

ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY AND MODULARITY IN TWO-MODULUS K3-FIBERED CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS

CHARLES DORAN

*Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences,
632 CAB, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G
2G1, Canada*

BORIS PIOLINE

*Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies,
Campus Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005, Paris, France*

THORSTEN SCHIMANNEK

*Institute for Theoretical Physics & Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University,
3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands*

ABSTRACT. Motivated in part by the modular properties of enumerative invariants of K3-fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds, we introduce a family of 39 Calabi-Yau mirror pairs (X, Y) with $h_{1,1}(X) = h_{2,1}(Y) = 2$, labelled by certain integer quadruples (m, i, j, s) with $m \leq 11$. On the A-model side, X arises as a complete intersection in a projective bundle over a Fano fourfold $V_m^{[i,j]}$, and admits a Tyurin degeneration into a pair of degree m Fano threefolds $F_m^{[i]} \cup F_m^{[j]}$ intersecting on an anticanonical K3 divisor of degree $2m$. On the B-model side, Y is fibered by M_m -polarized K3-surfaces of Picard rank 19, and determined by a branched covering of \mathbb{P}^1 , consistent with the Doran-Harder-Thompson mirror conjecture. When $s = 0$, Y itself acquires a Tyurin degeneration, and correspondingly X acquires a fibration by degree $2m$ K3 surfaces, such that the two Kähler moduli control the size of the K3-fiber and base \mathbb{P}^1 . While the mirror pairs with $m \leq 4$ can be realized as complete intersections in products of projective spaces or as hypersurfaces in toric varieties, the examples with $m \geq 5$ are intrinsically non-toric. We obtain uniform formulae for the genus 0 and 1 topological free energies near the Tyurin degeneration (mirror to the large base limit), exhibiting modular properties under the Fricke-extended congruence group $\Gamma_0(m)^+$. We use these results to compute the vertical Gopakumar-Vafa and Noether-Lefschetz invariants and check that their generating functions satisfy the expected modular properties. We also compute generating series of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants with fixed non-zero base degree and exhibit their modular properties.

arXiv:2408.02994v1 [hep-th] 6 Aug 2024

CONTENTS

1. Introduction, summary and outlook	3
A family of two-parameter CY mirror pairs	4
Enumerative invariants and $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ modularity	7
Heterotic-type II duality and modularity at higher base degree	7
Outlook	9
Outline	9
Acknowledgements	9
1.1. Notations	10
2. Mirror symmetry for K3-fibered CY	10
2.1. M_m -polarized K3 surfaces	10
2.2. Mirror symmetry for M_m -polarized K3 surfaces	12
2.3. Doran-Harder-Thompson mirror conjecture	14
3. B-model geometries	15
3.1. Generalized functional invariant map	15
3.2. Periods	18
3.3. Moduli space	19
4. A-model geometries	20
4.1. Toric cases $m = 2$	21
4.2. Toric cases $m = 1, 3, 4$	25
4.3. Non-toric cases $m = 5$	25
4.4. Uniform construction	28
The construction of $V_{11}^{[1,1]}$	30
Quantum periods and the quantum Lefschetz principle	31
4.5. Other geometric realisations	31
5. Modularity of $\langle 2m \rangle$ -polarized K3 fibrations	33
5.1. Integral periods and topological B-branes	33
5.2. Monodromies and Fourier-Mukai transforms	35
5.3. Yukawa couplings and genus zero free energies	36
5.4. Genus one free energy	37
6. Enumerative geometry	38
6.1. CY threefolds fibered by Picard rank 1 K3-surfaces	38
6.2. GV/NL correspondence	39
6.3. NL/DT correspondence	42
6.4. Higher base degree	43
Appendix A. Fundamental periods of Σ_m for $m = 5, \dots, 9$	46
Appendix B. Conifold transitions for $m = 6, 7, 8$	49
B.1. The non-toric case $m = 6$	49
B.2. The non-toric case $m = 7$	50
B.3. The non-toric case $m = 8$	51
Appendix C. Modular forms for $\Gamma_0(m)^+$	52
C.1. $m = 2$	54
C.2. $m = 3$	55
C.3. $m = 4$	55
C.4. $m = 5$	56
C.5. $m = 6$	57
C.6. $m = 7$	57
C.7. $m = 8$	58
C.8. $m = 9$	59
C.9. $m = 10$	60
C.10. $m = 11$	60
Appendix D. Skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms	61
Appendix E. Explicit results	65
E.1. $m = 1$	65

E.2.	$m = 2$	67
E.3.	$m = 3$	69
E.4.	$m = 4$	71
E.5.	$m = 5$	73
E.6.	$(m, i, j) = (6, 1, 1)$	74
E.7.	$(m, i, j) = (7, 1, 1)$	75
E.8.	$(m, i, j) = (8, 1, 1)$	76
E.9.	$(m, i, j) = (9, 1, 1)$	77
E.10.	$(m, i, j) = (11, 1, 1)$	78
	References	78

1. INTRODUCTION, SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Since the seminal work [1], Calabi-Yau (CY) threefolds have played a central role in string theory and generated profound connections with mathematics, especially with the advent of mirror symmetry [2]. At the classical level, mirror symmetry identifies the complexified Kähler moduli $\mathcal{M}_K(X)$ of a CY threefold X with the complex structure moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C(Y)$ of the mirror family Y , with respective complex dimensions $h_{1,1}(X) = h_{1,2}(Y)$. At the level of BPS spectra, homological mirror symmetry identifies the derived category $D^b(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X with the Fukaya category $\text{Fuk}(Y)$ of Lagrangians on Y , graded by the charge lattices $K(X) \simeq H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank $2h_{1,1}(X) + 2 = 2h_{1,2}(Y) + 2$ [3]. Explicit studies are usually restricted to threefolds with small Hodge numbers, leading to moduli spaces of manageable dimension (see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 7]).

Although complete intersections in products of projective spaces (CICYs) [8, 9] and hypersurfaces in toric fourfolds [10, 11] lead to a large number of examples, some of which with small Hodge numbers, a complete classification of CY threefolds still seems far out of reach. Indeed, it seems likely that the vast majority of CY threefolds is neither of CICY nor of hypersurface type.

An effective strategy to construct CY threefolds beyond the CICY and hypersurface types is to focus on geometries that exhibit a fibration structure. For example, in [12] the existence of a genus one fibration with a 5-section was used to construct a large number of CY threefolds that can not be realized as complete intersections in any toric ambient space. In [13] the existence of a K3 fibration has been used to construct CY threefolds with small $h_{1,1}(X) \geq 2$ as complete intersections in toric ambient spaces of codimension less than or equal to two. On the other hand, in [14, 15, 16, 17] a systematic classification was obtained of CY threefolds that are fibered by M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. Here, M_m denotes the signature $(1, 18)$ lattice $M_m = U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus \langle -2m \rangle$ (where U is the unimodular hyperbolic indefinite lattice, E_8 the standard root lattice with negative definite metric, and $\langle -2m \rangle$ the one dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}e$ with $(e, e) = -2m$). The latter construction generally leads to CY threefolds Y with small $h_{2,1}(Y) \geq 2$.

Our first goal in this paper is to systematically construct the CY threefolds which are mirror to those examples of M_m -polarized K3 fibered CY threefolds from [18, 17] that have the smallest possible $h_{2,1}(Y) = 2$. To this end we shall apply and verify the Doran-Harder-Thompson (DHT) conjecture [19] in numerous new examples, including several non-toric cases, building in part on [20]. Roughly speaking, the DHT conjecture states that a CY threefold Y with a fibration structure is mirror to a resolution X of a Tyurin degeneration of another CY threefold into normal crossing (quasi) Fano components, such that the intersection of the components is the mirror of the generic fiber of Y . The fibration structure arises from gluing together Landau-Ginzburg models that are dual to the individual Fano components of the Tyurin degeneration (see Section §2.3 for a review). Applying this general strategy to the case at hand, we shall give a uniform construction of a family of Picard rank 2 CY threefolds $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$, admitting a Tyurin degeneration into a pair of degree m Fano threefolds $F_m^{[i]} \cup F_m^{[j]}$ intersecting over an anticanonical K3 surface, and of the two-parameter mirror families $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$, fibered by M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. Here (m, i, j, s) runs over the integer tuples listed in the first column of Table 1, with $0 \leq s \leq i - j$, while the pairs $(m, i), (m, j)$ label the Picard

rank 1 Fano threefold in Iskovskih's list, see Table 3. For $s = 0$, it turns out that $Y_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ itself admits a Tyurin degeneration, with the Fano components intersecting in an M_m -polarized K3 surface, and correspondingly $X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ is fibered by degree $2m$ K3 surfaces.

Our second goal is to compute the topological string free energies at genus 0 and 1 for the models with $s = 0$, and analyze the modular properties of the resulting Gopakumar-Vafa invariants. Our main motivation comes from the relation between vertical Gopakumar-Vafa invariants and Donaldson-Thomas invariants counting vertical D4-D2-D0 bound states in K3-fibered threefolds [28]. Both are determined in terms of the Noether-Lefschetz invariants of the K3-fibration [29]. For $\langle 2m \rangle$ -polarized fibrations, the generating series of these invariants must transform as vector-valued modular forms in the Weil representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ attached to the lattice $\langle 2m \rangle$, or equivalently (as we shall explain) as skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of index m . On the other hand, the A-model topological string free energies on a K3-fibered CY threefold with polarization $\langle 2m \rangle$ are expected to exhibit $\Gamma_0^+(m)$ modular properties with respect to the complexified Kähler parameter T governing the volume of the K3 fiber. On the B-model side, we show that these modularity properties are a consequence of the $\Gamma_0^+(m)$ monodromy in the complex structure moduli space of the central K3 Σ_m of the Tyurin degeneration. On the A-model side, they arise through a Shintani-Skoruppa-Zagier-type correspondence between skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of index m , weight w and (in general mock) modular forms of weight $2w - 2$ under $\Gamma_0^+(m)$, as expected from heterotic/type II duality. In particular, we shall obtain uniform expressions for the leading terms in the genus 0 and 1 topological free energies in the large base limit for all pairs $(X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}, Y_{m,0}^{[i,j]})$ with $s = 0$, and verify the expected modularity properties.

In the following, we describe our uniform construction of two-parameter, K3-fibered CY mirror pairs and explain our results for the topological string free energies in these models in more detail.

A family of two-parameter CY mirror pairs. We shall now summarize the main properties of the mirror pairs $(X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}, Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]})$. For convenience, we refer to the elements in the pair as the A-model and B-model side, respectively.

On the B-model side, $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is fibered by M_m -polarized K3 surfaces Σ_m of Picard rank 19, parametrized by the modular curve $X_0(m)^+$ [30]. The integers (i, j, s) specify the ramification profile of the generalized functional invariant map ¹

$$(1.1) \quad \Lambda : \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_B^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq X_0(m)^+ \\ y \mapsto \lambda = \Lambda(y) \end{cases}, \quad \Lambda(y) = \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{(1-y)^i (1-z_2/y)^j}{y^s},$$

describing the fibration of Σ_m over the base \mathbb{P}_B^1 . More precisely, the Ansatz (1.1) arises by assuming that Λ has ramification profile $[i-s, j+s]$ over $\lambda = \infty \in X_0(m)^+$, and $[i, j]$ over $\lambda = 0$. The moduli z_1, z_2 determine the complex structure of the total space. We will elaborate on this construction in Section 3.1. In §3.2, we show how to compute the fundamental period on $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ from the fundamental period $f_m(\lambda)$ of the M_m -polarized K3 surface via a simple contour integral, and hence determine the ideal of Picard-Fuchs differential operators. In the special case $s = 0$, the covering $\mathbb{P}_B^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ splits in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ into two components that intersect but are not exchanged under monodromy, and $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ acquires a Tyurin degeneration into a pair of Fano threefolds intersecting on an anticanonical M_m -polarized K3 surface Σ_m . This is the origin of modularity, as we discuss further below.

On the A-model side, $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ carries in general no K3-fibration, but it admits a Tyurin degeneration into a pair of Fano threefolds $(F_m^{[i]}, F_m^{[j]})$ intersecting transversally over a Picard rank one, degree $2m$ K3 surface Σ^m , which is related to Σ_m by mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces [32, 30]. The parameter s specifies the resolution of the Tyurin degeneration, as explained in more detail

¹This generalization of Kodaira's functional invariant map from the base of an elliptic surface to the J -line was introduced by the first author in [31]. In general, one also needs to specify the generalized homological invariant which keeps track of the action of monodromy on algebraic cycles [14]. The generalized functional invariant alone suffices here for $m > 1$. For $m = 1$ the choices for the generalized homological invariant were classified in [17].

(m, i, j, s)	χ_X	κ_{111}	κ_{112}	κ_{122}	κ_{222}	$c_{2,1}$	$c_{2,2}$	$\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$
(1, 1, 1, 0)	-252	4	2	0	0	52	24	$X_{6,2}$
(1, 2, 1, 0)	-196	3	2	0	0	42	24	X_6
(1, 2, 1, 1)	-196	3	4	4	4	42	52	X_6
(1, 2, 2, 0)	-140	2	2	0	0	32	24	$X_{6,4}$
(2, 1, 1, 0)	-168	8	4	0	0	56	24	$X_{4,2}$
(2, 2, 1, 0)	-140	6	4	0	0	48	24	$X_{4,3}$
(2, 2, 1, 1)	-140	6	8	8	8	48	56	$X_{4,3}$
(2, 2, 2, 0)	-112	4	4	0	0	40	24	$X_{4,4}$
(2, 4, 1, 0)	-168	5	4	0	0	50	24	X_5
(2, 4, 1, 3)	-168	5	16	48	144	50	144	X_5
(2, 4, 1, 1)	-152	5	8	8	8	50	56	X_5
(2, 4, 1, 2)	-152	5	12	24	48	50	96	X_5
(2, 4, 2, 0)	-140	3	4	0	0	42	24	X_6
(2, 4, 2, 2)	-140	3	8	16	32	42	80	X_6
(2, 4, 2, 1)	-132	3	6	6	6	42	48	X_6
(2, 4, 4, 0)	-168	2	4	0	0	44	24	X_8
(3, 1, 1, 0)	-132	12	6	0	0	60	24	$X_{3,2,2}$
(3, 2, 1, 0)	-120	9	6	0	0	54	24	$X_{3,3}$
(3, 2, 1, 1)	-120	9	12	12	12	54	60	$X_{3,3}$
(3, 2, 2, 0)	-108	6	6	0	0	48	24	$X_{4,3}$
(3, 3, 1, 0)	-140	8	6	0	0	56	24	$X_{4,2}$
(3, 3, 1, 2)	-140	8	18	36	72	56	108	$X_{4,2}$
(3, 3, 1, 1)	-128	8	12	12	12	56	60	$X_{4,2}$
(3, 3, 2, 0)	-128	5	6	0	0	50	24	X_5
(3, 3, 2, 1)	-128	5	9	9	9	50	54	X_5
(3, 3, 3, 0)	-148	4	6	0	0	52	24	$X_{6,2}$
(4, 1, 1, 0)	-112	16	8	0	0	64	24	$X_{2,2,2,2}$
(4, 2, 1, 0)	-112	12	8	0	0	60	24	$X_{3,2,2}$
(4, 2, 1, 1)	-112	12	16	16	16	60	64	$X_{3,2,2}$
(4, 2, 2, 0)	-112	8	8	0	0	56	24	$X_{4,2}$
(5, 1, 1, 0)	-100	20	10	0	0	68	24	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(2)\oplus 2}^{2,5}$ [21, 22]
(5, 2, 1, 0)	-110	15	10	0	0	66	24	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 2\oplus\mathcal{O}(3)}^{2,5}$ [21, 22]
(5, 2, 1, 1)	-110	15	20	20	20	66	68	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 2\oplus\mathcal{O}(3)}^{2,5}$ [21, 22]
(5, 2, 2, 0)	-120	10	10	0	0	64	24	d.c. of $B_5 = X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 3}^{2,5}$ [23, 24, 25]
(6, 1, 1, 0)	-92	24	12	0	0	72	24	$X_{S^\vee(1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,5}$ [26]
(7, 1, 1, 0)	-88	28	14	0	0	76	24	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 4\oplus\mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,6}$ [21, 22]
(8, 1, 1, 0)	-84	32	16	0	0	80	24	$X_{\Lambda^2 S^\vee\oplus\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 2\oplus\mathcal{O}(2)}^{3,6}$ [26]
(9, 1, 1, 0)	-84	36	18	0	0	84	24	$X_{\Lambda^4 S^\vee\oplus\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(2)}^{5,7}$ [26]
(11, 1, 1, 0)	-84	44	22	0	0	92	24	d.c. of $A_{22} = X_{(\Lambda^2 S^\vee)\oplus 3}^{3,7}$ [23, 27, 24]

TABLE 1. A family of 39 two-parameter CY threefolds $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ labelled by integers (m, i, j, s) , whose mirror $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is fibered by M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. For the 26 models with $s = 0$, $X_m^{[i,j]} := X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ is itself fibered by degree $2m$ K3-surfaces. Each of these models admits a conifold transition to a one-parameter CY threefold $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$, indicated in the last column. Here $X_{\{d_i\}}$ refers to the standard hypergeometric models. The geometries $X_E^{k,n}$ are complete intersections in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, associated to sections of the vector bundle E . The notation for E is explained in Section 1.1. In the cases $\mathcal{X}_5^{[2,2]}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{11}^{[1,1]}$, “d.c. of V ” means that $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$ is a double cover of the Fano threefold V .

(m, i, j, s)	χ_X		CICY
$(1, 1, 1, 0)$	-252	$\mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,6}^4$ [12]	
$(2, 1, 1, 0)$	-168	$\mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,2}^4$ [8] = $\left(\begin{array}{c cc} \mathbb{P}^4 & 4 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right)$	7886, 7888
$(2, 4, 1, 0)$	-168	$\left(\begin{array}{c cc} \mathbb{P}^4 & 4 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7885
$(2, 4, 4, 0)$	-168	$\left(\begin{array}{c c} \mathbb{P}^3 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 2 \end{array} \right)$	7887
$(3, 1, 1, 0)$	-132	$\left(\begin{array}{c cccc} \mathbb{P}^6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7867, 7869
$(3, 2, 1, 0)$	-120	$\left(\begin{array}{c ccc} \mathbb{P}^5 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7840
$(3, 2, 2, 0)$	-108	$\left(\begin{array}{c cc} \mathbb{P}^4 & 3 & 2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right)$	7806
$(3, 3, 1, 0)$	-140	$\left(\begin{array}{c ccc} \mathbb{P}^5 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7873
$(3, 3, 2, 0)$	-128	$\left(\begin{array}{c cc} \mathbb{P}^4 & 3 & 2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7858
$(3, 3, 3, 0)$	-148	$\left(\begin{array}{c cc} \mathbb{P}^4 & 3 & 2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right)$	7882
$(4, 1, 1, 0)$	-112	$\left(\begin{array}{c cccc} \mathbb{P}^6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right)$	7819, 7823
$(4, 2, 1, 0)$	-112	$\left(\begin{array}{c cccc} \mathbb{P}^6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7817
$(4, 2, 2, 0)$	-112	$\left(\begin{array}{c ccc} \mathbb{P}^5 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$	7816, 7822

TABLE 2. Alternative realizations of some of the A-model geometries $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$.

in Section §3. The Hodge numbers, intersection form $\kappa_{abc} = \gamma_a \cup \gamma_b \cup \gamma_c$, second Chern class $c_{2,a} = \int_{\gamma_a} c_2(TX)$ and horizontal Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $\text{GV}_{0,k}^{(0)}$ of $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ are summarized below:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.2) \quad & h_{1,1} = 2, \quad h_{1,2} = 22 + m(i+j)^2 + h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]}) + h_{1,2}(F_m^{[j]}) - 2m(i-s)(j+s), \\
& \kappa_{111} = 2m \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} \right), \quad \kappa_{112} = 2m \left(1 + \frac{s}{j} \right), \quad \kappa_{122} = s\kappa_{112}, \quad \kappa_{222} = s^2\kappa_{112}, \\
& c_{2,1} = 2m(i+j) + 24 \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} \right), \quad c_{2,2} = 24 + \left(\frac{24}{j} + 2mj \right) s + 2ms^2, \\
& \text{GV}_{0,1}^{(0)} = 2m(i-s)(j+s), \quad \text{GV}_{0,k>0}^{(0)} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use a basis of divisors γ_a , $a = 1, 2$ that form a basis of the Kähler cone. The Hodge numbers $h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]})$ of the Fano threefolds can be read off from Table 3. In the special case $s = 0$, the intersection numbers $\kappa_{122}, \kappa_{222}$ vanish and the second Chern number become $c_{2,2} = 24$, so by Oguiso's criterion [33], $X_m^{[i,j]} := X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ acquires a fibration by degree $2m$ K3-surfaces. This fibration is mirror to the Tyurin degeneration in the complex structure moduli space of $Y_m^{[i,j]} := Y_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$, consistent with the DHT conjecture.

We note that several of these CY threefolds have appeared previously in the literature. In particular, the models $X_1^{[1,1]}$ and $X_2^{[1,1]}$ coincide with the small resolutions of hypersurfaces in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,6}^4$ [12] and $\mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,2}^4$ [8], studied in detail in [5, 34, 35, 36]. These models were revisited from the point of view of heterotic-type II duality in [37, 38, 39, 40, 41], which provides one of the main motivations for focusing on K3-fibered CY threefolds. More generally, the threefolds $X_m^{[i,j]}$ with $m \leq 4$ include all two-parameter K3-fibered CY threefolds of CICY type (i.e. which can be realized as complete intersections in a product of projective spaces, see Table 2), and all have appeared previously (along with some other, non-K3-fibered models) in [13, Table 1]. The geometries with $m \geq 5$ can not be realized as complete intersections in toric ambient spaces but arise as complete

intersections in Grassmanian bundles. Their topological invariants were predicted earlier in [42]. On the other hand, it should be stressed that our construction does not include all known Picard rank 2 K3-fibered CY threefolds. In particular, Table 1 in [13] includes several examples with $\text{GV}_{0,2}^{(0)} \neq 0$ whose mirror is not K3-fibered, although it still admits a Tyurin degeneration into a pair of Fano threefolds intersecting over an M_m -polarized K3. Further examples arise from the Generalized CICY construction [43, 44] or as complete intersections in more general Grassmanian bundles [12, 42] but their mirrors are not yet understood.

We give a uniform construction of the threefolds $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ in terms of a complete intersection inside a projective bundle over a Fano fourfold $V_m^{[i,j]}$ with Picard rank 1. For $m = 5, 6, 8$, we alternatively obtain $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ through a conifold transition from a three-parameter family of torically realized K3-fibered CY threefolds. Similarly, for $m = 7$ we obtain $X_7^{[i,j]}$ through a conifold transition from a five-parameter model.

An important property of these A-model geometries is that they all admit a conifold transition to a one-parameter CY threefold $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$ with $h_{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}) = 1$. The transition proceeds by simultaneously shrinking the $\text{GV}_{0,1}^{(0)}$ rational curves and smoothing the resulting nodal singularities. The list of one-parameter CY threefolds $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$, given in the last column of Table 1, includes the familiar 13 smooth hypergeometric cases [45] as well as several non-toric examples realized either as complete intersections in Grassmanians or as double covers of Fano threefolds. Moreover, for $s \leq i - j$ the geometries $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ are related by flopping the exceptional curves; as a consequence, they share the same mirror and degeneration.

Enumerative invariants and $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ modularity. As mentioned earlier, one of our main motivations for introducing and studying the geometries $X_m^{[i,j]}$ and their mirrors comes from counting D4-D2-D0 black holes in type II string compactifications. It is generally expected that the generating series $h_{p,\mu}(\tau)$ of rank 0 Donaldson-Thomas (DT) invariants, counting BPS states with vanishing D6-brane charge and fixed D4 and D2-brane charges (p, μ) , should exhibit specific mock modular properties [46, 47]. This physical prediction was verified mathematically in [48, 49] in the context of one-parameter hypergeometric CY threefolds to great accuracy, using wall-crossing in a certain family of weak stability conditions, but the mathematical origin of the modular symmetry remains mysterious. In contrast, for K3-fibered CY threefolds, it was shown in [28] that the DT invariants counting vertical D4-D2-D0 states (i.e. such that the D4-brane is supported along the K3 fiber) are determined from the Noether-Lefschetz (NL) invariants of the fibration, such that the resulting series $h_{p,\mu}(\tau)$ is modular² by general results of Kudla-Millson and Borcherds [50, 51]. The NL invariants can in turn be determined from the vertical GV invariants by the GV/NL relation of [29].

To determine these invariants for all models (m, i, j, s) with $s = 0$, we establish a universal formula (5.30) for the genus 0 free energy (or Yukawa couplings) in the large base limit $S \rightarrow i\infty$, and similarly (5.34) for the genus-one free energy. Since the monodromy group admits a $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ quotient preserving the large base limit, the resulting formulae exhibit modular behavior under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$, although they are not strictly modular due to polynomial ambiguities in F_0 , and to the non-trivial transformation property of the base modulus S in F_1 . Nonetheless, we can extract the vertical genus 0 and genus 1 GV invariants from the Fourier expansion in T , determine the resulting NL invariants and verify the expected modularity properties.

Heterotic-type II duality and modularity at higher base degree. Another motivation to study the models with $s = 0$ comes from heterotic/type II duality, which is expected to hold whenever the CY threefold admits a K3-fibration [37, 41, 52, 53]. In fact, the relation between GV and NL invariants was initially motivated by heterotic/type II duality, where the generating series of NL invariants is identified with the ‘new supersymmetric index’ counting perturbative BPS states on the heterotic side (see [54, 55, 13] and more recently [56]). This duality is fairly well understood when

²The modular anomaly in this case vanishes, due to the properties of the cubic intersection form for K3-fibered manifolds.

(m, i)	$h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]})$	Construction of $F_m^{[i]}$
(1,1)	52	$\mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,1,3}[6]$
(1,2)	21	$\mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,2,3}[6]$
(2,1)	30	$\mathbb{P}^4[4]$
(2,2)	10	$\mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,1,2}[4]$
(2,4)	0	\mathbb{P}^3
(3,1)	20	$\mathbb{P}^5[2, 3]$
(3,2)	5	$\mathbb{P}^4[3]$
(3,3)	0	$\mathbb{P}^4[2]$
(4,1)	14	$\mathbb{P}^6[2, 2, 2]$
(4,2)	2	$\mathbb{P}^5[2, 2]$
(5,1)	10	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,5}$
(5,2)	0	$B_5 = X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3}}^{2,5}$
(6,1)	7	$X_{S^\vee(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)}^{2,5}$
(7,1)	5	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}}^{2,6}$
(8,1)	3	$X_{\Lambda^2 S^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3}}^{3,6}$
(9,1)	2	$X_{\Lambda^4 S^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}}^{5,7}$
(11,1)	0	$A_{22} = X_{(\Lambda^2 S^\vee)^{\oplus 3}}^{3,7}$

TABLE 3. The 17 Fano threefolds of Picard rank one, as classified in [65, 66]. The index i is the ratio between the anticanonical class $-K_{F_m^i}$ and the generator of the Picard lattice. Note that $h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]}) = -10 + \frac{120+\delta_m}{i(m+1)}$ where $\delta_m = 4$ if $m = 1$, -1 if $m = 6$, -3 if $m = 8$ and 0 otherwise. The geometries $X_E^{k,n}$ are complete intersections in $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ associated to vector bundles E and have been identified in [67]. The notation for the bundles is explained in Section 1.1.

the K3 fiber is itself elliptically fibered, such that the duality in $D = 4$ follows from heterotic/type II duality in $D = 6$ by adiabatic arguments [57]. In contrast, when the K3 fiber on the type II side has Picard rank one or zero, the decompactification to 6 dimensions is obstructed, and the heterotic dual is expected to involve a non-trivial elliptic fibration over a K3 surface, with fluxes threading both the K3 and the torus fiber [58]. The worldsheet construction of such heterotic flux compactifications is in general an open problem, which can be informed by our explicit results for the new supersymmetric index (see [59, 60] for some recent progress).

Assuming that such an heterotic description exists, the duality group $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ is interpreted as the subgroup of the standard T-duality group $O(2, 2, \mathbb{Z})$ of the torus compatible with the fluxes. It must therefore be a symmetry of physical observables at each order in heterotic perturbation theory. In particular, it implies that the one-loop contribution to the prepotential (which sums up contributions of vertical GV invariants $\text{GV}_{(0,d)}^{(0)}$ on the type II side) must transform as a holomorphic Eichler integral of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$; this property is indeed ensured by the fact that the one-loop contribution to the prepotential is related to the new supersymmetric index by a theta lift [61, 62, 63]. At the non-perturbative level, it also implies that instanton contributions of order $e^{2\pi i k S}$ (which sum up contributions of GV invariants $\text{GV}_{(k,d)}^{(0)}$ with fixed base degree k on the type II side) should also have specific modular properties, elucidated in [64] for the simplest model with $m = 1$. In §6.4 we shall verify this modular invariance at base degree 1 and 2 for all models in Table 1. It would be very interesting to understand the mathematical origin of these modular properties on the type IIA side.

Outlook. In this work, we have uncovered a rather large class of two-parameter K3-fibered CY threefolds, but have only scratched the surface of their rich enumerative geometry. In particular, while we have found general expressions for the vertical GV invariants, and determined the corresponding Noether-Lefschetz invariants, for non-vanishing base degree we have only determined the modular generating series of genus 0 GV invariants at base degree one, two and three in some cases. The Picard-Fuchs equations of course allow to determine the genus 0 GV invariants at arbitrary base degree, but their modular properties become increasingly complicated, and their mathematical origin (in terms of Noether-Lefschetz theory or otherwise) remain rather mysterious. It would also be desirable to construct modular invariant generating functions for higher genus GV invariants at higher base degree. With this data at hand, it should be possible to determine the complete set of D4-D2-D0 invariants (beyond the vertical case), check (mock) modularity by using similar wall-crossing arguments as in [48, 49], and recover the D4-D2-D0 invariants of the one-parameter model through a conifold transition. Another interesting task is to incorporate K3-fibered threefolds whose mirror is not K3-fibered, but nonetheless admits a Tyurin degeneration into a product of Fano threefolds intersecting over an M_m polarized anticanonical K3. We hope to return to these challenging questions in future work.

Outline. The remainder of this work is organized as follows. In §2, we recall basic facts about the moduli space $X_0(m)^+$ of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces, the Dolgachev-Nikulin mirror symmetry relating them to degree $2m$ K3 surfaces, and introduce relatives $\tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda)$ of the fundamental period $f_m(\lambda)$ which play a key role in later sections. We also briefly recall the DHT conjecture in the context of K3-fibered CY threefolds. In §3, we give construct smooth M_m -polarized K3-fibered threefolds $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ with two complex structure moduli, by judiciously choosing the generalized functional invariant map from the base \mathbb{P}^1 to the moduli space $X_0(m)^+$. In §4.4, we give a uniform construction of the mirror $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$. In §5, we restrict to the case $s = 0$, where $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ admits a fibration by degree $2m$ hypersurfaces, and determine universal formulae for the genus-0 and genus-1 free energies in the large base limit. In §6, we extract various enumerative invariants from these results, namely the Noether-Lefschetz invariants of the fibration, and the vertical Gopakumar-Vafa and Donaldson-Thomas invariants, and check that the generating series have the expected modular properties. We also determine the generating series of non-vertical genus 0 GV invariants, with base degree one and two, and investigate their modular properties. Explicit results are collected in Appendix §E, after reviewing useful facts about modular forms for $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ and skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms in §C and §D. Mathematica notebooks allowing to reproduce and possibly extend our results are provided in a publicly accessible repository:

<https://github.com/bpioline/TwoParameterK3>

In the notebook we also include the genus 0 and genus 1 GV invariants for all of the examples up to degree 15. For the cases $X_1^{[1,1]}$, $X_1^{[2,2]}$, $X_2^{[1,1]}$, $X_2^{[2,2]}$, $X_5^{[1,1]}$, $X_6^{[1,1]}$ we also include the corresponding genus 2 GV invariants that we have obtained using the direct integration method of the holomorphic anomaly equations following [68, 69, 70]. In Appendix A we discuss the fundamental periods of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces Σ_m for $m = 5, \dots, 9, 11$ and in Appendix B we discuss conifold transitions from CY threefolds that are complete intersections in toric ambient spaces to $X_m^{[1,1]}$ for $m = 6, 7, 8$.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Sergey Alexandrov, Per Berglund, Andrew Harder, Ilarion Melnikov, Eric Pichon-Pharabod, Emanuel Scheidegger, Alan Thompson, Don Zagier for useful discussions, and to Taizan Watari and Nils Skoruppa for very helpful correspondence. We also want to thank Emanuel Scheidegger for useful comments on the draft. The research of CD is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Distinguished Visiting Professorship of Mathematics and Physics at Bard College, and the Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University. The research of BP is supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche under contract number ANR-21-CE31-0021. The research of TS was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the same contract during the beginning of the work on this paper. *For the purpose of Open Access, a CC-BY public copyright licence has been applied by the authors*

to the present document and will be applied to all subsequent versions up to the Author Accepted Manuscript arising from this submission.

1.1. Notations. We denote by $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ the $k(n - k)$ -dimensional Grassmanian variety of k -planes in a complex n -dimensional vector space. On $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ we denote by \mathcal{S} the tautological bundle of rank k , \mathcal{Q} is the universal quotient bundle of rank $n - k$ and we use $\mathcal{O}(1) = \det \mathcal{S}^\vee$.

For X a CY threefold, we denote by $\{\gamma_a\}$, $a = 1 \dots b_2(X) = h_{1,1}(X)$ a basis of $H_4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, by $\{\gamma^a\}$ the Poincaré-dual basis of $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma_a \cap \gamma^b = \delta_a^b$, by $\kappa_{abc} = \gamma_a \cap \gamma_b \cap \gamma_c$ the triple intersection numbers, and by $c_{2,a} = \int_{\gamma_a} c_2(TX)$ the second Chern class. We denote by t^a the complexified Kähler parameters, such that the complexified Kähler form reads $\omega = t^a \gamma_a$ with $\text{Im } t^a > 0$. The mirror Y is another family of CY threefolds such that $h_{1,2}(Y) = h_{1,1}(X)$, $h_{1,1}(Y) = h_{1,2}(X)$ hence the Euler numbers are opposite, $\chi_X = 2(h_{1,1}(X) - h_{1,2}(X)) = -\chi_Y$.

Near the large volume limit $t^a \rightarrow i\infty$ in Kähler moduli space and in the holomorphic limit, the topological string partition function on X takes the form

$$(1.3) \quad F_{\text{top}}(t^a, \lambda) := \sum_{g \geq 0} \lambda^{2g-2} F^{(g)}(t^a) = F_{\text{pol}}(t^a, \lambda) + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{d_a \geq 0}^{\infty} \text{GW}_{d_a}^{(g)} e^{2\pi i d_a t^a},$$

where the polynomial part is given by

$$(1.4) \quad F_{\text{pol}}(t^a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{1}{6} \kappa_{abc} t^a t^b t^c - \frac{1}{24} c_{2,a} t^a - \frac{1}{2} A_{ab} t^a t^b - \frac{\zeta(3)}{2(2\pi i)^3} \chi_X \right) + \frac{1}{24} c_{2,a} t^a.$$

Here, A_{ab} is a symmetric matrix with half-integer entries which depends on the choice of basis. The remainder is exponentially suppressed as $t^a \rightarrow i\infty$, and determined by the Gromov-Witten invariants $\text{GW}_{d_a}^{(g)}$ counting (families of) curves of genus g and class $d_a \gamma^a$. The latter are rational numbers, but can be expressed in terms of the integer-valued Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $\text{GV}_{d_a}^{(g)}$ by the multi-cover formula [71, 72]

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{d_a \geq 0}^{\infty} \text{GW}_{d_a}^{(g)} e^{2\pi i d_a t^a} = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d_a \geq 0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{GV}_{d_a}^{(g)}}{n} \left(2 \sin \frac{n\lambda}{2} \right)^{2g-2} e^{2\pi i n d_a t^a}.$$

At the first few orders in λ , the right-hand side evaluates to

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{d_a \geq 0} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \text{GV}_{d_a}^{(0)} \text{Li}_3(q_d) + \left(\text{GV}_{d_a}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{12} \text{GV}_{d_a}^{(0)} \right) \text{Li}_1(q_d) + \lambda^2 \left(\text{GV}_{d_a}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{240} \text{GV}_{d_a}^{(0)} \right) \text{Li}_{-1}(q_d) + \dots \right],$$

where $q_d = e^{2\pi i d_a t^a}$ and $\text{Li}_k(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} z^n / n^k$ is the polylogarithm. The genus zero part $F^{(0)}$ is the prepotential governing the special Kähler metric on the Kähler moduli space of X , or the complex structure moduli space of Y .

2. MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR K3-FIBERED CY

2.1. M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. Let Σ be a complex algebraic K3 surface, i.e. a smooth projective algebraic complex surface with vanishing canonical class and first Betti number. Its second cohomology $H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the signature $(3, 19)$ lattice $L = E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus U \oplus U \oplus U$, where E_8 is the unique even self-dual negative definite lattice of rank 8 and U is the even self-dual hyperbolic lattice of signature $(1, 1)$. The Picard lattice is the sublattice of algebraic cycles in $H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Pic } \Sigma = H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}),$$

with signature $(1, t - 1)$ where t is the Picard rank. Given an even, non-degenerate lattice M of signature $(1, t - 1)$, an M -polarized K3-surface is a pair (Σ, j) where Σ is a complex algebraic K3 surface and $j : M \hookrightarrow \text{Pic } \Sigma$ is a primitive lattice embedding. By the period map, the moduli space of M -polarized K3-surfaces is isomorphic to the orthogonal Grassmannian $\mathcal{M}_M = O(2, 20 - t) / O(2) \times O(20 - t) / \Gamma_M$ quotiented by an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma_M \subset O(2, 20 - t)$ which is isomorphic to the

automorphism group of the orthogonal lattice $M^\perp \subset L$.³ In this paper we shall be interested in the following two special cases:

- Degree $2m$ polarized K3 surfaces are K3-surfaces Σ^m polarized with respect to $M = \langle 2m \rangle$, the one-dimensional lattice $e\mathbb{Z}$ with generator e such that $(e, e) = 2m$; they have Picard rank 1 and 19-dimensional moduli space;
- M_m -polarized K3 surfaces are K3-surfaces Σ_m polarized with respect to $M_n := U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus \langle -2m \rangle$: they have Picard rank 19 and 1-dimensional moduli space.

For each value of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the K3 surfaces in the two special cases above are mirror to one another.

