# Balanced Residual Distillation Learning for 3D Point Cloud Class-Incremental Semantic Segmentation

Yuanzhi Su, Siyuan Chen, Yuan-Gen Wang

Abstract-Class-incremental learning (CIL) thrives due to its success in processing the influx of information by learning from continuously added new classes while preventing catastrophic forgetting about the old ones. It is essential for the performance breakthrough of CIL to effectively refine past knowledge from the base model and balance it with new learning. However, such an issue has not yet been considered in current research. In this work, we explore the potential of CIL from these perspectives and propose a novel balanced residual distillation framework (BRD-CIL) to push the performance bar of CIL to a new higher level. Specifically, BRD-CIL designs a residual distillation learning strategy, which can dynamically expand the network structure to capture the residuals between the base and target models, effectively refining the past knowledge. Furthermore, BRD-CIL designs a balanced pseudo-label learning strategy by generating a guidance mask to reduce the preference for old classes, ensuring balanced learning from new and old classes. We apply the proposed BRD-CIL to a challenging 3D point cloud semantic segmentation task where the data are unordered and unstructured. Extensive experimental results demonstrate that BRD-CIL sets a new benchmark with an outstanding balance capability in class-biased scenarios.

Index Terms—3D Pointcloud, Semantic segmentation, Incremental learning

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of LiDAR technology has captured significant attention and revolutionized point cloudbased vision tasks, achieving unprecedented success across various real-world applications. From virtual reality [1] to autonomous driving systems [2], LiDAR's advancements have been instrumental in tackling complex challenges. As a key to scene understanding, 3D point cloud semantic segmentation, which aims to recognize various objects within a scene, has massively been developed [3]-[6]. In practical scenarios, the continuous update of hardware and software leads to the discovery of previously unknown categories, necessitating the adaptation of neural networks to recognize newly added classes. The prevailing approach involves retraining models by integrating all available data, thereby enabling them to handle all categories. However, such a strategy encounters two formidable challenges: the substantial computational costs associated with frequent retraining and the uncertainty regarding the availability and quality of previous data. In response to these challenges, class-incremental learning (CIL) emerges



Fig. 1. Illustration of class-incremental learning process, where D represents the dataset and C represents the class set. Class-incremental learning enhances the ability of the model to incrementally learn and recognize new classes over time while retaining its performance on previously learned classes.

as a promising paradigm. By enabling models to progressively assimilate new information while retaining memory of previously acquired knowledge (as depicted in Fig. 1), CIL offers an efficient and effective solution, which not only alleviates the computational burden of repeated retraining but also enhances the adaptability of models to dynamically evolving environments.

Most of the existing works on CIL concentrate on 2D image classification [7]–[12], with further efforts made towards 2D image semantic segmentation [13]-[15]. These approaches typically employ regularization [7], [16], replay [9], [17], [18], or parameter isolation [10], [11] to retain previously acquired knowledge. However, the research on 3D point clouds incremental learning is very limited. At present, only a few works [19]–[21] put incremental learning on point clouds and simply extend 2D methods to 3D point clouds. Due to the unordered and unstructured characteristics of 3D point clouds, it is difficult to preserve previous knowledge, thereby resulting in catastrophic forgetting [7] after the incremental phase. Yang et al. [22] pioneeringly proposed a class-incremental method on 3D point cloud semantic segmentation, which contains a Geometry-aware Feature relation Transfer module (GFT) and an Uncertrainty-aware Pseudo-label Generation strategy (UPG). Although Yang et al' method progressed in overall performance, it imposed a strong constraint on acquired parameters during incremental training, which excessively preserved the learned knowledge from the base model but hindered the learning of new classes. Furthermore, in cases where the volume of new classes is much less than that of the

Y. Su, S. Chen and Y.-G. Wang are with the School of Computer Science and Cyber Engineering, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China (e-mail: yuanzhisu@e.gzhu.edu.cn; siyuanchen@gzhu.edu.cn; wangyg@gzhu.edu.cn).

old ones, this imbalance leads to skewed class learning and significant performance disparity for the new classes compared to Joint Training.

To solve these issues, this paper presents a balanced residual distillation class-incremental learning framework (BRD-CIL) for 3D point cloud semantic segmentation, which includes two core designs: preventing the forgetting of acquired knowledge and facilitating the model to adapt to the new classes. Specifically, to address the catastrophic forgetting issue caused by unstructured and unordered point clouds, we design a residual distillation learning strategy (RDL), which focuses on learning the differences or *residuals* between the old and new classes. RDL enables the model to selectively update its parameters based on the specific characteristics of the new data without completely overwriting the previously learned information. Such a simple yet effective strategy not only provides better inter-class discriminative capability but also reduces the constraints on the current model to promote the learning of the new classes. Moreover, to address the model's preference for base classes, we design a balanced pseudo-label learning (BPL) strategy. This strategy balances the contribution of old and new classes during the incremental phase by generating a guidance mask calculated on the similarity between the representation of new samples and the prototypes of base classes. Lastly, for long-term learning without adding other burdens, we introduce a structural reparameterization to enable the model to learn from the new classes consistently. The major contributions of our work can be summarized as:

- We propose a novel framework BRD-CIL for 3D point cloud class-incremental learning, which jointly protects the prior knowledge and acquires new knowledge in CIL.
- We design a residual distillation learning strategy to refine past knowledge and effectively assimilate the information of new classes. Moreover, we design a balanced pseudolabel learning strategy to mitigate the model's bias toward base classes in favor of new classes.
- Extensive experiments demonstrate that our BRD-CIL outperforms the existing methods by a large margin and presents outstanding balance ability.

