ON A FRACTIONAL HARMONIC OSCILLATOR: EXISTENCE AND INEXISTENCE OF SOLUTION, REGULARITY AND DECAY PROPERTIES

H.P. BUENO, A.H.S. MEDEIROS, O.H. MIYAGAKI, AND G.A. PEREIRA

ABSTRACT. Under simple hypotheses on the nonlinearity f, we consider the fractional harmonic operator problem

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$
(0.1)

or, since we work in the extension setting \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ ,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,0) = f(x,v(x,0)) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \cong \partial \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ \end{cases}$$

Defining the space

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) = \left\{ v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) : \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla v|^{2} + |x|^{2} v^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y < \infty \right\},$$

we prove that the embedding

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

is compact. We also obtain a Pohozaev-type identity for this problem, show that in the case $f(x, u) = |u|^{p^*-2}u$ the problem has no non-trivial solution, compare the extremal attached to this problem with the one of the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, prove that the solution u of (0.1) belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$ and satisfy the polynomial decay $|u(x)| \leq C/|x|$ for any |x| > M. Finally, we prove the existence of a solution to a superlinear critical problem in the case $f(x, u) = |u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda |u|^{q-1}, 1 < q < 2^* - 1.$

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we deal with problems involving the square root of the harmonic oscillator, that is, we consider the problem $\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = f(x, u)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . The square root of the harmonic oscillator was considered by R. Delbourgo in [16], but our approach here differs from the one used in that paper, which applies the parity operator.

Fractional powers of the harmonic oscillator, namely $(-\Delta + |x|^2)^{\sigma}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, where introduced by Stinga and Torrea in their influential paper [24], where among

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R11, 35B33, 35B40, 35A15.

Key words and phrases. Fractional equation, critical exponents, asymptotic behavior, variational methods, harmonic operator.

Third author was supported by Grant 2022/16407-1 - São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) and Grant 303256/2022-2 - CNPq/Brazil.

other notable results, a Poisson formula for general selfadjoint lower bounded operators and a Harnack inequality for the fractional harmonic oscillator were proved. The study of the operator $(-\Delta + |x|^2)^{\sigma}$ was developed in another important paper by the same authors [25] by defining a different class of Hölder spaces $C_H^{k,\alpha}$, which preserves the Hermite–Riesz transforms. Results concerning both Schauder estimates and the regularity of

$$(-\Delta + |x|^2)^{\sigma} u$$

were obtained, and also a pointwise definition of the operator for $u \in C_H^{k,\alpha}$. These papers are sequels to a previous article by Bongioanni and Torrea [5], where fractional inverses H^{-a} of the operator $H = -\Delta + |x|^2$ where studied for a > 0.

Although having different characteristics, the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2}$ recalls the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$, which has been the subject of a number of papers in recent years, starting with the aforementioned paper [13], which was generalized in [12], see also [4]. The operator $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$ is known as the *pseudo-relativistic Hamiltonian operator* and describes in the mathematical context, the Hamiltonian for the motion of a free relativistic particle and therefore has broad applications in Physics. A generalization involving the operator $(-\Delta + m^2)^{\sigma}$ ($0 < \sigma < 1$) is also the subject of various papers: see, e.g. [18, 8, 1, 7, 2].

In this paper we do not focus on qualitative properties of the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2}$, but consider instead the problem

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.1)

We define

$$2^* = \frac{2N}{N-1}.$$

and suppose that the C^1 -nonlinearity f satisfies

(f₁)
$$\lim_{t\to0} \frac{|f(x,t)|}{t} = 0$$
 uniformly on $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
(f₂) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{|f(x,t)|}{t^{\theta-1}} = 0$ uniformly on $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $2 < \theta < 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-1}$

As a consequence of hypotheses (f_1) and (f_2) we have that, for some positive constants $a \ge b$,

$$|f(x,t)| \le at + bt^{\theta-1}$$
, for all $t > 0$, uniformly on x

For a uniformly bounded function h(x), examples of function satisfying hypotheses (f_1) and (f_2) are

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= t \ln(1+t) h(x), \quad h(x); \\ f(x,t) &= (|t|^{q_1-2}t + |t|^{q_2-2}t) h(x), \quad 2 < q_1, q_2 < 2^*, \quad q_1, q_2 \le \theta. \end{split}$$

We can consider problem (1.1) with the Choquard type nonlinearity

f(x, u) = (W(x) * G(u(x))) g(u(x)) - V(u(x)),

where G is the primitive of g, if hypotheses (f_1) and (f_2) are satisfied by the function g and appropriate hypotheses on the interaction potential W and external potential V (see [4]). Standard choices for V and W are V(u) = u and the Riesz potential

 $W(x) = I_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-N}$, where A_{α} is a constant, see [21] but also [20], where important results about the Choquard equation were proved. See also Section 6, where another example of problem (1.2) is considered.

In this paper we consider problem (1.1) in the extension setting \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ by applying a Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, which leads to the problem (see [10])

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,0) = f(x,v(x,0)) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \cong \partial \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

The appropriate setting to deal with problem (1.2) is the subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) = \left\{ v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) : \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla v|^{2} + |x|^{2} v^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|^{2} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla v(x,y)|^{2} + |x|^{2} |v(x,y)|^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y,$$

which is not equivalent to the usual norm of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. It is easy to see, however, that the norm in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ is equivalent to the usual norm of $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. Furthermore, $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ and the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

We denote

$$2^{\dagger} = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}.$$

In the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ we consider the well-defined functional

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x|^2 v^2 \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F\left(x, v(x, 0)\right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

(When integrating in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ we will usually omit dxdy. We denote $|\cdot|_p$ the L^p norm in \mathbb{R}^N_+ and $||\cdot||_p$ the L^p norm in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ .)

Definition 1.1. A function $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.2) if

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[\nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi + |x|^2 v \varphi \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v(x,0)) \varphi(x,0) \mathrm{d}x,$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

Since the derivative of the energy functional is given by

$$I'(v) \cdot \varphi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi + |x|^2 v \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v(x,0))\varphi(x,0) \mathrm{d}x,$$

we see that critical points of I are weak solutions to (1.2). In Section 2 we prove the following result.

Proposition 1.1. The embedding $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for all $q \in [2, 2^*]$ and compact for any $q \in [2, 2^*)$.

After that, we obtain our first main result, which is a Pohozaev-type identity in the extension setting, which is a relevant device when proving results of inexistence of nontrivial solutions for nonlinear problems. Furthermore, it also generates the Pohozaev manifold, which can be associated with the Nehari manifold and is a valuable tool when dealing with problems when either the (PS)-condition or the mountain pass geometry are difficult to be verified, see [6, 19, 23].

