parties for model training. The architecture of the pre-trained model also plays a crucial role in the success of TL ; an ill-suited architecture can hinder effective fine-tuning. Lastly, the substantial computational resources required for TL can make the use of pre-trained models costly, especially when employing multiple models or conducting several rounds of fine-tuning. These challenges underscore the complexity and nuanced considerations involved in applying TL for medical diagnosis tasks such as KC. Table. 6, provides an overview of the benefits and drawbacks of TL-based kidney tumor techniques.

VI. KEY CHALLENGES

The studies reviewed in this article emphasize the effectiveness of TL for diagnosing KC, noting its computational efficiency and potential to outperform conventional ML and DL techniques. However, several key challenges still need to be addressed to enhance the performance of TL. This section aims to highlight the most significant unresolved issues and current concerns that are receiving considerable focus in the area of KC diagnosis:

TABLE 5: Summary of datasets-based kidney tumor.

Ref	Datasets	RR	Applications	The statistics of dataset
[118]	UCIMLR	[119], 2018 [120], 2019 [121], 2020 [122], 2021 [123], 2022	Classify CKDD using SVM Diagnosis of CKDD using ANN and SVM Classify CKDD using MKSVM and FFOA Predict CKDD using TL Kidney segmentation using R-CNN	400 cases; 25 attributes 400 patients; 24 attributes 400 instances; 25 attributes 300 patients, 210 labels -
[124]	TCGA	[125], 2018 [126], 2019 [127], 2020 [128], 2021 [129], 2022	Distinction between RCC using ANN and SVM Prediction the mutation in RCC using ANN and RF. Differentiating high- from low-grade RCC using TL. Integrate CT and genomics features for RCC using SVM-RFE Classify genomic characteristics in RCC using ML.	26 patients 267 patients 390 patients 205 patients; 107 features 575 cases
[130]	KiTS19	[63], 2019 [26], 2020 [122], 2021 [131], 2022	Segmentation of kidneys from CT images of kidney stone patients. Delimit the kidneys in CT images. Kidney segmentation Segment kidney and tumor regions.	153 patients 210 patients 300 patients; 210 labels 20 patients, 210 images
[132]	IRCAD	[133], 2019	Renal segmentation.	363+128 patients
[134]	MICCAI	[135], 2019	Kidney segmentation.	210 patients

Abbreviation: Relevant references (RR),

TABLE 6: Summary of advantages and limitations of TL-based kidney tumor techniques.

Work	Year	LM	MPS	Dim	Metrics	Advantages/Limitations
[136]	2022	CNN+TL	Segmentation and classification	2D	DC, ACC, Pr, SEN, F1, MSE	Noise problem, the substantial size of WSIs and the computational costs
[137]	2022	CNN+TL	Segmentation and classification	2D	Pr, ACC, F1	The anticipated time was higher
[138]	2022	CNN+TL	Segmentation and classification	2D	ACC, SEN, SPE, SEN, F1, MSE	Not accurate at higher levels and insufficient data
[139]	2022	SHUW+CNN	Segmentation and classification	2D	SEN, DC, SD	Application to only one area and acceptable performance
[140]	2022	ResUNet and DenseUNet	Segmentation and classification	2D	DC	Limited testing size, instability of data distribution
[141]	2022	CNN+TL	Segmentation, and classification	3D	DC, JI, FN, VS, ROC-AUC	High complexity, the field of view not be sufficient
[142]	2022	Histo-Cloud+CNN	Segmentation	2D	Kappa, TPR, MCC	The computational complexity
[143]	2022	TL+AE	Segmentation, sample and feature space augmentation	2D	ROC-AUC	Latent representations, small data volume, imbalance datasets, improved predictive model
[144]	2021	U-Net	Segmentation and classification	2D	CrM, Pr, SEN, ACC, JI, DC	Complex deep network and unnecessary computations have been reduced, low processing speed
[145]	2021	SVM	Semantic segmentation, feature extraction and classification	2D	ACC, SEN, Pr, F1	Motion artifacts, accuracy issue, limited datasets and
[146]	2020	TL+FCNN	Segmentation	2D	DC, F1	Reducing in the training time, segmentation accuracy and smaller datasets

Abbreviation: Learning model (LM), Main processing steps (MPS), Dimention (Dim),

A. AVAILABILITY, ADAPTING AND ADJUSTING MODELS

Data accessibility plays a pivotal role in crafting and applying TL models for diagnosing KC. Nevertheless, several challenges complicate data accessibility in this arena. A primary issue is the scarcity of annotated medical imaging data specific to KC. This data, essential for TL model training, comprises images marked with details indicating the presence or absence of diseases like KC, yet it's often limited in both amount and quality. Another obstacle arises from the inconsistencies in imaging techniques and data collection methods among various hospitals and healthcare facilities, which can introduce irregularities in the data for TL models, adversely affecting their performance and precision. Moreover, concerns related to privacy and security pose significant restrictions on medical imaging data's availability for TL, given the sensitive nature of patient information embedded

in such data, complicating its distribution among distinct entities. Additionally, data bias presents a critical challenge; TL models trained on skewed data might exacerbate existing inequalities in medical diagnoses and treatments. For instance, a model trained predominantly on data from male subjects might underperform with female patient images, reflecting and potentially worsening disparities.

The primary obstacle in TL involves identifying an appropriately pre-trained model that has been trained on a domain or task closely related to the new task at hand. It's critical to evaluate how similar the original (source) and the intended (target) domains are, as well as the resemblance between the tasks involved [147], [148].

Adjusting a pre-trained model for a specific task, known as fine-tuning, presents its own set of challenges. It necessitates a strategic selection of which layers to adjust, alongside the

determination of an optimal learning rate and the application of appropriate regularization strategies to prevent the model from becoming too narrowly adapted to the task [149].

B. DATA SCARCITY

Data scarcity presents a significant challenge in the development and efficacy of kidney cancer diagnosis systems, impacting everything from image analysis to the training of deep learning models. Several studies have explored these challenges and proposed methods to mitigate the impact of limited data availability [150]. Peng et al. [151] explore how downsampling and compression affect renal image analysis. They found that data scarcity impacts diagnostic accuracy, highlighting the need for robust data handling techniques to ensure the integrity and usefulness of renal images in diagnosis. Similarly, Guo et al. [152] address the limited training data for kidney segmentation by using a cascaded convolutional neural network, demonstrating that innovative network architectures can partly overcome the challenges posed by sparse data.

In the context of clinical applications, Heller et al. [153] provide the kits19 dataset, which includes 300 kidney tumor cases with CT semantic segmentations and surgical outcomes, aiming to enhance research in kidney cancer by making rich datasets available. This effort underscores the critical role of accessible high-quality datasets in advancing early tumor detection and improving surgical outcomes. Flitcroft et al. [154] and Nassour et al. [155] both discuss the scarcity of reliable diagnostic biomarkers for kidney cancer, particularly in non-invasive strategies like urinary protein analysis and the evaluation of Lynch syndrome's impact on kidney cancer. These studies highlight the gap in epidemiological data and the need for comprehensive datasets to develop effective diagnostic tools.

Technological advancements in handling data scarcity are also evident in deep learning applications. Alzu'bi et al. [156] and Bhattacharjee et al. [157] discuss the use of CNNs for kidney tumor classification and detection. They focus on optimizing deep learning approaches to perform effectively even with limited data, a crucial advancement given the rarity and variability of KC data. Lastly, Wang et al. [158] delve into the utilization of deep learning techniques for the imaging diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma. They emphasize how these advanced computational tools allow clinicians to diagnose and evaluate renal tumors more accurately and swiftly. One of the key strategies discussed is leveraging unlabeled data, which is a valuable solution for coping with data scarcity. By utilizing semi-supervised learning techniques, the potential of existing datasets can be maximized without the need for extensive labeled data.

C. DATA BIAS AND GENERALIZATION

Data bias represents a significant obstacle in TL for KC diagnosis, impacting model accuracy and fairness. Bias can manifest in various forms, such as sampling bias, where training data may not accurately reflect the disease's true

distribution, potentially leading to population-specific biases [159]. Measurement bias skews data collection towards specific attributes, like age or gender, causing model predictions to favor these characteristics. Annotation bias arises from annotator prejudices, affecting the reliability of data labels [160]. To counteract these issues, TL models may utilize data augmentation to enrich training data variety and volume. Training models on data from diverse sources, like multiple hospitals, ensures a representative dataset of the disease's distribution. Additionally, implementing fairness constraints and promoting algorithmic transparency can help mitigate bias, fostering the development of fair and unbiased models [161].

Generalization stands as a pivotal challenge in TL for diagnosing KC, denoting the model's capacity to deliver accurate predictions on unseen data. Achieving robust generalization is essential, determining the model's viability in practical scenarios [162]. A notable hindrance to strong generalization is the limited availability of annotated data. For many conditions, including KC, annotated data for training may be scarce, leading to a risk of overfitting, where the model overly specializes on training data, diminishing its performance on novel data. Additionally, data diversity issues, where training data may not accurately represent the disease's distribution, can impair generalization [163]. For instance, data sourced from a singular hospital might not mirror the broader disease prevalence across different locales. To mitigate these issues, TL models for KC diagnosis may incorporate data augmentation strategies to broaden the training data's size and variety. Training on data from varied sources, like multiple healthcare facilities, ensures a more representative dataset. Furthermore, employing regularization methods like dropout aids in curbing overfitting, enhancing the model's generalization capabilities [164].

D. PRIVACY CONCERNS, EXPERTISE SHORTAGE AND ACCURACY INSTABILITY

Privacy concerns emerge prominently in developing and applying TL models for KC diagnosis due to the necessity of accessing sensitive medical information, triggering significant privacy and security considerations [159]. A key challenge is safeguarding medical data privacy and security, demanding stringent measures against unauthorized access and potential breaches. Managing medical data with utmost care to align with privacy regulations is crucial [37]. The annotation process also presents privacy challenges, necessitating judicious annotator selection and bias minimization efforts. Employing privacy-preserving methods, such as differential privacy, is essential to protect patient confidentiality during annotation. Additionally, securing TL models against unauthorized interventions and ensuring their resilience is paramount. Providing patients with transparent data usage information and granting them control over their data usage decisions is vital for maintaining trust and privacy integrity [165].

The creation and implementation of TL models for KC

diagnosis necessitate profound expertise in medical imaging and ML, yet expertise scarcity poses several challenges [166]. The limited pool of experts hampers the resources and knowledge necessary for these models' development and application. Interdisciplinary collaboration, essential between medical and ML experts, is often obstructed by differing methodologies and communication barriers [167]. Adhering to medical imaging and mML best practices and standards is imperative for the responsible development and deployment of TL models. Ensuring patient safety and efficacy of these models requires a deep understanding of patient needs and the development of models that positively impact patient outcomes.

Improving TL model performance often involves utilizing pre-trained models on extensive datasets, such as those trained on the ImageNet database. Nonetheless, limited data availability can lead to accuracy instability. Various regularization techniques, such as SPAR, have been explored to stabilize accuracy by moderating the target network's outer layer weights with reference to a starting point [168]. An innovative regularization method, DL transfer with attention, was introduced, focusing on preserving the source model's outer layer outputs rather than merely constraining the model's weights. DL transfer with attention minimizes empirical loss and aligns the two models' outer layer outputs by selectively constraining feature maps identified by a supervised learning-trained attention mechanism .

E. KNOWLEDGE GAIN AND DATA ANNOTATION

Evaluating the knowledge gained by the TL model and its performance outcomes is crucial. Consequently, considerable research has been directed towards establishing precise metrics for assessing the effectiveness of these models and the extent of knowledge they acquire, aiding in the elucidation of complex issues [161]. Proposed metrics include transmission error, transmission loss, transmission ratio, and in-range ratio. Nevertheless, the specificity of these metrics often falls short of expectations, highlighted by the ongoing uncertainty regarding outcomes when other TL-based models are employed, such as in KC detection scenarios, where model performance might be suboptimal.

Data annotation plays an essential role in developing TL models for diagnosing KC. Yet, this process faces several significant hurdles. A primary issue is the considerable cost and time required to annotate extensive datasets accurately. The process demands substantial expert input and resources, making it both time-intensive and costly. Another obstacle is the absence of standardized practices in data annotation, complicating the comparison and amalgamation of datasets from diverse origins and potentially diminishing the TL models' impact. Ensuring the annotations' quality and precision further necessitates meticulous validation and quality control, adding to the resource and time expenditure. Moreover, achieving representativeness in annotations, ensuring they accurately reflect the target demographic, involves careful annotator selection and concerted efforts to minimize bias

throughout the annotation process.

F. NEGATIVE TRANSFER AND OVERFITTING

Negative transfer in the context of learning about KC refers to the difficulties that individuals may experience when acquiring new knowledge or skills related to the condition and its treatment [169], [170]. (1) Overgeneralization: Individuals may apply learned information too broadly, which may result in an incorrect or incomplete understanding of the condition and its treatment. (2) Overreliance on prior knowledge: Individuals may rely too heavily on prior knowledge about cancer or other medical conditions, which may not be applicable to KC. (3) Incompatibility between old and new learning: Prior learning about cancer or other medical conditions may be incompatible with new information about KC, leading to confusion and hindering the acquisition of new knowledge. (4) Resistance to change: Individuals may be resistant to changing their existing beliefs and attitudes about cancer, leading to difficulty in adapting to new information about KC. (5) Misapplication of prior knowledge: Individuals may misapply prior knowledge, leading to an incorrect or inefficient understanding of the condition and its treatment.

Overfitting, especially in the domain of KC diagnosis, describes the situation where a predictive model becomes excessively tailored to the training dataset, undermining its capability to perform accurately on new, unseen data. This often results from training a model with an overly complex set of parameters, rendering it over-specialized and ineffective for general prediction or diagnosis purposes [171], [172]. In the realm of KC, overfitting may result in inaccurate diagnoses, an increase in false positives, or diminished disease detection sensitivity, posing significant risks to patient management and therapy. Implementing measures such as regularization to curb model complexity and employing cross-validation to evaluate model efficacy on novel data are critical strategies to prevent overfitting.

The study by [173] introduces innovative regularization techniques, including large margin loss, focal loss, adversarial training, mixup, and data augmentation. These methods aim to correct the logit shift in underrepresented classes and address class imbalance by scrutinizing network behavior, providing a robust approach to mitigate overfitting.

