Above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism in ultrathin van der Waals crystal Fe3+xGaTe²

Gaojie Zhang^{1,2,†}, Jie Yu^{1,2,†}, Hao Wu^{1,2}, Li Yang^{1,2}, Wen Jin^{1,2}, Bichen Xiao^{1,2}, Wenfeng Zhang^{1,2,3}, Haixin Chang^{1,2,3,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Material Processing and Die & Mold Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China.

²Wuhan National High Magnetic Field Center and Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China. ³Shenzhen R&D Center of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518000, China.

†Theses authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors. E-mail: hxchang@hust.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: Two-dimensional (2D) van der Waals (vdW) magnets are crucial for ultra-compact spintronics. However, so far, no vdW crystal has exhibited tunable above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism in the 2D ultrathin regime. Here, we report the tunable above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism in ultrathin vdW crystal Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ (x = 0 and 0.3). By increasing the Fe content, the Curie temperature (Tc) and room-temperature saturation magnetization of bulk $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals are enhanced from 354 to 376 K and 43.9 to 50.4 emu \cdot g⁻¹, respectively. Remarkably, the robust anomalous Hall effect in 3-nm $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ indicate a record-high T_C of 340 K and a large room-temperature perpendicular magnetic anisotropy energy of 6.6×10^5 J·m⁻³, superior to other ultrathin vdW ferromagnets. First-principles calculations reveal the asymmetric density of states and an additional large spin exchange interaction in ultrathin Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ responsible for robust intrinsic ferromagnetism and higher T_C. This work opens a window for above-room-temperature ultrathin 2D magnets in vdWintegrated spintronics.

Main text

In the past several years, two-dimensional (2D) magnetic crystals have shown great potential in low-dimensional physics and spintronics¹⁻⁷. Their van der Waals (vdW)layered structures and intrinsic ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic ground states at finite temperatures provide a promising platform for exploring quantum phenomena in the 2D limit 8.9 , and further offer possibilities for atomically-thin magneto-electrical and magneto-optical devices with atomically-smooth interfaces⁵⁻⁷. In general, magnetic transition temperature will be strongly suppressed by thermal fluctuations when the thickness of vdW magnets down to 2D regime^{2,9-12}, while magnetic anisotropy can counteract thermal fluctuations for stabilizing 2D magnetic order¹. Thus, bulk vdW ferromagnetic crystals should have sufficiently high Curie temperature (T_C) and large magnetic anisotropy in order to maintain robust ferromagnetism in few layers. However, all known vdW ferromagnets present low T_C or poor room-temperature ferromagnetic properties^{1,2,9,13}, which greatly impeded their practical applications. Previous efforts have tuned the T_c and magnetic anisotropy of vdW ferromagnets by external ionic liquid gating, but the introduction of liquid has limited the application scenarios¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Therefore, it is still elusive and very challenging to simultaneously achieve tunable above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism and large magnetic anisotropy in an ultrathin 2D vdW crystal.

Recently, the realization of above-room-temperature T_C (~367 K for bulk crystal and \sim 350 K for 9.5-nm nanosheet) and large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) in

vdW intrinsic ferromagnetic crystal Fe₃GaTe₂ has been a milestone³, leading to the allvdW-integrated spintronic devices at room temperature¹⁷⁻²². Fe₃GaTe₂ shows highly spin-polarized Fermi surfaces²³ and large magnetic interactions with electron correlations^{24,25}. However, previous studies have demonstrated that the T_C of Fe₃GaTe₂ begins to rapidly decrease when its thickness down to few layers $11,12$. Moreover, considering the thermal effects of actual electronic devices during operation, further improving the intrinsic ferromagnetism in $Fe₃GaTe₂$ is crucial for enhancing its application potential in 2D spintronics. Compared with magnetic modulation strategies such as electrical methods^{14,26,27} and heterostructure engineering²⁸, atomic doping is an effective method that does not introduce Joule heating effect and additional interfaces²⁹. However, magnetic heterodopants (such as Co or Ni) will alter the magnetic state of Fe₃GaTe₂ and significantly reduce the magnetic transition temperature³⁰. By contrast, similar Fe-based bulk vdW ferromagnets $Fe_nGeTe₂$ (n = 3, 4, 5) show enhanced T_C from about 220 K to 310 K with increasing Fe content³¹, indicating the important role of Fe content in the ferromagnetism regulation of Fe-based vdW ferromagnets. However, so far, no vdW crystal has exhibited tunable above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism in the 2D ultrathin regime.

In this work, the tunable intrinsic ferromagnetism in vdW $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ (x = 0 and 0.3) crystals is investigated through magnetic/electrical tests and first-principles calculations. For bulk $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystals, the T_C and room-temperature saturation magnetization (M_S) can be enhanced up to 376 K and 50.4 emu \cdot g⁻¹ by increasing the Fe content with $x = 0.3$. For ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ nanosheets, the anomalous Hall effect (AHE) and Stoner–Wohlfarth model are used to analyze the T_c and magnetic anisotropy, showing above-room-temperature long-range ferromagnetic order and large roomtemperature PMA energy (K_u) up to 340 K and 6.6 \times 10⁵ J·m⁻³ in 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂, respectively, which are the best performance reported in known ultrathin vdW ferromagnetic crystals with the same thickness. First-principles calculations indicate that the additional spin exchange path caused by an increase in Fe content can induce a large magnetic exchange interaction in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals, hence giving rise to the robust intrinsic ferromagnetism and higher T_C . This work provides a route to above-room-temperature ferromagnetism in ultrathin vdW magnets.