The derivation of Pohozaev-type identities is starting to be standard (see [1, 7]). Our approach is based on the interior regularity of the solution to problem (1.2) as in Chang and Wang [11].

Theorem 1. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a solution to the problem (1.2). Suppose that $F(x,s) = \int_0^s f(x,t) dt$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (f_3) & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, v(x, 0))| dx < \infty. \\ Then & \frac{(N-1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v(x, y)|^2 + \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 v^2(x, y) \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, v(x, 0)) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i F_{x_i}(x, v(x, 0)) dx. \end{aligned}$$
(1.3)
ere $F_{x_i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial F_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N.$

wh $\overline{\partial x_i}^J$

Observe that condition (f_3) is a consequence of our hypotheses (f_1) and (f_2) .

Afterward, we consider a problem of extremals. Cotsiolis and Tavoularis [15] proved that the Sobolev constant

$$S = \inf_{v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla v|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}_{s}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/2^{*}_{s}}}$$
(1.4)

is attained in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}$. Let us define

$$0 < \Lambda = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} (|\nabla v|^{2} + |x|^{2} |v|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}_{s}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/2^{*}_{s}}} < \infty.$$
(1.5)

As a consequence of the Pohozaev-type identity in \mathbb{R}^N , in Section 4 we obtain a result of non-existence of non-trivial solutions, valid not only for positive solutions, for the problem $\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = |u|^{p-2} u$ in \mathbb{R}^N and conclude that Λ is not attained.

Theorem 2. It is true that $\Lambda = S$. However, the constant Λ is not attained in the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}$, since the problem

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = |u|^{p-2} u \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N$$
(1.6)

has no non-trivial solution if $p \ge 2^*$.

In the sequel, we prove that solutions of (1.2) belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$, following arguments in Coti Zelati and Nolasco [13] and applying Moser's iteration technique.

Theorem 3. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a weak solution to problem (1.2). Then $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$.

As a consequence of this result, we apply a result obtained by Bongioanni and Torrea [5] to obtain our next result.

Theorem 4. Under the hypotheses (f_1) and (f_2) , the solution u of the problem $\sqrt{(A_1+|x|^2)^2} = f(x,x)$

$$\sqrt{-\Delta} + |x|^2 u = f(x, u)$$

satisfies the polynomial decay

$$|u(x)| \le \frac{C}{|x|}$$
 for any $|x| > M$,

where C is a positive constant and M > 0 is big enough.

Next, we consider the critical and subcritical problem

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2}u = |u(x)|^{2^* - 2}u(x) + \lambda |u(x)|^{q - 1}u(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $1 < q < 2^* - 1 = (N + 1)/(N - 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$ are constants. More precisely, since we deal with this problem in the extension setting,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,0) = |v(x,0)|^{2^{\star}-2} v(x,0) + \lambda |v(x,0)|^{q-1} v(x,0) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

This result contrasts the inexistence of solution in the critical case established by Theorem 2.

Theorem 5. For $N \ge 2$ and $\lambda > 0$, the problem (1.7) possesses at least one non trivial solution for all q verifying $1 < q < 2^* - 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. The compactness of the embedding $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2^*)$ is proved in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove the Pohozaev-type identity related to problem (1.2) and the comparison between the extremals (1.4) and (1.5) is carried out in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove Theorems 3 and 4. Finally, in Section 6, we prove Theorem 5.

2. On the embedding in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Since in the extension setting we also have a boundary term in \mathbb{R}^N , we start handling the embeddings of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in order to obtain a proof of Proposition 1.1. The approach is clearly based on that used by Coti Zelati and Nolasco [13] and depends heavily on o result proved by Xiao [26].

Lemma 2.1. The embedding
$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is continuous for all $q \in [2, 2^*]$.

Proof. For any $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ we have, for a constant K > 0,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \le K \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 \le K \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x|^2 v^2 \right],$$

where the first inequality can be seen in Xiao [26, Theorem 1.1].

By interpolation, it suffices to prove the result in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since

$$v^{2}(x,0) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v^{2}(x,y) \mathrm{d}y = -\int_{0}^{\infty} 2\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y) v(x,y) \mathrm{d}y,$$

we consider R > 0 and $x \in B_R^c(0) = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$. In this case we have

$$\int_{B_R^c(0)} |v(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{R} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \int_0^\infty 2 \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y) \right| |x| |v(x,y)| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\le \frac{1}{R} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x|^2 v^2 \right]. \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |v(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \le |B_R(0)|^{1-\frac{2}{2^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}},$$

our result is a consequence of the fact that $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

Lemma 2.2. The embedding $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for any $q \in [2, 2^*)$.

Proof. By interpolation, it suffices to prove the result in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let us assume that $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ satisfies $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$. We will show that

$$v_n(\cdot, 0) \to 0$$
 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. (2.2)

Since $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, there exists C such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v_n|^2 + |x|^2 v_n^2 \right] \le C.$$
(2.3)

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, take R > 0 such that $\frac{C}{R} < \varepsilon$. It follows from inequalities (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{B_R(0)} |v_n(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B_R^c(0)} |v_n(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(0)} |v_n(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Since $v_n(\cdot, 0) \to 0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ yields

$$\limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \varepsilon$$

We conclude that $||v_n(\cdot, 0)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0$, proving (2.2).

3. A Pohozaev-type identity in the extension setting

This section is devoted to the proof of Pohozaev-type identity in the extension setting.

Lemma 3.1. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a solution to the problem (1.2). Assume that $F(x,s) = \int_0^s f(x,t) dt$ satisfies (f_3) . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} f\left(x, v(x, 0)\right) dx$$
$$= -N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, v(x, 0)) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} F_{x_{i}}(x, v(x, 0)) dx, \qquad (3.1)$$

where $F_{x_i}(x,s) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,s)$.

Proof. For each $R_2 > R_1$, consider the ring $\Omega = \Omega_{R_2,R_1} = B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}$ and the field $\Psi(x) = xF(x, v(x, 0))$.

Observe that

$$\operatorname{div}(\Psi(x)) = NF(x, v(x, 0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f(x, v(x, 0)).$$

Applying the Divergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, v(x, 0)) x \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma$$
$$= N \int_{\Omega} F(x, v(x, 0)) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f(x, v(x, 0)) dx, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\nu(x)$ denotes the unit normal vector pointing outside of $\partial\Omega$ em x and $d\sigma$ denotes the (N-1)-dimensional measure of $\partial\Omega$.