Furthermore, [174] details the use of Five-fold cross-validation as a means to assess the performance of AI algorithms, specifically in the segmentation process involving seed placement and bounding box techniques for lesion patch extraction, coupled with deep CNN for distinguishing clear CCRCC from renal oncocytoma.

VII. NEW PERSPECTIVES AND CURRENT TRENDS

The results of previous research indicate that, despite having achieved high levels of accuracy, there is still room for improvement in the determination of KC. To that end, scientists propose using a less common set of algorithms that can aid in accurately identifying these cells, especially in their early stages. Enhancing the capability of models to accu-

rately determine the presence of KC at various stages while preserving accuracy and improving unbiasedness is crucial. The combination of feature selection methods and DL models may prove helpful in this regard. A larger database is required, and the algorithm must be refined and optimized for other classification tasks related to KC detection. The data collected from various medical institutions and even available databases can be used to evaluate these strategies. There are several potential future directions for the use of TL in the context of KC diagnosis:

A. MULTIMODAL LEARNING

Multimodal learning represents a strategy that integrates information from diverse sources or data types to enhance learning and decision-making processes (Fig.6). Within cancer diagnosis, this approach entails the amalgamation of data from varied origins, including medical imaging (such as MRI and CT scans), genomic data, and clinical records (like patient history and laboratory results). Merging multimodal learning with TL for cancer diagnosis involves training a comprehensive model on a dataset encompassing medical images, genomic information, and clinical data across multiple cancer varieties. Subsequently, TL techniques are employed to refine the model for diagnosing a particular cancer type. For instance, a DL model could initially be educated on a broad array of medical images from different cancers, with TL utilized to tailor the model for specific cancer types. Furthermore, the model might also undergo training with genomic and clinical data, integrating these disparate data types through multimodal learning methods to enhance the model's precision and robustness. In essence, the application of multimodal learning in conjunction with TL for cancer diagnosis promises to elevate diagnostic accuracy, diminish instances of false positives and negatives, and facilitate earlier, more precise cancer detection.

B. FEDERATED LEARNING

Federated learning (FL) [175] is gaining momentum in the field of KC diagnosis, prediction, and segmentation, demonstrating substantial potential in enhancing accuracy and preserving data privacy across various healthcare environments. This collaborative approach enables the utilization of data from multiple institutions without compromising patient confidentiality, addressing both the variability and volume of medical data essential for effective machine-learning models. In the study by Vekaria et al. [176], the integration of FL with TL is explored as a novel approach to enhance the detection of kidney abnormalities from medical imaging. This research demonstrates how FL can facilitate collaborative learning across different healthcare institutions without necessitating direct data sharing. By doing so, it not only ensures data privacy but also leverages diverse datasets to improve diagnostic accuracy and model robustness. Gao et al. [177] highlight the effectiveness of a federated learning-driven collaborative diagnostic system for metastatic breast cancer. The ability of this system to improve generalizability

and diagnostic accuracy without compromising patient privacy offers a compelling model for KC diagnostics, particularly in settings with diverse patient populations and imaging protocols. Similarly, the work by Subashchandrabose et al. [178] introduces an ensemble-based FL framework for the classification of lung cancer, which can be analogously applied to KC. This approach integrates multiple machine learning models trained on disparate datasets to enhance both accuracy and generalizability. The success of this method underscores the potential of FL in overcoming the limitations posed by single-institution datasets, suggesting a scalable model for KC diagnosis that respects patient privacy.

Further extending the application of FL, Ma et al. [179] develop an assisted diagnosis model for cancer recurrence using federated DL. This model not only predicts the recurrence of cancer but also identifies potential recurrence locations, offering a significant tool for post-operative care and monitoring in KC patients. By incorporating various physical examination indicators and leveraging a federated architecture, this approach enhances prediction accuracy while safeguarding sensitive patient data. Moving forward, Yaqoob et al. [180] focus on the implementation challenges of traditional machine learning in skin cancer but their insights are equally applicable to KC. They highlight the advantages of FL in building privacy-aware healthcare systems that comply with stringent data privacy regulations. This review provides a solid foundation for understanding how FL can be adapted for KC diagnosis and prediction, ensuring data privacy without compromising on diagnostic capabilities.

Tan et al. [181] and Li et al. [182] explore advanced FL frameworks that incorporate TL and data augmentation techniques like SMOTE to enhance the detection and classification capabilities in medical imaging. These studies illustrate the effectiveness of FL in handling imbalanced datasets, which is a common issue in KC diagnosis due to the variability of lesion appearances and stages.

A study by Kumbhare et al. [183] provides an insightful framework utilizing FL for breast cancer detection, which can be adapted for KC. This study outlines an innovative AI training method that allows hospitals to collectively harness the power of vast datasets from multiple sources to improve diagnosis accuracy. The approach not only respects patient privacy but also reduces processing times, indicating a promising avenue for KC applications where early detection and accurate segmentation are crucial. Almufareh et al. [184] demonstrate the use of FL in developing a highly accurate breast cancer detection model. By utilizing DNNs and data augmentation techniques within a federated framework, this study shows significant advancements in model performance. The methodology promises applicability to KC, where complex imaging features require sophisticated model tuning and privacy-preserving collaborative efforts. Jimenez et al. [185] explore the memory-aware curriculum learning in a federated setting to improve the classification of breast cancer across different clinical sites. This approach, focusing on optimizing the order and frequency of local updates, could




FIGURE 6: Multimodal learning for KC

be particularly beneficial for KC diagnosis, where data from multiple institutions vary significantly.

Lastly, Heidari et al. [186] describe the use of blockchain-based FL for detecting malignant lung nodules, showcasing the method's robustness in preserving data integrity and anonymity across collaborating entities. This model's success in maintaining privacy while achieving high accuracy underscores its potential adaptability to KC, where similar challenges in data variability and privacy concerns are prevalent.

C. SELF-PACED LEARNING (SPL)

Self-paced learning (SPL) has emerged as a potent methodology for enhancing medical diagnostic systems, particularly in the challenging arena of kidney cancer diagnosis. This learning strategy prioritizes learning samples based on their complexity, adapting the training process to focus progressively on the more difficult or informative cases as the model's capability improves. This adaptive learning approach can significantly benefit the diagnosis of kidney cancer, where data variability and imbalance often pose significant challenges. One significant application of self-paced learning in the medical field is addressed by Wang et al. (2020), who developed a self-paced learning sampling method specifically designed for imbalanced cancer classification. This method helps to reduce noise from imbalanced samples, improving the pre-diagnosis accuracy of cancer data, which is crucial for conditions like kidney cancer where early detection can significantly influence treatment outcomes [187]. Furthermore, the integration of SPL with federated learning, as discussed by Wang and Zhou, creates a privacy-preserving disease diagnosis framework [188]. This combination is particularly pertinent in decentralized healthcare systems where data privacy is crucial but collaboration across institutions is needed to enhance diagnostic accuracy. The authors in [189]

also introduced a Random Forest with Self-Paced Bootstrap Learning for lung cancer prognosis, which can be adapted for kidney cancer. This framework utilizes self-paced learning to enhance the accuracy of cancer diagnosis and classification, proving that SPL can effectively handle complex datasets and improve predictive performance.

The method developed by Huang and Liang, which integrates self-paced learning for gene expression analysis, could be similarly beneficial for kidney cancer diagnosis [190] Ref4. By allowing for the gradual inclusion of challenging samples into the training set, this approach aids in identifying new, reliable biomarkers for kidney cancer, facilitating targeted therapy and personalized medicine. Shen et al. (2019) demonstrated the utility of combining deep active learning with self-paced learning for breast mass detection from mammograms [191]. This methodology can be translated into kidney cancer diagnosis, where imaging plays a crucial role, helping radiologists to detect and categorize renal masses more effectively.

In practical applications, the deep active self-paced learning model by Wang et al. [192] for accurate pulmonary nodule segmentation exemplifies how SPL can refine learning algorithms to better segment and diagnose lung nodules, a methodology that can similarly benefit kidney tumor segmentation. This approach emphasizes the active learner's role in selecting challenging or uncertain samples, thereby optimizing the learning process and outcomes in medical image analysis. The overarching potential of SPL in medical imaging is further supported by Li et al. [193], who developed a self-paced convolutional neural network for aiding detection in medical imaging analysis. This approach could be instrumental in refining training samples for kidney cancer imaging, improving the precision of computer-aided detection systems.

Wang et al. [194] explore deep active self-paced learning

for biomedical imaging, particularly focusing on pulmonary nodule segmentation in lung cancer. This method, which adjusts training focus from simple to complex samples, could similarly enhance kidney cancer diagnosis by improving model accuracy on atypical tumor presentations. Asare et al. [195] discuss a self-training scheme that incorporates self-paced learning for skin lesion classification. This approach gradually introduces harder cases into the training set, which could help develop robust diagnostic models for kidney cancer, adapting to the disease's varied manifestations. Lastly, [196] focuses on balancing the training process between easy and challenging cases in skin disease recognition, which could be applied to kidney cancer to enhance detection accuracy and reduce biases towards common presentations.

D. BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY

Blockchain technology represents a decentralized ledger that chronicles an ever-expanding list of entries known as blocks, safeguarded through cryptographic means [197]. Within the realm of cancer diagnosis, TL can be employed to educate a DL model using a vast collection of medical imagery, including CT scans, MRIs, or X-rays, for the identification of malignant tumors. After initial training, this model can undergo refinement on a narrower dataset of cancer patients to enhance its diagnostic accuracy for a particular cancer variant. A significant hurdle in deploying DL models for cancer diagnostics is the requisite for accessing and analyzing substantial volumes of sensitive patient information, all while safeguarding patient confidentiality and data integrity. Blockchain technology emerges as a pivotal solution in this context. It enables the secure, immutable storage of medical data, thus ensuring transparency and accountability for patients, medical practitioners, and researchers alike. For example, it allows patients to exercise control over their medical records, offering permissions to specific researchers or doctors, while enabling physicians to verify the data's authenticity and precision. Moreover, blockchain facilitates the exchange and cooperative use of medical data among various healthcare entities, potentially leading to more precise diagnoses and enhanced patient care outcomes [37]. Fig.7 show the blockchain learning for KC.

E. EXPLAINABLE AI

The potential of explainable AI (XAI) in the diagnosis and segmentation of KC presents a promising frontier in medical imaging and oncology [198]. The challenge of interpreting complex diagnostic images, such as kidney-ureter-bladder (KUB) X-ray images, is well-documented. Ahmed et al. [199] address this by applying a TL model with a pre-trained VGG16 architecture, enhanced with XAI techniques like Layer-Wise Relevance Propagation (LRP), achieving a remarkable 97.41% accuracy in classifying KUB X-ray images as kidney stones or normal cases. This incorporation of XAI not only boosts the model's performance but also its transparency, making the decision-making process more understandable to medical professionals. Similarly, Wani et

al. [200] introduce "DeepXplainer", a hybrid DL model for lung cancer detection that employs SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) for explicability. Although focused on lung cancer, the principles outlined could be adapted for KC diagnosis, highlighting the versatility of XAI in enhancing trust and comprehension of AI predictions in healthcare.

In kidney disease management, Moreno et al. [201] developed an explainable AI model for early detection of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD), demonstrating how XAI can elucidate the contributions of clinical features like hemoglobin and hypertension in diagnosis. This approach can be similarly applied to KC, where understanding the influence of specific radiological features on diagnostic outcomes can significantly enhance early detection and treatment strategies. Arumugham et al. [202] and Azad et al. [203] both highlight the use of DL models combined with XAI techniques such as LIME and GRAD-CAM to improve the interpretability of predictions in kidney disease and cancer detection from various imaging modalities. These methods not only provide a heatmap or visual explanation of the AI's focus during analysis but also reassure clinicians about the AI's reliability, fostering a greater trust in AI-driven diagnostics. Additionally, Takahashi et al. [204] focus on the use of CNNs for detecting KC from CT images, where they employ Grad-CAM for visual explanations. This technique can be particularly useful in KC segmentation, as it highlights areas of interest that lead to a diagnosis, aiding radiologists in understanding and verifying the AI-generated outputs.

In the context of KC prediction, Lazebnik et al. [205] predict acute kidney injury (AKI) post-partial nephrectomy using machine learning algorithms with explainability components, achieving 75% accuracy. This approach underscores the critical role of preoperative parameters in predicting postoperative complications, with XAI offering a pathway to understand and improve these predictive models. In the same direction, Han et al. (2021) tackle the prediction of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) using deep convolutional TL and SHAP for model interpretability, achieving significant accuracies and providing valuable insights into model decisions [206]. This approach not only facilitates the understanding of how certain features affect the model's prediction but also improves the clinical utility of the models by providing insights that are actionable in clinical practice.

Lastly, the research by Javaheri et al. [207] on differentiating between renal oncocytoma and chromophobe renal cell carcinoma using explainable AI frameworks emphasizes how XAI can surpass traditional CNN models in both accuracy and explainability. This is crucial for KC diagnosis, where distinguishing between different types of renal tumors can significantly impact treatment decisions.

Table 7 provides a summary of research on ML models enhanced with XAI in KC diagnosis and related analysis. The incorporation of XAI into kidney cancer diagnosis models, as highlighted in the table, significantly enhances the transparency and interpretability of machine learning predictions, which is critical in medical decision-making. Key findings




FIGURE 7: Blockchain learning for KC

include the successful integration of XAI techniques like SHAP, LRP, and LIME that improve the fairness, understandability, and reliability of model outputs. These XAI-enhanced models facilitate a deeper understanding of how specific features influence diagnostic predictions, thus fostering trust among clinicians and aiding in the effective communication of diagnostic reasoning. For instance, models have achieved high diagnostic accuracies (up to 99.2%) while providing actionable insights into feature relevance, such as the significance of hemoglobin levels in CKD prognosis. This integration addresses a crucial gap in traditional AI approaches by making advanced AI diagnostics more accessible and actionable in clinical settings, particularly enhancing the early detection and treatment planning for kidney-related diseases.

