

# Outer actions of finite groups on prime C\*-algebras and duality

Costel Peligrad

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025, USA. E-mail address: costel.peligrad@uc.edu

Key words and phrases. dynamical system, algebra of local multipliers, strictly outer actions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L55, 46L40; Secondary 20F29.

**ABSTRACT.** An action of a compact, in particular finite group on a C\*-algebra is called properly outer if no automorphism of the group that is distinct from identity is implemented by a unitary element of the algebra of local multipliers of the C\*-algebra and strictly outer if the commutant of the algebra in the algebra of local multipliers of the cross product consists of scalars [11]. In [11, Theorem 11] I proved that for finite groups and prime C\*-algebras (not necessarily separable), the two notions are equivalent. I also proved that for finite abelian groups this is equivalent to other relevant properties of the action [11 Theorem 14]. In this paper I add other properties to the list in [11, Theorem 14].

In this paper, the C\*-algebras under consideration are not necessarily separable. Let  $B$  be a C\*-algebra. Then the multiplier algebra  $M(B)$  of  $B$  is defined as  $M(B) = \{b \in B'' : ba \in B \text{ and } ab \in B \text{ for all } a \in B\}$  where  $B''$  is the bidual of  $B$  [10]. Notice that if  $\{e_\lambda\}$  is an approximate unit of  $B$  then the strong limit of  $\{e_\lambda\}$  in  $B''$  is the unit of  $B''$ . Therefore  $M(B)$  is a unital C\*-algebra that contains  $B$  as an essential ideal (a two sided ideal  $I \subset B$  is called essential if  $\{x \in B : xa = 0 \text{ for all } a \in B\} = \{0\}$ ). If  $A$  is a C\*-algebra, denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of all closed essential, two sided ideals of  $A$ . If  $I \subset J$  are two such ideals then according to [10, Proposition 3.12.8.] we have the inclusion  $M(J) \subset M(I)$  where  $M(I)$ , respectively  $M(J)$  are the multiplier algebras of  $I$ , respectively  $J$ . The inductive limit of the system  $\{M(I) : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is called the algebra of local multipliers of  $A$  and denoted  $M_{loc}(A)$  [1]. Originally, this algebra was denoted  $M^\infty(A)$  and called the algebra of essential multipliers of  $A$  [9]. Recall that a C\*-algebra,  $A$ , is called prime if every (two sided) ideal of  $A$  is essential.

In [2 Proposition 3.2.] the authors prove that three possible definitions of properly outer automorphisms of separable C\*-algebras are equivalent. In [11] I have chosen one of those definitions for not necessarily separable C\*-algebras, namely:

**Definition 1** *An automorphism of a (not necessarily separable) C\*-algebra is called properly outer if it is not implemented by a unitary element of  $M_{loc}(A)$ .*

This definition implies the other two equivalent statements of [2, Proposition 3.2.] for not necessarily separable C\*-algebras.

In the rest of this paper we will consider a C\*-dynamical system  $(A, G, \alpha)$  where  $A$  is a C\*-algebra,  $G$  a finite group and  $\alpha$  a faithful action of  $G$  on  $A$  (the action  $\alpha$  is called faithful if  $\alpha_g \neq id$  for  $g \neq e$  where  $e$  is the unit of  $G$  and  $id$  is the identity automorphism of  $A$ ). If the group  $G$  is finite, the action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  on  $A$  has a natural extension to  $M_{loc}(A)$  (this fact is also true for compact groups and prime C\*-algebras that have a faithful factorial representation [12], in particular separable prime C\*-algebras).

The cross product  $A \times_\alpha G$  of  $A$  by a finite group,  $G$ , is defined as the C\*-completion of the algebra of all functions  $f : G \rightarrow A$  with the following operations:

$$(f \cdot h)(g) = \sum_{p \in G} f(p) \alpha_p(h(p^{-1}g))$$

$$f^*(g) = \alpha_g(f(g^{-1})^*)$$

As  $G$  is a finite group, each  $f \in A \times_\alpha G$  can be written  $f = \sum a_g \delta_g$  where  $a_g \in A$  and  $\delta_g$  is the function on  $G$  with values in the multiplier algebra  $M(A)$  of  $A$  such that  $\delta_g(g) = 1$  and  $\delta_g(p) = 0$  for  $p \neq g$ .

