

REMARKS ON THE GRIFFITHS INFINITESIMAL INVARIANT OF ALGEBRAIC CURVES

HAOHUA DENG

Abstract

We study two canonically defined admissible normal functions on the moduli space of smooth genus 4 algebraic curves including the Ceresa normal function. In particular, we study the vanishing criteria for the Griffiths infinitesimal invariants of both normal functions over a specific family of curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Backgrounds. Let C be a non-hyperelliptic smooth algebraic curve of genus $g \geq 3$. In [Cer83] Ceresa showed there is a canonically defined homologous algebraic cycle in $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(C))$ which is not algebraically trivial, verifies the non-triviality of the corresponding Griffiths group. This cycle is known as Ceresa cycle.

Properties of Ceresa cycle is a board and active research topic. In this article we focus on the complex geometric and Hodge theoretic aspect. In particular, we focus on the Griffiths' Abel-Jacobi map (3.18) associated to the Ceresa cycle. When the curve varies in a family, a natural tool in Hodge theory to study deformation of the Ceresa cycle is the associated admissible normal function, which we denoted as ν_c .

Given a family of curves over a quasi-projective base, we are particularly interested in the positive-dimensional locus over which the Ceresa cycle is torsion. Such locus must be contained in the positive-dimensional torsion locus of ν_c which is shown to be algebraic by [KT24]. To obtain a better picture of this locus, One way is to study the Griffiths infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_c$ associated to the normal function ν_c . $\delta\nu$ is intuitively the "derivative" of normal functions and could be study in various ways. For example, in [CP95] Collino-Pirola studied $\delta\nu_c$ over the moduli of genus 3 curves by using the adjunction morphism and produced several interesting results.

In this paper we are going to apply Collino-Pirola's adjunction formula to $\delta\nu_c$ for the genus 4 case. Firstly, over all rank 1 deformations we search vanishing criteria

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AT DUKE UNIVERSITY, 120 SCIENCE DRIVE, 117
PHYSICS BUILDING, CAMPUS BOX 90320, DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA, 27708-0320

E-mail address: haohua.deng@duke.edu.

Date: August 6, 2024.

for $\delta\nu_c$. Secondly, we study a specific family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of genus 4 curves. We will show $\delta\nu_c$ has maximal rank (See Section 3 for definitions) over this family, and moreover give nice control on the locus on which ν_c drops rank.

1.2. Main results. The first main result of this paper is to generalize part of Collino-Pirola's results to the genus 4 case. Besides the Ceresa normal function ν_c , there is another canonical normal function associated to family of genus 4 curves defined by Griffiths in [Gri83, Sec. 6], which we denote as ν_0 ¹.

Theorem 1.1. *For a general non-hyperelliptic genus 4 curve C , $\delta\nu_0$ and $\delta\nu_c$ have the same vanishing criteria for rank 1 deformations of C as both of them vanish exactly along the Schiffer variations.*

The main strategy for the proof is to find relations between the Griffiths infinitesimal invariants and the canonical embedding. In particular, we are able to realize $\delta\nu_c$ as a certain projective hyperplane cutting off the canonical curve, generalize a similar result of [CP95, Thm 4.2.4] for the genus 3 case.

While coming to general first-order deformations, the two normal functions ν_0 and ν_c behave very differently. In the second part of the paper we study a certain family of genus 4 curves which can be realized as a triple cover of the projective line branched over 6 double points. We denote this family of curves as $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ (See Section 5). Our second main theorem is about the behaviors of ν_0 and ν_c over this family:

Theorem 1.2. *For the family of trigonal genus 4 curves $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$, the normal function ν_0 as well as its Griffiths infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_0$ vanishes identically while the Ceresa normal function ν_c has maximal rank. Moreover, if $X \subset B$ is a subvariety on which ν_c is locally constant, then $\dim(X) \leq 1$ and the tangent bundle TX must be contained in a certain algebraic subbundle of TB .*

The equation defining the subbundle of TB controlling TX is found by explicitly calculating the Kodaira-Spencer classes of the family, see Theorem 5.5.

To show ν_c has maximal rank over this family, we need an alternative description on the rank of normal function which is the third main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 6.4). *If a normal function ν underlying a variation of Hodge structures $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ has co-rank r_c , then there is a Zariski open subset $B^\circ \subset B$ foliated by r_c -dimensional algebraic subvarieties on each of which ν is locally constant.*

¹Strictly speaking, ν_0 is only well-defined over $\mathcal{M}_4[2]$, the moduli space with an additional level-2 structure, but $\delta\nu_0$ is well-defined for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_4$.

The proof of algebraicity of these r_c -dimensional submanifolds requires o-minimal geometry and its application in Hodge theory. We give a brief survey in Appendix 6. Next we will consider the algebraic monodromy group of the variation over each of these subvarieties. Theorem 1.2 will be concluded from a Zariski density argument.

1.3. Some related works. Normal functions over the moduli space of (stable) curves including the Ceresa normal function has been extensively studied, we refer readers to [Hai13] for a comprehensive overview.

Rank of the Ceresa normal function ν_c over \mathcal{M}_g for $g \geq 3$ was proven to be maximal, independently by Gao-Zhang [GZ24] and Hain [Hai24]. As a generalization of [CP95], Pirola-Zucconi showed in [PZ03] that over a dimension- $\geq 2g - 1$ subvariety of \mathcal{M}_g , the Griffiths infinitesimal invariant of ν_c must not vanish unless the subvariety is the hyperelliptic locus.

One may also be interested in finding specific families of curves on which ν_c drops rank, including those (positive-dimensional) families on which the Ceresa cycle itself is torsion. Some known examples include [Lat23], [QZ24]. Relation between the Ceresa cycle themselves and their Abel-Jacobi image is also an interesting topic, see for example [LS24].

The author is aware of the preprint [GZ24] which contains some results similar to the Appendix 6. In particular, the maximal rank part of Theorem 1.2 can also be concluded from [GZ24, Cor. 1.8].

Acknowledgement. The author sincerely appreciates Richard Hain for introducing the topic and sharing numerous motivating ideas. The author also thanks Matt Kerr and Colleen Robles for related discussions. Part of this work was motivated during the author's attendance at the ICERM conference "The Ceresa Cycle in Arithmetic and Geometry". The author thanks the organizers for their effort and generous support.