F. ADVERSARIAL TRAINING

The burgeoning field of adversarial training in DL offers a compelling avenue for enhancing the diagnosis, prediction, and segmentation of KC. This approach leverages the strengths of generative adversarial networks (GANs) and adversarial training methods to address some of the inherent challenges in medical imaging, such as data scarcity, variability in tumor appearance, and the robustness of diagnostic models against adversarial examples. In this respect, Zheng et al. [208] introduce a multi-scale adversarial learning approach for the segmentation of kidney and kidney tumor areas. Their method, which incorporates difficult region-supervised loss and adversarial learning at multiple scales, shows promise in accurately delineating complex tumor shapes and sizes, which are often challenging due to their diverse appearances and fuzzy boundaries. Such an approach

is crucial for precise radiotherapy planning and monitoring disease progression, ensuring that treatments are targeted and effective. The MB-FSGAN model discussed by Ruan et al. [209] integrates multi-scale feature extraction and generative adversarial learning to enhance the segmentation and quantification of kidney tumors. This method not only segments but also quantifies tumor indices, providing critical morphometric details that are essential for personalized treatment planning. The adversarial component helps in refining the segmentation accuracy, proving especially useful in clinical settings where precision is paramount. Shan et al. [210] developed SegTGAN, a GAN-based model for autonomous kidney segmentation, which outperformed traditional networks like U-Net and FCN on the Kits19 dataset. The incorporation of multi-scale feature extraction and densely connected blocks in a GAN framework suggests that adversarial training can significantly improve the accuracy of segmentation models, a critical step for effective diagnosis and treatment planning in KC. In the study by Gadermayr et al. [148], the application of adversarial models for image-to-image translation demonstrates a significant advancement in the field of digital pathology segmentation. This approach effectively addresses the variability in staining—a common challenge in pathology—without requiring extensive manual annotations for each characteristic. By enabling stain-independent supervised segmentation and combining it with unsupervised techniques, the study showcases how adversarial training can adaptively manage inherent variabilities in pathological images, leading to improved segmentation outcomes that are crucial for accurate cancer diagnosis and treatment planning. Moving on, Zeng et al. [211] utilize an

unsupervised domain adaptation technique to address the segmentation of kidney structures from computed tomography urography images. This method tackles the domain shift problem effectively, demonstrating that adversarial training can bridge the gap between different imaging modalities. By enabling a model to learn deeply from urographic images without direct supervision, this approach provides a way to enhance the 3D reconstruction and visualization of kidneys, which is vital for surgical planning and outcome analysis in KC treatment.

Besides, Uhm et al. [212] propose a unified framework that uses adversarial learning to generate missing CT phases, which are then used to classify KC subtypes. This approach demonstrates how adversarial training can not only enhance data completeness but also improve diagnostic accuracies by enabling the use of multi-phase CT scans that provide comprehensive views of the kidney, essential for accurate diagnosis and staging of cancer. On the other hand, the research by Brinda et al. [213] illustrates the application of Conditional Variational Generative Adversarial Networks (CVGANs) in the diagnosis of chronic kidney disease, which often precedes or accompanies KC. By optimizing the selection of diagnostic features through a metaheuristic algorithm and training the model to classify disease presence more accurately, this study highlights the potential of adversarial networks to refine the prediction capabilities of diagnostic models. The high precision and recall achieved underscore the effectiveness of GANs in enhancing the reliability of computer-aided diagnostic systems. Similarly, the study by Sughasiny et al. [214] introduces the Deep Adversarial Belief Network (DABN), which leverages adversarial training to enhance the classification of renal cell carcinoma (RCC). This approach is significant because RCC is often detected in its late stages, making effective treatment more challenging. The DABN model utilizes adversarial inputs to fine-tune the network's ability to discern between healthy and cancerous tissue, even when the differences are subtle. This method not only improves accuracy but also addresses the problem of tuning hyperparameters and managing outliers that often affect DL models. By comparing performance with existing methods like E-CNN and FPSO CNN, the DABN demonstrates a higher accuracy, illustrating the potential of adversarial models in refining diagnostic algorithms for early and more precise detection of KC. Moving forward, the work by Liao et al. [215] showcases how DL, specifically through the use of ACWGAN-GP and MobileNetV2, can effectively tackle the problem of imbalanced and insufficient data samples in the classification of chronic kidney disease stages. Adversarial networks, by generating additional training data, can help in creating more balanced datasets that enhance the robustness and generalization ability of diagnostic models. This method could similarly be adapted for KC, where stages or types of cancer could be more accurately classified with augmented training sets.

Lastly, in a parallel approach, Hu et al. [218] focus on the issue of image artifacts in prostate cancer diagnosis, which shares similarities with KC imaging challenges. They introduce a Targeted Adversarial Training with Proprietary Adversarial Samples (TPAS) strategy to combat the misleading effects of rectal artifacts in MRI scans. This method specifically generates adversarial samples that mimic these artifacts, training the system to recognize and disregard such misleading information. This adversarial approach enhances the model's robustness, ensuring that the diagnostic accuracy is not compromised by common imaging anomalies. This strategy underscores the utility of adversarial training in improving the reliability of cancer diagnostics by making the models resilient to specific challenges inherent in medical imaging.

Table 8 summarizes the relevant research on adversarial training in kidney disease and tumor analysis. It has been shown that the use of adversarial learning strategies allows for better handling of the inherent variability in tumor appearance, resulting in more precise segmentation outcomes, as demonstrated in studies using models like MSALDS-UNet [208] for fine segmentation tasks. Moreover, adversarial training aids in refining models to detect and segment challenging and less distinct regions, such as fuzzy tumor boundaries, thereby supporting more accurate clinical assessments and potential treatment planning enhancements. These advancements highlight the transformative potential of adversarial training techniques in dealing with the complex imaging characteristics of kidney tumors.

G. TRANSFORMERS

The integration of transformer architectures, such as bidirectional encoder representations from Transformer (BERT) and connectionist temporal classification (CTC) among others [219], into the realm of KC diagnosis and segmentation represents a cutting-edge approach that holds significant promise for advancing medical imaging analysis. Drawing insights from several studies, such as those referenced, the potential applications of transformers in this domain are evident. One of the primary advantages of transformers lies in their ability to capture long-range dependencies within the data, making them particularly suitable for tasks involving complex relationships and patterns, as often encountered in medical imaging. The referenced studies showcase various transformer-based models tailored specifically for KC diagnosis and segmentation.

For instance, the utilization of convolution-and-transformer networks (COTRNet) proposed by Shen et al. [220] offers an end-to-end solution for kidney tumor segmentation, demonstrating the effectiveness of combining convolutional and transformer-based architectures in this context. Similarly, Islam et al. [54] leverage vision transformers for the automatic detection of kidney cysts, stones, and tumors from CT radiography, highlighting the versatility of transformer models across different types of kidney abnormalities.

Furthermore, the study by Wang et al. [221] showcases

TABLE 7: Summary of research on ML models enhanced with XAI in KC diagnosis and related analysis.

Ref.	ML Model Used	Dataset	Contribution Description	Best Value	Performance	Limitation
[198]	NN-CBR twin system	Population health data	Implemented a neural network complemented by CBR for explainable predictions of CKD risk, aimed at improving healthcare predictions.	Accuracy of 95%		Neural networks remain opaque without effective implementation of explainable systems.
[199]	VGG16 with XAI (LRP)	KUB X-ray images	Developed a system for classifying kidney stones using transfer learning and XAI to enhance transparency and decision-making.	Accuracy of 97.41%		Lacks fairness and explainability without XAI integration.
[200]	ConvXGB with SHAP for XAI	Survey Lung Cancer dataset	Introduced "DeepXplainer," a hybrid deep learning model for lung cancer detection and explanation, integrating CNNs and XGBoost with SHAP for explainability.	Accuracy of 97.43%, Sensitivity of 98.71%, F1-score of 98.08%		The complexity of integrating multiple AI technologies for explainability.
[201]	Extreme Gradient Boosting with XAI	Clinical features dataset	Developed an explainable CKD prediction model using a minimal set of clinical features, optimized for early diagnosis.	Accuracy of 99.2% with cross-validation		Reliance on a limited number of clinical features which may not capture all nuances of CKD.
[202]	DNN with LIME for XAI	Healthcare diagnostic data	Built a DNN model to predict early-stage CKD with explainability provided by LIME, focusing on interpretability in healthcare applications.	Accuracy of 98.75%, ROC_AUC of 98.86%		The potential black-box nature of DNN without effective explainability.
[203]	Deep learning with GRAD-CAM	Robotic nephrectomy videos	Utilized deep learning to detect tumors in laparoscopic images, enhancing tumor localization and classification.	mAP of 0.974, classification accuracy of 0.84		Specific to images from surgical procedures, may not generalize to other forms of imaging.
[205]	Random Forest with Boolean decision trees	Data from patients undergoing open PN	Predicted AKI post-partial nephrectomy using a Random Forest model optimized for pre-operative parameters.	Accuracy of 75%		Limited to predictions based on pre-operative data only.
[206]	Deep convolutional transfer learning with SHAP	Hunan Cancer Hospital and COIL-100 datasets	Proposed an RCC prediction model incorporating SHAP for explainability and DCA for evaluating clinical utility.	Accuracy of 73.87% on RCC, 99.81% on COIL-100		Lower performance in real-world clinical datasets compared to public datasets.
[207]	Explainable AI framework	H&E-stained images of ChRCC and RO	Developed an explainable framework to differentiate between ChRCC and RO, enhancing diagnostic accuracy and reducing pathologist workload.	Diagnostic accuracy of 88.2%		The challenge of differentiating similar cancer types based on microscopic features.
[204]	CNN with Grad-CAM	Abdominal CT images	Explored the use of CNNs and visual explanations via Grad-CAM to detect kidney cancer from CT images.	Not specified		Challenges in handling the diversity of abdominal CT images.
[216]	XGBoost with SHAP for XAI	Expression data of skin samples	Applied XAI to identify significant genes for SCC diagnosis, providing a basis for potential biomarkers.	Not specified		Specific to non-melanoma skin cancers and dependent on data quality.
[217]	Extreme Gradient Boosting with XAI	CKD indicators dataset	Developed an explainable CKD prediction model, balancing accuracy and explainability using ensemble trees and selected features.	Accuracy of 99.2%, cross-validation accuracy of 97.5%		Focus on a small set of features, which may not apply universally.

the synergy between transformers and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for renal parenchymal tumors diagnosis. By stacking transformers and CNNs, the model efficiently captures both long-range dependencies and low-level spatial details, enhancing its performance in identifying and diagnosing kidney lesions. Moreover, transformer-based methods, such as TA-UNet3+ proposed by Hu [222], introduce innovative attention mechanisms and encoder-decoder architectures to improve kidney tumor segmentation accuracy. Similarly, Qian et al. [223] present a hybrid network combining nnU-Net and Swin Transformer, emphasizing the critical role of precise delineation and localization in KC diagnosis and treatment planning. Additionally, transformers exhibit promise in histopathological image analysis for subtype classification of renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Gao et al. [224] demonstrate the effectiveness of instance-based vision transformers in subtyping papillary RCC, providing valuable insights for histopathological diagnosis and personalized treatment strategies.

H. QUANTUM COMPUTING

Quantum computing represents a nascent and burgeoning domain poised to transform our approach to computational challenges, including those within ML, with the potential to revolutionize various aspects of knowledge discovery [225].

Despite being at an early developmental stage, quantum computing harbors the promise of significantly enhancing the efficacy of TL models in the diagnosis of diseases like KC [226]. Employing quantum computing in TL for KC diagnosis entails harnessing quantum algorithms to tackle tasks that would otherwise be impractical with classical computers, notably optimization and linear algebra computations. These algorithms offer avenues to bolster TL model performance by curtailing computational overheads and enhancing model accuracy [227]. Additionally, quantum computing holds promise in mitigating classical computing constraints encountered in medical imaging, such as the demand for substantial computational resources and memory for processing voluminous datasets [228], [229]. By leveraging quantum computing, the duration required for training and inference can be slashed, fostering swifter diagnoses and improved patient outcomes [230], [231].

While quantum computing holds immense promise for revolutionizing cancer diagnosis using transfer and DL techniques, significant advancements in quantum algorithms, hardware capabilities, and data availability are necessary to realize its full potential in improving the diagnosis and treatment of KC and other types of cancer [232]. Collaborative efforts between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and medical researchers are essential to overcome these chal-




FIGURE 8: Federated learning for KC.

lenges and pave the way for the future of quantum-enhanced healthcare technologies [233].

I. LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS AND GENERATIVE CHATBOTS

Large language models (LLMs) and generative chatbots hold significant potential for transforming KC diagnosis in several ways [234], [235]. By integrating vast medical libraries and research data, LLMs can provide healthcare professionals with up-to-date information, diagnostic suggestions, and treatment options based on the latest studies and clinical guidelines [236], [237]. Moving on, generative chatbots can interpret patients' descriptions of their symptoms in natural language, aiding in the early detection of KC by flagging potential warning signs and suggesting further diagnostic tests [238]–[240]. Typically, these models can deliver personalized information to patients about KC, including explanations of their condition, possible treatment pathways, and what to expect during each treatment option, improving patient understanding and engagement in their care plan [241]–[243].

Besides, LLMs can analyze vast amounts of data from EHRs to identify patterns or risk factors associated with KC, potentially uncovering new insights into its diagnosis and progression [244], [245]. With training, LLMs can assist radiologists by providing a second opinion on imaging studies, such as CT scans and MRIs, identifying areas that may warrant a closer look for signs of KC [246]–[248]. Additionally, chatbots can handle routine inquiries and patient triaging, allowing medical staff to focus on more complex tasks and patient care, thereby increasing the efficiency of clinical workflows [249], [250]. LLMs can integrate and analyze

information from various sources, including genomic data, radiographic images, and clinical notes, offering a holistic view of a patient's health status and aiding in the accurate diagnosis of KC [251], [252]. Moreover, generative chatbots can facilitate remote monitoring of patient's symptoms and treatment responses, providing timely advice and enabling telehealth consultations with specialists [253], [254].

VIII. CONCLUSION

The application of TL and adaptive domain techniques presents a promising avenue for enhancing the precision of KC diagnoses. TL enables the adaptation of models trained on extensive datasets to new, smaller datasets where labeled data may be scarce. Meanwhile, adaptive domain techniques allow models to adjust to shifts in data distribution over time, a critical factor for maintaining accuracy in the dynamic landscape of medical diagnostics. By employing TL, models pre-trained on comprehensive medical image datasets can undergo fine-tuning with smaller sets of kidney images for more precise KC diagnosis. This approach leverages the pre-training phase's acquired relevant features, proving advantageous when faced with limited labeled data availability. The adaptive domain further refines model accuracy as data distribution evolves, a key aspect in KC diagnostics where new data continuously emerges. Ensuring the model's adaptability to these changes is essential for sustaining diagnostic precision.