In [11], I defined the notion of strict outerness of the action  $\alpha$  of a finite group  $G$  on a C\*-algebra  $A$  (similar to the corresponding definition for actions on von Neumann factors in [13]) and proved that it is equivalent with proper outerness [11, Theorem 11] :

**Definition 2** *The action  $\alpha$  is called strictly outer if  $A' \cap M_{loc}(A \times_\alpha G) = \mathbb{C}I$ ,*

*where  $A'$  is the commutant of  $A$ .*

In Theorem 12 below I will discuss several conditions on a C\*-dynamical system  $(A, G, \alpha)$  with  $A$  prime and  $G$  finite abelian that are equivalent with the proper outerness and the strict outerness of  $\alpha$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact (in particular finite) abelian group. If  $\widehat{G}$  denotes the dual of  $G$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ , let

$$A_\gamma = \{a \in A : \alpha_g(a) = \langle g, \gamma \rangle a, g \in G\}.$$

If  $\gamma = e$  the unit of  $\widehat{G}$  we denote  $A_e = A^\alpha$  and call it the fixed point algebra of the action  $\alpha$ . Then the mapping

$$P^\alpha(a) = \int \alpha_g(a) dg.$$

is a faithful norm one conditional expectation  $P^\alpha : A \rightarrow A^\alpha$ . If  $A$  has a factorial representation (in particular if  $A$  is separable), then,  $P^\alpha$  admits a natural extension to a faithful norm one conditional expectation  $P^\alpha : M_{loc}(A) \rightarrow M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$  even for non abelian groups ([12, Lemma 1.2.]). If  $G$  is finite (not necessarily abelian), then, since the restriction of an automorphism to an invariant ideal has an extension to its multiplier algebra, it is obvious that for every  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$ ,  $P^\alpha$  admits a natural extension to a faithful norm one conditional expectation of  $M_{loc}(A)$  onto  $M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$ . In this case (of finite groups)

$$P^\alpha(a) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g(a)$$

where  $|G|$  is the cardinality of  $G$ .

We will denote by  $sp(\alpha)$  the Arveson spectrum of  $\alpha$  and by  $\tilde{sp}(\alpha)$  the strong Arveson spectrum of  $\alpha$ :

$$sp(\alpha) = \left\{ \gamma \in \widehat{G} : A_\gamma \neq \{0\} \right\}.$$

$$\tilde{sp}(\alpha) = \left\{ \gamma \in \widehat{G} : \overline{A_\gamma A_\gamma^*} = A^\alpha \right\}.$$

and, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is the set of all non zero  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary subalgebras of  $A$ , define the Connes spectrum  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and the strong Connes spectrum  $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$  of Kishimoto

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \bigcap \{ sp(\alpha|_C : C \in \mathcal{H} \}.$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \bigcap \{ \tilde{sp}(\alpha|_C : C \in \mathcal{H} \}.$$

If  $\mathcal{H}_B$  denotes the set of  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$ ,  $C$ , such that the two sided ideal generated by  $C$  is essential in  $A$ , the Borchers spectrum of  $\alpha$  is by definition

$$\Gamma_B(\alpha) = \bigcap \{ sp(\alpha)|_C : C \in \mathcal{H}_B \}.$$

It is obvious that, if  $A$  is prime then  $\Gamma_B(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha)$ .

The next results and definitions which are valid for general, not necessarily separable  $C^*$ -algebras, will be used in the proof of the main result of this paper (Theorem 12).