# II. RELATED WORK

#### A. Class-Incremental Learning

Class-incremental learning has sparked a growing interest in machine learning since its practice where models need to adapt to the new classes without forgetting about the previously learned ones. Various approaches have been devoted to this solution, ranging from regularization-based methods, replay-based methods, and distillation-based methods to more sophisticated Structure-based methods.

**Regularization-based** methods [7], [23] address the issue of catastrophic forgetting by measuring the importance of model parameters and imposing various constraints on them during the incremental phase. In more detail, regularization-based methods assess the importance of diverse model parameters and assign them varying weights to signify their significance. During incremental steps, less critical parameters can undergo

more liberal updates in contrast to their more pivotal counterparts.

**Replay-based** methods [9], [17], [18] store a small number of exemplars or employ a generative model to synthesize information related to the old classes. ICaRL [9] initially proposes the nearest-mean-of-exemplars selection strategy to identify the most representative exemplars for each old class. Alternatively, pseudo-rehearsal techniques leverage generative models to create pseudo-exemplars based on estimated distributions from previous classes. These methods successfully retain the discriminative features of the previously learned classes during the incremental steps.

Distillation-based methods [21], [24] play a vital role in preserving previously acquired knowledge as the model evolves through current or future incremental steps. Additionally, distillation-based methods entail reducing the divergence between the output probability distributions of the previous and current models, thereby consolidating the shared information and enhancing the model's overall robustness and adaptability. Structure-based methods [11], [25], [26] select and expand different sub-network structures involved in the optimization process of the incremental steps, which enhance the model's adaptability for accommodating new classes. Nevertheless, traditional approaches to dynamic architecture may result in notable overhead due to the continuous addition of new modules during incremental steps. Recently, a hybrid approach [11] merging dynamic architecture with distillation has surfaced to alleviate the growing overhead issue by condensing the augmented modules into the original structure.

Notably, a recent method LGKD [21] introduces labelguided knowledge distillation to mitigate catastrophic forgetting, thereby improving long-term memory and adaptability in continuous learning tasks. However, it's worth noting that LGKD is specifically designed to address the issue of background shift, which can be simply classified as a distillationbased method. In contrast, our proposal presents a novel framework that integrates replay, distillation, and structural adaptation. This combination is necessary because replay retains critical exemplars to prevent forgetting, distillation ensures the smooth transfer of knowledge by aligning old and new model representations, and structural adaptation dynamically expands the network to effectively accommodate new classes. The integration of These paradigms addresses the core challenges of class-incremental learning, providing a balanced and comprehensive solution by effectively retaining past knowledge and incorporating new information.

#### B. 3D Point Clouds Semantic Segmentation

3D point clouds semantic segmentation can be viewed as a point-wise classification task, necessitating consideration of point-to-point disparities and topological distributions. Traditional 3D point clouds semantic segmentation methods [3], [4], [27], [28] are generally given by a static set of predefined classes, rendering them inadequate for scenarios where new classes need to be incrementally integrated into the segmentation model. Class-incremental learning is proposed to address this challenge. Previous research has explored various



Fig. 2. The overall architecture of BRD-CIL. In phase (a), we train the base model consisting of encoder  $E_{base}$  and classifier  $Y_{base}$  on  $D_{base}$ . In phase (b), to update the model to segment more classes, we design a residual distillation learning strategy to capture knowledge learned from the base model. Meanwhile, we design a balanced pseudo-label learning strategy to promote the learning of new classes, thereby addressing the training bias. In phase (c), after training, we propose a structure reparameterization approach to compress the model for continuous updating losslessly.

techniques for incremental learning in pixel-level segmentation tasks [12], [29]. Directly extending these methods to 3D point clouds presents a unique challenge since the point cloud data is disordered and unstructured. To the best of our knowledge, only one pioneer work [22] handles the class-incremental semantic segmentation on 3D point clouds. Although their work achieved state-of-the-art performance on 3D class-incremental semantic segmentation, their method still suffers from critical drawbacks, as analyzed in Sec. I. These limitations serve as a driving force behind our research, motivating us to explore novel and improved methods for class-incremental semantic segmentation in the context of 3D point clouds.