Our research delves into the utilization of TL in identifying KC, highlighting its potential to mitigate computational demands compared to conventional DL models, circumvent annotated data shortages, and handle dataset variability across training and testing phases. The paper's organizational sec-

TABLE 8: Summary of research on adversarial training in KC diagnosis.

Ref.	ML Model Used	Dataset	Contribution Description	Best Performance Value	Limitation
[215]	ACWGAN-GP, MobileNetV2	Renal ultrasound images	Developed an auxiliary diagnosis system for CKD stage classification using deep learning.	Accuracy up to 90.1%	Limited to four stages of CKD.
[208]	MSALDS-UNet	KiTS21	Proposed a cascade-based approach with multi-scale adversarial learning for kidney and tumor segmentation.	Not specified	Handling diverse tumor shapes and locations.
[209]	MB-FSGAN	CT scans of 113 kidney tumor patients	Introduced a GAN for simultaneous segmentation and quantification of kidney tumors.	Pixel accuracy of 95.7%	Manual segmentation variability and time consumption.
[210]	SegTGAN	KiTS19 dataset	Developed a GAN-based technique for autonomous kidney segmentation.	DSC of 92.28%, ACC of 97.28%	Quantitative analysis complexity due to data scarcity.
[212]	Fully 3D convolutional networks	In-house and external datasets	Created a framework for kidney cancer diagnosis with incomplete multi-phase CT.	Higher performance than using incomplete data	Relies on availability of complete multi-phase CT.
[214]	Deep Adversarial Belief Network (DABN)	Not specified	Utilized Grasshopper Optimization Algorithms for kidney cancer detection.	Outperforms existing methods (up to 98.25% accuracy)	Hyper-parameter tuning and outlier effects.
[218]	Targeted adversarial training with Proprietary Adversarial Samples	Not specified	Improved prostate cancer classification by mitigating rectal artifact interference.	Substantial gains over ordinary training	Specific to prostate MRI and adversarial samples.
[148]	Image-to-image translation models	Patches showing kidney histology	Developed unsupervised segmentation approaches for digital pathology.	Significant improvements in unsupervised segmentation	Requires handling of high image variability.
[211]	Unsupervised domain adaptation with 2D networks	Clinical urography data	Proposed unsupervised kidney segmentation from urographic images.	Comparable or better results than supervised methods	Adaptation issues across clinical unseen data.
[213]	Conditional Generative Variational Adversarial Networks	Benchmark CKD dataset	Developed a feature selection-based classifier for CKD diagnosis using deep learning.	Accuracy of 99.2%, Recall of 98.6%	Pre-processing to remove data with absence of values.

tion lays out a detailed discourse on various concepts and classifications. It deep-dives into the literature surrounding TL-driven KC detection, offering an extensive classification of contributions to the field. Subsequently, it proposes several general solutions to the challenges encountered in KC detection, applicable to both traditional and TL-based models. Drawing from the reviewed literature, it advocates for future methodological advancements aimed at precise and accurate KC detection, underscoring the importance of harnessing TL's full spectrum of capabilities.

Despite the successes of TL and DA in KC detection, numerous challenges warrant further exploration. One such challenge is the homogeneous nature of many DA models, which assume consistent feature distances between source and target domains—an assumption not always valid. Some studies have ventured into knowledge transfer without this prerequisite, utilizing datasets to enhance task support. Moreover, as highlighted, the future is likely to see heightened focus on heterogeneous adaptive domain approaches until their optimization is achieved, thereby advancing KC detection systems.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be made available on request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Lommen, N. Vaes, M. J. Aarts, J. G. van Roermund, L. J. Schouten, E. Oosterwijk, V. Melotte, V. C. Tjan-Heijnen, M. van Engeland, and K. M. Smits, "Diagnostic dna methylation biomarkers for renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review," *European Urology Oncology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 215–226, 2021.
- [2] "The Global Cancer Observatory," Available online: <https://gco.iarc.fr/>, accessed: 2023-03-03.
- [3] S. L. Semko, O. A. Voylenko, M. V. Pikul, O. E. Stakhovskiy, O. A. Kononenko, I. V. Vitruk, E. O. Stakhovsky, and B. Hrechko, "Comparison of aggressiveness in central versus peripheral t1a clear-cell renal cell carcinoma," in *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*, vol. 42, no. 2. Elsevier, 2024, pp. 31–e9.
- [4] Y. Zheng, S. Wang, Y. Chen, and H.-q. Du, "Deep learning with a convolutional neural network model to differentiate renal parenchymal tumors: a preliminary study," *Abdominal Radiology*, vol. 46, pp. 3260–3268, 2021.
- [5] R. J. Motzer, E. Jonasch, N. Agarwal, A. Alva, M. Baine, K. Beckermann, M. I. Carlo, T. K. Choueiri, B. A. Costello, I. H. Derweesh et al., "Kidney cancer, version 3.2022, nccn clinical practice guidelines in oncology," *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 71–90, 2022.
- [6] A. Bir-Jmel, S. M. Douiri, S. E. Bernoussi, A. Maafiri, Y. Himeur, S. Atalla, W. Mansoor, and H. Al-Ahmad, "Gflasso-lr: Logistic regression with generalized fused lasso for gene selection in high-dimensional cancer classification," *Computers*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 93, 2024.
- [7] A. Pimentel, J. Bover, G. Elder, M. Cohen-Solal, and P. A. Ureña-Torres, "The use of imaging techniques in chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorders (ckd-mbd)—a systematic review," *Diagnostics*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 772, 2021.
- [8] A. Caroli, A. Remuzzi, and L. O. Lerman, "Basic principles and new advances in kidney imaging," *Kidney international*, vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 1001–1011, 2021.
- [9] Y. Zhou, G. A. Abel, W. Hamilton, H. Singh, F. M. Walter, and G. Lyratzopoulos, "Imaging activity possibly signalling missed diagnostic opportunities in bladder and kidney cancer: a longitudinal data-linkage study using primary care electronic health records," *Cancer Epidemiology*, vol. 66, p. 101703, 2020.
- [10] A. K. Chanchal, S. Lal, R. Kumar, J. T. Kwak, and J. Kini, "A novel dataset and efficient deep learning framework for automated grading of renal cell carcinoma from kidney histopathology images," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2023.
- [11] Y. Habchi, Y. Himeur, H. Kheddar, A. Boukabou, S. Atalla, A. Chouchane, A. Ouamane, and W. Mansoor, "Ai in thyroid cancer diagnosis: Techniques, trends, and future directions," *Systems*, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 519, 2023.
- [12] K. Rajkumar, R. T. S. Ramoju, T. Ballely, S. Ashadapu, C. R. Prasad, and Y. Srikanth, "Kidney cancer detection using deep learning models,"

- in 2023 7th International Conference on Trends in Electronics and Informatics (ICOEI). IEEE, 2023, pp. 1197–1203.
- [13] H. Zhang, M. Botler, and J. P. Kooman, “Deep learning for image analysis in kidney care,” *Advances in kidney disease and health*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 25–32, 2023.
 - [14] A. Hamza, B. Lekouaghet, and Y. Himeur, “Hybrid whale-mud-ring optimization for precise color skin cancer image segmentation,” in 2023 6th International Conference on Signal Processing and Information Security (ICSPIS). IEEE, 2023, pp. 87–92.
 - [15] Y. Himeur, S. Al-Maadeed, N. Almaadeed, K. Abualsaud, A. Mohamed, T. Khattab, and O. Elharrouss, “Deep visual social distancing monitoring to combat covid-19: A comprehensive survey,” *Sustainable cities and society*, vol. 85, p. 104064, 2022.
 - [16] B. Wu and G. Moeckel, “Application of digital pathology and machine learning in the liver, kidney and lung diseases,” *Journal of Pathology Informatics*, vol. 14, p. 100184, 2023.
 - [17] M. S. Arif, A. Mukheimer, and D. Asif, “Enhancing the early detection of chronic kidney disease: A robust machine learning model,” *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 144, 2023.
 - [18] M. Subramanian, J. Cho, V. E. Sathishkumar, and O. S. Naren, “Multiple types of cancer classification using ct/mri images based on learning without forgetting powered deep learning models,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 10336–10354, 2023.
 - [19] R. Rasmussen, T. Sanford, A. V. Parwani, and I. Pedrosa, “Artificial intelligence in kidney cancer,” *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book*, vol. 42, pp. 1–11, 2022.
 - [20] A. Bechar, Y. Elmir, R. Medjoudji, Y. Himeur, and A. Amira, “Harnessing transformers: A leap forward in lung cancer image detection,” in 2023 6th International Conference on Signal Processing and Information Security (ICSPIS). IEEE, 2023, pp. 218–223.
 - [21] S. Liang and Y. Gu, “Srenet: a spatiotemporal relationship-enhanced 2d-cnn-based framework for staging and segmentation of kidney cancer using ct images,” *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 53, no. 13, pp. 17061–17073, 2023.
 - [22] H. Kheddar and D. Megias, “High capacity speech steganography for the g723. 1 coder based on quantised line spectral pairs interpolation and cnn auto-encoding,” *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 9441–9459, 2022.
 - [23] N. Djeflal, D. Addou, H. Kheddar, and S. A. Selouani, “Noise-robust speech recognition: A comparative analysis of lstm and cnn approaches,” in 2023 2nd International Conference on Electronics, Energy and Measurement (IC2EM), vol. 1. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
 - [24] M. Gharaibeh, D. Alzu’bi, M. Abdullah, I. Hmeidi, M. R. Al Nasar, L. Abualigah, and A. H. Gandomi, “Radiology imaging scans for early diagnosis of kidney tumors: a review of data analytics-based machine learning and deep learning approaches,” *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 29, 2022.
 - [25] N. Hadjiyski, “Kidney cancer staging: Deep learning neural network based approach,” in 2020 International Conference on e-Health and Bioengineering (EHB). IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–4.
 - [26] L. B. da Cruz, J. D. L. Araújo, J. L. Ferreira, J. O. B. Diniz, A. C. Silva, J. D. S. de Almeida, A. C. de Paiva, and M. Gattass, “Kidney segmentation from computed tomography images using deep neural network,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 123, p. 103906, 2020.
 - [27] Y. Wu and Z. Yi, “Automated detection of kidney abnormalities using multi-feature fusion convolutional neural networks,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 200, p. 105873, 2020.
 - [28] M. A. Hussain, “Volumetric image-based supervised learning approaches for kidney cancer detection and analysis,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of British Columbia, 2020.
 - [29] G. Chen, J. Yin, Y. Dai, J. Zhang, X. Yin, and L. Cui, “A novel convolutional neural network for kidney ultrasound images segmentation,” *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 218, p. 106712, 2022.
 - [30] H. C. Gottlich, A. V. Gregory, V. Sharma, A. Khanna, A. U. Moustafa, C. M. Lohse, T. A. Potretzke, P. Korfiatis, A. M. Potretzke, A. Denic et al., “Effect of dataset size and medical image modality on convolutional neural network model performance for automated segmentation: A ct and mr renal tumor imaging study,” *Journal of Digital Imaging*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1770–1781, 2023.
 - [31] H. Kheddar, Y. Himeur, S. Al-Maadeed, A. Amira, and F. Bensaali, “Deep transfer learning for automatic speech recognition: Towards better generalization,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 277, p. 110851, 2023.
 - [32] Y. Himeur, S. Al-Maadeed, H. Kheddar, N. Al-Maadeed, K. Abualsaud, A. Mohamed, and T. Khattab, “Video surveillance using deep transfer learning and deep domain adaptation: Towards better generalization,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 119, p. 105698, 2023.
 - [33] H. Kheddar, Y. Himeur, and A. I. Awad, “Deep transfer learning for intrusion detection in industrial control networks: A comprehensive review,” *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 220, p. 103760, 2023.
 - [34] A. C. Mazari and H. Kheddar, “Deep learning-and transfer learning-based models for covid-19 detection using radiography images,” in 2023 International Conference on Advances in Electronics, Control and Communication Systems (ICAEECS). IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–4.
 - [35] H. Kheddar, M. Hemis, and Y. Himeur, “Automatic speech recognition using advanced deep learning approaches: A survey,” *Information Fusion*, p. 102422, 2024.
 - [36] Y. Himeur, S. Al-Maadeed, I. Varlamis, N. Al-Maadeed, K. Abualsaud, and A. Mohamed, “Face mask detection in smart cities using deep and transfer learning: Lessons learned from the covid-19 pandemic,” *Systems*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 107, 2023.
 - [37] M. U. Nasir, M. Zubair, T. M. Ghazal, M. F. Khan, M. Ahmad, A.-u. Rahman, H. A. Hamadi, M. A. Khan, and W. Mansoor, “Kidney cancer prediction empowered with blockchain security using transfer learning,” *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 19, p. 7483, 2022.
 - [38] A. Al-Kababji, F. Bensaali, S. P. Dakua, and Y. Himeur, “Automated liver tissues delineation techniques: A systematic survey on machine learning current trends and future orientations,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 117, p. 105532, 2023.
 - [39] O. Elharrouss, S. Al-Maadeed, N. Subramanian, N. Ottakath, N. Al-Maadeed, and Y. Himeur, “Panoptic segmentation: a review,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.10250*, 2021.
 - [40] H. E. Kim, A. Cosa-Linan, N. Santhanam, M. Jannesari, M. E. Maros, and T. Ganslandt, “Transfer learning for medical image classification: a literature review,” *BMC medical imaging*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 69, 2022.
 - [41] K. Liu, X. Zhang, W. Chen, S. Alan, J. A. Kellum, M. E. Matheny, S. Q. Simpson, Y. Hu, and M. Liu, “Development and validation of a personalized model with transfer learning for acute kidney injury risk estimation using electronic health records,” *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. e2219776–e2219776, 2022.
 - [42] E. Garcia-Ceja, M. Riegler, T. Nordgreen, P. Jakobsen, K. J. Oedegaard, and J. Tørresen, “Mental health monitoring with multimodal sensing and machine learning: A survey,” *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 51, pp. 1–26, 2018.
 - [43] Z. Hu, J. Tang, Z. Wang, K. Zhang, L. Zhang, and Q. Sun, “Deep learning for image-based cancer detection and diagnosis- a survey,” *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 83, pp. 134–149, 2018.
 - [44] S. J. S. Gardezi, A. Elazab, B. Lei, and T. Wang, “Breast cancer detection and diagnosis using mammographic data: Systematic review,” *Journal of medical Internet research*, vol. 21, no. 7, p. e14464, 2019.
 - [45] R. Godasu, D. Zeng, and K. Sutrave, “Transfer learning in medical image classification: Challenges and opportunities,” in *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the Midwest Association for Information Systems*, 2020, pp. 28–2020.
 - [46] Z. Wan, R. Yang, M. Huang, N. Zeng, and X. Liu, “A review on transfer learning in eeg signal analysis,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 421, pp. 1–14, 2021.
 - [47] J. M. Valverde, V. Imani, A. Abdollahzadeh, R. De Feo, M. Prakash, R. Ciszek, and J. Tohka, “Transfer learning in magnetic resonance brain imaging: A systematic review,” *Journal of imaging*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 66, 2021.
 - [48] D. Agarwal, G. Marques, I. de la Torre-Díez, M. A. Franco Martín, B. García Zapirain, and F. Martín Rodríguez, “Transfer learning for alzheimer’s disease through neuroimaging biomarkers: a systematic review,” *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 21, p. 7259, 2021.
 - [49] Z. Ardalan and V. Subbian, “Transfer learning approaches for neuroimaging analysis: A scoping review,” *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 5, p. 15, 2022.
 - [50] A. Abdelrahman and S. Viriri, “Kidney tumor semantic segmentation using deep learning: A survey of state-of-the-art,” *Journal of Imaging*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 55, 2022.
 - [51] T. W. Cenggoro, B. Pardamean et al., “A systematic literature review of machine learning application in covid-19 medical image classification,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 216, pp. 749–756, 2023.