High-quality $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystals with two different Fe contents were grown by chemical vapor transport (CVT) and self-flux methods, respectively (**Note S1**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). As shown in Fig. 1(a), Fe₃GaTe₂ is a hexagonal vdW material with *P63/mmc* space group. Electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA) confirms the elemental composition of as-grown $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals, with Fe molar ratios of 3.03 (denoted as Fe3GaTe2) and 3.34 (denoted as Fe3.3GaTe2) (**Fig. S1**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). Moreover, **Fig. 1(b)** displays the sharp X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ single crystals indexed by $(00l)$ peaks (*l* is even number), which is consistent with the previous report³ and indicates high crystallinity. The enlarged (002) peaks present a slight right-shift with increasing the Fe content [right panel of **Fig. 1(b)**], implying the decrease of vdW gap. According to the Bragg's Law $(2\text{d} \sin \theta = n\lambda)$, wherein *d* is the interlayer distance and *n* is the diffraction order), interlayer distances of $Fe₃GaTe₂$ and $Fe_{3,3}GaTe₂$ crystals are identified as 8.06 Å and 7.83 Å, respectively.

Magnetic property of bulk $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystals is measured by vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). Due to the strong PMA of Fe3GaTe2 crystals³, Figs. 1(c) and 1(d) exhibit the zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) curves under an out-ofplane magnetic field (B//c-axis) of 500 Oe. Clear ferromagnetism-paramagnetism transitions in first derivation of ZFC curves identify that the T_c of Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ crystal are 354 and 376 K, respectively, indicating a T_C enhancement of about 6.2% by increasing the Fe content [Inset in **Figs. 1(c)** and **1(d)**]. The isothermal magnetization curves (M-B) of $Fe₃GaTe₂$ and $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ crystals are different, especially for high temperature regime [Figs. 1(e) and 1(f)]. Compared with Fe₃GaTe₂, Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ shows a more significant hysteresis loop at 350 K, further confirming a higher T_c of Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ crystals and echoing the results of the ZFC-FC curves. Moreover, the increase in Fe content also enhances the M_s at temperatures from 2 to 350 K (**Fig. S2(a)**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). For example, the M^S at 2 K can be tuned from 65.55 to 68.02 emu·g⁻¹ (about 3.8% enhancement), which respectively corresponds to a volume magnetization of about 480 and 500 emu \cdot cm⁻³, lager than most $M_s = 200$ to 340 emu \cdot cm⁻³ of other vdW ferromagnets³²⁻³⁶. Meanwhile, the room-temperature M_S shows more significant enhancement from 43.9 to 50.4 emu·g⁻¹ (about 14.8% enhancement). Overall, the bulk $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ crystals present

record-high T_c and large M_S compared with previous-reported best bulk Fe₃GaTe₂ crystals (T_C = 367 K, M_S = 40.11 emu·g⁻¹)³ and other vdW intrinsic ferromagnetic crystals (**Fig. S2(b)**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**), showing great potential in vdW-integrated spintronics.

To explore the ferromagnetic property of vdW $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals in 2D regime, Hall effect measurement is performed on exfoliated ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ (x = 0 and 0.3) nanosheets [**Fig. 2(a)**]. According to the atomic force microscopy (AFM), the thickness of as-tested Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets are confirmed as 4 and 3 nm, respectively (**Fig. S3**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). Temperaturedependent normalized longitudinal resistance (R_{xx}) curves of ultrathin Fe₃GaTe₂ and $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ exhibit typical metallic behavior in most temperature ranges, with the latter shows larger Rxx at low temperature [**Fig. 2(b)**]. Meanwhile, it should be noted that the upturn of low-temperature R_{xx} in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ nanosheets can be well depicted by the 2D Mott variable-range-hopping (VRH) model³⁷:

$$
R(T) = R_0 \exp\left[\left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^{1/3}\right] \tag{1}
$$

where R_0 and T_0 are fitting parameters. The fitting curves are presented in **Fig.** S4 (see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). Thus, this upturn behavior may be attributed to the emergence of localized electronic states with decreasing temperature, which likely originate from the Fe-3*d* orbitals³. Such Fe-3*d* orbitals are also responsible for the itinerant electrons in Fe₃GaTe₂ with weak itinerant ferromagnetism^{12,38}. Similar behaviors have also been reported in other 2D vdW ferromagnets^{10,39} and some disordered 2D transition metal dichalcogenides 40 .

For comparing the 2D ferromagnetism in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ with different Fe contents, the AHE is carefully analyzed. Generally, the Hall resistance (R_{xy}) of ferromagnetic materials can be divided into ordinary Hall resistance $(R_{OH} = R₀B)$ and anomalous Hall resistance $(R_{AH} = R_SM)$:

$$
R_{xy} = R_0 B + R_S M \tag{2}
$$

where B is the component of perpendicular magnetic field, M is the perpendicular magnetization, R_0 and R_s are the ordinary Hall and anomalous Hall coefficients, respectively. Due to the metallic behavior and large PMA of $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$, the R_{OH} exhibits an extremely small value, which is negligible compared with the R_{AH} . Therefore, R_{xy} can be used to represent magnetization. The R_{xy} –B curves of ultrathin Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets all show clear rectangular hysteresis loops with nearly vertical magnetization flipping till 300 K [**Figs. 2(c)** and **2(d)**]. This indicates the domination of the AHE with a single magnetic domain over the entire 2D crystal, which is reasonable for ultrathin 2D ferromagnets⁴¹. Together with the large remanent R_{xy} at zero field, these are hallmarks of ferromagnetism with strong PMA⁹. In addition, as the temperature increases, the AHE gradually disappears, which indicates that the 2D Fe3GaTe² and Fe3.3GaTe² crystals go through a transition from a ferromagnetic to a paramagnetic state. Since the AHE is a typical magneto-transport characteristic of materials with intrinsic long-range ferromagnetic orders, the T_c of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ and 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ can be identified as \sim 330 and \sim 340 K, respectively [Figs. 2(c) and

 $2(d)$]. For few-layer 2D vdW magnets, the T_C will gradually decrease as the thickness decreases^{1,2,9-11} due to the disturbance of thermal fluctuations on 2D magnetism. Previous works have demonstrated the layer-dependent T_c of $Fe₃GaTe₂^{11,12}$, which is consistent with the results of 4-nm $Fe₃GaTe₂$ in this work. Therefore, 3-nm $Fe_{3,3}GaTe₂$ with thinner thickness exhibits a higher T_c than 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂, confirming that the increase in Fe content could effectively enhance the T_c of $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$. Importantly, such enhanced high T_C up to 340 K is higher than all other vdW intrinsic ferromagnetic crystals with thickness down to few nanometers^{1,2,9-11,31,42-45} [Fig. 2(e)], making it a powerful candidate for the practical application of vdW-integrated spintronics with desirable downscaling capability.

