
MOLENETWORK: A TOOL FOR THE GENERATION OF SYNTHETIC OPTICAL NETWORK TOPOLOGIES

**Alfonso Sánchez-Macián, Nataliia Koneva,
Farhad Arpanaei, José Alberto Hernández**
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Leganés
Spain
alfonsan@it.uc3m.es

Marco Quagliotti, Emilio Riccardi
Telecom Italia
Torino
Italy

José M. Rivas-Moscoso, Juan P. Fernández-Palacios
Telefónica I+D
Madrid
Spain

Li Zhang
DTU Electro
Technical University of Denmark (DTU)
Kgs. Lyngby
Denmark

ABSTRACT

Model networks and their underlying topologies have been used as a reference for techno-economic studies for several decades. Existing reference topologies for optical networks may cover different network segments such as backbone, metro core, metro aggregation, access and/or data center. While telco operators work on the optimization of their own existing deployed optical networks, the availability of different topologies is useful for researchers and technology developers to test their solutions in a variety of scenarios and validate the performance in terms of energy efficiency or cost reduction. This paper presents an open-source tool, MoleNetwork, to generate graphs inspired by real network topologies of telecommunication operators that can be used as benchmarks for techno-economic studies.

Keywords Optical Network Topologies · synthetic generation · network architectures

1 Introduction

Future generation services are expected to have very high demanding requirements in terms of bandwidth and delay for fixed and mobile networks. As an example, ITU-T Focus Group NET-2030 introduced several use cases for next-generation services [1] including Holographic Type Communication, Tactile Internet for remote operations, Intelligent operation networking, Network and Computing convergence, Digital Twins, Space-terrestrial integrated network, and Industrial Internet of Things with cloudification. They were later extended with five additional ones [2]. These use cases have different requirements in terms of bandwidth (e.g. capacity, QoS), time (e.g. latency, synchronization), security (e.g. privacy, trustworthiness), artificial intelligence (data computation, storage) or ManyNets (e.g. addressing, mobility).

Providing resources for these increasing demands implies having a network planning strategy considering different scenarios depending on the expected market penetration of the services throughout a period of time. Network operators can use their internal topologies and models to forecast the required investments to support these services. Researchers

and technology providers have to verify the advantages of their solutions (architectural or technical) under different conditions and topologies (e.g. [3]).

Network topologies have been used as models for resiliency evaluation and techno-economic studies for some decades (e.g. [4], [5], [6]). Depending on the goal, they may cover different segments of the network, including backbone, metro core, metro aggregation, access and/or data center. For most of these segments, they are usually based on ring-based or mesh-based strategies [7, 8].

In this work, topology means the physical layout of the network, i.e. the adjacencies between the nodes equipped with fiber. Fiber adjacencies allow the creation of optical line systems (OLS). In state of the art OLSs typically, but not exclusively, rely on Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) systems in C-band only. In the future it is expected that multiband systems will be introduced (C+L systems are actually already commercial products) or high capacity systems that use special fibers such as multi core or hollow core fibers. Nodes are physical places where equipment of different network layers can be installed, such as optical equipment (e.g. ROADM), OTN switches, packet layer switches (e.g., IP routers) and also servers that implement virtual telco network and service functions. The topology of higher logical layers, such as OTN or IP, implies further assumptions in a multilayer perspective that is not considered in this article. The nodes in the topological models presented in this article are therefore the places where the multiplexing/demultiplexing and optical switching functionalities are carried out, at a minimum.

This paper presents MoleNetwork, an open-source tool to generate reference backbone and metro physical topologies to be used as a basis for techno-economic studies. The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 presents some background and state-of-the-art in terms of topologies, structures, and methodologies to generate them. Section 3 describes part of the statistical information provided by operators in the context of some European projects. Section 4 introduces the tool and the different parameters and possibilities to use it and presents an example of a scenario implementing a topology from the backbone to the metro aggregation sections. Section 5 compares the output statistics from the tool with the corresponding inputs to check that it is able to provide the desired results. Finally, Section 6 presents the conclusions.

2 Background

There have been several efforts in the last decades to provide topologies for research and innovation studies.

Real topologies (or an approximation to them) have been published in several studies, mainly for backbone networks (e.g. Italy [9], Germany [10] or Portugal [11]) or research networks (e.g. [12]). Many of them were collected in the Internet Topology Zoo [13], last updated in 2013.

The generation of realistic synthetic topologies has also been an interesting field of study. Numerous studies have focused on generating topologies that mimic the structure of the Internet e.g. [14], [15]. In [16], random graph generation is completed by allocating nodes in a plane and creating links between two nodes based on a probability distribution that considers the Euclidean distance between them. These models typically adhere to a power-law degree distribution, similar to that observed in the Internet [17] and other scale-free networks.

Optical transport networks with survivable topologies differ from scale-free networks [18] in several characteristics, making these Internet-based models inaccurate. Specific research has been carried out for these types of networks. In [18], a method is proposed to generate realistic optical transport topologies with an average nodal degree within a particular range. The total area of the plane is divided into regions, and the minimum distance between nodes and the total number of nodes, which are input parameters, are used to allocate nodes within the regions. Connections between nodes (within regions and between regions) in the topology follow specific rules to ensure survivability, delegating to Waxman method [16] to complete the network connections. Authors in [5] define a methodology based on the information of regional railways to create the geometric structure of the Metropolitan Area Network (MAN) topology as there is a strong correlation with the population densities. They create a MAN model with 23 nodes for Tokyo (Tokyo23) and a compression algorithm to reduce the number of nodes which results in another topology Tokyo12. They compare the results with another model design using communication network information.