For $m \leq 4$, families of degree $2m$ polarized K3 surfaces Σ^m can be realized as complete intersections in weighted projective space as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} m = 1 : & \quad \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,3}^3[6], \quad \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,2,3}^4[2, 6], \quad \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,3,3}^4[3, 6] \\ m = 2 : & \quad \mathbb{P}^3[4], \quad \mathbb{P}_{2,1,1,1,1}^4[2, 4], \quad \mathbb{P}_{3,1,1,1,1}^4[3, 4] \\ m = 3 : & \quad \mathbb{P}^4[2, 3] \\ m = 4 : & \quad \mathbb{P}^5[2, 2, 2] \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 5$, more complicated constructions are required, see e.g. [74, §2.3] for a review. In particular, for $m = 5, \dots, 9, 11$ the surfaces Σ^m can then be realized as the zero loci of general sections of a vector bundle in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(r, n)$ or r -dimensional planes in \mathbb{C}^n (a projective variety of dimension $r(n - r)$) as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} m = 5 : & \quad X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,5} \\ m = 6 : & \quad X_{S^\vee(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}}^{2,5} \\ m = 7 : & \quad X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 6}}^{2,6} \\ m = 8 : & \quad X_{\Lambda^2 S^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4}}^{3,6} \\ m = 9 : & \quad X_{\Lambda^4 S^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3}}^{5,7} \\ m = 11 : & \quad X_{(\Lambda^2 S^\vee)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)}^{3,7} \end{aligned}$$

Degree $2m$ polarized K3 surfaces will be further discussed in §6.2 in relation to Noether-Lefschetz theory. In the rest of this subsection we focus on M_m -polarized K3 surfaces, and, for reasons which will become clear in §3, we restrict attention to the range $m \leq 11$ and $m \neq 10$.

In all cases, the periods are annihilated by a third order differential operator equation with respect to the complex parameter λ in the one-dimensional family, the Picard-Fuchs (PF) operator given in Table 4. We choose $\lambda = \infty$ as the point of maximal unipotent monodromy, and denote by $f_m(\lambda)$ the fundamental period, which is unique solution which is analytic as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(2.4) \quad f_m(\lambda) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d) \lambda^{-d}.$$

For $m \leq 4$, the coefficients are simple ratios of factorials,

$$(2.5) \quad c_1(d) = \frac{(6d)!}{(d!)^3(3d)!}, \quad c_2(d) = \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4}, \quad c_3(d) = \frac{(2d)!(3d)!}{(d!)^5}, \quad c_4(d) = \frac{[(2d)!]^3}{(d!)^6},$$

³In general, for the purposes of compactification of moduli spaces, the proper notion of an M -quasipolarized K3 surface was recently introduced by Alexeev-Engel [73]. This distinction is irrelevant for our one-dimensional moduli spaces of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces which are compactified by adding a finite number of points.

m	Picard-Fuchs Operator
1	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-2592}{\lambda^2-1728\lambda} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda-240}{\lambda^3-1728\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{120}{\lambda^4-1728\lambda^3}$
2	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-384}{\lambda^2-256\lambda} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda-48}{\lambda^3-256\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{24}{\lambda^4-256\lambda^3}$
3	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-162}{\lambda^2-108\lambda} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda-24}{\lambda^3-108\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{12}{\lambda^4-108\lambda^3}$
4	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-96}{\lambda^2-64\lambda} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda-16}{\lambda^3-64\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{8}{\lambda^4-64\lambda^3}$
5	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-66}{\lambda^2-44\lambda-16} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-12\lambda-12}{\lambda^4-44\lambda^3-16\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{6\lambda+12}{\lambda^5-44\lambda^4-16\lambda^3}$
6	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-51}{\lambda^2-34\lambda+1} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-10\lambda+1}{\lambda^4-34\lambda^3+\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{5\lambda-1}{\lambda^5-34\lambda^4+\lambda^3}$
7	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-39}{\lambda^2-26\lambda-27} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-8\lambda-24}{\lambda^4-26\lambda^3-27\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{4\lambda+24}{\lambda^5-26\lambda^4-27\lambda^3}$
8	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-36}{\lambda^2-24\lambda+16} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-8\lambda+16}{\lambda^4-24\lambda^3+16\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{4\lambda-16}{\lambda^5-24\lambda^4+16\lambda^3}$
9	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda-27}{\lambda^2-18\lambda-27} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^2-6\lambda-27}{\lambda^4-18\lambda^3-27\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{3\lambda+27}{\lambda^5-18\lambda^4-27\lambda^3}$
11	$\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} + \frac{3\lambda^3-30\lambda^2+66}{\lambda^4-20\lambda^3+56\lambda^2-44\lambda} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda^3-8\lambda^2+64\lambda-132}{\lambda^5-20\lambda^4+56\lambda^3-44\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{4\lambda^2-64\lambda+132}{\lambda^6-20\lambda^5+56\lambda^4-44\lambda^3}$

TABLE 4. Picard-Fuchs operators for M_m -lattice polarized K3, from [76, Table 7].

leading to the familiar hypergeometric series

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad f_1(\lambda) &= {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}; 1, 1; 1728/\lambda\right) = \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}; 1; 1728/\lambda\right)\right]^2, \\
f_2(\lambda) &= {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1; 256/\lambda\right) = \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}; 1; 256/\lambda\right)\right]^2, \\
f_3(\lambda) &= {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}; 1, 1; 108/\lambda\right) = \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}; 1; 108/\lambda\right)\right]^2, \\
f_4(\lambda) &= {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; 64/\lambda\right) = \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; 64/\lambda\right)\right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The fundamental periods for the cases Σ_m , $m = 5, \dots, 9, 11$, where the mirror Σ^m does not admit a realization as a complete intersection in a toric ambient space, are not hypergeometric and are discussed in Appendix A.

In addition to the fundamental period, the PF equation admits solutions with single and double logarithmic singularities at $\lambda = \infty$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad f_m^{(1)}(\lambda) &= f_m(\lambda) \log \lambda + \sum_{m \geq 1} c_m^{(1)}(d) \lambda^{-d}, \\
f_m^{(2)}(\lambda) &= -\frac{1}{2} f_m(\lambda) (\log \lambda)^2 + f_m^{(1)}(\lambda) \log \lambda + \sum_{m \geq 2} c_m^{(2)}(d) \lambda^{-d}.
\end{aligned}$$

The solutions are easily obtained by the Frobenius method. For example, the coefficients for the single log solution with $m \leq 4$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad c_1^{(1)}(d) &= 3 \frac{(6d)!}{(d!)^3(3d)!} [H_d + H_{3d} - 2H_{6d}], \quad c_2^{(1)}(d) = 4 \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4} [H_d - H_{4d}], \\
c_3^{(1)}(d) &= \frac{(2d)!(3d)!}{(d!)^5} [5H_d - 2H_{2d} - 3H_{3d}], \quad c_4^{(1)}(d) = 6 \frac{[(2d)!]^3}{(d!)^6} [H_d - H_{2d}],
\end{aligned}$$

where $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$ are the harmonic numbers. It turns out that the double log solution is determined from the fundamental period and single log solution via the quadratic equation

$$(2.9) \quad (f_m^{(1)}(\lambda))^2 = 2f_m(\lambda) f_m^{(2)}(\lambda).$$

This follows from the fact that the third order Picard-Fuchs equation is the symmetric square of a second order differential equation [75].

2.2. Mirror symmetry for M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. In general, Dolgachev-Nikulin mirror symmetry [30] exchanges families of M -polarized K3 surfaces Σ , of Picard rank t , with families of M^\vee -polarized K3 surfaces, of Picard rank $20 - t$, where M^\vee is such that the orthogonal complement M^\perp in $H_2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $U(k) \oplus M^\vee$ for some $k \geq 1$. For $t = 1, k = 1$, the case of interest in this paper, mirror symmetry exchanges families of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces with degree $2m$ polarized

m	Orbifold Type	$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$	τ_1, \dots, τ_r	τ_0
1	$(3; 2; \infty)$	1728	i	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2}$
2	$(4; 2; \infty)$	256	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1+i}{2}$
3	$(6; 2; \infty)$	108	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}$
4	$(\infty; 2; \infty)$	64	$\frac{i}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
5	$(2; 2, 2; \infty)$	$22 + 10\sqrt{5}, 22 - 10\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{4}{9} + \frac{i}{9\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{1+i3}{5}$
6	$(\infty; 2, 2; \infty)$	$17 + 12\sqrt{2}, 17 - 12\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{2}{5} + \frac{i}{5\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
7	$(3; 2, 2; \infty)$	27, -1	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{7}}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{7}}$	$\frac{5+i\sqrt{3}}{14}$
8	$(\infty; 2, 2; \infty)$	$12 + 8\sqrt{2}, 12 - 8\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{8}}, -\frac{2}{11} + \frac{i}{22\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
9	$(\infty; 2, 2; \infty)$	$9 + 6\sqrt{3}, 9 - 6\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{i}{9}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
11	$(2; 2, 2, 2; \infty)$	Roots of $\lambda^3 - 20\lambda^2 + 56\lambda - 44$	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{11}}, \frac{2}{3} + i\frac{\sqrt{11}}{33}, \frac{22}{25} + \frac{i\sqrt{11}}{275}$	$\frac{1}{6} + \frac{i}{6\sqrt{11}}$

TABLE 5. Structure of the modular surface $X_0(m)^+$. The singularities lie at $\lambda \in \{0; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r; \infty\}$, or $\tau \in \{\tau_0; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_r; i\infty\}$, with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ being \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold points.

K3 surfaces. On the A -model side, the Kähler moduli space is parametrized by $\tau = \int_e (B + iJ)$ in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} , where e is the generator of $\text{Pic } \Sigma^m$, J is the Kähler form and B the Kalb-Ramond field. The global structure is manifest on the B -model side, where the complex structure moduli space is isomorphic to the modular curve

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{M}_{M_m} \simeq X_0(m)^+ = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(m)^+,$$

where $\Gamma_0(m)$ is the congruence subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, acting by $\tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ on \mathbb{H} , and $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ denotes its extension by the Fricke involution acting as $\tau \mapsto -1/(m\tau)$ (see Appendix C for more details). For $m = 1$, $\Gamma_0(1)^+$ is simply the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. More generally, for our cases of interest $m = 1, \dots, 9, 11$, the modular curve $X_0(m)^+$ has genus 0, one cusp of width 1 at $\lambda = \infty$, r \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold points at $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ and an additional singular point at $\lambda = 0$ which is either a \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold point for $m = 5, 11$, a \mathbb{Z}_3 -orbifold point for $m = 1, 7$, a \mathbb{Z}_6 -orbifold point for $m = 6$ or a cusp for $m = 4, 6, 8, 9$; (see Table 5). In either case, we refer to the singularity at $\lambda = 0$ as the a -orbifold point, with $a \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, \infty\}$.

The modular parameter τ is related to the parameter λ by the mirror map [77]

$$(2.11) \quad \tau = \frac{f_m^{(1)}(\lambda)}{f_m(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda = J_m^+(\tau),$$

where $J_m^+(\tau)$ is a weak modular form of weight 0 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ with a simple pole at $q = e^{2\pi i\tau} = 0$, and specific constant term, known as the Hauptmodul. For example, for $m = 1$,

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda = J(\tau) = \frac{E_4^3}{\eta^{24}} = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \dots$$

It is remarkable that the q -expansion of $\lambda(\tau)$ has integer coefficients, even though the coefficients in the $1/\lambda$ -expansion of $f_m^{(1)}(\lambda)$ are rational numbers with unbounded denominators [77]. The fundamental period $f_m(\lambda(\tau))$ itself is a modular form of weight 2 under $\Gamma_0(m)$, odd under the Fricke involution (for $m = 1$, its square is an ordinary modular form of weight 2, $f_1(\lambda(\tau)) = \sqrt{E_4(\tau)}$). As pointed out in [78, (3.2)], for all $m \leq 9$ and for $m = 11$, the Hauptmodul is expressed in terms of the fundamental period and suitable powers of the Dedekind eta function via

$$(2.13) \quad J_m^+(\tau) = \left[\frac{f_m(J_m^+(\tau))}{\eta^2(\tau)\eta^2(m\tau)} \right]^{\frac{12}{m+1}},$$

which in particular determines the constant term in J_m^+ . We further note the identity

$$(2.14) \quad (\partial_\tau J_m^+(\tau))^2 = \frac{f_m(J_m^+(\tau))^2}{(2\pi i)^2} [J_m^+(\tau)]^{2-r} \prod_{k=1}^r (J_m^+(\tau) - \lambda_k),$$

where λ_i runs over the 2-orbifold points listed in the middle column of Table 5. Explicit formulae for the fundamental period and Hauptmodul are collected in Appendix C.

In preparation for the computation of Yukawa couplings in §5.3, it will be useful to introduce cousins of the mirror map in (2.11), namely the ratios (for i integer)

$$(2.15) \quad H_m^{[i]}(\lambda) := \frac{\tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda)}{f_m(\lambda)},$$

where $\tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda)$ is obtained by inserting the harmonic number H_{id} in the Laurent expansion of the fundamental period (2.4),

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda) := \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d) H_{id} \lambda^{-d}.$$

While the modular properties of $H_m^{[i]}(\tau) := H_m^{[i]}(\lambda(\tau))$ are obscure in general, by combining (5.30) and (6.32) below, we shall see that, for the values of (m, i) appearing in Table 3, the third derivative

$$(2.17) \quad \partial_\tau^3 \left[H_m^{[i]}(\tau) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^r \log(J_m^+(\tau) - \lambda_k) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{i} - r \right) \log J_m^+(\tau) \right],$$

is a meromorphic modular form of weight 6 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$, vanishing at $\tau = i\infty$ with a second order pole at the 2-orbifold points τ_1, \dots, τ_r and (for $m \leq 5$) a third order pole at $\tau = \tau_0$ where $J_m^+(\tau_0) = 0$. For now, we observe that, as a consequence of (2.8), suitable linear combinations for $m \leq 4$ have a simple expression in terms of τ and $J_m^+(\tau)$,

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 3 \left(H_1^{[1]} + H_1^{[3]} - 2H_1^{[6]} \right) &= -\tau - \log J, \\ 4 \left(H_2^{[1]} - H_2^{[4]} \right) &= -\tau - \log J_2^+, \\ \left(5H_3^{[1]} - 2H_3^{[2]} - 3H_3^{[3]} \right) &= -\tau - \log J_3^+, \\ 6 \left(H_4^{[1]} - H_4^{[2]} \right) &= -\tau - \log J_4^+, \end{aligned}$$

consistent with assigning a vanishing modular weight to $H_m^{[i]}(\tau)$. We note that similar functions with insertions of higher harmonic numbers $H_{id}^k = \sum_{m=1}^{id} m^{-k}$ have appeared in [78].

2.3. Doran-Harder-Thompson mirror conjecture.

While mirror symmetry was originally formulated for CY threefolds, it was generalized to Fano varieties (i.e., varieties with ample anticanonical hypersurfaces) in [79]. The mirror to a Fano variety X is a Landau-Ginzburg model (X^\vee, W) such that X^\vee is a Kähler manifold and $W : X^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a proper map, called the superpotential.

Mirror symmetry for Landau-Ginzburg (LG) models has been generalized further to quasi-Fano varieties in [80]. We say that a smooth variety X is quasi-Fano if its anticanonical linear system contains a smooth CY member and $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

A degeneration of CY varieties over the unit disk $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called a Tyurin degeneration if the total space \mathcal{V} is smooth and the central fiber consists of two quasi-Fano varieties X_1 and X_2 which meet normally along a common smooth anticanonical (Calabi-Yau) divisor X_0 .

Doran-Harder-Thompson [19] formulated a conjecture relating mirror symmetry for a CY variety X arising as a smooth fiber of a Tyurin degeneration $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta$, and mirror symmetry for the quasi-Fano varieties X_1 and X_2 in the central fiber of \mathcal{V} . Roughly speaking, the Doran-Harder-Thompson (DHT) conjecture states that one should be able to “glue” the LG models $W_i : X_i^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the pair (X_i, X_0) for $i = 1, 2$ to form a CY variety X^\vee which is mirror to X , with the fibers of the

superpotentials combining to form a fibration $W : X^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and moreover that the compact fibers of the LG models consist of CY manifolds mirror to the common anticanonical divisor X_0 .

The gluing in the DHT conjecture can be performed in several different categories, depending on how we match the A/B-models with the fibrations and degenerations.

First checks of the conjecture in [19] focused on the Tyurin degenerations on the B-model side and fibrations on the A-model side, confirming that the basic topological features (e.g., Euler characteristic and monodromies) and lattice-polarizations in the K3 surface case are consistent with mirror symmetry ⁴.

Flipping sides, in [20, 82] the Tyurin degeneration takes place on the A-model, involving complete intersections in toric varieties; the gluing is performed in the B-model and expressed in terms of the generalized functional invariant maps of the two LG models and the generalized functional invariant map of the K3 fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 . This is the main setting for DHT mirror symmetry as it arises in this paper.

It was observed in [19] that, as predicted by the DHT conjecture, the classification of CY threefolds fibered by M_m -polarized K3 surfaces in [83, 18, 17] mirrors Iskovskih's classification [65, 66] of pairs of smooth Fano threefolds of rank 1 with a common anticanonical K3. Mirror symmetry at the level of Hodge numbers was confirmed, with explicit formulas reflecting geometric sources of the Hodge numbers of the CY threefolds on both sides.

Enumerative consequences of the DHT mirror construction involving genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants, both absolute and relative, were established in [20, 82]. These include a new B-model motivated degeneration formula for Tyurin degenerations of CY threefolds.

The DHT mirror conjecture itself, and especially its Hodge-theoretic implications, were vastly generalized in [84] as consequences of a four-term long exact sequence DHT mirror to the Clemens-Schmid sequence describing the cohomology of a degeneration. The role of the CY fibration is now played by any smooth variety admitting a projective morphism to a projective base.

3. B-MODEL GEOMETRIES

In this section we use the results from [83, 18, 17] to explicitly construct a family of M_m -polarized K3 fibered CY threefolds $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ with $h_{2,1}(Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}) = 2$.

3.1. Generalized functional invariant map. A family of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces $\pi : Y \rightarrow U$ determines a so-called *generalized functional invariant map* $\Lambda : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{M_m} = X_0(m)^+$. For $m \geq 2$ it was proven in [83, 18] that the isomorphism class of a non-isotrivial M_m -polarized family of K3 surfaces $\pi : Y \rightarrow U$ over a quasi-projective curve U is uniquely determined by the associated generalized functional invariant map. Concretely, the geometry Y is a crepant resolution of the pullback of the modular families $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_m \rightarrow X_0(m)^+$ listed in Table 6 along Λ . The case $m = 1$ was subsequently treated in [17] with the result that an M_1 -polarized family is uniquely determined by the generalized functional invariant map together with a so called *generalized homological invariant*, determining the monodromies around singular fibers. When $m \geq 2$, the generalized homological invariant is fixed completely in terms of the generalized functional invariant map while for $m = 1$ there is an additional choice of sign for each singular fiber that has to be specified in order to determine the fibration. ⁵

We are interested in families over $U = \mathbb{P}^1$ that are smooth CY threefolds. The corresponding maps $\Lambda : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X_0(m)^+$ have been classified for $m \geq 2$ in [18] and the $m = 1$ case was treated in [17]. The

⁴In the context of mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces, a precise form of the DHT conjecture can be found in [81].

⁵We are grateful to Eric Pichon-Pharabod for confirming the generic lattice-polarization prediction for the new cases in Table 6 using his semi-numerical algorithm implemented in Sagemath [85]

m	Ambient space	Modular family $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_m$
2	$\mathbb{P}^3[x, y, z, t]$	$t^4 + \lambda xyz(x + y + z - t) = 0$
3	$\mathbb{P}^1[r, s] \times \mathbb{P}^2[x, y, z]$	$s^2 z^3 + \lambda r(r - s)xy(z - x - y) = 0$
4	$\prod_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{P}^1[r_i, s_i]$	$s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3^2 - \lambda r_1(s_1 - r_1)r_2(s_2 - r_2)r_3(s_3 - r_3) = 0$
5	$\mathbb{P}^1[r, s] \times \mathbb{P}^2[x, y, z]$	$(x + y + z)^2(xr + yr + xs)(r + s) - \lambda xyzrs = 0$
6	$\mathbb{P}^1[r, s] \times \mathbb{P}^2[x, y, z]$	$(y + z)(x + y + z)(xr + yr + xs)(r + s) - \lambda xyzrs = 0$
7	$\prod_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{P}^1[r_i, s_i]$	$(r_1 r_2 r_3 - r_1 r_2 s_3 + r_1 s_2 s_3 - s_1 s_2 s_3) \prod_{i=1}^3 (r_i - s_i) + \lambda r_1 r_2 r_3 s_1 s_2 s_3 = 0$
8	$\prod_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{P}^1[r_i, s_i]$	$(r_1 - s_1)(r_1(r_2 - s_2))^2(r_3 - s_3)^2 - r_2^2 r_3^2 s_1 + \lambda r_1 r_2 r_3 s_1 s_2 s_3 = 0$
9	$\mathbb{P}^3[x, y, z, t]$	$(x + y + z)(xt^2 + yt^2 + zt^2 + xyt + xzt + yzt + xyz) - \lambda xyzt = 0$
11	$\mathbb{P}^3[x, y, z, t]$	$(z + t)(x + y + t)(xy + zt) + x^2 y^2 + xyz^2 + 3xyzt - \lambda xyzt = 0$

TABLE 6. Modular families $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_m$ of M_m -polarized K3 surfaces. The cases $m = 2, \dots, 4$ as well as $m = 9, 11$ are reproduced from [16, Table 3.1]. The families for $m = 5, \dots, 8$ are alternatives to the ones listed in [16] and are, to the best of our knowledge, new. They are obtained in Section 4.3 and Appendix B.

only possible values for m are

$$(3.1) \quad m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11\}.$$

The map Λ can then be described in terms of its degree d and the ramification profile over the singular points $\lambda \in \{0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \infty\}$. Over any point $p \in \mathcal{M}_{M_m}$, the ramification profile corresponds to a partition of d into positive integers $[d_1, \dots, d_s]$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}(p)$ consists of s points at which d_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$ of the sheets of the covering respectively come together, see Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Ramification profiles for a degree three covering of \mathbb{P}^1 .

We denote the ramification profiles over $\lambda = \infty$, $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ respectively by $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$, $[y_1, \dots, y_l]$ and $[z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{m_1,1}], \dots, [z_{1,r}, \dots, z_{m_r,r}]$. The Hurwitz formula specializes to

$$(3.2) \quad k + l + m_1 + \dots + m_r - rd - \rho - 2 = 0.$$

where the so-called excess ramification ρ is defined as

$$(3.3) \quad \rho := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{reg}}^1} (e_p - 1),$$

in terms of the ramification index e_p at points p in the regular locus $\mathbb{P}_{\text{reg}}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ (note that $e_p \neq 1$ for only a finite number of points). We will assume that the generalized functional invariant map is chosen to be sufficiently generic in the sense that it is unramified over the 2-orbifold points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$. The Hurwitz formula (3.2) then takes the simple form $\rho = k + l - 2$, see also [18, Remark 4.6].

m	Partitions
1	[1, 1], [2, 1], [2, 2]
2	[1, 1], [2, 1], [2, 2], [4, 1], [4, 2], [4, 4]
3	[1, 1], [2, 1], [2, 2], [3, 1], [3, 2], [3, 3]
4, 5	[1, 1], [2, 1], [2, 2]
6, 8, 9, 11	[1, 1]

TABLE 7. Values $m, [i, j]$ for which we consider the mirror families of CY threefolds $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}, X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ with $s = 0, \dots, i - j$.

For the geometries $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ we choose the generalized functional invariant map $\lambda = \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}$ to be

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{(1-y)^i (1-z_2/y)^j}{y^s},$$

where y is an affine coordinate on \mathbb{P}^1 and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ parametrize the complex structure. For generic choices of z_1, z_2 , the ramification profiles over $\lambda = \infty$ and $\lambda = 0$ are then respectively given by $[x_1, x_2] = [i - s, j + s]$ and $[y_1, y_2] = [i, j]$ while the map is unramified over the two-orbifold points and the excess ramification is $\rho = 2$. We consider the values (m, i, j) contained in Table 7, such that in particular $i \geq j$, while $s = 0, \dots, i - j$. For the cases with $m = 1$ one also has to specify the generalized homological invariant or, equivalently, the types of singular fibers, and we use the choices from Table 8. For geometries with $s = 0$ we also define the abbreviated notations $Y_m^{[i,j]} := Y_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ and $\Lambda^{[i,j]} := \Lambda_0^{[i,j]}$.

For $2 \leq m \leq 9$, the result [18, Theorem 4.1] then implies that $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is a smooth CY threefold and it follows from [18, Proposition 4.4] that $h_{2,1}(Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}) = 2$. In the case $m = 1$, the properties of the different fiber types $I_d, I_d^*, II, II^*, III, III^*, IV, IV^*$ are listed in [17, Table 4.1]⁶. Since the generalized functional invariant map is unramified over the 2-orbifold point, such that there are $i + j$ fibers of type III over $\lambda = 1728$, the fibration is smooth [17, Proposition 5.3]. The fact that $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is a CY threefold with $h_{1,2} = 2$ then respectively follows from [17, Proposition 5.5] and [17, Proposition 5.9]⁷.

$[i, j]$	$\lambda = \infty$	$\lambda = 1728$	$\lambda = 0$
[1, 1]	$2 \times I_1$	$2 \times III$	$2 \times II^*$
[2, 1]	I_2, I_1	$3 \times III$	IV^*, II^*
[2, 2]	$2 \times I_2$	$4 \times III$	$2 \times IV^*$

TABLE 8. Types of singular fibers in $Y_{1,s}^{[i,j]}$.

Combining [18, Proposition 4.3] and [17, Proposition 5.7], we obtain the Euler characteristic

$$(3.5) \quad \chi(Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}) = 2 (20 + h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]}) + h_{1,2}(F_m^{[j]}) + m(i + j)^2) - 4m(i - s)(j + s),$$

where $h_{1,2}(F_m^{[i]})$ is the number of complex structure deformations of the Fano threefold $F_m^{[i]}$ tabulated in Table 3.

The case $m = 11$ is special in that it is not covered by the smoothness results from [18]. Nevertheless, in §4 we will be able to extract sensible topological invariants and enumerative invariants from the period, and also construct a smooth A-model geometry. Thus it is plausible that $Y_{11,0}^{[1,1]}$ may also be a smooth CY threefold with $h_{2,1} = 2$.

⁶Note that these are singular surfaces appearing as special fibers in threefolds whose generic fiber is a smooth M_1 -polarized K3 surface, by analogy with the Kodaira naming scheme for the singular curve fibers appearing in elliptic surfaces.

⁷In principle we could also replace each starred/unstarred fiber with the corresponding unstarred/starred one in Table 8. However, the corresponding geometries will not be relevant for us.

3.2. Periods. Generalizing [20], we can calculate the holomorphic period of the unique (up to scale) holomorphic 3-form $\Omega(z_1, z_2)$ on $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ by taking the contour integral of the pullback of

$$\frac{1}{(1-y)(1-z_2/y)} f_m(\lambda),$$

by the generalized functional invariant $\lambda = \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)$. Taking the integration contour to circle around $y = z_2$ counterclockwise (or equivalently, clockwise around $y = 1$), we find the fundamental period

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dy}{y} \frac{1}{(1-y)(1-z_2/y)} f_m(\lambda = \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d) z_1^d \oint \frac{dy}{y^{1-ds}} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{1+di} (1-z_2/y)^{1+dj}} \\ (3.6) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d) z_1^d \oint \frac{dy}{y} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+di)!(l+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!k!l!} y^{k+ds-l} z_2^l \\ &= \sum_{d,k \geq 0} c_m(d) \frac{(k+di)!(k+ds+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!k!(k+ds)!} z_1^d z_2^{k+sd}, \\ &= \sum_{d,k \geq 0} c_m(d) \frac{(k+di-ds)!(k+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!(k-ds)!k!} z_1^d z_2^k. \end{aligned}$$

As usual, in the special case $s = 0$ we abbreviate $\varpi_{m,0}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) := \varpi_{m,0}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2)$. We note that in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 1$ keeping $z := z_1/(1-z_2)^{i+j}$ fixed, a change of variable $y = (1-z_2)t + z_2$ under the integral leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{(1-z_2)t(1-t)} f_m\left(\frac{(1-t)^{itj}}{z(z_2 + (1-z_2)t)^{j+s}}\right) \\ (3.7) \quad &\xrightarrow{z_2 \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi i(1-z_2)} \oint \frac{dt}{t(1-t)} f_m\left(\frac{(1-t)^{itj}}{z}\right) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d) \frac{[d(i+j)]!}{(di)!(dj)!} z^d, \end{aligned}$$

which is recognized, up to a factor of $(1-z_2)$, as the fundamental period of the one-parameter model $\mathcal{Y}_m^{i,j}$ mirror to $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$ in the last column of Table 7.

Returning to the fundamental period (3.6) of the two-parameter model, one can reconstruct a set of Picard-Fuchs operators that must annihilate all periods of the holomorphic 3-form. Since the complex structure moduli space of $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is two-dimensional, the Picard-Fuchs ideal is generated by two operators $\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3$ of respective orders two and three. While the order two operator annihilating (3.6) takes the universal form

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \theta_2(\theta_2 - s\theta_1) - z_2(1 + (i-s)\theta_1 + \theta_2)(1 + j\theta_1 + \theta_2),$$

in terms of the logarithmic derivatives $\theta_a = z_a \partial_{z_a}$, $a = 1, 2$, the third order operator \mathcal{D}_3 is more complicated and is provided in the accompanying Mathematica worksheet.

A complete basis of periods then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) &= \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2), \\ \varpi_{1,a}(z_1, z_2) &= \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) \log(z_a) + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2), \quad a = 1, 2, \\ (3.9) \quad \varpi_{2,a}(z_1, z_2) &= \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) \sum_{b,c=1}^2 \kappa_{abc} \log(z_b) \log(z_c) + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2), \quad a = 1, 2 \\ \varpi_3(z_1, z_2) &= \varpi_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) \sum_{a,b,c=1}^2 \kappa_{abc} \log(z_a) \log(z_b) \log(z_c) + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2), \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. Degenerations of the generalized functional invariant map in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$. The images depict the ramification over a circular slice along the \mathbb{P}^1 base of the K3-fibration $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and are periodic in the horizontal direction.

where we have left the dependence on (m, i, j, s) implicit. The coefficients κ_{abc} entering in the double and triple logarithmic period are found to be given by the universal formulae in (1.2). One easily checks that the limit $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$ indeed corresponds to a point of maximally unipotent monodromy. As a result, the coefficients κ_{abc} can be identified – at least up to an overall normalization – under mirror symmetry with the intersection numbers $\kappa_{abc} = \gamma_a \cap \gamma_b \cap \gamma_c$ for a suitable choice of basis of divisors γ_1, γ_2 on the mirror CY threefold $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$. We shall choose a basis of divisors $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_4(X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that, upon expanding the complexified Kähler form as $\omega = t^1 \gamma_1 + t^2 \gamma_2$, the leading behaviour of the mirror map takes the form

$$(3.10) \quad t^1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z_1) + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2), \quad t^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z_2) + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2).$$

In the Section 4 we shall construct the mirror CY threefolds explicitly and verify that the intersection numbers and other topological invariants are indeed given by the universal formulae in (1.2).

3.3. Moduli space. We will now discuss the complex structure moduli space and the components of its boundary. First, we observe that the involution

$$(3.11) \quad \sigma : \quad z_1 \mapsto (-1)^{i-j} z_1 / z_2^j, \quad z_2 \mapsto 1/z_2, \quad y \mapsto 1/y,$$

acting on the generalized functional invariant map (3.4), relates $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{m,s}^{[i,j]} := Y_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$. For cases with $i - j = 2s$ one has $\tilde{Y}_{m,s}^{[i,j]} = Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ such that σ is a symmetry and the complex structure moduli space is globally a quotient.

Given i, j and a choice of complex structure z_1, z_2 , the excess ramification points λ_{\pm} of (3.4) can be obtained as the non-zero roots in λ of the discriminant

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_s^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2, \lambda) := \text{Disc}_y [(y - z_2)^j (1 - y)^i - z_1 y^{s+j} \lambda].$$

As we have observed in the previous subsection, the combined limit $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to a point of maximally unipotent monodromy. We will show in Section 4 that it is mirror to the large volume limit of a smooth CY threefold $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$. Using the involution (3.11) it then also follows that the limit $z_1/z_2^j \rightarrow 0, 1/z_2 \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to a point of maximally unipotent monodromy that is mirror to the large volume limit of $X_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$. In Section 4 we will explicitly show that $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ are related by a flop transition.

One can further distinguish three types of codimension-one boundaries of the complex structure moduli space, corresponding to different degenerations of the generalized functional invariant map (3.4):

- **Ramification points collide with each other.** The corresponding part of the boundary is reducible and exhibits itself three different components:

(1) In the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ the two excess ramification points collide and one has

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda_+ = \lambda_- = \frac{(-1)^s}{z_1} \frac{(i+j)^{i+j}}{(i-s)^{i-s}(j+s)^{j+s}},$$

over $y = -(j+s)/(i-s)$. This is illustrated in Figure 2. The combined limit $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to a boundary point of maximally unipotent monodromy that is mirror to the large volume limit of $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$.

For $s = 0$ the covering splits in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ and one obtains a Tyurin degeneration where a component corresponding to the ramification profile $[y_1] = [i]$, $[x_1] = [i]$ intersects another component with ramification profile $[y_2] = [j]$, $[x_2] = [j]$. The two components intersect in an M_m -polarized K3 surface over $\lambda = \lambda_+ = \lambda_-$. The DHT conjecture then implies that the mirror CY threefold $X_m^{[i,j]}$ is fibered by degree $2m$ K3-surfaces, and the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ is mirror to the limit of large base volume for $X_m^{[i,j]}$.

(2) In the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 1$, one of the excess ramification points moves to $\lambda = 0$ such that the ramification profile degenerates to $[y_1] = [i+j]$, $[x_1, x_2] = [i-s, j+s]$. In the mirror $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ this limit will correspond to moving to a boundary of the Kähler cone where $N = 2m(i-s)(j+s)$ rational curves shrink simultaneously and lead to nodal singularities. By smoothing the nodes, and thus performing the conifold transition, one arrives at the one-parameter model $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$ listed in the last column of Table 1.

(3) The limit $z_2 \rightarrow \infty$ of $\Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ keeping $z_1 z_2^j$ finite is equivalent to the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ of the functional invariant map $\Lambda_{i-j-s}^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ obtained after the involution (3.11). The combined limit $z_1 z_2^j \rightarrow 0$, $z_2 \rightarrow \infty$ therefore corresponds to a boundary point of maximally unipotent monodromy that is mirror to the large volume limit of $X_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$.

- **Ramification points collide with 2-orbifold points.** This happens at the vanishing locus of the product of discriminants

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) = \prod_{k=1}^q \tilde{\Delta}_s^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2, \lambda_k).$$

One can check that $\tilde{\Delta}_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[z_1, z_2]$. We also define $\Delta_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) := cz_1^a z_2^b \tilde{\Delta}_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2)$, where $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are chosen such that $\Delta_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2)$. From the perspective of the mirror $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$, this corresponds to the locus where the 6-brane becomes massless and is sometimes referred to as the principal component of the discriminant locus.

- **The map becomes constant.** This happens in the limits $z_1 \rightarrow 0, \infty$. The limit $z_1 \rightarrow 0$ is mirror to a large volume limit of $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and in the cases with $s = 0$ corresponds to the limit of large fiber volume of the K3-fibration when $|z_2| \ll 1$. On the other hand, the nature of the limit $z_1 \rightarrow \infty$ depends on the choice of m .

In order to get a smooth CY, we can therefore let z_1, z_2 take values in

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{cpx.}} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \setminus (\{\Delta_{m,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) = 0\} \cup \{z_2 = 1\}).$$

Taking into account the potential quotient due to (3.11), this is, in general, a double cover of a dense open subset of the complex structure moduli space of $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$.

The structure of the moduli space is illustrated for the examples $X_2^{[2,1]}$ and $X_{2,1}^{[2,1]}$ in Figure 3.

4. A-MODEL GEOMETRIES

We shall now construct the CY threefolds $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ that are mirror to $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$, and check that they satisfy the properties stated in the introduction.




FIGURE 3. The phase structure of the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X_2^{[2,1]}$. The discriminant component $\Delta_{2,0}^{[2,1]}(z_1, z_2) = 0$ is depicted in blue while $z_2 = 1$ is shown in red.

4.1. **Toric cases** $m = 2$. We first discuss the case $m = 2$ in detail, as the essential features of the construction will apply to the other cases as well.

Using the results from [86, Section 3], We first recognize that the period (3.6) can formally be obtained as the mirror fundamental period of the complete intersection

$$(4.1) \quad X_{2,s}^{[i,j]} = \mathbb{P} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} i & j & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 4 & i-s & j \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Let $P := \mathbb{P}_{i,j,1,1,1,1}^5$ such that the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $V := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_P(-s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P)$ is the toric ambient space in (4.1) ⁸. We denote the homogeneous coordinates on P by $[u_1 : \dots : u_6]$ and on the \mathbb{P}^1 -fibers of V by $[v_1 : v_2]$. Now, consider a generic homogeneous polynomial $P^{(4)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)$ of weighted degree 4 and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= p_1^{(i)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_1 + p_2^{(i-s)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_2, \\ Q_2 &:= p_3^{(j+s)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_1 + p_4^{(j)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_a^{(b)}$ is a generic homogeneous polynomial of degree b for $a = 1, \dots, 4$, such that $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ is the complete intersection

$$(4.3) \quad X_{2,s}^{[i,j]} = \{ P^{(4)} = Q_1 = Q_2 = 0 \} \subset V.$$

For $s = 0$ the ambient space of $X_2^{[i,j]} = X_{2,0}^{[i,j]}$ takes the form $V = P \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the induced projection

$$(4.4) \quad \pi : X_2^{[i,j]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1,$$

has as generic fiber the $\langle 4 \rangle$ -polarized K3 surface $\Sigma^2 = \mathbb{P}^3[4]$.

Conifold transition to $\mathcal{X}_2^{[i,j]}$. In order to understand the geometry of $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$, let us briefly recall some standard results on small resolutions of ordinary double point singularities (ODP or conifold

⁸Strictly speaking, we have to restrict to the complement of $S = \{u_3 = \dots = u_6 = 0\} \subset P$ since $\mathcal{O}_P(-s)$ is not a line bundle if s is not divisible by i and j , as happens for the cases with $s \neq 0$. It is easy to check that the Calabi-Yau does not intersect this locus and we leave the restriction implicit.

for brevity). Locally, the ODP takes the form

$$(4.5) \quad W = \{uv - zw = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Introducing divisors $D_+ = \{u = z = 0\}$ and $D_- = \{u = w = 0\}$ we obtain two inequivalent small resolutions, $\widehat{W} = \text{Bl}_{D_+} W$ and $\widehat{W}' = \text{Bl}_{D_-} W$, that are both isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ and related by a flop. The blow-ups can explicitly be constructed as complete intersections

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{W} &= \{uy_1 - zy_2 = wy_1 - vy_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \\ \widehat{W}' &= \{uy_1 - wy_2 = zy_1 - vy_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1. \end{aligned}$$

To relate this to $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ we first define

$$(4.7) \quad \widetilde{X} := \{P^{(4)} = \widetilde{Q} = 0\} \subset P, \quad \widetilde{Q} = p_1^{(i)} p_4^{(j)} - p_2^{(i-s)} p_3^{(j+s)}.$$

Since \widetilde{Q} is the resultant of (Q_1, Q_2) , we find that the projection from V to P induces a projection

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\pi} : X_{2,s}^{[i,j]} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}.$$

Comparing with (4.2) we see that $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ is the blow-up of \widetilde{X} along $\{p_1^{(i)} = p_2^{(i-s)} = 0\} \subset \widetilde{X}$ and therefore a simultaneous small resolution of the $N = 4(i-s)(j+s)$ ordinary double points

$$(4.9) \quad Z = \{p_1^{(i)} = p_2^{(i-s)} = p_3^{(j+s)} = p_4^{(j)} = 0\} \subset \widetilde{X}.$$

Since \widetilde{X} is smooth away from $Z \subset \widetilde{X}$ we then also conclude that $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ is smooth. The smooth deformation of \widetilde{X} is the CY threefold $\mathcal{X}_2^{[i,j]} = \mathbb{P}_{i,j,1,1,1,1}^5[4, i+j]$ with $h_{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_2^{[i,j]}) = 1$.

