

# An Approximate Taylor Theorem for Analytic Lipschitz Functions

Stephen Deterding

Department of Mathematics and Physics  
Marshall University, Huntington, WV, USA

## Abstract

Let  $U$  be a bounded open subset of the complex plane and let  $A_\alpha(U)$  denote the set of functions analytic on  $U$  that also belong to the little Lipschitz class with Lipschitz exponent  $\alpha$ . It is shown that if  $A_\alpha(U)$  admits a bounded point derivation at  $x \in \partial U$ , then there is an approximate Taylor Theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$  at  $x$ . This extends and generalizes known results concerning bounded point derivations.

## 1 Introduction

The behavior of a function at a boundary point is often very different from its behavior inside the set. For instance an analytic function on a bounded set is infinitely differentiable on the interior but may fail to have even a single derivative at a boundary point. However, if the structure of the set is nice enough then the functions may have a greater degree of smoothness at the boundary than would otherwise be expected. One such example of this greater degree of smoothness is the existence of a bounded point derivation at a boundary point. A bounded point derivation is a type of bounded linear functional that generalizes the concept of the derivative. Bounded point derivations have been studied in a wide variety of contexts and for a large number of function spaces. [2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12]

To illustrate how a bounded point derivation generalizes the derivative let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $R_0(X)$  denote the set of rational functions with poles off  $X$ . Then  $R(X)$  denotes the closure of  $R_0(X)$  in the uniform norm and for a positive integer  $t$ ,  $R(X)$  is said to admit a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x \in X$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|f^{(t)}(x)| \leq C \|f\|_\infty$$

for all  $f \in R_0(X)$ . Suppose that  $R(X)$  admits a first order bounded point derivation at  $x$  and that  $f \in R(X)$  is not differentiable at  $x$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_j\} \in R_0(X)$  that converges uniformly to  $f$  and since there is a bounded point derivation at  $x$

$$|f'_j(x) - f'_k(x)| \leq C \|f_j - f_k\|_\infty.$$

Hence  $\{f'_j(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and thus converges and its limit may be said to be the derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ . In this way a bounded point derivation generalizes a derivative by allowing derivatives to be defined for non-differentiable functions. It is worth mentioning that a result of Dolzhenko is that there is always a nowhere differentiable function in  $R(X)$  whenever  $X$  is a nowhere dense set [5] so this construction has a practical use.

The primary question that this paper will focus on is the following: If  $A$  is a family of functions and there is a bounded point derivation on  $A$  at  $x$ , how close can the functions in  $A$  come to being differentiable at  $x$ ? One possibility is that all the functions in  $A$  might be differentiable at  $x$ , in which case  $x$  is called a removable singularity for  $A$ . More likely; however, is that the functions will have a more limited degree of smoothness at the boundary; for example, the functions may only be approximately differentiable at  $x$ .

A set  $E$  is said to have full area density at  $x$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(B_r(x) \cap E)}{m(B_r(x))} = 1$$

where  $B_r(x)$  is the ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$  and  $m$  denotes 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. A function  $f$  is said to be approximately differentiable at  $x$  if there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  and  $L \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in E} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = L.$$

$L$  is called the approximate derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ .

Some work on these types of questions has been done previously. In [9] O'Farrell showed that a bounded point derivation on the space of analytic Lipschitz functions  $A_\alpha(U)$  at  $x$  could be evaluated by a difference quotient formula

$$Df = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z_n) - f(x)}{z_n - x}$$

where  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence of points that converges non-tangentially to  $x$  and  $Df$  denotes the bounded point derivation at  $f$ . Subsequently this result was improved to

$$Df = \lim_{z \rightarrow x, z \in E} \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x}$$

where  $E$  is a set with full area density at  $x$  [10]. Similar results are known for  $R(X)$  as well [11, Corollary 3.6].