In addition, the H_C of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ and 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets at each temperature are extracted in **Fig. S5** (see details in the **Supplementary Materials**), showing the decrease of H_C with increasing temperature. At temperatures below 100 K, the H_C of 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ (e.g. H_C = 12.5 kOe at 4 K) is larger than that of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ (e.g. H_C = 8.3 kOe at 4 K). When the temperature exceeds 300 K, the H_C of both of them drops below 50 Oe, which is below our sampling interval. This result indicates a temperature-driven transition from hard to soft ferromagnetism for two ultrathin Fe3+xGaTe² nanosheets.

Another important ferromagnetic property of $Fe₃GaTe₂$ is large room-temperature PMA³, and thus the influence of Fe content on PMA in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ is carefully discussed. As shown in **Figs.** $3(a)$ and $3(b)$, R_{xy} –B curves of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ and 3-nm $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ nanosheets under different field angles (θ_B , the angle between magnetic field and the sample plane) are performed at room temperature. At $\theta_B \approx 90^\circ$, the R_{xy}–B curves are square shape due to the large room-temperature PMA. As the field angle decreases, the component of out-of-plane magnetic field gradually decreases (correspondingly, the component of in-plane magnetic field gradually increases), causing the high-field R_{xy} gradually change from an upward trend to a downward trend. A large component of inplane magnetic field (B \geq 3 T, $\theta_B \approx 0^\circ$) forces the magnetization to turn towards the inplane direction, thereby leading to the R_{xy} approaching zero because it is only proportional to the out-of-plane component of the magnetization. The angle between magnetization and the sample plane is defined as the θ_M . The relationship between θ_B and θ_M follows the following formula¹⁴:

$$
\theta_M(\theta_B) = \arcsin\left[\frac{R_{xy}(\theta_B)}{R_{xy}(\theta_B = 90^\circ)}\right]
$$
\n(3)

Figs. 3(c) and **3(d)** present the experimental data of θ_M as a function of θ_B . Notably, θ_M is always larger than θ_B indicates that the magnetization tends to follow the out-of-plane direction regardless of the direction of applying magnetic field, which further echoes the large PMA in two ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ nanosheets. Therefore, the magnetization can only be pulled towards the in-plane direction at a sufficiently high magnetic field (e.g. $B = 3$ T). To evaluate the K_u , the Stoner–Wohlfarth model⁴⁶ is used to fitting the data and the total energy follows the following formula:

$$
E = K_u \sin^2(\theta_M) - \mu_0 H M_S \cos(\theta_B - \theta_M)
$$
\n(4)

Taking the first derivative:

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta_M} = 2K_u \sin(\theta_M) \cos(\theta_M) + \mu_0 H M_S \sin(\theta_B - \theta_M) = 0 \tag{5}
$$

The experimental data θ_M and θ_B are fitted by formula (5), as shown in Figs. 3(c) and **3(d)**. Assuming the M_s of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ and 3-nm Fe_{3,3}GaTe₂ are 43.9 and 50.4 emu \cdot g⁻¹, the K_u can be obtained as 6×10^5 and 6.6×10^5 J \cdot m⁻³, respectively, lager than the $K_u = 3.88 \times 10^5$ J·m⁻³ of previously-reported 9.5-nm Fe₃GaTe₂³. Enhancing PMA by dimensionality reduction in $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ nanosheets is preferable for stabilizing 2D magnetism¹. Such large room-temperature K_u is larger than all other vdW ferromagnets and most non-vdW ferromagnetic thin films with thickness of few nanometers $13,47-50$ [Fig. 3(e)]. Additionally, the similar K_u values of ultrathin Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ indicate that an increase in Fe content does not significantly affect PMA. Therefore, increasing the Fe content in 2D $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ is an effective method for enhancing ferromagnetism, as it can increase T_C with almost no change in magnetic anisotropy, which is desired by ultra-compact spintronic memories and logic devices (e.g. magnetic tunnel junction and spin-orbit torque device) based on ultrathin vdW magnets.

In order to understand the influence of increasing Fe content on intrinsic ferromagnetism and density of states (DOS) of ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals, firstprinciples calculations are conducted on bilayer $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ ($x = 0$ and 0.25) (**Note S4**, see details in the **Supplementary Materials**). Fe₃GaTe₂ and two cases of Fe_{3.25}GaTe₂ (denoted as $Fe_{3.25}GaTe₂-1$ and $Fe_{3.25}GaTe₂-2$) are considered in theoretical calculations **[Fig. 4(a)].** The theoretical interlayer distance of Fe₃GaTe₂ (d = 7.85 Å) is larger than that of two Fe_{3.25}GaTe₂ cases ($d = 7.62$ Å), suggesting that the interlayer distance will decrease with increasing the Fe content, which is consistent with the experimental XRD result in Fig. 1(b). Moreover, since the T_c of $Fe₃GaTe₂$ is highly related to the magnetic exchange coupling between neighboring Fe atoms^{23,24}, the first- and second-nearest exchange parameters (J_1, J_2) , and the additional Fe (denoted as Fe3) induced exchange parameter (J_3) have been calculated [Fig. 4(b)]. Among them, J_1 and J_2 are almost insensitive to the increase in Fe content, while the J_3 (\sim 22.3 meV) is significant and even very close to the value of J_1 (~25.1 meV). Such a large J_3 well explains the observed T_c enhancement in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystals with increased Fe content.