2. BASIC DEFORMATION THEORY

Let C be a smooth algebraic curve of genus g which is not hyperelliptic. Recall that its first-order deformation space is $H^1(C, T_C) \simeq H^0(C, \Omega_C^{\otimes 2})^\vee$, which has dimension $3g - 3$.

Consider Noether's map:

$$(2.1) \quad \rho : H^1(C, T_C) \rightarrow \{H^0(C, \Omega_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, O_C)\} \simeq \text{Sym}^2 H^{0,1}(C)$$

which is injective by Noether's theorem. For any $\xi \in H^1(C, T_C)$, we say ξ has rank r if its image under Noether's map (2.1) has rank r . We also note that any rank 1 transformation has the form $\bar{w} \cdot \bar{w}$ for some $\bar{w} \in H^{0,1}(C)$, and any rank 2 transformation has the form $\bar{w}_1 \cdot \bar{w}_2$ for independent $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in H^{0,1}(C)$.

Fix an orthonormal basis $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)$ of $H^0(C, O_C) \simeq H^{1,0}(C)$. The canonical embedding of C is read as:

$$(2.2) \quad C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{g-1}, \quad p \rightarrow [\omega_1(p) : \dots : \omega_g(p)].$$

Consider the 2-Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^{0,1}(C))$, the image may be identified with the projective space of rank 1 transformations in $\text{Sym}^2 H^{0,1}(C)$.

Definition 2.1. We say a rank 1 transformation $\xi_p \in \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^{0,1}(C))$ is a Schiffer variation at $p \in C$ if ξ_p is the Veronese image of $p \in C$.

Proposition 2.2. *A rank 1 transformation ξ is a Schiffer variation at $p \in C$ if and only if $p \in C$ is a base point of $W_\xi := \text{Ker}(\xi) \leq H^{1,0}(C)$.*

In general, for an effective divisor D on C , we say $\xi \in H^1(C, T_C) \simeq H^0(C, \Omega_C^{\otimes 2})^\vee$ is supported on D if ξ annihilates $H^0(C, \Omega_C^{\otimes 2}(-D))$. A Schiffer variation ξ_p is thus supported on $D = [p]$.

If $g \geq 4$, $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C)) \subset \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^{0,1}(C))$ is an intersection of hyperplanes. These hyperplanes cut off the Veronese image of $\mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C))$ along a set of quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C))$ containing the canonical image of C . We arrive at Griffiths' famous theorem:

Theorem 2.3 (Griffiths). *For a non-hyperelliptic curve C , every rank 1 transformation in $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C))$ is a Schiffer variation if and only if C is cut off by quadric hypersurfaces.*

When $g = 4$, it is well-known that $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the intersection of a quadric Q and a cubic V . In this case not every rank 1 transformation is a Schiffer variation.

3. NORMAL FUNCTIONS AND GRIFFITHS INFINITESIMAL INVARIANTS

3.1. Basic theory of normal functions. Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ be an integral polarized variation of Hodge structures (\mathbb{Z} -PVHS) of weight -1 with type $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, Q, h^{p,q})$ defined over a quasi-projective base S . The intermediate Jacobian associated to $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ is $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) := \frac{\mathcal{V}_C}{\mathcal{F}^0 \mathcal{V}_C + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$. We also define

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{J}_h(\mathcal{V}) := \ker\{\bar{\nabla} : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{V}}{\mathcal{F}^0} \otimes \Omega_S^1\}$$

as the horizontal part of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V})$.

Definition 3.1. A normal function ν associated to $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ is a section of $\mathcal{J}_h(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow S$.

In general, we only care about normal functions which are **admissible**. The precise definition can be found at for example [Sai96]. Briefly speaking, admissibility means the existence of relative monodromy weight filtrations and nilpotent orbit theorem ([Sch73]) along the boundary strata.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective varieties of dimension n and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a subvariety of codimension r such that for each $s \in S$, $\mathcal{Z}_s := \mathcal{Z} \cap X_s \in \text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^r(X_s)$. For each $s \in S$ the Griffiths Abel-Jacobi map associates Z_s an element in $J(H_s) := \frac{H_s(\mathbb{C})}{F^0 H_s + H_s(\mathbb{Z})}$ where $H_s := H^{2n-2r+1}(X_s)[n-r+1]$ defined as follows.

Use the identification:

$$(3.2) \quad J(H_s) := \frac{H_s(\mathbb{C})}{F^0 H_s + H_s(\mathbb{Z})} \cong \frac{(F^0 H_s)^\vee}{H_s(\mathbb{Z})},$$

there is the defining formula

$$(3.3) \quad \nu(\omega(s)) = \int_{\Gamma_s} \omega_s$$

where $\omega(s) \in F^0 H_s$ and $\Gamma_s \subset X_s$ is a $(2n-2r+1)$ -chain satisfies $\partial \Gamma_s = \mathcal{Z}_s$, both vary smoothly locally around s .

By patching together all intermediate Jacobians $J(H_s)$ and the Abel-Jacobi image given by Z_s , we obtain a normal function ν as a section of $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow S$. Such a normal function is said to be of geometric origin.

Theorem 3.2 (Voisin). *Normal functions of geometric origins are admissible.*

3.2. The rank of normal function. In this subsection we fix a \mathbb{Z} -PVHS $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ of weight -1 and an admissible normal function $\nu \in H^0(S, \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}))$. For any $s \in S$, we have the (split) exact sequence:

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_s/F^0 V_s \rightarrow T_{\nu(s)} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow T_s S \rightarrow 0$$

Let π_v be the natural projection $T_{\nu(s)} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow V_s/F^0 V_s$.

Definition 3.3. The rank of ν at a point $s \in S$ is defined to be the rank of the tangent map:

$$(3.5) \quad \pi_v \circ d\nu|_s : T_s S \rightarrow T_{\nu(s)} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow V_s/F^0 V_s.$$

The rank of ν is defined to be the rank of ν at a very general point $s \in S$.

Remark 3.4. By using the identification

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

as C^∞ -manifolds, we may also define the rank of ν at $s \in S$ by half of the rank of the real tangent map:

$$(3.7) \quad \pi_v \circ d\nu_{\mathbb{R}}|_s : (T_s S)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow T_{\nu(s)} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow V_{s, \mathbb{R}}.$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence from the definition:

Lemma 3.5. *ν is locally constant if and only if it has rank 0. In this case for any $s \in S$, there exists a neighborhood $s \in U \subset S$ such that $\nu(U) \subset \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V})$ admits a lift in $\Gamma^\nabla(U, \mathcal{V})$, the ∇ -flat sections of \mathcal{V} on U .*

There will be more discussions about the normal function rank in Section 6.