In this manuscript we will consider a different type of boundary smoothness property, that of an approximate Taylor theorem for analytic Lipschitz functions. The connection between bounded point derivations and

approximate Taylor Theorems was first noted by Wang [11] for the case of  $R(X)$ . Given a positive integer  $t$  and a function  $f \in R(X)$  we define the error at  $z$  of the  $t$ -th degree Taylor polynomial of  $f$  about  $x$  by

$$R_x^t f(z) = f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^t \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} (z-x)^j.$$

It was shown in [11, Theorem 3.4] that if  $R(X)$  admits a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x$  then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that if  $y \in E$  then for every  $f \in R_0(X)$

$$|R_x^t f(y)| \leq \epsilon |y-x|^t \|f\|_\infty.$$

Similar results have also been shown for  $R^p(X)$ , the closure of  $R_0(X)$  in the  $L^p$  norm, for  $p \geq 2$  (When  $p < 2$ ,  $R^p(X)$  coincides with  $L^p(X)$  and thus does not admit any bounded point derivations or even bounded point evaluations [1, Lemma 3.5]). For a given positive integer  $t$ ,  $R^p(X)$  is said to admit a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|f^{(t)}(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(X)}$$

for all  $f \in R_0(X)$ . It was shown in [13, Theorem 4.1] that if  $R^p(X)$  admits a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x$  then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that if  $y \in E$  then for every  $f \in R_0(X)$

$$|R_x^t f(y)| \leq \epsilon |y-x|^t \|f\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

The goal of this manuscript is to derive an approximate Taylor theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$  similar to the ones for  $R(X)$  and  $R^p(X)$ . The remainder of the paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 provides background information on spaces of Lipschitz functions and bounded point derivations defined for those functions, while the set of full area density for the approximate Taylor theorem is constructed in Section 3. The final section contains the statement and proof of the approximate Taylor theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$ .

## 2 Lipschitz functions and bounded point derivatives

Let  $U$  be a non-empty bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , a function  $f$  is said to be a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz exponent  $\alpha$  on  $U$  if there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that for all  $z, w \in U$

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq k|z - w|^\alpha. \tag{1}$$

For such a function the Lipschitz seminorm, denoted as  $\|\cdot\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$  is defined to be the smallest value of  $k$  that satisfies (1). It is only a seminorm because  $k = 0$  whenever  $f$  is a constant function; however, it can be made into a norm by adding the uniform norm to the Lipschitz seminorm. Thus the Lipschitz norm with exponent  $\alpha$ , denoted as  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} = \|f\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} + \sup_U |f(z)|.$$

The space  $\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)$  consists of all functions with finite Lipschitz norm on  $U$ . An important subspace of  $\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)$  is the little Lipschitz class  $\text{lip}_\alpha(U)$ , which is the set of Lipschitz functions on  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 < |z-w| < \delta} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{|z-w|^\alpha} = 0.$$

$A_\alpha(U)$  denotes the space of  $\text{lip}_\alpha(U)$  functions that are analytic on  $U$ .  $A_\alpha(U)$  is said to admit a bounded point derivation of order  $t$  at  $x \in \partial U$  if there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$|f^{(t)}(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$$

whenever  $f \in \text{lip}_\alpha(U)$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $U \cup \{x\}$ .

Let  $X = \partial U$ . If  $L \in \text{lip}_\alpha(U)^*$  then it follows from de Leeuw's Theorem [3, Theorem 2.1] that there exists a Borel-regular measure  $\mu$  on  $X \times X$  with no mass on the diagonal such that

$$L(f) = \int_{X \times X} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w)$$

whenever  $f \in \text{lip}_\alpha(U)$ . Although  $L$  is not represented directly by integration against a measure as in the cases of  $R(X)$  and  $R^p(X)$ , the representation is close enough to a measure that many of the same techniques for representing measures can still be applied. In particular whenever  $L$  is a bounded point derivation, this kind of integral representation can always be found.