#### III. METHOD

# A. Problem Formulation and Overview of BRD-CIL

Denote the class set and the dataset as C and D, respectively. In general, the dataset D collects lots of samples, i.e. scenes. Each scene contains many points, and each point is represented by a pair (P, L).  $P \in R^{3+f}$  denotes the input 3D point with xyz coordinates and f-dimensional features (e.g., RGB), and L denotes the label of the corresponding point. The process of class incremental learning typically includes two phases. In the first phase, the base model  $M_{base}$  is trained on the dataset  $D_{base}$ . In the second phase,  $M_{base}$  serves as the initialization for the novel model  $M_{novel}$ , which is then trained on the dataset  $D_{novel}$ . Note that  $D_{base}$  is not available during the second phase and  $C_{base}$  is disjoint with  $C_{novel}$  (i.e.,  $C_{base} \cap C_{novel} = \emptyset$ ). Finally, the trained  $M_{novel}$  is utilized to perform the segmentation task on both  $C_{base}$  and  $C_{novel}$ .

The core problem of class-incremental learning is protecting existing knowledge and acquiring new knowledge. To this aim, we present a balanced residual distillation method for the CIL task (BRD-CIL), as shown in Fig. 2. BRD-CIL consists of Residual Distillation Learning (cf. Sec. III-B) and Balanced Pseudo-label Learning (cf. Sec. III-C). RDL aims to prevent forgetting about existing knowledge by transferring and further refining the knowledge from  $M_{base}$  to  $M_{novel}$ .  $M_{base}$  consists of an encoder  $E_{base}$  and a classifier  $Y_{base}$ . For  $M_{novel}$ , instead of directly expanding the classifier, RDL retains the old structure consisting of the base model and introduces a residual branch to learn the residuals between the old and new classes. Hence,  $M_{novel}$  consists of an encoder  $E_{novel}$ , a classifier  $Y_{novel}$  and a residual branch  $Y_{res}$ . Additionally, we introduce a structure reparameterization strategy to integrate the residual branch after training, which maintains the consistency of the network structure. Meanwhile, the incorporation of new information is limited by the biased number of the base and novel classes. To address such an issue, **BPL** is designed to enhance the model's focus on the distinctive characteristics of the novel classes by the similarity between the new instance and the prototypes of base classes. These designs complement each other and collaboratively promote the incremental performance of the model. In the following, we illustrate the above designs in detail.

# B. Residual Distillation Learning

The notorious catastrophic forgetting in incremental learning is a serious problem. Finding methods to prevent the forgetting of old knowledge while assimilating new information continues to present a challenge. To this aim, we design an RDL strategy to refine the knowledge learned from the  $M_{base}$  while effectively absorbing new information. Denote  $P_n \in D_{novel}$  as the current input point cloud of novel classes with S points. We start by uniformly sampling rproportional points from the input point cloud using farthest point sampling [30], resulting in  $Z = \lfloor r \times S \rfloor$  anchors. The  $l_2$  distance is then used to find the K nearest points, creating local geometric structures. This enables capturing point-wise relationships  $R^a$  among geometric neighbors. We then employ the GFT loss  $\mathcal{L}_{GFT}$  [22], the mean squared error, to measure the difference between  $R_{base}$  and  $R_{novel}$  on all anchors:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GFT} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{a=1}^{Z} ||R_{novel}^a - R_{base}^a||^2.$$
(1)

By minimizing this loss function, we not only transfer the structural and semantic knowledge contained in the  $M_{base}$  to the  $M_{novel}$  but also enhance the expression capability of  $E_{novel}$  that enriches the significance of point representation.

To distill previous knowledge from the base model into the novel model, we exploit the predicted probability output by  $M_{base}$  to guide the training of  $M_{novel}$ , which provides a more flexible and adaptive way to update the model's knowledge. This way allows for a more gradual and nuanced update of the model's parameters and enables the model to adjust its decision boundaries more smoothly. The RDL loss function is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{RDL} = ||Y_{novel}(E_{novel}(P_n)) - Y_{base}(E_{base}(P_n))||^2. \quad (2)$$

Our RDL mitigates the catastrophic forgetting by providing a distillation mechanism that allows the model to maintain the representation of the old classes, leading to more robust and continuous learning over time in an incremental learning scenario. In addition, to optimize the overall performance, we utilize the residual branch to absorb the information of the novel classes. We use the pseudo-label assigned by the old model to prevent semantic shift. For a given point *i*, we calculate its uncertainty  $\mathcal{U}_n^i$  and further refines highly uncertain point to reduce noisy labels. We integrate the pseudo-label generated by UPG [22] with the label of the new classes to obtain the mixed labels  $\mathcal{H}_n^i$ , which can be represented as:

$$\mathcal{H}_{n}^{i} = \begin{cases} \underset{c \in C_{base}}{\arg \max Q_{b}^{i,c}} & L_{n}^{i} = \hat{c}_{bg}, \underset{C_{base}}{\arg \max Q_{b}^{i,c}} \neq c_{bg} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_{n}^{i} \leq \gamma, \\ \underset{c}{\arg \max Q_{b}^{k,c}} & L_{n}^{i} = \hat{c}_{bg}, \underset{C_{base}}{\arg \max Q_{b}^{i,c}} = c_{bg} \text{ or } \mathcal{U}_{n}^{i} > \gamma, \\ \underset{c}{L_{n}^{i}} & L_{n}^{i} \neq \hat{c}_{bg}, \\ \underset{ignored}{\operatorname{ignored}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

In Eq. (3),  $c_{bg}$  and  $\hat{c}_{bg}$  represent the "background" classes that are distinct from the semantic classes  $C_{base}$  and  $C_{novel}$ , respectively.  $Q_b^{i,c}$  represents the probability output by  $M_{base}$ and  $Q_b^{k,c}$  denotes the probability of the nearest point k among K neighbor points.  $\gamma$  represents the threshold to determine the points with high or low uncertainty. To further incorporate new information, we employ the cross-entropy loss between the  $Q_n$  output by  $M_{novel}$  and mixed label  $H_n^i$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Seg} = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} H_n^i log(Q_n^i).$$
(4)

Eq. (4) ensures that  $Y_{novel}(\cdot)$  and  $Y_{res}(\cdot)$  collectively contribute to the accurate segmentation of all the classes.