- [52] P. Bijam and S. Deshmukh, "A review on detection of diabetic retinopathy using deep learning and transfer learning based strategies," *International Journal of Computer (IJC)*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 164–175, 2023.
- [53] E. Yang, C. K. Kim, Y. Guan, B.-B. Koo, and J.-H. Kim, "3d multi-scale residual fully convolutional neural network for segmentation of extremely large-sized kidney tumor," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 215, p. 106616, 2022.
- [54] M. N. Islam, M. Hasan, M. K. Hossain, M. G. R. Alam, M. Z. Uddin, and A. Soyulu, "Vision transformer and explainable transfer learning models for auto detection of kidney cyst, stone and tumor from ct-radiography," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 11440, 2022.
- [55] R. Gaikar, F. Zabihollahi, N. Farrag, M. W. Elfaal, N. Schieda, and E. Ukwatta, "Transfer learning based fully automated kidney segmentation on mr images," in *Medical Imaging 2022: Biomedical Applications in Molecular, Structural, and Functional Imaging*, vol. 12036. SPIE, 2022, pp. 446–452.
- [56] Y. Song, J. Zheng, L. Lei, Z. Ni, B. Zhao, and Y. Hu, "Ct2us: Cross-modal transfer learning for kidney segmentation in ultrasound images with synthesized data," *Ultrasonics*, vol. 122, p. 106706, 2022.
- [57] R. C. Davis, X. Li, Y. Xu, Z. Wang, N. Souma, G. Sotolongo, J. Bell, M. Ellis, D. Howell, X. Shen et al., "Deep learning segmentation of glomeruli on kidney donor frozen sections," medRxiv, 2021.
- [58] R. Li, Y. Zhao, Y. Dai, G. Chen, J. Zhang, L. Cui, X. Yin, X. Gao, and L. Li, "Endoscopic segmentation of kidney stone based on transfer learning," in 2021 40th Chinese Control Conference (CCC). IEEE, 2021, pp. 8145–8150.
- [59] D. M. Alex and D. Abraham Chandy, "Investigations on performances of pre-trained u-net models for 2d ultrasound kidney image segmentation," in *Emerging Technologies in Computing: Third EAI International Conference, iCETiC 2020, London, UK, August 19–20, 2020, Proceedings 3*. Springer, 2020, pp. 185–195.
- [60] O. Bazgir, K. Barck, R. A. Carano, R. M. Weimer, and L. Xie, "Kidney segmentation using 3d u-net localized with expectation maximization," in 2020 IEEE Southwest Symposium on Image Analysis and Interpretation (SSIAI). IEEE, 2020, pp. 22–25.
- [61] S. Yin, Z. Zhang, H. Li, Q. Peng, X. You, S. L. Furth, G. E. Tasian, and Y. Fan, "Fully-automatic segmentation of kidneys in clinical ultrasound images using a boundary distance regression network," in 2019 IEEE 16th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2019). IEEE, 2019, pp. 1741–1744.
- [62] Q. Zheng, S. L. Furth, G. E. Tasian, and Y. Fan, "Computer-aided diagnosis of congenital abnormalities of the kidney and urinary tract in children based on ultrasound imaging data by integrating texture image features and deep transfer learning image features," *Journal of pediatric urology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 75–e1, 2019.
- [63] J. B. Graham-Knight, K. Scotland, V. K. Wong, A. Djavidifar, D. Lange, B. Chew, P. Lasserre, and H. Najjaran, "Accurate kidney segmentation in ct scans using deep transfer learning," in *International Conference on Smart Multimedia*. Springer, 2019, pp. 147–157.
- [64] J. N. Marsh, M. K. Matlock, S. Kudose, T.-C. Liu, T. S. Stappenbeck, J. P. Gaut, and S. J. Swamidass, "Deep learning global glomerulosclerosis in transplant kidney frozen sections," *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 2718–2728, 2018.
- [65] A. Taha, P. Lo, J. Li, and T. Zhao, "Kid-net: convolution networks for kidney vessels segmentation from ct-volumes," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2018: 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16–20, 2018, Proceedings, Part IV 11*. Springer, 2018, pp. 463–471.
- [66] M. Ayyar, P. Mathur, R. R. Shah, and S. G. Sharma, "Harnessing ai for kidney glomeruli classification," in 2018 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia (ISM). IEEE, 2018, pp. 17–20.
- [67] S. Yin, Q. Peng, H. Li, Z. Zhang, X. You, S. L. Furth, G. E. Tasian, and Y. Fan, "Subsequent boundary distance regression and pixelwise classification networks for automatic kidney segmentation in ultrasound images," arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04815, 2018.
- [68] Q. Zheng, G. Tastan, and Y. Fan, "Transfer learning for diagnosis of congenital abnormalities of the kidney and urinary tract in children based on ultrasound imaging data," in 2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1487–1490.
- [69] S. Asif, Y. Wenhui, S. Jinhai, Q. U. Ain, Y. Yueyang, and H. Jin, "Modeling a fine-tuned deep convolutional neural network for diagnosis of kidney diseases from ct images," in 2022 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM). IEEE, 2022, pp. 2571–2576.
- [70] R. Statkevych, Y. Gordienko, and S. Stirenko, "Improving u-net kidney glomerulus segmentation with fine-tuning, dataset randomization and augmentations," in *International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering and Education Applications*. Springer, 2022, pp. 488–498.
- [71] A. J. Aljaaf, D. Al-Jumeily, H. M. Haglan, M. Alloghani, T. Baker, A. J. Hussain, and J. Mustafina, "Early prediction of chronic kidney disease using machine learning supported by predictive analytics," in 2018 IEEE congress on evolutionary computation (CEC). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–9.
- [72] L. Kang, X. Song, and Z. Gao, "Computed tomography image segmentation of renal tumors based on deep learning," in *Fifth International Conference on Image, Video Processing, and Artificial Intelligence (IVPAI 2023)*, vol. 13074. SPIE, 2024, pp. 18–23.
- [73] M. Bernardini, L. Romeo, E. Frontoni, and M.-R. Amini, "A semi-supervised multi-task learning approach for predicting short-term kidney disease evolution," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 3983–3994, 2021.
- [74] S. Pan, Y. Fu, P. Chen, J. Liu, W. Liu, X. Wang, G. Cai, Z. Yin, J. Wu, L. Tang et al., "Multi-task learning-based immunofluorescence classification of kidney disease," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 18, no. 20, p. 10798, 2021.
- [75] D. Keshwani, Y. Kitamura, and Y. Li, "Computation of total kidney volume from ct images in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease using multi-task 3d convolutional neural networks," in *Machine Learning in Medical Imaging: 9th International Workshop, MLMI 2018, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2018, Granada, Spain, September 16, 2018, Proceedings 9*. Springer, 2018, pp. 380–388.
- [76] A. Farahani, S. Voghoei, K. Rasheed, and H. R. Arabnia, "A brief review of domain adaptation," *Advances in data science and information engineering: proceedings from ICDATA 2020 and IKE 2020*, pp. 877–894, 2021.
- [77] C. Chen, W. Xie, W. Huang, Y. Rong, X. Ding, Y. Huang, T. Xu, and J. Huang, "Progressive feature alignment for unsupervised domain adaptation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 627–636.
- [78] S. Li, S. Song, G. Huang, Z. Ding, and C. Wu, "Domain invariant and class discriminative feature learning for visual domain adaptation," *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 4260–4273, 2018.
- [79] Z. Du, J. Li, H. Su, L. Zhu, and K. Lu, "Cross-domain gradient discrepancy minimization for unsupervised domain adaptation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2021, pp. 3937–3946.
- [80] M. Ishii and A. Sato, "Joint optimization of feature transform and instance weighting for domain adaptation," in 2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN). IEEE, 2017, pp. 3793–3799.
- [81] J. Zhang, Y. Wu, F. Hao, X. Liu, M. Li, D. Zhou, and W. Zheng, "Double similarities weighted multi-instance learning kernel and its application," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 238, p. 121900, 2024.
- [82] K. M. Ting, "An instance-weighting method to induce cost-sensitive trees," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 659–665, 2002.
- [83] R. Wang, M. Utiyama, L. Liu, K. Chen, and E. Sumita, "Instance weighting for neural machine translation domain adaptation," in *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2017, pp. 1482–1488.
- [84] W. Wang, H. Wang, Z.-Y. Ran, and R. He, "Learning robust feature transformation for domain adaptation," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 114, p. 107870, 2021.
- [85] B. Fernando, A. Habrard, M. Sebban, and T. Tuytelaers, "Subspace alignment for domain adaptation," arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.5241, 2014.
- [86] J. Wang, W. Feng, Y. Chen, H. Yu, M. Huang, and P. S. Yu, "Visual domain adaptation with manifold embedded distribution alignment," in *Proceedings of the 26th ACM international conference on Multimedia*, 2018, pp. 402–410.
- [87] G. Cai, Y. Wang, L. He, and M. Zhou, "Unsupervised domain adaptation with adversarial residual transform networks," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 3073–3086, 2019.
- [88] B. Gong, K. Grauman, and F. Sha, "Geodesic flow kernel and landmarks: Kernel methods for unsupervised domain adaptation," *Domain Adaptation in Computer Vision Applications*, pp. 59–79, 2017.