The existence of a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation on  $A_\alpha(U)$  at  $x$  implies the existence of all bounded point derivations of lower orders at  $x$ . In addition it provides an integral formula that represents evaluation at  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  as long as a certain integral exists and is non-zero.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\mu$  represents a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation on  $A_\alpha(U)$  at  $x$  and  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  and define

$$c(y) = \int_{X \times X} \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1} - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w).$$

If  $c(y)$  exists and is nonzero, then for all  $f \in A_\alpha(U)$

$$f(y) = c(y)^{-1} \int_{X \times X} \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1}f(z) - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}f(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w)$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in A_\alpha(U)$  so is  $\frac{f(z)-f(y)}{z-y}$  and hence

$$\int_{X \times X} \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1}(f(z) - f(y)) - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}(f(w) - f(y))}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{X \times X} \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1}f(z) - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}f(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) = f(y)c(y)$$

and hence

$$f(y) = c(y)^{-1} \int_{X \times X} \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1}f(z) - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}f(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w).$$

□

### 3 A set with full area density at $x$

In this section we construct the set with full area density at  $x$  that will be used in the approximate Taylor Theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$ . To prove that the set has full area density at  $x$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $a, b,$  and  $c$  be positive real numbers with  $b + c \leq a$  and  $b + c < 2$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $\delta > 0$ . Then the set

$$\left\{ y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^a}{|w-y|^b|z-y|^c} d\mu(z, w) < \delta \right\}$$

has full area density at  $x$ .

Similar results to Lemma 2 can be found in [10]. Specifically Lemma 3.8 with  $u = 0$  is the same as Lemma 2 with  $b + c = a$  and Lemma 3.3 implies the same result; however, we will provide a more direct proof than the ones found in [10]. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $a, b,$  and  $c$  be positive real numbers with  $b + c \leq a$  and  $b + c < 2$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\Delta_n = \{y \in \mathbb{C} : |y-x| < \frac{1}{n}\}$  and let  $m$  denote 2 dimensional Lebesgue measure. Define a function  $f_n(z, w)$  by

$$f_n(z, w) = \frac{1}{m(\Delta_n)} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{|y-x|^a}{|w-y|^b|z-y|^c} dm(y).$$

Then  $f_n(z, w)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $z, w$  and  $n$  and converges to 0 almost everywhere ( $dm$ ) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* There are 4 possibilities: 1)  $w = z = x$ ; 2)  $w = x, z \neq x$ ; 3)  $z = x, w \neq x$ ; and 4)  $z \neq x, w \neq x$ . Of these only the last one occurs on a set with positive 2 dimensional Lebesgue measure and thus it is enough to show that  $f_n(z, w)$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in this case. Since  $z \neq x$  and  $w \neq x$  there exists  $N > 0$  so that  $|z - x| > n^{-1}$  and  $|w - x| > n^{-1}$  for  $n > N$ . It thus follows from the reverse triangle inequality that for  $n$  sufficiently large

$$|z - y| > ||z - x| - |y - x|| > |z - x| - n^{-1}$$

and similarly  $|w - y| > |w - x| - n^{-1}$ . Finally if  $y \in \Delta_n$  then  $|y - x| < n^{-1}$ . Thus for  $n$  sufficiently large

$$f_n(z, w) \leq n^{-a}(|w - x| - n^{-1})^{-b}(|z - x| - n^{-1})^{-c}$$

which tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To prove that  $f_n(z, w)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $z, w$  and  $n$  we first rewrite the function by observing that  $m(\Delta_n) = \pi n^{-2}$  and  $|y - x|^a < n^{-a}$  for  $y \in \Delta_n$ . Thus

$$f_n(z, w) = \frac{n^{2-a}}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{1}{|w - y|^b |z - y|^c} dm(y).$$

Now this integral would be larger if  $w = z$  and the integral was taken over  $D(z, n^{-1})$ , the disk centered at  $z$  with radius  $n^{-1}$ . Thus it suffices to bound

$$\frac{n^{2-a}}{\pi} \int_{D(z, n^{-1})} \frac{1}{|z - y|^{b+c}} dm(y).$$

A computation shows that the above expression evaluates to  $\frac{2n^{b+c-a}}{2-b-c}$  and thus  $f_n(z, w)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $z, w$  and  $n$ .