**Lemma 3** a) [11, Lemma 3.a)] *If  $(A, G, \alpha)$  is a  $C^*$ -dynamical system with  $G$  finite then  $M_{loc}(A)^\alpha \subset M_{loc}(A^\alpha)$*   
b) [11, Lemma 3.d)] *If  $A$  is prime then  $A' \cap M_{loc}(A)$  consists of scalars.*

**Lemma 4** (5, Lemma 3.2.) *Let  $a$  be a positive element of the  $C^*$ -algebra*

*$A$ ,  $\{a_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  elements of  $A$ ,  $\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  automorphisms of  $A$  with  $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha_i) \neq \{1\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists a positive  $x \in A$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that*

$$\|xax\| \geq \|a\| - \epsilon \text{ and } \|xa_i\alpha_i(x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

The key fact in the proof of the above Lemma is Lemma 1.1. in that paper. In a subsequent paper, [6, Theorem 2.1.], Kishimoto has replaced the strong Connes spectrum in [5, Lemma 1.1.] with the Borchers spectrum,  $\Gamma_B(\alpha_i|_J)$ , where  $J$  is an arbitrary  $\alpha_i$ -invariant ideal. If  $A$  is prime, this condition is equivalent with the condition  $\Gamma(\alpha_i) \neq \{1\}$ . Therefore

**Corollary 5** *Suppose  $A$  is a prime  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a$  a positive element of  $A$ ,  $\{a_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  elements of  $A$ ,  $\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  automorphisms of  $A$  with  $\Gamma(\alpha_i) \neq \{1\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists a positive  $x \in A$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that*

$$\|xax\| \geq \|a\| - \epsilon \text{ and } \|xa_i\alpha_i(x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

If  $(A, G, \alpha)$  is a dynamical system with  $A$  prime and  $G$  finite, then, every (two sided) ideal  $I \subset A$  contains an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal, namely  $\cap \{\alpha_g(I) : g \in G\}$ . Therefore,  $M_{loc}(A)$  is the inductive limit of  $\{M(I) : I \text{ } \alpha\text{-invariant ideal of } A\}$ .

In the next result I will show that if  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a prime  $C^*$ -algebra that generates a finite group of automorphisms (i.e.  $\alpha^n = id$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then, it is properly outer in the sense of our Definition 1 if and only if  $\Gamma(\alpha) \neq \{1\}$ . Part of the proof uses some ideas from [10, Theorem 8.9.7.] and [4, Proposition 7].

**Lemma 6** *Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a prime  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $\alpha^n = id$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\alpha$  is properly outer if and only if  $\Gamma(\alpha) \neq \{1\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is not properly outer, so there exists an unitary

element  $u_0 \in M_{loc}(A)$  such that  $\alpha = Adu_0$ . Since  $\alpha$  has a natural extension to  $M_{loc}(A)$  and  $\alpha(u_0) = u_0u_0u_0^* = u_0$ , it follows that  $u_0 \in M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$ . Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$  where  $m = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha^n = id\}$  and  $\widehat{G}$  its dual group. Denote by  $G_0$  the annihilator of  $\Gamma(\alpha) \subset \widehat{G}$  in  $G$ . I will prove that  $G_0 = G$ , so  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{1\}$ . Since  $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ , it is enough to prove that  $1 \in G_0$ . Since, as noticed above  $M_{loc}(A)$  is the inductive limit of  $\{M(I) : I \text{ } \alpha\text{-invariant ideal of } A\}$ , it follows that  $M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$  is the inductive limit of  $\{M(I)^\alpha : I \text{ } \alpha\text{-invariant ideal of } A\}$ . Suppose that  $G_0 \subsetneq G$ , so  $\Gamma(\alpha) \neq \{1\}$ . Since  $G$  is finite,  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$  is also finite. Let  $d = \min \{|\langle 1, \gamma_i \rangle - 1| : i = 1, \dots, k\}$  and  $\epsilon < d$ . Since  $M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$  is the inductive limit of  $\{M(I)^\alpha : I \text{ } \alpha\text{-invariant ideal of } A\}$ , by [14, Proposition L.2.