3.3. The Griffiths infinitesimal invariant. Associated to a normal function ν is a differential invariant of ν studied by Griffiths [Gri83], denoted as $\tilde{\delta}\nu$ which is described as follows.

For $s \in S$, choose any local lift v of ν around s , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla v \in \text{Ker}\{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_s^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_s^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-2}\}.$$

Consider the Koszul complex:

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{F}^0 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_s^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_s^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-2},$$

since different choices of v are differed by elements in \mathcal{F}^0 and ∇ -flat sections, $\tilde{\delta}\nu_s$ gives a well-defined element in the Koszul cohomology group:

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{\delta}\nu_s \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_s := \frac{\text{Ker}\{\Omega_s^1 \otimes F_s^{-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_s^2 \otimes F_s^{-2}\}}{\text{Img}\{F_s^0 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_s^1 \otimes F_s^{-1}\}}$$

This is usually called the (full) Griffiths infinitesimal invariant of ν at $s \in S$.

In practice a weaker invariant called the first Griffiths infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu$ is more suitable for calculation. Consider the graded part of (3.9):

$$(3.11) \quad H_s^{0,-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}} \Omega_s^1 \otimes H_s^{-1,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}} \Omega_s^2 \otimes H_s^{-2,1},$$

The first Griffiths infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_s$ gives a well-defined element in:

$$(3.12) \quad \delta\nu_s \in \mathbb{H}_s := \frac{\text{Ker}\{\Omega_s^1 \otimes H_s^{-1,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}} \Omega_s^2 \otimes H_s^{-2,1}\}}{\text{Img}\{H_s^{0,-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}} \Omega_s^1 \otimes H_s^{-1,0}\}}.$$

By considering the dual complex of (3.11):

$$(3.13) \quad \wedge^2 T_s \otimes H_s^{1,-2} \rightarrow T_s \otimes H_s^{0,-1} \rightarrow H_s^{-1,0},$$

$\bar{\delta}\nu_s$ can also be seen as a linear function on:

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{H}_s^\vee := \frac{\text{Ker}\{T_s \otimes H_s^{0,-1} \rightarrow H_s^{-1,0}\}}{\text{Img}\{\wedge^2 T_s \otimes H_s^{1,-2} \rightarrow T_s \otimes H_s^{0,-1}\}}$$

given by:

$$(3.15) \quad \delta\nu_s(\sum \xi_i \otimes w_i) = \sum \langle \nabla_{\xi_i} v, w_i \rangle$$

where v is any local lift of ν around s .

The Griffiths infinitesimal invariant is a powerful tool to study the local behavior of a normal function. In particular, we have:

Theorem 3.6 ([Gri83], Sec. 6(a)). *The normal function ν is locally constant, or say has rank 0, if and only if $\tilde{\delta}\nu_s = 0$ at every $s \in S$.*

Remark 3.7. It is clear that $\delta\nu = 0$ is a necessary but in general not sufficient condition for $\tilde{\delta}\nu = 0$. To fill the gap between them, in [Gre89] Mark Green defined a sequence of infinitesimal invariants $\delta\nu = \delta_1\nu, \delta_2\nu, \dots, \delta_k\nu, \dots$ such that the vanishing of all of $\delta_k\nu$ holds if and only if $\tilde{\delta}\nu = 0$. We will not use these invariants in the rest of the paper.

For the rest of this paper, by the Griffiths infinitesimal invariant we mean the first Griffiths infinitesimal invariant.

3.4. Infinitesimal invariant the Ceresa cycle normal function. Fix a smooth genus g algebraic curve C and a marked point $p_0 \in C$. The image of Abel-Jacobi map $C \rightarrow J(C)$, $p \rightarrow \int_{p_0}^p$ in $J(C)$ gives an algebraic cycle $[C] - [C^-] \in Z^{g-1}(J(C))$. In [Cer83] Ceresa showed for a general curve C ,

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \neq [C] - [C^-] \in \text{Griff}^{g-1}(J(C)),$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \text{Griff}^{g-1}(J(C)) := \frac{\text{Ch}_{\text{hom}}^{g-1}(J(C))}{\text{Ch}_{\text{alg}}^{g-1}(J(C))}$$

is the Griffiths group. The Griffiths Abel-Jacobi map (3.3) gives:

$$(3.18) \quad \text{AJ}([C] - [C^-]) \in J^{g-1}(J(C)) \simeq \frac{F^2 H^3(J(C))^\vee}{H_3(J(C), \mathbb{Z})}.$$

The image depends on the base point $p_0 \in C$, but its projection onto the primitive factor

$$(3.19) \quad PJ^{g-1}(J(C)) := \frac{F^2 PH^3(J(C), C)^\vee}{H_3(J(C), \mathbb{Z})}$$

does not ([CP95], Prop. 2.2.1). Therefore, associated to the universal curve of genus g : $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ is a \mathbb{Z} -PVHS $\wedge_0^3 \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ with fibers being the primitive cohomology $PH^3(J(C), C)(2)$ and associated admissible normal function ν_c given by the Ceresa cycle. By the existence of the Kuranishi family, $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ is well-defined and called the Griffiths infinitesimal invariant of C .

More precisely, the family of intermediate Jacobians $\mathcal{PJ} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ has fiber at C given by (3.19). Therefore $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ gives a linear function on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_s$ defined by equation (3.14).

We introduce a result given by Collino-Pirola which is useful on computing the infinitesimal invariant. Let $\xi \in H^1(C, T_C)$ be a transformation with $\dim(W_\xi) \geq 2$, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H^{1,0}(C)$ are 2 independent forms annihilated by ξ .

Theorem 3.8 ([CP95], Sec. 2). $\delta\nu_{c,C}(\xi \otimes \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \overline{\omega}) = 0$ for any $\omega \in H^{1,0}(C)$ orthogonal to $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$ if and only if ξ is supported on the base locus of $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$.

The proof relies on the construction of the adjunction map defined in [CP95], we will not cover it in details here.

4. THE GENUS FOUR CASE

We will be focusing on the case $g = 4$ in the rest of the paper. It is well-known that for a general smooth genus 4 curve C , its canonical embedding into $\mathbb{P}^{g-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ is the intersection of a unique quadric Q and a cubic V well-defined up to cubics generated by Q .