Birationality of $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$. It is now easy to show that $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ are birational to each other. To this end we first denote the toric ambient space of $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ by $\widetilde{V} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_P(j+s-i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P)$ and write

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{Q}_1 &= \tilde{p}_1^{(i)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_1 + \tilde{p}_2^{(j+s)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_2, \\ \widetilde{Q}_2 &= \tilde{p}_3^{(i-s)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_1 + \tilde{p}_4^{(j)}(u_1, \dots, u_6)v_2. \end{aligned}$$

After choosing

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{p}_1^{(i)} = p_1^{(i)}, \quad \tilde{p}_2^{(j+s)} = p_3^{(j+s)}, \quad \tilde{p}_3^{(i-s)} = p_2^{(i-s)}, \quad \tilde{p}_4^{(j)} = p_4^{(j)},$$

we see that $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ is the blow-up of \widetilde{X} along the divisor $\{p_1^{(i)} = p_3^{(j+s)} = 0\} \subset \widetilde{X}$. Therefore $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ are related by flopping each of the exceptional curves. More generally, one can check that $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{m,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ are related by flopping the $N = 2m(i-s)(j+s)$ exceptional curves⁹.

At the level of the free energies the intersection numbers and second Chern classes from (1.2) behave appropriately under $(s, T, S) \mapsto (i-j-s, T-iS, -S)$, such that the polynomial part of the prepotential and one-loop free energy transform into

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F_0^{\text{pol}}(T, S) &\mapsto F_0^{\text{pol}}(T-iS, -S) - \frac{N}{6}B_3(-S) - \frac{N}{4}S^2, \\ F_1^{\text{pol}}(T, S) &\mapsto F_1^{\text{pol}}(T-iS, -S) + \frac{N}{12}B_1(-S) - \frac{N}{24} \end{aligned}$$

where $B_n(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials, originating from Jonquière's formula

$$(4.13) \quad \text{Li}_n(e^{2\pi i S}) + (-1)^n \text{Li}_n(e^{-2\pi i S}) = -\frac{(2\pi i)^n}{n!} B_n(S).$$

Tyurin degeneration and Hodge numbers. Let us now construct the Tyurin degeneration on the A-model side. To this end we consider the family of singular CY threefolds

$$(4.14) \quad \widetilde{X}(t) := \{P^{(4)} = p_1^{(i)} p_4^{(j)} - t p_2^{(i-s)} p_3^{(j+s)} = 0\} \subset P,$$

⁹The flop transition relating the models $(m, i, j, s) = (3, 2, 1, 0)$ and $(3, 2, 1, 1)$ was discussed in [87, Example 4.3].

such that $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(1)$. In the limit $t \rightarrow 0$, the fiber degenerates into the union of Fano threefolds

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{X}(0) = P[4, i] \cup_{\tilde{X}(0)} P[4, j] \simeq F_2^{[i]} \cup_{\tilde{X}(0)} F_2^{[j]},$$

with $F_2^{[a]} = \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,1,a}^4[4]$. We can further identify $F_2^{[1]} = \mathbb{P}^4[4]$, $F_2^{[2]} = \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,1,2}^4[4]$ and $F_2^{[4]} = \mathbb{P}^3$ and the intersection of the two Fano components is the degree 4 polarized K3 surface $\Sigma^2 = F_2^{[i]} \times_{\tilde{X}(0)} F_2^{[j]} = \mathbb{P}^3[4]$.

However, (4.14) is not yet a Tyurin degeneration because the total space of the family is not smooth. As discussed above, we can blow up each of the fibers in the family along the divisor $\{p_1^{(i)} = p_2^{(i-s)} = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}(t)$, such that for $t \neq 0$ the resulting blow-up of the fiber is smooth. As discussed in [19, Section 5.3], we still need to blow up along $\{p_1^{(i)} = p_3^{(j+s)} = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}(t)$ in order for the total space of the family (4.14) to be smooth as well. This only affects the central fiber. Note that the Tyurin degeneration associated to the flopped geometry $X_{2,i-j-s}^{[i,j]}$ is obtained by changing the order of the blow ups.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^2}(1)$ the restriction of the hyperplane bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 to Σ^2 , the result of the two blow ups of $\tilde{X}(0)$ takes the form $\tilde{F} \cup_{\tilde{X}} F_2^{[j]}$, where \tilde{F} is the blow-up of $F_2^{[i]}$ first along the zero locus of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^2}(i-s)$ and then of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^2}(j+s)$. We can now use [19, Proposition 5.6] to find the Hodge numbers

$$(4.16) \quad h_{1,1}(X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}) = 2, \quad h_{1,2}(X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}) = 2 + 2 \frac{i+j}{ij} (20 + i^2j + ij^2) - 4(i-s)(j+s),$$

such that the Euler characteristic is indeed opposite to (3.5).

Batyrev-Borisov mirror. The realization of $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ as a complete intersection V does not in general correspond to a nef-partition of the anti-canonical class on V . Nevertheless it turns out that the Batyrev-Borisov mirror construction [88] still works.¹⁰

Let us first recall the mirror construction in the context of actual nef-partitions. A mirror pair of nef-partitions with r parts then corresponds to a pair of d -dimensional reflexive polytopes Δ, ∇ and lattice polytopes Δ_i, ∇_j , $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ such that $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_r$, $\Delta^\circ = \text{Conv}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_r)$, $\nabla = \nabla_1 + \dots + \nabla_r$ and $\nabla^\circ = \text{Conv}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r)$, while for each pair of points $\vec{m} \in \Delta_i$, $\vec{n} \in \nabla_j$ one has $\langle \vec{m}, \vec{n} \rangle \geq -\delta_{i,j}$. Denoting by P_Δ, P_∇ the toric varieties that are respectively associated to (refinements of) the face fans of Δ° and ∇° , one then has nef divisors $D_{\Delta_i}, D_{\nabla_j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ on P_Δ and P_∇ and a corresponding mirror pair of complete intersection CY $(d-r)$ -folds $X_{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r} \subset P_\Delta$, $X_{\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_r} \subset P_\nabla$. Denoting the torus invariant divisors on P_Δ, P_∇ that are associated to points $\vec{n} \in \partial\Delta^\circ$, $\vec{m} \in \partial\nabla^\circ$ by $D_{\vec{n}}, D_{\vec{m}}$, one has

$$(4.17) \quad D_{\Delta_i} = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \nabla_i - \vec{0}} D_{\vec{n}}, \quad D_{\nabla_j} = \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Delta_j - \vec{0}} D_{\vec{m}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r.$$

On the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \subset P_\nabla$, one can choose coordinates x_1, \dots, x_d and the threefold $X_{\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_r}$ is the intersection of the vanishing loci of the polynomials

$$(4.18) \quad p_i = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \nabla_i} c_{i, \vec{n}} \vec{x}^{\vec{n}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

for some choice of coefficients $c_{i, \vec{n}} \in \mathbb{C}$, with analogous expressions for the polynomials defining $X_{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \subset P_\Delta$.

The toric data associated to $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ is summarized in Table 9. Let us stress that in general Δ° is not reflexive and should not be interpreted as the polar dual of a lattice polytope Δ . Nonetheless we can apply the Batyrev-Borisov construction to obtain the mirror at least on a dense open subset. To

¹⁰The reason is that in some cases one of the component divisors of the “nef-partition” and/or the canonical divisor itself fail to be Cartier, which can happen if the ambient space is singular. This is a well known phenomenon and arises for example also in the case $X_{6,4} = \mathbb{P}_{3,2,2,1,1,1}^5[6,4]$. The problem can usually be solved by a suitable blowup of the toric ambient space that does not intersect the Calabi-Yau complete intersection itself.

	$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$						$l^{(1)}$	$l^{(2)}$	∇_i
u_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	i	0	2
u_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	j	0	3
u_3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
u_4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
u_5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
u_6	$-i$	$-j$	-1	-1	-1	s	1	0	1
v_1	0	0	0	0	0	1	$-s$	1	2
v_2	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	1	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	$s - i$	-1	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-j$	-1	3

TABLE 9. The toric data associated to $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$. In the first six columns we list the coordinates of the relevant points in $\Delta^\circ = \text{Conv}(\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \nabla_3)$, with the associated homogeneous coordinate indicated on the left, while the seventh and eighth column contain the coefficients of the points in the two independent linear relations. The last column indicates whether the point belongs to ∇_1 , ∇_2 or ∇_3 . In each case there is a unique fine regular star triangulation of the listed points and the corresponding fan defines the toric variety $P_\Delta = P$.

this end we choose coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_6) on $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^6$ and write down the defining polynomials (4.18). We can use the freedom to rescale the coordinates and the defining polynomials to obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= 1 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + (-1)^{i+j+s} z_1 \frac{x_6^s}{x_1^i x_2^j x_3 x_4 x_5}, \\ p_2 &= 1 + x_1 + x_6, \quad p_3 = 1 + x_2 + \frac{z_2}{x_6}, \end{aligned}$$

in terms of the invariant complex structure coordinates $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. After suitable restriction to a dense open subset $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^6$ we can eliminate x_1, x_2 and rewrite this as $\{p = 0\} \subset V$ with

$$(4.20) \quad p = 1 + \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{(1-y)^i (1-z_2/y)^j}{y^s} u_1 u_2 u_3 (u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - 1),$$

where we use $u_1 = -x_3$, $u_2 = -x_4$, $u_3 = -x_5$ and $y = -x_6$. Comparing this to the fundamental modular family of M_2 -polarized K3 surfaces from Table 6, we find that the CY threefold mirror to $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ is indeed the M_2 -polarized fibration $Y_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ associated to the generalized functional invariant map $\Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ in (3.4).

Using the representation of the fundamental period from [86], we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) = \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} \prod_{a=1}^6 \frac{dx_a}{x_a} = \oint \frac{dy}{y(1-y)(1-z_2/y)} \int_{\Gamma_u} \frac{du_1 du_2 du_3}{p},$$

with the contour $\Gamma \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^6$ corresponding to $|x_1| = \dots = |x_6| = \epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and Γ_u to $|u_1| = |u_2| = |u_3| = \epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Expanding $1/p$ in powers of z_1 and using

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_0} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 du_i}{[u_1 u_2 u_3 (1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3)]^{d+1}} = \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4} = c_2(d),$$

we get

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dy}{y} \frac{1}{(1-y)(1-z_2/y)} f_2(\lambda = \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)) \\ &= \sum_{d,k \geq 0} c_2(d) \frac{(k+di-ds)!(k+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!(k-ds)!k!} z_1^d z_2^k, \end{aligned}$$

which precisely matches the expression (3.6).

4.2. Toric cases $m = 1, 3, 4$. The other toric cases $m = 1, 3, 4$ can be treated in the same way as the $m = 2$ case in the previous subsection. In those cases, using again the results from [86, Section 3], we find that the periods (3.6) can be obtained as the mirror fundamental periods of the complete intersections

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{1,s}^{[i,j]} &= \mathbb{P} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} i & j & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 6 & i-s & j \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ X_{3,s}^{[i,j]} &= \mathbb{P} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} i & j & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & i-s & j \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ X_{4,s}^{[i,j]} &= \mathbb{P} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} i & j & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & i-s & j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the generalized functional invariant map (3.4) can in each case be reproduced by applying the Batyrev-Borisov construction as in Section 4.1. While the generalized homological invariant in the cases with $m = 1$ is harder to determine, it is fixed by comparing the Hodge numbers of the B-model geometries with those calculated in [17].

4.3. Non-toric cases $m = 5$. We now come to non-toric cases, starting with $m = 5$.

As discussed e.g. in [74], the generic degree 10 polarized K3 surface Σ^5 can be realized as the intersection of the Plücker embedding of $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ in \mathbb{P}^9 with a quadric and a linear subspace of codimension three, i.e.

$$(4.25) \quad \Sigma^5 = X_{\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,5}.$$

Using the ‘‘Trick with the Factorials’’ from [21], one finds that the coefficients (A.1) of the fundamental period $f_5(\lambda)$ of the mirror Σ_5 can be rewritten as

$$(4.26) \quad c_5(d) = \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{2d}{d} = \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{2d}{d} \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{d+l}{l},$$

where we have used the identity

$$(4.27) \quad \binom{d+l}{l} = \sum_{n=0}^d \binom{d}{n} \binom{l}{n}.$$

We can then write

$$(4.28) \quad \varpi_{5,s}^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{d,k \geq 0} c_5(d) \frac{(k+di-ds)!(k+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!(k-ds)!k!} z_1^d z_2^k = \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, 1, 1),$$

in terms of

$$(4.29) \quad \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \sum_{d,k,l_1,l_2 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{2d}{d} \frac{(k+di-ds)!(k+dj)!}{(di)!(dj)!(k-ds)!k!} z_1^d z_2^k z_3^{l_1} z_4^{l_2}.$$

This is the mirror fundamental period of a CY threefold $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$, with $h_{1,1}(\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}) = 4$, that is a codimension six complete intersection in a nine-dimensional toric ambient space whose toric data is given in Table 10.

The relationship (4.29) among the periods suggests that $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ is connected to $X_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ via a conifold transition and we will show that this is indeed the case. To this end let us first discuss the mirror. Using affine coordinates $(x'_1, \dots, x'_9) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^9$, we can write the defining polynomials of the complete

	$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$									$l^{(1)}$	$l^{(2)}$	$l^{(3)}$	$l^{(4)}$	Δ_i
w_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i	0	0	0	5
w_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	j	0	0	0	6
u_1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
u_2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
u_3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	1
u_4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	2
u_5	$-i$	$-j$	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	s	1	0	0	-1	2
u_6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
u_7	0	0	0	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	-1	1
u_8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
u_9	$-i$	$-j$	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	s	0	0	0	1	2
v_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$-s$	1	0	0	5
v_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$s-i$	-1	0	0	5
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-j$	-1	0	0	6

TABLE 10. The toric data associated to the Calabi-Yau $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ that is connected to $X_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ via a conifold transition. The format is explained in the description of Table 9. In each case there are five different fine regular star triangulation of the listed points and we choose the one such that the linear relations among the points correspond to a basis of the Mori cone on the associated toric variety $P_\Delta = P$.

intersection $\widetilde{Y}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ mirror to $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ on this open subset as

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= 1 + x'_5 + x'_8 + z_3 \frac{x'_5 x'_6}{x'_7}, & p_3 &= 1 + x'_4, & p_4 &= 1 + x'_3, & p_5 &= 1 + x'_1 + x'_9, \\ p_2 &= 1 + x'_6 + x'_7 - (-1)^{i+j+s} \left(\frac{z_1}{x'_5 x'_6} + \frac{z_1 z_3 z_4}{x'_7 x'_8} \right) \frac{(x'_9)^s}{(x'_1)^i (x'_2)^j x'_3 x'_4}, & p_6 &= 1 + x'_2 + \frac{z_2}{x'_9}. \end{aligned}$$

After setting $z_3 = z_4 = 1$, using p_1, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6 to eliminate x'_1, \dots, x'_5 and introducing

$$(4.31) \quad x_1 = x'_6, \quad x_2 = x'_7, \quad y_1 = x'_8, \quad y = -x'_9,$$

we can reduce this to the hypersurface $\{p' = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^4$ with

$$(4.32) \quad p = x_2 y_1 - x_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{(1-y)^i (1-z_2/y)^j}{y^s} x_1 x_2 (1+x_1+x_2) y_1 (1+y_2),$$

We see that this can be compactified to a fibration of

$$(4.33) \quad \left\{ (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2 (y_1 + y_2) (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_1 + x_1 y_2) - \lambda x_1 x_2 x_3 y_1 y_2 = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where (4.32) is recovered after identifying $\lambda = \Lambda_s^{[i,j]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ and setting

$$(4.34) \quad x_3 \rightarrow -x_1 - x_2 - 1, \quad y_2 \rightarrow -y_1 - 1.$$

The hypersurfaces (4.33) parametrized by λ in fact define a universal family of M_5 -polarized K3-surfaces, whose equation differs from the standard one in the literature, see Table 6. After specializing the complex structure of $\widetilde{Y}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ setting $z_3 = z_4 = 1$, and performing a small resolution of all nodal singularities, we therefore obtain indeed $Y_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$.

Let us now return to the A-model geometries. To understand the conifold transition from $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ to $X_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ we distinguish two cases.

Case I: $(i, j, s) \in \{(1, 1, 0), (2, 1, 0), (2, 1, 1)\}$. We first use the equations associated to Δ_i and Δ_3 to eliminate the homogeneous coordinates w_1 and w_2 . This allows us to construct $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,1]}$ as a codimension four complete intersection in a seven-dimensional toric ambient space P'_Δ . The corresponding toric data is obtained from that in Table 10 by projecting the points onto the last seven coordinates and dropping the two rows that are respectively associated to the origin in Δ_i and Δ_3 .

The homogeneous coordinates $[u_1 : \dots : u_9]$ then parametrize a toric variety $\widehat{P}(2, 5)$ which is a small resolution of the toric degeneration $P(2, 5)$ of $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ discussed in [89, 21]. The conifold transition from $\widetilde{Y}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ to $Y_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ discussed above is dual on the A-model side to the transition from $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ to $X_{5,s}^{[i,j]}$ that is induced by the conifold transition on the ambient space from $\widehat{P}(2, 5)$ via $P(2, 5)$ to $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$.

The projection $\pi : P'_\Delta \rightarrow \widehat{P}(2, 5)$ gives P'_Δ the structure of a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle on $\widehat{P}(2, 5)$. The 10 independent sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{P'_\Delta}(D'_{u_1})$, with $D'_{u_1} = \{u_1 = 0\} \subset \widehat{P}(2, 5)$, are given by

$$(4.35) \quad \{u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, u_4u_6, u_3u_7u_8, u_3u_7u_9, u_4u_7u_8, u_4u_7u_9, u_5u_8, u_5u_9\} \subset \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(D'_{u_1})\right),$$

and define an embedding $\iota : \widehat{P}(2, 5) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(1) = \iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(1)$. We then see that

$$(4.36) \quad P'_\Delta = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(-s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}\right),$$

and we denote the relative hyperplane bundle on P'_Δ by ζ .

The four defining polynomials of $\widetilde{X}_{5,s}^{[i,1]}$ in P'_Δ are respectively sections of $\mathcal{L}'_1 = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(3-i)$, $\mathcal{L}'_2 = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(1)$, $\mathcal{L}'_3 = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(i-s) \otimes \zeta$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4 = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(1) \otimes \zeta$. They take the form

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1 &= q_1^{(3-i)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11}), & P_2 &= q_2^{(1)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11}), \\ Q_1 &= p_1^{(i)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11})v_1 + p_2^{(i-s)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11})v_2, \\ Q_2 &= p_3^{(1+s)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11})v_1 + p_4^{(1)}(u_1, u_2, u_3u_6, \dots, u_7u_{11})v_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i^{(d)}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $p_j^{(d)}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$ are homogeneous polynomials in ten variables of degree d .

After following the conifold transition from $\widehat{P}(2, 5) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ on the ambient space, we can just replace $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{P}(2,5)}(1)$ with the pullback $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(2,5)}(1) = \tilde{\iota}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(1)$ along the Plücker embedding $\tilde{\iota} : \text{Gr}(2, 5) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$. We denote the homogeneous coordinates on $P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(-s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9})$ by $[x_1 : \dots : x_{10} : v_1 : v_2]$, with projection $\pi_P : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$, and obtain

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{5,s}^{[i,1]} &= \{q_1^{(3-i)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = q_2^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = p_1^{(i)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10})v_1 + p_2^{(i-s)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10})v_2 \\ &= p_3^{(1+s)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10})v_1 + p_4^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10})v_2 = 0\} \cap \pi_P^{-1}\tilde{\iota}(\text{Gr}(2, 5)) \subset P. \end{aligned}$$

An analogous discussion to the one identifying $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$ as a small resolution of a nodal degeneration of $\mathcal{X}_2^{[i,j]}$ in Section 4.1 then shows the following:

- $X_5^{[1,1]} = X_{5,0}^{[1,1]}$ is a small resolution of a degeneration of the Calabi-Yau threefold $\mathcal{X}_5^{[1,1]} = X_{1,2,2} \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ that has been studied e.g. in [21, 22]. The degeneration has 10 nodes $\{q_1^{(2)} = q_2^{(1)} = p_1^{(1)} = p_2^{(1)} = p_3^{(1)} = p_4^{(1)} = 0\} \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$.
- $X_5^{[2,1]} = X_{5,0}^{[2,1]}$ is a small resolution of a degeneration of the Calabi-Yau threefold $\mathcal{X}_5^{[2,1]} = X_{1,1,3} \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ that has also been studied in [21, 22]. The degeneration has 20 nodes $\{q_1^{(1)} = q_2^{(1)} = p_1^{(2)} = p_2^{(2)} = p_3^{(1)} = p_4^{(1)} = 0\} \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$.

- $X_{5,1}^{[2,1]}$ is a small resolution of the same degeneration of $\mathcal{X}_5^{[2,1]} = X_{1,1,3} \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ and obtained from $X_5^{[2,1]}$ by flopping all of the exceptional curves.

Case II: $(i, j, s) = (2, 2, 0)$. To understand this case we first use the equation associated to Δ_2 to eliminate w_2 and without loss of generality we can then write the defining polynomials of $\tilde{X}_{5,0}^{[2,2]}$ as

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1 &= q_1^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}), & P_2 &= q_2^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}), & P_3 &= q_3^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}), \\ Q_1 &= \left[w_1 + p_1^{(2)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}) \right] v_1 + p_2^{(2)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}) v_2, \\ Q_2 &= p_3^{(2)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}) v_1 + \left[w_1 - p_1^{(2)}(u_1, \dots, u_7 u_{11}) \right] v_2. \end{aligned}$$

After following again the conifold transition from $\widehat{P}(2, 5) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ we then obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{5,0}^{[2,2]} &= \{ q_1^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = q_2^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = q_3^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) \\ &= \left[w_1 + p_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) \right] v_1 - p_1^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) v_2 \\ &= p_4^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) v_1 + \left[w_1 - p_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) \right] v_2 = 0 \} \cap \pi_P^{-1} \tilde{l}(\text{Gr}(2, 5)) \subset P, \end{aligned}$$

where $P := U \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with $[w_1 : x_1 : \dots : x_{10}]$ homogeneous coordinates on $W := \mathbb{P}_{2,1,\dots,1}^{10}$ and $U := W \setminus \{x_1 = \dots = x_{10} = 0\}$ while π_P is the projection from P to \mathbb{P}^9 that factors through U .

We denote by B_5 the del Pezzo threefold of degree 5 obtained as the intersection of the image of $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ under the Plücker embedding with a linear subspace of codimension three in \mathbb{P}^9 , i.e. $B_5 = X_{\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus 3}^{2,5}$. Then $X_5^{[2,2]} = X_{5,0}^{[2,2]}$ is a small resolution of

$$(4.41) \quad \tilde{X} := \{ w_1^2 + p_1^{(2)} p_4^{(2)} - \left(p_2^{(2)} \right)^2 = 0 \} \cap V_5^{[2,2]} \subset W,$$

where we use $V_5^{[2,2]}$ to denote the closure in $\mathbb{P}_{2,1,\dots,1}^{10}$ of the preimage of the Plücker embedding of B_5 in \mathbb{P}^9 under the projection $\pi_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$, i.e.

$$(4.42) \quad V_5^{[2,2]} := \overline{\pi_U^{-1}(B_5)} \subset W.$$

The Calabi-Yau threefold \tilde{X} is a double cover of B_5 with ramification locus

$$(4.43) \quad R = \{ p_1^{(2)} p_4^{(2)} - \left(p_2^{(2)} \right)^2 = 0 \} \cap B_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^9.$$

Assuming that the polynomials $p_i^{(2)}$, $i = 1, 2, 4$ are generic, we can use them as local coordinates around the 40 points $\{ p_1^{(2)} = p_2^{(2)} = p_4^{(2)} = 0 \} \cap B_5$ and see that these are isolated nodes of R . The double cover \tilde{X} then also has nodes over the 40 nodes of R and is smooth everywhere else. The smooth deformation $\mathcal{X}_5^{[2,2]}$ of \tilde{X} is a generic Calabi-Yau double cover of B_5 .

Tyurin degenerations. In each case the Tyurin degeneration is obtained by replacing $p_2^{(\bullet)}$ with $tp_2^{(\bullet)}$ and can be discussed analogously to $X_{2,s}^{[i,j]}$.

4.4. Uniform construction. So far the construction of the geometries $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ for $m = 1, \dots, 5$ has always led to the same pattern that we will now use to describe a uniform construction for all $m = 1, \dots, 9, 11$.

Recall that a variety V , which in the following we assume to be of complex dimension 4, is called Fano if the anti-canonical bundle $-K_V$ is ample. The index of V is the largest integer r such that $-K_V = rH$ for some Weil divisor H on V and then the degree of V is $d = H^4$ [91]¹¹. We list in Table 11 the four-dimensional Fano manifolds of Picard rank 1 and index $r = 2, \dots, 5$ from [90] together with singular Fano varieties of index 4, 6 and 8.

All but two of the geometries can be realized directly as complete intersections in weighted projective spaces or Grassmanians. The construction of the singular Fano fourfold $V_5^{[2,2]}$ of index $r = 4$

¹¹Note that some authors define the degree of a Fano fourfold instead as $(-K_V)^4 = r^4 d$.

(m, i, j)	index r	degree d	variety $V_m^{[i,j]}$		S
$(2, 4, 4)$	8	1/4	$\mathbb{P}_{14,4}^4$		\times
$(2, 4, 2)$	6	1/2	$\mathbb{P}_{14,2}^4$		\times
$(3, 3, 3)$	6	2/3	$\mathbb{P}_{15,3}^5[2]$		\times
$(2, 4, 1)$	5	1	\mathbb{P}^4		\checkmark
$(3, 3, 2)$	5	1	\mathbb{P}^4		\checkmark
$(1, 2, 2)$	4	1/2	$\mathbb{P}_{13,2^2,3}^5[6]$		\times
$(2, 2, 2)$	4	1	$\mathbb{P}_{14,2^2}^5[4]$		\times
$(3, 3, 1)$	4	2	$\mathbb{P}^5[2]$	Q^4	\checkmark
$(4, 2, 2)$	4	2	$\mathbb{P}^5[2]$	Q^4	\checkmark
$(3, 2, 2)$	4	3/2	$\mathbb{P}_{15,2}^5[3]$		\times
$(5, 2, 2)$	4	5/2	see (4.42)		\times
$(1, 2, 1)$	3	1	$\mathbb{P}_{14,2,3}^5[6]$	FI_1^4	\checkmark
$(2, 2, 1)$	3	2	$\mathbb{P}_{15,2}^5[4]$	FI_2^4	\checkmark
$(3, 2, 1)$	3	3	$\mathbb{P}^5[3]$	FI_3^4	\checkmark
$(4, 2, 1)$	3	4	$\mathbb{P}^6[2, 2]$	FI_4^4	\checkmark
$(5, 2, 1)$	3	5	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 2}^{2,5}$	FI_5^4	\checkmark
$(1, 1, 1)$	2	2	$\mathbb{P}_{15,3}^5[6]$	V_2^4	\checkmark
$(2, 1, 1)$	2	4	$\mathbb{P}^5[4]$	V_4^4	\checkmark
$(3, 1, 1)$	2	6	$\mathbb{P}^6[2, 3]$	V_6^4	\checkmark
$(4, 1, 1)$	2	8	$\mathbb{P}^7[2, 2, 2]$	V_8^4	\checkmark
$(5, 1, 1)$	2	10	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus \mathcal{O}(2)}^{2,5}$	V_{10}^4	\checkmark
$(6, 1, 1)$	2	12	$X_{\mathcal{E}(1)}^{2,5}$	V_{12}^4	\checkmark
$(7, 1, 1)$	2	14	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 4}^{2,6}$	V_{14}^4	\checkmark
$(8, 1, 1)$	2	16	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus 2\oplus \Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}}^{3,6}$	V_{16}^4	\checkmark
$(9, 1, 1)$	2	18	$X_{\mathcal{O}(1)\oplus \Lambda^4 \mathcal{E}}^{5,7}$	V_{18}^4	\checkmark
$(11, 1, 1)$	2	22	see (4.50)		\times

TABLE 11. Fano varieties $V_m^{[i,j]}$ with Picard rank 1. The smoothness is indicated in the last column and in the smooth cases we also provide the name of the Fano manifold, following the conventions from [90], in the second to last column.

has been described in Section 4.3 while that of $V_{11}^{[1,1]}$ with index $r = 2$ will be described at the end of the section.

We denote by $V := V_m^{[i,j]}$ the Fano fourfold of index $r = i + j$ and degree $d = 2m/(ij)$ from Table 11 and write $\mathcal{O}_V(1) := \mathcal{O}_V(H)$ with H as above. We denote by $V[d_1, \dots, d_k]$ the generic complete intersection associated to $\mathcal{O}_V(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_V(d_k)$. Then $V[i, j]$ is the degree $2m$ K3-surface Σ^m and we define $\mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]} := V[i + j]$. For each (m, i, j) in Table 11 we see that $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_m^{[i,j]}$ is a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold with $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. As discussed in 4.3, $\mathcal{X}_5^{[2,2]}$ is a double cover of B_5 and similarly, the construction of $V_{11}^{[1,1]}$ given below will show that $\mathcal{X}_{11}^{[1,1]}$ is a double cover of the Fano threefold A_{22} .

Given generic sections $p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i-s)}, p_3^{(j+s)}, p_4^{(j)}$ with $p_a^{(b)} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V(b))$ we define

$$(4.44) \quad \tilde{X} := \{p_1^{(i)} p_4^{(j)} - p_2^{(i-s)} p_3^{(j+s)} = 0\} \subset V,$$

which has $2m(i-s)(j+s)$ isolated nodal singularities at

$$(4.45) \quad Z := \{p_1^{(i)} = p_2^{(i-s)} = p_3^{(j+s)} = p_4^{(j)} = 0\} \subset V.$$

For $s = 0, \dots, i - j$ we denote by $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ the blow-up of \tilde{X} along $p_1^{(i)} = p_2^{(i-s)} = 0$ and define $X_m^{[i,j]} := X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$. Note that for $m = 2, \dots, 5$ this reproduces the construction from the previous sections. Again $X_m^{[i,j]}$ and $X_{m,i-j}^{[i,j]}$ are related by flopping the exceptional curves.

We can again explicitly construct $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ as a complete intersection in a projective bundle on V . To this end we define the projective bundle $P := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_V(-s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_V)$ with projection $\pi : P \rightarrow V$, denote by ζ_P the relative hyperplane bundle on P and write $\mathcal{O}_P(a, b) := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_V(a) \otimes \zeta_P^{\otimes b}$ ¹². Then $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ is the complete intersection associated to the bundle

$$(4.46) \quad E = \mathcal{O}_P(i - s, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P(j, 1).$$

For $m = 2$ this coincides with the definition in Section 4.1 and for $m = 5$ we recover the geometries from Section 4.3.

We then define the family

$$(4.47) \quad \tilde{X}(t) = \{ p_1^{(i)} p_4^{(j)} - t p_2^{(i-s)} p_3^{(j+s)} = 0 \} \subset V,$$

such that $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(1)$. The central fiber takes the form

$$(4.48) \quad \tilde{X}(0) = F_m^{[i]} \cup_{\tilde{X}(0)} F_m^{[j]},$$

where $F_m^{[i]}$ and $F_m^{[j]}$ are respectively the smooth Fano threefolds that are obtained as the vanishing loci of generic sections of $\mathcal{O}_V(j)$ and $\mathcal{O}_V(i)$. They intersect in the K3 surface $\Sigma^m = F_m^{[i]} \times_{\tilde{X}(0)} F_m^{[j]}$. In order to obtain a smooth total space we first blow up each fiber of the family $\tilde{X}(t)$ along $p_1^{[i]} = p_2^{[i-s]} = 0$ and then along $p_3^{[j+s]} = p_4^{[j]} = 0$. The resulting family $\hat{X}(t)$ is a Tyurin degeneration. We denote the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{F_m^{[i]}}(1)$ to Σ^m by $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^m}(1)$. Then the generic fiber of $\hat{X}(t)$ is $X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ while the central fiber takes the form

$$(4.49) \quad \hat{X}(0) = \tilde{F} \cup_{\hat{X}(0)} F_m^{[j]},$$

with \tilde{F} being the blow-up of $F_m^{[i]}$ first along the zero locus of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^m}(i - s)$ and then along the zero locus of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^m}(j + s)$.

The construction of $V_{11}^{[1,1]}$. The construction of the Fano variety $V_{11}^{[1,1]}$ of index 2 is similar to that of $V_5^{[2,2]}$ described in Section 4.3. In this case we let $W := \mathbb{P}^{21}$, denote the homogeneous coordinates by $[w : x_1 : \dots : x_{21}]$ and consider $U = W \setminus \{x_1 = \dots = x_{21} = 0\}$ with projection $\pi_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{20}$. Then $A_{11}^{[1,1]}$ is the closure

$$(4.50) \quad V_{11}^{[1,1]} := \overline{\pi_U^{-1}(A_{22})} \subset W,$$

where we implicitly use the Plücker embedding of $A_{22} := X_{(\wedge^2 S_V)^{\oplus 3}}^{3,7} \subset \text{Gr}(3, 7) \subset \mathbb{P}^{20}$. This is the projective cone over the smooth Fano threefold A_{22} of genus $\frac{1}{2}(-K_V)^3 + 1 = 12$.

A generic Calabi-Yau double cover of A_{22} then takes the form

$$(4.51) \quad \mathcal{X}_{11}^{[1,1]} := \{ w^2 - Q(x_1, \dots, x_{21}) = 0 \} \cap V_{11}^{[1,1]} \subset W,$$

where $Q(x_1, \dots, x_{21})$ is a generic homogeneous polynomial of degree two. Note that $\mathcal{X}_{11}^{[1,1]}$ does not intersect the point $\{x_1 = \dots = x_{21} = 0\} \subset W$. We can then consider the nodal degeneration

$$(4.52) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}} := \{ w^2 - p_1^{(1)} p_4^{(1)} + p_2^{(1)} p_3^{(1)} = 0 \} \cap V_{11}^{[1,1]} \subset W,$$

of $\mathcal{X}_{11}^{[1,1]}$ where $p_i^{(1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are homogeneous polynomial of degree one in x_1, \dots, x_{21} . This has 22 nodes at $Z := \{p_1^{(1)} = \dots = p_4^{(1)} = 0\} \subset V_{11}^{[1,1]}$. The small resolution and corresponding Tyurin degeneration can then be constructed as discussed above.

¹²Again we leave the restriction to the locus where $\mathcal{O}_V(-s)$ is actually a line bundle implicit.

Quantum periods and the quantum Lefschetz principle. The so-called quantum period of a Fano manifold V with index r was introduced in [92]. It takes the form

$$(4.53) \quad G_V(z) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_V(d) z^{rd},$$

in terms of certain genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants $c_V(d) \in \mathbb{Q}$ of V . The quantum period is expected to uniquely determine the Fano manifold and was therefore proposed as a basis for a classification program, see e.g. [93] and references therein. If the complete intersection associated to a bundle $\mathcal{O}_V(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_V(a_k)$ is Calabi-Yau, then the quantum Lefschetz theorem [94] implies that

$$(4.54) \quad f(z) = \sum_{d \geq 0} (a_1 d)! \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k d)! c_V(d) z^d,$$

is the fundamental period of the mirror.

Let us now consider the case $V = V_m^{[i,j]}$. Since the complete intersection associated to $\mathcal{O}_V(i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_V(j)$ is the degree $2m$ K3 surface Σ^m , we can use the quantum Lefschetz theorem to identify

$$(4.55) \quad c_V(d) = \frac{c_m(d)}{(id)!(jd)!},$$

in terms of the coefficients $c_m(d)$ of the fundamental period of the M_m polarized K3 Σ_m mirror to Σ^m . We also recall the quantum period of \mathbb{P}^1 , given by

$$(4.56) \quad G_{\mathbb{P}^1}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) z^{2k}, \quad \text{with} \quad c_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2}.$$

For the cases with $s = 0$ we can then use [95, Proposition E.3] and again the quantum Lefschetz theorem to conclude that the mirror fundamental period of $X_m^{[i,j]} = X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ takes the form

$$(4.57) \quad \varpi_m^{[i,j]}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{d,k \geq 0} c_V(d) c_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) (k+id)!(k+jd)! z_1^d z_2^k,$$

in agreement with (3.6).

Note that so far we have assumed that V is a Fano manifold and therefore smooth. This assumption applies in particular to the examples with $m = 6, \dots, 9$. However, the results agree with those from Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 even in cases where $V_m^{[i,j]}$ is singular, such as $(m, i, j, s) = (5, 2, 2, 0)$. We therefore expect that the argument extends to $(m, i, j, s) = (11, 1, 1, 0)$ as well.

4.5. Other geometric realisations. Many of the geometries that we consider have alternative representations as complete intersections, or even hypersurfaces, in different toric ambient spaces. Consider the example $(m, i, j, s) = (2, 4, 1, 0)$. We can construct $X := X_{2,0}^{[4,1]}$ as a complete intersection $X = \{Q_1 = Q_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= p_1^{(4)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) v_1 + p_2^{(4)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) v_2, \\ Q_2 &:= p_3^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) v_1 + p_4^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) v_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $[u_1 : \dots : u_5]$ and $[v_1 : v_2]$ are now respectively homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^4 and \mathbb{P}^1 . Compared to the discussion in the previous section, we have so far just performed the trivial modification of eliminating the weight 4 coordinate on P using the equation $P^{(4)} = 0$. This model has a conifold transition to the quintic, which was analyzed in [96] (see also [13, 97, 98]).

However, we can also represent X as a hypersurface in a toric fourfold. To see this we first note that by changing coordinates on \mathbb{P}^4 , we can assume that $p_3^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) = u_4$ and $p_4^{(1)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) = u_5$. Following the discussion in the previous section, we then observe that X is the blow-up of the nodal quintic $\tilde{X} := \{u_5 p_1^{(4)}(u_1, \dots, u_5) - u_4 p_2^{(4)}(u_1, \dots, u_5)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along the divisor $\{u_4 = u_5 = 0\} \subset \tilde{X}$.

But this can equally be realized by performing a toric blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 along $\{u_4 = u_5 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and taking the proper transform of \tilde{X} . The aforementioned blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 is the toric variety

$$(4.59) \quad P'' = \mathbb{P} \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \right),$$

and the proper transform of \tilde{X} along $\pi : P'' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is the anti-canonical hypersurface

$$(4.60) \quad X = \{u_5 p_1^{(4)}(u_1, u_2, u_3, eu_4, eu_5) - u_4 p_2^{(4)}(u_1, u_1, u_3, eu_4, eu_5) = 0\} \subset P'',$$

where we use homogeneous coordinates $[u_1 : u_2 : u_3 : u_4 : u_5 : e]$ on P'' .