2.] there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal  $I \subset A$  and a unitary  $u \in M(I)^\alpha$  such that  $\|u_0 - u\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . Choose  $\lambda_0 \in Sp(u)$  and  $f$  a positive continuous function on the unit circle  $\mathbf{T}$  with  $supp f \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbf{T} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}\}$ . Then  $f(u) \in M(I)^\alpha$ . Let  $C$  be the ( $\alpha$ -invariant) hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $I$  generated by  $f(u)If(u)$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal, so hereditary subalgebra of  $A$ , it follows that  $C$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . Let  $x \in C$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(x) - x\| &= \|u_0x - xu_0\| \leq \|(u_0 - u)x - x(u_0 - u)\| + \|ux - xu\| < \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|x\| + \|ux - xu\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in C$  we have

$$\|ux - xu\| = \|(u - \lambda_0)x - x(u - \lambda_0)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|x\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\alpha(x) - x\| < \epsilon \|x\|.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha), \gamma \neq 1$ . Since  $\gamma \in Sp(\alpha|_C)$  there exists  $x \in C, x \neq 0$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \langle 1, \gamma \rangle x$ . Therefore

$$\|\langle 1, \gamma \rangle x - x\| = |\langle 1, \gamma \rangle - 1| \|x\| < \epsilon \|x\|$$

So  $|\langle 1, \gamma \rangle - 1| < \epsilon < d$ . This contradiction shows that  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{1\}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{1\}$ . Then  $G_0 = G$ . In particular  $1 \in G_0$ , that is  $\langle 1, \gamma \rangle = 1$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha) (= \{1\})$ . By [11, Proposition 7.], there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant essential ideal  $J$  and a unitary  $u \in M(J)^\alpha$  such that  $\alpha = Adu$ . Therefore, since  $M(J)^\alpha \subset M_{loc}(A)^\alpha \subset M_{loc}(A)$ ,  $\alpha$  is not properly outer. ■

For separable  $C^*$ -algebras the hypothesis in the above Lemma that the automorphism generates a finite group is not necessary ([8, Theorem 6.6.]). I do not know if this is true for non separable  $C^*$ -algebras.

The following Corollary is a reformulation of Corollary 5 using the above Lemma 6

**Corollary 7** *Let  $(B, H, \beta)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system with  $B$  prime and  $H$  finite,  $a$  a positive element of  $B$ ,  $\{a_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  elements of  $B$ ,  $\{\beta_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset H$  a subset of properly outer automorphisms of  $B$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists a positive  $x \in B$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that*

$$\|xax\| \geq \|a\| - \epsilon \text{ and } \|xa_i\beta_i(x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

I will discuss next the notion of topological transitivity for groups of automorphisms of a  $C^*$ -algebra and some duality results for automorphisms. If  $X$  is a locally compact topological space, a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $X$  is called topologically transitive if for every pair of non empty  $\varphi$ -invariant open subsets  $U_1, U_2$  their intersection  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is non empty. For general  $C^*$ -algebras we defined

**Definition 8** [7, Definition 2.1. b)] Let  $(A, H, \beta)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system. The action  $\beta$  is called topologically transitive if for every pair of non zero  $\beta$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $B_1, B_2$  of  $A$ , their product  $B_1 B_2$  is non zero.

The following form of the above definition has been noticed in [3]:

**Remark 9** [3] The action  $\beta$  is topologically transitive if and only if for every pair of non zero elements  $x, y \in A$  there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $x\beta_h(y) \neq 0$ .

In [7, Theorem 3.1.] we have proved the following duality result for automorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras:

**Theorem 10** Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a system with  $G$  compact abelian. Suppose that there exists a topologically transitive group of automorphisms  $(H, \beta)$  of  $A$  that commutes with  $\alpha$ , that is  $\alpha_g \beta_h = \beta_h \alpha_g$  for all  $g \in G, h \in H$ . If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $A$  that leaves  $A^\alpha$  pointwise invariant and commutes with  $\beta$  then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .

In [11] we have proved the following

**Theorem 11** Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system with  $A$  prime,  $G$  finite abelian and  $\alpha$  faithful. The following conditions are equivalent

- a)  $\alpha_g$  is properly outer for every  $g \in G, g \neq 0$ .
- b)  $(A^\alpha)' \cap M_{loc}(A) = \mathbb{C}I$ .
- c)  $(A)' \cap M_{loc}(A \times_\alpha G) = \mathbb{C}I$ .
- d)  $A^\alpha$  is prime and  $\hat{\alpha}_\gamma$  is properly outer (in  $M_{loc}(A \times_\alpha G)$ ) for every  $\gamma \in \hat{G}, \gamma \neq 0$

If  $(A, G, \alpha)$  is a  $C^*$  dynamical system with  $A$  prime then, since  $A$  is an essential ideal of  $M(A)$ , from [9, page 302 statement 3)], we have  $M_{loc}(M(A)) = M_{loc}(A)$ . It follows that if  $\alpha_g$  is a properly outer automorphism of  $A$  then the extension of  $\alpha_g$  to  $M(A)$  is also properly outer. Therefore the above Theorem 11 can be stated for  $M(A)$  instead of  $A$ .

**Theorem 12** Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system with  $A$  prime,  $G$  finite abelian and  $\alpha$  faithful. Then the statements a)-d) in Theorem 11 are equivalent to each of the following

- e) If  $x, y \in M_{loc}(A)$ , are nonzero elements, then  $xM_{loc}(A)^\alpha y \neq \{0\}$ .
- f) If  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the group of unitary elements of  $M_{loc}(A)^\alpha$  then the group  $H = \{Adu : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  acts topologically transitively on  $M_{loc}(A)$ .
- g)  $A^\alpha$  is prime and if  $\beta$  is an automorphism of  $M_{loc}(A)$  that leaves  $A^\alpha$  pointwise invariant then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_g$ .

**Proof.** d) $\Rightarrow$ e) It is sufficient to prove e) if  $x, y$  are nonzero positive elements of  $M_{loc}(A)$ . In [2, 4 $\Rightarrow$ 1] the authors proved the following inequality for compact groups and separable C\*-algebras

$$\sup \{ \|xay\| : a \in A^\alpha, \|a\| \leq 1 \} \geq \|P^\alpha(x)\| \|P^\alpha(y)\|. \quad (1)$$

for every pair of nonzero positive elements  $x, y \in A$ . Their proof is valid for not necessarily separable prime C\*-algebras  $A$  and finite groups  $G$  if instead of using [8, Lemma 7.1.] or [5, Lemma 3.2.], as the authors do, one uses Corollary 7 in the current paper. Also, according to the discussion after Theorem 11, we have for every pair of nonzero positive elements  $x, y \in M(A)$

$$\sup \{ \|xay\| : a \in M(A)^\alpha, \|a\| \leq 1 \} \geq \|P^\alpha(x)\| \|P^\alpha(y)\|. \quad (2)$$

Now let  $x, y \in M_{loc}(A)$  be nonzero positive elements of norm 1, so since  $P^\alpha$  is a faithful conditional expectation,  $P^\alpha(x)$  and  $P^\alpha(y)$  are nonzero positive elements. Let  $d = \min \{ \|P^\alpha(x)\|, \|P^\alpha(y)\| \}$ . Then according to [14, Proposition L. 2. 2.], for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in particular for  $n > \frac{2}{d} + 2$ , there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal  $I_n$  of  $A$  and  $x_n, y_n$  nonzero positive elements of  $M(I_n)$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{d}{n}$  and  $\|y_n - y\| < \frac{d}{n}$ , hence  $\|P^\alpha(x_n) - P^\alpha(x)\| < \frac{d}{n}$  and  $\|P^\alpha(y_n) - P^\alpha(y)\| < \frac{d}{n}$ , so

$$\|P^\alpha(x_n)\| > \|P^\alpha(x)\| - \frac{d}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P^\alpha(y_n)\| > \|P^\alpha(y)\| - \frac{d}{n}. \quad (3)$$