In addition, bilayer Fe₃GaTe₂ and two cases of bilayer Fe_{3.25}GaTe₂ all show finite and asymmetric total DOS around the Fermi level, which supports the observed metallic nature and intrinsic ferromagnetism of ultrathin Fe3+xGaTe² [**Figs. 4(c-e)**] Meanwhile, the *d* orbitals of the Fe play an important role around the Fermi level. By contrast, the contributions of Ga and Te are almost negligible, predominantly from the *p* orbitals of these atoms. Due to the existence of two and three inequivalent Fe atoms in $Fe₃GaTe₂$ and two Fe3.25GaTe² cases, respectively, further analysis is conducted on the DOS of Fe [**Figs. 4(f-h)**]. It can be found that the total DOS of Fe1, Fe2, and Fe3 mainly comes from their 3*d* orbital electrons, and these Fe atoms all exhibit asymmetric DOS around the Fermi level, implying a certain spin polarization. Moreover, at the Fermi level, the contributions of these three inequivalent Fe atoms to the total DOS are Fe1>Fe2>Fe3.

In conclusion, the above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism of bulk and

ultrathin vdW Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ (x = 0 and 0.3) is investigated. Magnetization tests demonstrate the T_c and M_s enhancement of bulk $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystals by increasing the Fe content. For ultrathin Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ nanosheets, the 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ shows high T_C of 340 K and large room-temperature K_u of 6.6 \times 10⁵ J·m⁻³ revealed by AHE and Stoner– Wohlfarth model. To the best of our knowledge, these are the best performance in vdW ferromagnetic crystals of the same thickness. Furthermore, first-principles calculations manifest that the asymmetric DOS and an additional magnetic exchange path caused by an increase in Fe content leads to robust intrinsic ferromagnetism and higher T_c in ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$. This work lays the foundation for above-room-temperature ferromagnetism modulation and ultra-compact spintronic applications based on atomically-thin vdW magnets.

See the supplementary material for the details on the crystal growth, device fabrication, characterizations, first-principles calculations, composition analysis of vdW $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals with different Fe contents by EPMA, comparison of M_S with different Fe contents, temperatures, vdW ferromagnetic bulk crystals, optical images and AFM profile height curves of two devices based on ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ nanosheets, R_{xx} measured in two ultrathin Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ nanosheets plotted on a logarithmic (log) scale as functions of $T^{-1/3}$, temperature-dependent Hc from AHE curves of 4-nm Fe₃GaTe₂ and 3-nm Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52272152, 61674063, and 62074061), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2022YFE0134600), the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province, China (No. 2022CFA031), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Committee (No. JCYJ20210324142010030 and JCYJ20230807143614031), and the Interdisciplinary Research Program of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (No. 2023JCYJ007). The computation is completed in the HPC Platform of Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Gaojie Zhang and Jie Yu contributed equally to this work.

Gaojie Zhang: Investigation (lead); Methodology (lead); Writing-review and editing (lead). Jie Yu: Investigation (supporting). Hao Wu: Methodology (supporting). Li Yang: Methodology (supporting). Wen Jin: Investigation (supporting). Bichen Xiao: Investigation (supporting). Wenfeng Zhang: Project administration (supporting); Supervision (supporting). Haixin Chang: Conceptualization (lead); Funding acquisition (lead); Project administration (lead); Validation (lead); Writing-review and editing (supporting).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹Cheng Gong, Lin Li, Zhenglu Li, Huiwen Ji, Alex Stern, Yang Xia, Ting Cao, Wei Bao, Chenzhe Wang, Yuan Wang, Z. Q. Qiu, R. J. Cava, Steven G. Louie, Jing Xia, and Xiang Zhang, Nature **546**, 265 (2017).

²Bevin Huang, Genevieve Clark, Efrén Navarro-Moratalla, Dahlia R. Klein, Ran Cheng, Kyle L. Seyler, Ding Zhong, Emma Schmidgall, Michael A. McGuire, David H. Cobden, Wang Yao, Di Xiao, Pablo Jarillo-Herrero, and Xiaodong Xu, Nature **546**, 270 (2017).

³Gaojie Zhang, Fei Guo, Hao Wu, Xiaokun Wen, Li Yang, Wen Jin, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, Nat. Commun. **13**, 5067 (2022).

⁴Hao Wu, Wenfeng Zhang, Li Yang, Jun Wang, Jie Li, Luying Li, Yihua Gao, Liang Zhang, Juan Du, Haibo Shu, and Haixin Chang, Nat. Commun. **12**, 5688 (2021).

⁵Zeyuan Sun, Yangfan Yi, Tiancheng Song, Genevieve Clark, Bevin Huang, Yuwei Shan, Shuang Wu, Di Huang, Chunlei Gao, Zhanghai Chen, Michael McGuire, Ting Cao, Di Xiao, Wei-Tao Liu, Wang Yao, Xiaodong Xu, and Shiwei Wu, Nature **572**, 497 (2019).

⁶Maciej Dabrowski, Shi Guo, Mara Strungaru, Paul S. Keatley, Freddie Withers, Elton J. G. Santos, and Robert J. Hicken, Nat. Commun. **13**, 5976 (2022).

⁷Keun-Hong Min, Duk Hyun Lee, Sang-Jun Choi, In-Ho Lee, Junho Seo, Dong Wook Kim, Kyung-Tae Ko, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Dong Han Ha, Changyoung Kim, Ji Hoon Shim, Jonghwa Eom, Jun Sung Kim, and Suyong Jung, Nat. Mater. **21**, 1144 (2022).

8Bevin Huang, Michael A. McGuire, Andrew F. May, Di Xiao, Pablo Jarillo-Herrero,

and Xiaodong Xu, Nat. Mater. **19**, 1276 (2020).

⁹Zaiyao Fei, Bevin Huang, Paul Malinowski, Wenbo Wang, Tiancheng Song, Joshua Sanchez, Wang Yao, Di Xiao, Xiaoyang Zhu, Andrew F. May, Weida Wu, David H. Cobden, Jiun-Haw Chu, and Xiaodong Xu, Nat. Mater. **17**, 778 (2018).