Let us now compare the mirror fundamental periods. From the realisation as a complete intersection in P' we obtain the expression (4.23)

$$(4.61) \quad \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{d, k \geq 0} \frac{(d+k)!(4d+k)!}{(d!)^5(k!)^2} z_1^d z_2^k = \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4} {}_2F_1(1+d, 1+4d; 1; z_2) z_1^d.$$

On the other hand, the realisation as a toric hypersurface (4.60) leads to the mirror fundamental period

$$(4.62) \quad \varpi'_0(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{d, k \geq 0} \frac{(4d+k)!}{(d!)^3(d-k)!(k!)^2} w_1^d w_2^k = \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4} {}_2F_1(1+4d, -d; 1; -w_2) w_1^d.$$

Using the Pfaff transform

$$(4.63) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-b} {}_2F_1\left(b, c-a; c; \frac{z}{z-1}\right),$$

we find that the two fundamental periods are related by

$$(4.64) \quad \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{1-z_2} \varpi'_0\left(\frac{z_1}{(1-z_2)^4}, \frac{z_2}{1-z_2}\right).$$

Since the overall factor can be absorbed by a Kähler transformation, or a rescaling of the holomorphic 3-form, we find that the two constructions are related by a birational transformation of the complex structure moduli,

$$(4.65) \quad w_1 = \frac{z_1}{(1-z_2)^4}, \quad w_2 = \frac{z_2}{1-z_2}.$$

Moreover, defining $z = w_1 w_2$ and shifting the summation variable $d \mapsto d+k$ in (4.62), we get

$$(4.66) \quad \varpi'_0(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{d, k \geq 0} \frac{(4d+5k)!}{d!(k!)^2((d+k)!)^3} w_1^d z^k,$$

which reduces to the fundamental period of the mirror quintic when $w_1 \rightarrow 0$ keeping z fixed [97].

Recall that the limit $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$ is mirror to the large volume limit of $X_{2,0}^{[4,1]}$ and this just corresponds to the limit $w_1, w_2 \rightarrow 0$ in the coordinates (4.65). However, the large volume limit associated to the flopped geometry $X_{2,3}^{[4,1]}$ is mirror to the limit $z_2 \rightarrow \infty$ while $z_1 z_2 \rightarrow 0$. In terms of w_1, w_2 this corresponds to the limit $w_1 \rightarrow 0, w_2 \rightarrow -1$. From the perspective of the realisation of X as a toric hypersurface, the flopped geometry is thus hidden at a phase boundary. We therefore find concrete geometric realisations of the so-called “non-toric” flops that have recently been discussed in the context of Calabi-Yau threefolds that are hypersurfaces in toric ambient spaces e.g. in [99]. Let us also note that from a GLSM perspective, the phenomenon that large volume limits can be realized both at phase limits and at phase boundaries, depending on the underlying toric realisation, is of course well known and discussed e.g. in [100, 101, 102].

The geometries $X_m^{[i,j]}$ with $m = 1, 2, 3$ have also appeared in [13], albeit as hypersurfaces and/or complete intersections in different toric ambient spaces, see [13, Table 1]. The CY threefolds that admit a realization as a complete intersection in a product of projective spaces (so called CICYs classified in [103, 104]) are listed in Table 2.

5. MODULARITY OF $\langle 2m \rangle$ -POLARIZED K3 FIBRATIONS

In the previous sections we have constructed mirror pairs $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}, X_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ of Calabi-Yau threefolds where $Y_{m,s}^{[i,j]}$ exhibits an M_m -polarized K3 fibration structure. When $s = 0$ we showed that $X_m^{[i,j]} := X_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ itself admits a fibration by $\langle 2m \rangle$ -polarized K3 surfaces.

In order to unburden the notation we will assume that $m, [i, j]$ are fixed and write $Y = Y_m^{[i,j]}$ and $X = X_m^{[i,j]}$. Let us denote again by $\gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ the divisors that form a basis of a Kähler cone on X and that have been introduced in (1.2). Then $\gamma_2 = [\Sigma]$ is the class of a generic fiber Σ and γ_1 induces the polarization, meaning that the restriction $L_\Sigma := L|_\Sigma \in \text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ of the line bundle L associated to γ_1 generates $\text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ and satisfies $\langle L_\Sigma, L_\Sigma \rangle = 2m$. We have $\kappa_{112} = 2m, \kappa_{122} = \kappa_{222} = 0$ and $c_{2,2} = 24$ and for brevity we define

$$(5.1) \quad \kappa := \kappa_{111}, \quad c_2 := c_{2,1}.$$

Note that any CY3 with a triple intersection and second Chern class of the form above (in some basis) admits a K3-fibration [33].

We also choose a dual basis of curves $\gamma^a, a = 1, 2$ with $\gamma^a \cap \gamma^b = \delta_a^b$. This implies that γ^1 is an isolated curve in one of the K3 fibers while γ^2 is any of $4ij$ holomorphic sections and therefore an embedding of the \mathbb{P}^1 base into the fibration. In order to make contact with the string theory literature, we introduce

$$(5.2) \quad T := t^1, \quad S := t^2,$$

such that T, S are respectively the complexified volumes of γ^1 and γ^2 . As predicted by the DHT-conjecture, the large base limit $S \rightarrow i\infty$ is mirror to the Tyurin degeneration of $Y_m^{[i,j]} = Y_{m,0}^{[i,j]}$ at $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ that has been discussed below (3.13). Recall that in this limit $Y_m^{[i,j]}$ degenerates into a union of two Fano threefolds that intersect in an M_m -polarized K3 Σ_m .

Mirror symmetry implies that the stringy Kähler moduli space of a $\langle 2m \rangle$ -polarized K3 surface is the modular curve $X_0(m)^+ = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(m)^+$. The complex structure modulus of the M_m -polarized K3 fibers in Y varies over the base \mathbb{P}^1 and is only indirectly related to the complex structure moduli of the Calabi-Yau threefold Y . However, in the limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ the modulus z_1 can be interpreted as the complex structure parameter of the central Σ_m where the two Fano components of Y intersect. On the other hand, the complexified Kähler modulus of the generic $\langle 2m \rangle$ -polarized K3 fiber in X directly corresponds to a complexified Kähler modulus of X itself. As a consequence, the modular curve $X_0(m)^+$ can be embedded close to the boundary in the large base limit of the stringy Kähler modulus of X . The $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ monodromies in the stringy Kähler moduli space of the fiber lift to monodromies in the moduli space of the fibration and lead to the well known modular properties of the topological string A-model on X .

5.1. Integral periods and topological B-branes. We first construct an integral symplectic period basis by using homological mirror symmetry, following [105, 106].

The periods of the holomorphic 3-form Ω on Y ,

$$(5.3) \quad \Pi(L) = \int_L \Omega, \quad L \in H_3(Y, \mathbb{Z}),$$

can be interpreted as central charges of topological A-branes that are supported on L and are identified under homological mirror symmetry with central charges of topological B-branes on X . The topological B-branes can be represented by complexes of coherent sheaves

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{F}^\bullet = (\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \dots) \in D^b(X),$$

in the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$. The central charges are multi-valued functions of the complexified Kähler moduli and the asymptotic behaviour near the large volume limit $T, S \rightarrow i\infty$ is

determined by the Gamma class formula [107] (see [108] for a recent review)

$$(5.5) \quad \Pi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \int_X e^{\omega} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(X) (\text{ch } \mathcal{F}^\bullet)^\vee + \mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i T}, e^{2\pi i S}),$$

with the terms in the Gamma class that are relevant for Calabi-Yau threefolds given by

$$(5.6) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 1 + \frac{1}{24} c_2(TX) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi i)^3} c_3(TX) + \dots$$

The open string index, that in the B-model can be identified with the intersection form on 3-cycles, is given by

$$(5.7) \quad \chi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet) := \int_X \text{Td}(X) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)^\vee \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet).$$

A set of branes that generates the charge lattice is given by

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{(6)}^\bullet &= 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X)_0 \rightarrow 0, \\ \mathcal{F}_{(4),a}^\bullet &= 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\gamma_a)_{-1} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X)_0 \rightarrow 0, \quad a = 1, 2, \\ \mathcal{F}_{(2),a}^\bullet &= \iota! \mathcal{O}_{\gamma^a}(K_{\gamma^a}^{1/2}), \quad a = 1, 2, \\ \mathcal{F}_{(0)} &= 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_p)_0 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota : \gamma^a \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion and \mathcal{O}_p is the skyscraper sheaf supported on a generic point $p \in X$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{(6)}^\bullet$ is a D6-brane that wraps the Calabi-Yau while $\mathcal{F}_{(4),a}^\bullet$ are D4-branes supported on the divisors γ_a , $a = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{F}_{(2),a}^\bullet$ are D2-branes supported on the curves γ^a , $a = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(0)}$ is a D0-brane supported on the point p .

Using $\mathcal{F}_{i=1,\dots,6} = \left([\mathcal{F}_{(0)}^\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_{(2),1}^\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_{(2),2}^\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_{(4),1}^\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_{(4),2}^\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_{(6)}^\bullet] \right)$, where $[\mathcal{F}^\bullet] \in K(X)$ denotes the K-theory class associated to a brane $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$, the dual Chern characters are given by

$$(5.9) \quad \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}_{i=1,\dots,6})^\vee = \left(-\gamma_2 \gamma^2, \gamma^1, \gamma^2, -\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1)^2 - \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_1)^3, -\gamma_2, 1 \right),$$

where we also use γ_a, γ^b to refer to the Poincaré dual cohomology classes. In order to obtain a symplectic intersection form we will actually choose a slightly different basis

$$(5.10) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{i=1,\dots,6} = (-\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, \rho \mathcal{F}_1 - \kappa \mathcal{F}_2 - m \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_5, \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_6),$$

with $\rho := \frac{c_2 + 2\kappa}{12} \in \mathbb{N}$. The normalized central charges in this basis take the form

$$(5.11) \quad \vec{\Pi}(T, S) := \frac{\Pi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{i=1,\dots,6})}{\Pi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ T \\ S \\ \frac{1}{2}\kappa T^2 + 2mTS - \frac{\kappa}{2}T - \frac{c_2}{24} + \mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i T}, e^{2\pi i S}) \\ mT^2 + \mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i T}, e^{2\pi i S}) \\ -\frac{1}{6}\kappa T^3 - mT^2S - \frac{c_2}{24}T - \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi i)^3}\chi + \mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i T}, e^{2\pi i S}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The subleading terms can be obtained by solving the Picard-Fuchs system associated to the fundamental period (3.6).

Recalling that for a CY threefold, $\text{Td}(X) = 1 + \frac{1}{12}c_2(TX)$, the open string index (5.7) in this basis is

$$(5.12) \quad \chi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.2. Monodromies and Fourier-Mukai transforms. The periods (5.3) experience monodromies along loops in the complex structure moduli space of Y . Under homological mirror symmetry these lift to autoequivalences of the category of topological B-branes on X that are associated to loops in the corresponding stringy Kähler moduli space. By a result from Orlov [109] every autoequivalence of $D^b(X)$ can be represented by a Fourier-Mukai transform

$$(5.13) \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet} : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X), \quad \mathcal{F}^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{R}p_{1*}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} p_2^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet),$$

where p_1, p_2 are the two projections from $X \times X$ to X and $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in D^b(X \times X)$ is the so-called Fourier-Mukai kernel that determines the map.

For example, the large volume monodromies around $T \rightarrow T + 1$ and $S \rightarrow S + 1$ respectively correspond to the Fourier-Mukai kernels $j_* \mathcal{O}_X(-\gamma_1)$ and $j_* \mathcal{O}_X(-\gamma_2)$, where $j : \Delta \rightarrow X \times X$ is the embedding of the diagonal $\Delta \simeq X$. The corresponding image of a brane $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ is respectively given by $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and the action on the central charges (5.11) takes the form

$$(5.14) \quad \vec{\Pi}(T + 1, S) = M_T \vec{\Pi}(T, S), \quad \vec{\Pi}(T, S + 1) = M_S \vec{\Pi}(T, S),$$

with the large volume monodromy matrices M_T, M_S defined as

$$(5.15) \quad M_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa & 2m & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m & 2m & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\rho & -\kappa & -m & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2m & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second type of monodromy that is generically present in the stringy Kähler moduli space of a CY threefold corresponds to a loop around a generic point on the principal component of the discriminant $\{\Delta_m = 0\}$ where the 6-brane \mathcal{O}_X becomes massless. Mathematically, this amounts to a spherical twist, also called Seidel-Thomas twist, corresponding to the spherical object \mathcal{O}_X . In the string theory literature this is usually referred to as the conifold monodromy. The corresponding Fourier-Mukai kernel is the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_\Delta \in D^b(X \times X)$ of Δ . It acts on the brane charges as

$$(5.16) \quad [\mathcal{F}^\bullet] \mapsto [\mathcal{F}^\bullet] - \chi([\mathcal{F}_{(6)}], [\mathcal{F}^\bullet]) [\mathcal{F}_{(6)}],$$

and the action on the central charges (5.11) takes the form $\vec{\Pi} \mapsto M_C \vec{\Pi}$ with the matrix M_C given by

$$(5.17) \quad M_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the K3 fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ over $B = \mathbb{P}^1$ we can also consider the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_{Δ_B} of the relative diagonal $\Delta_B \subset X \times_B X$. Although we are not aware of a proof of this fact, it was observed in [106, Section 3] that the Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{\Delta_B}}$ associated to \mathcal{I}_{Δ_B} generically appears to be an autoequivalence as well and was referred to as the ‘relative conifold monodromy’. As was explained in [106, Section 3.4], the corresponding matrix M_U acting on (5.11) can be expressed as

$$(5.18) \quad M_U = M_S^{-1} M_C M_S^{-1} M_C M_S^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, at least on the level of brane charges, the action of $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{\Delta_B}}$ can be identified with a composition of twists by line bundles and Seidel-Thomas twists.

As we will discuss in Section 6.4, following [64, 110], the modular properties of the topological string free energies can be understood in terms of their transformation under the monodromies M_U , M_S and M_T .

5.3. Yukawa couplings and genus zero free energies. We will now derive the modular properties of the base degree zero contribution to the genus zero free energies from the generic behaviour of the Yukawa couplings. The algebraic Yukawa couplings in algebraic coordinates z_1, z_2 are defined by ¹³

$$(5.19) \quad c_{abc}(z_1, z_2) := \int_Y \Omega \frac{\partial^3 \Omega}{\partial z_a \partial z_b \partial z_c}, \quad a, b, c = 1, 2.$$

They can be fixed using the Picard-Fuchs system and the technique described e.g. in [111, Section 5.3]. In the large base limit $z_2 \rightarrow 0$, we find that their leading behaviour is given by

$$(5.20) \quad c_{111}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2m}{z_1^3 \Delta_m(1/z_1)} c_m^{[i,j]}(1/z_1) + \mathcal{O}(z_2), \quad c_{112}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2m}{z_1^2 z_2 \Delta_m(1/z_1)} + \mathcal{O}(z_2^0),$$

where in terms of $\lambda = 1/z_1$ one has

$$(5.21) \quad \Delta_m(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - \lambda_k/\lambda),$$

$$c_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda) = \frac{i+j}{ij} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k},$$

with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ being the 2-orifold points listed in Table 5. The components c_{122} and c_{222} will only contribute at order $\mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i t^2})$ and can be neglected.

In flat coordinates $t^1 = T, t^2 = S$, the Yukawa couplings take the form

$$(5.22) \quad C_{ABC}(T, S) := (\varpi_0)^{-2} \frac{\partial z_a}{\partial t^A} \frac{\partial z_b}{\partial t^B} \frac{\partial z_c}{\partial t^C} c_{abc}(z_1, z_2) \Big|_{z_1 \rightarrow z_1(T, S), z_2 \rightarrow z_2(T, S)},$$

and are equal to the third derivative of the genus zero topological string free energy $F^{(0)}(T, S)$,

$$(5.23) \quad C_{ABC}(T, S) = \partial_A \partial_B \partial_C F^{(0)}(T, S).$$

Applying the Frobenius method to (3.6) one obtains

$$(5.24) \quad 2\pi i T = \frac{f_m^{(1)}(\lambda)}{f_m(\lambda)} + \mathcal{O}(z_2), \quad 2\pi i S = \log z_2 + \frac{\tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda) + \tilde{f}_m^{[j]}(\lambda)}{f_m(\lambda)} + \mathcal{O}(z_2),$$

where $f_m(\lambda)$ and $f_m^{(1)}(\lambda)$ are the fundamental and logarithmic periods of the K3-fiber defined in (2.4) and (2.7), and $\tilde{f}_m^{[i]}(\lambda)$ is the variant defined in (2.16). Setting $H_m^{[i,j]}(\tau) := H_m^{[i]}(\lambda(\tau)) + H_m^{[j]}(\lambda(\tau))$ where $H_m^{[i]}(\lambda)$ was defined in (2.15), the mirror map in the large base limit (5.24) becomes

$$(5.25) \quad 2\pi i T = \tau + \mathcal{O}(z_2), \quad 2\pi i S = \log z_2 + H_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(z_2).$$

Moreover, one has

$$(5.26) \quad z_1 = J_m^+(T)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z_2), \quad \varpi_0(z_1, z_2) = f_m(1/z_1) + \mathcal{O}(z_2),$$

and it follows from (5.24) that

$$(5.27) \quad \partial_T z_2 = -z_2 \partial_T H_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda(T)) + \mathcal{O}(z_2^2).$$

We also need the relation

$$(5.28) \quad (\partial_T \log J_m^+(T))^2 = f_m(T)^2 \Delta_m(J_m^+(T)).$$

¹³We use lower indices for the algebraic coordinates z_a , but upper indices for the flat coordinates t^a .

consistent with the fact that 2-orbifold points coincide with roots of $\Delta_m(\lambda)$. Focusing only on the constant term of C_{TTT} in $q_S = e^{2\pi i S}$, one then has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.29) \quad C_{TTT} &= (\varpi_0)^{-2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial T} \right)^3 c_{111} + 3 \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial T} \right)^2 \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial T} c_{112} + \mathcal{O}(q_S) \right] \\
 &= \frac{2m(J_m^+(T))^3}{f_m^2 \Delta_m(J_m^+(T))} \left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial T} \right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial T} \right) c_m^{[i,j]}(J_m^+(T)) - \partial_T H_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda(T)) \right) + \mathcal{O}(q_S) \\
 &= 2m J_m^+(T) \left(\left(\frac{\partial z_1}{\partial T} \right) c_m^{[i,j]}(J_m^+(T)) - 3 \partial_T H_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda(T)) \right) + \mathcal{O}(q_S) \\
 &= -2m c_m^{[i,j]}(J_m^+(T)) \partial_T \log J_m^+(T) - 6m \partial_T H_m^{[i,j]}(\lambda(T)) + \mathcal{O}(q_S).
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating once, we get

$$(5.30) \quad \partial_T^2 F^{(0)} = -2m \left[\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2r \right] \log J_m^+ - 4m \sum_{k=1}^r \log(J_m^+ - \lambda_k) - 6m H_m^{[i,j]}$$

which determines the leading behaviour of the genus zero free energy $F^{(0)}$, up to linear and constant terms in T . As we shall see in §6.4, the fifth derivative $C_{TTTTT} := \partial_T^5 F^{(0)}$ is a meromorphic modular form of weight 6 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$. As a consequence of the second term on the r.h.s. of (5.30), it admits a third order pole at the 2-orbifold points τ_k , with coefficient

$$(5.31) \quad C_{TTTTT} \sim -\frac{8m}{(\tau - \tau_k)^3}.$$

5.4. Genus one free energy. In the so-called holomorphic limit, the genus one topological string free energy on $X_m^{[i,j]}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.32) \quad F^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(5 - \frac{\chi(X_m^{[i,j]})}{12} \right) \log \varpi_0 - \frac{1}{2} \log \det \frac{\partial t^b}{\partial z_a} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{24} (12 + c_2(X_m^{[i,j]})) \log z_1 - \frac{3}{2} \log z_2 - \frac{1}{12} \log \Delta_m^{[i,j]} - \frac{a_m^{[i,j]}}{12} \log(1 - z_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_m^{[i,j]}$ are given in an accompanying Mathematica worksheet. Using the leading behaviour

$$(5.33) \quad \det \frac{\partial t^b}{\partial z_a} = \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z_1} + \mathcal{O}(z_2^0) = -\frac{1}{z_2} \lambda^2 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} + \mathcal{O}(z_2^0),$$

as well as (2.14), one obtains

$$(5.34) \quad F^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\chi(X_m^{[i,j]})}{24} - 2 \right) \log f_m + \frac{c_2(X_m^{[i,j]})}{24} \log J_m^+(T) + \frac{1}{12} \log \Delta_m(J_m^+(T)) + H_m^{[i,j]} - S + \mathcal{O}(q_S).$$

We note that the coefficient of $\log f_m$ is equal to $-\frac{b_{\text{grav}}}{24}$ where $b_{\text{grav}} = 48 - \chi_X$ is the coefficient of the conformal anomaly [39, (22)]. This ensures that $\text{Re}(F^{(1)}) - \frac{b_{\text{grav}}}{12} \log T_2$ is modular invariant, after expressing S in terms of the invariant heterotic dilaton, as we discuss in §6.4. Using (2.13) we can also express the result as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.35) \quad F^{(1)} &= \left(\frac{\chi_X - 48}{12} \right) \log[\eta(T)\eta(mT)] + \left[\frac{m+1}{12} \left(\frac{\chi_X - 48}{24} \right) + \frac{c_2 - 2r}{24} \right] \log J_m^+(T) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{12} \log \Delta_m(J_m^+(T)) + H_m^{[i,j]} - S + \mathcal{O}(q_S).
 \end{aligned}$$

The resulting genus one free energies and conformal anomaly coefficients are summarized in Table 12.

m	i	j	$F^{(1)} - H_m^{[i,j]} + S$	$48 - \chi_X$	$\#T$
1	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J - 1728) - 50 \log \eta(\tau)$	300	300
1	1	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J - 1728) - \frac{122}{3} \log \eta(\tau) - \frac{1}{36} \log J$	244	240
1	2	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J - 1728) - \frac{94}{3} \log \eta(\tau) - \frac{1}{18} \log J$	188	180
2	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - 18 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau)$	216	324
2	1	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - \frac{47}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau) - \frac{1}{24} \log J_2^+$	188	276
2	2	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - \frac{40}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau) - \frac{1}{12} \log J_2^+$	160	228
2	1	4	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - 18 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau) - \frac{1}{4} \log J_2^+$	216	288
2	2	4	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - \frac{47}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau) - \frac{1}{24} \log J_2^+$	188	240
2	4	4	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_2^+ - 256) - 18 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(2\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \log J_2^+$	216	252
3	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - 15 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{1}{12} \log J_3^+$	180	348
3	1	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - 14 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{1}{6} \log J_3^+$	168	312
3	1	3	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - \frac{47}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{13}{36} \log J_3^+$	188	324
3	2	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - 13 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{1}{4} \log J_3^+$	156	276
3	2	3	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - \frac{44}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{4}{9} \log J_3^+$	176	288
3	3	3	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_3^+ - 108) - \frac{49}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(3\tau) - \frac{23}{36} \log J_3^+$	196	300
4	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_4^+ - 64) - \frac{40}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(4\tau) - \frac{7}{36} \log J_4^+$	160	372
4	1	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_4^+ - 64) - \frac{40}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(4\tau) - \frac{13}{36} \log J_4^+$	160	348
4	2	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_4^+ - 64) - \frac{40}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(4\tau) - \frac{19}{36} \log J_4^+$	160	324
5	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_5^{+2} - 44J_5^+ - 16) - \frac{37}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(5\tau) - \frac{5}{12} \log J_5^+$	148	420
5	1	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_5^{+2} - 44J_5^+ - 16) - \frac{79}{6} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(5\tau) - \frac{17}{24} \log J_5^+$	158	408
5	2	2	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_5^{+2} - 44J_5^+ - 16) - 14 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(5\tau) - \log J_5^+$	168	396
6	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_6^{+2} - 34J_6^+ + 1) - \frac{35}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(6\tau) - \frac{41}{72} \log J_6^+$	140	444
7	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_7^{+2} - 26J_7^+ - 27) - \frac{34}{3} \log \eta(\tau) \eta(7\tau) - \frac{7}{9} \log J_7^+$	136	468
8	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_8^{+2} - 24J_8^+ + 16) - 11 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(8\tau) - \frac{23}{24} \log J_8^+$	132	492
9	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_9^{+2} - 18J_9^+ - 27) - 11 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(9\tau) - \frac{5}{4} \log J_9^+$	132	516
11	1	1	$\frac{1}{12} \log(J_{11}^{+3} - 20J_{11}^{+2} + 56J_{11}^+ - 44) - 11 \log \eta(\tau) \eta(11\tau) - \frac{5}{3} \log J_{11}^+$	132	564

TABLE 12. Genus one free energy for various models. The penultimate column gives the coefficient of the conformal anomaly, while the last column gives the coefficient of $T/(2\pi i)$ (conveniently rescaled).

6. ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY

In this section, we discuss the general properties of enumerative invariants on CY threefolds fibered by Picard rank 1 K3-surfaces, and verify that these properties indeed hold on the family of CY threefolds $X_m^{[i,j]}$ introduced in Section 4.

6.1. CY threefolds fibered by Picard rank 1 K3-surfaces. Let X be a CY threefold with a projection $\pi : X \rightarrow B = \mathbb{P}^1$ such that the generic fiber $\Sigma = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a quasi-polarized K3-surface of degree $2m$ and of Picard rank 1. We assume that there are no reducible fibers and that $b_2(X) = 2$. We use the same notation for the divisors, curves and topological invariants as introduced in Section 5.

The prepotential governing the special Kähler metric on Kähler moduli space is the genus zero part of the topological string partition function (1.3),¹⁴

$$(6.1) \quad F^{(0)}(T, S) = mST^2 + \frac{\kappa}{6}T^3 - \frac{\kappa}{4}T^2 - \frac{c_2}{24}T - \frac{\zeta(3)}{2(2\pi i)^3} \chi_X + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \sum_{\ell, k \geq 0} \text{GV}_{\ell, k}^{(0)} \text{Li}_3(e^{2\pi i(\ell T + kS)}).$$

In the limit $S \rightarrow i\infty$, the base B becomes of infinite size, and one may invoke heterotic/type II duality in 6 dimensions [112, 37, 113] to relate type IIA strings on the K3 surface Σ with heterotic strings on T^4 . At finite S , one expects a similar duality between type IIA strings on X and heterotic strings on $\widehat{\Sigma} \times T^2$ (or more generally, a T^2 fibration over $\widehat{\Sigma}$ [58]), where $\widehat{\Sigma}$ is a K3-surface of Picard rank 19,

¹⁴We omit the term linear term in S , which can be absorbed by an integral change of basis.

T^2 a two-torus at a special point $T = U$ in vector multiplet moduli space where the gauge symmetry enhances to $E_8 \times E_8 \times SU(2)$, accompanied by a suitable choice of bundle. Since the modulus S controlling the size of the base B is identified with the heterotic axio-dilaton, such a dual description opens the possibility to compute the ‘vertical’ GV invariants $\text{GV}_{\ell,0}^{(g)}$ by a one-loop computation in perturbative heterotic string theory. The latter involves a modular integral of the product of a Siegel-Narain theta series (corresponding to the partition function of momentum and winding modes around T^2) and of the ‘new supersymmetric index’ counting perturbative BPS excitations [114, 115]. Since the latter transforms as a vector-valued weak modular form of weight $-3/2$ (see e.g. [56]), this reasoning indicates that vertical GV invariants should be governed by a suitable theta lift of the new supersymmetric index.

Unfortunately, heterotic/type II duality in the Picard rank one case has so far only been convincingly demonstrated for the so-called ST model $X = \mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,6}$ [12] (corresponding to $(m, i, j) = (1, 1, 1)$ in our list), where the second Chern class of the gauge bundle decomposes as $4 + 10 + 10$ under $E_8 \times E_8 \times SU(2)$ [38, 39, 40, 58]. The case $X = \mathbb{P}_{1,1,2,2,2}$ [8] (corresponding to $(m, i, j) = (2, 1, 1)$ in our list) was studied using similar methods in [116], but its heterotic dual remains elusive [58]. Nonetheless, motivated by heterotic/type II duality, one may formulate (and prove) a precise modularity conjecture for the vertical GV invariants, which we now spell out.

6.2. GV/NL correspondence. As proposed in [29], the vertical GV invariants of K3-fibered CY threefolds can be computed in terms of the Noether-Lefschetz invariants of the fibration, defined as follows.

The family $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ defines a map $\iota_\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\langle 2m \rangle}$ into the moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2m$. As mentioned in §2.1, $\mathcal{M}_{\langle 2m \rangle}$ is a quotient of the symmetric domain $O(2, 19)/O(2) \times O(19)$ by an arithmetic group $\Gamma_{\langle 2m \rangle} \subset O(2, 19)$. This quotient carries a natural set of Heegner divisors $D_{h,d}$, which have support on quasi-polarized K3 surfaces Σ such that there exists a class $\beta \in \text{Pic } \Sigma$ satisfying

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \beta^2 = 2h - 2, \quad \int_{\Sigma} \beta \cdot L = d.$$

where $L \in \text{Pic } \Sigma$ is the line bundle of degree $2m = \int_{\Sigma} L^2$ which determines the polarization of Σ . The Noether-Lefschetz number $\text{NL}_{h,d}$ is defined as the intersection number of the divisor $D_{h,d}$ with the base B ,

$$(6.3) \quad \text{NL}_{h,d} = \int_B \iota_\pi^*[D_{h,d}].$$

It vanishes unless

$$(6.4) \quad \Delta(h, d) := d^2 - 4mh + 4m \geq 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad h \leq \frac{d^2}{4m} + 1,$$

and is invariant under [29, Prop. 2]

$$(6.5) \quad (h, d) \mapsto (h + kd + mk^2, d + 2km),$$

for $k \geq 0$, hence it depends only on $\Delta(h, d)$ and $d \pmod{2m}$. We shall extend the definition of $\text{NL}_{h,d}$ to $h < 0$ by requiring invariance under (6.5). We note that $\text{NL}_{1,0} = -2$ since the degree of the Hodge bundle is -1 .

A key result of Kudla-Millson [50] (see also [51]) is that the generating series of divisors

$$(6.6) \quad \Phi_{\text{KM}}(\tau) = \sum_{d=0}^{2m-1} v_d \sum_{h \leq \frac{d^2}{4m} + 1} D_{h,d} q^{\frac{\Delta(h,d)}{4m}},$$

transforms as a vector-valued modular form of weight $21/2$ in the Weil representation of the metaplectic cover of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ attached to the lattice $\Lambda = \langle 2m \rangle$, with values in $H^2(\mathcal{M}_{\langle 2m \rangle}, \mathbb{Z})$. Here, the

$g \backslash h$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	24	324	3200	25650	176256	1073720	5930496	30178575	143184000
1	0	-2	-54	-800	-8550	-73440	-536860	-3459456	-20119050	-107388000
2	0	0	3	88	1401	15960	145214	1118880	7568403	46046040
3	0	0	0	-4	-126	-2136	-25750	-246720	-1993854	-14098560
4	0	0	0	0	5	168	3017	38328	385380	3257008
5	0	0	0	0	0	-6	-214	-4056	-54138	-569828
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	264	5265	73656
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-8	-318	-6656
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	376
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10

TABLE 13. Reduced GV invariants $r_{g,h}$

vectors v_d with $d \in \mathbb{Z}/(2m\mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda^*/\Lambda$ are the basis vectors of the space $V = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda^*/\Lambda]$ on which the Weil representation acts. As a result, its intersection with the base of the fibration

$$(6.7) \quad \Phi_{\text{NL}}(\tau) = \sum_{d=0}^{2m-1} v_d \sum_{h \leq \frac{d^2}{4m} + 1} \text{NL}_{h,d} q^{\frac{\Delta(h,d)}{4m}},$$

also defines a vector-valued modular form of the same weight, now valued in complex numbers. Conjecturally, the ratio $\Phi_{\text{NL}}/\eta^{24}$ coincides with the new supersymmetric index of the heterotic dual, when such a dual exists.

As explained in Appendix D, the space of vector-valued modular form of weight $21/2$ in the Weil representation attached to $\Lambda = \langle 2m \rangle$ is isomorphic to the space of skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 11 and index m , the dimension of which is tabulated in Table 17. A generating basis can be constructed by starting with the theta series of weight $1/2$,

$$(6.8) \quad \Theta_d^{(m)}(\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{d}{2m}} q^{mk^2},$$

acting with Serre derivatives (D.13) and multiplying by powers of E_4 and E_6 . For $m \geq 5$, one requires further theta series T_{m_1, m_2} as well as acting with Hecke-like operators. Once a generating basis has been constructed, it suffices to compute the first few coefficients in the q -expansion (for example, the polar coefficients in $\Phi_{\text{NL}}/\eta^{24}$) in order to determine the complete series.

Furthermore, it is conjectured (and proven for genus $g = 0, 1$ in [29, 117]) that the vertical GV invariants are related to the NL numbers of the fibration via

$$(6.9) \quad \text{GV}_{d,0}^{(g)} = \sum_{h \geq g} r_{g,h} \text{NL}_{h,d}.$$

Here $r_{g,h}$ are the reduced GV invariants for K3, determined by [118]

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{h,g} r_{g,h} (2 - y - 1/y)^g q^h = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^{20} (1 - yq^n)^2 (1 - q^n/y)^2},$$

as was proven for primitive curve classes in [119]. These integers vanish unless $h \geq g$ and satisfy $r_{g,g} = (-1)^g (g + 1)$ (see Table 13).

By rewriting (6.10) as follows [55]

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{h,g} r_{g,h} (2 - y - 1/y)^g q^{h-1} = \frac{1}{\eta^{24}} \left(\frac{\sin(\lambda/2)}{\lambda/2} \right)^2 \exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2\zeta(2k)}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^{2k} E_{2k}(\tau) \right),$$

with $y = e^{i\lambda}$, and expanding in powers of $t = 2 - y - 1/y = \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{12}\lambda^4 + \dots$, we find the following generating series for fixed genus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_0 &:= \sum_{h \geq 0} r_{0,h} q^{h-1} = \frac{1}{\eta^{24}} \\
 R_1 &:= \sum_{h \geq 0} r_{1,h} q^{h-1} = \frac{E_2 - 1}{12\eta^{24}} \\
 R_2 &:= \sum_{h \geq 0} r_{2,h} q^{h-1} = \frac{E_4 + 5E_2^2 - 6}{1440\eta^{24}} \\
 R_3 &:= \sum_{h \geq 0} r_{3,h} q^{h-1} = \frac{4E_6 + 21E_2E_4 + 35E_2^3 + 105E_2^2 - 186}{362880\eta^{24}}, \text{ etc}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.12}$$

While the series R_g for $g \geq 1$ have no nice modular properties, the linear combinations \tilde{R}_g defined by

$$\sum_{g \geq 0} t^g R_g = \left(\frac{\sin(\lambda/2)}{\lambda/2} \right)^2 \sum_{g \geq 0} \lambda^{2g} \tilde{R}_g,
 \tag{6.13}$$

are quasi-modular forms of depth g and weight $2g - 12$:

$$\tilde{R}_1 = R_1 + \frac{1}{12}R_0 = \frac{E_2}{12\eta^{24}}, \quad \tilde{R}_2 = R_2 + \frac{1}{240}R_0 = \frac{E_4 + 5E_2^2}{1440\eta^{24}}, \text{ etc}
 \tag{6.14}$$

The relevance of the generating series R_g is that the invariant $\text{GV}_{d,0}^{(g)}$ appears as the coefficient of $q^{\frac{d^2}{4m}}$ in the product $R_g\Phi$,

$$\text{GV}_{d,0}^{(g)} = C_{R_g\Phi}(n^2, n),
 \tag{6.15}$$

where $C_{R_g\Phi}(\Delta, r)$ denotes the Fourier coefficients of the skew-Jacobi form $R_g\Phi$, see Appendix D. Similarly, the combination of invariants $\text{GV}_{d,0}^{(g' \leq g)}$ occurring in the genus g topological amplitude appears as the coefficient of $q^{\frac{d^2}{4m}}$ in the product $\tilde{R}_g\Phi$, for example (compare with (1.6))

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{GV}_{d,0}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{12}\text{GV}_{d,0}^{(0)} &= C_{\tilde{R}_1\Phi}(n^2, n), \\
 \text{GV}_{d,0}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{240}\text{GV}_{d,0}^{(0)} &= C_{\tilde{R}_2\Phi}(n^2, n).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.16}$$

Conversely, one can compute NL invariants using the knowledge of the vertical GV invariants, and check whether they are indeed consistent with modular invariance. We have carried out this check for all manifolds $X_m^{[i,j]}$ and found a unique skew-holomorphic Jacobi form which reproduces the GV invariants up to the degree and genus which we could compute them, see §E. For $m \leq 4$, this reproduces the generating series found in [29, §6.4], at least for some choice of (i, j) ¹⁵.

In general, the GV/NL relation implies that the contribution of vertical GW invariants to the topological string amplitude can be written as

$$W^{(g)}(T) := \sum_{d \geq 0} \text{GW}_{d,0}^{(g)} e^{2\pi i d T} = \sum_{n \geq 1} C_{\tilde{R}_g\Phi}(n^2, n) \text{Li}_{3-2g}(e^{2\pi i n T}).
 \tag{6.17}$$

This is recognized as a Shimura-type lift of the vector-valued quasi-modular form $\tilde{R}_g\Phi$, in agreement with general expectations from heterotic/type II duality. If $\tilde{R}_g\Phi$ was a skew-holomorphic Jacobi cusp form of weight $2g - 1$, its Shimura lift $W^{(g)}(T)$ would be a holomorphic cusp form of weight $4g - 4$ under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$, as reviewed in §D. However, $\tilde{R}_g\Phi$ has a pole at the cusp $\tau = i\infty$ for any g ,

¹⁵Note that the authors of [29] used a different basis of vector-valued modular form, constructed as Rankin-Cohen brackets of Eisenstein series with the theta series (6.8). They further assumed that NL invariants vanish when $0 < \Delta(h, d) < 4m$, which is not true in general.

and is skew-holomorphic only for $g = 0$. The resulting analytic and modular properties of $W^{(g)}(T)$ are therefore more complicated.

For $g = 0$, (6.17) encodes the contribution of genus 0 GV invariants to the prepotential $F^{(0)}$ in (6.1),

$$(6.18) \quad W^{(0)}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{GV}_{n,0}^{(0)} \text{Li}_3(e^{2\pi i n T}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} C_{\Phi/\eta^{24}}(n^2, n) \text{Li}_3(e^{2\pi i n T}).$$

The latter is known to transform as a holomorphic Eichler integral of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ [115, 120, 61, 56, 62, 63]. Equivalently, it can be viewed as the holomorphic part of a local harmonic Maass form of weight -4 . Acting with Bol's operator $D^5 = \partial_T^5$, we find a meromorphic modular form of weight 6 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ [116]:

$$(6.19) \quad \partial_T^5 W^{(0)}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^5 C_{\Phi/\eta^{24}}(n^2, n) \text{Li}_{-2}(e^{2\pi i n T})$$

For $g = 1$, (6.17) instead encodes the contribution of genus 0 and 1 GV invariants to the genus one topological free energy $F^{(1)}(T, S) = S + \frac{c_2}{24}T + W^{(1)}(T)$ with

$$(6.20) \quad W^{(1)}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[\text{GV}_{n,0}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{12} \text{GV}_{n,0}^{(0)} \right] \text{Li}_1(e^{2\pi i n T}).$$

We can indeed write $W^{(1)}(T) - H_m^{[i,j]}$ as a combination of $\log(J_m^+ - \lambda_i)$ and of the logarithm of a certain eta product, see Table 12, which is formally of weight 0.