Also, since  $(norm) \lim x_n = x$ ,  $(norm) \lim y_n = y$  and  $x, y$  are norm 1 elements, we can take  $x_n, y_n$  of norm 1. Since by the inequality (2) applied to  $M(I_n)$  instead of  $M(A)$  we have

$$\sup \{ \|x_n a y_n\| : a \in M(I_n)^\alpha, \|a\| \leq 1 \} \geq \|P^\alpha(x_n)\| \|P^\alpha(y_n)\|$$

there exists  $a_n \in M(I_n)^\alpha, \|a_n\| \leq 1$  such that

$$\|x_n a_n y_n\| > \|P^\alpha(x_n)\| \|P^\alpha(y_n)\| - \frac{d^2}{n^2}. \quad (4)$$

Next, notice that

$$x a_n y = x_n a_n y_n - (x_n - x) a_n y_n - x a_n (y_n - y).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|x a_n y\| &\geq \|x_n a_n y_n\| - \|(x_n - x) a_n y_n\| - \|x a_n (y_n - y)\| > \\ &\|x_n a_n y_n\| - \frac{2d}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

From (3), (4) and (5) it follows that

$$\|x a_n y\| > (\|P^\alpha(x)\| - \frac{d}{n})(\|P^\alpha(y)\| - \frac{d}{n}) - \frac{2d}{n} - \frac{d^2}{n^2} \geq d^2 - \frac{2d}{n} - \frac{2d^2}{n} > 0.$$

so  $xM_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$ .

e) $\Leftrightarrow$ f) Let  $x, y$  be nonzero elements of  $M_{loc}(A)$ . Since  $xM_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$ , then, in particular,  $x\mathcal{U}y \neq \{0\}$ , so there exists  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $xAdu(y) \neq 0$ . By Remark 9 it follows that  $H = \{Adu : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  acts topologically transitively on  $M_{loc}(A)$ . The converse follows from the fact that the linear span of  $\mathcal{U}$  equals  $M_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}$ .

f) $\Rightarrow$ g) Let  $x, y$  be nonzero elements of  $A^{\alpha}$ . Then, by e),  $xM_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore, there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal,  $I \subset A$  such that  $xM(I)^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $M(I)^{\alpha} = M(I^{\alpha})$  [11, Lemma 2 b)], it follows that  $xI^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$ , so  $xA^{\alpha}y \neq \{0\}$  and thus  $A^{\alpha}$  is prime. Now, let  $\beta$  be an automorphism of  $M_{loc}(A)$  that leaves  $A^{\alpha}$  pointwise invariant. Then for every  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal  $I \subset A$ ,  $\beta$  leaves  $I^{\alpha}$  and, therefore  $M(I^{\alpha}) = M(I)^{\alpha}$  pointwise invariant. Hence  $\beta$  leaves  $M_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}$  pointwise invariant, therefore  $\beta$  commutes with  $H$ . Since  $H$  acts topologically transitively on  $M_{loc}(A)$ , applying Theorem 10, the conclusion follows.

g) $\Rightarrow$ b) Suppose that g) holds. If  $(A^{\alpha})' \cap M_{loc}(A) \neq \mathbb{C}I$ , let  $a \in (A^{\alpha})' \cap M_{loc}(A)$  be a non scalar, positive element of norm one. Then a standard argument shows that there exists a unitary operator  $v \in (A^{\alpha})' \cap M_{loc}(A)$  such that  $a = \frac{1}{2}(v + v^*)$  ( $v = a + i(1 - a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ). Since  $P^{\alpha}$  is faithful we have  $P^{\alpha}(a) \neq 0$ , hence  $P^{\alpha}(v) \neq 0$ . Now,  $\beta = Adv$  is an automorphism of  $M_{loc}(A)$  that leaves  $A^{\alpha}$  pointwise invariant. Therefore, there exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_{g_0}$ . Clearly  $\alpha_{g_0}(v) = v$ . I will prove next that  $\alpha_g(v) = v$  for all  $g \in G$ . We have for  $a \in A$

$$\alpha_g(\alpha_{g_0}(a)) = \alpha_g(vav^*) = \alpha_g(v)\alpha_g(a)\alpha_g(v^*).$$