¹⁰Yazhou Deng, Ziji Xiang, Bin Lei, Kejia Zhu, Haimen Mu, Weizhuang Zhuo, Xiangyu Hua, Mingjie Wang, Zhengfei Wang, Guopeng Wang, Mingliang Tian, and Xianhui Chen, Nano Lett. **22**, 9839 (2022).

¹¹Mingjie Wang, Bin Lei, Kejia Zhu, Yazhou Deng, Mingliang Tian, Ziji Xiang, Tao Wu, and Xianhui Chen, npj 2D Mater. Appl. **8**, 22 (2024).

¹²Zhaoxu Chen, Yuxin Yang, Tianping Ying, and Jian-gang Guo, Nano Lett. **24**, 993 (2024).

¹³Xiaoqian Zhang, Qiangsheng Lu, Wenqing Liu, Wei Niu, Jiabao Sun, Jacob Cook, Mitchel Vaninger, Paul F. Miceli, David J. Singh, Shang-Wei Lian, Tay-Rong Chang, Xiaoqing He, Jun Du, Liang He, Rong Zhang, Guang Bian, and Yongbing Xu, Nat. Commun. **12**, 2492 (2021).

¹⁴Y. Deng, Y. Yu, Y. Song, J. Zhang, N. Z. Wang, Z. Sun, Y. Yi, Y. Z. Wu, S. Wu, J. Zhu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, Nature **563**, 94 (2018).

¹⁵Ming Tang, Junwei Huang, Feng Qin, Kun Zhai, Toshiya Ideue, Zeya Li, Fanhao Meng, Anmin Nie, Linglu Wu, Xiangyu Bi, Caorong Zhang, Ling Zhou, Peng Chen, Caiyu Qiu, Peizhe Tang, Haijun Zhang, Xiangang Wan, Lin Wang, Zhongyuan Liu, Yongjun Tian, Yoshihiro Iwasa, and Hongtao Yuan, Nat. Electron. **6**, 28 (2023).

¹⁶Ivan A. Verzhbitskiy, Hidekazu Kurebayashi, Haixia Cheng, Jun Zhou, Safe Khan, Yuan Ping Feng, and Goki Eda, Nat. Electron. **3**, 460 (2020).

¹⁷Shivam N. Kajale, Thanh Nguyen, Nguyen Tuan Hung, Mingda Li, and Deblina Sarkar, Sci. Adv. **10**, eadk8669 (2024).

¹⁸Wen Jin, Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Li Yang, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **15**, 36519 (2023).

¹⁹Wen Jin, Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Li Yang, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, Nanoscale **15**, 5371 (2023).

20Wenkai Zhu, Shihong Xie, Hailong Lin, Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Tiangui Hu, Ziao

Wang, Xiaomin Zhang, Jiahan Xu, Yujing Wang, Yuanhui Zheng, Faguang Yan, Jing Zhang, Lixia Zhao, Amalia Patané, Jia Zhang, Haixin Chang, and Kaiyou Wang, Chin. Phys. Lett. **39**, 128501 (2022).

²¹Hongfei Yin, Pengzhen Zhang, Wen Jin, Boyuan Di, Hao Wu, Gaojie Zhang, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, CrystEngComm **25**, 1339 (2023).

²²Wen Jin, Xinlu Li, Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Xiaokun Wen, Li Yang, Jie Yu, Bichen Xiao, Fei Guo, Wenfeng Zhang, Jia Zhang, and Haixin Chang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2402091 (2024).

²³Xinlu Li, Meng Zhu, Yaoyuan Wang, Fanxing Zheng, Jianting Dong, Ye Zhou, Long You, and Jia Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **122**, 082404 (2023).

²⁴J. E. Lee, S. Yan, S. Oh, J. Hwang, J. D. Denlinger, C. Hwang, H. Lei, S. K. Mo, S. Y. Park, and H. Ryu, Nano Lett. **23**, 11526 (2023).

²⁵Heming Zha, Wei Li, Gaojie Zhang, Wenjing Liu, Liwei Deng, Qi Jiang, Mao Ye, Hao Wu, Haixin Chang, and Shan Qiao, Chin. Phys. Lett. **40**, 087501 (2023).

²⁶Gaojie Zhang, Qingyuan Luo, Xiaokun Wen, Hao Wu, Li Yang, Wen Jin, Luji Li, Jia Zhang, Wenfeng Zhang, Haibo Shu, and Haixin Chang, Chin. Phys. Lett. **40**, 117501 (2023).

²⁷Weihao Li, Wenkai Zhu, Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Shouguo Zhu, Runze Li, Enze Zhang, Xiaomin Zhang, Yongcheng Deng, Jing Zhang, Lixia Zhao, Haixin Chang, and Kaiyou Wang, Adv. Mater. **35**, 2303688 (2023).

²⁸Gaojie Zhang, Jie Yu, Hao Wu, Li Yang, Wen Jin, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **123**, 101901 (2023).

²⁹Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Liang Zhang, Shanfei Zhang, Li Yang, Pengfei Gao, Xiaokun Wen, Wen Jin, Fei Guo, Yuanmiao Xie, Hongda Li, Boran Tao, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, Adv. Sci. **9**, 2103173 (2021).

³⁰Kejia Zhu, Mingjie Wang, Yazhou Deng, Mingliang Tian, Bin Lei, and Xianhui Chen, Phys. Rev. B **109**, 104402 (2024).

³¹Junho Seo, Duck Young Kim, Eun Su An, Kyoo Kim, Gi-Yeop Kim, Soo-Yoon Hwang, Dong Wook Kim, Bo Gyu Jang, Heejung Kim, Gyeongsik Eom, Seung Young Seo, Roland Stania, Matthias Muntwiler, Jinwon Lee, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Youn Jung Jo, Jieun Lee, Byung Il Min, Moon Ho Jo, Han Woong Yeom, Si-Young Choi, Ji Hoon Shim, and Jun Sung Kim, Sci. Adv. **6**, eaay8912 (2020).