□

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\Delta_n = \{y \in \mathbb{C} : |y - x| < n^{-1}\}$  and let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Also let  $a, b$ , and  $c$  be positive real numbers with  $b + c \leq a$  and  $b + c < 2$ . If  $m$  denotes 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure then

$$\frac{1}{m(\Delta_n)} \int_{\Delta_n} \int \frac{|y - x|^a}{|w - y|^b |z - y|^c} d\mu(z, w) dm(y) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_n(z, w)$  be the function from Lemma 3. Since it is bounded and tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(z, w) d\mu(z, w) = 0.$$

Using Fubini's Theorem to switch the order of integration yields

$$\frac{1}{m(\Delta_n)} \int_{\Delta_n} \int \frac{|y-x|^a}{|w-y|^b |z-y|^c} d\mu(z, w) dm(y) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as desired.  $\square$

We can now prove Lemma 2.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_n = \{y \in \mathbb{C} : |y-x| < n^{-1}\}$  and let  $E_\delta$  denote the set

$$\left\{ y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^a}{|w-y|^b |z-y|^c} d\mu(z, w) < \delta \right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{m(\Delta_n)} \int_{\Delta_n \setminus E_\delta} \int \frac{|y-x|^a}{|w-y|^b |z-y|^c} d\mu(z, w) dm(y) \geq \frac{\delta m(\Delta_n \setminus E_\delta)}{m(\Delta_n)}.$$

By Lemma 4 the left hand side tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $E_\delta$  has full area density at  $x$ . Thus Lemma 2 is proved.  $\square$

The set in the following theorem will be the set of full area density used in the proof of the approximate Taylor theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$ . We first verify that it has full area density at  $x$ .

**Theorem 5.** Suppose  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ ,  $t$  is a positive integer and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and let  $E$  denote the union of the following 6 sets:

1.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|}{|z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$
2.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w-y|^\alpha |z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$
3.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|}{|w-y|^{1-\alpha} |z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$
4.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w-y| |z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$
5.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{t+1}}{|w-y|^\alpha |z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$

$$6. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{t+1}}{|w-y||z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \delta\}$$

Then  $E$  has full area density at  $x$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2 each of the sets above have full area density at  $x$ . Thus their union is also a set with full area density at  $x$ .  $\square$

## 4 An approximate Taylor Theorem for $A_\alpha(X)$

Throughout this section we will refer to the following lemma several times.

**Lemma 6.** For complex numbers  $z$ ,  $y$  and  $x$

$$\frac{1}{z-y} = \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{(y-x)^{j-1}}{(z-x)^j} + \frac{(y-x)^t}{(z-x)^t(z-y)}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction. The base case follows since

$$\frac{1}{z-y} = \frac{1}{z-x} + \frac{y-x}{(z-x)(z-y)}. \quad (2)$$

Now suppose the hypothesis holds for  $t$  and apply (2) to it to obtain

$$\frac{1}{z-y} = \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} \frac{(y-x)^{j-1}}{(z-x)^j} + \frac{(y-x)^{t+1}}{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)}$$

which completes the induction.  $\square$

We will also need the following bound on the  $c(y)$  term from the integral representation for evaluation at  $y$  (Theorem 1) in order to prove the approximate Taylor theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $X = \partial U$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $X \times X$  that represents a  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x$  and let

$$c(y) = \int \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1} - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w).$$