Since $\partial \Omega = \partial B_{R_1} \cup \partial B_{R_2}$, we have $\nu(x) = (-1)^j \frac{x}{R_j}$ on ∂B_{R_j} for j = 1, 2. Thus

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_{R_j}} F(x, v(x, 0)) x \cdot \nu(x) \mathrm{d}\sigma \right| \leq \int_{\partial B_{R_j}} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \frac{|x \cdot x|}{R_j} \mathrm{d}\sigma$$
$$= R_j \int_{\partial B_{R_j}} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

Claim 1: There exists a sequence $R_{1,n} \to 0$, such that $0 < R_{1,n} < 1$ and

$$R_{1,n} \int_{\partial B_{R_{1,n}}} |F(v(x,0))| \mathrm{d}\sigma \longrightarrow 0.$$

As a consequence of Claim 1, taking $R_1 := R_{1,n}$ em (3.2) and passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, v(x, 0)) x \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma = \int_{\partial B_{R_2}} F(x, v(x, 0)) x \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma.$$
(3.3)

Claim 2: There exists a sequence $R_{2,n} \to \infty$ such that $R_{2,n} > 1$ and

$$R_{2,n} \int_{\partial B_{R_{2,n}}} |F(v(x,0))| \mathrm{d}\sigma \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, taking $R_2 := R_{2,n}$ in (3.3) and passing to the limit, we conclude that $\int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, v(x, 0)) x \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma = 0.$ Substituting into (3.2), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f(x, v(x, 0)) \, \mathrm{d}x = -N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, v(x, 0)) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Now, in order to obtain (3.1), it suffices to consider the field

$$\Psi(x) = xF(x, v(x, 0))$$

and note that

$$\operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\Psi}(x)) = NF(x, v(x, 0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f(x, v(x, 0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i F_{x_i}(x, v(x, 0)).$$

Proof of Claim 1: Define

$$S(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

and note that

$$\int_0^\infty S(r) \mathrm{d}r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

Consider the function $g \colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(R) = \inf_{0 < r \leq R} rS(r)$. The function g is decreasing and $g(R) \ge 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{R \to 0^+} g(R) = \sup_{R > 0} g(R) \ge 0.$$

If $\lim_{R\to 0^+} g(R) > 0$, then there exist R_0 and $\lambda > 0$ such that $g(R_0) > \lambda$. It follows that $\lambda < g(R_0) \le rS(r)$, for all $r \le R_0$, proving that

$$S(r) \ge \frac{\lambda}{r}, \quad \forall r \le R_0.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\infty S(r) \mathrm{d}r \ge \int_0^{R_0} S(r) \mathrm{d}r \ge \int_0^{R_0} \frac{\lambda}{r} \mathrm{d}r = +\infty,$$

which is an absurd. We conclude that $\lim_{R\to 0^+} g(R) = 0$. Since g is decreasing, we have g(R) = 0 for all R > 0 and $\inf_{0 < r \le R} rS(r) = 0$, for all R > 0. Taking $R = \frac{1}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\inf_{0 < r \le \frac{1}{n}} rS(r) = 0$, there exists $0 < r_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ such that $r_n S(r_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, and we conclude that $r_n \to 0^+$ and $r_n S(r_n) \to 0$. *Proof of Claim 2:* With S(r) defined in Claim 1, consider $T: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$T(R) = \inf_{R < r} rS(r).$$

The function T is increasing and $T(R) \ge 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} T(R) = \sup_{R > 0} T(R) \ge 0.$$

If $\lim_{R \to \infty} T(R) > 0$, then there exist R_0 and $\lambda > 0$ such that $T(R_0) > \lambda$. Therefore, $\lambda < T(R_0) = \inf_{R_0 < r} rS(r) \le rS(r)$, thus implying that

$$S(r) \ge \frac{\lambda}{r}, \quad \forall r \ge R_0.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, v(x, 0))| \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\infty S(r) \mathrm{d}r \ge \int_{R_0}^\infty S(r) \mathrm{d}r \ge \int_{R_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda}{r} \mathrm{d}r = +\infty,$$

which is an absurd. We conclude that $\lim_{R\to\infty} T(R) = 0$ and T(R) = 0 for all R > 0, what implies that $\inf_{R < r} rS(r) = 0, \forall R > 0$. Therefore, taking R = n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\inf_{n < r} rS(r) = 0$, there exist $r_n > n$ such that $r_nS(r_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and we conclude that $r_n \to \infty$ and $r_nS(r_n) \to 0$.

When dealing with the function v, we sometimes omit the variables (x, y), but we write v(x, 0) to make sure that the function is understood in \mathbb{R}^N .

Lemma 3.2. If v is a smooth solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v(x,y) + |x|^2 v(x,y) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,0) = f(x,v(x,0)), & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \cong \partial \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

then

$$\frac{(N-1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 v^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=0}^N x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f(x, v(x, 0)) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$, multiplying the first equality in (3.4) by $(x, y) \cdot \nabla v$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ we obtain

$$-\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left((x,y) \cdot \nabla v(x,y) \right) \Delta v(x,y) + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left((x,y) \cdot \nabla v \right) |x|^2 v(x,y) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

We consider the field $\Phi(x, y) = [(x, y) \cdot \nabla v] \nabla v$ in order to handle the first integral in (3.5). We have

$$\operatorname{div}(\Phi(x,y)) = ((x,y) \cdot \nabla v)\Delta v + |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x,y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2\right).$$

If $\eta = (-1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{N})$, it follows from the Divergence Theorem that

$$\begin{split} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left((x,y) \cdot \nabla v \right) \Delta v + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} (x,y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((x,0) \cdot \nabla v(x,0) \right) \left(\nabla v(x,0) \cdot \eta \right) \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$-\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left((x,y) \cdot \nabla v \right) \Delta v = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} (x,y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2 \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((x,0) \cdot \nabla v(x,0) \right) \left(\nabla v(x,0) \cdot \eta \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.6)

We now consider the field $\Upsilon(x,y) = \frac{(x,y)}{2} |\nabla v|^2$. It is true that

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\Upsilon(x,y)\right) = \frac{(N+1)}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (x,y) \cdot \nabla\left(|\nabla v|^2\right).$$

So, a new application of the Divergence Theorem yields

$$\frac{(N+1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} (x,y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 (x,0) \cdot \eta \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} (x, y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2 \right) = -\frac{(N+1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 \tag{3.7}$$

We deal with the second integral in (3.5) by considering the field $\Theta(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x,y)|x|^2v^2$. We have

$$\operatorname{div}(\Theta(x,y)) = \frac{N+3}{2}|x|^2v^2 + ((x,y)\cdot\nabla v)|x|^2v$$

and a new application of the Divergence Theorem produces

$$\frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 v^2 + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} ((x,y) \cdot \nabla v) |x|^2 v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x,0) \cdot \eta |x|^2 v^2 \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} ((x,y) \cdot \nabla v) |x|^2 v = -\frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 v^2$$
(3.8)