³²Michael A. McGuire, Hemant Dixit, Valentino R. Cooper, and Brian C. Sales, Chem. Mater. **27**, 612 (2015).

³³Huiwen Ji, R. A. Stokes, L. D. Alegria, E. C. Blomberg, M. A. Tanatar, Anjan Reijnders, L. M. Schoop, Tian Liang, R. Prozorov, K. S. Burch, N. P. Ong, J. R. Petta, and R. J. Cava, J. Appl. Phys. **114** (2013).

³⁴L. D. Casto, A. J. Clune, M. O. Yokosuk, J. L. Musfeldt, T. J. Williams, H. L. Zhuang, M. W. Lin, K. Xiao, R. G. Hennig, B. C. Sales, J. Q. Yan, and D. Mandrus, APL Mater. **3**, 041515 (2015).

³⁵Meng Huang, Zongwei Ma, Sheng Wang, Si Li, Miao Li, Junxiang Xiang, Ping Liu, Guojing Hu, Zengming Zhang, Zhe Sun, Yalin Lu, Zhigao Sheng, Gong Chen, Yu-Lun Chueh, Shengyuan A. Yang, and Bin Xiang, 2D Mater. **8**, 031003 (2021).

³⁶Bin Chen, JinHu Yang, HangDong Wang, Masaki Imai, Hiroto Ohta, Chishiro Michioka, Kazuyoshi Yoshimura, and MingHu Fang, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 124711 (2013).

³⁷N. Mott, M. Pepper, S. Pollitt, R. H. Wallis, and C. J. Adkins, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. **345**, 169 (1975).

³⁸Gaojie Zhang, Hao Wu, Li Yang, Wen Jin, Bichen Xiao, Wenfeng Zhang, and Haixin Chang, ACS Materials Lett. **6**, 482 (2024).

³⁹Shanshan Liu, Xiang Yuan, Yichao Zou, Yu Sheng, Ce Huang, Enze Zhang, Jiwei Ling, Yanwen Liu, Weiyi Wang, Cheng Zhang, Jin Zou, Kaiyou Wang, and Faxian Xiu, npj 2D Mater. Appl. **1**, 30 (2017).

⁴⁰Anupam Roy, Hema C. P. Movva, Biswarup Satpati, Kyounghwan Kim, Rik Dey, Amritesh Rai, Tanmoy Pramanik, Samaresh Guchhait, Emanuel Tutuc, and Sanjay K. Banerjee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **8**, 7396 (2016).

⁴¹C. Tan, J. Lee, S. G. Jung, T. Park, S. Albarakati, J. Partridge, M. R. Field, D. G. McCulloch, L. Wang, and C. Lee, Nat. Commun. **9**, 1554 (2018).

⁴²Zhaowei Zhang, Jingzhi Shang, Chongyun Jiang, Abdullah Rasmita, Weibo Gao, and Ting Yu, Nano Lett. **19**, 3138 (2019).

⁴³Zhong Lin, Bevin Huang, Kyle Hwangbo, Qianni Jiang, Qi Zhang, Zhaoyu Liu, Zaiyao Fei, Hongyan Lv, Andrew Millis, Michael McGuire, Di Xiao, Jiun-Haw Chu, and Xiaodong Xu, Nano Lett. **21**, 9180 (2021).

⁴⁴Zhansheng Gao, Ming Tang, Junwei Huang, Jiabiao Chen, Wei Ai, Linglu Wu, Xinyue Dong, Yifei Ma, Zheshan Zhang, Lei Zhang, Yaping Du, Huixia Fu, Hongtao Yuan, Jinxiong Wu, and Feng Luo, Nano Res. **15**, 3763 (2021).

⁴⁵Bo Li, Zhong Wan, Cong Wang, Peng Chen, Bevin Huang, Xing Cheng, Qi Qian, Jia Li, Zhengwei Zhang, Guangzhuang Sun, Bei Zhao, Huifang Ma, Ruixia Wu, Zhongming Wei, Yuan Liu, Lei Liao, Yu Ye, Yu Huang, Xiaodong Xu, Xidong Duan, Wei Ji, and Xiangfeng Duan, Nat. Mater. **20**, 818 (2021).

⁴⁶E. C. Stoner and E. P. Wohlfarth, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A **240**, 599 (1948).

⁴⁷S. Ikeda, K. Miura, H. Yamamoto, K. Mizunuma, H. D. Gan, M. Endo, S. Kanai, J. Hayakawa, F. Matsukura, and H. Ohno, Nat. Mater. **9**, 721 (2010).

⁴⁸Xiaoqi Li, Shaoqian Yin, Yupeng Liu, Delin Zhang, Xiaoguang Xu, Jun Miao, and Yong Jiang, Appl. Phys. Express **4**, 043006 (2011).

⁴⁹Yu-Pu Wang, Jin-Jun Qiu, Hui Lu, Rong Ji, Gu-Chang Han, and Kie-Leong Teo, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **47** (2014).

⁵⁰B. M. Ludbrook, B. J. Ruck, and S. Granville, J. Appl. Phys. **120**, 013905 (2016).

⁵¹Jinjun Qiu, Zhaoliang Meng, Yi Yang, Ji Feng Ying, Qi Jia Yap, and Guchang Han, AIP Adv. **6**, 056123 (2016).

FIG. 1. Structural and magnetic characterizations of vdW $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ ($x = 0$ and **0.3) crystals.** (a) Schematic diagrams of the crystal structure for vdW Fe₃GaTe₂. (b) XRD patterns of $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ bulk crystals with different Fe contents. Right panel is an enlarge image of (002) peaks. (c, d) ZFC-FC curves of $Fe₃GaTe₂$ and $Fe_{3,3}GaTe₂$ bulk crystals under out-of-plane magnetic field of 500 Oe. Insets are corresponding firstderivative curves of ZFC curves. (e, f) Isothermal hysteresis loops of Fe3GaTe2 and Fe3.3GaTe² bulk crystals under out-of-plane magnetic field and different temperatures.