6.3. NL/DT correspondence. In this subsection, we briefly review the relation between NL invariants and vertical Donaldson-Thomas invariants [28], which is one of the prime motivations for this work.

Recall that BPS states in type IIA string theory compactified on a CY threefold X can be understood mathematically as semi-stable objects in the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{C} = D^b \text{Coh}(X)$. This category is graded by the Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{C})$, which is identified with the lattice of electromagnetic charges. The notion of semi-stability refers to the choice of a Bridgeland stability condition $\sigma \in \text{Stab } \mathcal{C}$, determined locally by the Kähler moduli of X . For fixed electromagnetic charge vector $\gamma \in K(\mathcal{C})$ and stability condition σ , one considers the moduli stack of σ -semi-stable objects in \mathcal{C} , and associates to it a number $\bar{\Omega}_\sigma(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}$, known as the (rational) Donaldson-Thomas invariant. For generic σ , the combination $\Omega_\sigma(\gamma) := \sum_{d|\gamma} \frac{1}{d^2} \bar{\Omega}_\sigma(\gamma/d)$ is conjecturally integer, and correspond to the physical index counting BPS bound states of D6-D4-D2-D0 branes.

For charge $\gamma = [-1, 0, \beta, -n] \in H^0(X) \oplus H^2(X) \oplus H^4(X) \oplus H^6(X)$ (corresponding to one unit of anti-D6 brane charge, n units of D0-brane charge and D2-brane charge β), the index $\Omega_\sigma(\gamma)$ in a suitable large volume limit agrees with the Pandharipande-Thomas (PT) invariant $\text{PT}(\beta, n)$ counting stable pairs. The latter is related to the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $\text{GV}(\beta', n')$ by the GV/PT correspondence [121, 122]. Restricting to vertical D2-brane charges and using the GV/NL correspondence, the index $\Omega_\sigma(\gamma)$ is therefore computable in terms of the NL invariants. On the other hand, by results of [123], the same index is related by wall-crossing to the D4-D2-D0 indices $\Omega(0, r[\Sigma], \mu, -n)$ counting rank r Gieseker semi-stable sheaves supported on the K3-fiber Σ , with first Chern class μ and second Chern class $-n$. Combining these two relations, the authors of [28] show that the generating series of D4-D2-D0 indices

$$(6.21) \quad h_{r,\mu} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{\Omega}(0, r[\Sigma], \mu, -n) q^{n + \frac{\mu^2}{4mr} + \frac{r\mu}{2} - \frac{\chi(r[\Sigma])}{24}},$$

is obtained from the series of NL invariants Φ_{NL} by

$$(6.22) \quad h_{r,\mu} = V_{r,\mu} \left(\frac{\Phi_{\text{NL}}}{\eta^{24}} \right),$$

where $V_{r,\mu}$ is a certain Hecke-like operator, which maps the Weil representation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/(2m\mathbb{Z})]$ to the Weil representation attached to the rescaled lattice $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/(2mr\mathbb{Z})]$, preserving the modular weight. As a result, $h_{r,\mu}$ transforms as a vector-valued modular form of weight $-3/2$ in the Weil representation attached to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/(2mr\mathbb{Z})]$.

To see why this is in precise agreement with constraints from S-duality in string theory [46, 47], recall that a general CY 3-fold and a fixed divisor class $[\mathcal{D}]$, the generating series of D4-D2-D0 invariants are in general defined by

$$(6.23) \quad h_{p^a, \mu_a} = \sum_{q_0} \bar{\Omega}_*(0, p^a, q_a, q_0) q^{-q_0 + \frac{1}{2} q_a \kappa^{ab} q_b},$$

where p^a are the components of the divisor class $[\mathcal{D}]$ on a basis of $\Lambda = H^4(X)$, κ^{ab} is the inverse of the quadratic form $\kappa_{ab} = \kappa_{abc} p^c$ on $\Lambda^* = H_4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the D2-brane charges q_a are such that $q_a = \mu_a + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ab} (p^b + \epsilon^b)$ for $\epsilon^a \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and the DT invariant is evaluated in the large volume attractor chamber $t^a = \kappa^{ab} q_b + i\lambda p^a$, $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. By spectral flow invariance, the series (6.23) is invariant under $\mu_a \mapsto \mu_a + \kappa_{ab} \epsilon^b$, and defines a vector in $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda^*/\Lambda]$. The general prediction from [46, 47] is that $h_{p,\mu}$ should transform as a vector-valued mock modular form of weight $-1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{rk } \Lambda$, associated to the Weil representation on $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda^*/\Lambda]$, with depth $r - 1$ where r is the maximal number of irreducible components in which the divisor class $[\mathcal{D}]$ can be decomposed.

When X is fibered by K3-surfaces of degree $2m$, the quadratic form for divisor class $[\mathcal{D}] = r_1[D] + r_2[\Sigma]$ is given by

$$(6.24) \quad \kappa_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa r_1 + 2mr_2 & 2mr_1 \\ 2mr_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a non-vertical divisor ($r_1 \neq 0$), the quadratic form (6.24) is invertible, with inverse

$$(6.25) \quad \kappa^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2mr_1} \\ \frac{1}{2mr_1} & -\frac{\kappa}{4m^2 r_1} - \frac{r_2}{2mr_1^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $h_{p,\mu}$ is expected to transform as a vector-valued mock modular form of weight -2 and depth $r = \text{gcd}(r_1, r_2) - 1$.

For a vertical divisor however, $r_1 = 0$ and the quadratic form (6.24) has a one-dimensional null space which should be divided out (similar to the analysis of $X = K3 \times T^2$ in [124]). This leads to a lattice Λ of rank 1, hence a vector-valued mock modular form of weight $-\frac{3}{2}$. The power of q in (6.23) can also be matched to the power in (6.21) by using the standard formulae for the Chern character for push forward of torsion free sheaves on Σ to coherent sheaves on X [125]. Moreover, the fact that $(p^1, p^2) = (0, r)$ lies in the kernel of κ_{ab} ensures the absence of wall-crossing and the disappearance of the modular anomaly, leading to an ordinary vector-valued modular form.¹⁶

Applying (6.22) and using the modular forms Φ_{NL} from Appendix E, we can readily compute the vertical D4-D2-D0 rational indices $\bar{\Omega}(0, r[\Sigma], \mu, -n)$ for arbitrary r . A non-trivial fact is that the resulting ‘integer invariants’ $\Omega(0, r[\Sigma], \mu, -n)$ indeed turn out to be integer, even when the charge vector is not primitive. An outstanding problem is to compute D4-D2-D0 indices for non-vertical divisor class, and identify the corresponding mock modular forms of higher weight.

6.4. Higher base degree. As discussed in §6.2, the generating series $W^{(0)}$ of vertical genus 0 GW invariants transforms as a holomorphic Eichler integral of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$, consistent with the predictions of heterotic-type II symmetry. In fact, it was shown in [64, 110] that this modular behavior follows from the transformation properties of the period vector $\vec{\Pi}$ under the monodromies M_S, M_T, M_U in the large base limit $S \mapsto i\infty$, and that the same transformation properties also determine the modular properties of the generating series of genus 0 GW invariants of higher base degree. Here we review the reasoning in [64, 110], and find generating series of genus zero, base degree one or two GW invariants for the models $X_m^{[i,j]}$ with the appropriate modular behaviour. Generating series of higher base degree and higher genus GW invariants will be discussed elsewhere.

¹⁶We are grateful to Sergey Alexandrov for suggesting this line of argument.

Separating the genus-zero free energy as $F^{(0)} = mST^2 + W(T, S)$, such that the period vector (5.11) becomes

$$(6.26) \quad \vec{\Pi} = (1, T, S, 2mST + \partial_T W, mT^2 + \partial_S W, -mST^2 + (2 - S\partial_S - T\partial_T)W)^t,$$

it is straightforward to check that the actions of the monodromies M_S and M_T (5.15) imply the following periodicity conditions on $f(S, T)$,

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{aligned} W(T+1, S) &= W(T, S) + \frac{\kappa}{2}T^2 - \frac{c_2 + 2\kappa}{24}, \\ W(T, S+1) &= W(T, S). \end{aligned}$$

This is solved by

$$(6.28) \quad W(T, S) = \frac{\kappa}{6}T^3 - \frac{\kappa}{4}T^2 - \frac{c_2}{24}T + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \sum_{k \geq 0, \ell \geq 0} \text{GW}_{(\ell, k)}^{(0)} e^{2\pi i(\ell T + kS)},$$

where $\text{GW}_{(\ell, k)}^{(0)}$ are the genus 0, base degree k GW invariants. We denote the Fourier coefficients of $W(S, T)$ with respect to S by $W_k(T)$, such that

$$(6.29) \quad W_0(T) = \frac{\kappa}{6}T^3 - \frac{\kappa}{4}T^2 - \frac{c_2}{24}T + \frac{W^{(0)}}{(2\pi i)^3}, \quad W_{k \geq 1}(T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \text{GW}_{(\ell, k)}^{(0)} e^{2\pi i \ell T}.$$

The modular properties of $W_k(T)$ will come by requiring consistency with the relative conifold monodromy M_U in (5.18), acting as follows:

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} T &\mapsto -\frac{T}{mT^2 + \partial_S W} \\ S &\mapsto S + 1 + \frac{T\partial_T W - 2W + 1}{mT^2 + \partial_S W} \\ W &\mapsto \frac{mT^2(2W - T\partial_T W - 1)}{(mT^2 + \partial_S W)^3} + \frac{T\partial_T W - W - mT^2 + \frac{1}{2}}{(mT^2 + \partial_S W)^3} - \frac{1}{2} \\ \partial_T W &\mapsto \frac{2mT}{mT^2 + \partial_S W} + \frac{2m(T\partial_T W - 2W + 1)}{(mT^2 + \partial_S W)^2} \\ \partial_S W &\mapsto \frac{\partial_S W}{(mT^2 + \partial_S W)^2} \end{aligned}$$

It is cumbersome but straightforward to check that the transformation properties of $\partial_T W$ and $\partial_S W$ follow from the first three equations by the chain rule.

In the limit $S \rightarrow i\infty$, $\partial_S W$ is exponentially suppressed and at leading order, one may replace W by its zero-mode W_0 in the Fourier expansion $W = \sum_{k \geq 0} W_k(T) q_S^k$ where $q_S := e^{2\pi i S}$. As a result, the first equation in (6.30) reduces to $T \mapsto -1/(mT)$, and the third equation becomes

$$(6.31) \quad W_0(-1/mT) = \frac{W_0(T)}{m^2 T^4} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{mT^2} \right)^2.$$

This implies that $W^{(0)}$ should transform as a holomorphic Eichler integral of weight -4 , as advertized previously. To determine the modular properties of $W_k(T)$, we note that it follows from (6.31) that the second and fifth derivatives of $f_0(T)$ transforms as

$$(6.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_T^2 W_0(-1/mT) &= \partial_T^2 W_0(T) - 2m - \frac{6\partial_T W_0(T)}{m} + \frac{12}{T^2} (W_0(T) - \frac{1}{2}), \\ \partial_T^5 W_0(-1/mT) &= m^3 T^6 \partial_T^5 W_0(T). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\partial_T^5 W_0(T)$ transforms as a (meromorphic) modular form of weight 6 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$.

Using the first equation in (6.32) and the second equation in (6.30), one easily checks that the combination, sometimes called ‘heterotic dilaton’ in the context of heterotic/type II duality,

$$(6.33) \quad \hat{S} := S + \frac{\partial_T^2 W_0}{6m},$$

transforms as¹⁷

$$(6.34) \quad M_S : \widehat{S} \mapsto \widehat{S} + 1 + \mathcal{O}(q_S), \quad M_T : \widehat{S} \mapsto \widehat{S} + \frac{\kappa}{6m} + \mathcal{O}(q_S), \quad M_U : \widehat{S} \mapsto \widehat{S} + \frac{2}{3} + \mathcal{O}(q_S).$$

This motivates the definition

$$(6.35) \quad \widehat{W}_k(T) := e^{-\frac{i\pi\kappa}{3m}\partial_T^2 W_0} W_k(T),$$

such that the Fourier expansion of W becomes

$$(6.36) \quad W(T, S) = W_0(T) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \widehat{W}_k(T) q_{\widehat{S}}^k, \quad q_{\widehat{S}} := e^{2\pi i \widehat{S}}.$$

As explained in [64], one can now obtain the modular behavior of $\widehat{W}_k(T)$ by substituting (6.36) in the transformation property (6.30) of $\partial_S W$ and expanding in powers of $q_{\widehat{S}}$. At leading order in $q_{\widehat{S}}$, one immediately finds that $h_1(T) := \widehat{W}_1(T)$ transforms as a modular form of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$,

$$(6.37) \quad \widehat{W}_1(-1/(mT)) = e^{2i\pi/3} \widehat{W}_1(\tau)/(m^2 T^4), \quad \widehat{W}_1(T+1) = e^{-\frac{i\pi\kappa}{3m}} \widehat{W}_1(T).$$

At next-to-leading order, one finds a rather complicated transformation property for $\widehat{W}_2(T)$, which becomes much simpler in terms of¹⁸

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{aligned} h_2(T) &:= \widehat{W}_2(T) - \frac{1}{6m} \widehat{W}_1 \partial_T^2 \widehat{W}_1 - \frac{1}{24m^2} \partial_T^4 W_0 (\widehat{W}_1)^2 \\ &= e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3m} \partial_T^2 W_0} \left[W_2 - \frac{1}{6m} W_1 \partial_T^2 W_1 + \frac{1}{18m^2} \partial_T^3 W_0 \partial_T W_1 - \frac{1}{72m^2} \partial_T^4 W_0 (W_1)^2 + \frac{1}{216m^3} (\partial_T^3 W_0)^2 (W_1)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$(6.39) \quad h_2(-1/(mT)) = e^{4i\pi/3} h_2(T)/(m^2 T^4), \quad h_2(T+1) = e^{-\frac{2i\pi\kappa}{3m}} h_2(T).$$

At next-to-next-to-leading order, one finds a rather complicated transformation property for $\widehat{W}_3(T)$, which becomes much simpler in terms of

$$(6.40) \quad \begin{aligned} h_3(T) &:= \widehat{W}_3(T) - \frac{h_2 \partial_T^2 h_1}{3m} - \frac{h_1 \partial_T^2 h_2}{6m} - \frac{h_1 h_2 \partial_T^4 W_0}{6m^2} - \frac{h_1 (\partial_T^2 h_1)^2}{24m^2} - \frac{h_1^2 \partial_T^4 h_1}{24m^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{h_1^2 \partial_T^2 h_1 \partial_T^4 W_0}{36m^3} + \frac{h_1^3 \partial_T^6 W_0}{144m^3} - \frac{h_1^3 \partial_T^4 W_0}{288m^4} \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$(6.41) \quad h_3(-1/(mT)) = h_3(T)/(m^2 T^4), \quad h_3(T+1) = e^{-\frac{i\pi\kappa}{m}} h_3(T).$$

More generally, the authors of [64] construct a combination $h_k(T) := \widehat{W}_k(T) + \dots$ where the dots include monomials in \widehat{W}_{k_i} and derivatives thereof with $\sum_k k_i = k$, such that for any $k \geq 1$, $h_k(T)$ transforms as a modular form of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$,

$$(6.42) \quad h_k(-1/(mT)) = e^{2i\pi\kappa/3} h_k(\tau)/(m^2 T^4), \quad h_k(T+1) = e^{-\frac{i\pi\kappa}{3m}} h_k(T).$$

Further requiring that f_k behaves as $f_k \sim (T - T_i)^{2-4k}$ for $T \rightarrow T_i$ (as required by the field theory analysis [38, (2.7)]), and using the behavior of the second derivative of W_0 ,

$$(6.43) \quad \partial_T^2 W_0(T) \sim \begin{cases} \kappa T & \text{as } T \rightarrow i\infty \\ -\frac{8m}{2\pi i} \log(T - T_i) & \text{as } T \rightarrow T_i \end{cases},$$

imposes the following boundary conditions on $h_k(T)$ [64]

$$(6.44) \quad h_k(T) \stackrel{T \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} q^{-\frac{\kappa k}{6m}}, \quad h_k(T) \stackrel{T \rightarrow T_i}{\sim} (T - T_i)^{2-\frac{8}{3}k}.$$

¹⁷In [110], the authors define an ‘improved heterotic dilaton’ which transforms as (6.34) with no $\mathcal{O}(q_S)$ corrections, as well as an ‘improved modulus’ \widetilde{T} transforming as $\widetilde{T} \mapsto -1/(m\widetilde{T})$ without $\mathcal{O}(q_S)$ corrections. These constructions are not needed for the present purposes.

¹⁸To avoid cluttering we omit factors of $2\pi i$ multiplying ∂_T .

Combining (6.42) and (6.44), one can in principle determine the modular form $h_k(T)$ explicitly, from the knowledge of the first base degree k GW invariants.

In practice, we find it more convenient to rescale $h_k(T)$ and define

$$(6.45) \quad \tilde{h}_k(T) := h_k(T) \times (J_m^+)^{-\frac{k\kappa}{6m}} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{J_m^+}{J_m^+ - \lambda_i} \right)^{2k/3}.$$

Using (5.30), we see that $\tilde{h}_k(T)$ is expressed in terms of the Fourier coefficients $W_k(T)$ via

$$(6.46) \quad \tilde{h}_k(T) = e^{kH_m^{[i,j]}} [W_k(T) + \dots],$$

where $H_m^{[i,j]}$ was introduced in (2.15) and the dots are polynomials in $W_{k'}(T)$ with $k' < k$ and derivatives thereof, see e.g. the second equation in (6.38) for $k = 2$. The advantage of this normalization is that the modular properties and boundary conditions take the simpler form

$$(6.47) \quad \tilde{h}_k(-1/(mT)) = \tilde{h}_k(T)/(m^2T^4), \quad \tilde{h}_k(T+1) = \tilde{h}_k(T),$$

$$(6.48) \quad \tilde{h}_k(T) \stackrel{T \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} q^0, \quad \tilde{h}_k(T) \stackrel{T \rightarrow T_i}{\sim} (T - T_i)^{2-4k},$$

where we recall that $J_m^+ - \lambda_i \sim (T - T_i)^2$. Recalling that $J_m^+(T) - \lambda_i$ has a double zero at $T = T_i$, we can thus use the Ansatz

$$(6.49) \quad \tilde{h}_k(T) = \frac{P_w(T)}{(\Xi_m(T))^{(2k-1)r} \prod_{i=1}^r (J_m^+(T) - \lambda_i)^{2k-1}},$$

where $\Xi_m(\tau)$ is a $\Gamma_0(m)$ cusp form of lowest possible weight (except for $m = 7$, where we take the cusp form of next-to-lowest weight, $m = 9$ where we take the square of the lowest weight cusp form, and $m = 11$, where we take the cube of the lowest weight cusp form). The numerator $P_w(\tau)$, to be determined, is then a modular form of weight $w = (2k-1)rw_0 - 4$ under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$, where w_0 is the modular weight of $\Xi_m(\tau)$. More explicitly, for $m = 1, 2, 3, 5$ we choose

$$(6.50) \quad \Xi_m(\tau) = [\eta(\tau)\eta(m\tau)]^{\frac{24}{m+1}}, \quad w_0 = \frac{24}{m+1},$$

while for the remaining values we take

$$(6.51) \quad \begin{cases} \Xi_4(\tau) = \eta(2\tau)^{12}, & w_0 = 6 \\ \Xi_6(\tau) = [\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau)]^4, & w_0 = 8 \\ \Xi_7(\tau) = \eta(\tau)^6\eta(7\tau)^6, & w_0 = 6 \\ \Xi_8(\tau) = [\eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau)]^8, & w_0 = 8 \\ \Xi_9(\tau) = \eta(3\tau)^{16}, & w_0 = 8 \\ \Xi_{11}(\tau) = [\eta(\tau)\eta(11\tau)]^6, & w_0 = 6 \end{cases}.$$

In Appendix E, we give the modular form \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 which encodes the genus 0 GW invariants of base degree one and two for each of the models $X_m^{[i,j]}$. Interestingly, \tilde{h}_1 turns out to be universal for fixed m , up to an overall factor of ij , while the generating series W_1 is not, due to the non-trivial (i, j) dependence of $H_m^{[i,j]}$.

APPENDIX A. FUNDAMENTAL PERIODS OF Σ_m FOR $m = 5, \dots, 9$

In this section we collect various representations of the coefficients $c_m(d)$ of the fundamental period $f_m(\lambda) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_m(d)\lambda^{-d}$ of a M_m -polarized K3 surface Σ_m with $m = 5, \dots, 9$. The coefficients for $m \leq 4$ are standard and given in (2.5).

- $m = 5$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.1) \quad c_5(d) &= \binom{2d}{d} \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \\
 &= \binom{2d}{d} \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{d+l}{l} \\
 &= (-1)^d \binom{2d}{d} \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^5 (1 + 5l[H_{d-l} - H_l]) \\
 &= \{1, 6, 114, 2940, 87570, 2835756, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first expression follows directly from [21, 126]. The second equality is obtained by using Vandermonde's identity

$$(A.2) \quad \sum_{l_2 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_2} = \sum_{l_2 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_1 - l_2} \binom{d}{l_2} = \binom{d+l_1}{l_1}.$$

The last equality follows from [95, §F.12], upon identifying the the holomorphic period of Σ_5 with the regularized quantum period of the Fano fourfold V_{10}^4 . The equality between these two representations is shown in [127, Eq (5)]. After multiplication with $\binom{2d}{d}$, one reproduces the holomorphic solution of the CY operator #25 in the AESZ list [128].

- $m = 6$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.3) \quad c_6(d) &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3} \\
 &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{d-l_2} \binom{d}{d-l_3} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{d+l}{l}^2 \\
 &= (-1)^d \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^4 \binom{d+l}{l} \binom{2d-l}{d} (1 + l[5H_{d-l} - 5H_l + H_{d+l} - H_{2d-l}]) \\
 &= \{1, 5, 73, 1445, 33001, 819005, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality between the first three representations follows from the Vandermonde identity (A.2). The last representation follows from the regularized quantum period of the Fano fourfold V_{12}^4 [95, §F.13]. After multiplication with $\binom{2d}{d}$, one recovers the holomorphic solution of the CY operator #29 in the AESZ list.

- $m = 7$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.4) \quad c_7(d) &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_2}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3} \\
 &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{d-l_2} \binom{l_1}{d-l_3} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{2l}{d} \binom{d+l}{l} \\
 &= (-1)^d \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^6 (1 + 6l[H_{d-l} - H_l]) \\
 &= \{1, 4, 48, 760, 13840, 273504, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first expression follows directly from [21, 126], and the third from the Vandermonde identity. The equality between the first and the third expressions can be reduced to an analogue of the Strehl identity

$$(A.5) \quad \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^3 \frac{\binom{d+l}{l}}{\binom{d+d}{l}} = \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{2l}{d} \frac{\binom{d+l}{l}}{\binom{d+d}{d}},$$

which we have verified to hold at least for $d \leq 1000$. The last representation follows from the regularized quantum period of the Fano fourfold V_{14}^4 [95, §F.14]. The equality between with the last representation can be established directly using the same method as in [127]. After multiplication with $\binom{2d}{d}$, one recovers the holomorphic solution of the CY operator #26 in the AESZ list.

- $m = 8$:

$$(A.6) \quad \begin{aligned} c_8(d) &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{l_1}{d-l_2} \binom{l_1}{d-l_3} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{2l}{d}^2 \\ &= \{1, 4, 40, 544, 8536, 145504, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

This is checked to agree with the regularized quantum period of the Fano fourfold V_{16}^4 [95, §F.15] for high values of d , although the latter expression is too complex to display. After multiplication with $\binom{2d}{d}$, one recovers the holomorphic solution of the CY operator #42 in the AESZ list.

- $m = 9$:

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} c_9(d) &= \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1+l_2}{d} \\ &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{d-l_2} \binom{l_2}{d-l_3} \\ &= \{1, 3, 27, 309, 4059, 57753, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

This is checked to agree with the regularized quantum period of the Fano fourfold V_{18}^4 [95, §F.16] for high values of d , although the latter expression is too complex to display. After multiplication with $\binom{2d}{d}$, one recovers the holomorphic solution of the CY operator #185 in the AESZ list.

- $m = 10$

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{10}(d) &= \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{\ell=0}^j \binom{j}{\ell}^4 \binom{j}{2j-d} \\ &= \{1, 2, 20, 200, 2320, 28984, 381128, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

- $m = 11$: The coefficient are not known in any simple closed form, but can be determined to any order by the recurrence relation in [129, Thm 4.7], equivalent to the Picard-Fuchs equation in Table 4,

$$(A.9) \quad c_{11}(d) = \{1, 4, 28, 268, 3004, 36784, 476476, 6418192, 88986172, 1261473136, \dots\}$$

The numbers $c_{11}(d)$ can also be obtained as the coefficient of $(xyz)^d$ in

$$(A.10) \quad (z + (1 + y(1 + z(1 + x)))(1 + x(1 + z(1 + y))))^d.$$

APPENDIX B. CONIFOLD TRANSITIONS FOR $m = 6, 7, 8$

B.1. **The non-toric case $m = 6$.** Let us now study $X_6^{[1,1]} = X_{6,0}^{[1,1]}$. As discussed in Appendix A, the coefficients $c_6(d)$ of the fundamental period $f_6(\lambda)$ of Σ_6 can be expressed as

$$(B.1) \quad c_6(d) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3}.$$

This leads us to consider the expression

$$\varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2, w_3) = \sum_{d, k, l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3} \binom{d+k}{d}^2 z_1^d z_2^k w_1^{l_1} w_2^{l_2} w_3^{l_3},$$

which satisfies

$$\varpi_6^{[1,1]}(z_1, z_2) = \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, 1, 1, 1).$$

By interpreting the coefficients that appear in the factorials in (B.2) as coefficients of linear relations among points we can reconstruct the toric data in Table 14 associated to a CY threefold $\tilde{X}_6^{[1,1]}$ such that (B.2) is the fundamental period of the mirror.

	$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$							$l^{(1)}$	$l^{(2)}$	$l^{(3)}$	$l^{(4)}$	$l^{(5)}$	Δ_i
u_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	1
u_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	2
u_3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	3
u_4	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	4
u_5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	1
u_6	1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	2
u_7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
u_8	0	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
u_9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
u_{10}	0	0	-1	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
v_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
v_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	4

TABLE 14. The toric data associated to the Calabi-Yau $\tilde{X}_{6,0}^{[1,1]}$ that is connected to $X_{6,0}^{[1,1]}$ via a conifold transition. The format is explained in the description of Table 9.

Using affine coordinates x'_1, \dots, x'_7 on $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^7$ we obtain a dense open subset of the Batyrev-Borisov mirror as the complete intersection of the vanishing loci of the polynomials

$$(B.2) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= 1 + x'_1 + x'_4 + x'_5, & p_2 &= 1 + x'_2 + x'_6 + w_1 \frac{x'_1 x'_2}{x'_4}, \\ p_3 &= 1 + x'_3 + x'_7 + w_2 \frac{x'_3 x'_4}{x'_5}, & p_4 &= 1 + \frac{z_1}{x'_3} \left(\frac{1}{x'_1 x'_2} + \frac{w_1 w_3}{x'_4 x'_6} \right) + \frac{z_2}{x'_7}. \end{aligned}$$

To follow the conifold transition we set $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 1$. Using p_i to eliminate x'_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and introducing

$$(B.3) \quad x_1 = x'_4, \quad x_2 = x'_5, \quad y_1 = x'_6, \quad y = -x'_7,$$

we then obtain

$$(B.4) \quad p = (x_1 + x_2)(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_1 y_1) - \frac{1}{z_1}(1 - y)(1 - z_2/y)x_1 x_2(1 + x_1 + x_2)y_1(1 + y_1).$$

This can be compactified to a fibration of

$$(B.5) \quad \{(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1 y_1 + x_3 y_1 + x_3 y_2)(y_1 + y_2) - \lambda x_1 x_2 x_3 y_1 y_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and we recover (B.4) after identifying $\lambda = \Lambda_0^{[1,1]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ and setting $x_3 \rightarrow -1 - x_1 - x_2$, $y_2 \rightarrow -1 - y_1$.

B.2. The non-toric case $m = 7$. To construct $X_7^{[1,1]} = X_{7,0}^{[1,1]}$ we again consider a codimension six complete intersection CY threefold $\tilde{X}_7^{[1,1]}$ in a toric ambient space and then perform a conifold transition. The toric data associated to $\tilde{X}_7^{[1,1]}$ is given in Table 15. The toric ambient space takes the form $P_\Delta = \hat{P}(2, 6) \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\hat{P}(2, 6)$ is a small resolution of the toric degeneration $P(2, 6)$ of $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ that has also been discussed in [89, 21]. Following the conifold transition from $\hat{P}(2, 6)$ to $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ we then obtain $X_7^{[1,1]}$ as defined in Section B.2.

	$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$									$l^{(1)}$	$l^{(2)}$	$l^{(3)}$	$l^{(4)}$	$l^{(5)}$	Δ_i
u_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
u_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
u_3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	1
u_4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	2
u_5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	3
u_6	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	4
u_7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
u_8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
u_9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
u_{10}	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
u_{11}	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	-1	4
u_{12}	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1	-1	0	4
v_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5
v_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	5
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	6

TABLE 15. The toric data associated to the Calabi-Yau $\tilde{X}_7^{[1,1]}$ that is connected to $X_7^{[1,1]}$ via a conifold transition. The format is explained in the description of Table 9.

The mirror fundamental period associated to $\tilde{X}_{7,0}^{[1,1]}$ takes the form

$$(B.6) \quad \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2, w_3) = \sum_{d, k, l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_2}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3} \binom{d+k}{d}^2 z_1^d z_2^k w_1^{l_1} w_2^{l_2} w_3^{l_3}.$$

As discussed in Appendix A we can identify

$$(B.7) \quad c_7(d) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} \binom{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_2}{l_3} \binom{d}{l_1}^2 \binom{d}{l_2} \binom{d}{l_3},$$

as the coefficients of the fundamental period of the mirror Σ_7 and obtain

$$(B.8) \quad \varpi_7^{[1,1]}(z_1, z_2) = \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, 1, 1, 1).$$

Using affine coordinates x'_1, \dots, x'_9 on $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^9$, a dense open subset of the Batyrev-Borisov mirror is the complete intersection of the vanishing loci of the polynomials

$$(B.9) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= 1 + x'_3 + x'_6, & p_2 &= 1 + x'_4 + x'_7, & p_3 &= 1 + x'_5 + x'_8, & p_5 &= 1 + x'_1 + x'_9, \\ p_4 &= 1 + \frac{z_1}{x'_1 x'_2} \left(\frac{1}{x'_3 x'_4 x'_5} + \frac{w_1}{x'_3 x'_4 x'_8} + \frac{w_1 w_2}{x'_3 x'_7 x'_8} + \frac{w_1 w_2 w_3}{x'_6 x'_7 x'_8} \right), & p_6 &= 1 + x'_2 + \frac{z_2}{x'_9}. \end{aligned}$$

We then set $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 1$, use p_1, p_2, p_3, p_5, p_6 to eliminate x_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and define

$$(B.10) \quad r_1 = x'_6, \quad r_2 = x'_7, \quad r_3 = x'_8, \quad y = -x'_9,$$

to identify this with the hypersurface $\{p' = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^4$ where

$$(B.11) \quad p' = 1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_1 r_2 + r_2 r_3 + \frac{1}{z_1} (1 - y) \left(1 - \frac{z_2}{y} \right) r_1 (1 + r_1) r_2 (1 + r_2) r_3 (1 + r_3).$$

This can be compactified to a fibration of

$$(B.12) \quad \left\{ (r_1 r_2 r_3 - r_1 r_2 s_3 + r_1 s_2 s_3 - s_1 s_2 s_3) \prod_{i=1}^3 (r_i - s_i) + \lambda r_1 r_2 r_3 s_1 s_2 s_3 = 0 \right\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^3,$$

and we recover (B.11) after identifying $\lambda = \Lambda_0^{[1,1]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ and setting $s_i \rightarrow r_i + 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

	$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$							$l^{(1)}$	$l^{(2)}$	$l^{(3)}$	Δ_i
u_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	2	2
u_2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	2	1
u_3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
u_4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
u_5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-1	4
u_6	2	2	1	1	-1	0	0	1	0	-1	3
u_7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
u_8	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	0	1	0	0	1
v_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
v_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	1	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	4

TABLE 16. The toric data associated to the Calabi-Yau $\tilde{X}_8^{[1,1]}$ that is connected to $X_8^{[1,1]}$ via a conifold transition. The format is explained in the description of Table 9.

B.3. The non-toric case $m = 8$. To construct the conifold transition to $X_8^{[1,1]}$ we first recall the coefficients of the fundamental period of an M_8 -polarized K3 surface Σ^8 from (A.6),

$$(B.13) \quad c_8(d) = \sum_{l=0}^d \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{2l}{d}^2,$$

and write down the expansion

$$\varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, w_1) = \sum_{d,k,l \geq 0} \binom{d}{l}^2 \binom{2l}{d}^2 \binom{d+k}{d}^2 z_1^d z_2^k w_1^l,$$

which satisfies

$$\varpi_8^{[1,1]}(z_1, z_2) = \varpi'_0(z_1, z_2, 1).$$

We can identify (B.14) as the mirror fundamental period of a CY threefold $\tilde{X}_8^{[1,1]}$ that is a complete intersection in a toric ambient space with the toric data summarized in Table 16. Using affine

coordinates x'_1, \dots, x'_7 on $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^7$ we obtain a dense open subset of the Batyrev-Borisov mirror as the complete intersection of the vanishing loci of the polynomials

$$(B.14) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= 1 + x'_2 + \frac{w_1}{x'_1 x'_2 x'_3 x'_4 x'_6}, & p_2 &= 1 + x'_1 + z_1 x'_6, \\ p_3 &= 1 + x'_4 + \frac{(x'_1 x'_2)^2 x'_3 x'_4}{w_1 x'_5} + \frac{1}{x'_7}, & & 1 + x'_3 + x'_5 + z_2 x'_7. \end{aligned}$$

The conifold transition is implemented by setting $w_1 = 1$. Using p_i to eliminate x'_i for $i = 1, 2, 4$, introducing

$$(B.15) \quad r_1 = \frac{x'_5}{1 + z_2 x'_7}, \quad r_2 = x'_1, \quad r_3 = x'_2, \quad y = -1/x'_7,$$

and dropping an overall factor, we then obtain $\{p = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^4$ with

$$(B.16) \quad p = (1 + r_1) r_2^2 r_3^2 - r_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} (1 - y) \left(1 - \frac{z_2}{y}\right) r_1 (1 + r_1) r_2 (1 + r_2) r_3 (1 + r_3).$$

This can be compactified to a fibration of

$$(B.17) \quad \{(r_1 - s_1) (r_1 (r_2 - s_2)^2 (r_3 - s_3)^2 - r_2^2 r_3^2 s_1) + \lambda r_1 r_2 r_3 s_1 s_2 s_3 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^3,$$

and we recover (B.16) after identifying $\lambda = \Lambda_0^{[1,1]}(y; z_1, z_2)$ and setting $s_i \rightarrow r_i + 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

APPENDIX C. MODULAR FORMS FOR $\Gamma_0(m)^+$

In this section, we collect basic facts about modular forms of $\Gamma_0(m)$ and its Fricke extension $\Gamma_0(m)^+$. Recall that $\Gamma_0(m)$ is the group integer matrices of matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $ad - bc = 1$ and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. It is a congruence subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, of index $m + 1$ with m is prime, or more generally of index $m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$, generated by the matrices $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. $\Gamma_0(m)$ acts on the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} by $\tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$, with P acting trivially. The quotient $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(m)$ can be compactified by adding $h = \sum_{d|m} \varphi((d, m/d))$ cusps (where $\varphi(n)$ is the totient function), corresponding to the orbits of the points $\tau = b/d$ with $d|m$, $(b, d) = 1$ and $0 \leq b < (d, m/d)$. This includes the cusp at infinity $\tau = i\infty$ and $\tau = 0$; these are the only cusps when m is not prime. The modular curve $X_0(m)$ is the corresponding compactification of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(m)$. For $m \leq 10$ or $m \in \{12, 13, 16, 18, 25\}$, $X_0(m)$ is a rational curve with h marked points.

For any $g \in \Gamma_0(m)$ and integer k , we define the Peterson slash operator $|_k g$ acting on functions $f(\tau)$ on \mathbb{H} by

$$(C.1) \quad (f|_k g)(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right)$$

Modular forms of weight k (and level m) are holomorphic functions $f(\tau)$ on \mathbb{H} , bounded at all cusps, such that $(f|_k g)(\tau) = f(\tau)$ for all $g \in \Gamma_0(m)$. In particular, $f(\tau)$ vanishes unless k is even, is constant unless $k \geq 2$, and is invariant under $\tau \mapsto \tau + 1$, hence admits a convergent Fourier expansion $f(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n q^n$ with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Cusp forms are modular forms which vanish at all cusps (in particular, $f_0 = 0$). Weak modular forms are holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} , invariant under $|_k g$, with poles of finite order at the cusps. We denote by $M_k(\Gamma_0(m))$ the space of modular forms of weight k .

The space $M_k(\Gamma_0(m))$ contains $M_k(\Gamma_0(d))$ for any $d|m$, and injects into $M_k(\Gamma_0(Nm))$ for any $N > 1$ by mapping $f(\tau) \mapsto f_N(\tau) = f(N\tau)$. The image of these maps spans the so-called ‘old forms’. In

particular, the ring $M_\star(\Gamma_0(m)) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\Gamma_0(m))$ is a module over $M_\star(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}))$. The latter is the polynomial ring generated by the Eisenstein series

$$(C.2) \quad E_4(\tau) := 1 + 240 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^3 q^n}{1 - q^n}, \quad E_6(\tau) := 1 - 504 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^5 q^n}{1 - q^n}$$

In particular, $M_\star(\Gamma_0(m))$ contains $E_4(\tau), E_4(m\tau)$ in weight 4 and $E_6(\tau), E_6(m\tau)$ in weight 6. In addition, the linear combination

$$(C.3) \quad E_2^{(m)}(\tau) := E_2(\tau) - mE_2(m\tau), \quad E_2(\tau) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n}$$

defines a weight 2, level m modular form.¹⁹ Since $M_\star(\Gamma_0(m))$ is a finitely generated module of rank h over $M_\star(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}))$, the generating series of dimensions is a rational function of the form

$$(C.4) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(m)) t^k = \frac{P_m(t)}{(1 - t^4)(1 - t^6)}$$

where $P_m(t)$ is a polynomial with $P_m(1) = h$. As shown in [130], the dimensions are given for any $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$ by

$$(C.5) \quad \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m' | m \\ m'/\text{square-free}}} \left[\delta(m'(k-1)) + \frac{1}{2} a' \right]$$

where $\delta(n) = \frac{n}{12} - \frac{1}{3} \chi_3(n) - \frac{1}{4} \chi_4(n)$, with χ_3 and χ_4 the primitive Dirichlet characters of order 3 and 4, and a' is the unique positive integer such that $m' = a'^2 b'$ with b' square-free.