Thus, substituting (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} (x, y) \cdot \nabla \left(|\nabla v|^2 \right) \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((x, 0) \cdot \nabla v(x, 0) \right) \left(\nabla v(x, 0) \cdot \eta \right) \mathrm{d}x - \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^2 v^2 \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{(N+1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla v|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((x, 0) \cdot \nabla v(x, 0) \right) \left(\nabla v(x, 0) \cdot \eta \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^2 v^2 \\ &= - \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla v|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((x, 0) \cdot \nabla v(0, y) \right) \left(- \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^2 v^2 \end{split}$$

since $-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \nabla v(x,0) \cdot \eta$. But $-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = f(x,v(x,0))$ in \mathbb{R}^N , so we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{(N-1)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{(N+3)}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 v^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((x,0) \cdot \nabla v(x,0)) \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((x,0) \cdot \nabla v(x,0)) f\left(x,u(x,0)\right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=0}^N x_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f\left(x,v(x,0)\right) \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 follows immediately from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

4. A result about extremals

We recall that the Sobolev constant

$$S = \inf_{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_s} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/2^*_s}}$$

is attained, see $\left[15\right] .$

Let us define

$$0 < \Lambda = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x^2| |v|^2 \right]}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_s} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/2^*_s}} < \infty.$$

Proposition 4.1. It is true that $\Lambda = S$.

Proof. Considering the Schwartz space $S = S(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, of course we have $S \leq \Lambda$. *Claim.* $S = \Lambda$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in S$ such that

$$\frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{\varepsilon}(0,x)|^{2^{*}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}} < S + \varepsilon.$$

Thus, considering $w_{\varepsilon}(y, x) = u_{\varepsilon}(ty, tx)$ it follows that

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &\leq \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left[|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + |x|^{2} w_{\varepsilon}^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w_{\varepsilon}(0,x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}} \\ &= \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{\varepsilon}(0,x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}} + \frac{1}{t^{4}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{\varepsilon}(0,x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}} \\ &< S + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{t^{4}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{\varepsilon}(0,x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}}. \end{split}$$

As $t \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\Lambda < S + \varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, our result follows.

Lemma 4.1. The constant $\Lambda = S$ is not attained in the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,0) = |v(x,0)|^{p-2} v(x,0) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \cong \partial \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+. \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

We will show that it has no solution $v \neq 0$ if $p \geq 2^*$.

Applying the Pohozaev-type identity (1.3) to the problem (4.1), we obtain

$$\frac{N}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^p dx = \frac{N-1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2 + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \frac{N+3}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 |v|^2$$
$$= \frac{N-1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x|^2 |v|^2 \right] + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 |v|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Since v is a solution of (4.1), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[\nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi + |x|^2 v \varphi \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v(x,0)) \varphi(x,0) \mathrm{d}x$$

for all $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. Choosing $\varphi = v$, we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \left[|\nabla v|^2 + |x|^2 |v|^2 \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^p \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.3)

Substituting (4.3) into (4.2), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{N}{p} - \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^p \mathrm{d}x = 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |x|^2 |v|^2,$$

from what follows $p < 2N/(N-1) = 2^*$, since $v \neq 0$.

5. Regularity and polynomial decay of the solution

Following arguments in [13], we have:

Lemma 5.1. For all $\theta \in \left(2, \frac{2N}{N-1}\right)$, we have $|v(x,0)|^{\theta-2} \leq 1+g$, where $g \in L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. We have

$$|v(x,0)|^{\theta-2} = |v(x,0)|^{\theta-2} \chi_{\{|v(x,0)| \le 1\}} + |v(x,0)|^{\theta-2} \chi_{\{|v(x,0)| > 1\}} \le 1 + g,$$

with $g = |v(x,0)|^{\theta-2}\chi_{\{|v(x,0)|>1\}}$. Taking into account the fact hat $v(x,0) \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in [2,2^*]$, we observe that, if $(\theta-2)N < 2$, then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{(\theta-2)N} \chi_{\{|v(x,0)\rangle|>1\}} \mathrm{d}x &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)\rangle|^2 \chi_{\{|v(x,0)\rangle|>1\}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)\rangle|^2 \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \end{split}$$

When $2 \leq (\theta - 2)N$, then $(\theta - 2)N \in \left(2, \frac{2N}{N-1}\right)$ and $|v(x, 0))|^{\theta-2} \in L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We are done.

The proof of the next result adapts arguments in [9] and [13].

Proposition 5.1. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a weak solution to problem (1.2) and $S = \Lambda$ the constant of Section 4. Then, for all $\beta \geq 0$, it is valid that

$$\frac{1}{2}S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((v^{+})^{(1+\beta)}\right)^{2^{*}}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \le c_{\beta}\left(1+C+M\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x.$$

where c_{β} , C and $M = M(\beta)$ are positive constants.

Proof. For a weak solution v to (1.2) (see Definition 1.1), we consider $\varphi = vv_T^{2\beta}$, where $v_T = \min\{v^+, T\}$ and $\beta > 0$. Of course, we have $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ and $\varphi \ge 0$. Since $\nabla \varphi = v_T^{2\beta} \nabla v + 2\beta v v_T^{2\beta-1} \nabla v_T$, it follows that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} v_{T}^{2\beta} \left[|\nabla v|^{2} + |x|^{2} v^{2} \right] + \iint_{D_{T}} 2\beta v_{T}^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^{2}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(v(x,0))v(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)dx, \qquad (5.1)$$

where $D_T = \{(x, y) : v^+(x, y) \le T\}.$

Since $\nabla(vv_T^{\beta}) = v_T^{\beta} \nabla v + \beta v v_T^{\beta-1} \nabla v_T$, we also have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla(vv_T^{\beta})|^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} v_T^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 + \iint_{D_T} (2\beta + \beta^2) v_T^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2.$$

Defining $c_{\beta} = 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} > 1$, we obtain

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla(vv_{T}^{\beta})|^{2} + |x|^{2} |(vv_{T}^{\beta})|^{2} \right] \leq c_{\beta} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} v_{T}^{2\beta} \left[|\nabla v|^{2} + |x|^{2} v^{2} \right] \\ + c_{\beta} \iint_{D_{T}} 2\beta v_{T}^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^{2} \\ = c_{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(v(x,0)) v(x,0) v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x, \quad (5.2)$$

where the last equality follows from (5.1).