To the family \mathcal{M}_4 of smooth genus 4 curves, let $\mathcal{M}_{4,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4$ be the universal curve and $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4$ be the associated PVHS. There is a family of 0-cycles defined up to a sign which leads to an admissible normal function ν_0 underlying $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4[2]$, and hence a well-defined infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_0$ for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_4$.

4.1. The normal function ν_0 . Since any quadric hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the two rulings l_1, l_2 of Q cut off the canonical curve $C = Q \cap V$ at the divisor

$$(4.1) \quad \pm D_0(C) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} [p_i] - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} [q_i]$$

which is homologous to zero and whose image in $\text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^1(C)$ is well-defined up to a sign. Therefore, ν_0 is just the normal function associated to this family of cycles:

$$(4.2) \quad \nu_0 : \mathcal{M}_4[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{V}}{\mathcal{F}^0\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}}.$$

In [Gri83] Griffiths studied the infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_0$ over \mathcal{M}_4 . Fix a non-hyperelliptic curve C , we have $T_C\mathcal{M}_4 \simeq H^1(C, T_C)$ via the Kodaira-Spencer map. Consider the set of rank 1 deformations of C :

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta, \Delta := \text{Spec}\left\{\frac{\mathbb{C}(\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2}\right\}, \mathcal{C}_0 \simeq C.$$

Such that the image of the Kodaira-Spencer class $\xi \in H^1(C, T_C)$ in $\text{Hom}(H^{1,0}, H^{0,1})$ has rank 1. Using the identification introduced in the beginning of Sec. 2, this set may be identified with the quadric hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

Theorem 4.1 ([Gri83]). *$\delta\nu_0|_{\Delta}$ vanishes if and only if $\xi \in C = Q \cap V$, equivalently if and only if ξ is a Schiffer variation.*

Indeed, he showed that $\delta\nu_0$ defines an element in $H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(3))$ whose vanishing locus is exactly the canonical curve C .

4.2. The Ceresa normal function ν_c . In this subsection we consider the Ceresa normal function ν_c over \mathcal{M}_4 and its infinitesimal invariant $\delta\nu_c$. In particular, we show $\delta\nu_c$ and $\delta\nu_0$ has the same vanishing locus on rank 1 deformations.

Let $\mathcal{M}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4$ be the period map where \mathcal{A}_4 is the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension 4. The image of \mathcal{M}_4 is known as the Jacobian divisor of \mathcal{A}_4 . Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_4$ and $T_C \mathcal{A}_4 \simeq \text{Hom}(H^{1,0}(C), H^{0,1}(C))$. Denote $\{P^{p,q}, p+q=-1\}$ as the Hodge decomposition of $PH^3(J(C), C)[2]$. We have the commutative diagram:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \wedge^2 T_C \mathcal{M}_4 \otimes P^{1,-2} & \longrightarrow & T_C \mathcal{M}_4 \otimes P^{0,-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{-1,0} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \wedge^2 T_C \mathcal{A}_4 \otimes P^{1,-2} & \longrightarrow & T_C \mathcal{A}_4 \otimes P^{0,-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{-1,0}. \end{array}$$

Following the computation in [Nor93, Sec. 7], the bottom row of (4.3) is exact, while the top row has the cohomology group \mathbb{H}_C^\vee defined in (3.14) (We regard the curve C as a point in \mathcal{M}_4).

Let $\mathcal{K} := \text{Ker}\{T_C \mathcal{M}_4 \otimes P^{1,-2} \rightarrow P^{0,-1}\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{K} \simeq \text{Sym}^3(H^{0,1}(C))$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ of $H^{1,0}(C)$. The equivalence is given on decomposable tensors by

$$(4.4) \quad \overline{d\omega_1} \cdot \overline{d\omega_2} \cdot \overline{d\omega_3} \in \text{Sym}^3(H^{0,1}(C)) \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \overline{d\omega_{\sigma(1)}} \cdot \overline{d\omega_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \star \omega_{\sigma(3)} \in \mathcal{K}$$

where $\star \omega$ is the Hodge-star operator.

Take any $0 \neq \eta \in T_C \mathcal{A}_4 - T_C \mathcal{M}_4$. There is a well-defined map $\rho_\eta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_C^\vee$ defined by:

$$(4.5) \quad \sum \xi_i \otimes \omega_i \in \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\rho_\eta} \sum \xi_i \otimes \nabla_\eta \omega_i \in \mathbb{H}_C^\vee.$$

Since different choice of η are differed by a constant multiple or an element in $T_C \mathcal{M}_4$, the map ρ_η with image in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}_C^\vee$ is canonically defined. By pulling back we may realize $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ as a linear functional on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathbb{P}\text{Sym}^3(H^{0,1}(C))$.

Notice that for any rank 1 transformation $\xi = \overline{d\omega} \cdot \overline{d\omega}$, take $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ as an orthonormal basis of W_ξ , the maps (4.4) and (4.5) are read as:

$$(4.6) \quad \overline{d\omega} \cdot \overline{d\omega} \cdot \overline{d\omega} \rightarrow \overline{d\omega} \cdot \overline{d\omega} \otimes \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 \xrightarrow{\rho_\eta} \sum \overline{d\omega} \cdot \overline{d\omega} \otimes \nabla_\eta(\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3).$$

The following proposition together with Theorem 4.1 implies the main Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.2. *For a general genus 4 curve C , $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ vanishes on the image of a rank 1 deformation ξ under (4.6) if and only if $\xi = \xi_p$ is a Schiffer variation.*

Proof. We first show $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ does not vanish identically on the Veronese image of $\mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C))$. It suffices to find one curve C and one rank 1 deformation $\xi \in H^1(C, T_C)$ such that $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ does not vanish on the image of ξ under (4.6).

We consider the same example as [Gri83, Sec. 6(d)]. Take C and $p \in C$ satisfy that the two rulings l_1, l_2 of Q at p have triple and double tangent point with C at p respectively. The plane generated by l_1, l_2 in $\mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C))$ represents a holomorphic

form $\omega_0 \in H^0(C, \Omega_C(3p))$. Take another two forms ω_1, ω_2 such that $\omega_0 \cap \omega_i = l_i$, and $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2$ are mutually orthogonal. Take $q \in \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 - \omega_0$. The base locus of $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$ is $3[p]$ while ξ_q is not supported on $3[p]$ as it does not annihilate ω_0 . Theorem 3.8 implies $\delta\nu_{c,C}(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \bar{\zeta})$ does not vanish for all $\zeta \in H^{1,0}(C)$.

