

# THE EMBEDDED NASH PROBLEM ON SINGULAR SPACES: THE CASE OF SURFACES

JAVIER DE LA BODEGA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the Embedded Nash Problem allowing singularities in the ambient space, and solve in the case of surfaces, generalizing [BBLBP, Theorem 1.22].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety,  $Z$  a closed subvariety of  $X$ , and  $\Sigma$  a Zariski-closed subset of  $Z$ . For each integer  $m \geq 1$ , the  $m$ -contact locus of  $(X, Z, \Sigma)$  is the set  $\mathcal{X}_m$  of arcs in  $X$  based in  $\Sigma$  that have intersection multiplicity  $m$  with  $Z$ . These subsets are central in motivic integration, in the definition of the motivic zeta function, and in the monodromy conjecture [DL98, DL99]. When  $X$  is smooth, and  $Z$  is a hypersurface with an isolated singularity, contact loci are also conjecturally related to the Floer homology of iterates of the monodromy as predicted by the arc-Floer conjecture [BBLN, BL].

Under the assumption of  $X$  being smooth, contact loci were studied in [ELM]. Moreover, in Remark 2.8 of loc. cit. the problem of determining its irreducible components in terms of an embedded resolution was posed. This is known as the *Embedded Nash Problem*, and it was addressed in depth in [BBLBP]. In particular, the problem was completely solved in the case of  $X$  being smooth and  $Z$  being an unibranch curve. This was a key step when proving the arc-Floer conjecture for plane curves in [BL].

In any case, there is no reason why we should stick to the assumption of  $X$  being smooth, and in fact, the Embedded Nash Problem could be stated in greater generality. In this paper, we present the Embedded Nash Problem for singular ambient spaces, and solve it when  $X$  is a surface,  $Z$  is a reduced Cartier divisor and  $\Sigma$  is a closed point in  $Z$ . For a precise statement, see Theorem 3.1.

The proof adapts the ideas of [FdB] to the embedded setting, combining algebro-geometric and topological techniques. In this sense, the proof reminds of the solution of the classical Nash Problem for surfaces by Fernández de Bobadilla and Pe Pereira [BP]. De Fernex and Docampo later solved the problem by purely algebro-geometric arguments that involved MMP constructions [FD16]. An analogous proof for the Embedded Nash Problem for surfaces should also be expected.

This work generalizes [BBLBP, Theorem 1.22]. However, in loc. cit. the obtained result is stronger, for when  $X$  is a smooth surface the irreducible components of the contact loci are disjoint. We expect this result to remain valid under possibly mild assumptions on  $X$ , but the techniques used in the present work are not enough to clarify this point. We leave it as an open problem in Conjecture 3.7.

Hopefully, as in the smooth ambient case, solving the Embedded Nash Problem for surfaces will guide us toward an extension of the arc-Floer conjecture where singularities are allowed in the ambient space.

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to Javier Fernández de Bobadilla for suggesting the problem and for the useful conversations they had during the development of the paper. The author is also grateful to Nero Budur for carefully reading the first versions of the paper and suggesting changes and improvements.

The author was supported by the grants PRE2019-087976, PID2020-114750GB-C33, SEV-2023-2026 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and G097819N, G0B3123N from FWO.

## 2. EMBEDDED NASH PROBLEM

We first recall some basic notions about arc spaces.

**2.1. Arc spaces.** Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme. Given a field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\text{Spec } K[[t]] \rightarrow X$  is called a  $K$ -**arc** in  $X$ . The functor that associates to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme  $S$  the set  $X(S \times \mathbb{C}[[t]])$  is representable, and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme representing it will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . It is known as the **arc space** of  $X$ . It is generally not locally of finite type, but it is locally of countable type if so is  $X$ . This construction is functorial, i.e. every  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -schemes induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $h_\infty : \mathcal{L}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

By definition, for every field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , the set of  $K$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is the set of  $K$ -arcs in  $X$ . A point in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  will be called a **schematic arc** in  $X$ . A  $K$ -arc corresponding to a schematic arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  will be called a **representative arc** of  $\gamma$ .

A  $K$ -**wedge** is a  $K$ -arc in the space of arcs of  $X$ , i.e. a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\text{Spec } K[[s, t]] \rightarrow X$ . Analogously, the space of arcs of the space of arcs of  $X$  is known as the **space of wedges** of  $X$ , and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}(X)$ . In particular, the set of its  $K$ -valued points is  $X(K[[s, t]])$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a schematic arc in  $X$ . Pick a representative arc  $\text{Spec } K[[t]] \rightarrow X$  of  $\gamma$ . The image of the closed point of  $K[[t]]$  will be called the **base point** of  $\gamma$  and will be denoted by  $\gamma(0)$ . Similarly, the image of the generic point of  $K[[t]]$  will be called the **generic point** of  $\gamma$ , and will be denoted by  $\gamma(\eta)$ . These definitions do not depend on the choice of the representative arc of  $\gamma$ , and they define continuous maps  $\mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow X$ . When  $X$  is irreducible, we say that a schematic arc is **fat** if its generic point is the generic point of  $X$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a schematic arc in  $X$  and  $Z$  a closed subscheme of  $X$ . Pick a representative arc  $\text{Spec } K[[t]] \rightarrow X$  of  $\gamma$ . The scheme-theoretic inverse of  $Z$  is a subscheme of  $\text{Spec } K[[t]]$  defined by the ideal  $\langle t^m \rangle$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  (here, we set  $t^\infty = 0$ ). The integer  $m$  is known as the **intersection multiplicity** of  $\gamma$  and  $Z$  and will be denoted by  $\gamma \cdot Z$ . This definition does not depend on the choice of representative arc of  $\gamma$ . The intersection multiplicity is positive if and only if the base point of  $\gamma$  is contained in  $Z$ , and it is finite if and only if the generic point of  $\gamma$  is not in  $Z$ .

A  $\mathbb{C}$ -arc  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow X$  is said to be **convergent** if it factors through the canonical morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$  denotes the ring of convergent power series. Similarly, we define the notion of a **convergent**  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge.

Recall that a power series  $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  is said to be **algebraic** if it is the root in  $x$  of a nonzero polynomial  $P(t, x) \in \mathbb{C}[t, x]$ . The set of algebraic power series is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ , and we denote it by  $A$ . A  $\mathbb{C}$ -arc  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow X$  is said to be **algebraic** if it factors through the canonical morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ .

Similarly, we define the notion of a **algebraic**  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge. We remark that algebraic  $\mathbb{C}$ -arcs (resp. algebraic  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedges) are convergent.

The arc space of  $X$  is typically not of finite type, not even when  $X$  is. Instead, it can be approximated by schemes of finite type. More precisely, for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , the functor that associates to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme  $S$  the set  $X(S \times \mathbb{C}[t]/t^{\ell+1})$  is representable, and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme representing it will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_\ell(X)$ . It is known as the  **$\ell$ -jet space** of  $X$ , and it is of finite type if so is  $X$ .