Some papers also focused on generating 5G infrastructures. 5GEN [19] starts from Active Antenna Units (AAUs) and creates clusters of 6 AAUs based on their location (or population density [20]) connecting them to a switch. Then, it creates access and aggregation rings grouping switches from different hierarchical levels. INFRA5YNTH [21] defines random populations and deploys Radio Access Networks nodes to serve a number of cells following existing specifications and grouping traffic in access rings and aggregation rings. Both approaches are more oriented to a greenfield scenario.

In this paper, we present MoleNetwork, a tool that is capable of generating Backbone, Metro core and Metro aggregation structures based on the topology information provided by operators in the context of the EU-funded ALLEGRO project¹. This tool provides several options to generate topologies including different structures for metro core (mesh or n-ring structure), the possibility of defining the connectivity degree distribution for mesh structures, the ability to create a backbone to metro aggregation integrated mode or the specification of the different types of nodes for the hierarchy and their distribution within a level, among others. Depending on their role in the network hierarchy, nodes can be national, regional or local. They normally perform the add and drop of locally terminated traffic and switch both local traffic and transit traffic. Some nodes may not be enabled to handle add and drop traffic and they perform only the transit function, in that case they are classified as pass-through (or pure transit) nodes.

3 Topology data

The implemented MoleNetwork tool takes advantage of statistical and topological information defined within the ALLEGRO European-funded project. Operators taking part in the project defined some guidelines for backbone, metro core and metro aggregation structures to perform techno-economic studies covering increased demands in terms of capacity and latency due to next-generation services being deployed into the networks [8].




Figure 1: Typical hierarchical physical topology of a network operator.

Figure 1 gives an overall view of an operator’s physical network, from the access to interconnections with other networks and with the Internet, highlighting the optical layout topologies typical of each segment. Starting from the bottom, the access collects the FTTX-type fiber bindings (fiber to the home, curb, antenna etc..) and the direct fiber links of the radio mobile sites and business customers. Access is divided in areas. Each area (gray ellipses in figure 1) is collected by a local node. This network segment (the access) is not considered in the article and is the subject of future developments of MoleNetwork. Above the access, Figure 1 shows the metro-regional segment which, in this particular but highly representative example, is divided into two segments: an aggregation segment, which collects the access devices (e.g., PON OLTs, switches aggregating mobile traffic), and a core segment. The aggregation segment is typically composed of double-ended horseshoes, which guarantee good survivability in case of single link or node failures, as can be seen in the left part of the figure. Other structures such as trees, meshes, or hybrid topologies, imposed by infrastructural or economical constraints (e.g., it is not always possible to deploy fiber to make horseshoes or rings), are also possible, as shown on the right. The metro aggregation structures are connected to the metro core network which, as shown in the central band of the figure, can be a mesh (on the left) or a ring (on the right). The third and higher network segment is the national backbone represented in the upper part of the figure. In this case, the typical topology is a mesh and some variants are possible such as the presence of twin nodes and parallelized topology which guarantee a high level of geographic survivability. Finally, above the national backbone, the external networks are represented, including the Internet: they are connected to the backbone with specific few interconnection links.

¹ALLEGRO ‘Agile ultra-low energy secure networks’, <https://www.allegro-he.eu/>, last access March 2024

Information about two backbone topology structures were defined by the operators. Both of them are implemented as a mesh network with multiple nodes.

In the first case, the backbone is composed of national nodes (mainly), some regional nodes, and some transit/pass-through nodes. With about 50 nodes and 80 links, the statistics about the topological degree (connectivity of the nodes) and the link lengths are defined in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Topological degree statistics of first backbone structure

Topological Degree	Occurrence
1	0
2	22.7%
3	40.9%
4	27.3%
5	9.1%
6	0

Table 2: Link length statistics of first backbone structure

Link length range (kms)	Occurrence
0-50	15.5%
50-100	16.9%
100-200	33.8%
200-400	25.4%
400-600	8.5%

The other structure includes distributing two nodes per region, with some exceptions in highly populated regions which would require a higher number of nodes. Nodes are divided into hierarchical levels (L1, L2 and L3) with pass-through nodes also included. With more than 125 nodes and about 190 links, statistics about nodal degree connectivity are shown in table 3.

Table 3: Topological degree statistics from the second backbone structure

Hierarchical Level	% of nodes	Connectivity degree		
		Avg.	Min.	Max
L1	4%	6	3	9
L2	12.6%	4.8	3	9
L3	77.8%	3.4	3	9
Pass-through	5.6%	3.6	2	4

For the regional metro core structure, there are again two different structures. One is, again, based on mesh networks and it can include a variable number of nodes depending on a specific metro region. An example of a metro regional mesh for a big macro-region is included in Figure 2. In this sample network, whose network diameter is approximately 400 km, the majority are regional nodes (red squares) that play the role of a hub for aggregation structures (double-hubbed horseshoes). There are other regional nodes that take part of the core mesh even if they do not act as a hub for any of the aggregation horseshoe (orange squares). Five national nodes (green hexagons) take part of the metro core mesh assuring the interconnection with the backbone and there is also a special node hosting a big Data Center (polygon in violet). Regional metro networks smaller than the one illustrated in Figure 2, with a few dozen of nodes, or even much smaller (around twenty nodes), with network diameters in the range of 100-300 km, are typical of regions less extensive than that of the example shown in the figure.

Statistics about link lengths and types of nodes in the region are included in Table 4 and 5.

The second design for the regional metro core structure is based on ring structures (Figure 3). Green nodes are national nodes terminating the metro rings, blue nodes are regional nodes taking part of the rings and used as hubs for metro aggregation structures, while triangles represent amplification sites.