We now deal with the right-hand side of (5.2), applying our hypotheses on f. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(v(x,0))v(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} C\left(|v(x,0)| + |v(x,0)|^{\theta-1}\right)v(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v(x,0)|^{\theta-2}v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$
(5.3)

By applying Lemma 5.1, the inequality (5.3) can be written as

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v(x,0))v(x,0)v_T^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq (1+C)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2(x,0)v_T^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)v^2(x,0)v_T^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

where $g \in L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Substituting into (5.2) yields

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla(vv_{T}^{\beta})|^{2} + |x|^{2} |(vv_{T}^{\beta})|^{2} \right] \leq c_{\beta} \left(1+C\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v^{2}(x,0) v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x + c_{\beta}C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v^{2}(x,0) v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(5.4)

By applying Fatou's Lemma and the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we obtain as $T \to +\infty$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla((v^{+})^{1+\beta})|^{2} + |x|^{2} |(v^{+})^{1+\beta}|^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq c_{\beta} \left(1+C\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)} (x,0) \mathrm{d}x + c_{\beta}C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) (v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)} (x,0) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(5.5)

We now consider the last integral in (5.5). For all M > 0, we define $A_1 = \{g \le M\}$ and $A_2 = \{g > M\}$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)(v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) dx = \int_{A_{1}} g(x)(v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) dx + \int_{A_{2}} g(x)(v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) dx$$

$$\leq M \int_{A_{1}} (v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) dx$$

$$+ \left(\int_{A_{2}} g^{N}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\int_{A_{2}} \left((v^{+})^{2(\beta+1)}(x,0) \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} dx$$

$$\leq M \int_{A_{1}} (v^{+})^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) dx$$

$$+ \epsilon(M) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((v^{+})^{(1+\beta)} \right)^{2^{*}} (x,0) dx \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}, \quad (5.6)$$

and $\epsilon(M) = \left(\int_{A_2} g^N(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/N} \to 0 \text{ as } M \to \infty.$

We now handle the first integral in the right-hand side of (5.5), which coincides with the first integral in the right-hand side of (5.6). As a consequence of Proposition 4.1, we know that

$$S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((v^{+})^{(1+\beta)} \right)^{2^{*}} (x,0) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \left[|\nabla((v^{+})^{1+\beta})|^{2} + |x|^{2} |(v^{+})^{1+\beta}|^{2} \right]$$
(5.7)

Combining (5.7), (5.4), (5.5) and taking M large enough so that $\epsilon(M)Cc_{\beta} < \frac{1}{2}S$, we obtain

$$\frac{S}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((v^+)^{(1+\beta)} \right)^{2^*} (x,0) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \le c_\beta \left(1 + C + M \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v^+)^{2(1+\beta)} (x,0) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Proposition 5.2. For all $p \in [2, \infty)$ we have $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. According to Proposition 5.1, we have

$$\left| (v^+(\cdot,0))^{1+\beta} \right|_{2^*}^2 \le D_1 \left| (v^+(\cdot,0))^{1+\beta} \right|_2^2$$
(5.8)

for a positive constant D.

Choosing $1 + \beta_1 := (2^*/2) = \frac{N}{N-1} > 1$, it follows

$$|(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_2^2 = |v^+(x,0)|_{2^*}^{2^*} < \infty,$$

and we conclude that the right-hand side of (5.8) is finite, what allows us to conclude that $(v^+)^{1+\beta}(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) < \infty$. Now, choosing β_2 so that $1 + \beta_2 = (2^*/2)^2$, it follows from (5.8) that

$$v^+(\cdot,0) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-1}\frac{(2^*)^2}{2^2}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

After k iterations we have

$$v^+(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-1}\frac{(2^*)^k}{2^k}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

from what follows that $v^+(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty)$. Since the same argument is valid for $v^-(\cdot, 0)$, we obtain $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty)$. \Box

Lemma 5.2. The solution v of problem (1.2) belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ for all $p \in [2,\infty)$.

Proof. It follows from (5.5) that

$$||(v^+)^{1+\beta}||^2 \le C_1 |(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|^2 + C_2 |g|_N |(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2^*}^2$$

According to Proposition 5.2, there exist \tilde{C} such that $|v(x,0)|_q \leq \tilde{C}$ for all $q \in [2,\infty)$. Therefore,

$$||(v^+)|^{1+\beta}||^2 \le C_3(\tilde{C})^{2(1+\beta)}$$

But $||(v^+)||_{2^{\dagger}(1+\beta)}^{1+\beta} = ||(v^+)^{1+\beta}||_{2^{\dagger}} \le C_4 ||(v^+)^{1+\beta}||$, it follows from the last inequality that

$$\|v^+\|_{2^{\dagger}(1+\beta)}^{2(1+\beta)} \le C_4 C_3(\tilde{C})^{2(1+\beta)},$$

and $||v^+||_{2^{\dagger}(1+\beta)}$ is uniformly bounded for each $\beta > 0$. The same argument is valid for v^- , proving that $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ for all $2 \le p < \infty$. \Box

For the convenience of the reader, we prove the next result, which applies Moser's iteration technique. Its proof simply adapts that one given in [13].

Proposition 5.3. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a weak solution to the problem (1.2). Then $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$.

Proof. Applying inequality (5.7) to the function $|vv_T^{\beta}|^{2^*}(x,0)$ and combining with (5.2) yields (essa foi a única modificação maior que fiz até agora!)

$$S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |vv_{T}^{\beta}|^{2^{*}}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \leq c_{\beta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(v(x,0))v(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x.$$

According to Proposition 5.2, $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \ge 2$. Our hypotheses on f implies that

$$S|vv_T^{\beta}(\cdot,0)|_{2^*}^2 \le Cc_{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[v^2(x,0)v_T^{2\beta}(x,0) + |v(x,0)|^{\theta-2}v^2(x,0)v_T^{2\beta}(x,0) \right] \mathrm{d}x.$$

Since $|v|^{\theta-2}(x,0) = |v|^{\theta-2}\chi_{\{|v| \le 1\}}(x,0) + |v|^{\theta-2}\chi_{\{|v|>1\}}(x,0)$, it follows from Proposition 5.2 that

$$|v|^{\theta-2}\chi_{\{|v|>1\}}(\cdot,0) =: g_1(\cdot,0) \in L^{2N}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

thus allowing us to conclude that

$$v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0) + |v|^{\theta-2}v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0) \le (1+g_{1})v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0).$$

Therefore,

$$S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left|vv_{T}^{\beta}\right|^{2^{*}}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \leq c_{\beta}C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (1+g_{1})v^{2}(x,0)v_{T}^{2\beta}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x,$$

and, as $M \to \infty$, Fatou's Lemma and the Dominated Convergence Theorem yields

$$S|(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2^*}^2 \le Cc_\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+g_1(x))(v^+)^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x$$