It remains to show for any $p \in C$ and the corresponding Schiffer variation $\xi_p \in \mathbb{P}H^1(C, T_C)$, $\delta\nu_{c,C}$ vanishes on the image of ξ_p under (4.6), but this follows the fact $\delta\nu_{c,C}(\xi_p \otimes \sigma_i \wedge \sigma_j \wedge \nabla_\eta \sigma_k)$ vanishes as p is a base point of $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \rangle$. \square

5. A FAMILY OF SPECIAL TRIGONAL CURVES

Denote \mathbb{P} as the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}[1 : 1 : 2]$. Consider the family of smooth projective curves

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{C} := \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, [X : Z : Y]) \in B \times \mathbb{P} \mid Y^3 = (X^3 - Z^3) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} (X - u_j Z)\},$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad B := (\mathbb{P}^1)^3 - \cup_{i,j} \{u_i = u_j\} - \cup_i \{u_i^3 = 1\}.$$

Hence B is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{0,6}$, the moduli space of 6 distinct marked points on \mathbb{P}^1 up to projective equivalence. For $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in B$, the curve C_u has affine equation

$$(5.3) \quad C_u : \overline{\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^3 = (x^3 - 1) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} (x - u_j)\}} \subset \mathbb{P}.$$

It is clear C_u admits a 3 to 1 covering map to \mathbb{P}^1 branched along 6 double points. By Riemann-Hurwitz, it has genus 4. Moreover it admits a degree 3-automorphism $\rho : y \rightarrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} y$.

Remark 5.1. By [Loo24], the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ parametrizes curves admitting a g_3^1 whose discriminant divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 is the sum of 6 (distinct) double points.

To show the main Theorem 1.2, we independently check the behaviors of $\delta\nu_0$ and $\delta\nu_c$ over the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$.

5.1. Rationally triviality of the cycle D_0 . For $u \in B$ there is a canonical basis of $H^0(C_u, \Omega_{C_u}^1)$ compatible with the eigenspace decomposition of the induced action from ρ :

$$(5.4) \quad H^0(C_u, \Omega_{C_u}^1) = \left\langle \frac{dx}{y} \right\rangle_{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}} \oplus \left\langle \frac{dx}{y^2}, \frac{xdx}{y^2}, \frac{x^2 dx}{y^2} \right\rangle_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}} =: \langle \omega_0 \rangle \oplus \langle \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle.$$

Take this ordered basis and consider the corresponding canonical embedding $C_u \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{P}(H^{0,1}(C_u)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$, the canonical image $\phi(C_u)$ lies on the quadric surface

$$(5.5) \quad Q := \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid z_2^2 - z_1 z_3 = 0\}.$$

The two rulings are given by the lines:

$$(5.6) \quad L(t_1) := \{z_2 + z_1 = t_1(z_3 - z_1)\} \cap \{z_1 = t_1(z_2 - z_1)\}$$

$$(5.7) \quad L(t_2) := \{z_2 + z_1 = t_2 z_1\} \cap \{z_3 - z_1 = t_2(z_2 - z_1)\}$$

for $t_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Take t_1, t_2 such that

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{t_1} + 1 = t_2 - 1,$$

it follows that $[L(t_1) \cap C_u] = [L(t_2) \cap C_u]$. Hence for any $u \in B$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the cycle $D_0(C_u) = [L(t_1) \cap C_u] - [L(t_2) \cap C_u]$ on C_u is rationally trivial².

5.2. The Ceresa cycle. To study the Ceresa normal function of the family, we need to compute the explicit Kodaira-Spencer image of $T_u B$. Clearly

$$(5.9) \quad T_u B = \{a_1 \partial_1 + a_2 \partial_2 + a_3 \partial_3, \partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}, a_j \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3.$$

To find the Kodaira-Spencer image of ∂_j , we notice that

$$(5.10) \quad \partial_j \omega_0 = \frac{1}{3(x-u_j)} \omega_0,$$

$$(5.11) \quad \partial_j \omega_k = \frac{2}{3(x-u_j)} \omega_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3.$$

It follows that

$$(5.12) \quad \partial_j(\omega_2 - u_j \omega_1) = \frac{2}{3(x-u_j)} \omega_1,$$

$$(5.13) \quad \partial_j(\omega_3 - u_j \omega_2) = \frac{2}{3(x-u_j)} \omega_2$$

are both holomorphic, therefore $\langle \omega_2 - u_j \omega_1, \omega_3 - u_j \omega_2 \rangle$ is annihilated by the Kodaira-Spencer class of ∂_j .

Moreover, it is clear for any $\xi \in T_u B$,

$$(5.14) \quad \nabla_\xi H^0(C_u, \Omega_{C_u}^1)_{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}} \subset H^1(C_u, \mathcal{O}_{C_u})_{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}} = \langle \overline{\omega_0} \rangle$$

and similarly

$$(5.15) \quad \nabla_\xi H^0(C_u, \Omega_{C_u}^1)_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}} = \nabla_\xi \langle \omega_0 \rangle \subset H^1(C_u, \mathcal{O}_{C_u})_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}}.$$

As a consequence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $0 \neq \xi \in T_u B$, its Kodaira-spencer class in $H^1(C_u, T_{C_u})$ has rank 2, and the two dimensional kernel $W_\xi \leq H^0(C_u, \Omega_{C_u}^1)_{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}}$.*

We compute the explicit Kodaira-Spencer classes of ∂_j . For convenience denote

$$(5.16) \quad Q(x) = Q_u(x) := (x^3 - 1)(x - u_1)(x - u_2)(x - u_3),$$

we compute the class of $\partial_j \omega_k$ in $H^{0,1}(C)$.

²This also implies ν_0 can be defined over $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ without adding any level structures.

Lemma 5.3.