In particular, structures are defined with 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 rings with different probabilities as shown in Table 6. For each of these structures, several parameters can be enumerated including the average length of each ring in the structure and the average number of offices per ring.




Figure 2: Sample of a large size metro-regional meshed core network.

Table 4: Link length statistics of first metro core structure

Link length range (kms)	Occurrence
0-10	39%
10-40	37%
40-80	21%
80-120	3%

Finally, the metro aggregation networks are designed based on a horseshoe structure where its ends are nodes from the regional metro core structure. The number of nodes composing the horseshoe may vary from 3 to 6 (including both regional end nodes - in blue - and local nodes - in yellow - as shown in Figure 4), with one of the operators having up to 9 nodes in some of them. Statistics for the number of nodes and the metro aggregation design from one of these operators are shown in Tables 7 and 8.

This information is useful in order to create different topologies that represent realistic scenarios for techno-economic studies. They are the basis for the design of our proposed solution, i.e. MoleNetwork tool.

4 Proposed solution

The MoleNetwork tool is split into several modules that can be combined together. It follows a pluggable infrastructure so different strategies for backbone/metro network generation and clustering can be added. It is written in Python and is supported by widely used libraries such as Matplotlib, numpy, sklearn, scipy or Networkx among others. The tool is open source and it is publicly available² together with an online tutorial³.

4.1 Backbone generation and clustering

Backbone generation (Figure 5) is provided using a generic abstract model that can be implemented following different strategies. The tool brings by default three possible options, 1) generating a mesh network based on statistical values of parameters, 2) creating a set of interconnected twin nodes near to each other and 3) using an approach similar to the one proposed in [18]. Additional strategies can be added in a modular way.

For the mesh network strategy, the user provides statistics regarding degree connectivity such as the ones in Table 1 (*degree*, *probability*), percentage of nodes *perc_type* per type (e.g. national or regional central offices) similar to the information of the columns Hierarchical level and percentage of nodes in Table 3, and the total number of nodes *nodes* in the network. It also selects one of the available topology placement (*layout*) strategies (e.g. Spring or Kamada-Kawai[22]) from the underlying libraries.

The algorithm includes the following steps:

1. Get the degree connectivity statistics (i.e. *degree*, *probability*) and the total number of nodes (*nodes*).
2. Generate a random number $degree_i$ for the degree of connectivity of each node, i.e. the number of links to be created from each node i . This random number is based on the statistical information (*degree* and

²<https://github.com/amacian/molenetgen>

³<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fr0dzMEXKnM>

Table 5: Node type statistics of first metro core structure

Node type	Occurrence
Data centre	1%
National Central Office	5%
Regional Central Office	70%
Regional Central Office (no hub)	24%




Figure 3: Examples of regional metro core ring structures (in green national nodes, in blue regional nodes).

probability) provided as input. If the sum of the total number of expected links ($\sum_{i=1}^{nodes} degree_i$) is not even, increase the value to 1 for one of the nodes with the lowest degree.

3. Generate random connections *edges* between nodes based on the previous connectivity values using the configuration model [23][24].
4. Drop parallel edges and self-loops.
5. Validate that the graph is connected and check for node survivability. If fail, repeat steps 2 to 4.
6. Ensure edge survivability by applying *k* edge augmentation [25] if needed.
7. Get the input statistics about node types *perc_type*, and assign types randomly to the created nodes.
8. Rename the nodes based on their type and sequence. e.g. NCO14.
9. Assign coordinates (positions *pos*) based on the selected layout strategy.
10. Define actual distances *dist*s by scaling up the existing layout using the defined distance ranges (and, in particular, the maximum distance).
11. Assign colors for the graph, based on the assigned types.

The twin nodes strategy uses the same input parameters, just forcing the total number of nodes to be even. The process will place half of the nodes with a reduced topology including all links and then create the twin nodes next to the original ones and split the original links between the twins. This is detailed in the following steps:

1. Get the degree connectivity statistics (i.e. *degree*, *probability*) and the total number of nodes (*nodes*).
2. Choose only half of the nodes adapting the degree connectivity statistics so $degree_{new} = (degree_{old} * 2) - 2$. This will ensure, as explained later, that the final connectivity will match the original one as the original and twin nodes will be connected to each other.

Table 6: Statistics for the occurrence of n-ring structures

Structure	Occurrence
1-ring	8%
2-ring	53%
3-ring	25%
4-ring	10%
6-ring	4%




Figure 4: Examples of regional metro aggregation horseshoes (in blue regional end nodes, in yellow local aggregation nodes).

3. Follow steps 2 to 9 from the mesh topology algorithm.
4. Generate a twin node $node_tw_i$ for each node (except transit nodes) by placing it next to the original one $node_i$ within a reduced fixed distance range d_{range} . The actual coordinates of the twin node x_tw_i, y_tw_i are generated by defining the distance in the coordinates x and y in relation to $node_i$ based on random values within d_{range} .
5. Relabel the new nodes by adding a specific suffix ($_TW$) to the original corresponding one (e.g. $NCO1$ and the twin $NCO1_{TW}$).
6. Copy the assigned node type from the original node to the twin one.
7. Split randomly in two equal size sets ($edgesA_i$ and $edgesB_i$) the connections ($edges_i$) that were created between the original node $node_i$ and third nodes. One of the subsets (e.g. $edgesA$) is reassigned to the new node $node_tw_i$, creating the connections from this twin node to the third nodes and dropping the original connections that existed from $node_i$ to them.
8. Create the link between the original and twin nodes.
9. Define actual distances $dist_s$ by scaling up the existing layout using the defined distance ranges (and, in particular, the maximum distance).
10. Assign colors for the graph, based on the assigned types.