Again the jet scheme construction is functorial, i.e. every  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -schemes induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $h_\ell : \mathcal{L}_\ell(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\ell(X)$

For every  $\ell \geq \ell'$  there are natural  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphisms  $\tau_{\ell'}^\ell : \mathcal{L}_\ell(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\ell'}(X)$  and  $\tau_\ell : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\ell(X)$ . The induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{L}_\ell(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$$

is an isomorphism by [Bh, Theorem 1.1].

Suppose that  $X$  is of finite type, and let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that a subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is a **cylinder of level  $\ell$**  if there exists a constructible subset  $C_\ell$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\ell(X)$  such that  $C = \tau_\ell^{-1}(C_\ell)$ . We say  $C$  is a **cylinder** if it is a cylinder of level  $\ell$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that if  $C$  is a cylinder of level  $\ell'$ , then it is also a cylinder of level  $\ell$  for every  $\ell \geq \ell'$ .

**2.2. Contact loci.** Let  $X$  be an integral separated  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of finite type of dimension  $d$ ,  $Z$  a closed subscheme of  $X$  and  $\Sigma$  a nonempty closed subset of  $Z$ . We will always assume that  $X$  is smooth away from  $Z$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For each positive integer  $m \geq 1$ , we call the subset

$$\mathcal{X}_m := \mathcal{X}_m(X, Z, \Sigma) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X) \mid \gamma \cdot Z = m, \gamma(0) \in \Sigma\}$$

the  **$m$ -contact locus** of  $(X, Z, \Sigma)$ .

When  $\Sigma = Z$ , we will only write  $\mathcal{X}_m(X, Z)$  for  $\mathcal{X}_m(X, Z, Z)$ . We will also denote

$$\mathcal{X}_{\geq m} := \mathcal{X}_{\geq m}(X, Z, \Sigma) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X) \mid \gamma \cdot Z \geq m, \gamma(0) \in \Sigma\}.$$

The subset  $\mathcal{X}_{\geq m}$  is a closed cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , and since  $\mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{X}_{\geq m} - \mathcal{X}_{\geq m+1}$ , we get that  $\mathcal{X}_m$  is a locally closed cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

Note that contact loci are local objects, in the sense that if  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$  containing  $\Sigma$ , then

$$\mathcal{X}_m(X, Z, \Sigma) = \mathcal{X}_m(U, U \cap Z, \Sigma).$$

Therefore, when  $\Sigma$  is a point, we may assume that  $X$  is affine.

Let  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  be an embedded resolution of  $(X, Z, \Sigma)$ , i.e. a proper birational morphism such that  $Y$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the map  $h$  is an isomorphism over  $X - Z$ , and  $h^{-1}(Z)$  and  $h^{-1}(\Sigma)$  are simple normal crossing divisors. Note that if we had not assumed that  $X$  is smooth away from  $Z$ , then there would not exist any embedded resolution.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of irreducible components of  $h^{-1}(Z)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$  the set of irreducible components of  $h^{-1}(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\Sigma \subseteq Z$ , every component of  $h^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is also a component of  $h^{-1}(Z)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

We write the scheme-theoretic inverse image of  $Z$  as

$$h^{-1}(Z) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}} N_E E.$$

For each divisor  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  we write

$$E^\circ := E - \bigcup \mathcal{C} - \{E\}.$$

By the valuative criterion of properness, every schematic arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}_m$  admits a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , i.e. a schematic arc  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$  such that  $h_\infty(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma(0) \in \Sigma$ , there exists a divisor  $E \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$  containing  $\tilde{\gamma}(0)$ . Thus, for every  $E \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ , we consider the subset

$$\mathcal{X}_{m,E} := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{X}_m \mid \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in E\}.$$

The situation simplifies substantially if we impose an extra condition on  $h$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([McL]). *We say  $h$  is  $m$ -separating if given two different intersecting divisors  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $N_E + N_F > m$ .*

Under this condition, the subsets  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{m,F}$  are disjoint if  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$  are different divisors. Also,  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  is nonempty if and only if  $N_E$  divides  $m$ . In that case, we will say that  $E$  is an  $m$ -divisor. Overall, we have the union

$$\mathcal{X}_m = \bigcup_{E \text{ } m\text{-divisor}} \mathcal{X}_{m,E}, \quad (2.1)$$

which is set-theoretically disjoint.

**2.3. Connection to maximal divisorial sets.** The subsets  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  are closely related to maximal divisorial sets, see [Is08, Definition 2.8]. Indeed, every divisorial valuation  $v$  on  $X$  admits a maximal closed irreducible subset  $C_X(v)$  of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  inducing the valuation  $v$ .

For every  $m$ -divisor  $E$ , denote by  $\text{val}_E : K(X)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the divisorial valuation on  $X$  induced by  $E$ , and set  $w_E := \frac{m}{N_E} \text{val}_E$ . The connection is the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be an  $m$ -divisor. Then the generic point of  $C_X(w_E)$  lies in  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$ . In particular, the closure of  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is  $C_X(w_E)$ .*

*Proof.* By [Is08, Propostion 3.4], the generic point of  $C_X(w_E)$  is of the form  $\gamma = h_\infty(\tilde{\gamma})$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma} \cdot E = \frac{m}{N_E}$ . Hence  $\gamma \cdot Z \geq m$ . Since  $E$  is an  $m$ -divisor, there exists  $\delta \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$ , so in particular,  $\delta \in C_X(w_E)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is its generic point and the intersection multiplicity is upper-semicontinuous, we have  $m = \delta \cdot Z \geq \gamma \cdot Z \geq m$ , so  $\gamma \cdot Z = m$  as desired.  $\square$

**2.4. The subsets  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  are cylinders.** In [ELM, Theorem A], it is proven that if  $X$  is smooth, then  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  is a cylinder for every  $m$ -divisor  $E$ . We will show that the same holds when  $X$  is allowed to have singularities. Before, we recall some notions related to the singularities of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** *The  $d$ -th Fitting ideal of  $\Omega_X^1$  is a quasicohherent ideal in  $X$  known as the Jacobian ideal of  $X$ . The closed subscheme it defines will be called the **singular locus subscheme** of  $X$  and denoted by  $X_{\text{sing}}$ .*

Indeed, the underlying closed subset of  $X_{\text{sing}}$  is the set of singular points of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** *Consider the natural  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -morphism  $h^* \Omega_X^d \rightarrow \Omega_Y^d$ . Its image can be written as  $\text{Jac}_h \cdot \Omega_Y^d$  for a unique ideal  $\text{Jac}_h$  of  $Y$ . This is a coherent ideal, known as the **jacobian ideal** of  $h$ . Equivalently,  $\text{Jac}_h$  is the zeroth Fitting ideal of  $\Omega_{Y/X}^1$ .*

The open subset  $Y - V(\text{Jac}_h)$  is the largest open subset of  $Y$  where  $\Omega_{Y/X}^1$  vanishes. Since  $h$  is an isomorphism over  $X - Z$ , this implies that  $V(\text{Jac}_h)$  is contained in  $h^{-1}(Z)$  set-theoretically.

We will use the following criterion to show that the subsets  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  are cylinders.