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{C_u} \omega_0 \wedge (\partial_j \omega_1) = \frac{6\pi i}{Q'(u_j)},$$

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{C_u} \omega_1 \wedge (\partial_j \omega_0) = \frac{6\pi i}{Q'(u_j)},$$

$$(5.19) \quad \int_{C_u} \omega_0 \wedge (\partial_j \omega_0) = 0,$$

$$(5.20) \quad \int_{C_u} \omega_1 \wedge (\partial_j \omega_k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3.$$

Proof. The calculation is similar to [CMP17, Chap. 1.1], we solve $\int_{C_u} \omega_0 \wedge (\partial_1 \omega_1)$ and the remaining ones are parallel. Note that around u_1 ,

$$(5.21) \quad \partial_1 \omega_1 = \frac{dy}{(x-u)Q'(x)} \sim \frac{dy}{y^3} + *$$

where $*$ represents some higher-order terms. Let $u_1 \in U \subset V$ be small enough open neighborhoods of $u_1 \in C_u$ on which y is a local coordinate. Since both ω_0 and $\partial_1 \omega_1$ are holomorphic over $C_u - U$,

$$(5.22) \quad \int_{C_u} \omega_0 \wedge (\partial_1 \omega_1) = \int_U \omega_0 \wedge (\partial_1 \omega_1)$$

$$(5.23) \quad = - \int_U \frac{3y dy}{Q'(x)} \wedge \frac{dy}{y^3}$$

$$(5.24) \quad = \int_U \frac{3dy}{Q'(x)} \wedge d\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$$

$$(5.25) \quad = \int_U d\left(\frac{3dy}{Q'(x)y}\right)$$

$$(5.26) \quad = \int_{\partial U} \frac{3dy}{Q'(x)y}$$

$$(5.27) \quad = 2\pi i \text{Res}_{y=0} \frac{3}{Q'(x)y} = \frac{6\pi i}{Q'(u_1)}.$$

□

A direct consequence of Lemma 5.3 is:

Proposition 5.4. *For any $0 \neq \xi \in T_u B$, its Kodaira-Spencer image has rank 2. Moreover, it sends $\langle \omega_k, 1 \leq k \leq 3 \rangle$ to $\langle \overline{\omega_0} \rangle$ and ω_0 to $\langle \overline{\omega_\xi} \rangle$, where ω_ξ is a holomorphic form in $\langle \omega_k, 1 \leq k \leq 3 \rangle$ orthogonal to $W_\xi := \text{Ker}(\xi)$.*

For any $\xi \in T_u B$, suppose $W_\xi = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle \leq H^{1,0}(C_u)$. We consider whether $\delta\nu_{c,C_u}$ vanishes on the first deformation of C_u given by ξ . If we can show that ξ is not supported on the base locus of W_ξ , then Theorem 3.8 implies $\delta\nu_{c,C_u}$ does not vanish on the first-order deformation of C_u given by ξ .

By (5.17), for $\xi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} a_j \partial_j$,

$$(5.28) \quad W_\xi = \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq l \leq 3} b_l \omega_l \mid \sum_{1 \leq j, l \leq 3} \frac{b_l a_j u_j^{l-1}}{Q'(u_j)} = 0 \right\}.$$

On the other hand, for a form $\omega := \frac{a_3 x^2 + a_2 x + a_1}{y^2} dx$, $a_3 \neq 0$, by [McM13, Sec. 3], the zero locus is precisely given by the roots of $a_3 x^2 + a_2 x + a_1 = 0$.

To sum up, for $C = C_u$, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$, $\xi = a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3 \in T_uB$ makes W_ξ have non-empty base locus if and only if

$$(5.29) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3]A \in \{[X : Y : Z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid XZ - Y^2 = 0\},$$

where

$$(5.30) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q'(u_1)} & \frac{u_1}{Q'(u_1)} & \frac{u_1^2}{Q'(u_1)} \\ \frac{1}{Q'(u_2)} & \frac{u_2}{Q'(u_2)} & \frac{u_2^2}{Q'(u_2)} \\ \frac{1}{Q'(u_3)} & \frac{u_3}{Q'(u_3)} & \frac{u_3^2}{Q'(u_3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since this is a plane quadric which does not contain any linear subspaces of \mathbb{P}^2 other than points (generically), we may conclude:

Theorem 5.5. *The Ceresa normal function ν_c has rank ≥ 2 for the family $C \rightarrow B$. Moreover, if $L \subset B$ is a curve on which ν_c is locally constant, then the tangent bundle TL must be contained in the subbundle of TB determined by (5.29).*

Remark 5.6. Since the family $C \rightarrow B$ descends to a family $C \rightarrow B/S_3$ and $\text{Sym}^3\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$, Theorem 1.2 implies the curves in B on which ν_c is locally constant are given by projective curves in \mathbb{P}^3 .

To finish proving Theorem 1.2 we need to show ν_c has rank 3. Two critical ingredients are definability of normal functions introduced in the Appendix 6, and the algebraic monodromy group which we discuss next.

5.3. The algebraic monodromy group. Consider a general \mathbb{Z} -PVHS $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ with associated monodromy group $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$, where V is the fiber at a reference point.

Definition 5.7. Let $\mathbb{K} \leq \mathbb{C}$ be a field, the \mathbb{K} -algebraic monodromy group $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{K}}$ is the connected component of the \mathbb{K} -Zariski closure of Γ .

Remark 5.8. Let $M \leq \text{Aut}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the generic Mumford-Tate group of the VHS defined over \mathbb{Q} , then $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a normal subgroup of M^{der} , the derived subgroup of M , see [And92].

Back to the \mathbb{Z} -PVHS associated to the family (5.1). [Xu18, Thm 2.1] implies:

Proposition 5.9. *The \mathbb{R} -algebraic monodromy group $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of the family $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ is isomorphic to $\text{SU}(3, 1)$. In particular, $\wedge_0^3 V$ is irreducible as a $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{R}}$ -representation.*

We are ready to prove the main Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\nu_{c,C}$ has generic rank 2. By Theorem 6.4, there exists a Zariski open subset $B^\circ \subset B \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that B° is foliated by algebraic curves on each of which ν_c is locally constant. We take a general leaf ζ in B° .

Lemma 5.10. Γ_ζ and Γ have the same \mathbb{R} -algebraic monodromy group $\mathrm{SU}(3, 1)$.

Proof. Since B° is a zariski open subset of \mathbb{P}^3 , the monodromy of $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow B$ is generated by the monodromy operator around each irreducible component of the divisor $T := \mathbb{P}^3 - B^\circ$. A generic leaf ζ must intersect each component of T transversally, which means the monodromy group of Γ_ζ and Γ have the same set of generators. \square

On the other hand, since ν_c is locally constant on ζ , there exists $w \in H^0(\zeta, V_{\mathbb{R}})$ lifting ν_c , which means w should be fixed by the monodromy group Γ_ζ which implies $\overline{\Gamma}_\zeta^{\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in the stabilizer of w , a contradiction. This concludes $\mathrm{rank}(\nu_c)$ has maximal rank.