The strategy based on [18] uses the average degree connectivity instead of the whole distribution to build a network. As previously explained, the nodes are allocated in regions and some rules are applied to the connections within a region and between regions, using also Waxman [16] probability to complete the link distribution. The original paper provides a more detailed description.

An additional functional component is available in order to cluster the backbone nodes to create the metro regions for later metro core network generation. Two different implementations have been completed, i.e. clustering based on only the distance between nodes, and another option taking into account the distance and connectivity. Both of them use a "Density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise" (DBSCAN [26]) clustering algorithm where the user can provide the ϵ parameter (neighborhood size) and also they can force to avoid leaving single nodes *avoid_single* (pairing them with existing ones). An example of the result of the process of creation of metro regions is included in Figure 6.

Table 7: Statistics for the number of nodes in a metro aggregation horseshoe

Number of nodes	Occurrence
3	10%
4	19%
5	21%
6	27%
7	14%
8	5%
9	4%

Table 8: Statistics of the metro aggregation horseshoe

	min	max	average	std. deviation
Num hops (hub-to-hub)	2	8	4.5	1.5
Link length (km)	0.6	166.4	21.4	22.2
Total horseshoe length (km)	15.2	301.9	95.6	61.7

The detailed algorithm for the distance-only based clustering is as follows:

1. Select the nodes $nodes$ from the topology and exclude those that are transit only nodes.
2. Get the coordinates $coord$ of all the selected nodes and apply the DBSCAN algorithm using the provided ϵ as the parameter, selecting the Euclidean distance as the one to be used for clustering.
3. For each node $node_i$, retrieve its cluster label $label_j$ (corresponding to the cluster j). These clusters may include just one node or several of them depending on the topology and the ϵ parameter.
4. Group all the transit nodes into a virtual cluster with a new label $label_{max}$.
5. If single nodes are not allowed (input parameter $avoid_single$ is true), continue to the next step, otherwise, end the process.
6. Go through the cluster nodes $label_j$ selecting the ones that include just one element $node_i$ and use a K-Dimensional tree to find the nearest neighbor from the node $node_i$ among the rest of the nodes. This $node_{ngh}$, included in another cluster $label_{ngh}$, could be another single-clustered node, or, alternatively, $label_{ngh}$ may have several members.
7. Place the node $node_i$ together with the nearest neighbor $node_{ngh}$ in the neighbor's cluster $label_{ngh}$.

For the distance and connectivity-based clustering, the previous process is specialized so only the elements that are connected to each node are considered in order to build the cluster, i.e. two nodes $node_i$ and $node_j$ can belong to the same cluster only if there is an edge $edge_{i,j}$ between them or they are connected through other nodes from the same cluster (e.g. $edge_{i,k}$ and $edge_{k,j}$ with $node_k$ also belonging to the cluster). This is done for the original construction (separating those nodes that are not connected) and also for the reassignment of single nodes (considering only the nodes connected when finding $node_{ngh}$).

Taking into account that the user might want to customize the structure, a graphical user interface has been created (see Figure 7). In this interface, the user can fine-tune the specific input parameters, choose the appropriate implementation of the backbone generator and clustering mechanisms (with the related constraints), but it can also create and drop links from the generated architecture and also define link length ranges (setting the longest link distance) to get statistics from the different generated lengths.

Results (nodes, links, and additional information) are currently being written to an Excel file (and the topology to an image file) to be used as input for other tools.

Notice that this Excel file can then be manually modified or adapted to change any information as desired (e.g. modifying the metro regions or dropping nodes or links).

4.2 Metro core generation

Two options are provided in the tool to generate Metro core structures: generating a specific metro core network by defining only statistical parameters, or reading clusters created by the backbone module.




Figure 5: Backbone Generation process.




Figure 6: Examples of clustering for metro region creation.

Metro generation is also driven by a generic model that is implemented through different strategies. In this case, it is possible to create a metro mesh or a ring-based topology for a metro region.

When creating a metro mesh structure, it requires similar parameters as in the backbone, i.e. degree connectivity statistics, number of nodes per type, the total number of nodes, and the algorithm for layout. The behavior of this part is similar to the mesh generation for backbone networks.

If information is read from the previously generated backbone network, it is possible to choose one of the created metro regions and build a mesh network based on it. In this case, the previously commented parameters are also considered. The only change has to do with the percentage of national central offices. This value is no longer used and, instead, the actual nodes defined within the cluster are utilized.

The algorithm for creating a metro core mesh structure is described next:

1. The main nodes (national nodes or the ones defined in the metro cluster) are selected independently to generate a subnet.
2. The number of nodes defined per node type is distributed evenly among all the subnets.
3. For each of the defined subnets, a topology of nodes is created following the steps 2 to 7 of the original backbone generation algorithm, i.e. from random link number generation to type assignment.
4. Each subnet is connected to the previous one forming a new topology by:
 - (a) Renaming the nodes of the subnet to avoid conflicts and to follow a sequence.
 - (b) Choosing two random nodes from both subnets s_{1a} , s_{1b} and s_{2a} , s_{2b} among those with the minimum degree connectivity.
 - (c) Creating links between these nodes s_{1a} to s_{2a} and s_{1b} to s_{2b}




Figure 7: GUI screen for parameter definition.




Figure 8: Additional functionalities through the GUI.

5. Colors are assigned to the different nodes depending on their type.
6. Coordinates are assigned based on the layout strategy that was chosen.
7. Actual distances are defined by scaling up the layout as in previous algorithms.

It is also possible to define a ring-based approach for metro core generation. For this, the particular implementation uses the definition of different ring-based structures and statistics made by Telefónica in the context of the European Allegro project and it ignores any of the parameters defined for metro mesh generation.