**Proposition 2.6** ([EM, Corollary 6.4]). *Let  $e, e'$  be two nonnegative integers and consider the subset*

$$C_{e,e'} := \mathcal{X}_e(X, V(\text{Jac}_h)) \cap h_\infty^{-1} \mathcal{X}_{e'}(X, X_{\text{sing}})$$

*of  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ . If  $C$  is a cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$  contained in  $C_{e,e'}$ , then  $h_\infty(C)$  is a cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .*

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $h$  is  $m$ -separating, then for every  $m$ -divisor  $E$ , the subset  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  is an irreducible cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Its closure in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is a quasicylinder (see [FEI, Definition 3.2]) of codimension*

$$\frac{m(\widehat{k}_E + 1)}{N_E}.$$

Here,  $\widehat{k}_E$  is the Mather discrepancy of  $E$ , see [FEI, Definition 1.9].

*Proof.* Consider the subset

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E} := \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}(Y) \mid \widetilde{\gamma} \cdot E = \frac{m}{N_E}, \widetilde{\gamma}(0) \in E^\circ \right\},$$

so  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E} = h_\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E})$ . The fact that  $h^{-1}(Z)$  is a simple normal crossing divisor allows us to check locally that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E}$  is irreducible. Thus, so is  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$ .

Recall that  $X_{\text{sing}}$  is contained in  $Z$  set-theoretically, so there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $X_{\text{sing}}$  is a closed subscheme of the  $n$ -th thickening  $Z_n$  of  $Z$ . Hence, for every  $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\gamma} \cdot h^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}}) = h_\infty(\widetilde{\gamma}) \cdot X_{\text{sing}} \leq h_\infty(\widetilde{\gamma}) \cdot Z_n = (h_\infty(\widetilde{\gamma}) \cdot Z)n = mn,$$

i.e. the intersection multiplicity of  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  and  $h^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  is bounded.

Since  $V(\text{Jac}_h)$  is contained in  $h^{-1}(Z)$  set-theoretically, a similar argument shows that the intersection multiplicity of any element of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E}$  and  $V(\text{Jac}_h)$  is also bounded.

For  $e, e' \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E;e,e'} := \left\{ \widetilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E} \mid \widetilde{\gamma} \cdot V(\text{Jac}_h) = e, \widetilde{\gamma} \cdot h^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}}) = e' \right\},$$

so

$$\mathcal{X}_{m,E} = \bigcup_{e,e' \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E;e,e'}.$$

By the discussion above, this union is finite. Also, note that the subset  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E;e,e'}$  is a locally closed cylinder in  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ , so by Proposition 2.6, its image  $h_\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E;e,e'})$  is a cylinder of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Hence the assertion follows.

The codimension formula is deduced from combining Proposition 2.3 and [FEI, Theorem 3.9].  $\square$

We have an immediate corollary, cf. [BBLBP, Proposition 1.19] and [Is13, Proposition 3.5].

**Corollary 2.8.** *The codimension of the  $m$ -contact locus is given by*

$$\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{X}_m(X, Z, \Sigma) = \inf \frac{\widehat{k}_E + 1}{N_E},$$

where the infimum runs over the  $m$ -divisors  $E$  of  $h$ . In particular, the right-hand side does not depend on the particular choice of  $m$ -separating embedded resolution.

**2.5. The problem.** Proposition 2.7 tells us that (2.1) is a disjoint union of irreducible cylinders. In particular, every irreducible component of  $\mathcal{X}_m$  is of the form  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,E}}$  for a unique  $m$ -divisor  $E$  (here, bars denote the closure in  $\mathcal{X}_m$ ).

**Embedded Nash Problem.** Characterize the  $m$ -divisors  $E$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,E}}$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{X}_m$ .

For the resolution of the problem, we will be interested in determining whether for a given pair of  $m$ -divisors  $E$  and  $F$ , the subset  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,F}}$  or not. Thanks to Proposition 2.3, this can be restated in terms of maximal divisorial sets:  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,F}}$  if and only if  $C_X(w_E)$  is contained in  $C_X(w_F)$ .

**2.6. A topological necessary condition for adjacencies to happen.** In the same philosophy as [FdB] and [BPP], we give the following necessary condition for an adjacency to happen.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two different  $m$ -divisors. If  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,F}}$ , then there exists a convergent  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\alpha : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[s]] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $\alpha(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}$  for some  $m$ -divisor  $F'$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{m,F'} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,E}}$ .*

This subsection is dedicated to proving the lemma above. To this end, we recall some definitions and results.

**Definition 2.10** ([Re06, Definition 3.1]). *A closed irreducible subset  $N$  of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is called **generically stable** if it is not contained in  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{sing}})$  and if there exists an open affine subset  $U$  such that  $U \cap N$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $U$  whose defining radical ideal is the radical of a finitely generated ideal.*

**Remark 2.11.** Strictly speaking, the above definition does not appear in [Re06], but in the corrigendum [Re21]. The results of [Re06] have been revised in [Re21].

**Proposition 2.12.** *Maximal divisorial sets are generically stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be an integral normal scheme,  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow X$  a proper birational morphism and  $\mathfrak{E}$  a prime divisor in  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Denote by  $\operatorname{val}_{\mathfrak{E}}$  the divisorial valuation on  $X$  associated to  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Let  $q$  be a positive integer and set  $v := q \operatorname{val}_{\mathfrak{E}}$ .

By [Is08, Proposition 3.4],  $C_X(v)$  equals the closure of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X}_q(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{E}))$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the generic point of the closure of  $\mathcal{X}_q(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{E})$ , so  $\gamma := \mathfrak{h}_{\infty}(\tilde{\gamma})$  is the generic point of  $C_X(v)$ . We claim that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a fat schematic arc of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Indeed, note that  $\tilde{\gamma}(0)$  is the generic point of  $\mathfrak{E}$ , so  $\mathfrak{E}$  is contained in the closure of  $\tilde{\gamma}(\eta)$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $\tilde{\gamma} \cdot \mathfrak{E} = q < \infty$  means that  $\tilde{\gamma}(\eta) \notin \mathfrak{E}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{E}$  is of codimension 1, the claim follows.

Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is dominant,  $\gamma$  is also a fat schematic arc of  $X$ . Now, the proposition follows from [Re06, Lemma 3.6].  $\square$

In [Re06, Corollary 4.8], Reguera gave a curve selection lemma for generically stable subsets in arc spaces. The proof was based on Corollary 4.6 in loc. cit.,

which claimed that the completion of the local ring of the arc space in a generically stable subset is noetherian. Later, the same result was proven by de Fernex and Docampo by analyzing the Kähler differentials of the arc space, see [FD20, Corollary 10.13].

We state the following version of the curve selection lemma in arc spaces.

**Proposition 2.13** ([FdB, Lemma 6]). *Let  $N \subset N'$  be two different closed irreducible subsets of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Assume that  $N$  is generically stable. Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be another closed subset in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  not containing  $N'$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the generic point of  $N$  and denote by  $k$  its residue field. There exists a finite field extension  $K/k$  and a  $K$ -wedge  $\alpha$  in  $X$  whose base point is  $\gamma$  and whose generic point is in  $N' - \mathfrak{Z}$ .*

We now discuss closed and  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points in arc spaces. In a given  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme, every  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued point is closed, and moreover, the converse also holds when the scheme is locally of finite type. Since our base field  $\mathbb{C}$  is uncountable, we have an analogous result for schemes locally of countable type, which is due to Ishii. See also [CNS, Ch. 3, Remark 3.3.11].