When m is such that $X_0(m)$ has genus zero, there exists a weak modular modular form, $J_m(\tau)$ of weight 0, unique up to Moebius transformations, which maps $X_0(m)$ to \mathbb{P}_1 isomorphically and generates the field of weight 0 weak modular forms. This weak modular form, known as Hauptmodul, is determined uniquely by requiring the behavior $J_m(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + \mathcal{O}(q)$ at $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$. For $m = 1$, this is

$$(C.6) \quad J_1 = J - 744 = \frac{E_4^3}{\eta^{24}} - 744 = \frac{1}{q} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \dots$$

where $\eta(\tau) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind eta function. As a manifestation of Monstruous Moonshine, $J_m(\tau)$ is equal, up to an additive constant, to the McKay-Thompson series attached to a suitable conjugacy class of the Monster [131].

The group $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ is defined as $\Gamma_0(m) \cup \Gamma_0(m)W_m \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Q})$, where $W_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the Fricke involution. W_m acts on \mathbb{H} as $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{m\tau}$, and identifies the cusps of $\Gamma_0(m)$ pairwise (except for $m = 4$, where the cusp at $1/2$ is invariant). It acts on $M_k(\Gamma_0(m))$ via

$$(C.7) \quad f(\tau) \mapsto (f|_{W_m})(\tau) = \tau^{-k} m^{-k/2} f\left(-\frac{1}{m\tau}\right)$$

Modular forms of $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ are modular forms of $\Gamma_0(m)$ which are invariant under the Fricke involution. This includes in particular the even linear combinations E_{2n}^+ defined for $n \geq 2$ by

$$(C.8) \quad E_{2n}^\pm(\tau) := E_{2n}(\tau) \pm m^n E_{2n}(m\tau)$$

but not $E_2^{(m)}$ in (C.3), which is odd under Fricke. The modular curve $X_0(m)^+$ is the quotient of $X_0(m)$ under the Fricke involution; unlike $X_0(m)$, it is rational for all $m \leq 20$ as well as $m = 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, \dots$ (see [131]). In those cases, there again exists a weak modular modular form, determined uniquely by requiring the behavior $J_m^+(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + c_m + \mathcal{O}(q)$ at $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$, which maps $X_0^+(m)$ to \mathbb{P}_1 isomorphically and generates the field of weak modular modular forms of weight 0 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$. It also coincides with the McKay-Thompson series attached to a suitable conjugacy class of the Monster, up to an additive constant. Unlike J_m , we shall not require that the constant c_m in $J_m^+(\tau)$

¹⁹In contrast, the Eisenstein series $E_2(\tau)$ is quasi-modular, with $\widehat{E}_2(\tau) := E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi\tau_2}$ transforming as a (non-holomorphic) modular form of weight 2. The ring of quasi-modular forms of level m is defined as $M_\star(\Gamma_0(m))[E_2]$.

vanishes, but rather fix it to a particular value which is natural in the context of mirror symmetry for M_n -polarized K3 surfaces. When $X_0(m)$ itself has genus 0, one has $J_m^+ = J_m + J_m|_{W_m} + \gamma_m$ where $J_m|_{W_m} = \frac{\alpha_m J_m}{J_m + \beta_m}$ for some real constants $\alpha_m, \beta_m, \gamma_m$. For $m = 1$, we set $J_1^+ = E_4^3/\eta^{24} = J$. With this definition, the fundamental period for M_2 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(J_1^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{(6d)!}{(d!)^3(3d)!} (J_1^+(\tau))^{-d} = \sqrt{E_4(\tau)} \\ (C.9) \quad &= 1 + 120q - 6120q^2 + 737760q^3 - 107249640q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we record the generators of the ring of modular forms and the Hauptmoduls of $\Gamma_0(m)$ and $\Gamma_0(m)^+$ for $m \leq 11$.

C.1. $m = 2$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(2) = \Gamma_1(2)$ has index 3 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 2 cusps at $\tau = i\infty$ and 0. The ring of modular forms is generated by $E_2^{(2)}(\tau)$ and by $E_4^{(2,-)} = E_4(\tau) - 4E_4(2\tau) = 2E_4(\tau) - 5(E_2^{(2)})^2$, which are both under the Fricke involution. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(2))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(2)) x^k &= \frac{1 + x^2 + x^4}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4)} \\ &= 1 + (0 + 1)x^2 + (1 + 1)x^4 + (1 + 1)x^6 + (2 + 1)x^8 + (1 + 2)x^{10} \\ &\quad + (2 + 2)x^{12} + (2 + 2)x^{14} + (3 + 2)x^{16} + (2 + 3)x^{18} + (3 + 3)x^{20} + \dots \\ (C.10) \quad & \end{aligned}$$

where we indicated the dimensions of the Fricke-even and Fricke-odd subspaces. The first cusp form occurs at weight 8 and is even under Fricke,

$$\begin{aligned} (C.11) \quad \Xi_2(\tau) &= [\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)]^8 = -\frac{1}{144}(E_2^{(2)})^4 + \frac{5}{576}(E_2^{(2)})^2 E_4 - \frac{1}{576} E_4^2 \\ &= q - 8q^2 + 12q^3 + 64q^4 - 210q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(2)$ is the McKay-Thompson series associated to the conjugacy class $2B$ of the Monster,

$$(C.12) \quad J_2(\tau) = \frac{\Delta(\tau)}{\Delta(2\tau)} + 24 = \frac{192(E_2^{(2)})^2}{E_4 - (E_2^{(2)})^2} - 40 = q^{-1} + 276q - 2048q^2 + 11202q^3 + \dots$$

The Hauptmodul of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is given in terms of J_2 by

$$(C.13) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_2 - 232)^3}{(J_2 - 24)^2}, \quad J(2\tau) = \frac{(J_2 - 8)^3}{J_2 - 24}.$$

The Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(2)^+$ is instead the McKay-Thompson series of class $2A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (C.14) \quad J_2^+(\tau) &= \frac{(\theta_3^4 + \theta_4^4)^4}{16(\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau))^8} = J_2 + \frac{4096}{J_2 - 24} + 104 \\ &= q^{-1} + 104 + 4372q + 96256q^2 + 1240002q^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_2 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (C.15) \quad f_2(J_2^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^4} (J_2^+(\tau))^{-d} = -(E_2^{(2)}) \\ &= 1 + 24q + 24q^2 + 96q^3 + 24q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

C.2. $m = 3$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3)$ has index 4 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 2 cusps at $\tau = i\infty$ and 0. The ring of modular forms of $\Gamma_0(3)$ is generated by the Fricke-odd weight 2 form $E_2^{(3)}(\tau)$, the Fricke-odd weight 4 form $E_4^{(3,-)} = E_4(\tau) - 9E_4(3\tau)$ and the unique cusp form of weight 6, odd under Fricke,

$$(C.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_3(\tau) &= [\eta(\tau)\eta(3\tau)]^6 = \frac{1}{384}(E_2^{(3)})^3 - \frac{7}{864}(E_2^{(3)})E_4 + \frac{1}{216}E_6 \\ &= q - 6q^2 + 9q^3 + 4q^4 + 6q^5 - 54q^6 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(3))$ are given by

$$(C.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(3)) x^k &= \frac{1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^6}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^4}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^6)} \\ &= 1 + x^2 + 2x^4 + 3x^6 + 3x^8 + 4x^{10} + 5x^{12} + 5x^{14} + 6x^{16} + 7x^{18} + 7x^{20} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(3)$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 3B

$$(C.18) \quad \begin{aligned} J_3(\tau) &= \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^{12} + 12 = \left(-\frac{23}{128}(E_2^{(3)})^3 + \frac{53}{288}(E_2^{(3)})E_4 - \frac{5}{72}E_6 \right) / \Xi_3^{(3)} \\ &= q^{-1} + 54q - 76q^2 - 243q^3 + 1188q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is given in terms of J_3 by

$$(C.19) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_3 + 15)(J_3 + 231)^3}{(J_3 - 12)^3}, \quad J(3\tau) = \frac{(J_3 - 9)^3(J_3 + 15)}{J_3 - 12}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(3)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 3A,

$$(C.20) \quad J_3^+ = J_3 + \frac{729}{J_3 - 12} + 42 = q^{-1} + 42 + 783q + 8672q^2 + 65367q^3 + \dots,$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_3 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.21) \quad \begin{aligned} f_3(J_3^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{(2d)!(3d)!}{(d!)^5} (J_3^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{2}E_2^{(3)} \\ &= 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + 84q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

C.3. $m = 4$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(4)$ has index 6 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 3 cusps at $\tau = i\infty, 0$ and $1/2$. The ring of modular forms is freely generated by the weight 2 elements

$$(C.22) \quad E_2^{(4,+)}(\tau) = E_2^{(2)}(2\tau) + \frac{3}{2}[\theta_2(2\tau)]^4, \quad E_2^{(4,-)}(\tau) = E_2^{(2)}(2\tau) - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_2(2\tau)]^4$$

which are even and odd under the Fricke involution, respectively. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(4))$ are given by

$$(C.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(4)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 2x^2 + 2x^4 + x^6}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1}{(1 - x^2)^2} \\ &= 1 + 2x^2 + 3x^4 + 4x^6 + 5x^8 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

hence the dimension is $\frac{k}{2} + 1$, with $\lceil \frac{k+2}{4} \rceil$ even forms and $\lfloor \frac{k+2}{4} \rfloor$ odd forms. The first cusp form occurs in weight 6 and is odd under Fricke,

$$(C.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_4(\tau) &= \eta(2\tau)^{12} = \frac{1}{16}E_2^{(2)}(2\tau)^2\theta_2(2\tau)^4 - \frac{1}{64}\theta_2(2\tau)^{12} \\ &= q - 12q^3 + 54q^5 - 88q^7 - 99q^9 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(4)$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 4C,

$$(C.25) \quad \begin{aligned} J_4(\tau) &= 16 \frac{\theta_3^4(2\tau)}{\theta_4^4(2\tau)} - 8 = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)} \right)^8 + 8 = \frac{E_2^{(2)}(2\tau)\theta_2^8(2\tau) - 4E_2^{(2)}(2\tau)^3}{4\Xi_6^{(4)}} \\ &= q^{-1} + 20q - 62q^3 + 216q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\Gamma_0(2)$ are expressed in terms of J_4 as

$$(C.26) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_4^2 + 240J_4 + 2112)^3}{(J_4 - 8)^4(J_4 + 8)}, \quad J(4\tau) = \frac{(J_4^2 - 48)^3}{(J_4 - 8)^2(J_4 + 8)^2},$$

$$(C.27) \quad J_2(\tau) = J_4 + \frac{256}{J_4 + 8}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(4)^+$ is instead the McKay-Thompson series of class 4A,

$$(C.28) \quad J_4^+ = J_4 + \frac{256}{J_4 - 8} + 24 = \frac{1}{q} + 24 + 276q + 2048q^2 + 11202q^3 + 49152q^4 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_4 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.29) \quad \begin{aligned} f_4(J_4^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{[(2d)!]^3}{(d!)^6} (J_4^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{3} X_4 \\ &= 1 + 8q + 24q^2 + 32q^3 + 24q^4 \dots \end{aligned}$$

C.4. $m = 5$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(5)$ has index 6 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 2 cusps at $\tau = i\infty$ and 0. The ring of modular forms is generated by the odd weight 2 form, $(E_2^{(5)})(\tau)$, the odd weight 4 form $E_4^{(5,-)}(\tau) = E_4(\tau) - 25E_4(5\tau)$ and the unique cusp form of weight 4, even under Fricke,

$$(C.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_5 &= [\eta(\tau) \eta(5\tau)]^4 = \frac{13}{576} (E_2^{(5)})^2 - \frac{1}{72} E_4 - \frac{25}{72} E_4(5\tau) \\ &= q - 4q^2 + 2q^3 + 8q^4 - 5q^5 - 8q^6 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(5))$ are given by

$$(C.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(5)) x^k &= \frac{1 + x^2 + 2x^4 + x^6 + x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^4}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4)} \\ &= 1 + x^2 + 3x^4 + 3x^6 + 5x^8 + 5x^{10} \\ &\quad + 7x^{12} + 7x^{14} + 9x^{16} + 9x^{18} + 11x^{20} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(5)$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 5B

$$(C.32) \quad \begin{aligned} J_5(\tau) &= \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(5\tau)} \right)^6 + 6 = \frac{28E_4 + 1300E_4(5\tau) - 47(E_2^{(5)})^2}{576\Xi_4^{(5)}} \\ &= q^{-1} + 9q + 10q^2 - 30q^3 + 6q^4 - 25q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(C.33) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_5^2 + 238J_5 + 166)^3}{(J_5 - 6)^5}, \quad J(5\tau) = \frac{(J_5^2 - 2J_5 - 19)^3}{J_5 - 6}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(5)^+$ is instead the McKay-Thompson series of class 5A,

$$(C.34) \quad J_5^+ = J_5 + \frac{125}{J_5 - 6} + 16 = \frac{1}{q} + 16 + 134q + 760q^2 + 3345q^3 + 12256q^4 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_5 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.35) \quad f_5(J_5^+(\tau)) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_5(d) (J_5^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{4} E_2^{(5)} = 1 + 6q + 18q^2 + 24q^3 + 42q^4 + \dots$$

where the coefficients $c_5(d)$ are given in (A.1).

C.5. $m = 6$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(6)$ has index 12 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 4 cusps at $\tau = i\infty, 0, 1/2, 1/3$. The ring of modular forms under is generated by the weight 2 forms $E_2^{(6)}$ and

$$(C.36) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2^{(6,-)}(\tau) &:= 2E_2^{(3)}(2\tau) - 3E_2^{(2)}(3\tau) \\ E_2^{(6,+)}(\tau) &:= E_2^{(6)}(\tau) - 3E_2^{(2)}(3\tau) - 2E_2^{(3)}(2\tau) \end{aligned}$$

which are odd, odd and even under the Fricke involution, respectively. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(6))$ are given by

$$(C.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(6)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 3x^2 + 4x^4 + 3x^6 + x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^2}{(1 - x^2)^2} \\ &= 1 + 3x^2 + 5x^4 + 7x^6 + 9x^8 + 11x^{10} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The first cusp form occurs in weight 4, and is even under Fricke,

$$(C.38) \quad \Xi_6(\tau) = \eta^2(\tau)\eta^2(2\tau)\eta^2(3\tau)\eta^2(6\tau) = q - 2q^2 - 3q^3 + 4q^4 + 6q^5 - 16q^7 + \dots$$

The Hauptmodul is the McKay-Thompson series of class 6E,

$$(C.39) \quad J_6(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta^3(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta^3(6\tau)} \right)^3 - 3 = q^{-1} + 6q + 4q^2 - 3q^3 - 12q^4 + \dots$$

such that

$$(C.40) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\tau) &= \frac{(J_6 + 7)^3 (J_6^3 + 237J_6^2 + 1443J_6 + 2287)^3}{(J_6 - 5)^6 (J_6 + 3)^2 (J_6 + 4)^3}, \\ J(6\tau) &= \frac{(J_6 + 1)^3 (J_6^3 + 3J_6^2 - 21J_6 - 71)^3}{(J_6 - 5)(J_6 + 3)^3 (J_6 + 4)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(6)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 6B

$$(C.41) \quad \begin{aligned} J_6^+ &= \left(\frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(6\tau)} \right)^{12} = J_6 + \frac{72}{J_6 - 5} + 12 \\ &= q^{-1} + 12 + 78q + 364q^2 + 1365q^3 + 4380q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_6 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.42) \quad \begin{aligned} f_6(J_6^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} c_6(d) (J_6^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{5}{24} E_2^{(6)} + \frac{1}{12} E_2^{(3)}(2\tau) + \frac{1}{8} E_2^{(2)}(3\tau) \\ &= \frac{\eta^7(2\tau)\eta^7(3\tau)^7}{\eta^5(\tau)\eta^5(6\tau)} = 1 + 5q + 13q^2 + 23q^3 + 29q^4 + 30q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c_6(d)$ are given in (A.3).

C.6. $m = 7$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(7)$ has index 8 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 2 cusps at $\tau = i\infty$ and 0. The ring of modular forms is generated by $E_2^{(7)}(\tau)$ and the Eisenstein series

$$(C.43) \quad E_4^{(7,\pm)} = E_4(\tau) \pm 49E_4(7\tau), \quad E_6^{(7,\pm)} = E_6(\tau) \pm 749E_6(7\tau)$$

The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(7))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(7)) x^k &= \frac{1 + x^2 + 2x^4 + 3x^6 + x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + 2x^4 + x^6}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^6)} \\ &= 1 + x^2 + 3x^4 + 5x^6 + 5x^8 + 7x^{10} + 9x^{12} \\ &\quad + 9x^{14} + 11x^{16} + 13x^{18} + 13x^{20} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The first cusp form occurs in weight 4 and is even under Fricke,

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.44) \quad \Delta_4^{(7)}(\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)^5 \eta(7\tau)^5}{\eta(2\tau)\eta(14\tau)} + 4\eta(\tau)^2 \eta(2\tau)^2 \eta(14\tau)^2 \eta(7\tau)^2 \\
&= 2 \frac{\eta(\tau)^5 \eta(7\tau)^5}{\eta(\tau/2)\eta(7\tau/2)} + \eta(\tau)^2 \eta(2\tau)^2 \eta(\tau/2)^2 \eta(7\tau/2)^2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{160} E_4^{(7,+)} + \frac{5}{576} (E_2^{(7)})^2 \\
&= q - q^2 - 2q^3 - 7q^4 + 16q^5 + 2q^6 - 7q^7 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

The unique cusp form of weight 6 is odd under Fricke,

$$(C.45) \quad \Xi_7(\tau) := \eta(\tau)^6 \eta(7\tau)^6 = -\frac{19}{15552} (E_2^{(7)})^3 + \frac{25}{54} E_2^{(7)} \Delta_4^{(7)} + \frac{1}{1296} E_6^{(7,-)}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(7)$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 7B,

$$(C.46) \quad J_7(\tau) = \frac{\eta^4(\tau)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + 4 = \frac{1}{q} + 2q + 8q^2 - 5q^3 - 4q^4 - 10q^5 + 12q^6 - 7q^7 + 8q^8 + 46q^9 + \dots$$

such that

$$(C.47) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_7^2 + 5J_7 + 13)(J_7^2 + 237J_7 + 1437)^3}{(J_7 - 4)^7}, \quad J(7\tau) = \frac{(J_7^2 - 3J_7 - 3)^3 (J_7^2 + 5J_7 + 13)}{J_7 - 4}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(7)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 7A

$$(C.48) \quad J_7^+(\tau) = J_7 + \frac{49}{J_7 - 4} + 9 = \frac{1}{q} + 9 + 51q + 204q^2 + 681q^3 + 1956q^4 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_7 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.49) \quad f_7(J_7^+(\tau)) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_7(d) (J_7^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{6} E_2^{(7)} = 1 + 4q + 12q^2 + 16q^3 + 28q^4 + \dots$$

where the coefficients $c_7(d)$ are given in (A.4).

C.7. $m = 8$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(8)$ has index 12 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 4 cusps at $(0, 1/2, 1/4, \infty)$.

The ring of modular forms is generated by the weight 2 forms $E_2^{(8)}(\tau)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.50) \quad E_2^{(8,-)}(\tau) &:= E_2^{(4)}(2\tau) - 2E_2^{(2)}(4\tau) \\
E_2^{(8,+)}(\tau) &:= E_2^{(8)}(\tau) - 4E_2^{(2)}(4\tau) - 2X_4(2\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

which are odd, odd and even under Fricke, respectively. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(8))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.51) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(8)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 3x^2 + 4x^4 + 3x^6 + x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^2}{(1 - x^2)^2} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n + 1)x^{2n} = 1 + 3x^2 + 5x^4 + 7x^6 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

The first cusp form occurs in weight 4 and is Fricke invariant,

$$(C.52) \quad \Xi_8(\tau) = [\eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau)]^4 = q - 4q^3 - 2q^5 + 24q^7 - 11q^9 - 44q^{11} + \dots$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(8)$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 8E,

$$J_8(\tau) = \frac{\eta(4\tau)^{12}}{\eta(2\tau)^4 \eta(8\tau)^8} = \frac{1}{q} + 4q + 2q^3 - 8q^5 - q^7 + 20q^9 - 2q^{11} - 40q^{13} + 3q^{15} + \dots,$$

such that

$$(C.53) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_8^4 + 240J_8^3 + 2144J_8^2 + 3840J_8 + 256)^3}{(J_8 - 4)^8 J_8 (J_8 + 4)^2}, \quad J(8\tau) = \frac{(J_8^4 - 16J_8^2 + 16)^3}{J_8^2 (J_8^2 - 16)}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(8)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 8A,

$$(C.54) \quad J_8^+ = J_8 + \frac{32}{J_8 - 4} + 8 = \frac{1}{q} + 8 + 36q + 128q^2 + 386q^3 + 1024q^4 + 2488q^5 + 5632q^6 + 12031q^7 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_8 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.55) \quad \begin{aligned} f_8(J_8^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} c_8(d) (J_8^+(\tau))^{-d} = \frac{(\eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau))^6}{(\eta(\tau)\eta(8\tau))^4} = \theta^2(2\tau)\theta^2(4\tau) \\ &= -\frac{1}{6}(E_2^{(8)} - 2E_2^{(2)}(4\tau) - X_4(2\tau)) = 1 + 4q + 8q^2 + 16q^3 + 24q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c_8(d)$ are given in (A.6).

C.8. $m = 9$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(8)$ has index 12 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and 4 cusps $(0, 1/3, 2/3, \infty)$. The ring of modular forms is generated by the weight 2 forms $E_2^{(9)}(\tau)$ and the even weight 2 form

$$(C.56) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2^{(9,+)}(\tau) &:= E_2^{(3)}(3\tau) - \frac{1}{6}E_2^{(9)}(\tau) \\ E_2^{(9,-)}(\tau) &:= \frac{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(9\tau)}{\eta^2(3\tau)} = q - 3q^2 + 7q^4 - 6q^5 + 8q^7 - 15q^8 + 18q^{10} - 12q^{11} + 14q^{13} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

which are odd, even and odd under the Fricke involution, respectively. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(9))$ are given by

$$(C.57) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(9)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 3x^2 + 4x^4 + 3x^6 + x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^2}{(1 - x^2)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n + 1)x^{2n} = 1 + 3x^2 + 5x^4 + 7x^6 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The first cusp form occurs in weight 4 and is Fricke even,

$$(C.58) \quad \Xi_9(\tau) = \eta(3\tau)^8 = q - 8q^4 + 20q^7 - 70q^{13} + 64q^{16} + \dots$$

The Hauptmodul is given by the McKay-Thompson series of class 9B,

$$(C.59) \quad J_9 = \frac{\eta^3(\tau)}{\eta^3(9\tau)} + 3 = \frac{1}{q} + 5q^2 - 7q^5 + 3q^8 + 15q^{11} - 32q^{14} + 9q^{17} + 58q^{20} + \dots$$

such that

$$(C.60) \quad J(\tau) = \frac{(J_9 + 6)^3 (J_9^3 + 234J_9^2 + 756J_9 + 2160)^3}{(J_9 - 3)^9 (J_9^2 + 3J_9 + 9)}, \quad J(9\tau) = \frac{J_9^3 (J_9^3 - 24)^3}{J_9^3 - 27}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(9)^+$ is given by the McKay-Thompson series of class 9A,

$$(C.61) \quad J_9^+ = J_9 + \frac{27}{J_9 - 3} + 6 = \frac{1}{q} + 6 + 27q + 86q^2 + 243q^3 + 594q^4 + 1370q^5 + 2916q^6 + 5967q^7 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_9 -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.62) \quad \begin{aligned} f_9(J_9^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} c_9(d) (J_9^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{8}E_2^{(9)} = \frac{\eta^{10}(3\tau)}{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(9\tau)} \\ &= 1 + 3q + 9q^2 + 12q^3 + 21q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c_9(d)$ are given in (A.7).

C.9. $m = 10$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(10)$ has index 18 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and 4 cusps $(0, 1/2, 1/5, \infty)$. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(10))$ are given by

$$(C.63) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(10)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 3x^2 + 6x^4 + 5x^6 + 3x^8}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + 2x^2 + 3x^4}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4)} \\ &= 1 + 3x^2 + 7x^4 + 9x^6 + 13x^8 + 15x^{10} + 19x^{12} \\ &\quad + 21x^{14} + 25x^{16} + 27x^{18} + 31x^{20} + 33x^{22} + 37x^{24} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In weight 2, we have the Eisenstein series $E_2^{(10)}(\tau)$ and

$$(C.64) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2^{(10,-)}(\tau) &:= 2E_2^{(5)}(2\tau) - 5E_2^{(2)}(5\tau) \\ E_2^{(10,+)}(\tau) &:= E_2^{(10)}(\tau) - 5E_2^{(2)}(5\tau) - 2E_2^{(5)}(2\tau) \end{aligned}$$

which are odd, odd and even under the Fricke involution, respectively. The first cusp forms arise in weight 4, consisting of the two old forms $\Xi_4^{(5)}(\tau)$ and $4\Xi_5(2\tau)$, exchanged by the Fricke involution, and the new form

$$(C.65) \quad \Xi_{10}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^5(2\tau)\eta^5(10\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(10\tau)} = q + q^2 - 3q^3 - 2q^4 - 8q^6 + q^7 + 20q^8 + 7q^9 + 5q^{10} + \dots$$

which is even under Fricke. The Hauptmodul is given by the McKay-Thompson series of class 10E,

$$(C.66) \quad J_{10}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^5(5\tau)\eta(2\tau)}{\eta^5(10\tau)\eta(\tau)} - 1 = \frac{1}{q} + q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 - q^5 - 4q^7 - 2q^8 + 5q^9 + \dots$$

such that

$$(C.67) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\tau) &= \frac{(J_{10}^6 + 242J_{10}^5 + 2635J_{10}^4 + 10060J_{10}^3 + 20615J_{10}^2 + 20642J_{10} + 7949)^3}{(J_{10} - 3)^{10}(J_{10} + 1)^2(J_{10} + 2)^5}, \\ J(10\tau) &= \frac{(J_{10}^6 + 2J_{10}^5 - 5J_{10}^4 - 20J_{10}^3 - 25J_{10}^2 + 2J_{10} + 29)^3}{(J_{10} - 3)(J_{10} + 1)^5(J_{10} + 2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(10)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 10D, given by

$$(C.68) \quad J_{10}^+ = \frac{(\eta(5\tau)\eta(2\tau))^6}{(\eta(\tau)\eta(10\tau))^6} - 1 = J_{10} + \frac{20}{J_{10} - 3} + 5 = \frac{1}{q} + 5 + 21q + 62q^2 + 162q^3 + 378q^4 + \dots$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_{10} -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.69) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{10}(J_{10}^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} c_{10}(d)(J_{10}^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{12}(E_2^{(10)} - 5E_2^{(2)}(5\tau) + 2E_2^{(5)}(2\tau)) = \\ &= 1 + 2q + 10q^2 + 8q^3 + 26q^4 + 2q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c_{10}(d)$ are given in (A.8).

C.10. $m = 11$. The congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(11)$ has index 12 in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and 2 cusps $(0, \infty)$. The ring of modular forms is generated by $E_2^{(11)}$, the weight 2 cusp form

$$(C.70) \quad E_2^{(11,-)}(\tau) = \eta(\tau)^2\eta(11\tau)^2 = q - 2q^2 - q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 + 2q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^9 + \dots$$

and the weight 4 Eisenstein series $E_4^{(11,-)}(\tau) = E_4(\tau) - 121E_4(11\tau)$, all of which are odd under the Fricke involution. The dimensions of $M_k(\Gamma_0(11))$ are given by the generating series

$$(C.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim M_k(\Gamma_0(11)) x^k &= \frac{1 + 2x^2 + 3x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^8 + x^{10}}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = \frac{1 + x^4}{(1 - x^2)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n)x^{2n} \\ &= 1 + 2x^2 + 4x^4 + 6x^6 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

While the modular curve $X_0(11)$ has genus 1, its quotient $X_0(11)^+$ by the Fricke involution has genus 0. The Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(11)^+$ is the McKay-Thompson series of class 11A,

$$(C.72) \quad J_{11}^+(\tau) = J_{11} + \frac{16}{J_{11} - 2} + \frac{16}{(J_{11} - 2)^2} + 6 = \frac{1}{q} + 6 + 17q + 46q^2 + 116q^3 + 252q^4 + \dots$$

where

$$(C.73) \quad J_{11}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^2(\tau)\eta^2(11\tau)}{\eta^2(2\tau)\eta^2(22\tau)} + 2 = \frac{1}{q} + q - 2q^2 + 4q^3 - 4q^4 + 5q^5 - 6q^6 + 9q^7 + \dots$$

The J invariant can be expressed in terms of J_{11}^+ by²⁰

$$(C.74) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\tau) &= P_{11}(J_{11}^+) + P_9(J_{11}^+) \sqrt{J_{11}^+ [(J_{11}^+)^3 - 20(J_{11}^+)^2 + 56J_{11}^+ - 44]} \\ J(11\tau) &= P_{11}(J_{11}^+(\tau)) - P_9(J_{11}^+(\tau)) \sqrt{J_{11}^+(\tau) [(J_{11}^+(\tau))^3 - 20(J_{11}^+(\tau))^2 + 56J_{11}^+(\tau) - 44]} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(C.75) \quad \begin{aligned} P_9(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(x-16)(x-7)(x-4)(x-2)(x-1)(x^2-14x+4)(x^2-12x+16) \\ P_{11}(x) &= \frac{x^{11}}{2} - 33x^{10} + \frac{1793x^9}{2} - 13024x^8 + 110528x^7 - 566335x^6 + 1767920x^5 \\ &\quad - 3341536x^4 + 3709376x^3 - 2230272x^2 + 591872x - 32768 \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, the fundamental period for M_{11} -polarized K3 surfaces is given by

$$(C.76) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{11}(J_{11}^+(\tau)) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} c_{11}(d)(J_{11}^+(\tau))^{-d} = -\frac{1}{10}E_2^{(11)} + \frac{8}{5}\eta^2(\tau)\eta^2(11\tau) \\ &= 1 + 4q + 4q^2 + 8q^3 + 20q^4 + 16q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $c_{11}(d)$ are given in (A.1).

APPENDIX D. SKEW-HOLOMORPHIC JACOBI FORMS

Skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight w and index m are functions $\phi(\tau, z)$ which are smooth in $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, holomorphic in $z \in \mathbb{C}$, periodic in each variable with period 1, satisfying the functional equation (see e.g. [133])

$$(D.1) \quad \phi\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right) e^{-2\pi i m z^2 / \tau} = \bar{\tau}^{w-1} |\tau| \phi(\tau, z)$$

and has Fourier expansion

$$(D.2) \quad \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{\Delta, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \Delta \geq 0 \\ \Delta = r^2 \pmod{4m}}} C_\phi(\Delta, r) e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta}{4m} \tau_1 + i \frac{r^2 + |\Delta|}{4m} \tau_2 + rz \right)}$$

where the coefficients $C_\phi(\Delta, r)$ depend only on r modulo $2m$. Equivalently, $\phi(\tau, z)$ has the theta decomposition

$$(D.3) \quad \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r=0}^{2m-1} \overline{\phi_r(\tau)} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k=r \pmod{2m}}} e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{k^2}{4m} \tau + kz \right)}$$

such that

$$(D.4) \quad \phi_r(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{\Delta \geq 0 \\ \Delta = r^2 \pmod{4m}}} \overline{C_\phi(\Delta, r)} q^{\frac{\Delta}{4m}}$$

transforms like a vector-valued modular form of weight $w - \frac{1}{2}$ under the Weil representation associated to the lattice $\langle 2m \rangle$. We denote by $J_{w,m}^+$ the space of skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight w and index m , and by $S_{w,m}^+$ the subspace of skew-holomorphic cusp Jacobi forms, such that $C_\phi(\Delta, r) = 0$ unless $\Delta > 0$. Note that the space $J_{w,m}^-$ of ordinary holomorphic Jacobi forms is defined similarly, but with a factor of τ^w on the r.h.s. of (D.1), Fourier expansion restricted to $\Delta \leq 0$ in (D.2) and (D.3),

²⁰This is obtained by setting $t = J_{11}^+ - 6$ in [132].

and τ replaced by $-\bar{\tau}$ in (D.4), such that (D.3) is holomorphic both in τ and z . In the following we shall restrict to the case where $w \geq 1$ is odd.

Prime examples of skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms are the signature $(1, 1)$ theta series

$$(D.5) \quad T_{m_1, m_2}(\tau, z) = \sum_{s, t \in \mathbb{Z}^2} q^{st} e^{-\frac{\pi \tau_2 (m_1 s - m_2 t)^2}{m_1 m_2}} e^{2\pi i z (m_1 s + m_2 t)}$$

which belong to $J_{w, m}^+$ with $w = 1, m = m_1 m_2$ (as follows from the Poisson resummation formula). In fact, the space $J_{1, m}^+$ for any $m, \geq 1$ is spanned by the theta series with (D.5) with (m_1, m_2) running over all factorizations $m = m_1 m_2$, up to the trivial exchange of m_1 and m_2 . The Fourier coefficients of (D.5) are given by

$$(D.6) \quad C_{m_1, m_2}(\Delta, r) = \#\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (m_1 s - m_2 t)^2 = \Delta, m_1 s + m_2 t = r\}$$

For $(m_1, m_2) = (m, 1)$, one can eliminate $t = r - ms$ such that $C_{m_1, m_2}(\Delta, r) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\Delta, (r-2ms)^2}$. The corresponding vector-valued modular form is therefore the standard theta series

$$(D.7) \quad T_{m, 1; r}(\tau) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{(r-2ms)^2}{4m}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{r}{2m}} q^{mk^2} = \Theta_r^{(m)}$$

For $(m_1, m_2) = (2, m)$, we find that the components of $T_{2, m}$ can be written in terms of $\Theta_r^{(m)}$ by applying [134, Prop. 2], e.g.

$$(D.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{2, 2; r} &= \delta_r^{(2)}(\Theta_r^{(4)} + \Theta_{r+4}^{(4)}) \\ T_{2, 3; r} &= \Theta_r^{(6)} - \delta_{r+1}^{(2)}(\Theta_r^{(6)} - \Theta_{r+6}^{(6)}) \\ T_{2, 4; r} &= \delta_r^{(2)}(\Theta_r^{(8)} + \Theta_{r+8}^{(8)}) \\ T_{2, 5; r} &= \Theta_r^{(10)} - \delta_{r+1}^{(2)}(\Theta_r^{(10)} - \Theta_{r+10}^{(10)}) \\ T_{2, 6; r} &= \delta_r^{(2)}(\Theta_r^{(12)} + \Theta_{r+12}^{(12)}) \\ (D.9) \quad T_{3, 3; r} &= \Theta_r^{(9)} + \delta_r^{(3)}(\Theta_r^{(9)} + \Theta_{r+6}^{(9)} + \Theta_{r+12}^{(9)}) \end{aligned}$$

Since multiplication $\phi_r(\tau) \mapsto f(\tau)\phi_r(\tau)$ by any holomorphic modular form $f(\tau)$ of weight k (or equivalently, $\phi(\tau, z) \mapsto f(-\bar{\tau})\phi(\tau, z)$) maps $J_{w, m}^+ \mapsto J_{w+k, m}^+$, the total sum $J_{*, m}^+ = \bigoplus_{w \geq 0} J_{w, m}^+$ forms a graded module over the ring $M_*(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$ of holomorphic modular forms. Since all elements of M_* have even weight, the odd weight part $J_{\text{odd}, m}^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J_{2n+1, m}^+$ also forms a graded module, which can be shown to be free of rank $m+1$ over M_* . This implies that the Hilbert series generating the graded dimensions of $J_{\text{odd}, m}^+$ takes the form

$$(D.10) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim J_{2n+1}^+ t^{n+1} = \frac{Q_m(t)}{(1-t^4)(1-t^6)}$$

where $Q_m(t)$ is an odd polynomial in t with positive integer coefficients, whose leading $\mathcal{O}(t)$ term is given by the number of unordered factorizations $m = m_1 m_2$ and such that $P_m(1) = m+1$.

The dimension of the space $J_{w, m}^+$ can be computed along the lines of [134, §A]. For odd w in the range $1 \leq w \leq 11$, such that $\phi(\tau, z)/\eta^{24}$ has negative weight, the dimension is given by

$$(D.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim J_{w, m}^+ &= \frac{(w - \frac{27}{2})(m+1)}{12} + \frac{3m+5}{2} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2m}} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{i\pi w/2}}{i} G(2, 4m) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6\sqrt{6m}} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{i\pi w/3}}{\sqrt{i}} (G(1, 4m) + G(-3, 4m)) \right] - \sum_{k=0}^m \{k^2/4m\} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part and $G(n, m)$ is the Gauss sum

$$(D.12) \quad G(n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i n k^2 / m}$$

m	$Q_m(t)$	$w = 1$	3	5	7	9	11	#pol	#iso	#NL
1	$t + t^3$	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	2
2	$t + t^3 + t^5$	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	0	3
3	$t + t^3 + t^5 + t^7$	1	1	2	3	3	4	4	0	4
4	$2t + 2t^3 + t^5$	2	2	3	4	5	5	5	1	4
5	$t + 2t^3 + 2t^5 + t^7$	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	0	6
6	$2t + 2t^3 + 2t^5 + t^7$	2	2	4	5	6	7	7	0	7
7	$t + 2t^3 + 2t^5 + 2t^7 + t^9$	1	2	3	5	6	7	8	0	7
8	$2t + 3t^3 + 3t^5 + t^7$	2	3	5	6	8	9	9	1	8
9	$2t + 3t^3 + 3t^5 + 2t^7$	2	3	5	7	8	10	10	1	9
10	$2t + 3t^3 + 3t^5 + 2t^7 + t^9$	2	3	5	7	9	10	11	0	10
11	$t + 3t^3 + 4t^5 + 4t^7 + t^9$	1	3	5	7	9	11	12	0	11
12	$3t + 4t^3 + 4t^5 + 2t^7$	3	4	7	9	11	13	13	1	12

TABLE 17. Dimension of the space of skew-Jacobi forms $J_{m,w}^+$ for odd $1 \leq w \leq 11$, $m \leq 12$. The last three columns indicate the number of polar coefficients in $\phi(\tau, z)/\eta^{24}$, number of isotropic vectors, and the dimension of the space of generating series of NL invariants for K3-fibrations of degree $2m$.

For $w = 11$, the formula reduces to the result for $\dim \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{2m})^{\text{NL}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in [29, p45], except for replacing the constant C (counting isotropic vectors k such that $k^2 = 0 \pmod{4m}$) by 1. The dimensions for $m \leq 12, w \leq 11$ are summarized in Table 17, along with the polynomial $P_m(t)$ appearing in the numerator of (C.4), as well as the number of polar terms in $\phi(\tau, z)/\eta^{24}$ for $w = 11$ and number isotropic vectors. The last column indicates the dimension of the space of generating series of Noether-Lefschetz invariants for K3-fibrations of degree $2m$.