- [89] W. Wang, H. Wang, Z. Zhang, C. Zhang, and Y. Gao, "Semi-supervised domain adaptation via fredholm integral based kernel methods," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 85, pp. 185–197, 2019.
- [90] J. Hong, Y.-D. Zhang, and W. Chen, "Source-free unsupervised domain adaptation for cross-modality abdominal multi-organ segmentation," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 250, p. 109155, 2022.
- [91] Y. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, and H. Zhu, "Rethinking disentanglement in unsupervised domain adaptation for medical image segmentation," in 2023 45th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC). IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [92] M. Ghifary, D. Balduzzi, W. B. Kleijn, and M. Zhang, "Scatter component analysis: A unified framework for domain adaptation and domain generalization," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1414–1430, 2016.
- [93] K. Zhou, Z. Liu, Y. Qiao, T. Xiang, and C. C. Loy, "Domain generalization: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 4396–4415, 2022.
- [94] T. L. Kline, "Improving domain generalization in segmentation models with neural style transfer," in 2021 IEEE 18th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI). IEEE, 2021, pp. 1324–1328.
- [95] Z. Su, K. Yao, X. Yang, K. Huang, Q. Wang, and J. Sun, "Rethinking data augmentation for single-source domain generalization in medical image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 37, no. 2, 2023, pp. 2366–2374.
- [96] U. Michieli, M. Basetton, G. Agresti, and P. Zanuttigh, "Adversarial learning and self-teaching techniques for domain adaptation in semantic segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 508–518, 2020.
- [97] M. Zhang, H. Liu, M. Gong, H. Li, Y. Wu, and X. Jiang, "Cross-domain self-taught network for few-shot hyperspectral image classification," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2023.
- [98] M. Mendez-Ruiz, F. Lopez-Tiro, D. Flores-Araiza, J. El-Beze, G. Ochoa-Ruiz, M. Gonzalez-Mendoza, J. Hubert, A. Mendez-Vazquez, and C. Daul, "On the generalization capabilities of fsl methods through domain adaptation: a case study in endoscopic kidney stone image classification," in *Mexican International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 2022, pp. 249–263.
- [99] T. Ma and A. Zhang, "Affinitynet: semi-supervised few-shot learning for disease type prediction," in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 33, no. 01, 2019, pp. 1069–1076.
- [100] S. Kim, S. An, P. Chikontwe, and S. H. Park, "Bidirectional rnn-based few shot learning for 3d medical image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 3, 2021, pp. 1808–1816.
- [101] L. Sun, C. Li, X. Ding, Y. Huang, Z. Chen, G. Wang, Y. Yu, and J. Paisley, "Few-shot medical image segmentation using a global correlation network with discriminative embedding," *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 140, p. 105067, 2022.
- [102] G. Sergei, D. Unnersjö-Jess, L. Butt, T. Benzing, and K. Bozek, "Self-supervised representation learning of filtration barrier in kidney," *Frontiers in Imaging*, vol. 3, p. 1339770, 2024.
- [103] K. Kapur, M. Freidank, and M. Rebhan, "Understanding the chronic kidney disease landscape using patient representation learning from electronic health records," *medRxiv*, pp. 2022–10, 2022.
- [104] A. Joshi, A. Gangopadhyay, M. Banerjee, G. Baffoe-Bonnie, V. Mohanlal, and R. Wali, "A clustering method to study the loss of kidney function following kidney transplantation," *International Journal of Biomedical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1-2, pp. 64–82, 2010.
- [105] E. H. Hassan, A. H. Ali, R. M. Shehab, W. A. Abd Alrida, and M. S. Mahdi, "Using k-mean clustering to classify the kidney images," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, pp. 2070–2084, 2023.
- [106] S. Gopika and M. Vanitha, "Machine learning approach of chronic kidney disease prediction using clustering," *Mach. Learn.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 1–9, 2017.
- [107] R. Oluyombo, M. A. Olamoyegun, O. E. Ayodele, P. O. Akinwusi, and A. Akinsola, "Clustering of chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk factors in south-west nigeria," *Journal of Nephropathology*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 196, 2017.
- [108] H. S. Shon, E. Batbaatar, E. J. Cha, T. G. Kang, S. G. Choi, and K. A. Kim, "Deep autoencoder based classification for clinical prediction of kidney cancer," *Journal of the Korean Institute of Electrical Engineers*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 1393–1404, 2022.
- [109] S. S. Sohail, Y. Himeur, A. Amira, F. Fadli, W. Mansoor, S. Atalla, and A. Copiaco, "Deep transfer learning for 3d point cloud understanding: A comprehensive survey," Available at SSRN 4348272.
- [110] Y. Himeur, B. Rimal, A. Tiwary, and A. Amira, "Using artificial intelligence and data fusion for environmental monitoring: A review and future perspectives," *Information Fusion*, 2022.
- [111] S. Ursprung, L. Beer, A. Bruining, R. Woitek, G. D. Stewart, F. A. Gallagher, and E. Sala, "Radiomics of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in renal cell carcinoma—a systematic review and meta-analysis," *European radiology*, vol. 30, pp. 3558–3566, 2020.
- [112] N. Heller, F. Isensee, K. H. Maier-Hein, X. Hou, C. Xie, F. Li, Y. Nan, G. Mu, Z. Lin, M. Han et al., "The state of the art in kidney and kidney tumor segmentation in contrast-enhanced ct imaging: Results of the kits19 challenge," *Medical image analysis*, vol. 67, p. 101821, 2021.
- [113] W. M. Linehan and C. J. Ricketts, "The cancer genome atlas of renal cell carcinoma: findings and clinical implications," *Nature Reviews Urology*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 539–552, 2019.
- [114] C. J. Ricketts, A. A. De Cubas, H. Fan, C. C. Smith, M. Lang, E. Reznik, R. Bowlby, E. A. Gibb, R. Akbani, R. Beroukhim et al., "The cancer genome atlas comprehensive molecular characterization of renal cell carcinoma," *Cell reports*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 313–326, 2018.
- [115] J. J. Hsieh, V. Le, D. Cao, E. H. Cheng, and C. J. Creighton, "Genomic classifications of renal cell carcinoma: A critical step towards the future application of personalized kidney cancer care with pan-omics precision," *The Journal of pathology*, vol. 244, no. 5, pp. 525–537, 2018.
- [116] C. Cui, H. Yang, Y. Wang, S. Zhao, Z. Asad, L. A. Coburn, K. T. Wilson, B. A. Landman, and Y. Huo, "Deep multi-modal fusion of image and non-image data in disease diagnosis and prognosis: a review," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15588*, 2022.
- [117] S. Varsha, S. A. Nasser, G. Bala, N. C. Kurian, and A. Sethi, "Multi-modal information fusion for classification of kidney abnormalities," in 2022 IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging Challenges (ISBIC). IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–4.
- [118] "Chronic kidney disease data set," Available online: https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/chronic_kidney_disease (accessed on 16 October 2022).
- [119] Y. Amirgaliyev, S. Shamiluulu, and A. Serek, "Analysis of chronic kidney disease dataset by applying machine learning methods," in 2018 IEEE 12th International Conference on Application of Information and Communication Technologies (AICT). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–4.
- [120] N. A. Almansour, H. F. Syed, N. R. Khayat, R. K. Altheeb, R. E. Juri, J. Alhiyafi, S. Alrashed, and S. O. Olatunji, "Neural network and support vector machine for the prediction of chronic kidney disease: A comparative study," *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 109, pp. 101–111, 2019.
- [121] L. Jerlin Rubini and E. Perumal, "Efficient classification of chronic kidney disease by using multi-kernel support vector machine and fruit fly optimization algorithm," *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 660–673, 2020.
- [122] C.-H. Hsiao, M.-C. Tsai, F. Y.-S. Lin, P.-C. Lin, F.-J. Yang, S.-Y. Yang, S.-Y. Wang, P.-R. Liu, and Y. Huang, "Automatic kidney volume estimation system using transfer learning techniques," in *Advanced Information Networking and Applications: Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA-2021)*, Volume 2. Springer, 2021, pp. 370–381.
- [123] M. Goyal, J. Guo, L. Hinojosa, K. Hulsey, and I. Pedrosa, "Automated kidney segmentation by mask r-cnn in t2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging," in *Medical Imaging 2022: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, vol. 12033. SPIE, 2022, pp. 789–794.
- [124] "The Cancer Genome Atlas," Available online: <https://www.cancer.gov/tcga>, accessed: 2022-10-18.
- [125] B. Kocak, A. H. Yardimci, C. T. Bektas, M. H. Turkcanoglu, C. Erdim, U. Yucetas, S. B. Koca, and O. Kilickesmez, "Textural differences between renal cell carcinoma subtypes: Machine learning-based quantitative computed tomography texture analysis with independent external validation," *European Journal of Radiology*, vol. 107, pp. 149–157, 2018.
- [126] B. Kocak, E. S. Durmaz, E. Ates, and M. B. Uluhan, "Radiogenomics in clear cell renal cell carcinoma: machine learning-based high-dimensional quantitative ct texture analysis in predicting pbrm1 mutation status," *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 212, no. 3, pp. W55–W63, 2019.
- [127] F. Lin, C. Ma, J. Xu, Y. Lei, Q. Li, Y. Lan, M. Sun, W. Long, and E. Cui, "A ct-based deep learning model for predicting the nuclear grade of clear

- cell renal cell carcinoma," *European Journal of Radiology*, vol. 129, p. 109079, 2020.
- [128] Y. Huang, H. Zeng, L. Chen, Y. Luo, X. Ma, and Y. Zhao, "Exploration of an integrative prognostic model of radiogenomics features with underlying gene expression patterns in clear cell renal cell carcinoma," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 11, p. 640881, 2021.
- [129] K. Wu, P. Wu, K. Yang, Z. Li, S. Kong, L. Yu, E. Zhang, H. Liu, Q. Guo, and S. Wu, "A comprehensive texture feature analysis framework of renal cell carcinoma: pathological, prognostic, and genomic evaluation based on ct images," *European Radiology*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 2255–2265, 2022.
- [130] "The 2019 Kidney Tumor Segmentation ," Available online: <https://kits19.grand-challenge.org/>, accessed: 2022-11-29.
- [131] X.-L. Zhu, H.-B. Shen, H. Sun, L.-X. Duan, and Y.-Y. Xu, "Improving segmentation and classification of renal tumors in small sample 3d ct images using transfer learning with convolutional neural networks," *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1303–1311, 2022.
- [132] "Institut de recherche contre les cancers de appareil digestif," Available online: <https://www.ircad.fr/research/data-sets/>, accessed: 2022-11-29.
- [133] K.-j. Xia, H.-s. Yin, and Y.-d. Zhang, "Deep semantic segmentation of kidney and space-occupying lesion area based on scnn and resnet models combined with sift-flow algorithm," *Journal of medical systems*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- [134] "The Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention Society," Available online: <http://www.miccai.org/>, accessed: 2022-11-29.
- [135] D. B. Efremova, D. A. Konovalov, T. Siriapisith, W. Kusakunniran, and P. Haddawy, "Automatic segmentation of kidney and liver tumors in ct images," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01279*, 2019.
- [136] S. H. Kassani, P. H. Kassani, M. J. Wesolowski, K. A. Schneider, and R. Deters, "Deep transfer learning based model for colorectal cancer histopathology segmentation: A comparative study of deep pre-trained models," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 159, p. 104669, 2022.
- [137] H.-C. Lee and A. F. Aqil, "Combination of transfer learning methods for kidney glomeruli image classification," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 1040, 2022.
- [138] J. Lee, E. Warner, S. Shaikhouni, M. Bitzer, M. Kretzler, D. Gipson, S. Pennathur, K. Bellovich, Z. Bhat, C. Gadegbeku et al., "Unsupervised machine learning for identifying important visual features through bag-of-words using histopathology data from chronic kidney disease," *Scientific reports*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2022.
- [139] C.-H. Hsiao, T.-L. Sun, P.-C. Lin, T.-Y. Peng, Y.-H. Chen, C.-Y. Cheng, F.-J. Yang, S.-Y. Yang, C.-H. Wu, F. Y.-S. Lin et al., "A deep learning-based precision volume calculation approach for kidney and tumor segmentation on computed tomography images," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 221, p. 106861, 2022.
- [140] P. Kittipongdaja and T. Siriborvornratanakul, "Automatic kidney segmentation using 2.5 d resunet and 2.5 d denseunet for malignant potential analysis in complex renal cyst based on ct images," *EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing*, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2022.
- [141] J. M. Jagtap, A. V. Gregory, H. L. Homes, D. E. Wright, M. E. Edwards, Z. Akkus, B. J. Erickson, and T. L. Kline, "Automated measurement of total kidney volume from 3d ultrasound images of patients affected by polycystic kidney disease and comparison to mr measurements," *Abdominal Radiology*, pp. 1–12, 2022.
- [142] B. Lutnick, D. Manthey, J. U. Becker, B. Ginley, K. Moos, J. E. Zuckerman, L. Rodrigues, A. J. Gallan, L. Barisoni, C. E. Alpers et al., "A user-friendly tool for cloud-based whole slide image segmentation with examples from renal histopathology," *Communications medicine*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2022.
- [143] E. Macias, J. Lopez Vicario, J. Serrano, J. Ibeas, and A. Morell, "Transfer learning improving predictive mortality models for patients in end-stage renal disease," *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. 1447, 2022.
- [144] V. Alevizos and M. Hon, "Comparison of kidney segmentation under attention u-net architectures," 2021.
- [145] A. Klepaczko, E. Eikefjord, and A. Lundervold, "Healthy kidney segmentation in the dce-mr images using a convolutional neural network and temporal signal characteristics," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 20, p. 6714, 2021.
- [146] D. Karimi, S. K. Warfield, and A. Gholipour, "Critical assessment of transfer learning for medical image segmentation with fully convolutional neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00356*, 2020.
- [147] H. Guan and M. Liu, "Domain adaptation for medical image analysis: a survey," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 1173–1185, 2021.
- [148] M. Gadermayr, L. Gupta, V. Appel, P. Boor, B. M. Klinkhammer, and D. Merhof, "Generative adversarial networks for facilitating stain-independent supervised and unsupervised segmentation: a study on kidney histology," *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 2293–2302, 2019.
- [149] E. Uldry, S. Faes, N. Demartines, and O. Dormond, "Fine-tuning tumor endothelial cells to selectively kill cancer," *International journal of molecular sciences*, vol. 18, no. 7, p. 1401, 2017.
- [150] A. K. Upadhyay and A. K. Bhandari, "Advances in deep learning models for resolving medical image segmentation data scarcity problem: A topical review," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, pp. 1–19, 2023.
- [151] C. Peng, K. Zhao, A. Wiliem, T. Zhang, P. Hobson, A. Jennings, and B. C. Lovell, "To what extent does downsampling, compression, and data scarcity impact renal image analysis?" in 2019 *Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (DICTA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [152] J. Guo, A. Odu, and I. Pedrosa, "Deep learning kidney segmentation with very limited training data using a cascaded convolution neural network," *PloS one*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. e0267753, 2022.
- [153] N. Heller, N. Sathianathen, A. Kalapara, E. Walczak, K. Moore, H. Kaluzniak, J. Rosenberg, P. Blake, Z. Rengel, M. Oestreich et al., "The kits19 challenge data: 300 kidney tumor cases with clinical context, ct semantic segmentations, and surgical outcomes," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.00445*, 2019.
- [154] J. G. Flitcroft, J. Verheyen, T. Vemulka, E. N. Welbourne, S. H. Rossi, S. J. Welsh, R. P. Cowburn, and G. D. Stewart, "Early detection of kidney cancer using urinary proteins: a truly non-invasive strategy," *BJU international*, vol. 129, no. 3, pp. 290–303, 2022.
- [155] A.-J. Nassour, A. Jain, N. Hui, G. Siopis, J. Symons, and H. Woo, "Relative risk of bladder and kidney cancer in lynch syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis," *Cancers*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 506, 2023.
- [156] D. Alzu'bi, M. Abdullah, I. Hmeidi, R. AlAzab, M. Gharaibeh, M. El-Heis, K. H. Almotairi, A. Forestiero, A. M. Hussein, and L. Abualigah, "Kidney tumor detection and classification based on deep learning approaches: a new dataset in ct scans," *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–22, 2022.
- [157] A. Bhattacharjee, S. Rabea, A. Bhattacharjee, E. B. Elkaced, R. Murugan, H. M. R. M. Selim, R. K. Sahu, G. A. Shazly, and M. M. Salem Bekhit, "A multi-class deep learning model for early lung cancer and chronic kidney disease detection using computed tomography images," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 13, p. 1193746, 2023.
- [158] Z. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Wang, J. Li, Y. Zhang, T. Zhang, S. Xu, W. Jiao, and H. Niu, "Deep learning techniques for imaging diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma: current and emerging trends," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 13, p. 1152622, 2023.
- [159] Y. Zhou, M. Van Melle, H. Singh, W. Hamilton, G. Lyratzopoulos, and F. M. Walter, "Quality of the diagnostic process in patients presenting with symptoms suggestive of bladder or kidney cancer: a systematic review," *BMJ open*, vol. 9, no. 10, p. e029143, 2019.
- [160] H. Harrison, R. E. Thompson, Z. Lin, S. H. Rossi, G. D. Stewart, S. J. Griffin, and J. A. Usher-Smith, "Risk prediction models for kidney cancer: a systematic review," *European urology focus*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1380–1390, 2021.
- [161] W. C. Huang, N. M. Donin, A. S. Levey, and S. C. Campbell, "Chronic kidney disease and kidney cancer surgery: new perspectives," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 203, no. 3, pp. 475–485, 2020.
- [162] S. Pirmoradi, M. Teshnehlab, N. Zarghami, and A. Sharifi, "A self-organizing deep neuro-fuzzy system approach for classification of kidney cancer subtypes using mirna genomics data," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 206, p. 106132, 2021.
- [163] H. J. van Mens, S. S. Martens, E. H. Paiman, A. C. Mertens, R. Nienhuis, N. F. de Keizer, and R. Cornet, "Diagnosis clarification by generalization to patient-friendly terms and definitions: Validation study," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 129, p. 104071, 2022.
- [164] A. Shevchenko, A. Breus, I. Neskubina, E. Dzhenkova, E. Filatova, and D. Shvryev, "Evaluation of the prognostic significance of some biological factors in local and generalized clear cell renal cancer," *South Russian Journal of Cancer*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 6–22, 2020.
- [165] T. G. Harrison, J. Wick, S. B. Ahmed, M. Jun, B. J. Manns, R. R. Quinn, M. Tonelli, and B. R. Hemmelgarn, "Patients with chronic kidney disease