FIG. 2. Magneto-transport measurements of ultrathin Fe3+xGaTe² nanosheets. (a) Schematic diagram of the Hall device measurement setup. (b) Temperature-dependent normalized longitudinal resistance of 2D Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets. (c, d) Magnetic field-dependent Hall resistance of 2D Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets under different temperatures. (e) Comparison of T_C between ultrathin Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ and other ultrathin vdW intrinsic ferromagnetic crystals with thickness down to few nanometers^{1,2,9-11,31,42-45}. Higher T_c and thinner thickness are desirable for ultracompact 2D spintronics.

FIG. 3. Room-temperature magnetic anisotropy analysis of ultrathin Fe3+xGaTe² nanosheets. (a, b) Magnetic field-dependent Hall resistance curves of 2D Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ nanosheets under different field angles (θ_B) at 300 K. Insets show the definition of θ_B . (c, d) θ_M as a function of θ_B . The red solid line is a fit to the Stoner– Wohlfarth model, and the black dash line is $\theta_M = \theta_B$ that corresponds to $K_u = 0$. (e) Comparison of room-temperature K_u between ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ and other typical ultrathin ferromagnets with thickness down to few nanometers $^{13,47-51}$.

FIG. 4. First-principles calculations of ultrathin $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ ($x = 0$ and 0.25). (a) Atomic models of bilayer Fe3GaTe2 and two cases of bilayer Fe3.25GaTe2. (b) Calculated magnetic exchange parameters J_1 , J_2 and J_3 in each case of bilayer Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂. The exchange coupling paths of J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 are marked by blue arrows in (a). (c-e) Spinresolved DOS curves of total, Fe d , Ga p , and Te p in each case of bilayer Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂. (f-h) Spin-resolved DOS curves of total Fe1, total Fe2, total Fe3, Fe1 3*d*, Fe2 3*d*, and Te3 3*d* in each case of bilayer Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂. The vertical dashed lines represent the Fermi level.

Supplementary Information

Above-room-temperature intrinsic ferromagnetism in ultrathin van der Waals crystal Fe3+xGaTe²

Gaojie Zhang^{1,2,†}, Jie Yu^{1,2,†}, Hao Wu^{1,2}, Li Yang^{1,2}, Wen Jin^{1,2}, Bichen Xiao^{1,2}, Wenfeng Zhang^{1,2,3}, Haixin Chang^{1,2,3,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Material Processing and Die & Mold Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China.

²Wuhan National High Magnetic Field Center and Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China. ³Shenzhen R&D Center of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518000, China.

† Theses authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors. E-mail: hxchang@hust.edu.cn

This file includes:

Experimental Notes 1-4

Supplementary Figures S1-S5

Supplementary References

Experimental Notes

Note S1. Crystal growth

The Fe₃GaTe₂ crystals were grown by chemical vapor transport (CVT) method. Highpurity Fe powders (99.95%), GaTe powders (99.99%), and Te powders (99.99%) with molar ratio of 3:1:1 were mixed. Subsequently, the mixture and an amount of the transport agent I_2 granules (99.99%) were placed into a long quartz ampoule, then evacuated and sealed. The sealed ampoule was then placed in a two-zone tubular furnace. The temperature of source zone and growth zone were set at 750℃ and 700℃ for 2 weeks, and then cooled to room temperature naturally.

The Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ crystals were grown by self-flux method. High-purity Fe powders (99.95%), Ga granules (99.999%), and Te powders (99.99%) with molar ratio of 1.1:1:2 were placed into a short quartz ampoule, then evacuated and sealed. The sealed ampoule was then placed in a muffle furnace. The temperature was firstly set at 900℃ for 2 days. Subsequently, the temperature was slowly decreased to 780℃ within 120 hours, and then cooled to room temperature naturally.

Note S2. Device fabrication

A six-terminal Hall electrode with Cr/Au $(5/5)$ was prepared on a SiO₂/Si substrate by laser direct writing system, electron beam evaporation, and lift-off process. Then, exfoliated $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ and hBN nanosheets were sequentially dry-transferred to the Hall electrodes. The exfoliation and transfer processes were done in an Ar-filled glove box (H₂O, O₂ \leq 0.1 ppm) to avoid oxidation.

Note S3. Characterizations

The phase and structure were analyzed by powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD, XRD-7000, SHIMADZU) with the Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm). The composition was tested by electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA, 8050G, Shimadzu). The thickness was tested by atomic force microscope (AFM, Dimension EDGE, Bruker). The magnetic property and magneto-transport measurements were tested by physical property measurement system (PPMS, DynaCool, Quantum Design), and each data point was tested 200 and 25 times and then averaged, respectively.

Note S4. First-principles calculations

The density functional theory (DFT)-based first-principles calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package $(VASP)^1$ with the Projector Augmented Wave (PAW) method². The local density approximation $(LDA)^{3,4}$ to the exchangecorrelation function was used, which is more suitable for describing the magnetic properties of $Fe₃GaTe₂^{5,6}$. The cut-off energy of plane wave was set at 450 eV and the convergence criteria for energy and forces were set to 10^{-6} eV and 0.001 eVÅ⁻¹. The interactions between the slabs were eliminated by setting a 20 Å vacuum layer along the z-axis. Four sublattices $(2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell) were employed to construct possible magnetic configurations and the Brillouin zone was sampled by a Gamma-centered $9 \times$ 9×1 k-point Monkhorst-Pack mesh, which was expanded to $18 \times 18 \times 1$ for the density of states (DOS) calculation. Also, the LSDA plus Hubbard U (LSDA + U) method⁷ was employed, and we set the U with 1.5 eV for the Fe 3d electrons.