It is straightforward to explicitly construct a basis of $J_{m,w}^+$ for the relevant values of (m, w) in Table 17. First, the theta series $\Theta^{(m)} = T_{m,1}$ provides one element in $J_{1,m}^+$ for any m , to be supplemented by $T_{2,m/2}$ for $m = 4, 8, 10, 12$, $T_{3,m/3}$ for $m = 6, 9, 12$. Second, given any element $\phi \in J_{w,m}^+$, one obtains an element $D\phi \in J_{w+2,m}^+$ by applying the Serre derivative

$$(D.13) \quad \phi_r \mapsto (D\phi)_r(\tau) = \left(q\partial_q - \frac{w - \frac{1}{2}}{12} E_2 \right) \phi_r$$

where $E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} nq^n / (1 - q^n)$ is the quasi-modular Eisenstein series of weight 2. Elements of higher weight are obtained by iterating the Serre derivative and multiplying by arbitrary powers of E_4 and E_6 . In general however, there are relations between the resulting elements. For example, for $m = 1$ the second Serre derivative of $T_{1,1}$ is proportional to $E_4 T_{1,1}$, in agreement with the fact that $\dim J_{5,1}^+ = 1$. We record this relation and similar relations for $m = 2, 3, 4$ below, which can be checked by comparing the first few Fourier coefficients,

$$(D.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= D^2 \Theta^{(1)} - \frac{5}{576} E_4 \Theta^{(1)} \\ 0 &= D^3 \Theta^{(2)} - \frac{23}{576} E_4 D \Theta^{(2)} + \frac{11}{6912} E_6 \Theta^{(2)} \\ 0 &= D^4 \Theta^{(3)} - \frac{29}{288} E_4 D^2 \Theta^{(3)} + \frac{11}{864} E_6 \Theta^{(3)} - \frac{119}{331776} E_4^2 \Theta^{(3)} \\ 0 &= \left(D^3 - \frac{5}{576} E_4 D + \frac{5}{1728} E_6 \right) T_{2,2} \\ 0 &= D^3 \Theta^{(4)} + E_4 \left(-\frac{155}{2304} D \Theta^{(4)} + \frac{15}{512} D T_{2,2} \right) + E_6 \left(\frac{25}{55296} \Theta^{(4)} + \frac{5}{4096} T_{2,2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Beyond this differential graded ring structure, there are also two Hecke-like operators $U_N : J_{w,m}^+ \rightarrow J_{w,mN^2}^+$ and $V_N : J_{w,m}^+ \rightarrow J_{w,mN^2}^+$, whose action on Fourier coefficients is given by

$$(D.15) \quad C_{U_N\phi}(\Delta, r) = \begin{cases} C_\phi(\Delta/N^2, r/N) & \text{if } r = 0 \pmod{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(D.16) \quad C_{V_N\phi}(\Delta, r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|N} d^{w-1} C_\phi(\Delta/d^2, r/d) & \text{if } \Delta = r^2 \pmod{4mN} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

as well as Hecke operators $T_N : J_{w,m}^+ \rightarrow J_{w,m}^+$, acting as

$$(D.17) \quad C_{T_N\phi}(\Delta, r) = \sum_{d|N} \left(\frac{\Delta}{d}\right) C_\phi\left(\frac{N^2D}{d^2}, \frac{N}{d}r\right)$$

when Δ is a fundamental discriminant, i.e. $\Delta = 1 \pmod{4}$ square-free or $\Delta = 4m$ with $m = 2 \pmod{4}$ with m square-free, and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{d}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol (the action for general Δ is more complicated, see e.g. [135, (3.3.6)]).

In particular, in weight $w = 1$ we find

$$(D.18) \quad \begin{aligned} V_2\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(2)}, \\ V_3\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(3)}, \\ V_4\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(4)} + T_{2,2}, \quad V_2\Theta^{(2)} = \Theta^{(4)} + T_{2,2}, \quad U_2\Theta^{(1)} = T_{2,2} \\ V_5\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(5)} \\ V_6\Theta^{(1)} &= 2V_3\Theta^{(2)} = 2V_2\Theta^{(3)} = 2\Theta^{(1)} + 2T_{2,3} \\ V_7\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(7)} \\ V_8\Theta^{(1)} &= 2V_2\Theta^{(4)} = 2\Theta^{(8)} + 2T_{2,4}, \quad V_4\Theta^{(2)} = \Theta^{(8)} + 2T_{2,4}, \quad U_2\Theta^{(2)} = T_{2,4} \\ V_9\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(9)} + T_{3,3}, \quad V_3\Theta^{(3)} = \Theta^{(9)} + T_{3,3}, \quad U_3\Theta^{(1)} = T_{3,3} \\ V_{10}\Theta^{(1)} &= 2V_5\Theta^{(2)} = 2V_2\Theta^{(5)} = \Theta^{(10)} + T_{2,5} \\ V_{11}\Theta^{(1)} &= 2\Theta^{(11)} \\ V_{12}\Theta^{(1)} &= 2V_4\Theta^{(3)} = 2\Theta^{(12)} + 2T_{2,6} + 2T_{3,4}, \quad V_3\Theta^{(4)} = \Theta^{(12)} + T_{3,4}, \\ V_6\Theta^{(2)} &= \Theta^{(12)} + 2T_{2,6} + T_{3,4}, \quad U_2\Theta^{(3)} = T_{2,6} \end{aligned}$$

Moving on to weight 3, there are similar relations to (D.18)

$$\begin{aligned} V_2D\Theta^{(1)} &= 5D\Theta^{(2)}, \\ V_3D\Theta^{(1)} &= D\Theta^{(3)}, \\ V_4D\Theta^{(1)} &= 20D\Theta^{(4)} + DT_{2,2}, \quad V_2D\Theta^{(2)} = 4D\Theta^{(4)} + DT_{2,2}, \quad U_2D\Theta^{(1)} = DT_{2,2} \end{aligned}$$

In contrast, for $m = 5$, $V_5D\Theta^{(1)}$ turns out to be linearly independent from $D\Theta^{(5)}$, providing a basis of $J_{3,5}^+$. For $m = 6$, $J_{3,6}^+$ is also two-dimensional, but $D\Theta^{(6)}$ and $DT_{2,3}$ turn out to coincide; the second generator can be chosen to be $V_6D\Theta^{(1)} = 5V_3D\Theta^{(2)} = 10V_2D\Theta^{(3)}$. Similarly, $V_7D\Theta^{(1)}$ and $D\Theta^{(7)}$ span $J_{3,7}^+$. For $8 \leq m \leq 10$, $J_{3,m}^+$ is three-dimensional and generators can be constructing using the same ideas. The same holds for $m = 12$, where $\dim J_{3,m}^+ = 4$. For $m = 11$ however, $V_{11}D\Theta^{(1)}$ and $D\Theta^{(11)}$ only span a codimension-one space of $J_{3,11}^+$, and it is unclear at present how to construct the missing third generator. Fortunately, for weight $w = 11$, the case relevant for generating series of NL invariants, we can construct sufficiently many elements to span $J_{11,m}^+$ by applying Serre derivatives and Hecke-like operators without having to resolve this issue.

An important property of the space $S_{w,m}^+$ of skew-holomorphic Jacobi cusp forms is the existence of Hecke-equivariant maps, known as Shimura-Skoruppa-Zagier lifts [130, 136, 137],

$$(D.19) \quad \mathcal{S}_{D,r} : S_{w,m}^+ \rightarrow M_{2w-2}(\Gamma_0(m))$$

for any $D = r^2 \pmod{4m}$ and $m, w \geq 1$, acting by

$$(D.20) \quad \phi \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} C_{T_n \phi}(D, r) q^n$$

where the zero-th Fourier coefficient vanishes for $w > 2$. Moreover, the image is an eigenfunction of the Fricke involution with eigenvalue $(-1)^{w+1}$. For $D = r = 1$ and $w > 2$, using (D.6) this simplifies to

$$(D.21) \quad \mathcal{S}_{1,1} : \phi \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{\phi}(n^2, n) \operatorname{Li}_{2-w}(q^n)$$

where $\operatorname{Li}_s(x) := \sum_{k \geq 1} x^k / k^s$. In general, $\mathcal{S}_{D,r}$ can be written as a theta lift,

$$(D.22) \quad \mathcal{S}_{D,r} \phi = \int_{\mathbb{H}/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_s} \sum_{s \pmod{2m}} \Theta_{D,r,s}(\tau, \tau') \overline{\phi_s(\tau')} (\operatorname{Im} \tau')^{w-\frac{5}{2}} d\tau' d\bar{\tau}'$$

where $\Theta_{D,r,s}(\tau, \tau')$ is a suitable Siegel theta which is modular of weight $2w - 2$ under $\Gamma_0(2m)$ with respect to τ and vector-valued of weight $w - \frac{1}{2}$ under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acting on τ' (see [137, 135] for details). More relevant for our purposes, there exists a similar Shimura-type lift between skew-holomorphic weak Jacobi forms of weight $3 - w$ and harmonic Maass modular forms of weight $4 - 2w$, of the form [138, 139]

$$(D.23) \quad \mathcal{S}_{D,r}^* \phi = \operatorname{Reg} \int_{\mathbb{H}/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_s} \sum_{s \pmod{2m}} \Theta_{D,r,s}^*(\tau, \tau') \overline{\phi_s(\tau')} (\operatorname{Im} \tau')^{w-\frac{5}{2}} d\tau' d\bar{\tau}'$$

where $\Theta_{D,r,s}^*(\tau, \tau')$ is now modular of weight $4 - 2w$ with respect to τ and weight $\frac{5}{2} - w$ with respect to τ' . Due to the poles of ϕ_s at $\tau = i\infty$, the integral must be regularized as in [51]. This automorphic form is in general a harmonic Maass form along the codimension-one loci $a|\tau|^2 + b \operatorname{Re} \tau + c = 0$ with $b^2 - 4ac = D$. Its holomorphic part is given by the same formula as (D.24) with w replaced by $3 - w$, up to a polynomial of degree at most $2w - 4$:

$$(D.24) \quad \mathcal{S}_{1,1}^{\text{hol}} : \phi \mapsto P_{2w-4}(\tau) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{\phi}(n^2, n) \operatorname{Li}_{w-1}(q^n)$$

Applying this lift to the ratio $\Phi_{\text{NL}}/\eta^{24}$, which is a weak skew-holomorphic Jacobi form of weight -1 (hence $w = 4$), we obtain in this way the contribution of vertical GV invariants to the prepotential, transforming as an holomorphic Eichler integral of weight -4 under $\Gamma_0(m)^+$.

APPENDIX E. EXPLICIT RESULTS

In this section, for each model $X_m^{[i,j]}$ we collect explicit results for the Yukawa coupling C_{TTT} , generating series of NL invariants $\Phi_m^{[i,j]}$, generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants \tilde{h}_1 , and generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants \tilde{h}_2 . For brevity, we display the first few Fourier coefficients of Φ_{NL} as a flattened list $\sum_{d=0}^m \Phi_{m,d}^{[i,j]}$. Moreover Δ_{χ} denotes the difference of Euler numbers $\chi(X_m^{[i,j]}) - \chi(X_m^{[1,1]})$.

E.1. $m = 1$. Using (5.29) we get

$$(E.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 4 + 2496q + 1792512q^2 + 1043215104q^3 + 582416191488q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 3 + 2880q + 1672704q^2 + 1073721600q^3 + 575027490816q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 2 + 3264q + 1552896q^2 + 1104228096q^3 + 567638790144q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Taking two further derivatives, we get a meromorphic modular form of weight 6 (generalizing [39, (5)] [40, (3.8)] for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$)

$$(E.2) \quad C_{TTTTT} = \left[\frac{192(13J + 8640)}{(J - 1728)^2} + \frac{48\Delta_{\chi}}{7J(\tau)} \right] E_6$$

where $\Delta_\chi = -2(i^2 + j^2 - 2) - 124(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$, which equals $-112(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$ for the relevant values of (i, j) . By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$(E.3) \quad \Phi_1^{[i,j]} = -\frac{5}{3}E_4E_6\Theta^{(1)} + 8E_4^2D\Theta^{(1)} + \Delta_\chi \left(\frac{1}{672}E_4E_6\Theta^{(1)} + \frac{1}{28}E_4^2D\Theta^{(1)} \right)$$

The q -expansions read

$$(E.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{[1,1]} &= -2 + 300q + 2496q^{5/4} + 217200q^2 + 665600q^{9/4} + 10226400q^3 + 21854400q^{13/4} + \dots \\ \Phi_1^{[2,1]} &= -2 + q^{1/4} + 244q + 2856q^{5/4} + 203520q^2 + 696569q^{9/4} + 10049280q^3 + 22121160q^{13/4} + \dots \\ \Phi_1^{[2,2]} &= -2 + 2q^{1/4} + 188q + 3216q^{5/4} + 189840q^2 + 727538q^{9/4} + 9872160q^3 + 22387920q^{13/4} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.5) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{2ijE_4^2}{\eta^{24}(J - 1728)}$$

leading to the base degree 1, genus 0 GV invariants

$$(E.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 2 + 2496q + 1941264q^2 + 1327392512q^3 + 861202986072q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 4 + 4752q + 3603528q^2 + 2445085664q^3 + 1580414726844q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_1 &= 8 + 9024q + 6677856q^2 + 4501790208q^3 + 2899431143088q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.7) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{32}^{[i,j]}}{\eta^{72}(J - 1728)^3}$$

where $P_{32}^{[i,j]}$ is a modular form of weight 32,

$$(E.8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{32}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{1}{43}E_4^2(-89E_4^6 + 122E_6^4 - 53E_4^3E_6^2) \\ P_{32}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{108}E_4^2(-89E_4^6 + 87E_6^4 - 16E_4^3E_6^2) \\ P_{32}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{1}{27}E_4^2(-89E_4^6 + 63E_6^4 + 21E_4^3E_6^2) \end{aligned}$$

leading to the base degree 2, genus 0 GV invariants

$$(E.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 223752q^2 + 1327392512q^3 + 2859010142112q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 252q + 2204928q^2 + 6905771456q^3 + 12536489815488q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 3264q + 14161056q^2 + 33088733952q^3 + 53279323863936q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the base degree 3, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.10) \quad \tilde{h}_3 = \frac{E_4^2P_{48}^{[i,j]}}{\eta^{120}(J - 1728)^5}$$

with

$$(E.11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{48}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{20367E_4^{12} - 38052E_4^9E_6^2 + 18898E_4^6E_6^4 - 6260E_4^3E_6^6 + 3895E_6^8}{69984} \\ P_{48}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{20367E_4^{12} - 37089E_4^9E_6^2 + 17404E_4^6E_6^4 - 2318E_4^3E_6^6 + 1474E_6^8}{8748} \\ P_{48}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{2(20367E_4^{12} - 36126E_4^9E_6^2 + 15559E_4^6E_6^4 + 328E_4^3E_6^6 - 200E_6^8)}{2187} \end{aligned}$$

leading to the base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 38637504q^3 + 861202986072q^4 + 4247105405354496q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 20520q^2 + 2493967312q^3 + 16303941022572q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 6677856q^2 + 58621711936q^3 + 234194824987824q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.12}$$

For $(i, j) = (1, 1)$, this agrees with the results in [5, 64].

E.2. $m = 2$. Using (5.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 8 + 640q + 80896q^2 + 7787008q^3 + 702799872q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 6 + 704q + 78336q^2 + 7857920q^3 + 701009920q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 1) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 5 + 640q + 80896q^2 + 7787008q^3 + 702799872q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 4 + 768q + 75776q^2 + 7928832q^3 + 699219968q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 2) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 3 + 704q + 78336q^2 + 7857920q^3 + 701009920q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 4) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 2 + 640q + 80896q^2 + 7787008q^3 + 702799872q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.13}$$

Taking two further derivatives, we get a meromorphic modular form of weight 6 (generalizing [116] for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$)

$$(E.14) \quad C_{TTTTT} = \left[\frac{128}{3} \frac{5J_2^+ + 768}{(J_2^+ - 256)^2} + \frac{16\Delta_\chi}{21J_2^+} \right] E_2^{(2)}(E_4(\tau) - 4E_4(2\tau))$$

where $\Delta_\chi = -4(i^2 + j^2 - 2) - 80(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$. By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E.15) \quad \Phi_2^{[i,j]} &= -\frac{11}{9}E_4E_6\Theta^{(2)} + 12E_4^2D\Theta^{(2)} - 32E_6D^2\Theta^{(2)} \\
 &\quad + \Delta_\chi \left(-\frac{1}{1512}E_4E_6\Theta^{(2)} + \frac{1}{42}E_4^2D\Theta^{(2)} + \frac{4}{21}D^2\Theta^{(2)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

The q -expansions read

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_2^{[1,1]} &= \Phi_2^{[1,4]} = -2 + 216q + 1280q^{9/8} + 10032q^{3/2} + 153900q^2 + 546048q^{17/8} + 1280448q^{5/2} + \dots \\
 \Phi_2^{[2,1]} &= \Phi_2^{[4,2]} = -2 + 2q^{1/2} + 188q + 1408q^{9/8} + 9656q^{3/2} + 152764q^2 + 548224q^{17/8} + 1281168q^{5/2} + \dots \\
 \Phi_2^{[2,2]} &= -2 + 4\sqrt{q} + 160q + 1536q^{9/8} + 9280q^{3/2} + 151628q^2 + 550400q^{17/8} + 1281888q^{5/2} + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.16}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.17) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{4ij(E_2^{(2)})^2}{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(2\tau)^8(J_2^+ - 256)}$$

leading to the base degree 1, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 4 + 640q + 72224q^2 + 7539200q^3 + 757561520q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 8 + 1184q + 130192q^2 + 13506752q^3 + 1351951736q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 16 + 2144q + 231888q^2 + 23953120q^3 + 2388434784q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_1 &= 16 + 2176q + 234176q^2 + 24185856q^3 + 2411703648q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 2) : \quad W_1 &= 32 + 3904q + 416736q^2 + 42873024q^3 + 4258889312q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 4) : \quad W_1 &= 64 + 6912q + 742784q^2 + 75933184q^3 + 7518494784q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.18}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.19) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{20}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}\eta(2\tau)^{24}(J_2^+ - 256)^3}$$

where $P_{20}^{[i,j]}$ is a Fricke-even modular form of weight 20,

$$(E.20) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{20}^{[1,1]} &= -\frac{89(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{216} - \frac{31(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{2592} + \frac{41(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{7776} \\ P_{20}^{[2,1]} &= -\frac{89(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{54} + \frac{55(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{1944} + \frac{229(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{17496} \\ P_{20}^{[4,1]} &= -\frac{178(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{27} + \frac{43(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{162} + \frac{5(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{162} \\ P_{20}^{[2,2]} &= -\frac{178(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{27} + \frac{203(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{486} + \frac{133(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{4374} \\ P_{20}^{[4,2]} &= -\frac{712(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{27} + \frac{554(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{243} + \frac{122(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{2187} \\ P_{20}^{[4,4]} &= \frac{104(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{9} - \frac{2848(E_2^{(2)})^{10}}{27} \end{aligned}$$

leading to the base degree 2, genus 0 GV invariants

$$(E.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 10032q^2 + 7539200q^3 + 2346819520q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 56q + 82832q^2 + 36973952q^3 + 9855970048q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (4, 1) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 120q + 356368q^2 + 144785584q^3 + 36512550816q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 768q + 495360q^2 + 168619008q^3 + 40145749504q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (4, 2) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 3216q + 2030176q^2 + 644810720q^3 + 146713202496q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (4, 4) : \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) &= 14400q + 8271360q^2 + 2445747712q^3 + 532817161216q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants are given by

$$(E.22) \quad \tilde{h}_3 = \frac{P_{36}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{40}\eta(2\tau)^{40}(J_2^+ - 256)^5}$$

with

$$(E.23) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{36}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{2263(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{3888} - \frac{371(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{2916} + \frac{131(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{17496} - \frac{5(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{26244} + \frac{(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^8}{104976} \\ P_{36}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{2263(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{486} - \frac{4345(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{4374} + \frac{370(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{6561} - \frac{107(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{177147} + \frac{29(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^8}{1594323} \\ P_{36}^{[4,1]} &= \frac{9052(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{243} - \frac{5722(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{729} + \frac{109(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{243} - \frac{23(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{6561} \\ P_{36}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{9052(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{243} - \frac{16952(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{2187} + \frac{908(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{2187} - \frac{128(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{177147} - \frac{176(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^8}{1594323} \\ P_{36}^{[4,2]} &= \frac{72416(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{243} - \frac{133904(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{2187} + \frac{21448(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{6561} - \frac{1520(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{177147} - \frac{1372(E_2^{(2)})^2(E_4^{(2,-)})^8}{1594323} \\ P_{36}^{[4,4]} &= \frac{579328(E_2^{(2)})^{18}}{243} - \frac{4352(E_2^{(2)})^{14}(E_4^{(2,-)})^2}{9} + \frac{56000(E_2^{(2)})^{10}(E_4^{(2,-)})^4}{2187} - \frac{320(E_2^{(2)})^6(E_4^{(2,-)})^6}{2187} \end{aligned}$$

leading to the base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 288384q^3 + 757561520q^4 + 520834042880q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 1104q^2 + 13865248q^3 + 12854281944q^4 + 6201762710528q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = -32q + 14608q^2 + 144051072q^3 + 115675981232q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 234176q^2 + 297281152q^3 + 172102715744q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 2) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 64q + 2699616q^2 + 2830008448q^3 + 1466448925952q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (4, 4) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 6912q + 31344000q^2 + 26556152064q^3 + 12305418469184q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.24}$$

E.3. $m = 3$. Using (5.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 12 + 360q + 21816q^2 + 958104q^3 + 38828088q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 9 + 378q + 21546q^2 + 960390q^3 + 38811690q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 1) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 8 + 348q + 21996q^2 + 956580q^3 + 38839020q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 6 + 396q + 21276q^2 + 962676q^3 + 38795292q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 2) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 5 + 366q + 21726q^2 + 958866q^3 + 38822622q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 3) : \quad & C_{TTT} = 4 + 336q + 22176q^2 + 955056q^3 + 38849952q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.25}$$

Taking two further derivatives, we get a meromorphic modular form of weight 6

$$(E.26) \quad C_{TTTTT} = \left[\frac{9}{2} \frac{5J_3^+ + 432}{(J_3^+ - 108)^2} - \frac{3\Delta_\chi}{32J_3^+(\tau)} \right] E_2^{(3)}(E_4(\tau) - 9E_4(3\tau))$$

where $\Delta_\chi = -6(i^2 + j^2 - 2) - 24(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$. By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_3^{[i,j]} &= -\frac{49}{60}E_4E_6\Theta^{(3)} + \frac{47}{5}E_4^2D\Theta^{(3)} - 48E_6D^2\Theta^{(3)} + \frac{576}{5}D^3\Theta^{(3)} \\
 (E.27) \quad &+ \Delta_\chi \left(-\frac{7}{11520}E_4E_6\Theta^{(3)} - \frac{13}{480}E_4^2D\Theta^{(3)} + \frac{1}{4}D^2\Theta^{(3)} + \frac{6}{5}D^3\Theta^{(3)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

The q -expansions read

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_3^{[1,1]} &= -2 + 8q^{3/4} + 180q + 720q^{13/12} + 5364q^{4/3} + 35280q^{7/4} + 125352q^2 + 370224q^{25/12} + \dots \\
 \Phi_3^{[2,1]} &= -2 + 14q^{3/4} + 168q + 756q^{13/12} + 5292q^{4/3} + 35220q^{7/4} + 125496q^2 + 369684q^{25/12} + \dots \\
 \Phi_3^{[3,1]} &= -2 + 4q^{3/4} + 188q + 696q^{13/12} + 5412q^{4/3} + 35320q^{7/4} + 125256q^2 + 370584q^{25/12} + \dots \\
 \Phi_3^{[2,2]} &= -2 + 20q^{3/4} + 156q + 792q^{13/12} + 5220q^{4/3} + 35160q^{7/4} + 125640q^2 + 369144q^{25/12} + \dots \\
 \Phi_3^{[3,2]} &= -2 + 10q^{3/4} + 176q + 732q^{13/12} + 5340q^{4/3} + 35260q^{7/4} + 125400q^2 + 370044q^{25/12} + \dots \\
 \Phi_3^{[3,3]} &= -2 + 196q + 672q^{13/12} + 5460q^{4/3} + 35360q^{7/4} + 125160q^2 + 370944q^{25/12} + 1082328q^{7/3} + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.28}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GV invariants are given by

$$(E.29) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{-3ijE_2^{(3)}}{\eta(\tau)^6\eta(3\tau)^6(J_3^+ - 108)}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j) = (1, 1) : & \quad W_1 = 6 + 360q + 17064q^2 + 770280q^3 + 33726420q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 1) : & \quad W_1 = 12 + 648q + 30132q^2 + 1353696q^3 + 59046084q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 1) : & \quad W_1 = 18 + 900q + 41778q^2 + 1871784q^3 + 81468792q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 2) : & \quad W_1 = 24 + 1152q + 53136q^2 + 2377728q^3 + 103323672q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 2) : & \quad W_1 = 6 + 1584q + 73728q^2 + 3286224q^3 + 142523712q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 3) : & \quad W_1 = 54 + 2160q + 102492q^2 + 4539024q^3 + 196580898q^4 + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.30}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{14}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{18}\eta(3\tau)^{18}(J_3^+ - 108)^3}
\tag{E.31}$$

where $P_{14}^{[i,j]}$ is a Fricke-odd modular form of weight 14,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{14}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{5}{18432}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{5}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{15}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 - \frac{5751}{2}(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2 \\
P_{14}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{1024}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{375}{16}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{375}{16}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 - 5832(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2 \\
P_{14}^{[3,1]} &= \frac{47}{18432}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{5}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{741}{16}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 - \frac{15147}{2}(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2 \\
P_{14}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{5}{4608}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{5}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{303}{4}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 - 7776(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2 \\
P_{14}^{[3,2]} &= \frac{19}{9216}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{5}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{2415}{16}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 - 648\frac{5751}{2}(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2 \\
P_{14}^{[3,3]} &= \frac{5}{2048}(E_2^{(3)})^3(2E_4 - \frac{5}{2}(E_2^{(3)})^2)^2 - \frac{2403}{8}(E_2^{(3)})^4\Xi_3 + \frac{64881}{2}(E_2^{(3)})\Xi_3^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.32}$$

leading to the base degree 2, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j) = (1, 1) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 2682q^2 + 770280q^3 + 99533664q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 1) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 27q + 19764q^2 + 3622821q^3 + 405431784q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 1) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 36q + 46548q^2 + 8009712q^3 + 864795636q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 2) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 396q + 112068q^2 + 15951564q^3 + 1602730872q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 2) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 909q + 255960q^2 + 34736049q^3 + 3387935304q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (3, 3) : & \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 2160q + 583470q^2 + 75358080q^3 + 7141800672q^4 + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.33}$$

The generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_3 = \frac{E_2^{(3)} P_{24}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{30}\eta(3\tau)^{30}(J_3^+ - 108)^5}
\tag{E.34}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{26}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{165888} + \frac{\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{64} - \frac{271}{4}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 - 1647\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 - 3519612\Delta_6^4 \\
 P_{26}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{147456} + \frac{275\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{3072} - \frac{8087}{16}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 + 48546\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 - 1912896\Delta_6^4 \\
 P_{26}^{[3,1]} &= -\frac{13(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{995328} + \frac{691\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{2304} - \frac{26343}{16}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 + \frac{415935}{2}\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 + 5922396\Delta_6^4 \\
 P_{26}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{41472} + \frac{43\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{48} - \frac{15247}{4}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 + 516672\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 + 55240704\Delta_6^4 \\
 P_{26}^{[3,2]} &= \frac{127(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{3981312} + \frac{29183\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{9216} - \frac{207045}{16}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 + 1398870\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 + 64805184\Delta_6^4 \\
 P_{26}^{[3,3]} &= \frac{(E_2^{(3)})^{12}}{18432} + \frac{1383\Delta_6(E_2^{(3)})^9}{128} - \frac{724383}{16}\Delta_6^2(E_2^{(3)})^6 + 2344464\Delta_6^3(E_2^{(3)})^3 - 534511548\Delta_6^4
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.35}$$

leading to the base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 35472q^3 + 33726420q^4 + 9382024152q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 324q^2 + 1398216q^3 + 529944336q^4 + 106249923936q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 1) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= -4q + 1512q^2 + 5604204q^3 + 1928672640q^4 + 363480492960q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 53136q^2 + 28024704q^3 + 6746381496q^4 + 1084701369600q^5 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 2) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 16q + 231336q^2 + 106245024q^3 + 23702767680q^4 + \dots \\
 (i, j) = (3, 3) : \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) &= 336q + 1011384q^2 + 400151088q^3 + 82704010218q^4 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.36}$$

E.4. $m = 4$. Using (5.29) we get

$$C_{TTT} = 8 \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} \right) + 256q + 10240q^2 + 283648q^3 + 7159808q^4 + \dots
 \tag{E.37}$$

$$C_{TTTTT} = -32 \frac{J_4^+ + 64}{(J_4^+ - 64)^2} [\theta_2^4(2\tau) - 2X_2(2\tau)]^2 [3\theta_2^4(2\tau) + 2X_2(2\tau)]
 \tag{E.38}$$

This implies that the generating series of NL invariants is independent of $(i, j)^{21}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_4^{[i,j]} &= -\frac{125}{243}E_4E_6\Theta^{(4)} + \frac{488}{81}E_4^2D\Theta^{(4)} - \frac{512}{9}E_6D^2\Theta^{(4)} + \frac{2048}{9}D^3\Theta^{(4)} \\
 &= -2 + 300q + 512q^{17/16} + 2496q^{5/4} + 20992q^{25/16} + 217200q^2 + 290816q^{33/16} \\
 &\quad + 665600q^{9/4} + 2286080q^{41/16} + 10226400q^3 + 12441600q^{49/16} + 21854400q^{13/4} + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.39}$$

where $\Delta_x = 0$ since all models have the same Euler number. The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_1 = -\frac{8ijE_2^{(4,-)}(\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)^{12}(J_4^+ - 64)}
 \tag{E.40}$$

²¹Indeed, $\Delta_x = -8(i^2 + j^2 - 2) - 48(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$ vanishes for the relevant values $(1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 2)$.

leading to

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_1 = 8 + 256q + 7232q^2 + 197632q^3 + 5263712q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_1 = 16 + 448q + 12576q^2 + 342144q^3 + 9079536q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_1 = 32 + 768q + 21888q^2 + 591872q^3 + 15653568q^4 + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.41}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by²²

$$\tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{12}^{[i,j]}}{\eta^8(\tau)\eta^{16}(2\tau)\eta^8(4\tau)(J_4^+ - 64)^3}
\tag{E.42}$$

where $P_{12}^{[i,j]}$ is a Fricke-even modular form of weight 12,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{12}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{1}{108} \left(-89(E_2^{(4,-)})^6 + 15(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + 54(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \right) \\
P_{12}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{27} \left(-89(E_2^{(4,-)})^6 + 52(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + 19(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \right) \\
P_{12}^{[2,2]} &= -\frac{4}{27} \left(89(E_2^{(4,-)})^6 - 89(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + 5(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.43}$$

leading to base degree 2, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 1248q^2 + 197632q^3 + 14953088q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 16q + 8464q^2 + 899328q^3 + 59453184q^4 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 256q + 46016q^2 + 3851264q^3 + 229545472q^4 + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.44}$$

The generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_3 = \frac{(E_2^{(4,-)})^4 P_{20}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^8 \eta(2\tau)^{40} \eta(4\tau)^8 (J_4^+ - 64)^5}
\tag{E.45}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{16}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{2263}{1944}(E_2^{(4,-)})^8 - \frac{395}{162}(E_2^{(4,-)})^6(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + \frac{17}{12}(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{13}{54}(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^6 + \frac{7}{216}(E_2^{(4,+)})^8 \\
P_{16}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{2263}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^8 - \frac{4633}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^6(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + \frac{884}{81}(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{286}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^6 - \frac{14}{243}(E_2^{(4,+)})^8 \\
P_{16}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{18104}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^8 - \frac{36208}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^6(E_2^{(4,+)})^2 + \frac{248}{3}(E_2^{(4,-)})^4(E_2^{(4,+)})^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{1984}{243}(E_2^{(4,-)})^2(E_2^{(4,+)})^6 - \frac{64}{243}(E_2^{(4,+)})^8
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.46}$$

leading to the base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 10496q^3 + 5263712q^4 + 840087552q^5 + 83742591808q^6 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 160q^2 + 355520q^3 + 77860272q^4 + 9142925312q^5 + \dots \\
(i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 21888q^2 + 6747904q^3 + 952111808q^4 + 90236788736q^5 + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.47}$$

²²Note that the eta product in the denominator is *not* the cube of the one appearing in \tilde{h}_1 , unlike in the standard ansatz (6.49).

E.5. $m = 5$. Using (5.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 20 + 200q + 6280q^2 + 124400q^3 + 2314120q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 15 + 190q + 6310q^2 + 124660q^3 + 2311590q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad C_{TTT} &= 10 + 180q + 6340q^2 + 124920q^3 + 2309060q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

(E.48)

Taking two further derivatives, we get

(E.49)

$$C_{TTTTT} = 5E_2^{(5)}(E_4(\tau) - 25E_4(5\tau)) \left[\frac{9(J_5^+)^3 + 656(J_5^+)^2 - 2432J_5^+ + 15168}{24((J_5^+)^2 - 44J_5^+ - 16)^2} + (\Delta_\chi + 4) \frac{8 + J_5^+}{96(J_5^+)^2} \right]$$

where $\Delta_\chi = -10(i^2 + j^2 - 2) - 40(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j} - 2)$. By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_5^{[i,j]} &= -\frac{14497}{69984} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(5)} + \frac{144001}{163296} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(5)} - \frac{3650}{567} E_6 D^2 \Theta^{(5)} + \frac{19900}{567} D^3 \Theta^{(5)} + \frac{80000}{63} D^5 \Theta^{(5)} \\ &\quad + \Delta_\chi \left(\frac{2261}{8398080} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(5)} + \frac{48241}{19595520} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(5)} - \frac{1525}{6804} D^2 \Theta^{(5)} - \frac{11605}{3402} D^3 \Theta^{(5)} + \frac{2000}{189} D^5 \Theta^{(5)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(E.50)

The q -expansions read

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_5^{[1,1]} &= -2 + 20q^{4/5} + 148q + 400q^{21/20} + 1520q^{6/5} + 1136q^{5/4} + 9200q^{29/20} + 71640q^{9/5} + 97024q^2 + \dots \\ \Phi_5^{[2,1]} &= -2 + 10q^{4/5} + 158q + 380q^{21/20} + 1530q^{6/5} + 1156q^{5/4} + 9220q^{29/20} + 71800q^{9/5} + 96854q^2 + \dots \\ \Phi_5^{[2,2]} &= -2 + 168q + 360q^{21/20} + 1540q^{6/5} + 1176q^{5/4} + 9240q^{29/20} + 71960q^{9/5} + 96684q^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

(E.51)

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.52) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{5ij(E_2^{(5)})^2}{\eta(\tau)^8 \eta(5\tau)^8 [(J_5^+)^2 - 44J_5^+ - 16]}$$

leading to

$$(E.53) \quad \begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 10 + 200q + 4020q^2 + 77600q^3 + 1472510q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad W_1 &= 20 + 340q + 6920q^2 + 132740q^3 + 2511600q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad W_1 &= 40 + 560q + 11960q^2 + 226800q^3 + 4281800q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.54) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{5(E_2^{(5)})^2 P_{16}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{24} \eta(5\tau)^{24} ((J_5^+)^2 - 44J_5^+ - 16)^3}$$

where $P_{16}^{[i,j]}$ is a Fricke-even modular form of weight 16,

$$(E.55) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{16}^{[1,1]} &= \frac{576(1101375(E_2^{(5)})^6 + 172(E_2^{(5)})^2(E_4^{(5,-)})^2) \Xi_5 - 901125(E_2^{(5)})^8 + 394992(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 + 2128(E_4^{(5,-)})^4}{2^{24} 3^7} \\ P_{16}^{[2,1]} &= \frac{576(1101375(E_2^{(5)})^6 - 1456(E_2^{(5)})^2(E_4^{(5,-)})^2) \Xi_5 - 901125(E_2^{(5)})^8 + 397656(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 + 976(E_4^{(5,-)})^4}{2^{22} 3^7} \\ P_{16}^{[2,2]} &= \frac{192(367125(E_2^{(5)})^4 - 1028(E_4^{(5,-)})^2) \Xi_5 - 100125(E_2^{(5)})^6 + 44480(E_2^{(5)})^2(E_4^{(5,-)})^2}{2^{20} 3^5} \end{aligned}$$

where $E_4^{(5,-)} = E_4(\tau) - 25E_4(5\tau)$ and $\Xi_5 = \eta^4(\tau)\eta^4(5\tau)$. This leads to the base degree 2, genus 0 GV invariants

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 760q^2 + 77600q^3 + 4042740q^4 + 158766880q^5 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 10q + 4780q^2 + 343550q^3 + 15771100q^4 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 180q + 25060q^2 + 1438320q^3 + 59793160q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

(E.56)

The generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants are given by

$$(E.57) \quad \tilde{h}_3 = \frac{(E_2^{(5)})^2 P_{32}^{[i,j]}}{\eta(\tau)^{40} \eta(5\tau)^{40} [(J_5^+)^2 - 44J_5^+ - 16]^5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_{32}^{[1,1]} &= -\frac{5}{240313} \left(-14151564421875(E_2^{(5)})^{16} + 6408303842250(E_2^{(5)})^{12}(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 \right. \\ &\quad - 52791372216(E_2^{(5)})^8(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 + 11870208(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^6 \\ &\quad + 288\Delta_4^5(E_2^{(5)})^2 \left(34876259859375(E_2^{(5)})^{12} - 417585537000(E_2^{(5)})^8(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 670396032(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 + 827392(E_4^{(5,-)})^6 \right) + 90112(E_4^{(5,-)})^8 \right) \\ P_{32}^{[1,2]} &= -\frac{5}{237313} \left(-14151564421875(E_2^{(5)})^{16} + 6405905250000(E_2^{(5)})^{12}(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 \right. \\ &\quad - 51737462424(E_2^{(5)})^8(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 + 17235360(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^6 \\ &\quad + 288\Delta_4^5(E_2^{(5)})^2 \left(34876259859375(E_2^{(5)})^{12} - 411674643000(E_2^{(5)})^8(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 679076064(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 + 605888(E_4^{(5,-)})^6 \right) + 87808(E_4^{(5,-)})^8 \right) \\ P_{32}^{[2,2]} &= -\frac{5}{23439} (E_2^{(5)})^4 \left(-174710671875(E_2^{(5)})^{12} + 79055637750(E_2^{(5)})^8(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 \right. \\ &\quad - 625683872(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 + 96\Delta_4^5(E_2^{(5)})^2 \left(1291713328125(E_2^{(5)})^8 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 15028287000(E_2^{(5)})^4(E_4^{(5,-)})^2 + 25186448(E_4^{(5,-)})^4 \right) + 264416(E_4^{(5,-)})^6 \right) \end{aligned}$$

(E.58)

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j) = (1, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 4600q^3 + 1472510q^4 + 158766880q^5 + 10915610420q^6 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 1) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 100q^2 + 138700q^3 + 20691180q^4 + 1672278340q^5 + \dots \\ (i, j) = (2, 2) : \quad & W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 11960q^2 + 2514480q^3 + 244792240q^4 + 16066988480q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

(E.59)

E.6. $(m, i, j) = (6, 1, 1)$. Using (5.29) we get

$$(E.60) \quad C_{TTT} = 24 + 168q + 4296q^2 + 69720q^3 + 1009608q^4 + 13989168q^5 + \dots$$

$$(E.61) \quad C_{TTTTT} = E_2^{(6,+)} \left[(E_2^{(6,-)})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(E_2^{(6,+)})^2 \right] \frac{J_6^+ (7(J_6^+)^3 + 331(J_6^+)^2 - 331J_6^+ - 7)}{2((J_6^+)^2 - 34J_6^+ + 1)^2}$$

By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_6^{[1,1]} &= -\frac{22}{9}E_4^2 D\Theta^{(6)} + \frac{44}{3}E_6 D^2\Theta^{(6)} - \frac{112}{5}D^3\Theta^{(6)} + \frac{9216}{5}D^5\Theta^{(6)} \\
 &= -2 + 140q + 672q^{25/24} + 1032q^{7/6} + 5152q^{11/8} + 5880q^{3/2} + 31416q^{5/3} + 88788q^2 \\
 &\quad + 431520q^{49/24} + 380016q^{13/6} + 905856q^{19/8} + 736456q^{5/2} + 2730420q^{8/3} + 4169424q^3 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.62}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_1 = \frac{(E_2^{(6,-)} - 5E_2^{(6)})^2}{48\eta(\tau)^4\eta(2\tau)^4\eta(3\tau)^4\eta(6\tau)^4[(J_6^+)^2 - 34J_6^+ + 1]}
 \tag{E.63}$$

leading to

$$W_1 = 12 + 168q + 2604q^2 + 39120q^3 + 576384q^4 + \dots
 \tag{E.64}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{20}}{\eta^{12}(\tau)\eta^{12}(2\tau)\eta^{12}(3\tau)\eta^{12}(4\tau)((J_6^+)^2 - 34J_6^+ - 1)^3}
 \tag{E.65}$$

with

$$\tag{E.66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{20} &= \frac{1}{2313^{18} \cdot 19.59} \left[1119868701192394(E_2^{(6)})^{10} - 16721660451381924(E_2^{(6)})^9 E_2^{(6,-)} \right. \\
 &\quad + 55171532155930641(E_2^{(6)})^8 (E_2^{(6,-)})^2 - 39299446415(E_2^{(6,-)})^{10} \\
 &\quad + 648(E_2^{(6)})^5 \left(5415069069593(E_2^{(6,-)})^3 (E_2^{(6,+)})^2 - 4044199392577E_2^{(6,-)} (E_2^{(6,+)})^4 \right) \\
 &\quad - 843460158250(E_2^{(6,-)})^8 (E_2^{(6,+)})^2 - 3458400589802(E_2^{(6,-)})^6 (E_2^{(6,+)})^4 \\
 &\quad \left. - 6029964794504(E_2^{(6,-)})^4 (E_2^{(6,+)})^6 - 5054592585583(E_2^{(6,-)})^2 (E_2^{(6,+)})^8 - 1750651307606(E_2^{(6,+)})^{10} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 516q^2 + 39120q^3 + 1543752q^4 + 46475184q^5 + 1195713912q^6 + \dots
 \tag{E.67}$$

We have not determined the modular generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GV invariants for this model.