- and their intent to use electronic personal health records,” *Canadian journal of kidney health and disease*, vol. 2, p. 58, 2015.
- [166] O. Omotoso, J. O. Teibo, F. A. Atiba, T. Oladimeji, O. K. Paimo, F. S. Ataya, G. E.-S. Batiha, and A. Alexiou, “Addressing cancer care inequities in sub-saharan africa: current challenges and proposed solutions,” *International Journal for Equity in Health*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 189, 2023.
- [167] A. Ferrari, I. B. Brecht, G. Gatta, D. T. Schneider, D. Orbach, G. Cecchetto, J. Godzinski, Y. Reguerre, E. Bien, T. Stachowicz-Stencel et al., “Defining and listing very rare cancers of paediatric age: consensus of the joint action on rare cancers in cooperation with the european cooperative study group for pediatric rare tumors,” *European journal of cancer*, vol. 110, pp. 120–126, 2019.
- [168] M. Arnold, L. Jiang, M. L. Stefanick, K. C. Johnson, D. S. Lane, E. S. LeBlanc, R. Prentice, T. E. Rohan, B. M. Snively, M. Vitolins et al., “Duration of adulthood overweight, obesity, and cancer risk in the women’s health initiative: a longitudinal study from the united states,” *PLoS medicine*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. e1002081, 2016.
- [169] G. Ayana, K. Dese, and S.-w. Choe, “Transfer learning in breast cancer diagnoses via ultrasound imaging,” *Cancers*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 738, 2021.
- [170] K. T. Chui, B. B. Gupta, R. H. Jhaveri, H. R. Chi, V. Arya, A. Almomani, A. Nauman et al., “Multi-round transfer learning and modified generative adversarial network for lung cancer detection,” *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 2023, 2023.
- [171] S. Mutasa, S. Sun, and R. Ha, “Understanding artificial intelligence based radiology studies: What is overfitting?” *Clinical imaging*, vol. 65, pp. 96–99, 2020.
- [172] F. Azuaje, S.-Y. Kim, D. Perez Hernandez, and G. Dittmar, “Connecting histopathology imaging and proteomics in kidney cancer through machine learning,” *Journal of clinical medicine*, vol. 8, no. 10, p. 1535, 2019.
- [173] Z. Li, K. Kamnitsas, and B. Glocker, “Analyzing overfitting under class imbalance in neural networks for image segmentation,” *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 1065–1077, 2020.
- [174] M. Nikpanah, Z. Xu, D. Jin, F. Farhadi, B. Saboury, M. W. Ball, R. Gautam, M. J. Merino, B. J. Wood, B. Turkbey et al., “A deep-learning based artificial intelligence (ai) approach for differentiation of clear cell renal cell carcinoma from oncocytoma on multi-phasic mri,” *Clinical Imaging*, vol. 77, pp. 291–298, 2021.
- [175] Y. Himeur, I. Varlamis, H. Kheddar, A. Amira, S. Atalla, Y. Singh, F. Bensaali, and W. Mansoor, “Federated learning for computer vision,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13558*, 2023.
- [176] V. Vekaria, R. Gandhi, B. Chavarkar, H. Shah, C. Bhadane, and P. Chaudhari, “Identification of kidney disorders in decentralized healthcare systems through federated transfer learning,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 233, pp. 998–1010, 2024.
- [177] W. Gao, D. Wang, and Y. Huang, “Federated learning-driven collaborative diagnostic system for metastatic breast cancer,” *medRxiv*, pp. 2023–10, 2023.
- [178] U. Subashchandrabose, R. John, U. V. Anbazhagu, V. K. Venkatesan, and M. Thyluru Ramakrishna, “Ensemble federated learning approach for diagnostics of multi-order lung cancer,” *Diagnostics*, vol. 13, no. 19, p. 3053, 2023.
- [179] Z. Ma, M. Zhang, J. Liu, A. Yang, H. Li, J. Wang, D. Hua, and M. Li, “An assisted diagnosis model for cancer patients based on federated learning,” *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 12, p. 860532, 2022.
- [180] M. M. Yaqoob, M. Alsulami, M. A. Khan, D. Alsadie, A. K. J. Saudagar, M. AlKhathami, and U. F. Khattak, “Symmetry in privacy-based healthcare: a review of skin cancer detection and classification using federated learning,” *Symmetry*, vol. 15, no. 7, p. 1369, 2023.
- [181] Y. N. Tan, V. P. Tinh, P. D. Lam, N. H. Nam, and T. A. Khoa, “A transfer learning approach to breast cancer classification in a federated learning framework,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 27 462–27 476, 2023.
- [182] L. Li, N. Xie, and S. Yuan, “A federated learning framework for breast cancer histopathological image classification,” *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 22, p. 3767, 2022.
- [183] S. Kumbhare, A. B. Kathole, and S. Shinde, “Federated learning aided breast cancer detection with intelligent heuristic-based deep learning framework,” *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 86, p. 105080, 2023.
- [184] M. F. Almufareh, N. Tariq, M. Humayun, and B. Almas, “A federated learning approach to breast cancer prediction in a collaborative learning framework,” in *Healthcare*, vol. 11, no. 24. MDPI, 2023, p. 3185.
- [185] A. Jiménez-Sánchez, M. Tardy, M. A. G. Ballester, D. Mateus, and G. Piella, “Memory-aware curriculum federated learning for breast cancer classification,” *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 229, p. 107318, 2023.
- [186] A. Heidari, D. Javaheri, S. Toumaj, N. J. Navimipour, M. Rezaei, and M. Unal, “A new lung cancer detection method based on the chest ct images using federated learning and blockchain systems,” *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, vol. 141, p. 102572, 2023.
- [187] Q. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Zhang, Z. Tang, and X. Chen, “Adaptive sampling using self-paced learning for imbalanced cancer data pre-diagnosis,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 152, p. 113334, 2020.
- [188] Q. Wang and Y. Zhou, “FedSpl: federated self-paced learning for privacy-preserving disease diagnosis,” *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. bbab498, 2022.
- [189] Q. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Ding, Z. Zhang, K. Muhammad, and Z. Cao, “Random forest with self-paced bootstrap learning in lung cancer prognosis,” *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM)*, vol. 16, no. 1s, pp. 1–12, 2020.
- [190] H.-H. Huang and Y. Liang, “An integrative analysis system of gene expression using self-paced learning and scad-net,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 135, pp. 102–112, 2019.
- [191] R. Shen, K. Yan, K. Tian, C. Jiang, and K. Zhou, “Breast mass detection from the digitized x-ray mammograms based on the combination of deep active learning and self-paced learning,” *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 101, pp. 668–679, 2019.
- [192] W. Wang, Y. Lu, B. Wu, T. Chen, D. Z. Chen, and J. Wu, “Deep active self-paced learning for accurate pulmonary nodule segmentation,” in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2018: 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16–20, 2018, Proceedings, Part II 11*. Springer, 2018, pp. 723–731.
- [193] X. Li, A. Zhong, M. Lin, N. Guo, M. Sun, A. Sitek, J. Ye, J. Thrall, and Q. Li, “Self-paced convolutional neural network for computer aided detection in medical imaging analysis,” in *Machine Learning in Medical Imaging: 8th International Workshop, MLMI 2017, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2017, Quebec City, QC, Canada, September 10, 2017, Proceedings 8*. Springer, 2017, pp. 212–219.
- [194] W. Wang, R. Feng, X. Liu, Y. Lu, Y. Wang, R. Guo, Z. Lin, T. Chen, D. Z. Chen, and J. Wu, “Deep active self-paced learning for biomedical image analysis,” *Deep learning in healthcare: paradigms and applications*, pp. 95–110, 2020.
- [195] S. K. Asare, F. You, and O. T. Nartey, “Learning to classify skin lesions via self-training and self-paced learning,” in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 963–967.
- [196] J. Yang, X. Wu, J. Liang, X. Sun, M.-M. Cheng, P. L. Rosin, and L. Wang, “Self-paced balance learning for clinical skin disease recognition,” *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 2832–2846, 2019.
- [197] Y. Himeur, A. Sayed, A. Alsalemi, F. Bensaali, A. Amira, I. Varlamis, M. Eirinaki, C. Sardanios, and G. Dimitrakopoulos, “Blockchain-based recommender systems: Applications, challenges and future opportunities,” *Computer Science Review*, vol. 43, p. 100439, 2022.
- [198] G. R. Vásquez-Morales, S. M. Martínez-Monterrubio, P. Moreno-Ger, and J. A. Recio-García, “Explainable prediction of chronic renal disease in the colombian population using neural networks and case-based reasoning,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 152 900–152 910, 2019.
- [199] F. Ahmed, S. Abbas, A. Athar, T. Shahzad, W. A. Khan, M. Alharbi, M. A. Khan, and A. Ahmed, “Identification of kidney stones in kub x-ray images using vgg16 empowered with explainable artificial intelligence,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 6173, 2024.
- [200] N. A. Wani, R. Kumar, and J. Bedi, “Deepxpainer: An interpretable deep learning based approach for lung cancer detection using explainable artificial intelligence,” *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 243, p. 107879, 2024.
- [201] P. A. Moreno-Sánchez, “Data-driven early diagnosis of chronic kidney disease: development and evaluation of an explainable ai model,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 38 359–38 369, 2023.
- [202] V. Arumugham, B. P. Sankaralingam, U. M. Jayachandran, K. V. S. S. R. Krishna, S. Sundarraj, and M. Mohammed, “An explainable deep learning model for prediction of early-stage chronic kidney disease,” *Computational Intelligence*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1022–1038, 2023.
- [203] R. I. Azad, S. Mukhopadhyay, and M. Asadnia, “Using explainable deep learning in da vinci xi robot for tumor detection,” *International Journal on Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2021.
- [204] M. Takahashi, Y. Kameya, K. Yamada, K. Hotta, T. Takahashi, N. Sassa, S. Iwano, and T. Yamamoto, “An empirical study on the use of visual