**Proposition 2.14.** *In a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of locally countable type, every closed point is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued point.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of locally countable type and  $x$  a closed point of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Since the assertion is local, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is affine and a closed subscheme of  $M := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ . Note that  $x$  is also a closed point of  $M$ , and the residue fields of  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and in  $M$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, it suffices to show the claim for  $M$ . This is the content of the proof of [Is04, Proposition 2.10].  $\square$

We have the following consequence, cf. [GW, Proposition 3.35].

**Proposition 2.15.** *Every nonempty locally closed subset of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of locally countable type contains a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued point.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of locally countable type and  $S$  a nonempty locally closed subset of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S$  is a closed subset in an open affine subset  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We write  $U = \text{Spec } A$  for a countable  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A$  and  $S = V(\mathfrak{a})$  for an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ . The fact that  $S$  is nonempty means that the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper, so it is contained in a maximal ideal of  $A$ . This maximal ideal defines a point  $x$  in  $S$  which is closed in  $U$ . Since  $U$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme locally of countable type, Proposition 2.14 implies that  $x$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued point.  $\square$

We finally present a nested approximation theorem that generalizes Artin's classical result.

**Proposition 2.16** ([BDLD, Theorem 4.1], [Po, Theorem 1.4]). *Consider algebraic power series*

$$f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), \dots, f_N(x, y)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]^N,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Suppose that

$$\bar{y}(x) = (\bar{y}_1(x), \dots, \bar{y}_n(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]^n$$

are formal power series such that  $f(x, \bar{y}(x)) = 0$ . Moreover, write  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{m'})$  and  $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{n'})$  for  $0 \leq m' \leq m$  and  $0 \leq n' \leq n$ , and assume that

$$(\bar{y}_1(x), \dots, \bar{y}_{n'}(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x']]^{n'}.$$

Then, for every positive integer  $c$ , there exist algebraic power series

$$y(x) = (y_1(x), \dots, y_n(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]^n$$

with

$$(y_1(x), \dots, y_{n'}(x)) \in \mathbb{C}[[x']]^{n'}$$

such that  $f(x, y(x)) = 0$  and

$$y(x) \equiv \bar{y}(x) \pmod{\langle x \rangle^c}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.9.* We will present a proof which adapts the arguments of sections 3 and 4 of [FdB] to our setting.

By Proposition 2.12, maximal divisorial sets are generically stable, so we can apply Proposition 2.13 where the closed subset we avoid is  $C_X(w_E) \cup \mathcal{X}_{\geq m+1}$ . Thus there exists a wedge  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^2(X)$  such that  $\alpha(0)$  is the generic point of  $C_X(w_E)$  and  $\alpha(\eta) \in C_X(w_F) - (C_X(w_E) \cup \mathcal{X}_{\geq m+1})$ . By Proposition 2.3, we have that  $\alpha(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_m$ , so  $\alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}$  for some  $m$ -divisor  $F'$ . Since  $\alpha(\eta) \notin C_X(w_E)$ , necessarily  $C_X(w_{F'}) \not\subseteq C_X(w_E)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{X}_{m,F'} \not\subseteq \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$ .

Consider the set of schematic wedges

$$\Lambda := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^{(2)}(X) \mid \alpha(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E} \text{ and } \alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}\}.$$

By the discussion above, it is nonempty. Moreover, taking base points and generic points define continuous maps  $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ , so by Proposition 2.7,  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of locally closed subsets. Therefore,  $\Lambda$  contains a nonempty locally closed subset. The space of wedges of  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme of countable type, so by Proposition 2.15 there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\beta$  such that  $\beta(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\beta(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}$ .

The wedge  $\beta$  factors through an open affine subscheme of  $X$ , so without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  is affine. More precisely, we will assume that  $X$  is the closed subscheme  $V(f_1, \dots, f_N)$  of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^d$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\beta$  can be written as

$$\beta(s, t) = \beta(s)(t) = \left( \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} b_{1jk} s^j t^k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} b_{djk} s^j t^k \right),$$

and satisfies  $f_n(\beta(s, t)) = 0$  for every  $n = 1, \dots, N$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{m,F'}$  are cylinders of level  $\ell$ . There exist a finite number of regular functions  $G_1, \dots, G_u, G', H_1, \dots, H_v, H'$  on  $\mathcal{L}_\ell(X)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(0) &\in \tau_\ell^{-1}(V(G_1, \dots, G_u) - V(G')) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{m,E}, \\ \beta(\eta) &\in \tau_\ell^{-1}(V(H_1, \dots, H_v) - V(H')) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the field  $\mathbb{C}(B_{ijk})$  of complex rational functions on the variables  $\{B_{ijk}\}_{i=1, \dots, d, j \geq 0, k \geq 0}$ , and the  $\mathbb{C}(B_{ijk})$ -wedge

$$\mathbb{B}(s, t) = \left( \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} B_{1jk} s^j t^k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} B_{djk} s^j t^k \right)$$

in  $X$ . This should be thought of as a universal wedge in  $X$ .

By assumption,  $H'(\tau_\ell(\beta(\eta)))$  is a nonzero power series in  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ . Denote by  $\mu$  its  $s$ -adic order. In turn, the coefficient of the monomial  $s^\mu$  in  $H'(\tau_\ell(\mathbb{B}(\eta)))$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[B_{ijk} \mid i = 1, \dots, d, j \geq 0, k = 0, \dots, \ell]$ . Let  $M$  be an integer greater than  $j$  if  $B_{ijk}$  appears in such polynomial.



Consider the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} f_n \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} B_{1k} t^k + t^{\ell+1} C_1, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} B_{dk} t^k + t^{\ell+1} C_d \right) &= 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N \\ H_q \left( (B_{ik})_{i=1, \dots, d, k=0, \dots, \ell} \right) &= 0, \quad q = 1, \dots, v \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where the variables are  $\{B_{ik}\}_{i=1, \dots, d, k=0, \dots, \ell}$  and  $\{C_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$ , and take values in  $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$ . Writing the wedge  $\beta$  as

$$\beta(s, t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b_{1k}(s) t^k + t^{\ell+1} c_1(s, t), \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b_{dk}(s) t^k + t^{\ell+1} c_d(s, t) \right),$$

$B_{ik} = b_{ik}(s)$  and  $C_i = c_i(s, t)$  is a solution of the system (2.2). Applying Proposition 2.16, we get algebraic power series  $b'_{ik}(s) \in \mathbb{C}[[s]]$  and  $c'_i(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$  such that  $B_{ik} = b'_{ik}(s)$  and  $C_i = c'_i(s, t)$  are solutions of (2.2), and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ik}(s) &\equiv b'_{ik}(s) \pmod{\langle s \rangle^{M+1}}, \\ c_i(s, t) &\equiv c'_i(s, t) \pmod{\langle s, t \rangle^{M+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We construct the  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\beta'$  in  $\mathbb{A}^d$  as

$$\beta'(s, t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{1k}(s) t^k + t^{\ell+1} c'_1(s, t), \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{dk}(s) t^k + t^{\ell+1} c'_d(s, t) \right).$$