Supplementary Figures

FIG. S1. Composition analysis of vdW Fe3+xGaTe² crystals with different Fe contents by EPMA. (a, b) EPMA images of fresh cleavage $Fe_{3+x}GaTe_2$ crystal surface. The red dots indicate the testing micro-zones. (c, d) Typical EDS spectra on the fresh cleavage surface of $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals. (e) Elemental percentages collected at three different micro-zones on the fresh cleavage surface of $Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂$ crystals.

FIG. S2. Comparison of M^S with different Fe contents, temperatures, and ferromagnetic vdW bulk crystals. (a) Temperature-dependent M_S extracted from M-B curves of vdW bulk Fe₃GaTe₂ and Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂ crystals. The M_S is extracted at 3 T in each M-B curve. (b) Comparison of T_c and M_s of vdW intrinsic ferromagnetic bulk crystals $8-15$ and magnetic-atom-intercalated vdW ferromagnetic bulk crystals (cross symbols) $16-19$.

FIG. S3. Optical images and AFM profile height curves of two devices based on ultrathin Fe_{3+x}GaTe₂ nanosheets. (a) Fe₃GaTe₂. (b) Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂. The red dash lines in optical images represent the crystal outline.

FIG. S4. Rxx measured in two ultrathin Fe3+xGaTe² nanosheets plotted on a logarithmic (log) scale as functions of $T^{-1/3}$ **. (a)** $Fe₃GaTe₂$ **. (b)** $Fe_{3.3}GaTe₂$ **. The red** linear fitting curves are based on the 2D Mott VRH model.

FIG. S5. Temperature-dependent H^C from AHE curves of 4-nm Fe3GaTe² and 3 nm Fe3.3GaTe² nanosheets.

Supplementary References

¹G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11169 (1996).

²G. Kresse and D Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999).

³D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Alder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 566 (1980).

4 J. P. Perdew and Alex Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981).

⁵Xinlu Li, Meng Zhu, Yaoyuan Wang, Fanxing Zheng, Jianting Dong, Ye Zhou, Long You, and Jia Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **122**, 082404 (2023).

⁶Alberto M. Ruiz, Dorye L. Esteras, Diego López-Alcalá, and José J. Baldoví, Nano Lett. **24**, 7886 (2024).

⁷VI. Anisimov, F. Aryasetiawan, and A I Lichtenstein, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 767 (1997).

8 Junho Seo, Duck Young Kim, Eun Su An, Kyoo Kim, Gi-Yeop Kim, Soo-Yoon Hwang, Dong Wook Kim, Bo Gyu Jang, Heejung Kim, Gyeongsik Eom, Seung Young Seo, Roland Stania, Matthias Muntwiler, Jinwon Lee, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Youn Jung Jo, Jieun Lee, Byung Il Min, Moon Ho Jo, Han Woong Yeom, Si-Young Choi, Ji Hoon Shim, and Jun Sung Kim, Sci. Adv. **6**, eaay8912 (2020).

⁹L. D. Casto, A. J. Clune, M. O. Yokosuk, J. L. Musfeldt, T. J. Williams, H. L. Zhuang, M. W. Lin, K. Xiao, R. G. Hennig, B. C. Sales, J. Q. Yan, and D. Mandrus, APL Mater. **3**, 041515 (2015).

¹⁰Meng Huang, Zongwei Ma, Sheng Wang, Si Li, Miao Li, Junxiang Xiang, Ping Liu, Guojing Hu, Zengming Zhang, Zhe Sun, Yalin Lu, Zhigao Sheng, Gong Chen, Yu-Lun Chueh, Shengyuan A. Yang, and Bin Xiang, 2D Mater. **8**, 031003 (2021).

¹¹Andrew F. May, Dmitry Ovchinnikov, Qiang Zheng, Raphael Hermann, Stuart Calder, Bevin Huang, Zaiyao Fei, Yaohua Liu, Xiaodong Xu, and Michael A. McGuire, ACS Nano **13**, 4436 (2019).

¹²Kyoo Kim, Junho Seo, Eunwoo Lee, K. T. Ko, B. S. Kim, Bo Gyu Jang, Jong Mok Ok, Jinwon Lee, Youn Jung Jo, Woun Kang, Ji Hoon Shim, C. Kim, Han Woong Yeom, Byung Il Min, Bohm-Jung Yang, and Jun Sung Kim, Nat. Mater. **17**, 794 (2018).

13Huiwen Ji, R. A. Stokes, L. D. Alegria, E. C. Blomberg, M. A. Tanatar, Anjan

Reijnders, L. M. Schoop, Tian Liang, R. Prozorov, K. S. Burch, N. P. Ong, J. R. Petta, and R. J. Cava, J. Appl. Phys. **114** (2013).

¹⁴Michael A. McGuire, Hemant Dixit, Valentino R. Cooper, and Brian C. Sales, Chem. Mater. **27**, 612 (2015).

¹⁵Bin Chen, JinHu Yang, HangDong Wang, Masaki Imai, Hiroto Ohta, Chishiro Michioka, Kazuyoshi Yoshimura, and MingHu Fang, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 124711 (2013).

¹⁶J. G. Checkelsky, Minhyea Lee, E. Morosan, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 014433 (2008).

¹⁷Hongwei Zhang, Wensen Wei, Guolin Zheng, Jianwei Lu, Min Wu, Xiangde Zhu, Jin Tang, Wei Ning, Yuyan Han, Langsheng Ling, Jiyong Yang, Wenshuai Gao, Yongliang Qin, and Mingliang Tian, Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 072402 (2018).

¹⁸Yuji Yamasaki, Rai Moriya, Miho Arai, Satoru Masubuchi, Sunseng Pyon, Tsuyoshi Tamegai, Keiji Ueno, and Tomoki Machida, 2D Mater. **4**, 041007 (2017).

¹⁹T. Miyadai, K. Kikuchi, H. Kondo, S. Sakka, M. Arai, and Y. Ishikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **52**, 1394 (1983).