These structures use two nodes from the backbone as the main nodes and one or several (1, 2, 3, 4 or 6) rings are deployed between them. The main inputs for this approach are the number of rings $nrings$ composing the structure (1-6) and the name of the two backbone nodes ($end1$ and $end2$) used as ends of the rings (e.g. NCO15 and NCO15_TW). Optionally, a prefix ($pref$) and an initial index ($init_idx$) can also be provided to customize the name of the newly generated nodes (e.g. to associate them to the cluster they belong or to the end nodes). Although average lengths are defined for the different segments of the rings, a variability parameter (var) is also optionally provided to introduce some noise in the actual length value for each segment of every ring.

The creation of these ring structures is divided into several steps:

1. An empty graph $ring$ is initially created.
2. For each ring r_i composing the N-ring structure (being i between 1 and $nrings$), the following steps are taken:
 - (a) A specific $suffix$ is assigned ("A" to "F") depending on the position of the ring within the structure (e.g. "A" to the first ring and "F" to the sixth if it exists).
 - (b) The average total length l_i of the ring i , the number of offices it includes n_i , the length range ($min_length_{ij}, max_length_{ij}$) for each segment $j(= n_1 + 1)$ of the ring i , and the maximum distance without amplifiers $distamp_i$ are retrieved from the initial configuration parameters. These values depend on the specific N-ring structure and the particular ring within it.
 - (c) The actual total length value of the ring is calculated based on l_i and var , using a uniform distribution.
 - (d) The final values for the length of each segment j of the ring $length_{ij}$ (except the last one) are calculated based on a uniform distribution between min_length_{ij} and max_length_{ij} .
 - (e) The length of the last segment is calculated by subtracting $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} length_{ij}$ from l_i . If the result is negative, it is weighted into a positive value, reducing the length of the rest of the segments to keep l_i as the total length.
 - (f) The segments are randomly reallocated within the link.
 - (g) The name of the offices is generated sequentially concatenating the prefix $pref$, the number of rings $nrings$ of the structure, an increasing index starting at $init_idx$ for each ring, and $suffix$.
 - (h) Amplifiers are placed by breaking the links with distances higher than $distamp_i$. Names for the amplifiers are created in a similar way, but include the "AMP" string in the name.
 - (i) Nodes $end1$ and $end2$ are inserted at the beginning and end of the ring.
 - (j) A $subring$ graph is created with the information generated in the previous steps.
 - (k) The $subring$ is merged with the original $ring$.
3. Graph distances are retrieved.
4. Positions are generated by applying a spring layout to the $ring$ graph.
5. Types are defined by assigning a "national" type to $end1$ and $end2$ and a "regional" type to the rest of the nodes.
6. Colors are assigned to the nodes based on their type.
7. For each node of each ring, one of the end nodes ($end1$ or $end2$) is selected as the reference national node based on the distance, using the shortest path algorithm and a dummy node connected to the ends.

An example of a metro mesh structure and a 3-ring-based structure generated by MoleNetwork are shown in Figure 9.

The generation of metro core networks also provides a graphical user interface that lets the user parameterize the system but also read the backbone generated Excel file, retrieve the clusters, and choose one of them to generate the metro core network (Figure 10).

Additionally, if a single metro region is to be created, the GUI makes it possible to define the names of the national nodes instead of generating them sequentially.

Finally, the information can be stored in an Excel file (that can be the same as the backbone one merging some information) with the image stored as a file as well.

4.3 Metro aggregation segment

MoleNetwork also provides a way of generating metro aggregation networks mapping them to horseshoe/ring structures. The implementation uses the statistical information provided by Telecom Italia Mobile in the context of the Allegro project. However, as previously pointed out, a generic model is used so different implementations can be created.




Figure 9: Example of metro core structures generated by MoleNetwork.




Figure 10: Reading backbone metro regions in the metro core GUI.

In this case, the structure is drawn as a straight line (Figure 11), with specific regional nodes used as ends. They are split into different segments corresponding to the hops, with specific lengths based on distance statistics. The actual shape of the structure would be, as previously commented, a ring or horseshoe, but in terms of representation, all the information is provided by the specific hop distances.

The parameters provided to the algorithm for the generation of the horseshoe are the initial and final node names (*end1* and *end2*), the initial index *idx*, and the number of hops for the horseshoe *hops*. Additionally, the user should provide a set of different possible length ranges for the total length of the horseshoe *len_ranges* and their corresponding



Figure 11: Example of a metro aggregation ring drawn as a straight line

probability of occurrence $perc_{length}$. Optional parameters include a prefix for the node names $pref$ and a mapping from node types to colors for the graphical representation $dict_colors$.

The algorithm works as follows:

1. One of the possible len_ranges (e.g. 10-20km) is randomly selected based on the probabilities provided $perc_{length}$. The total length $len_horseshoe$ is retrieved using a uniform distribution to produce a value between both range ends.
2. To define the location loc_local of the local COs (nodes in the horseshoe), $hops - 1$ random values are generated between 1 and $len_horseshoe$ and sorted in increasing order. These would be the specific distances between the origin and each of the nodes.
3. A graph $horseshoe$ is created and the first end $end1$ is added to the graph. Its type is defined as "regional".
4. The $hops - 1$ nodes are added sequentially to the graph into the previously calculated distances loc_local , generating edges between the previously inserted node and the new one. Their type is defined as "local" CO.
5. The last end $end2$ is added to the graph and connected to the last of the generated nodes. Its type is defined as "regional" CO.
6. Types of each node are mapped to colors using $dict_colors$
7. Positions are defined based on the loc_local values.
8. A graphical representation of the created graph is prepared.
9. For each node of the horseshoe, one of the end nodes ($end1$ or $end2$) is selected as the reference national node based on the distance, using the shortest path algorithm and a dummy node connected to the ends.