It is an algebraic wedge in  $X$  by construction. In particular, it is a convergent wedge. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\ell}(\beta'(0)) &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{1k}(0) t^k, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{dk}(0) t^k \right) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b_{1k}(0) t^k, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b_{dk}(0) t^k \right) = \\ &= \tau_{\ell}(\beta(0)) \in V(G_1, \dots, G_u) - V(G'), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\beta'(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m, E}$ . Moreover,

$$\tau_{\ell}(\beta'(\eta)) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{1k}(s) t^k, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} b'_{dk}(s) t^k \right).$$

It is in  $V(H_1, \dots, H_v)$  by construction. Also, the coefficient of the monomial  $s^{\mu}$  in  $H'(\tau_{\ell}(\beta'(\eta)))$  coincides with the coefficient of the monomial  $s^{\mu}$  in  $H'(\tau_{\ell}(\beta(\eta)))$ , which we know it is nonzero. In particular,  $H'(\tau_{\ell}(\beta'(\eta))) \neq 0$ , so altogether,

$$\tau_{\ell}(\beta'(\eta)) \in V(H_1, \dots, H_v) - V(H'),$$

and hence  $\beta'(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m, F'}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.17.** The proof also shows that if the question posed in [Re06, p. 127] has an affirmative answer, then in Lemma 2.9, the divisor  $F'$  can be taken to be  $F$ .

### 3. THE CASE OF SURFACES

We treat the case when  $X$  is a surface,  $Z = C$  is a reduced effective Cartier divisor of  $X$ , and  $\Sigma = \{o\}$ , where  $o \in C$  is a closed point. As in the previous sections,  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  is assumed to be an  $m$ -separating embedded resolution of  $(X, C, o)$ . As contact loci are local, we may assume that  $X$  is affine and  $C$  is principal if necessary.

Given a divisor  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , its **valence** is defined to be the number of points in  $E - E^\circ$ , and will be denoted by  $v_E$ . A divisor  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  of valence one will be called a **leaf**.

Note that every  $E \in \mathcal{C}_o$  is a smooth proper curve in  $Y$ , so its genus can be considered. We will denote it by  $g_E$ .

We consider the following subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $L$  be a leaf. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_L$  the set of divisors  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  such that there exist  $n \geq 0$  and  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{C}_o$  such that:

- (1)  $E_0 = L$  and  $E_n = E$
- (2) For every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $E_i$  has valence two.
- (3) For every  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $E_i$  has genus zero.
- (4) For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $E_{i-1}$  and  $E_i$  intersect.

Note that if  $L \notin \mathcal{C}_o$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_L = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,  $L \in \mathcal{C}_L$ . For instance, if  $L \in \mathcal{C}_o$  has positive genus, then  $\mathcal{C}_L = \{L\}$ .

The set of  $m$ -divisors of  $\mathcal{C}_L$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{L,m}$ . A leaf  $L$  will be called  **$m$ -admissible** if  $\mathcal{C}_{L,m}$  is nonempty.

For every leaf  $L$ , the set  $\mathcal{C}_L$  has a natural total order. Namely, if  $E, E' \in \mathcal{C}_L$ , then  $E \leq E'$  if and only if there exist integers  $0 \leq n \leq n'$  and  $E_0, \dots, E_n, \dots, E_{n'} \in \mathcal{C}_o$  such that:

- (1)  $E_0 = L$ ,  $E_n = E$  and  $E_{n'} = E'$ .
- (2) For every  $1 \leq i \leq n'-1$ ,  $E_i$  has valence two.
- (3) For every  $0 \leq i \leq n'-1$ ,  $E_i$  has genus zero.
- (4) For every  $1 \leq i \leq n'$ ,  $E_{i-1}$  and  $E_i$  intersect.

If  $L \in \mathcal{C}_o$ , we denote by  $E_L$  the maximal element of  $\mathcal{C}_L$ . Similarly, if a leaf  $L$  is  $m$ -admissible, we denote the maximal element of  $\mathcal{C}_{L,m}$  by  $E_{L,m}$ . We remark that different  $m$ -admissible leaves may have the same maximal element.

Note that  $E_L$  is the only element in  $\mathcal{C}_L$  with either valence greater than two or positive genus.

The following is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a surface,  $C$  a reduced Cartier divisor, and  $o$  a closed point in  $C$ . Suppose that  $h$  is an  $m$ -separating embedded resolution of  $(X, C, o)$ . The irreducible components of  $\mathcal{X}_m(X, C, o)$  are the following:*

- (1)  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{m, E_{L,m}}$  for every  $m$ -admissible leaf  $L$ .
- (2)  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{m, E}$  if  $E$  is an  $m$ -divisor which does not lie in  $\mathcal{C}_{L,m}$  for any  $m$ -admissible leaf  $L$ .

Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 in the next subsections. Namely, the former result will discard some candidate  $m$ -divisors, whereas the latter will show that the remaining ones give irreducible components.

**3.1. Allowed adjacencies.** The first half of Theorem 3.1 is the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $L$  be an  $m$ -admissible leaf, and let  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}_{L,m}$ . If  $E \leq F$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{m,F}$ .*

The proof will be done by reducing to the cyclic quotient surface singularity case. To do so, we will need the following contraction theorem due to Artin:

**Proposition 3.3** ([Ar, Theorem 2.3]). *Let  $V$  be a proper surface over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $C$  be a connected curve in  $V$  with irreducible components  $\{C_i\}$ . Assume that  $V$  is smooth at every point of  $C$ . If the intersection matrix  $(C_i \cdot C_j)_{i,j}$  is negative-definite*

and the arithmetic genus of every effective divisor supported on  $C$  is negative, then there exists a surface  $V'$  with a point  $o' \in V'$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\pi : V \rightarrow V'$  such that  $\pi(C) = \{o'\}$  and  $\pi$  is an isomorphism over  $V' - \{o'\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* We label the elements of  $\mathcal{C}_L$  as  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  so that  $E_1 \leq \dots \leq E_r$ . Also denote by  $-e_i$  the self-intersection of  $E_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . We need to show that for every  $i \leq j$ , the subset  $C_X(w_{E_i})$  is contained  $C_X(w_{E_j})$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $C$  is principal. By Nagata's compactification theorem, there exist integral proper  $\mathbb{C}$ -schemes  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  that respectively contain  $X$  and  $Y$  as open dense subschemes and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\overline{h} : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  extending  $h$ . Note that  $\overline{Y}$  is a complete surface that is smooth at every point of the curve  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} E_i$ . Also, the curve is connected and its components have genus zero. Finally, since the intersection matrix of  $h^{-1}(o)$  is negative-definite, so is the intersection matrix of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} E_i$ . By Artin's contraction theorem of Proposition 3.3, there exists a surface  $X'$ , a point  $o' \in X'$  and a morphism  $h' : \overline{Y} \rightarrow X'$  such that  $h'^{-1}(o')_{\text{red}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} E_i$  and  $h'$  is an isomorphism over  $X' - \{o'\}$ . By [Né, Theorem 2.3.1],  $(X', o')$  is a cyclic quotient surface singularity. That is, there exist an open affine neighborhood  $U$  of  $o'$  in  $X'$  and coprime integers  $0 < q < n$  such that  $U$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2/\mu_n$ , where the action of  $\mu_n := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta^n = 1\}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is given by  $\zeta \cdot (x, y) = (\zeta x, \zeta^q y)$ .