After training, we propose a structural reparameterization approach to losslessly integrate the residual branch information into the main branch:

$$\hat{Y}_n(F;\theta_n+\theta_r) = \hat{Y}_n(F;\hat{\theta}_n+0) = \hat{Y}_n(F;\hat{\theta}_n), \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta_n$  and  $\theta_r$  represent the parameters in the novel classifier  $Y_{novel}(\cdot)$  and residual classifier  $Y_{res}(\cdot)$ , respectively.  $\hat{\theta}_n$  represents reorganized parameters.  $Y_{novel}(\cdot)$  is only responsible for the current base classes while  $Y_{res}(\cdot)$  is responsible for the logical residuals of both the novel classes and the base classes. To ensure the dimension consistency of  $\theta_n$  and  $\theta_r$ , we pad  $\theta_n$  with zero and fuse with  $\theta_r$  through element-wise addition operation. Finally, the residual branch is removed to keep the model structure consistent with  $M_{base}$  for the next update.

#### C. Balanced Pseudo-label Learning

We propose a BPL strategy to eliminate the training deviation between the base and novel classes. Our design is based on the fact that the points with high similarity are more likely to belong to the old classes, while those with low similarity are more likely to belong to the new classes. Therefore, lowsimilarity samples are mainly involved in the update of the model to learn discriminative features. On the contrary, highly similar samples have a limited contribution to the update of model parameters, which promotes the learning of new class samples to the model.

Specifically, in BPL, the prototypes serve as the representation of the base classes. Specifically, we store prototypes of the base classes by computing the average embedding of all the instances belonging to each class in  $C_{base}$ . After projecting all new samples to the learned embedding space, we employ a standard Cosine similarity to compute the normalized cosine scores  $s_{i,c}$  between them and each prototype, which is formulated as follows:

$$s_{i,c} = Cosine(Prototype_c, E_{novel}(P_n^i)).$$
(6)

We utilize  $s_{i,c}$  as a reference for the old-new mask generation, which addresses the model's preference towards the base classes. We think the points with high similarity are more likely to belong to the old classes, while those with low similarity are likelier to belong to the new classes. In addition, we hope that the backpropagation flow is mainly derived from the new classes and updates the most discriminating position while maintaining the old representation. Therefore, we propose to generate the old-new mask as follows:

1

$$m_i = 1 - \max_{c \in C_{base}} (s_{i,c}).$$
 (7)

Based on the generated mask, we design a weighting crossentropy loss  $\mathcal{L}_{BPL}$  and apply it to guide the training of  $M_{novel}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{BPL} = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} m_i \cdot H_n^i \log(Q_n^i).$$
(8)

The purpose of this design is to increase the contribution of the novel class samples during the incremental phase while encouraging the model to focus on learning the discriminate features of the novel classes. By assigning larger weights to the novel classes, we prioritize their importance during the learning process, enabling better adaptation to the new classes.

# D. Overall Loss

In the first phase, we train  $M_{base}$  on points  $P_b$  belonging to the base class and its labels  $L_b$ . We define the loss as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{base} = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} L_b^i \log(Y_{base}(E_{base}(P_b^i))). \tag{9}$$

In the incremental phase, the residual branch  $Y_{res}$  is randomly initialized while the rest is initialized with  $M_{base}$ . To train  $M_{novel}$ , we set the total loss function as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{incre} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{GFT} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{RDL} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{Seg} + \lambda_4 \mathcal{L}_{BPL}.$$
 (10)

In this paper, we empirically set  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.2$  and  $\lambda_4 = 0.1$  based on careful consideration of the relative importance and impact of each loss component within the overall objective function.  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_3$  follow the previous settings [22].  $\lambda_4$  is used to normalize  $L_{BPL}$  to be of the same scale, while  $\lambda_2$ is used for performance tuning. After finishing the incremental phase,  $M_{novel}$  is expected to accurately classify a point cloud that comes from  $C_{base} \cup C_{novel}$  during the inference stage.

# **IV. EXPERIMENTS**

# A. Experimental Setting

**Implementation Details** For a fair comparison, our setup is consistent with [22]. We adopt two paradigms  $S^0$  and  $S^1$  to develop  $C_{base}$  and  $C_{novel}$ . Note that classes in  $S^0$ are incrementally introduced according to the original class label order in the dataset, and classes in  $S^1$  are introduced in alphabetical order. Additionally, we employ DGCNN [4] as the feature extractor. Our model is trained using a batch size of 32, and the Adam optimizer [31] with an initial learning rate of 0.001 and weight decay of 0.0001 on both  $D_{base}$  and  $D_{novel}$ . We train the model for 100 epochs in total and multiply the learning rate by 0.5 after 50 epochs. The point clouds used for training are augmented through Gaussian jitter, along with random rotations around the z-axis.