- explanation in kidney cancer detection,” in Twelfth International Conference on Digital Image Processing (ICDIP 2020), vol. 11519. SPIE, 2020, pp. 65–72.
- [205] T. Lazebnik, Z. Bahouth, S. Bunimovich-Mendrazitsky, and S. Halachmi, “Predicting acute kidney injury following open partial nephrectomy treatment using sat-pruned explainable machine learning model,” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 133, 2022.
- [206] F. Han, S. Liao, S. Yuan, R. Wu, Y. Zhao, and Y. Xie, “Explainable prediction of renal cell carcinoma from contrast-enhanced ct images using deep convolutional transfer learning and the shapley additive explanations approach,” in 2021 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP). IEEE, 2021, pp. 3802–3806.
- [207] T. Javaheri, S. Heidari, X. Yang, S. Yerra, K. Seidi, M. H. Gharib, T. Setayesh, G. Zhang, L. Chitkushev, P. Castro et al., “Xkidneyonco: An explainable framework to classify renal oncocytoma and chromophobe renal cell carcinoma with a small sample size,” *bioRxiv*, pp. 2024–01, 2024.
- [208] S. Zheng, Q. Sun, X. Ye, W. Li, L. Yu, and C. Yang, “Multi-scale adversarial learning with difficult region supervision learning models for primary tumor segmentation,” *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 69, 04 2024.
- [209] Y. Ruan, D. Li, H. Marshall, T. Miao, T. Cossetto, I. Chan, O. Daher, F. Accorsi, A. Goela, and S. Li, “Mb-fsgan: Joint segmentation and quantification of kidney tumor on ct by the multi-branch feature sharing generative adversarial network,” *Medical image analysis*, vol. 64, p. 101721, 2020.
- [210] T. Shan, Y. Ying, and G. Song, “Automatic kidney segmentation method based on an enhanced generative adversarial network,” *Diagnostics*, vol. 13, no. 7, p. 1358, 2023.
- [211] W. Zeng, W. Fan, R. Chen, Z. Zheng, S. Zheng, J. Chen, R. Liu, Q. Zeng, Z. Liu, Y. Chen et al., “Accurate 3d kidney segmentation using unsupervised domain translation and adversarial networks,” in 2021 IEEE 18th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI). IEEE, 2021, pp. 598–602.
- [212] K.-H. Uhm, S.-W. Jung, M. H. Choi, S.-H. Hong, and S.-J. Ko, “A unified multi-phase ct synthesis and classification framework for kidney cancer diagnosis with incomplete data,” *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 6093–6104, 2022.
- [213] B. Brinda and C. Rajan, “Chronic kidney disease diagnosis using conditional variational generative adversarial networks and squirrel search algorithm,” *Information Technology and Control*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1073–1086, 2023.
- [214] M. Sughasiny, K. Thyagarajan, A. Karthikayen, K. Sangeetha, and K. Sivakumar, “A comparative analysis of goa (grasshopper optimization algorithm) adversarial deep belief neural network for renal cell carcinoma: Kidney cancer detection & classification,” *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 9s, pp. 43–48, 2024.
- [215] Y.-T. Liao, C.-H. Lee, K.-S. Chen, C.-P. Chen, and T.-W. Pai, “Data augmentation based on generative adversarial networks to improve stage classification of chronic kidney disease,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 352, 2021.
- [216] J. Meena and Y. Hasija, “Application of explainable artificial intelligence in the identification of squamous cell carcinoma biomarkers,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 146, p. 105505, 2022.
- [217] P. A. Moreno-Sanchez, “Development and evaluation of an explainable prediction model for chronic kidney disease patients based on ensemble trees,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10368*, 2021.
- [218] L. Hu, D. Zhou, J. Xu, C. Lu, C. Han, Z. Shi, Q. Zhu, X. Gao, N. Wang, and Z. Liu, “Protecting prostate cancer classification from rectal artifacts via targeted adversarial training,” *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2024.
- [219] N. Djeflal, H. Kheddar, D. Addou, A. C. Mazari, and Y. Himeur, “Automatic speech recognition with bert and ctc transformers: A review,” in 2023 2nd International Conference on Electronics, Energy and Measurement (IC2EM), vol. 1. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [220] Z. Shen, H. Yang, Z. Zhang, and S. Zheng, “Automated kidney tumor segmentation with convolution and transformer network,” in *International Challenge on Kidney and Kidney Tumor Segmentation*. Springer, 2021, pp. 1–12.
- [221] S. Wang, L.-Y. Zhang, H.-Q. Du, Y. Chen, Y. Zheng, and W. Mei, “Combining the transformers and cnns for renal parenchymal tumors diagnosis,” in 2022 10th international conference on bioinformatics and computational biology (ICBCB). IEEE, 2022, pp. 56–60.
- [222] X. Hu, “Ta-unet3+: a transformer-based method for kidney tumor segmentation,” in *International Conference on Optics and Machine Vision (ICOMV 2023)*, vol. 12634. SPIE, 2023, pp. 77–82.
- [223] L. Qian, L. Luo, Y. Zhong, and D. Zhong, “A hybrid network based on nnu-net and swin transformer for kidney tumor segmentation,” in *International Challenge on Kidney and Kidney Tumor Segmentation*. Springer, 2023, pp. 30–39.
- [224] Z. Gao, B. Hong, X. Zhang, Y. Li, C. Jia, J. Wu, C. Wang, D. Meng, and C. Li, “Instance-based vision transformer for subtyping of papillary renal cell carcinoma in histopathological image,” in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VIII 24*. Springer, 2021, pp. 299–308.
- [225] G. Balamurugan, C. Annadurai, I. Nelson, K. Nirmala Devi, A. S. Oliver, and S. Gomathi, “Optical bio sensor based cancer cell detection using optimized machine learning model with quantum computing,” *Optical and Quantum Electronics*, vol. 56, no. 1, p. 97, 2024.
- [226] S. Vashisth, I. Dhall, and G. Aggarwal, “Design and analysis of quantum powered support vector machines for malignant breast cancer diagnosis,” *Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 998–1013, 2021.
- [227] A. Y. Sahib, M. Al Ali, and M. Al Ali, “Investigation of early-stage breast cancer detection using quantum neural network,” *International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 3, 2023.
- [228] J. B. Prajapati, H. Paliwal, B. G. Prajapati, S. Saikia, and R. Pandey, “Quantum machine learning in prediction of breast cancer,” in *Quantum computing: a shift from bits to qubits*. Springer, 2023, pp. 351–382.
- [229] D. A. L. Montiel, O. Montiel, M. Lopez-Montiel, and O. Castillo, “Quantum computing meets skin cancer diagnosis,” in *Optics and Photonics for Information Processing XVII*, vol. 12673. SPIE, 2023, pp. 146–160.
- [230] S. Jain, J. Ziauddin, P. Leonchik, S. Yenkanchi, and J. Geraci, “Quantum and classical machine learning for the classification of non-small-cell lung cancer patients,” *SN Applied Sciences*, vol. 2, pp. 1–10, 2020.
- [231] V. Azevedo, C. Silva, and I. Dutra, “Quantum transfer learning for breast cancer detection,” *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 5, 2022.
- [232] W. E. Maouaki, T. Said, and M. Bennai, “Quantum support vector machine for prostate cancer detection: A performance analysis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07856*, 2024.
- [233] S. Sannasi Chakravarthy and H. Rajaguru, “Breast cancer diagnosis using quantum-inspired classifier,” in *Computational Vision and Bio-Inspired Computing: Proceedings of ICCVBIC 2021*. Springer, 2022, pp. 737–747.
- [234] F. Khennouche, Y. Elmir, Y. Himeur, N. Djebari, and A. Amira, “Revolutionizing generative pre-trained: Insights and challenges in deploying chatgpt and generative chatbots for faqs,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 246, p. 123224, 2024.
- [235] X. Yang, A. Chen, N. PourNejatian, H. C. Shin, K. E. Smith, C. Parisien, C. Compas, C. Martin, A. B. Costa, M. G. Flores et al., “A large language model for electronic health records,” *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 194, 2022.
- [236] L. Y. Jiang, X. C. Liu, N. P. Nejatian, M. Nasir-Moin, D. Wang, A. Abidin, K. Eaton, H. A. Riina, I. Laufer, P. Punjabi et al., “Health system-scale language models are all-purpose prediction engines,” *Nature*, vol. 619, no. 7969, pp. 357–362, 2023.
- [237] K. Singhal, S. Azizi, T. Tu, S. S. Mahdavi, J. Wei, H. W. Chung, N. Scales, A. Tanwani, H. Cole-Lewis, S. Pfohl et al., “Large language models encode clinical knowledge,” *Nature*, vol. 620, no. 7972, pp. 172–180, 2023.
- [238] V. Liévin, C. E. Hother, A. G. Motzfeldt, and O. Winther, “Can large language models reason about medical questions?” *Patterns*, 2023.
- [239] B. Wang, Q. Xie, J. Pei, Z. Chen, P. Tiwari, Z. Li, and J. Fu, “Pre-trained language models in biomedical domain: A systematic survey,” *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1–52, 2023.
- [240] S. S. Sohail, F. Farhat, Y. Himeur, M. Nadeem, D. Ø. Madsen, Y. Singh, S. Atalla, and W. Mansoor, “Decoding chatgpt: a taxonomy of existing research, current challenges, and possible future directions,” *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, p. 101675, 2023.
- [241] S. Malik and S. Zaheer, “Chatgpt as an aid for pathological diagnosis of cancer,” *Pathology-Research and Practice*, p. 154989, 2023.
- [242] J. J. Cao, D. H. Kwon, T. T. Ghaziani, P. Kwo, G. Tse, A. Kesselman, A. Kamaya, and J. R. Tse, “Accuracy of information provided by chatgpt regarding liver cancer surveillance and diagnosis,” *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 221, no. 4, pp. 556–559, 2023.


- [243] F. Farhat, E. S. Silva, H. Hassani, D. Ø. Madsen, S. S. Sohail, Y. Himeur, M. A. Alam, and A. Zafar, "Analyzing the scholarly footprint of chatgpt: Mapping the progress and identifying future trends," 2023.
- [244] B. Coskun, G. Ocakoglu, M. Yetemen, and O. Kaygisiz, "Can chatgpt, an artificial intelligence language model, provide accurate and high-quality patient information on prostate cancer?" *Urology*, vol. 180, pp. 35–58, 2023.
- [245] M. Cè, V. Chiarpenello, A. Bubba, P. F. Felisaz, G. Oliva, G. Irmici, and M. Cellina, "Exploring the role of chatgpt in oncology: Providing information and support for cancer patients," *BioMedInformatics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 877–888, 2024.
- [246] I. Sultan, H. Al-Abdallat, Z. Alnajjar, L. Ismail, R. Abukhashabeh, L. Bitar, and M. A. Shanap, "Using chatgpt to predict cancer predisposition genes: A promising tool for pediatric oncologists," *Cureus*, vol. 15, no. 10, 2023.
- [247] A. Srivastava, G. Galarza Fortuna, B. Chigarira, E. Dal, C. Hage Chehade, G. Gebrael, A. Narang, N. Agarwal, U. Swami, and H. Li, "The utility of chatgpt in subspecialty consultation for patients (pts) with metastatic genitourinary (gu) cancer," 2024.
- [248] T. M. Al-Hasan, A. N. Sayed, F. Bensaali, Y. Himeur, I. Varlamis, and G. Dimitrakopoulos, "From traditional recommender systems to gpt-based chatbots: A survey of recent developments and future directions," *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 36, 2024.
- [249] D. Uprety, D. Zhu, and H. West, "Chatgpt—a promising generative ai tool and its implications for cancer care," *Cancer*, vol. 129, no. 15, pp. 2284–2289, 2023.
- [250] W. Peng, Y. Feng, C. Yao, S. Zhang, H. Zhuo, T. Qiu, Y. Zhang, J. Tang, Y. Gu, and Y. Sun, "Evaluating ai in medicine: a comparative analysis of expert and chatgpt responses to colorectal cancer questions," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 2840, 2024.
- [251] M. A. Fink, A. Bischoff, C. A. Fink, M. Moll, J. Kroschke, L. Dulz, C. P. Heußel, H.-U. Kauczor, and T. F. Weber, "Potential of chatgpt and gpt-4 for data mining of free-text ct reports on lung cancer," *Radiology*, vol. 308, no. 3, p. e231362, 2023.
- [252] S. S. Sohail, D. Ø. Madsen, Y. Himeur, and M. Ashraf, "Using chatgpt to navigate ambivalent and contradictory research findings on artificial intelligence," *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 6, 2023.
- [253] G. Pugliese, A. Maccari, E. Felisati, G. Felisati, L. Giudici, C. Rapolla, A. Pisani, and A. M. Saibene, "Are artificial intelligence large language models a reliable tool for difficult differential diagnosis? an a posteriori analysis of a peculiar case of necrotizing otitis externa," *Clinical Case Reports*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. e7933, 2023.
- [254] S. S. Sohail, F. Farhat, Y. Himeur, M. Nadeem, D. Ø. Madsen, Y. Singh, S. Atalla, and W. Mansoor, "The future of gpt: A taxonomy of existing chatgpt research, current challenges, and possible future directions," *Current Challenges, and Possible Future Directions (April 8, 2023)*, 2023.



YASSINE HABCHI was born in Algeria. He received a bachelor's degree (2005) and an engineering degree (2010) in electrical engineering from Saida University in Algeria, a master's degree (2013) in signal and wireless communications from the University of Bechar, Algeria, and a doctorate in science (2017) from the University of Bechar, Algeria. His current research interests include medical image and video processing and compression, and artificial intelligence.



HAMZA KHEDDAR is an accomplished academic in the field of Electrical Engineering. He received both his Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy degrees from the University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene (USTHB) in Algiers, Algeria, completing his studies in 2011 and 2019, respectively. Currently, Dr. Kheddar holds the position of Associate Professor at the University Yahia Fares in Medea, Algeria. He is also affiliated with the LSEA Laboratory at the Faculty of Technology, University of Medea. His research and academic contributions are primarily focused on advancements in electrical engineering, and he has been a significant figure in promoting technological development within the academic community of Algeria. Dr. Kheddar has published numerous research articles, which have contributed to his recognition as a leading expert in his field. His research interests include AI/ML/DL, AI in Healthcare, Cybersecurity, and Speech and Image Processing.



YASSINE HIMEUR is presently an Assistant Professor of Engineering & Information Technology at the University of Dubai. He completed both his M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering in 2011 and 2015, respectively. Following his doctoral studies, he obtained the Habilitation to Direct Research, which granted him the official authorization to supervise research, in July 2017. His academic journey led him to join the faculty at the University of Dubai after serving as a Post-doctoral Research Fellow at Qatar University from 2019 to 2022. Prior to that, he held the position of Senior Researcher from 2013 to 2019 at the Algerian Center for Development of Advanced Technologies (CDTA), where he also served as the Head of the TELECOM Division from 2018 to 2019. Throughout his career, he has been actively involved in conducting R&D projects and has played a significant role in proposing and co-leading several research proposals under the NPRP grant (QNRF, Qatar). With more than 150 research publications in high-impact venues, he has made valuable contributions to the field. He was honored to receive the Best Paper Award at the 11th IEEE SIGMAP in Austria in 2014 and the Best Student Paper Award at IEEE GPECOM 2020 in Turkey. His current research interests encompass AI/ML/DL, Generative AI, Big Data and IoTs, Healthcare Technologies, Recommender Systems, Building Energy Management, and Cybersecurity.



ABDELKRIM BOUKABOU is an Associate Professor and Director of the Computing & Information Systems program at the University of Dubai. With over 15 years of experience in teaching and research, he is a prominent data science evangelist and certified big data trainer, highly regarded in the industry. Dr. Atalla's research focuses on developing data science algorithms, curriculum development, and artificial intelligence. He has published several papers in international scientific journals and has contributed significantly to the field of data science. Dr. Atalla also serves as the Chair of the Computer Society of IEEE UAE, showcasing his leadership qualities and dedication to advancing the field.



contributed significantly to the field of data science. Dr. Atalla also serves as the Chair of the Computer Society of IEEE UAE, showcasing his leadership qualities and dedication to advancing the field.

SHADI ATALLA is an Associate Professor and Director of the Computing & Information Systems program at the University of Dubai. With over 15 years of experience in teaching and research, he is a prominent data science evangelist and certified big data trainer, highly regarded in the industry. Dr. Atalla's research focuses on developing data science algorithms, curriculum development, and artificial intelligence. He has published several papers in international scientific journals and has



learning, Security, ubiquitous computing, web services, and neural networks. His current research is in the area of intelligent systems and security using neural networks with deep learning models for various applications. He has organized many international and national conferences and workshops. He is a senior member of the IEEE UAE section. He has supervised many Ph.D. and undergraduate projects in the field of Computer engineering and innovation in business, in addition to co-supervising many postgraduate students through research collaboration with international research groups.

WATHIQ MANSOOR is a Professor at the University of Dubai. He has an excellent academic leadership experience in well-known universities worldwide. He earned his Ph.D. in computer engineering from Aston University in the UK. His doctoral work was on the design and implementation of multiprocessor systems and communications protocols for computer vision applications. He has published many research papers in the area of Intelligent Systems, Image processing, deep



of Dubai, UAE. He was the founding Dean of Engineering and IT at the University of Dubai, UAE. He was the founder and Chair of the Electronic Engineering department at both Khalifa University and Etisalat University College. His research interests are in the areas of signal and image processing, artificial intelligence, remote sensing, and propagation. He has supervised successfully 32 PhD and Master's students in the UK and UAE. He has delivered short courses and seminars in Europe, the Middle East, and Korea. He has published over 100 papers in international conferences and journals. He has UK and US patents. He served as chairman and member of the technical program committees of many international conferences. He is a Life Senior Member of the IEEE and a Fellow of many prestigious institutes. He is currently the Chair of the IEEE UAE Section.

HUSSAIN AL-AHMAD received his Ph.D. from the University of Leeds, UK in 1984 and currently, he is the Provost and Chief Academic Officer at the University of Dubai, UAE. He has 37 years of higher education experience working at academic institutions in different countries including the University of Portsmouth, UK, Leeds Beckett University, UK, Faculty of Technological Studies, Kuwait, University of Bradford, UK, Etisalat University College, Khalifa University and University

• • •