Then for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that

$$t! - \delta < |c(y)| < t! + \delta.$$



*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 6 that

$$c(y) = \int |z - w|^{-\alpha} \left( \sum_{j=1}^t (y - x)^{j-1} [(z - x)^{t+1-j} - (w - x)^{t+1-j}] + \frac{(y - x)^{t+1}}{z - y} - \frac{(y - x)^{t+1}}{w - y} \right) d\mu$$

Since  $\mu$  represents derivation at  $x$ , inside the sum every term evaluates to 0 except when  $j = 1$  in which case it evaluates to  $t!$ . Hence

$$c(y) = t! + \int \frac{(y - x)^{t+1}(w - z)}{(z - y)(w - y)|z - w|^\alpha} d\mu.$$

Thus to prove the theorem it suffices to show that there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that

$$\int \frac{|y - x|^{t+1}|w - z|^{1-\alpha}}{|z - y||w - y|} d\mu < \delta.$$

It follows from the triangle inequality that

$$\int \frac{|y - x|^{t+1}|w - z|^{1-\alpha}}{|z - y||w - y|} d\mu \leq \int \frac{|y - x|^{t+1}}{|z - y|^\alpha |w - y|} d\mu + \int \frac{|y - x|^{t+1}}{|z - y||w - y|^\alpha} d\mu$$

and hence according to Theorem 5 there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that each integral on the right side of the inequality is less than  $\frac{\delta}{2}$  for  $y \in E$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

We can now prove the following approximate Taylor theorem for  $A_\alpha(U)$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded open set and suppose that  $x \in \partial U$  admits a bounded point derivation on  $A_\alpha(U)$  of order  $t$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that if  $y \in E$  then for every  $f \in A_\alpha(U)$

$$|R_x^t f(y)| \leq \epsilon |y - x|^t \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in A_\alpha(U)$  and let  $g(z) = f(z) - f(x) - f'(x)(z - x) - \dots - \frac{f^{(t)}(x)}{t!}(z - x)^t$ . Then  $g(x) = g'(x) = \dots = g^{(t)}(x) = 0$ ,  $g(y) = R_x^t f(y)$ , and because there is a bounded point derivation at  $x$ ,  $\|g\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} = C \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$ . Hence it suffices to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E$  with full area density at  $x$  such that  $|g(y)| \leq \epsilon |y - x|^t \|g\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$ . Let  $X = \partial U$  and let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $X \times X$  that represents the  $t$ -th order bounded point derivation at  $x$ . Let  $E$  denote the union of the following sets.

1.  $\{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|}{|z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{10}\}$

$$2. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w-y|^\alpha |z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{10}\}$$

$$3. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|}{|w-y|^{1-\alpha} |z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{10}\}$$

$$4. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w-y| |z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{10}\}$$

$$5. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{t+1}}{|w-y|^\alpha |z-y|} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{1}{4}\}$$

$$6. \{y \in \mathbb{C} : \int \frac{|y-x|^{t+1}}{|w-y| |z-y|^\alpha} d\mu(z, w) < \frac{1}{4}\}$$

By Theorem 5  $E$  has full area density at  $x$ . It follows from Theorem 7 that  $c(y)$  exists and is non-zero and hence it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$g(y) = c(y)^{-1} \int \frac{(z-x)^{t+1}(z-y)^{-1}g(z) - (w-x)^{t+1}(w-y)^{-1}g(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu$$

and by Lemma 6 this simplifies to

$$g(y) = c(y)^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} (y-x)^{j-1} \int \frac{(z-x)^{t+1-j}g(z) - (w-x)^{t+1-j}g(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu \right. \\ \left. + (y-x)^{t+1} \int \frac{(z-y)^{-1}g(z) - (w-y)^{-1}g(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu \right).$$

Because  $\mu$  represents a bounded point derivation of order  $t$  at  $x$  and  $g(x) = g'(x) = \dots = g^{(t)}(x) = 0$  it follows that all the terms in the sum vanish and hence

$$g(y) = c(y)^{-1} (y-x)^{t+1} \int \frac{(z-y)^{-1}g(z) - (w-y)^{-1}g(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu.$$