**Datasets** We adopt two publicly available datasets: S3DIS [32] and ScanNet [33] to conduct experiments, which were selected for their diversity and relevance to our problem domain and fair comparison with benchmark methods [7], [8], [22]. S3DIS comprises point clouds captured from a total of 272 rooms located in 6 different indoor areas. Each point in S3DIS contains xyz coordinates and RGB information, and is manually

annotated with one of the 13 predefined classes. We designate the more challenging area 5 as our validation set while using the remaining areas for training. ScanNet is an RGB-D video dataset consisting of 1,513 scans acquired from 707 indoor scenes. Each point in ScanNet is assigned to one of the 21 classes, which includes 20 semantic classes and an additional class for unannotated places. We designate the 1210 scans in the ScanNet for training, while the remaining scans are used for validation.

# B. Comparison with State-of-the-arts

We compare our method with five typical methods: Feeeze and Add (F&A), Fine-Tune (FT), EWC [7], LwF [8] and Yang et al.' method [22]. Among these methods, F&A and FT belong to the direct adaptation methods, EWC and LwF belong to the forgetting-prevention methods, and Yang et al's method [22] is the only open-sourced SOTA method to our best knowledge. We apply the mean Intersection-over-Union (mIoU) as our evaluation metric. "BT" corresponds to Base Training. "JT" represents Joint Training, where all the base + novel classes are trained together. EWC [7] and LwF [8] are traditional class-incremental methods, and [22] is state-of-theart method currently.

The comparison results on S3DIS and ScanNet are shown in Tab. I and Tab. II, respectively. From Tab. I and Tab. II, for F&A, the performance on  $C_{base}$  is maintained due to the frozen base model. However, F&A faces challenges when adapting to the novel classes, leading to a subpar performance on  $C_{novel}$ . FT involves learning novel classes by updating the parameters of both the base feature extractor and the randomly initialized new classifier. Nonetheless, FT results in significant degradation in  $C_{base}$  due to the absence of strategies to prevent forgetting. In contrast, EWC introduces the weight regularization loss to limit the modification of crucial weights from previous training, and LwF leverages the probability output by the base model to guide the model training, thereby alleviating forgetting. Additionally, [22] explores the pointwise geometry relationship and generates uncertainty-aware pseudo-labels to prevent catastrophic forgetting and improve overall performance. Although these methods deliver good overall performance, they suffer from imbalanced performance in the base and novel classes. Our framework solved these defects well through balanced refining of the past knowledge and learning residuals. It can be seen that our BRD-CIL achieved the best results on both the S3DIS and ScanNet datasets. Especially, the advantage of our method is obvious when  $|C_{novel}| = 1$ . Compared to [22], our method improved the mIoU of novel classes by 15.34% on S3DIS and by 8.33% on ScanNet in  $S^1$  order. A qualitative comparison is shown in Fig. 3, which demonstrates that our BRD-CIL achieves the best performance with an outstanding balance capability compared to other methods.

**Robustness to Class Order.** We conduct experiments to demonstrate the robustness of BRD-CIL on the above datasets in a different order (i.e.  $S^0$  and  $S^1$ ). The experimental results, as presented in Tab. I and Tab. II, unveil a compelling finding: for existing incremental methods, the alteration of class order

6

#### TABLE I

QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON OF 3D CLASS-INCREMENTAL SEGMENTATION METHODS ON  $S^0$  and  $S^1$  split of the S3DIS dataset. The best results achieved by the incremental methods are highlighted in **BOLD**.

	$ C_{novel}  = 5$						$ C_{novel}  = 3$					$ C_{novel}  = 1$						
Method		$S^0$			$S^1$			$S^0$			$S^1$			$S^0$			$S^1$	
	0-7	8-12	all	0-7	8-12	all	0-9	10-12	all	0-9	10-12	all	0-11	12	all	0-11	12	all
BT	48.54	-	-	37.24	-	-	46.80	-	-	40.73	-	-	45.00	-	-	45.88	-	-
F&A	44.25	12.33	31.98	37.71	42.89	39.44	44.28	3.34	34.83	41.11	35.64	39.85	44.57	0.05	41.14	45.35	0.05	41.86
FT	34.96	30.25	33.15	10.99	50.67	26.53	28.87	31.56	29.49	17.83	54.69	26.34	29.44	29.52	29.45	23.80	5.74	22.41
EWC	39.38	31.07	36.19	23.19	54.85	35.36	37.13	37.92	37.31	29.38	55.53	35.41	36.55	19.94	35.27	25.60	9.81	24.39
LwF	44.55	35.01	40.88	32.83	55.19	41.43	43.07	38.34	41.98	37.69	54.73	41.62	39.94	35.50	39.60	32.16	18.26	31.09
Yang et al.	48.94	39.56	45.33	38.17	55.20	44.72	45.15	45.33	45.19	39.83	57.59	43.93	44.08	35.69	43.43	40.33	19.28	38.71
BRD-CIL	50.68	40.62	46.81	38.38	55.62	45.01	49.20	44.12	47.26	41.36	59.40	45.52	46.94	38.35	46.28	42.75	35.12	42.16
JT	50.23	41.74	46.97	38.38	60.11	46.74	48.62	41.44	46.97	42.63	60.44	46.74	47.51	40.41	46.97	47.09	42.55	46.74

TABLE II

Quantitative comparison of 3D class-incremental segmentation methods on  $S^0$  and  $S^1$  split of the ScanNet dataset. The best results achieved by the incremental methods are highlighted in **Bold**.