The integers  $n$  and  $q$  can be explicitly computed by writing the negative continued fraction

$$[e_{r-1}, \dots, e_1] = e_{r-1} - \frac{1}{e_{r-2} - \frac{1}{\dots - \frac{1}{e_1}}}$$

as  $\frac{n}{q}$  in its irreducible form. Additionally, for every  $i = 2, \dots, r$  we introduce the positive coprime integers  $n_i, q_i$  such that

$$\frac{n_i}{q_i} = [e_{i-1}, \dots, e_1]$$

in its irreducible terms. Note that  $n_{r-1} = q$  and  $n_r = n$ . We also set  $n_0 = 0$  and  $n_1 = 1$ , so

$$n_{i-1} - e_i n_i + n_{i+1} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ .

Since  $C$  is principal, we have that  $h^{-1}(C) \cdot E_i = 0$  for every  $i$ . Hence, setting  $N_{E_0} = 0$ , it follows that

$$N_{E_{i-1}} - e_i N_{E_i} + N_{E_{i+1}} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ . Comparing (3.1) and (3.2) we deduce that  $N_{E_i} = N_{E_1} n_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . In particular,  $N_{E_{r-1}} = N_{E_1} q$  and  $N_{E_r} = N_{E_1} n$ .

The open subvariety  $U$  is isomorphic to the affine toric variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap \mathbb{Z}^2]$ , where  $\sigma$  is the cone in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by  $(1, 0)$  and  $(q, n)$ . Moreover,  $h'^{-1}(U)$  is a toric variety and  $h' : h'^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is a toric morphism. Furthermore, there exists a finite toric morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ , which ramifies over the axes and pullbacks one of the axes to  $E_r$ . Therefore, for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$  the valuation  $w_{E_i}$  is a toric valuation on  $U$ .

Therefore, we can apply [Is08, Lemma 3.11]; that is, the inclusion  $C_U(w_{E_i}) \subseteq C_U(w_{E_j})$  holds if and only if

$$w_{E_i}(f) \geq w_{E_j}(f) \tag{3.3}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}(U) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\mu_n}$ . Once this is checked, [Is08, Proposition 2.9(ii)] implies the inclusion  $C_{X'}(w_{E_i}) \subseteq C_{X'}(w_{E_j})$ .

Furthermore, we claim that the rational map  $\bar{h} \circ h'^{-1} : X' \dashrightarrow \bar{X}$  is in fact a morphism. Indeed, it is defined at every point different from  $o'$  because  $h'$  is an isomorphism over  $X' - \{o'\}$ . Since  $\{o'\}$  has codimension 2 in  $X'$  and  $X'$  is normal,  $\bar{h} \circ h'^{-1}$  extends uniquely to a morphism on  $X'$ . Since  $X'$  is proper over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the morphism  $\bar{h} \circ h'^{-1}$  is automatically proper. Therefore, if  $C_{X'}(w_{E_i}) \subseteq C_{X'}(w_{E_j})$  holds, then by [Is08, Proposition 2.9(i)] so does the inclusion  $C_X(w_{E_i}) \subseteq C_X(w_{E_j})$ .

Thus, it only remains to show inequality (3.3). In [Né, §2.3.5], a minimal set of generators of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\mu_n}$  is given. In summary, consider the convex hull in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $(\sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) - \{0\}$ . Let  $\{(p_j, q_j)\}_{j=1}^s$  be the sequence of lattice points in the boundary starting in  $(p_0, q_0) = (1, 0)$  and ending in  $(p_s, q_s) = (q, n)$ .

Fix  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . We set  $v_j^r := q_j$  and  $v_j^{r-1} := p_j$ , and inductively,

$$v_j^{i-1} - e_i v_j^i + v_j^{i+1} = 0$$

for  $i = 2, \dots, r-1$ . We set  $f_j(x, y) := x^{v_j^0} y^{q_j}$ . Then  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^s$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\mu_n}$ . Moreover, in loc. cit. it is shown that  $v_{E_i}(f_j) = v_j^i$ .

Since the  $v_{E_i}$  are toric valuations, inequality (3.3) only has to be checked for the generators  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^s$ . It will follow from the following claim:

*Claim.* For every  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , we have that

$$\frac{v_j^i}{N_{E_i}} \geq \frac{v_j^{i+1}}{N_{E_{i+1}}}. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof of claim.* We proceed by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = r-1$ , then the inequality becomes

$$\frac{p_j}{N_{E_1} q} \geq \frac{q_j}{N_{E_1} n},$$

i.e.  $np_j \geq qq_j$ . By construction, the point  $(p_j, q_j)$  lies in the region of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $nx \geq qy$ , so the desired inequality holds.

We now assume the inequality is true for  $i+1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r-2$ . Writing  $v_j^i = e_{i+1} v_j^{i+1} - v_j^{i+2}$  and  $N_{E_i} = e_{i+1} N_{E_{i+1}} - N_{E_{i+2}}$ , one sees that inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\frac{v_j^{i+1}}{N_{E_{i+1}}} \geq \frac{v_j^{i+2}}{N_{E_{i+2}}}.$$

In turn, this holds by induction hypothesis. □

**3.2. Forbidden adjacencies.** The second half of Theorem 3.1 is the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two different  $m$ -divisors. If  $E = E_{L,m}$  for some  $m$ -admissible leaf  $L$  or if  $E \notin \mathcal{C}_{L,m}$  for every  $m$ -admissible leaf  $L$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}_{m,F}}$ .*

The proof will be similar to the one in [BBLBP, Theorem 7.4]. For us, Lemma 2.9 will be the primary tool. Since the argument is topological, we recall the main construction used in it.

3.2.1. *The A'Campo space.* Assume that  $X$  is affine and  $C$  is a principal divisor. Thus,  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^N$  and there exists  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $C = \operatorname{div} f$ . By the Lê-Milnor theorem [Lê, Theorem 1.1], there exist  $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$  such that  $f : B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(S_\delta^1) \rightarrow S_\delta^1$  is a smooth locally trivial fibration. Let  $\tilde{f} := f \circ h \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Since  $h : Y - h^{-1}(C) \rightarrow X - C$  is an isomorphism, this fibration is isomorphic to  $\tilde{f} : h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(S_\delta^1)) \rightarrow S_\delta^1$ .