Since $\frac{1}{2N} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N-1}{2N} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(x)(v^+)^{2(1+\beta)}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x &\leq |g_1|_{2N} \left| (v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0) \right|_2 \left| (v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0) \right|_{2^*} \\ &\leq |g_1|_{N/s} \left(\lambda \left| (v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0) \right|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left| (v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0) \right|_{2^*}^2 \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$[S|(v^{+})^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq Cc_{\beta} (1+\lambda |g_{1}|_{2N}) |(v^{+})^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2}^{2} + \frac{Cc_{\beta} |g_{1}|_{2N}}{\lambda} |(v^{+})^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2^{*}}^{2},$$

where $c_{\beta} = 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}$. Taking $\lambda > 0$ so that

$$\frac{Cc_{\beta} |g_1|_{2N}}{\lambda} = \frac{S}{2},$$

we obtain

$$|(v^{+})^{1+\beta}(x,0)|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq \frac{2}{S}Cc_{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{S}Cc_{\beta}|g_{1}|_{2N}^{2}\right)\left|(v^{+})^{1+\beta}(x,0)\right|_{2}^{2}$$

We can take K > 1 such that

$$\frac{2}{S}Cc_{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{S}Cc_{\beta}|g_{1}|_{2N}^{2}\right) \leq Ke^{\sqrt{1+\beta}} \quad \text{for all} \quad \beta > 0,$$

since $C_{\beta} = 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}$. Thus, we obtain

$$|(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|^2_{2^*} \le Ke^{\sqrt{1+\beta}}|(v^+)^{1+\beta}(x,0)|^2_2,$$

and also

$$|v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}(1+\beta)} \leq K^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta}}} |v^{+}(x,0)|_{2(1+\beta)}$$
(5.9)

Choosing $\beta_0 = 0$ and $2(\beta_{n+1} + 1) = 2^*(\beta_n + 1)$ it follows that $v^+(x,0) \in L^{2^*(\beta_{n+1}+1)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whenever $v^+(x,0) \in L^{2(\beta_n+1)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ If n = 0, then $\beta_0 = 0$, $2(\beta_1 + 1) = 2^*$ and (5.9) yields

$$|v^+(x,0)|_{2^*(\beta_1+1)} \le K^{\frac{1}{\beta_1+1}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_1+1}}} |v^+(x,0)|_{2^*}.$$

In the case n = 1, then $2(\beta_2 + 1) = 2^*_s(\beta_1 + 1)$ and it follows from (5.9) that

$$|v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}(\beta_{2}+1)} \leq K^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta_{2}}}} |v^{+}(x,0)|_{2(\beta_{2}+1)}$$
$$= K^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta_{2}}}} |v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}_{s}(\beta_{1}+1)}$$
$$\leq K^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta_{2}}}} K^{\frac{1}{\beta_{1}+1}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_{1}+1}}} |v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}}.$$

By induction, we obtain

$$|v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}(\beta_{n}+1)} \leq K^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\beta_{i}+1}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_{i}+1}}} |v^{+}(x,0)|_{2^{*}}.$$

Observe that the definition of the sequence (β_n) implies that $(\beta_1 + 1) = \frac{2^*}{2} > 1$, $(\beta_2 + 1) = \left(\frac{2^*}{2}\right)^2$ and, by induction, $(\beta_n + 1) = \left(\frac{2^*}{2}\right)^n$. Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ \text{that}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\beta_n} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta_n}} < \infty.$$

We conclude that

$$|v^+(x,0)|_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} |v^+(x,0)|_{2^*(1+\beta_n)} < \infty.$$

The same reasoning applied to $v^{-}(x, 0)$ allows us to conclude that $v(\cdot, 0) \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$. \Box

Remark 5.1. Since $||v||_p$ is uniformly bounded, we obtain $v \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

The next result follows by adapting the regularity result in Coti Zelati and Nolasco [13, Proposition 3.9], which, on its turn, adapts that one in Cabré and Solà-Morales [9].

Proposition 5.4. Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,0) = h(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(5.10)

where $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$. Then $v \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, R))$ for all $q \in [2, \infty)$ and R > 0. Additionally, if $h \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ is a classical solution of (5.10).

Proof of Theorem 4. In the original setting of problem (1.1), Theorem 3 means that the solution u(x) of that problem belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In Bongioanni and Torrea [5], the authors deal with operator $H = -\Delta + |x|^2$ in \mathbb{R}^N and its fractional inverses. More precisely, for each a > 0, they define the Hermite fractional integral operator

$$H^{-a}g = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{tH} g(x) t^a \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}$$

where $\{e^{tH}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the heat semigroup associated with H. They prove that H^{-a} is well-defined and bounded for any $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any a > 0 and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ [5,

Theorem 1]. As a consequence, they show that $|x|^{2a}H^{-a}g$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $1 \le p \le \infty$ [5, Lemma 3].

In our case, Proposition 5.3 guarantees that $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, using the conditions (f_1) and (f_2) results that $f(x, u) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since

$$u = H^{-1/2}\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2}u = H^{-1/2}f(x, u),$$

we apply this result with $g = f(x, u(x)) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and obtain Theorem 4.

6. On a superlinear critical problem

We consider the problem

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + |x|^2} u = |u(x)|^{2^* - 2} u(x) + \lambda |u(x)|^{q - 1} u(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{6.1}$$

where $1 < q < 2^* - 1 = \frac{(N+1)}{N-1}$ and $\lambda > 0$ are constants. In the extension setting \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ , problem (6.1) is written in the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + |x|^2 v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,0) = |v(x,0)|^{2^{\star}-2} v(x,0) + \lambda |v(x,0)|^{q-1} v(x,0) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

We consider the "energy" functional $I: \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{2^*} dx - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{q+1} dx.$$

It is easy to notice that critical points of the energy functional are weak solutions to problem (6.2).

Lemma 6.1. The energy functional I satisfies the geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem. More precisely,

(i) There exist $\rho, \delta > 0$ such that $I|_S \ge \delta > 0$ for all $v \in S$, where

$$S = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) : \|v\| = \rho \right\}.$$

(ii) There exists
$$e \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$$
 and $r > 0$ such that $||e|| > r$ and $I(e) < 0$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.1 we have that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuos for all $q \in [2, 2^*]$. Thus there exists $K_q > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^q \mathrm{d}x \le K_q ||v||, \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+).$$

Therefore

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^\star} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{2^\star} dx - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x,0)|^{q+1} dx$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{K_{2^\star}}{2^\star} \|v\|^{2^\star} - \frac{\lambda K_{q+1}}{q+1} \|v\|^{q+1}.$$

Consequently, if $||v|| = \rho > 0$ we obtain,

$$I(v) \ge \rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{K_{2^{\star}}}{2^{\star}} \rho^{2^{\star}-2} - \frac{\lambda K_{q+1}}{q+1} \rho^{q-1}\right).$$

Since $1 < q < 2^{\star} - 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{K_{2^{\star}}}{2^{\star}} \rho^{2^{\star}-2} - \frac{\lambda K_{q+1}}{q+1} \rho^{q-1} \to \frac{1}{2}, \text{ as } \rho \to 0^+$$

and therefore, there exists $\gamma, \rho > 0$ small enough such that,

 $I(v) \ge \gamma > 0$, for all $||u|| = \rho$.