A GUI is also available for metro aggregation generation (Figure 12). In this case, the user can select an Excel file with a previously generated metro core network, load the information of that network, choose a source and destination node and the number of hops to generate the graph, and save the generated graph files.




Figure 12: Selecting source and destination nodes in the Metro Aggregation GUI

4.4 Backbone to metro aggregation integrated flow

The supporting modules of the tool make it possible to create a flow to generate a complete topology from backbone to metro aggregation.

An example with main parts of the topology is shown in Figure 13. For simplicity, a small backbone network based on the twin approach is created with just six nodes which are automatically clustered together in three metro regions.

Then, for each of the three clusters created, a ring structure is requested and generated. In this case, a 3-ring, a 4-ring, and a 2-ring structures are generated for those regions including nodes and amplifiers where required (based on statistical and distance information).

In the created flow, we decided to build metro aggregation horseshoes for every two interconnected nodes (excluding amplifiers).




Figure 13: Example of the backbone to metro aggregation generation flow

An example of one of the metro aggregation structures is shown in the right part of the figure.

All the information is integrated into a single Excel file for later processing or to be used in research or technical studies.

An example of the generated information from an Excel file for a backbone with 48 nodes and the related metro core and aggregation structures is shown in Figure 14

node_name	Central_office_type	Reference_Regional_CO	Reference_National_CO	Macro_region	x_coord_backbone	y_coord_backbone	x_coord_m_core	y_coord_m_core
NC01	NCO	NC01	NC01	24	-0.34413128	0.88655052	-0.42018768	-0.13942099
NC02	NCO	NC02	NC02	25	0.258404583	0.326159614	0.73679511	0.617405206
NC03	NCO	NC03	NC03	26	-0.099998166	0.285750413	-0.791271568	0.046249362
NC04	NCO	NC04	NC04	27	0.356466441	1	0.2295473	-0.018893937
NC05	NCO	NC05	NC05	28	0.170346937	-0.1440846	0.044963883	-0.908505583
NC06	NCO	NC06	NC06	29	0.092050886	0.766021749	0.299056824	0.802144375
NC07	NCO	NC07	NC07	30	-0.098665842	-0.755663775	0.299840937	-0.374740785
RC01	RCO	RCO1	RCO1	31	0.125588419	0.22051752	-0.565875823	-0.270734234
RC08	RCO	RCO8	RCO8	32	0.566570041	0.466319459	-0.19542237	0.15015086
NC09	NCO	NC09	NC09	33	-0.57059722	0.237642102	-0.57034993	0.604065997
NC010	NCO	NC010	NC010	34	-0.09623453	0.659203147	-0.164375226	0.791721025
NC011	NCO	NC011	NC011	35	-0.30075705	-0.67193117	-0.786154617	-0.14048467
NC012	NCO	NC012	NC012	36	-0.466626782	-0.236397147	-0.812236025	0.63589167
NC013	NCO	NC013	NC013	37	0.810817803	0.070511491	-0.710729694	0.539302248
NC014	NCO	NC014	NC014	38	-0.56579698	-0.5672289	-0.088914601	0.931353172
RC02	RCO	RCO2	RCO2	39	-0.470456061	0.536575517	-0.887931851	-0.839799108
RC03	RCO	RCO3	RCO3	40	-0.274883322	-0.02900792	0.767149724	-0.881877653
NC015	NCO	NC015	NC015	41	-0.287482629	-0.33549371	0.87130824	-0.028137366
NC016	NCO	NC016	NC016	42	0.306653862	0.043468714	0.426001404	-0.103134291
NC017	NCO	NC017	NC017	43	-0.73871045	0.050039648	0.686229056	-0.146789866
NC018	NCO	NC018	NC018	44	0.695602811	-0.80641792	-0.2497989	0.79166179
NC019	NCO	NC019	NC019	45	0.231230045	-0.754058861	-0.459391758	0.857429394
NC020	NCO	NC020	NC020	46	0.21695354	-0.351383914	-0.147889052	1
RC04	RCO	RCO4	RCO4	47	0.332460777	-0.278996959	0.5383662925	-0.331601247
NC01_TW	NCO	NC01_TW	NC01_TW	24	-0.306196302	0.23005309	0.449275075	-0.813385333
NC02_TW	NCO	NC02_TW	NC02_TW	25	0.298281502	0.194853977	-0.794862418	-0.577705254
NC03_TW	NCO	NC03_TW	NC03_TW	26	-0.077381584	0.198871399	1	0.001083678
NC04_TW	NCO	NC04_TW	NC04_TW	27	0.418703923	0.955053375	-0.579306769	-0.048897405
...								
R47-35B	RCO	R47-35B	RCO4	0	0	0	-0.312982956	-0.069144654
R47-31C	RCO	R47-31C	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0.391457613	0.208975068
R47-33C	RCO	R47-33C	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0.615003343	-0.105440919
R47-33C	RCO	R47-33C	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0.686831504	-0.460842618
R47-AMP4C	RCO	R47-AMP4C	RCO4	0	0	0	0.55912011	-0.802444815
R47-34C	RCO	R47-34C	RCO4	0	0	0	0.279598652	-0.987953324
R47-35C	RCO	R47-35C	RCO4	0	0	0	-0.092791315	-0.978464577
R47-36C	RCO	R47-36C	RCO4	0	0	0	-0.367007592	-0.747223568
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31A.1	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31A.2	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31A.3	LEO	R47-31A	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31B.1	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31B.2	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31B.3	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0
LCO_RC04_TW_R47-31B.4	LEO	RCO4_TW	RCO4_TW	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 14: Example of the Excel output generated by the backbone to metro aggregation flow

5 Validation

Several experiments were carried out to generate networks using the tool and the statistics presented in Section 3.