Consider the analytic map  $\tilde{f} : h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(D_\delta)) \rightarrow D_\delta$  and the A'Campo construction [A'C, §2] associated to it. This is a topological manifold with boundary  $M$  together with a fibration  $f_M : M \rightarrow S^1$  isomorphic to  $\tilde{f} : h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(S_\delta^1)) \rightarrow S_\delta^1$ , i.e. there is a homeomorphism  $a : h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(S_\delta^1)) \rightarrow M$  preserving the fibrations over  $S^1$ . Moreover,  $M$  comes with a continuous map  $\pi : M \rightarrow h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap C)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{F}_\theta$  the fiber of  $f_M$  over  $\theta \in S^1$  and set  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_1$ . Given  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , we denote

$$\mathbb{F}_E := \mathbb{F} \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}(E \cap h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon))}.$$

Similarly, given two different intersecting divisors  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$ , we denote

$$\mathbb{F}_{E,F} := \mathbb{F} \cap \pi^{-1}(E \cap F \cap h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon)).$$

The fiber  $\mathbb{F}$  can be written as a union of these closed subsets. Using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, one can check that if  $E \in \mathcal{C}_o$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_E$  has

$$c(E) := \operatorname{gcd}\{N_F \mid E \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$$

connected components, each of which is a compact orientable surface with genus

$$1 + \frac{1}{c(E)} \left( N_E \left( \frac{v_E}{2} + g_E - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{E \cap F \neq \emptyset} \operatorname{gcd}\{N_E, N_F\} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c(E)} \sum_{E \cap F \neq \emptyset} \operatorname{gcd}\{N_E, N_F\}$$

boundary components.

In particular, if  $L$  is a leaf and  $E \in \mathcal{C}_L - \{L, E_L\}$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_E$  has  $N_L$  connected components, each of which is a compact orientable surface of genus zero and two boundary components (i.e. a cylinder). Also, if  $L \neq E_L$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_L$  has  $N_L$  connected components, and each of them is a compact orientable surface of genus zero and one boundary component (i.e. a disk). Note that gluing a disk and a cylinder along the boundary of the disk and a boundary component of the cylinder produces a new disk, so altogether, if  $L$  has genus zero, then

$$\bigcup_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{C}_L \\ E \neq E_L}} \mathbb{F}_E \cup \bigcup_{\substack{E, F \in \mathcal{C}_L \\ E \neq F \\ E \cap F \neq \emptyset}} \mathbb{F}_{E,F}$$

has  $N_L$  connected components, each of them homeomorphic to a disk.

If  $E \notin \mathcal{C}_o$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_E$  has  $v_E$  connected components, and each of them is a compact orientable surface of genus zero and two boundary components (i.e. a cylinder).

Finally,  $\mathbb{F}_{E,F}$  is a compact orientable surface such that each connected component has genus zero and two boundary components (i.e. it is a union of cylinders).

The fibration  $f_M : M \rightarrow S^1$  comes with an explicit monodromy trivialization  $\{\varphi_\theta : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{e^{2\pi i \theta}}\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$ . We set  $\varphi := \varphi_1 : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . The subsets of  $\mathbb{F}$  described above are invariant by  $\varphi$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^{N_E}$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{F}_E$ , and the connected components

of  $\mathbb{F}_{E,F}$  are also invariant by  $\varphi^{\text{lcm}\{N_E, N_F\}}$  and it restricts to a Dehn twist on each component. Recall that a Dehn twist in a surface is isotopic to the identity if and only if the fundamental group of its support vanishes in the fundamental group of the surface. Therefore, the Dehn twist  $\varphi^{\text{lcm}\{N_E, N_F\}}$  on  $\mathbb{F}_{E,F}$  is isotopic to the identity if and only if  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}_L$  for some leaf  $L \in \mathcal{C}_o$ .

Theorem 3.4 will be partly based on the following topological fact, due to Seidel, see [Se, Lemma 3(ii)].

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $S$  be an oriented topological surface with boundary and  $T : S \rightarrow S$  a composition of Dehn twists with the same orientation. Let  $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  be a path whose endpoints are fixed by  $T$ . If  $T \circ \xi$  is homotopic to  $\xi$  relative to the endpoints, then  $\xi$  is homotopic relative to the endpoints to a path in  $S$  disjoint from the interior of the support of the Dehn twists which are not isotopic to the identity.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $E$  and  $F$  are two different  $m$ -divisors such that there is no  $m$ -admissible leaf  $L$  such that  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}_{L,m}$ , then there is no convergent  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\alpha : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[s]] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $\alpha(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F}$ .*

*Proof.* We will reproduce the arguments of the proof of [BBLBP, Theorem 7.4].

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $X$  is affine and  $C$  is principal. Arguing by contradiction, suppose such a  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\alpha$  exists. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $C = \text{div} f$ . There exists a convergent  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $u : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[s]] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}^1)$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $f(\alpha(s)) = u(s)^m$ . Moreover, the power series  $u$  is of  $t$ -adic order 1. Therefore, we can make the analytic change of coordinates  $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}) = (s, u(s)(t))$  so that  $f(\alpha(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}(s, t))) = \tilde{t}^m$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $f(\alpha(s)) = t^m$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha$  is defined on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus, for every  $s_0 \in [0, 1]$  we may replace  $s$  by  $s_0$  in  $\alpha$  and get a convergent  $\mathbb{C}$ -arc, which we denote by  $\alpha(s_0)$ . We set  $E_0 := E$  and  $E_1 := F$  to shorten the notation. For  $s \in [0, 1]$  write  $N_s := N_{E_s}$  and  $p_s := \widetilde{\alpha(s)}(0) \in E_s$ . Also, denote by  $(U_s, \psi_s = (x_s, y_s))$  a complex analytic chart of  $p_s$  contained in  $h^{-1}(B_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(D_\delta))$  such that  $\psi_s(p_s) = (0, 0)$ , and  $f \circ \psi_s^{-1} = x_s^{N_s}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\alpha(s)} = (x_s(t), y_s(t))$  in the coordinates of  $(U_s, \varphi_s)$ . We have that  $x_s(t)^{N_s} = t^m$ , so without loss of generality  $x_s(t) = t^{m/N_s}$ . Consider the path  $[0, 1] \ni \lambda \mapsto (t^{m/N_s}, \lambda y_s(t))$  in  $\mathcal{X}_m$  between  $\widetilde{\alpha(s)}$  and  $(t^{m/N_s}, 0)$ . Concatenating with  $\alpha$ , this procedure gives us a path  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_m$  such that  $\beta(s)$  is convergent and  $f(\beta(s)) = t^m$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\widetilde{\beta(0)} = (t^{m/N_E}, 0)$  and  $\widetilde{\beta(1)} = (t^{m/N_F}, 0)$ .

Consider A'Campo's construction described in §3.2.1 with the same notation. The homeomorphism  $a$  considered above can be chosen so that for  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\psi_s^{-1}(\delta^{1/N_s}, 0)) &\in \mathbb{F}_{E_s}, \\ \varphi_\tau \left( a(\psi_s^{-1}(x_s, y_s)) \right) &= a(\psi_s^{-1}(x_s e^{2\pi i \tau / N_s}, y_s)) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\beta(s)$  is defined on  $[0, \delta^{1/m}]$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Consider the continuous map

$$H : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}; \quad (s, \tau) \mapsto \varphi_{m\tau}^{-1} \left( a(\widetilde{\beta(s)}(\delta^{1/m} e^{2\pi i \tau})) \right).$$

If  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ , then

$$H(s, \tau) = \varphi_{m\tau}^{-1} \left( a(\widetilde{\beta(s)}(\delta^{1/m} e^{2\pi i \tau})) \right) = a(\psi_s^{-1}(\delta^{1/N_s}, 0)) \in \mathbb{F}_{E_s}.$$

Therefore,  $H$  is a homotopy between  $H_0 := H(\cdot, 0)$  and  $H_1 := H(\cdot, 1)$  relative to the endpoints. Moreover,  $\varphi^m \circ H_1 = H_0$  by construction.