	$ C_{novel}  = 5$						$ C_{novel}  = 3$					$ C_{novel}  = 1$						
Method		$S^0$			$S^1$			$S^0$			$S^1$			$S^0$			$S^1$	
	0-14	15-19	all	0-14	15-19	all	0-16	17-19	all	0-16	17-19	all	0-18	19	all	0-18	19	all
BT	37.37	-	-	29.30	-	-	34.03	-	-	30.84	-	-	31.57	-	-	30.78	-	-
F&A	36.06	1.77	27.48	25.25	18.72	23.62	32.58	0.86	27.82	26.95	7.37	24.02	30.99	0.95	29.49	30.41	0.01	28.89
FT	9.39	13.65	10.45	5.83	34.03	12.88	8.43	10.98	8.82	4.88	40.94	10.29	8.02	10.46	8.14	4.76	7.57	4.90
EWC	17.75	13.22	16.62	14.93	33.30	19.52	15.70	11.74	15.11	8.78	31.74	12.22	15.66	6.76	15.21	12.24	8.84	12.07
LwF	30.38	13.37	26.13	24.04	37.88	27.50	26.22	13.88	24.37	22.76	42.34	25.70	22.15	12.56	21.67	20.63	13.88	20.29
Yang et al.	34.16	13.43	28.98	26.04	35.51	28.41	28.38	14.31	26.27	28.79	40.31	30.52	25.74	12.62	25.08	24.16	12.97	23.60
BRD-CIL	33.82	15.30	29.19	25.85	38.89	29.11	31.40	15.63	29.04	29.11	42.55	31.13	30.02	15.57	29.30	29.98	21.30	29.55
JT	38.13	16.63	32.76	30.81	38.79	32.81	35.46	17.44	32.76	31.65	39.38	32.81	33.53	18.08	32.76	32.91	30.76	32.81

does significantly affect the performance. The deviation in results indicates that the arrangement of class labels plays a crucial role in determining the success of such methods. In contrast, JT, where all categories are trained simultaneously, renders a negligible degradation in different class orders. This is because the inherent nature of JT, where the entire dataset is considered holistically, allowing for comprehensive learning across all classes, regardless of their order. Furthermore, BRD-CIL exhibits excellent results remarkably close to those achieved through JT, even under varying class orders. This noteworthy phenomenon demonstrates the robustness and effectiveness of our approach. The above results indicate that our BRD-CIL can adapt to different learning scenarios, making it a reliable and versatile solution for incremental learning tasks.

# C. Ablation Study

To comprehensively explore BRD-CIL, we conducted ablation studies on multi-step incremental training, learning strategies, and network initialization. The detailed explanations are as follows.

*Multi-step Incremental Training.* To explore the impact of long-term learning, we conduct an ablation study to evaluate the performance of BRD-CIL in the context of multi-step incremental training. We present the results in Tab. III. The overall performance experiences a decline due to the inherent difficulty in learning novel classes. As mentioned in [22],

the model struggles with forgetting old classes, semantic shifts in known and unknown future classes, causing confusion in recognizing previously learned classes. Despite these formidable obstacles, BRD-CIL not only excels in preserving old knowledge but also demonstrates remarkable proficiency in acquiring new information related to the novel classes. This ability to strike a balance between retaining past knowledge and assimilating new information underscores the robustness and adaptability of BRD-CIL in class-incremental learning scenarios.

Learning strategies. We conducted an ablation study in  $|C_{novel}| = 3$  setting of S3DIS in different orders, i.e.,  $S^0$  &  $S^1$ , to gain insights into the individual contributions of BRD-CIL's strategy. Tab. IV shows that the removal of any single strategy leads to a noticeable degradation in performance. As expected, RDL serves a crucial role in preventing catastrophic forgetting. When RDL is removed, the model's ability to protect old knowledge decreases, thus reducing the model performance on the old classes. Additionally, BPL contributes more to facilitate the acquisition of knowledge related to the new classes. When BPL is removed, the model's plasticity is damaged and performance on novel classes is severely declined. Although both individual strategies can help improve overall performance, the collaborative interplay between RDL and BPL culminates in the attainment of significant performance since each strategy augments the other's strengths and compensates for its limitations.



Fig. 3. Visualization of Incremental Quality Comparison with EWC [7], LwF [8] and [22] on  $|C_{novel}| = 5$  novel classes of ScanNet in order  $S^0$ . The results shown in black are unlabeled and do not belong to any of the base classes or the novel classes.