To validate the tool, 1000 iterations were run for each of the three strategies presented in the backbone network. For the two first ones, the iterations were repeated for the different algorithms used for network layout.

As the degree distribution and the type generation are based on a random process using the defined proportions, and the proportions may not match an exact integer when applying them to a specific number of nodes, the result may not match exactly the provided values. Thus, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) metric has been used to compare the results with the information provided as an input parameter. Results when using the information from Table 1 are shown in Table 9. The comparison of the input degree distribution and the one with the best MAPE in each

combination is shown in Table 10 (only showing two decimals). Notice that the results are, in general, very close to the input provided. The algorithm can be adapted to select the best result for a specific metric among a set of iterations.

Table 9: Metrics for backbone degree distribution compared to input parameters

Strategy	Algorithm	MAPE	
		Best	Average
Default	Spectral	0.024	0.244
Default	Spring	0.024	0.234
Default	Kamada	0.024	0.242
Dual	Spectral	0.041	0.376
Dual	Spring	0.046	0.359
Dual	Kamada	0.009	0.373
Pavan et al[18]		0.024	0.253

Table 10: Comparison of inputs and degree proportions for best MAPE in the backbone scenario

Strategy	Algorithm	2	3	4	5	other
Inputs		0.23	0.41	0.27	0.09	0
Default	Spectral	0.23	0.42	0.27	0.08	0
Default	Spring	0.23	0.42	0.27	0.08	0
Default	Kamada	0.23	0.42	0.27	0.08	0
Dual	Spectral	0.23	0.38	0.28	0.09	0.02
Dual	Spring	0.22	0.43	0.26	0.09	0
Dual	Kamada	0.23	0.41	0.27	0.09	0
Pavan et al[18]		0.23	0.42	0.27	0.08	0

Although the algorithms are not originally created to match specific distance ranges and weights as the ones provided in Table 2, it is possible to apply a factor (configurable to reduce an error metric) to approximate the generated topology distances to the ones required by the user. Additionally, a number of executions can be run to select the model that approximates better the required ranges of distances. Thus, we have tested how the different models fit the specific ranges provided in Table 2 selecting the best result out of the 1000 executions. The result is shown in Table 11. It looks like the best approximation for this set of distance ranges and proportions is the use of the Spectral algorithm. This may change with different distance distributions.

Table 11: Comparison of backbone distance range percentages for the best match with the provided ranges

Strat.	Algo.	0-50	50-100	100-200	200-400	400-600
Inputs		15.5	16.9	33.8	25.4	8.5
Default	Spectral	12.7	17.7	34.1	26.6	8.9
Default	Spring	1.2	2.5	21.0	66.7	8.6
Default	Kamada	0	0	16.7	74.3	9.0
Dual	Spectral	16.4	22.4	28.3	25.4	7.5
Dual	Spring	14.5	21.7	18.8	34.8	10.2
Dual	Kamada	15.6	15.6	16.9	42.8	9.1
Pavan et al[18]		0	16.5	47.1	28.2	8.2

In the case of the Metro Mesh generation, we used the same degree distribution as input for 1000 runs of the experiment with the three different algorithms. We decided to use 95 nodes with 5 national central offices in the experiment as in the example in Figure 2. Results are shown in Tables 12 and 13.

Again, they have been approximated to the link distance distribution, in this case from Table 4. Values for the best outputs in the 1000 iterations are shown in 14.

Validation was completed with the rest of the metro core and aggregation structures by checking that they were created following the statistics from Table 6 for the metro N-rings and Table 7 for the metro aggregation horseshoes.

Table 12: Metrics for metro mesh degree distribution compared to input parameters

Strategy	Algorithm	MAPE	
		Best	Average
Default	Spectral	0.135	0.408
Default	Spring	0.131	0.415
Default	Kamada	0.095	0.414

Table 13: Comparison of inputs and degree proportions for best MAPE in the metro scenario

Strategy	Algorithm	2	3	4	5	other
Inputs		0.23	0.41	0.27	0.09	0
Default	Spectral	0.19	0.48	0.26	0.07	0
Default	Spring	0.21	0.47	0.24	0.08	0
Default	Kamada	0.21	0.46	0.24	0.09	0

6 Conclusion

This paper has described an open-source tool for developing backbone, metro core and metro aggregation networks based on statistical parameters that can be customized by the user. It provides several graphical user interfaces to make the generation process easy and it also enables the creation of a flow to define the complete backbone of metro aggregation segments of a particular topology.

The different algorithms used for generating the various network segments have been detailed and the complete generation flow has also been described showing an example with a small network.

Finally, validation was completed to check that the degree distribution was followed and to show that it is possible to approximate the results to a distribution of distances for the links to simulate a similar network.