We are able to conclude a bit more: The proof showed that if there is an (1-dimensional) leaf ζ_0 over which ν_c is locally constant, then the \mathbb{R} -algebraic monodromy group $\overline{\Gamma}_{\zeta_0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ must not be generic. Therefore, the positive-dimensional locus on which the Ceresa normal function is torsion must have special \mathbb{Q} -algebraic monodromy group.

Corollary 5.11. *The positive-dimensional locus in B on which the Ceresa normal function is torsion must contain in the (algebraic) weakly special subspace of B (in the sense of [Bak+24, Sec. 6.3]).*

Indeed, by [QZ24, Sec. 4], the Ceresa cycle is torsion over the 1-dimensional family of (5.1):

$$(5.31) \quad \mathcal{C} := \{(a, [X : Z : Y]) \in (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}) \times \mathbb{P} \mid Y^3 = (X^3 - Z^3)(X^3 - aZ^3)\},$$

hence ν_c must be locally constant along this family.

6. APPENDIX: DEFINABILITY OF NORMAL FUNCTIONS

In this section we survey the theory of normal functions using the mixed Hodge theory aspects. We also introduce the application of o-minimal geometry in Hodge theory. As a consequence, we prove a theorem regarding the locus on which a normal function is locally constant.

Some references for this sections are [BKT20], [BBT22] and [Bak+24].

6.1. Normal function as variation of mixed Hodge structures. Regarding basic facts of admissible integral polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures (\mathbb{Z} -PVMHS), we refer readers to [Bak+24, Sec. 3-4]. In this section we assume all normal functions and VMHS are admissible.

A normal function ν underlying a \mathbb{Z} -PVHS $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -PVMHS $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ with only 2 non-trivial graded quotients, with:

$$(6.1) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

$$(6.2) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_0^{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathbb{Z}(0),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ is the Tate Hodge structure. In other words, the exact sequence

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

realizes ν as an element in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-PVMHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), \mathcal{V})$. Note that the sequence (6.3) always splits over \mathbb{R} . We say the normal function is vanishing (resp. torsion) if the corresponding sequence (6.3) splits over \mathbb{Z} (resp. \mathbb{Q}).

Let $E = \mathcal{E}_s$ for some reference point $s \in S$. Let \mathbf{G} be the \mathbb{Q} -algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ preserving the weight filtration $W = \mathcal{W}_s$, and \mathbf{U} be its unipotent radical. Let \mathcal{D} be the mixed period domain parametrizing all \mathbb{Z} -PVMHS on E with the type given by (6.1).

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the subdomain of all \mathbb{R} -split members in \mathcal{D} , D be the period domain for the underlying \mathbb{Z} -PVHS \mathcal{V} , and $\mathcal{S}(W)$ be the \mathbb{R} -splitting variety of \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 6.1. *The following properties hold.*

- (1) $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{D} .
- (2) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq D \times \mathcal{S}(W)$.

Proof. (2) comes from the observation (6.3) always splits over \mathbb{R} . See [Bak+24, Sec. 3-4] for (1) and (3). \square

Remark 6.2. (2) is false in general, for example when \mathcal{D} contains a mixed Hodge structure not splitting over \mathbb{R} .

The normal function ν thus give rise to a mixed period map

$$(6.4) \quad \Phi : S \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \backslash D$$

where Γ_0 is the monodromy group of $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow S$ and $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma_0 \ltimes V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the monodromy group of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow S$.

6.2. More on rank of normal functions. We keep definitions and notations from Section 3. Suppose $\mathrm{rank}(\nu) = r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq \dim(S)$, denote $r_c := \dim(X) - r$ as the co-rank of ν .

Theorem 6.3 ([GZ24]). *$S = S_r \supset S_{r-1} \supset \dots \supset S_0$, where*

$$(6.5) \quad S_k := \{s \in S, \mathrm{rank}(\nu_s) \leq k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r$$

are algebraic subvarieties of S .

In other words, there exists a Zariski open subset $S^\circ \subset S$ such that $\text{rank}(\nu_s) = r$ for every $s \in S^\circ$. Therefore after possibly replace S by one of its zariski open subset, we may assume $S_{r-1} = \emptyset$. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 6.4. *S admits a foliation by dimension- r_c algebraic subvarieties on each of which the normal function ν is locally constant.*

We first prove a weaker version of Theorem 6.4.

Proposition 6.5. *S admits a foliation by dimension- r_c complex submanifolds on each of which the normal function ν is locally constant, which we call the leaves of the foliation.*

Proof. The co-rank of ν at $s \in S$ equals to r_c implies in a local neighborhood $s \in U \subset S$, a local holomorphic lift $v \in H^0(U, \mathcal{V})$ and some flat local section $e \in H^0_{\mathbb{V}}(U, \mathcal{V})$ such that

$$(6.6) \quad \dim T_p(v \cap (e + \mathcal{F}^0 \mathcal{V}|_U)) = r_c$$

for a generic point $p \in v \cap (e + \mathcal{F}^0 \mathcal{V})$. the projection of this intersection on U gives a r_c -dimensional complex submanifold of U .

Therefore, the condition that the co-rank of ν at every point $s \in S$ is r_c means there is a rank- r_c integrable holomorphic distribution on S whose integral submanifolds are r_c -dimensional submanifolds of S . \square

Another ingredient to prove Theorem 6.4 is o-minimal geometry, see [BBT22] for an introduction. We will show the following proposition:

Proposition 6.6. *The r_c -dimensional leaves in Proposition 6.5 are all definable in the o-minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$.*

Proposition 6.5, 6.6 and the definable Chow theorem [PS09] will imply Theorem 6.4. From this point, by definable we shall mean definable in the o-minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ unless a different o-minimal structure is specified.

6.3. Proof of Proposition 6.6. By using the C^∞ -isomorphism $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}})$ we may regard ν as an element in $H^0(S, \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}))$.

Choose a projective completion \hat{S} of S such that $\hat{S} - S$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. We may take a finite open cover $\{U_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ of \hat{S} such that $U_i \cap S \simeq (\Delta^*)^{k_i} \times (\Delta)^{r-k_i}$ for each i . For any complex analytic leaf ζ of S , showing $\zeta \cap U_i$ has a definable structure compatible with U_i is enough for showing Proposition 6.6. We thus reduce to showing the case when ν is a normal function defined over $(\Delta^*)^n$.