TABLE III Ablation Study for multi-step training on S3DIS ( $S^0$  split). We set the number of base classes to 8 and the number of novel classes to 5. Here, we conducted multi-step increments, where each step incremented one class, resulting in a total of five increment steps.

Class	Step	1	Step 2		Step 3	3	Step	4	Step 5	
	Yang et al.	Ours								
0	88.09	88.03	85.46	87.33	85.72	86.81	85.91	86.71	88.04	88.40
1	95.63	96.16	95.66	96.53	95.76	96.34	95.37	96.02	95.83	96.05
2	73.46	76.54	71.57	76.04	72.07	75.96	65.17	72.58	65.89	72.81
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	7.93	7.50	0.90	7.03	0.81	7.03	0.00	7.24	0.00	7.27
5	39.70	49.42	32.01	48.05	34.79	45.00	31.28	41.92	34.48	43.01
6	22.76	44.29	19.74	41.82	11.74	40.11	6.82	38.84	8.01	37.70
7	63.06	60.90	50.07	58.52	51.78	57.45	44.29	58.00	44.38	59.44
8	34.42	34.06	13.69	15.85	12.32	14.45	0.21	11.10	0.07	9.94
9	-	-	3.73	3.69	3.84	3.42	5.04	4.56	3.56	4.63
10	-	-	-	-	44.31	45.74	40.10	42.92	36.18	36.75
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.02	8.95	10.63	13.72
12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.50	35.31
base	48.83	52.86	44.43	51.92	44.08	51.09	41.11	50.16	42.08	50.59
novel	34.42	34.06	8.71	9.77	20.16	21.20	13.34	16.88	16.79	20.07
all	47.23	50.77	37.28	43.49	37.56	42.94	31.85	39.07	32.35	38.85

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE IV} \\ \text{Ablation study for learning strategies in } |C_{novel}| = 3 \text{ setting} \\ \text{ of S3DIS } (S^0 \And S^1 \text{ split.}) \end{array}$ 

RDL	BPL		$S^0$		$S^1$				
	212	0-9	10-12	all	0-9	10-12	all		
×	×	45.15	45.33	45.19	39.83	57.59	43.93		
$\checkmark$	×	46.12	40.76	44.90	41.35	57.43	45.11		
×	$\checkmark$	47.44	44.80	46.83	40.46	60.21	45.02		
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	49.20	44.12	47.26	41.36	59.40	45.52		

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{TABLE V} \\ \mbox{Performance for difference initialization methods in} \\ |C_{novel}| = 3 \mbox{ setting of S3DIS } (S^0 \& S^1 \mbox{ split}). \end{array}$ 

		$S^0$		$S^1$				
Method	0-9	10-12	all	0-9	10-12	all		
Completely random	46.49	39.27	44.82	41.79	59.91	45.97		
$Y_{res}$ -zero	48.57	42.54	47.18	43.44	59.36	47.11		
$Y_{res}$ -random	49.20	44.12	47.26	41.36	59.40	45.52		

**Network initialization.** We perform a network initialization experiment to explore the impact of different network initialization strategies on the effectiveness of BRD-CIL during training. We adopt three different strategies: completely random initialization,  $Y_{res}$ -random initialization, and  $Y_{res}$ -

zero initialization. The result presented in Tab. V indicates that compared to the initialization of  $Y_{res}$ -random, the zero initialization may affect the effectiveness of the model during the training, potentially hindering its ability. Furthermore, the completely random initialization may produce significant differences in effectiveness compared to the other two methods due to insufficient training epochs. These results provide fur-

ther insight into the implementation of BRD-CIL and highlight the importance of selecting an appropriate initialization when using this technique.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce a novel paradigm called BRD-CIL (Balanced Residual Distillation framework for Class-Incremental Learning) aimed at enhancing the 3D point cloud semantic segmentation. We propose a residual distillation learning strategy to transfer and refine the acquired knowledge of the previous classes, which tackles the challenge of catastrophic forgetting. This preserves valuable information while accommodating new learning. Moreover, we present a balanced pseudo-label learning strategy to effectively mitigate feature confusion and counteract class-biased training toward the base classes. Extensive experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of BRD-CIL in improving accuracy and generalization capabilities for both the base and novel classes. The comprehensive evaluation demonstrates the accurate and reliable performance of our proposed framework. We believe that BRD-CIL opens up new avenues for future research in the field of class-incremental learning.