Since  $G$  is abelian

$$\alpha_g(\alpha_{g_0}(a)) = \alpha_{g_0}(\alpha_g(a)) = v\alpha_g(a)v^*.$$

Therefore,  $v^*\alpha_g(v) \in A' \cap M_{loc}(A)$ . Since  $A$  is prime, by Lemma 3 b) it follows that  $v^*\alpha_g(v) = \gamma(g)I$  for some scalar  $\gamma(g)$  with  $|\gamma(g)| = 1$  because  $v$  is unitary. Thus,  $\alpha_g(v) = \gamma(g)v$ . It is obvious that  $\gamma(g)$  is a character of  $G$ . By assumption,  $P^{\alpha}(v) \neq 0$  and so  $\sum_{g \in G} \gamma(g) \neq 0$ . This can only happen if  $\gamma(g) = 1$  for all  $g$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_g(v) = v$  for all  $g \in G$ . This means that  $v \in (A^{\alpha})' \cap M_{loc}(A)^{\alpha}$ . By Lemma 3 a),  $M_{loc}(A)^{\alpha} \subset M_{loc}(A^{\alpha})$  so  $v \in (A^{\alpha})' \cap M_{loc}(A^{\alpha})$ . Since  $A^{\alpha}$  is prime, applying Lemma 3 b) to  $A^{\alpha}$  instead of  $A$  it follows that  $v$  is a scalar so  $a$  is a scalar. This contradiction proves that b) follows from g). ■

**1** P. Ara and M.Mathieu, *Local Multipliers of C\*-Algebras*, Springer, 2003.

**2** O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott, D. E. Evans and A. Kishimoto, *Quasi-Product Actions of a Compact Abelian Group on a C\*-Algebra*, Tohoku J. of Math., 41 (1989), 133-161.



- 3** O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott and D. W. Robinson, *Strong topological transitivity and  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 37, No. 1, 1985, 115-133.
- 4** R. Dumitru, C. Peligrad, B. Visinescu, *Automorphisms inner in the local multiplier algebras and Connes spectrum*, Operator Theory 20, Theta 2006, 75-80.
- 5** A. Kishimoto, *Outer Automorphisms and Reduced Crossed Products of Simple  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. 81 (1981), 429-435.
- 6** A. Kishimoto, *Freely acting automorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Yokohama Math. J. 30 (1982), 40-47.
- 7** R. Longo and C. Peligrad, *Noncommutative topological dynamics and compact actions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Analysis 58, Nr.2 (1984), 157-174.
- 8** D. Olesen and G. K. Pedersen, *Applications of the Connes Spectrum to  $C^*$ -Dynamical Systems, III*, J. Funct. Analysis 45 (1982), 357-390.
- 9** G. K. Pedersen, *Approximating Derivations on Ideals of  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Inventiones Math. 45 (1978), 299-305.
- 10** G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Second edition, Academic Press, 2018.
- 11** C. Peligrad, *Properly outer and strictly outer actions of finite groups on prime  $C^*$ -algebras*, International J. of Math., Vol 35, No. 4 (2024), 11 pages.
- 12** C. Peligrad, *Duality for Compact Group Actions on Operator Algebras and Applications: Irreducible Inclusions and Galois Correspondence*, Internat. J. Math. Vol 31, No. 9 (2020), 12 pp.
- 13** S. Vaes, *The Unitary Implementation of a Locally Compact Group Action*, J. Functional Anal. 180 (2001), 426-480.
- 14** N. E. Wegge-Olsen,  *$K$ -Theory and  $C^*$ -algebras*, Oxford University Press, 1993.