Consider the associated mixed period map and its lift to \mathcal{H}^n , where \mathcal{H} is the Siegel upper half space:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & \mathcal{D} \simeq D \times \mathcal{S}(W) \\ \downarrow \exp(2\pi i \cdot) & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^*)^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

The following two results are critical:

Proposition 6.7. [Bak+24, Prop. 5.2-5.3] *The image of $\tilde{\Phi}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is contained in a (finite union of) fundamental set of Γ acting on \mathcal{D} , where $R^n \subset \mathcal{H}^n$ is a subset of the form:*

$$(6.8) \quad \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathcal{H}^n \mid |\Re(z_j)| \leq M, \Im(z_j) \geq N\}$$

for some $M, N \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, the composition $\tilde{\Phi}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ is definable.

Proposition 6.8. [Bak+24, Prop. 6.5] *Using $\mathcal{D} \simeq D \times \mathcal{S}(W)$, if $\mathfrak{S} \subset D$ is a fundamental set for the Γ_0 -action on D and $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{S}(W)$ is a bounded semi-algebraic subset, then $\mathfrak{S} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ is definable in the o-minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{alg} .*

We are ready to finish our proof. Note that in the normal function case $\mathcal{S}(W) \simeq V_{\mathbb{R}}$. For any $w \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ consider the subset $\mathcal{D}_w := D \times \{w\} \subset \mathcal{D}$. \mathcal{D}_w is an \mathbb{R}_{alg} -definable subset in \mathcal{D} , therefore $\tilde{\Phi}(R^n) \cap \mathcal{D}_w$ is a definable subset in \mathcal{D} being contained in some fundamental set \mathfrak{S} of Γ .

To sum up, choose M, N properly such that $R^n \rightarrow (\Delta^*)^n$ is surjective, we have a diagram lies in the category of definable analytic spaces:

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} R^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & \tilde{\Phi}(R^n) & \longleftarrow & \tilde{\Phi}(R^n) \cap (D \times \{w\}) \\ \downarrow \exp(2\pi i \cdot) & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ (\Delta^*)^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Phi((\Delta^*)^n) & & \end{array}$$

By definition, a leaf $\zeta \subset (\Delta^*)^n$ given by Proposition 6.5 is exactly the projection of $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\tilde{\Phi}(R^n) \cap (D \times \{w\}))$ on $(\Delta^*)^n$ for some $w \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ (in which case w is the constant lift of $\nu|_{\zeta}$), therefore must be definable.

REFERENCES

- [And92] Yves André. “Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 82.1 (1992), pp. 1–24.

- [Bak+24] Benjamin Bakker, Yohan Brunebarbe, Bruno Klingler, and Jacob Tsimerman. “Definability of mixed period maps”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 26.6 (2024), pp. 2191–2209.
- [BBT22] Benjamin Bakker, Yohan Brunebarbe, and Jacob Tsimerman. “o-minimal GAGA and a conjecture of Griffiths”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 232 (2022), pp. 163–228.
- [BKT20] B. Bakker, B. Klingler, and J. Tsimerman. “Tame topology of arithmetic quotients and algebraicity of Hodge loci”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 33 (2020), pp. 917–939.
- [Cer83] G. Ceresa. “C is not Algebraically Equivalent to C- in its Jacobian”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 117.02 (1983), pp. 285–291.
- [CMP17] J. Carlson, S. M-Stach, and C. Peters. *Period Mappings and Period Domains, 2nd Edition*. Vol. 168. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [CP95] A. Collino and G. Pirola. “The Griffiths infinitesimal invariant for a curve in its Jacobian”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 78 (1995), pp. 59–88.
- [Gre89] Mark Green. “Griffiths’ infinitesimal invariant and the Abel-Jacobi map”. In: *J. Differential Geom.* 29.3 (1989), pp. 545–555.
- [Gri83] Phillip Griffiths. “Infinitesimal variations of hodge structure (III) : determinantal varieties and the infinitesimal invariant of normal functions”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 50.2-3 (1983), pp. 267–324.
- [GZ24] Ziyang Gao and Shou-Wu Zhang. *Heights and periods of algebraic cycles in families*. 2024. arXiv: 2407.01304 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.01304>.
- [Hai13] Richard Hain. “Normal Functions and the Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Curves”. In: *Handbook of Moduli, edited by Gavril Farkas, Ian Morrison* 1 (2013), pp. 527–578.
- [Hai24] Richard Hain. 2024. In preparation.
- [KT24] Matt Kerr and Salim Tayou. *On the torsion locus of the Ceresa normal function*. 2024. arXiv: 2406.19366 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19366>.
- [Lat23] Robert Laterveer. “On the tautological ring of Humbert curves”. In: *Manuscripta Math.* 172 (2023), pp. 1093–1107.

- [Loo24] Eduard Looijenga. “A ball quotient parametrizing trigonal genus 4 curves”. In: *Nagoya Math. J.* 254 (2024), pp. 366–378.
- [LS24] Jef Laga and Ari Shnidman. *Vanishing criteria for Ceresa cycles*. 2024. arXiv: 2406.03891 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03891>.
- [McM13] Curtis T McMullen. “Braid groups and Hodge theory”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 355.3 (2013), pp. 893–946.
- [Nor93] Madhav V. Nori. “Algebraic cycles and Hodge theoretic connectivity”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 111.2 (1993), pp. 349–374.
- [PS09] Ya’acov Peterzil and Sergei Starchenko. “Complex analytic geometry and analytic-geometric categories”. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 626 (2009).
- [PZ03] Gian Pietro Pirola and Francesco Zucconi. “Variations of the Albanese morphisms”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 12 (2003).
- [QZ24] Congling Qiu and Wei Zhang. “Vanishing results in Chow groups for the modified diagonal cycles”. In: *Tunisian J. Math.* 6 (2024).
- [Sai96] Morihiko Saito. “Admissible normal functions”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 5 (1996), pp. 235–276.
- [Sch73] Wilfried Schmid. “Variation of Hodge Structure: The Singularities of the Period Mapping”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 22 (1973), pp. 211–320.
- [Xu18] Jinxing Xu. “Zariski Density of Monodromy Groups via a Picard–Lefschetz Type Formula”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2018.11 (2018), pp. 3556–3586.