Future work includes the incorporation of the access segment and the definition of new strategies for topology generation based on Artificial Intelligence (e.g. Machine Learning) among other topics.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of EU-funded ALLEGRO projects (grant No.101092766) and R&D project PID2022-136684OB-C21 (Fun4Date) funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

References

- [1] FG NET-2030. Representative use cases and key network requirements for network 2030. Technical Report FG-NET2030-Sub-G1 Technical Report, ITU-T, Jan 2020.
- [2] FG NET-2030. Additional representative use cases and key network requirements for network 2030. Technical Report FG-NET2030-Sub-G1 Technical Report, ITU-T, Jun 2020.
- [3] N. Antoniadis, I. Roudas, G. Ellinas, and J. Amin. Transport metropolitan optical networking: evolving trends in the architecture design and computer modeling. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 22(11):2653–2670, 2004.
- [4] S. Johansson, A. Manzalini, M. Giannoccaro, R. Cadeddu, M. Giorgi, R. Clemente, R. Brandstrom, A. Gladisch, J. Chawki, L. Gillner, P. Ohlen, and E. Berglind. A cost-effective approach to introduce an optical wdm network in the metropolitan environment. *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, 16(7):1109–1122, 1998.
- [5] Takuji Tachibana, Yusuke Hirota, Keiji Suzuki, Takehiro Tsuritani, and Hiroshi Hasegawa. Metropolitan area network model design using regional railways information for beyond 5g research. *IEICE Transactions on Communications*, E106.B(4):296–306, 2023.
- [6] D. F. Rueda, E. Calle, and J. L. Marzo. Robustness comparison of 15 real telecommunication networks: Structural and centrality measurements. *Journal of Network and Systems Management*, 25:269–289, 2017.
- [7] Peter Arijs, Bart Van Caenegem, Piet Demeester, Paul Lagasse, Wouter Van Parys, and Philip Achten. Design of ring and mesh based wdm transport networks. *Optical Networks Magazine*, 1(3):25–40, 2000.

Table 14: Comparison of metro mesh distance range percentages for the best match with the provided ranges

Strat.	Algo.	0-10	10-40	40-80	80-120
Inputs		39	37	21	3
Default	Spectral	36	40.7	20	3.33
Default	Spring	21	47.4	24.2	7.4
Default	Kamada	0	2.5	78.9	18.6

- [8] Farhad Arpanaei, José Manuel Rivas-Moscoco, Ivan De Francesca, José Alberto Hernández, Alfonso Sánchez-Macián, Mahdi Ranjbar Zefreh, David Larrabeiti, and Juan Pedro Fernández-Palacios. Enabling seamless migration of optical metro-urban networks to the multi-band: unveiling a cutting-edge 6d planning tool for the 6g era. *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, 16(4):463–480, Apr 2024.
- [9] D. Colle, S. De Maesschalck, C. Develder, P. Van Heuven, A. Groebbens, J. Cheyns, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Lagasse, and P. Demeester. Data-centric optical networks and their survivability. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 20(1):6–20, 2002.
- [10] Christina T. Politi, Herbert Haunstein, Dominic A. Schupke, Svetoslav Duhovnikov, Gottfried Lehmann, Alexandros Stavdas, Matthias Gunkel, Jonas Martensson, and Andrew Lord. Integrated design and operation of a transparent optical network: a systematic approach to include physical layer awareness and cost function [topics in optical communications]. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 45(2):40–47, 2007.
- [11] J Pedro, A Teixeira, P Monteiro, and J Pires. On a portuguese backbone network of reference. In *Proc. of the Symp. on Enabling Optical Networks and Sensors, SEON’05*, pages 80–84, 2005.
- [12] Author Name. Rediris. la red, 2019. Accessed on March 1, 2024.
- [13] Simon Knight, Hung X. Nguyen, Nickolas Falkner, Rhys Bowden, and Matthew Roughan. The internet topology zoo. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 29(9):1765–1775, 2011.
- [14] D. Magoni. nem: a software for network topology analysis and modeling. In *Proceedings. 10th IEEE International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunications Systems*, pages 364–371, 2002.
- [15] Lechang Cheng, Norm C. Hutchinson, and Mabo R. Ito. Realnet: A topology generator based on real internet topology. In *22nd International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications - Workshops (aina workshops 2008)*, pages 526–532, 2008.
- [16] B.M. Waxman. Routing of multipoint connections. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 6(9):1617–1622, 1988.
- [17] Michalis Faloutsos, Petros Faloutsos, and Christos Faloutsos. On power-law relationships of the internet topology. *SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev.*, 29(4):251–262, aug 1999.
- [18] Claunir Pavan, Rui Manuel Morais, José R. Ferreira da Rocha, and Armando Nolasco Pinto. Generating realistic optical transport network topologies. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking*, 2(1):80–90, 2010.
- [19] Jorge Martín-Pérez, Luca Cominardi, Carlos J. Bernardos, and Alain Mourad. 5gen: A tool to generate 5g infrastructure graphs. In *2019 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN)*, pages 1–4, 2019.
- [20] Jorge Martín-Pérez, Luca Cominardi, Carlos J. Bernardos, Antonio de la Oliva, and Arturo Azcorra. Modeling mobile edge computing deployments for low latency multimedia services. *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting*, 65(2):464–474, 2019.
- [21] Victor Cionca, Donna O’Shea, Hemanth K. Pannem, Akshatha Sathyanarayan, Archit Baweja, Ki Suh Lee, and Sacheth Hegde. Generating synthetic 5g infrastructures with infra5ynth. In *2021 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN)*, pages 193–198, 2021.
- [22] Tomihisa Kamada and Satoru Kawai. An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 31(1):7–15, 1989.

- [23] Edward A Bender and E.Rodney Canfield. The asymptotic number of labeled graphs with given degree sequences. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 24(3):296–307, 1978.
- [24] Michael Molloy and Bruce Reed. A critical point for random graphs with a given degree sequence. *Random structures & algorithms*, 6(2-3):161–180, 1995.
- [25] D. Takafuji, S. Taoka, and T. Watanabe. k-edge-connectivity augmentation problem with upper bounds on edge multiplicity. In *2000 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, volume 4, pages 601–604 vol.4, 2000.
- [26] Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jörg Sander, and Xiaowei Xu. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD'96*, page 226–231. AAAI Press, 1996.