(*ii*) Observe that, given $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, we have

$$I(tv_0) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|v_0\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0(x,0)|^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\lambda t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0(x,0)|^{q+1} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Since $1 < q < 2^{\star} - 1$, we obtain

$$I(tv_0) \to -\infty$$
 as $t \to \infty$

and (ii) follows.

It follows from the Mountain Pass Theorem without the (PS)-condition, the existence of a Palais-Smale sequence $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}'(v_n) \to 0$$
 in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+))^*$ and $I(v_n) \to c$,

where

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)), \tag{6.3}$$

and $\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C^1 \left([0, 1], \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \right) : \gamma(0) = 0 \ \text{e} \ \gamma(1) = e \}.$

Lemma 6.2. Let $1 < q < 2^* - 1$. Then, there exists a weak solution $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ of problem (6.2).

Proof. Standard arguments prove that the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ when $1 < q < 2^* - 1$. That is, there exists C > 0 such that

$$||v_n|| \le C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing to a subsequence $\{v_n\}$, we can suppose that

$$v_n \to v$$
 weakly in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$

and, due to the compactness of the immersion $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see Lemma (2.2) it follows that

$$v_n(\cdot, 0) \to v(\cdot, 0)$$
, strongly in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $2 \le s < 2^*$

and we also obtain that

$$v_n(\cdot,0) \to v(\cdot,0)$$
, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $|v_n(\cdot,0)| \le h$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N ,

for some $h \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, the boundedness of $\{v_n(\cdot, 0)\}$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ guarantees that

$$|v_n(\cdot,0)|^{2^*-2} v_n(\cdot,0) \to |v(\cdot,0)|^{2^*-2} v(\cdot,0), \quad \text{weakly in } \left(L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)^*$$

and we are done.

Given a function $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the extension operator $w = E_{1/2}(u)$ denotes its 1/2-harmonic extension to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ , that is, the solution w to the problem

$$-\Delta w = 0$$
 in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ , $-\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(x,0) = u$ on $\mathbb{R}^N = \partial \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$.

It is well-known that the family of functions

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\left(|x|^2 + \varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

is such that $w_{\varepsilon} = E_{1/2}(u_{\varepsilon})$ converges to S as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where the constant S is the one defined in Section 4.

If B_r denotes the ball in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ with center at the origin and radius r > 0, let R > 0 be fixed (R will be chosen later) and $\phi \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ a cut-off function with support in $B_{2R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $\phi(x, y) = 1$ if $(x, y) \in B_R$ and $0 \le \phi \le 1$ on B_{2R} . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) := \phi(x)w_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

The next result is stated in J. do Ó, O. Miyagaki and M. Squassina [17], generalizing a previous result by Barrios, Colorado, de Pablo e Sánchez [3].

Lemma 6.3. [17, Lemma 2.4] The family $\{\psi_{\varepsilon}\}$, and its trace on $\{y = 0\}$, namely, ϕu_{ε} , satisfy

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + C\varepsilon^{N-1},$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\phi u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon\right), & \text{if } N > 2\\ \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon\log(1/\varepsilon)\right), & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Define

$$\eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\psi_{\varepsilon}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi u_{\varepsilon}|^{2^{\star}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}}}},$$

then

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}|^2 \le S + C\varepsilon^{N-1},\tag{6.4}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), & \text{if } N > 2, \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)), & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}$$
(6.5)

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^q \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-1)q}{2}}\right), & \text{if } q > \frac{N}{N-1}(\text{ or } N \ge 2), \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-1)q}{2}}\right), & \text{if } q < \frac{N}{N-1}. \end{cases}$$
(6.6)

The next result is also obtained applying ideas used in do Ó, Miyagaki and Squassina [17].

Lemma 6.4. The constant c defined in (6.3) satisfies

$$c < \frac{1}{2N}S^N$$

where

$$S = \inf\left\{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla u|^2 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x,0)|^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x = 1\right\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show the existence of $0 \neq v_0 \in E$ such that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} I(tv_0) < \frac{1}{2N} S^N.$$
(6.7)

Indeed, supposing that (6.7) is true, we clearly have

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \le \tau \le 1} I(\gamma(\tau)) \le \sup_{t \ge 0} I(tv_0) < \frac{1}{2N} S^N,$$

since, by the Lemma 6.1 we have $I(tv_0) \to -\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$. By definition of I we have

$$I(t\eta_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{t^2}{2} \left\| \eta_{\varepsilon} \right\|^2 - \frac{t^{2^{\star}}}{2^{\star}} - \frac{\lambda t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^{q+1} \mathrm{d}x$$

and since $I(t\eta_{\varepsilon}) \to -\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$, it follows from the Mountain Pass geometry the existence of $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \ge 0} \psi(t) = \psi(t_{\varepsilon})$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}I(t\eta_{\varepsilon})}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=t_{\varepsilon}} = 0$, that is,

$$\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} - \lambda t_{\varepsilon}^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left|\eta_{\varepsilon}(x,0)\right|^{q+1} \mathrm{d}x = t_{\varepsilon}^{2^{\star}-2},$$

which yields

$$t_{\varepsilon} \leq \|\eta_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{2}{2^{\star}-2}} = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^{2} |\eta_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}-2}}$$
$$\leq \left(S + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |x|^{2} |\eta_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}-2}} =: t_{0},$$

the last inequality being a consequence of (6.4).

Since the function

$$t \mapsto (1/2)t^2 t_0^{2^*-2} - (1/2^*) t^{2^*}$$

is increasing on $[0, t_0)$, we obtain

$$I\left(t_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2N} \left(S + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + \int_{B_{2R}} |x|^2 \eta_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x\right)^N - \lambda \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now, by applying the elementary inequality $(a + b)^{\alpha} \leq a^{\alpha} + \alpha(a + b)^{\alpha-1}b$, valid for $\alpha \geq 1$ and a, b > 0, we obtain

$$\begin{split} I(t_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}) &\leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{N} + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + C\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^{2}\eta_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x - \lambda C_{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\eta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{N} + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + CR^{2}\int_{B_{2R}}\eta_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x - \lambda C_{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\eta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{N} + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + C_{0}R^{2}\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla\eta_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x - \lambda C_{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\eta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \end{split}$$

We first consider the case N > 2. In this case, taking R such that $0 < R^2 < \varepsilon < 1$, by applying (6.5) and (6.6), we obtain

$$I(t_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{N} + C\varepsilon^{N-1} + C\varepsilon - \lambda C\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-1)(q+1)}{2}}.$$

Since $1 < q < 2^* - 1$, we have $\frac{2N - (N-1)(q+1)}{2} < 1 < N - 1$, what yields inequality (6.7) for ε sufficiently small.