E.7. $(m, i, j) = (7, 1, 1)$. Using (5.29) we get

$$C_{TTT} = 28 + 140q + 3388q^2 + 43232q^3 + 540988q^4 + 6163640q^5 + \dots
 \tag{E.68}$$

$$\tag{E.69}$$

$$C_{TTTTT} = 7E_2^{(7)}(E_4(\tau) - 49E_4(7\tau)) \frac{5(J_7^+)^5 + 274(J_7^+)^4 - 546(J_7^+)^3 + 12672(J_7^+)^2 + 6885J_7^+ + 4374}{72(J_7^+)^2 ((J_7^+)^2 - 26J_7^+ - 27)^2}$$

By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_7^{[1,1]} &= \frac{71111}{393660}E_4E_6\Theta^{(7)} - \frac{11518577}{2099520}E_4^2 D\Theta^{(7)} + \frac{34006}{729}E_6 D^2\Theta^{(7)} - \frac{563206}{3645}D^3\Theta^{(7)} + \frac{1075648}{405}D^5\Theta^{(7)} \\
 &= -2 + 56q^{25/28} + 136q + 280q^{29/28} + 812q^{8/7} + 2520q^{9/7} + 3192q^{37/28} + 16800q^{11/7} + 23320q^{7/4} \\
 &\quad + 97272q^{53/28} + 81920q^2 + 194936q^{57/28} + 315728q^{15/7} + 582680q^{16/7} + 677488q^{65/28} + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{E.70}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$\tilde{h}_1 = -\frac{7E_2^{(7)}}{\eta(\tau)^6\eta(7\tau)^6[(J_7^+)^2 - 26J_7^+ - 27]}
 \tag{E.71}$$

leading to

$$W_1 = 7 + 70q + 931q^2 + 11270q^3 + 137298q^4 + \dots
 \tag{E.72}$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.73) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{14}}{\eta(\tau)^{18}\eta(7\tau)^{18}[(J_7^+)^2 - 26J_7^+ - 27]^3}$$

with

$$(E.74) \quad P_{14} = \frac{7E_2^{(7)}}{2^{15}3^{11}5^3} \left[410257645(E_2^{(7)})^6 - 534174774(E_2^{(7)})^4 E_4^{(7,+)} + 255054520(E_2^{(7)})^3 E_6^{(7,+)} \right. \\ \left. - 50864760E_2^{(7)} E_4^{(7,-)} E_6^{(7,+)} + 14171760(E_6^{(7,+)})^2 \right]$$

leading to

$$(E.75) \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 406q^2 + 22540q^3 + 713048q^4 + 17372264q^5 + 364190344q^6 + \dots$$

The generating series of base degree 3, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.76) \quad \tilde{h}_3 = \frac{P_{26}}{\eta(\tau)^{30}\eta(7\tau)^{30}[(J_7^+)^2 - 26J_7^+ - 27]^5}$$

with

$$(E.77) \quad P_{26} = \frac{7E_2^{(7)}}{2^{22}3^{23}5} \left(49478895704035(E_2^{(7)})^{12} - 50479038842379(E_2^{(7)})^{10} E_4^{(7,+)} + 55888343232190(E_2^{(7)})^9 E_6^{(7,-)} \right. \\ \left. - 44136548857548(E_2^{(7)})^7 E_4^{(7,+)} E_6^{(7,-)} + 7849405670040(E_2^{(7)})^6 (E_6^{(7,-)})^2 \right. \\ \left. + 3498388920432(E_2^{(7)})^4 E_4^{(7,+)} (E_6^{(7,-)})^2 - 607676554080(E_2^{(7)})^3 (E_6^{(7,-)})^3 \right. \\ \left. - 49465111104E_2^{(7)} E_4^{(7,+)} (E_6^{(7,-)})^3 + 4194840960(E_6^{(7,-)})^4 \right)$$

leading to

$$(E.78) \quad W_3 - \frac{1}{27}W_1(3\tau) = 1596q^3 + 274596q^4 + 17372264q^5 + 725242728q^6 + \dots$$

E.8. $(m, i, j) = (8, 1, 1)$. Using (5.29) we get

$$(E.79) \quad C_{TTT} = 32 + 128q + 2560q^2 + 31232q^3 + 323584q^4 + 3216128q^5 + \dots$$

$$(E.80) \quad C_{TTTTT} = 8E_2^{(8,+)} \left[(E_2^{(8,-)})^2 - \frac{1}{9}(E_2^{(8,+)})^2 \right] \frac{(J_8^+)^4 + 40(J_8^+)^3 - 640J_8^+ - 256}{3((J_8^+)^2 - 24J_8^+ + 16)^2}$$

By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$(E.81) \quad \Phi_8^{[1,1]} = \frac{385388}{1148175} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(8)} - \frac{3022256}{382725} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(8)} + \frac{851968}{8505} E_6 D^2 \Theta^{(8)} - \frac{11763712}{42525} E_4 D^3 \Theta^{(8)} \\ + \frac{16777216}{4725} D^5 \Theta^{(8)} + \frac{1}{18} E_4 E_6 T_{2,4} - 2E_4^2 D T_{2,4} - 16E_6 D^2 T_{2,4} \\ = -1 + 108q + 128q^{33/32} + 640q^{9/8} + 1152q^{41/32} + 5016q^{3/2} + 6144q^{49/32} + 25728q^{57/32} \\ + 76950q^2 + 89088q^{65/32} + 273024q^{17/8} + 267264q^{73/32} + 640224q^{5/2} + \dots$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.82) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{16X_4(2\tau)(4E_2^{(2)}(4\tau) + E_2^{(8)}(\tau))}{9\eta(2\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8[(J_8^+)^2 - 24J_8^+ + 16]}$$

leading to

$$(E.83) \quad W_1 = 16 + 256q + 3328q^2 + 39936q^3 + 452864q^4 + \dots$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.84) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{20}}{\eta^{24}(2\tau)\eta^{24}(4\tau)((J_8^+)^2 - 24J_8^+ - 16)^3}$$

with

(E.85)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{20} = & \frac{1}{2^{29}3^{12}29.41} \left[-41961455973(E_2^{(8)})^{10} + 471716259912(E_2^{(8)})^9 E_2^{(8,-)} \right. \\
 & - 1199633892840(E_2^{(8)})^8 (E_2^{(8,-)})^2 - 88246779305184(E_2^{(8,-)})^{10} \\
 & - 3456(E_2^{(8)})^5 \left(1555507834(E_2^{(8,-)})^3 (E_2^{(8,+)})^2 - 89432013E_2^{(8,-)} (E_2^{(8,+)})^4 \right) \\
 & + 60567037350768(E_2^{(8,-)})^8 (E_2^{(8,+)})^2 - 17273815025328(E_2^{(8,-)})^6 (E_2^{(8,+)})^4 \\
 & \left. + 2602795786488(E_2^{(8,-)})^4 (E_2^{(8,+)})^6 - 213078241446(E_2^{(8,-)})^2 (E_2^{(8,+)})^8 + 7908818587(E_2^{(8,+)})^{10} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$(E.86) \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 304q^2 + 14848q^3 + 393312q^4 + 8109056q^5 + 144154048q^6 + \dots$$

E.9. $(m, i, j) = (9, 1, 1)$. Using (5.29) we get

$$(E.87) \quad C_{TTT} = 36 + 108q + 2268q^2 + 22464q^3 + 216540q^4 + 1876608q^5 + \dots$$

$$(E.88) \quad C_{TTTTT} = \frac{2187E_2^{(9,+)}}{2} \left[(E_2^{(9,+)})^2 + 16(E_2^{(9,-)})^2 - \frac{1}{108}(E_2^{(9)})^2 \right] \frac{J_9^+ ((J_9^+)^2 + 27)}{[(J_9^+)^2 - 18J_9^+] + 27]^2}$$

By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_9^{[1,1]} &= -\frac{35669501}{513216} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(9)} + \frac{220005401}{77760} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(9)} - \frac{1479401}{135} E_6 D^2 \Theta^{(9)} + \frac{5863382}{675} E_4 D^3 \Theta^{(9)} \\
 &\quad - \frac{35428544}{75} D^5 \Theta^{(9)} + \frac{198485}{10392624} E_4 E_6 T_{3,3} + \frac{1505}{4374} E_4^2 D T_{3,3} \\
 &\quad - V_3 \left(\frac{392035}{62355744} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(3)} + \frac{2345}{39366} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(3)} + \frac{2170}{19683} E_6 D^2 \Theta^{(3)} \right) \\
 &= -1 + 150q + 108q^{37/36} + 270q^{10/9} + 1248q^{5/4} + 1944q^{49/36} + 3348q^{13/9} + 15012q^{61/36} \\
 &\quad + 23814q^{16/9} + 108600q^2 + 82944q^{73/36} + 120852q^{19/9} + 332800q^{9/4} + 348732q^{85/36} \\
 &\quad + 486324q^{22/9} + 1229904q^{97/36} + 1639872q^{25/9} + 5113200q^3 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

(E.89)

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.90) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{9E_2^{(9)}(\tau)^2}{32\eta(3\tau)^{16}[(J_9^+)^2 - 18J_9^+ - 27]}$$

leading to

$$(E.91) \quad W_1 = 18 + 108q + 1134q^2 + 10116q^3 + 92718q^4 + \dots$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.92) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{20}}{\eta^{48}(3\tau)((J_9^+)^2 - 18J_9^+ - 27)^3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{20} = & \frac{1}{2^{36}3^3 \cdot 11.19} \left[-150209(E_2^{(9)})^{10} + 84359088(E_2^{(9)})^9 E_2^{(9,-)} - 1811538432(E_2^{(9)})^8 (E_2^{(9,-)})^2 \right. \\
 & - 71663616E_2^{(9)5} \left(40884(E_2^{(9,-)})^3 (E_2^{(9,+)})^2 + 1781E_2^{(9,-)} (E_2^{(9,+)})^4 \right) \\
 & \left. - 16779605704704 \left(12(E_2^{(9,-)})^2 + (E_2^{(9,+)})^2 \right)^5 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(E.93)

leading to

$$(E.94) \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 270q^2 + 10116q^3 + 231012q^4 + 4114152q^5 + 63677754q^6 + \dots$$

E.10. $(m, i, j) = (11, 1, 1)$. Using (5.29) we get, for the putative model $X_{11}^{[1,1]}$,

$$(E.95) \quad C_{TTT} = 44 + 88q + 1672q^2 + 14344q^3 + 114312q^4 + 814088q^5 + \dots$$

By the GV/NL correspondence, this implies

$$(E.96) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{11}^{[1,1]} &= -\frac{23693562426079}{764569164579840} E_4 E_6 \Theta^{(11)} - \frac{76956434703269}{101942555277312} E_4^2 D \Theta^{(11)} - \frac{12172378220189}{884918014560} E_6 D^2 \Theta^{(11)} \\ &+ \frac{32012336240599}{2212295036400} E_4 D^3 \Theta^{(11)} - \frac{2751852346738}{15363159975} D^5 \Theta^{(11)} \\ &+ \frac{71253827029}{6991944806400} E_4^2 V_{11} D \Theta^{(1)} + \frac{14295721}{7283275840} E_6 V_{11} D^2 \Theta^{(1)} + \frac{779188993}{118353232400} E_4 V_{11} D^3 \Theta^{(1)} \\ &+ V_{11} \left(-\frac{9205661}{6991944806400} E_4^2 \Theta^{(1)} + \frac{61497}{3641637920} E_4 D^3 \Theta^{(1)} \right) - \frac{71353991071}{136342923724800} E_4 V_{11} E_4 D \Theta^{(1)} \\ &= -1 + 66q + 88q^{45/44} + 198q^{12/11} + 264q^{49/44} + 528q^{53/44} + 924q^{14/11} + 1760q^{15/11} + 3234q^{16/11} \\ &+ 6512q^{69/44} + 9240q^{7/4} + 26730q^{20/11} + 30184q^{81/44} + 32472q^2 + 73392q^{89/44} + 99924q^{23/11} \\ &+ 110088q^{93/44} + 165264q^{97/44} + 219912q^{25/11} + 319572q^{26/11} + 457468q^{27/11} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generating series of base degree 1, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.97) \quad \tilde{h}_1 = -\frac{22[E_2^{(11)}(\tau) - 16\eta^2(\tau)\eta^2(11\tau)]}{10\eta^6(\tau)\eta^6(11\tau)[(J_{11}^+)^3 - 20(J_{11}^+)^2 + 56J_{11}^+ - 44]}$$

leading to

$$(E.98) \quad W_1 = 22 + 88q + 792q^2 + 5720q^3 + 42724q^4 + 309496q^5 + 2242900q^6 + \dots$$

The generating series of base degree 2, genus 0 GW invariants are given by

$$(E.99) \quad \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{P_{14}}{\eta^{18}(\tau)\eta^{18}(11\tau)[(J_{11}^+)^3 - (20J_{11}^+)^2 + 56J_{11}^+ - 44]^3}$$

with

$$(E.100) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{14} &= \frac{11}{293356} \left((E_2^{(11)})^7 - 424(E_2^{(11)})^6 E_2^{(11,-)} + 46928(E_2^{(11)})^5 (E_2^{(11,-)})^2 \right. \\ &- 643040(E_2^{(11)})^4 (E_2^{(11,-)})^3 + 21059200(E_2^{(11)})^3 (E_2^{(11,-)})^4 - 226994176(E_2^{(11)})^2 (E_2^{(11,-)})^5 \\ &\left. - 2586114816E_2^{(11)} (E_2^{(11,-)})^6 + 13009661952(E_2^{(11,-)})^7 \right) \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$(E.101) \quad W_2 - \frac{1}{8}W_1(2\tau) = 198q^2 + 5720q^3 + 103224q^4 + 1465288q^5 + 18237032q^6 + \dots$$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, “Vacuum Configurations for Superstrings,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B258** (1985) 46–74.
- [2] W. Lerche, C. Vafa, and N. P. Warner, “Chiral Rings in N=2 Superconformal Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 427–474.
- [3] M. Kontsevich, “Homological algebra of mirror symmetry,” in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994)*, pp. 120–139. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995. `alg-geom/9411018`.
- [4] P. Candelas, X. C. de la Ossa, P. S. Green, and L. Parkes, “A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 21–74.
- [5] P. Candelas, X. De La Ossa, A. Font, S. H. Katz, and D. R. Morrison, “Mirror symmetry for two parameter models. I,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B416** (1994) 481–538, `hep-th/9308083`.
- [6] P. Candelas, A. Font, S. H. Katz, and D. R. Morrison, “Mirror symmetry for two parameter models. 2,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B429** (1994) 626–674, `hep-th/9403187`.
- [7] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen, and S.-T. Yau, “Mirror symmetry, mirror map and applications to Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995) 301–350, `hep-th/9308122`.
- [8] P. Green and T. Hübsch, “Calabi-Yau manifolds as complete intersections in products of complex projective spaces,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **109** (Mar., 1987) 99–108.
- [9] P. Candelas, A. Dale, C. Lütken, and R. Schimmrigk, “Complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *Nuclear Physics B* **298** (Mar., 1988) 493–525.

- [10] M. Kreuzer and H. Skarke, “Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four-dimensions,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (2000) 1209–1230, [hep-th/0002240](#).
- [11] V. V. Batyrev, “Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties,” *J. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994) 493–545, [alg-geom/9310003](#).
- [12] J. Knapp, E. Scheidegger, and T. Schimannek, “On genus one fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds with 5-sections,” 2107.05647.
- [13] A. Klemm, M. Kreuzer, E. Riegler, and E. Scheidegger, “Topological string amplitudes, complete intersection Calabi-Yau spaces and threshold corrections,” [hep-th/0410018](#).
- [14] C. F. Doran, A. Harder, A. Y. Novoseltsev, and A. Thompson, “Families of lattice polarized K3 surfaces with monodromy,” *International mathematics research notices* **2015** (2015), no. 23, 12265–12318, [1312.6434](#).
- [15] C. F. Doran, A. Harder, A. Y. Novoseltsev, and A. Thompson, “Calabi–Yau threefolds fibred by mirror quartic K3 surfaces,” *Advances in Mathematics* **298** (2016) 369–392.
- [16] C. F. Doran, A. Harder, A. Y. Novoseltsev, and A. Thompson, “Calabi–Yau threefolds fibred by high rank lattice polarized K3 surfaces,” *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **294** (2020) 783–815, [1701.03279](#).
- [17] R. Kooistra and A. Thompson, “Threefolds fibred by mirror sextic double planes,” *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **73** (2021), no. 5, 1305–1346.
- [18] C. F. Doran, A. Harder, A. Y. Novoseltsev, and A. Thompson, “Calabi–yau threefolds fibred by high rank lattice polarized k3 surfaces,” *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **294** (Apr., 2019) 783–815, [1701.03279](#).
- [19] C. F. Doran, A. Harder, and A. Thompson, “Mirror symmetry, Tyurin degenerations and fibrations on Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **96** (2017) 93–132, [1601.08110](#).
- [20] C. F. Doran, J. Kostiuk, and F. You, “The Doran-Harder-Thompson conjecture for toric complete intersections,” *Advances in Mathematics* **415** (2023) 108893.
- [21] V. V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. van Straten, “Conifold transitions and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Grassmannians,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **514** (1998) 640–666, [alg-geom/9710022](#).
- [22] B. Haghighat and A. Klemm, “Topological Strings on Grassmannian Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *JHEP* **01** (2009) 029, [0802.2908](#).
- [23] C. Borcea, “K3 surfaces with involution and mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.* **1** (1996) 717–743.
- [24] J. Hofmann, *Monodromy calculations for some differential equations*. PhD thesis, Mainz, 2013.
- [25] S. Katz, A. Klemm, T. Schimannek, and E. Sharpe, “Topological Strings on Non-commutative Resolutions,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **405** (2024), no. 3, 62, [2212.08655](#).
- [26] K. Ueda and Y. Yoshida, “Equivariant A-twisted GLSM and Gromov–Witten invariants of CY 3-folds in Grassmannians,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 128, [1602.02487](#).
- [27] V. Batyrev and M. Kreuzer, “Constructing new Calabi-Yau 3-folds and their mirrors via conifold transitions,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **14** (2010), no. 3, 879–898, [0802.3376](#).
- [28] V. Bouchard, T. Creutzig, D.-E. Diaconescu, C. Doran, C. Quigley, and A. Sheshmani, “Vertical D4-D2-D0 Bound States on K3 Fibrations and Modularity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **350** (2017), no. 3, 1069–1121, [1601.04030](#).
- [29] D. Maulik and R. Pandharipande, “Gromov-Witten theory and Noether-Lefschetz theory,” [0705.1653](#).
- [30] I. V. Dolgachev, “Mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces,” [alg-geom/9502005](#).
- [31] C. F. Doran, “Algebraic and geometric isomonodromic deformations,” *Journal of Differential Geometry* **59** (2001), no. 1, 33–85.
- [32] V. V. Nikulin, “Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications,” *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **14** (1980), no. 1, 103.
- [33] K. Oguiso, “On algebraic fiber space structures on a calabi-yau 3-fold,” *International Journal of Mathematics* **4** (1993), no. 03, 439–465.
- [34] P. Berglund, P. Candelas, X. De La Ossa, A. Font, T. Hubsch, D. Jancic, and F. Quevedo, “Periods for Calabi-Yau and Landau-Ginzburg vacua,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **419** (1994) 352–403, [hep-th/9308005](#).
- [35] P. S. Aspinwall, “The Moduli space of N=2 superconformal field theories,” in *ICTP Summer School in High-energy Physics and Cosmology*, pp. 0352–401. 11, 1994. [hep-th/9412115](#).
- [36] B. Haghighat and A. Klemm, “Solving the Topological String on K3 Fibrations,” *JHEP* **01** (2010) 009, [0908.0336](#).
- [37] S. Kachru and C. Vafa, “Exact results for N=2 compactifications of heterotic strings,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B450** (1995) 69–89, [hep-th/9505105](#).
- [38] S. Kachru, A. Klemm, W. Lerche, P. Mayr, and C. Vafa, “Nonperturbative results on the point particle limit of N=2 heterotic string compactifications,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **459** (1996) 537–558, [hep-th/9508155](#).
- [39] V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis, and S. Theisen, “Aspects of duality in N=2 string vacua,” *Phys. Lett. B* **357** (1995) 71–75, [hep-th/9506110](#).
- [40] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. S. Narain, and T. R. Taylor, “N=2 type II heterotic duality and higher derivative F terms,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **455** (1995), no. 1-2, 109–130, [hep-th/9507115](#).
- [41] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, and P. Mayr, “K3 Fibrations and heterotic type II string duality,” *Phys.Lett.* **B357** (1995) 313–322, [hep-th/9506112](#).
- [42] D. Kläwer, “Modular curves and the refined distance conjecture,” *JHEP* **12** (2021) 088, [2108.00021](#).

- [43] L. B. Anderson, F. Apruzzi, X. Gao, J. Gray, and S.-J. Lee, “A new construction of Calabi–Yau manifolds: Generalized CICYs,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **906** (2016) 441–496, 1507.03235.
- [44] P. Berglund and T. Hübsch, “On Calabi–Yau generalized complete intersections from Hirzebruch varieties and novel K3-fibrations,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **22** (2018) 261–303, 1606.07420.
- [45] C. F. Doran and J. W. Morgan, “Mirror symmetry and integral variations of Hodge structure underlying one parameter families of Calabi-Yau threefolds,” in *Workshop on Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry*. 5, 2005. math/0505272.
- [46] S. Alexandrov and B. Pioline, “Black holes and higher depth mock modular forms,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **374** (2019), no. 2, 549–625, 1808.08479.
- [47] S. Alexandrov, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline, “S-duality and refined BPS indices,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **380** (2020), no. 2, 755–810, 1910.03098.
- [48] S. Alexandrov, S. Feyzbakhsh, A. Klemm, B. Pioline, and T. Schimannek, “Quantum geometry, stability and modularity,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **18** (2024), no. 1, 49–151, 2301.08066.
- [49] S. Alexandrov, S. Feyzbakhsh, A. Klemm, and B. Pioline, “Quantum geometry and mock modularity,” 2312.12629.
- [50] S. S. Kudla and J. J. Millson, “Intersection numbers of cycles on locally symmetric spaces and fourier coefficients of holomorphic modular forms in several complex variables,” *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* **71** (1990) 121–172.
- [51] R. E. Borcherds, “Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians,” *Invent. Math.* **132** (1998), no. 3, 491–562.
- [52] P. S. Aspinwall, “K3 surfaces and string duality,” in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 96): Fields, Strings, and Duality*, pp. 421–540. 11, 1996. hep-th/9611137.
- [53] P. S. Aspinwall, “Compactification, geometry and duality: N=2,” in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 99): Strings, Branes, and Gravity*, pp. 723–805. 1, 2000. hep-th/0001001.
- [54] T. Kawai, “String duality and modular forms,” *Phys. Lett. B* **397** (1997) 51–62, hep-th/9607078.
- [55] M. Marino and G. W. Moore, “Counting higher genus curves in a Calabi-Yau manifold,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **543** (1999) 592–614, hep-th/9808131.
- [56] Y. Enoki and T. Watari, “Modular forms as classification invariants of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Heterotic-IIA dual vacua,” *JHEP* **06** (2020) 021, 1911.09934.
- [57] C. Vafa and E. Witten, “Dual string pairs with N=1 and N=2 supersymmetry in four-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **46** (1996) 225–247, hep-th/9507050.
- [58] I. V. Melnikov, R. Minasian, and S. Theisen, “Heterotic flux backgrounds and their IIA duals,” *JHEP* **07** (2014) 023, 1206.1417.
- [59] D. Israel and Y. Proto, “A worldsheet approach to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ heterotic flux backgrounds,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 175, 2302.01889.
- [60] D. Israel, I. V. Melnikov, R. Minasian, and Y. Proto, “Topology change and heterotic flux vacua,” *JHEP* **06** (2024) 204, 2312.08923.
- [61] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and B. Pioline, “Threshold corrections, generalised prepotentials and Eichler integrals,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **897** (2015) 781–820, 1502.00007.
- [62] Y. Enoki and T. Watari, “Direct computation of monodromy matrices and classification of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ heterotic-IIA dual vacua,” *JHEP* **03** (2022) 059, 2111.01575.
- [63] Y. Enoki, Y. Sato, and T. Watari, “Direct computation of period polynomials and classification of K3-fibred Calabi–Yau threefolds,” 2212.07948.
- [64] M. Henningson and G. W. Moore, “Counting curves with modular forms,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **472** (1996) 518–528, hep-th/9602154.
- [65] V. A. Iskovskih, “Fano 3-folds. I,” *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Matematicheskaya* **41** (1977) 516–562.
- [66] V. A. Iskovskih, “Fano 3-folds. II,” *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Matematicheskaya* **42** (1978), no. 3, 506–549.
- [67] L. De Biase, E. Fatighenti, and F. Tanturri, “Fano 3-folds from homogeneous vector bundles over Grassmannians,” *Revista Matemática Complutense* **35** (July, 2021) 649–710.
- [68] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa, “Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994) 311–428, hep-th/9309140.
- [69] M.-x. Huang, A. Klemm, and S. Quackenbush, “Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: Modularity and boundary conditions,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **757** (2009) 45–102, hep-th/0612125.
- [70] M. Alim and E. Scheidegger, “Topological Strings on Elliptic Fibrations,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **08** (2014) 729–800, 1205.1784.
- [71] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M-theory and topological strings. I,” hep-th/9809187.
- [72] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M-theory and topological strings. II,” hep-th/9812127.
- [73] V. Alexeev and P. Engel, “Compact moduli of K3 surfaces,” *Annals of Mathematics* **198** (2023) 727–789.
- [74] O. Debarre, “Hyperkähler manifolds,” 1810.02087.
- [75] C. F. Doran Jr, *Picard-Fuchs uniformization and geometric isomonodromic deformations: Modularity and variation of the mirror map*. Harvard University, 1999.

- [76] C. Doran and J. Kostiuk, “Geometric variations of local systems and elliptic surfaces,” *Israel Journal of Mathematics* (2023) 1–79, 1911.00402.
- [77] B. H. Lian and S.-T. Yau, “Arithmetic properties of mirror map and quantum coupling,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **176** (1996) 163–192, hep-th/9411234.
- [78] V. V. Golyshev and D. Zagier, “Proof of the gamma conjecture for fano 3-folds of picard rank 1,” *Izvestiya: Mathematics* **80** (2016), no. 1, 24.
- [79] T. Eguchi, K. Hori, and C.-S. Xiong, “Gravitational quantum cohomology,” *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **12** (1997), no. 09, 1743–1782.
- [80] A. Harder, *The Geometry of Landau-Ginzburg Models*. PhD thesis, University of Alberta, 2016.
- [81] L. Giovenzana and A. Thompson, “Degenerations and fibrations of k3 surfaces: Lattice polarisations and mirror symmetry,” 2405.12009.
- [82] C. F. Doran, J. Kostiuk, and F. You, “Degenerations, fibrations and higher rank Landau-Ginzburg models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.12891* (2021).
- [83] C. Doran, A. Harder, A. Novoseltsev, and A. Thompson, “Calabi–yau threefolds fibred by mirror quartic k3 surfaces,” *Advances in Mathematics* **298** (Aug., 2016) 369–392, 1501.04019.
- [84] C. Doran and A. Thompson, “The Mirror Clemens-Schmid Sequence,” 2109.04849.
- [85] E. Pichon-Pharabod, “A semi-numerical algorithm for the homology lattice and periods of complex elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 ,” 2401.05131.
- [86] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen, and S.-T. Yau, “Mirror symmetry, mirror map and applications to complete intersection Calabi-Yau spaces,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **433** (1995) 501–554, hep-th/9406055.
- [87] C. Brodie, A. Constantin, A. Lukas, and F. Ruehle, “Flops for complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **186** (2023) 104767, 2112.12106.
- [88] V. V. Batyrev and L. A. Borisov, “On Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties,” in *Higher Dimensional Complex Varieties*. DE GRUYTER, 12, 1994. alg-geom/9412017.
- [89] B. Sturmfels, *Grobner Bases and Convex Polytopes*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [90] T. Coates, S. Galkin, A. Kasprzyk, and A. Strangeway, “Quantum Periods for Certain Four-Dimensional Fano Manifolds,” *Experimental Mathematics* **29** (Aug., 2018) 183–221, 1406.4891.
- [91] A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, eds., *Algebraic geometry V*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer, Berlin, Germany, Dec., 2010.
- [92] T. Coates, A. Corti, S. Galkin, V. Golyshev, and A. Kasprzyk, *Mirror Symmetry and Fano Manifolds*, pp. 285–300. European Mathematical Society Publishing House.
- [93] T. Coates and A. M. Kasprzyk, “Databases of quantum periods for Fano manifolds,” *Scientific Data* **9** (Apr., 2022).
- [94] T. Coates and A. Givental, “Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre,” *Annals of Mathematics* **165** (Jan., 2007) 15–53.
- [95] T. Coates, A. Corti, S. Galkin, and A. Kasprzyk, “Quantum periods for 3-dimensional Fano manifolds,” *Geometry & Topology* **20** (Feb., 2016) 103–256, 1303.3288.
- [96] B. R. Greene, D. R. Morrison, and A. Strominger, “Black hole condensation and the unification of string vacua,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **451** (1995) 109–120, hep-th/9504145.
- [97] D. Chialva, U. H. Danielsson, N. Johansson, M. Larfors, and M. Vonk, “Deforming, revolving and resolving - New paths in the string theory landscape,” *JHEP* **02** (2008) 016, 0710.0620.
- [98] T. W. Grimm and A. Klemm, “U(1) Mediation of Flux Supersymmetry Breaking,” *JHEP* **10** (2008) 077, 0805.3361.
- [99] N. Gendler, B. Heidenreich, L. McAllister, J. Moritz, and T. Rudelius, “Moduli space reconstruction and Weak Gravity,” *JHEP* **12** (2023) 134, 2212.10573.
- [100] B. R. Greene, D. R. Morrison, and C. Vafa, “A Geometric realization of confinement,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **481** (1996) 513–538, hep-th/9608039.
- [101] N. Addington and P. S. Aspinwall, “Categories of Massless D-Branes and del Pezzo Surfaces,” *JHEP* **07** (2013) 176, 1305.5767.
- [102] P. S. Aspinwall, “Exoflops in Two Dimensions,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 104, 1412.0612.
- [103] P. Candelas, A. M. Dale, C. A. Lutken, and R. Schimmrigk, “Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **298** (1988) 493.
- [104] P. S. Green, T. Hubsch, and C. A. Lutken, “All Hodge Numbers of All Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 105–124.
- [105] A. Gerhardus and H. Jockers, “Quantum periods of Calabi–Yau fourfolds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **913** (2016) 425–474, 1604.05325.
- [106] C. F. Cota, A. Klemm, and T. Schimannek, “Topological strings on genus one fibered Calabi-Yau 3-folds and string dualities,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 170, 1910.01988.
- [107] H. Iritani, “An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds,” *Advances in Mathematics* **222** (2009), no. 3, 1016–1079.
- [108] H. Iritani, “Gamma classes and quantum cohomology,” in *International Congress of Mathematicians*. 7, 2023. 2307.15938.

- [109] D. O. Orlov, “Equivalences of derived categories and k3 surfaces,” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **84** (May, 1997) 1361–1381.
- [110] P. Berglund, M. Henningson, and N. Wyllard, “Special geometry and automorphic forms,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **503** (1997) 256–276, [hep-th/9703195](#).
- [111] B. Haghighat, H. Movasati, and S.-T. Yau, “Calabi-Yau modular forms in limit: Elliptic Fibrations,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **11** (2017) 879–912, [1511.01310](#).
- [112] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, “Unity of superstring dualities,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B438** (1995) 109–137, [hep-th/9410167](#).
- [113] A. Sen and C. Vafa, “Dual pairs of type II string compactification,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **455** (1995) 165–187, [hep-th/9508064](#).
- [114] B. de Wit, V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis, and D. Lust, “Perturbative couplings of vector multiplets in N=2 heterotic string vacua,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **451** (1995) 53–95, [hep-th/9504006](#).
- [115] I. Antoniadis, S. Ferrara, E. Gava, K. Narain, and T. Taylor, “Perturbative prepotential and monodromies in N=2 heterotic superstring,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B447** (1995) 35–61, [hep-th/9504034](#).
- [116] I. Antoniadis and H. Partouche, “Exact monodromy group of N=2 heterotic superstring,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** (1996) 470–488, [hep-th/9509009](#).
- [117] A. Klemm, D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, and E. Scheidegger, “Noether-lefscetz theory and the yau-zaslow conjecture,” *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **23** (June, 2010) 1013–1040, [0807.2477](#).
- [118] S. H. Katz, A. Klemm, and C. Vafa, “M theory, topological strings and spinning black holes,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1445–1537, [hep-th/9910181](#).
- [119] D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, R. P. Thomas, and A. Pixton, “Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms,” *J. Topol.* **3** (2010) 937–996, [1001.2719](#).
- [120] J. A. Harvey and G. W. Moore, “Algebras, BPS States, and Strings,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B463** (1996) 315–368, [hep-th/9510182](#).
- [121] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, “Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. I,” *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), no. 5, 1263–1285.
- [122] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, “Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. II,” *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), no. 5, 1286–1304.
- [123] A. Gholampour, A. Sheshmani, and Y. Toda, “Stable pairs on nodal K3 fibrations,” *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2018** (2018), no. 17, 5297–5346, [1308.4722](#).
- [124] S. Alexandrov and S. Nampuri, “Refinement and modularity of immortal dyons,” *JHEP* **01** (2021) 147, [2009.01172](#).
- [125] E. Diaconescu and G. W. Moore, “Crossing the wall: Branes versus bundles,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **14** (2010), no. 6, 1621–1650, [0706.3193](#).
- [126] V. V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. Straten, “Mirror symmetry and toric degenerations of partial flag manifolds,” *Acta Mathematica* **184** (2000), no. 1, 1–39.
- [127] P. Paule and C. Schneider, “Computer proofs of a new family of harmonic number identities,” *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **31** (Aug., 2003) 359–378.
- [128] G. Almkvist, C. Van Enckevort, D. Van Straten, and W. Zudilin, “Tables of Calabi-Yau equations,” *arXiv preprint math/0507430* (2005).
- [129] S. Cooper, J. Ge, and D. Ye, “Hypergeometric transformation formulas of degrees 3, 7, 11 and 23,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **421** (2015), no. 2, 1358–1376.
- [130] N.-P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, “Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms,” *Inventiones Mathematicae* **94** (1988) 113–146.
- [131] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton, “Monstrous moonshine,” *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **11** (1979), no. 3, 308–339.
- [132] M. van Hoeij, “Parametrization of the modular curve $X_0(N)$ for $N \leq 37$,” 2012.
- [133] N.-P. Skoruppa, “Developments in the theory of Jacobi forms,”
- [134] S. Alexandrov, N. Gaddam, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline, “Modular bootstrap for D4-D2-D0 indices on compact Calabi-Yau threefolds,” [2204.02207](#).
- [135] M. C. N. Cheng and J. F. R. Duncan, “Optimal Mock Jacobi Theta Functions,” *Adv. Math.* **372** (2020) 107284, [1605.04480](#).
- [136] N.-P. Skoruppa, “Explicit formulas for the Fourier coefficients of Jacobi and elliptic modular forms,”
- [137] N.-P. Skoruppa, “Heegner cycles, modular forms and Jacobi forms,” *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux* **3** (1991), no. 1, 93–116.
- [138] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, and M. Viazovska, “Theta lifts and local Maass forms,” *Math. Res. Lett.* **20** (2013), no. 2, 213–234.
- [139] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, and W. Kohnen, “Locally harmonic Maass forms and the kernel of the Shintani lift,” *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2014) [rnu024](#), [1206.1100](#).