Let  $N$  be a common multiple of  $N_{E'}$  for every  $E' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\varphi^{Nm} \circ H_1$  is homotopic to  $H_1$  relative to the endpoints. Moreover, as explained above,  $\varphi^{Nm}$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{F}_{E'}$  for every  $E' \in \mathcal{C}$  and is a composition of Dehn twists on  $\mathbb{F}_{E',F'}$  for every two intersecting divisors  $E', F' \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 3.5, there exists a path between  $\mathbb{F}_E$  and  $\mathbb{F}_F$  not going through  $\mathbb{F}_{E',F'}$  for every leaf  $L$  and every  $E', F' \notin \mathcal{C}_L$ . Applying  $\pi$  to this new path will give us a path in  $h^{-1}(C)$  from a point in  $E^\circ$  to a point in  $F^\circ$  which does not cross the point  $E' \cap F'$  for every leaf  $L$  and  $E', F' \notin \mathcal{C}_L$ . This implies that  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}_L$  for some leaf  $L$ , contradicting the hypothesis on the divisors.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}_{m,E} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{m,F}$ . By Lemma 2.9, there exists a convergent  $\mathbb{C}$ -wedge  $\alpha : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[s]] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $\alpha(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,E}$  and  $\alpha(\eta) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,F'}$  for some  $m$ -divisor  $F'$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{m,F'} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{m,E}$ .

If  $E = E_{L,m}$ , then Theorem 3.2 in particular implies that  $F' \notin \mathcal{C}_{L,m}$ . Therefore, the result follows from applying Lemma 3.6 to  $E$  and  $F'$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Comparison with the smooth-ambient case.** Theorem 3.1 recovers [BBLBP, Theorem 1.22] when  $X$  is assumed to be smooth. Moreover, in that case, the divisors  $E \in \mathcal{C}_o$  have all genus zero, so the description of the sets  $\mathcal{C}_L$  is more straightforward. Nevertheless, [BBLBP, Theorem 1.22] also includes a second result: that the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{X}_m$  are disjoint. Although the proof of Theorem 3.1 does not clarify this point, the evidence shows that this may still be true. We state it as a conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be an integral separated surface,  $C$  a reduced Cartier divisor in  $X$ , and  $o$  a closed point in  $C$ . Assume that  $X$  is smooth away from  $C$ . Then the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{X}_m(X, C, o)$  are disjoint.*

## REFERENCES

- [A'C] N. A'Campo (1975). *La fonction zeta d'une monodromie*. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 50, 233-248.
- [Ar] M. Artin (1962). *Some numerical criteria for contractability of curves on algebraic surfaces*. American Journal of Mathematics, 84(3), 485-496.
- [BDLD] J. Becker, J. Denef, L. Lipshitz, L. van den Dries (1979). *Ultraproducts and approximation in local rings I*. Inventiones mathematicae, 51(2), 189-203.
- [Bh] B. Bhaat (2016). *Algebraization and Tannaka duality*. Cambridge Journal of Mathematics, 4(4), 403-461.
- [BBLBP] N. Budur, J. de la Bodega, E. de Lorenzo Poza, J. Fernández de Bobadilla, T. Pelka (2022). *On the embedded Nash problem*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.08546.
- [BBLN] N. Budur, J. Fernández de Bobadilla, Lê Q. T., Nguyen H. D. (2022). *Cohomology of contact loci*. Journal of Differential Geometry, 120(3), 389-409.
- [CNS] A. Chambert-Loir, J. Nicaise, J. Sebag (2018). *Motivic integration*. Vol 325 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York.
- [FD16] T. de Fernex, R. Docampo (2016). *Terminal valuations and the Nash problem*. Inventiones mathematicae, 203(1), 303-331.
- [FD20] T. de Fernex, R. Docampo (2020). *Differentials on the arc space*. Duke Math. J., 169(2), 353-396.
- [FEI] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, S. Ishii (2008). *Divisorial valuations via arcs*. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 44(2), 425-448.
- [BL] J. de la Bodega, E. de Lorenzo Poza (2023). *The Arc-Floer conjecture for plane curves*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00051.
- [DL98] J. Denef, F. Loeser (1998). *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*. J. Algebraic Geometry, 7(3), 505-537.
- [DL99] J. Denef, F. Loeser (1999). *Germes of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*. Invent. math., 135, 201-232.

- [ELM] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață (2004). *Contact loci in arc spaces*. Compositio Mathematica, 140(5), 1229-1244.
- [EM] L. Ein, M. Mustață (2009). *Jet schemes and singularities*. In Proc. Symp. Pure Math (Vol. 80, No. 2, pp. 505-546).
- [FdB] J. Fernández de Bobadilla (2012). *Nash problem for surface singularities is a topological problem*. Advances in Mathematics, 230(1), 131-176.
- [BP] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, M. Pe Pereira (2012). *The Nash problem for surfaces*. Annals of Mathematics, 2003-2029.
- [BPP] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, M. Pe Pereira, P. Popescu-Pampu (2017). *On the generalized Nash problem for smooth germs and adjacencies of curve singularities*. Advances in Mathematics, 320, 1269-1317.
- [GW] U. Görtz, T. Wedhorn (2020). *Algebraic Geometry I. Schemes - with examples and exercises*, second ed. Springer Studium Mathematik-Master. Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden.
- [Is04] S. Ishii (2004). *The arc space of a toric variety*. Journal of Algebra, 278(2), 666-683.
- [Is08] S. Ishii (2008). *Maximal divisorial sets in arc spaces*. In Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005 (Vol. 50, pp. 237-250). Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [Is13] S. Ishii (2013). *Mather discrepancy and the arc spaces*. In Annales de l'Institut Fourier (Vol. 63, No. 1, pp. 89-111).
- [Lê] Lê D. T. (1977). *Some remarks on relative monodromy*. In Real and Complex Singularities, (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976) (pp. 397-403).
- [McL] M. McLean (2019). *Floer cohomology, multiplicity and the log canonical threshold*. Geometry & Topology, 23(2), 957-1056.
- [Né] A. Némethi (2022). *Normal surface singularities* vol. 74 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer, Cham.
- [Po] D. Popescu (1986). *General Néron desingularization and approximation*. Nagoya Mathematical Journal, 104, 85-115.
- [Re06] A. J. Reguera (2006). *A curve selection lemma in spaces of arcs and the image of the Nash map*. Compositio Mathematica, 142(1), 119-130.
- [Re21] A. J. Reguera (2021). *Corrigendum: A curve selection lemma in spaces of arcs and the image of the Nash map*. Compositio Mathematica, 157(3), 641-648.
- [Se] P. Seidel (1996). *The symplectic Floer homology of a Dehn twist*. Mathematical Research Letters, 3(6), 829-834.

JAVIER DE LA BODEGA

Basque Center for Applied Mathematics. Alameda Mazarredo 14, 48009 Bilbao, Spain. [jdelabodega@bcamath.org](mailto:jdelabodega@bcamath.org)

KU Leuven, Department of Mathematics. Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium. [javier.delabodega@kuleuven.be](mailto:javier.delabodega@kuleuven.be)