On the other hand, if N = 2 and $1 < q < 2^* - 1 = 3$, for $0 < R^2 < \varepsilon < 1$, it follows from a new application of (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$I(t_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{N} + C\varepsilon \left(1 + \log\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)\right) + C_{0}\varepsilon - \lambda C\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-q}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2N-q}{2}}}{\varepsilon \log (\varepsilon^{-1})} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{3-\frac{q}{2}}}{\varepsilon \log (\varepsilon^{-1})} = +\infty,$$

we obtain (6.7) also in this case, if ε is sufficiently small.

Lemma 6.5. The weak solution given by Lemma 6.2 is nontrivial.

Proof. Since $I(u_n) \to c$ and $||I'(u_n)|| \to 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{q+1} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x = c + o_n(1), \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{q+1} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x = o_n(1).$$
(6.8)

Suppose, by contradiction, that $u \equiv 0$. Then, since $u_n(\cdot, 0) \to u(\cdot, 0)$ in $L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{\star}}\right) \|u_n\|^2 = c + o_n(1).$$

and by (6.8)

$$||u_n||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{2^*} dx + o_n(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

By the Sobolev inequality result

$$||u_n||^2 \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla u_n|^2 \ge S\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{2^*} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\|^2 \ge S^N.$$

Thus we obtain

$$c = \lim_{n \to \infty} I(u_n)$$

= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} ||u_n||^2 - \frac{1}{2^*_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^+(x,0)^{2^*} dx$
= $\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u_n||^2 \ge \frac{1}{2N} S^N,$

which contradicts Lemma 6.4. Hence $u \neq 0$ and we are done.

Theorem 5 is now an immediate consequence of the previous results.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Funding: The third author was supported by Grant Proc. N⁰ 2022/16407-1 by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) and Grant Proc. N⁰ 303256/2022-2 by CNPq/Brazil.

Authors' contributions: All authors contributed equally to the article.

Availability of data and materials. This declaration is not applicable.

References

- V. Ambrosio, On the fractional relativistic Schrödinger operator, J. Differ. Equ. 308 (2021), 327–368.
- [2] V. Ambrosio, H. Bueno, A. Medeiros and G. Pereira, On the convergence of the fractional relativistic Schrödinger operator, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 54 (2023), no. 4, Paper 56, 28 p.
- [3] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo and U. Sánchez, On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator, J. Differ Equ. 252 (2012) 613-6162.
- [4] P. Belchior, H. Bueno, O. Miyagaki and G.A. Pereira: Asymptotic behavior of ground states of generalized pseudo-relativistic Hartree equation, Asymptot. Anal. 118 (2020), no.4, 269–295.
- [5] B. Bongioanni and J.L. Torrea, Sobolev spaces associated to the harmonic oscillator, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 116 (2006), no.3, 337–360.
- [6] H. Bueno, G. G. Mamani, A. Medeiros, G.A. Pereira, Results on a strongly coupled, asymptotically linear pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger system: Ground state, radial symmetry and Hölder regularity, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 221 (2022) Article ID 112916, 22 p.
- [7] H. Bueno, A. Medeiros and G. A. Pereira, Pohozaev-type identities for a pseudo-relativistic Schrodinger operator and applications, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 67 (2022), no. 10, 2481– 2506.
- [8] H. Bueno, O.H. Miyagaki and G. Pereira, *Remarks about a generalized pseudo-relativistic Hartree equation*, J. Differ Equ. 266 (2019), no. 1, 876–909.
- X. Cabré and J. Solà-Morales, Layer solutions in a half-space for boundary reactions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 12 (2005), 1678–1732.
- [10] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), 1245–1260.
- [11] X. Chang and Z-Q. Wang: Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity, Nonlinearity 26 (2013), no. 2, 479–494.
- [12] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, Ground states for the pseudo-relativistic Hartree equation with external potential, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145 1 (2015), 73–90.

- [13] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 22 (2011), no.1, 51–72.
- [14] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, Pohozaev identity and Virial Theorem for the Dirac-Coulomb operator, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Appl. 19 (2017)m 601–615.
- [15] A. Cotsiolis and N.K. Tavoularis, Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 295 (2004), 225–236.
- [16] R. Delbourgo, Square root of the harmonic oscillator, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995), 3356–3359.
- [17] J.M. do Ó, O. H. Miyagaki, M. Squassina, Critical and subcritical fractional problems with vanishing, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, v. 18, p. 1550063-20PP, 2016.
- [18] M. M. Fall and V. Felli, Unique continuation properties for relativistic Schrodinger operators with a singular potential, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35 (2015), no. 12, 5827–5867.
- [19] R. Lehrer and L.A. Maia, Positive solutions of asymptotically linear equations via Pohozaev manifold, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 213–246.
- [20] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Ground states of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), 153–184.
- [21] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, A guide to the Choquard equation, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 19 (2017), No. 1, 773–813.
- [22] Z. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, The Pohozaev identity for the fractional Laplacian, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 213 (2014), No. 2, 587–628.
- [23] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006), 655–657.
- [24] P.R. Stinga and J.L. Torrea, Extension problem and Harnack's Inequality for some fractional operators, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 35 11 (2010), 2092–2122.
- [25] P.R. Stinga and J.L. Torrea, Regularity theory for the fractional harmonic oscillator, J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2011), no.10, 3097–3131.
- [26] J. Xiao, A sharp Sobolev trace inequality for the fractional-order derivatives, Bull. Sci. math. 130 (2006), 87–96.

(H.P. Bueno) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF MINAS GERAIS, 31270-901 BELO HORIZONTE, MG, BRAZIL Email address: mailto:hamilton.pb@gmail.com

(A.H.S. Medeiros) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF VIÇOSA 36570-000 VIÇOSA, MG, BRAZIL Email address: aldo.medeiros@ufv.br

(O.H. Miyagaki) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905 SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL *Email address:* ohmiyagaki@gmail.com

(G.A. Pereira) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF OURO PRETO, 35400-000 OURO PRETO, MG, BRAZIL Email address: gilberto.pereira@ufop.edu.br