After adding and subtracting  $(z-y)^{-1}g(w)$  to both sides of the numerator we obtain

$$g(y) = c(y)^{-1} \left( \int \frac{g(z) - g(w)}{|z-w|^\alpha} \frac{(y-x)^{t+1}}{z-y} d\mu + \int \frac{g(w)(y-x)^{t+1}(w-z)}{(z-y)(w-y)|z-w|^\alpha} d\mu \right). \quad (3)$$

Since  $y \in E$  the first integral in (3) is bounded by  $\frac{\epsilon}{10}|y-x|^t \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$ .

Now we bound the second integral. Because  $g(x) = 0$  it follows that  $|g(w)(w-x)^{-\alpha}| \leq \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$  and thus the second integral is bounded by

$$|y-x|^t \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} \int \frac{|y-x| \cdot |w-x|^\alpha |w-z|^{1-\alpha}}{|w-y| |z-y|} d\mu.$$

By the triangle inequality applied to both  $|w - x|^\alpha$  and  $|w - z|^{1-\alpha}$  this is less than

$$|y - x|^t \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} \left( \int \frac{|y - x|}{|z - y|} d\mu + \int \frac{|y - x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w - y|^\alpha |z - y|} d\mu + \int \frac{|y - x|}{|w - y|^{1-\alpha} |z - y|^\alpha} d\mu + \int \frac{|y - x|^{1+\alpha}}{|w - y| |z - y|^\alpha} d\mu \right)$$

and since  $y \in E$  the second integral in (3) is bounded by  $\frac{2\epsilon}{5} |y - x|^t \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$ . Also by Theorem 7

$$t! - \frac{1}{2} < |c(y)| < t! + \frac{1}{2}$$

and hence

$$|g(y)| \leq \frac{\epsilon/2}{t! - 1/2} |y - x|^t \|g\|'_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)} \leq \epsilon |y - x|^t \|g\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha(U)}$$

as desired. □

## References

- [1] J. E. Brennan. Invariant subspaces and rational approximation. *J. Functional Analysis*, 7:285–310, 1971.
- [2] A. Browder. Point derivations on function algebras. *J. Functional Analysis*, 1:22–27, 1967.
- [3] K. de Leeuw. Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions. *Studia Math.*, 21:55–66, 1961/62.
- [4] S. Deterding. Bounded point derivations and functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, 21(3):453–463, 2021.
- [5] E. P. Dolženko. Construction on a nowhere dense continuum of a nowhere differentiable function which can be expanded into a series of rational functions (Russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 125:970–973, 1959.
- [6] A. P. Hallstrom. On bounded point derivations and analytic capacity. *J. Functional Analysis*, 4:153–165, 1969.
- [7] L. I. Hedberg. Bounded point evaluations and capacity. *J. Functional Analysis*, 10:269–280, 1972.
- [8] D. J. Lord and A. G. O’Farrell. Boundary smoothness properties of Lip $\alpha$  analytic functions. *J. Anal. Math.*, 63:103–119, 1994.
- [9] A. G. O’Farrell. Boundary smoothness of analytic functions. *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 4(1-2):131–144, 2014.
- [10] A. G. O’Farrell. Derivatives at the boundary for analytic Lipschitz functions. *Mat. Sb.*, 207(10):119–140, 2016.
- [11] J. L. M. Wang. An approximate Taylor’s theorem for  $R(X)$ . *Math. Scand.*, 33:343–358, 1973.

- [12] J. Wermer. Bounded point derivations on certain Banach algebras. *J. Functional Analysis*, 1:28–36, 1967.
- [13] E. Wolf. Bounded point evaluations and smoothness properties of functions in  $R^p(X)$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 238:71–88, 1978.