#### References

- Thomas Blanc, Mohamed El Beheiry, Clément Caporal, Jean-Baptiste Masson, and Bassam Hajj, "Genuage: Visualize and analyze multidimensional single-molecule point cloud data in virtual reality," *Nature Methods*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1100–1102, 2020.
- [2] Xiangyu Yue, Bichen Wu, Sanjit A Seshia, Kurt Keutzer, and Alberto L Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, "A lidar point cloud generator: from a virtual world to autonomous driving," in *ICMR*, 2018, pp. 458–464.
- [3] Charles R Qi, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J Guibas, "Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation," in *CVPR*, 2017, pp. 652–660.
- [4] Yue Wang, Yongbin Sun, Ziwei Liu, Sanjay E Sarma, Michael M Bronstein, and Justin M Solomon, "Dynamic graph cnn for learning on point clouds," *TOG*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- [5] Xin Lai, Jianhui Liu, Li Jiang, Liwei Wang, Hengshuang Zhao, Shu Liu, Xiaojuan Qi, and Jiaya Jia, "Stratified transformer for 3d point cloud segmentation," in CVPR, 2022, pp. 8500–8509.
- [6] Zongyi Xu, Bo Yuan, Shanshan Zhao, Qianni Zhang, and Xinbo Gao, "Hierarchical point-based active learning for semi-supervised point cloud semantic segmentation," in *ICCV*, 2023, pp. 18098–18108.
- [7] James Kirkpatrick, Razvan Pascanu, Neil Rabinowitz, Joel Veness, Guillaume Desjardins, Andrei A Rusu, Kieran Milan, John Quan, Tiago Ramalho, Agnieszka Grabska-Barwinska, et al., "Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 114, no. 13, pp. 3521–3526, 2017.
- [8] Zhizhong Li and Derek Hoiem, "Learning without forgetting," *TPAMI*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2935–2947, 2017.
- [9] Sylvestre-Alvise Rebuffi, Alexander Kolesnikov, Georg Sperl, and Christoph H Lampert, "icarl: Incremental classifier and representation learning," in CVPR, 2017, pp. 2001–2010.
- [10] Shipeng Yan, Jiangwei Xie, and Xuming He, "Der: Dynamically expandable representation for class incremental learning," in *CVPR*, 2021, pp. 3014–3023.
- [11] Fu-Yun Wang, Da-Wei Zhou, Han-Jia Ye, and De-Chuan Zhan, "Foster: Feature boosting and compression for class-incremental learning," in *ECCV*, 2022, pp. 398–414.
- [12] Tamasha Malepathirana, Damith Senanayake, and Saman Halgamuge, "Napa-vq: Neighborhood-aware prototype augmentation with vector quantization for continual learning," in *ICCV*, 2023, pp. 11674–11684.
- [13] Lu Yu, Xialei Liu, and Joost Van de Weijer, "Self-training for classincremental semantic segmentation," *TNNLS*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 9116– 9127, 2022.
- [14] Guanglei Yang, Enrico Fini, Dan Xu, Paolo Rota, Mingli Ding, Moin Nabi, Xavier Alameda-Pineda, and Elisa Ricci, "Uncertainty-aware contrastive distillation for incremental semantic segmentation," *TPAMI*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 2567–2581, 2022.

- [15] Jia-Wen Xiao, Chang-Bin Zhang, Jiekang Feng, Xialei Liu, Joost van de Weijer, and Ming-Ming Cheng, "Endpoints weight fusion for class incremental semantic segmentation," in CVPR, 2023, pp. 7204–7213.
- [16] Sayna Ebrahimi, Mohamed Elhoseiny, Trevor Darrell, and Marcus Rohrbach, "Uncertainty-guided continual learning in bayesian neural networks-extended abstract," in CVPR, 2018.
- [17] Francisco M Castro, Manuel J Marín-Jiménez, Nicolás Guil, Cordelia Schmid, and Karteek Alahari, "End-to-end incremental learning," in *ECCV*, 2018, pp. 233–248.
- [18] David Rolnick, Arun Ahuja, Jonathan Schwarz, Timothy Lillicrap, and Gregory Wayne, "Experience replay for continual learning," *NeurIPS*, vol. 32, pp. 350–360, 2019.
- [19] Jiahua Dong, Yang Cong, Gan Sun, Bingtao Ma, and Lichen Wang, "I3dol: Incremental 3d object learning without catastrophic forgetting," in AAAI, 2021, pp. 6066–6074.
- [20] Yuyang Liu, Yang Cong, Gan Sun, Tao Zhang, Jiahua Dong, and Hongsen Liu, "L3doc: Lifelong 3d object classification," *TIP*, vol. 30, pp. 7486–7498, 2021.
- [21] Ze Yang, Ruibo Li, Evan Ling, Chi Zhang, Yiming Wang, Dezhao Huang, Keng Teck Ma, Minhoe Hur, and Guosheng Lin, "Label-guided knowledge distillation for continual semantic segmentation on 2d images and 3d point clouds," in *ICCV*, 2023, pp. 18601–18612.
- [22] Yuwei Yang, Munawar Hayat, Zhao Jin, Chao Ren, and Yinjie Lei, "Geometry and uncertainty-aware 3d point cloud class-incremental semantic segmentation," in CVPR, 2023, pp. 21759–21768.
- [23] Hongjoon Ahn, Sungmin Cha, Donggyu Lee, and Taesup Moon, "Uncertainty-based continual learning with adaptive regularization," *NeurIPS*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [24] Bowen Zhao, Xi Xiao, Guojun Gan, Bin Zhang, and Shu-Tao Xia, "Maintaining discrimination and fairness in class incremental learning," in CVPR, 2020, pp. 13208–13217.
- [25] Jaehong Yoon, Eunho Yang, Jeongtae Lee, and Sung Ju Hwang, "Lifelong learning with dynamically expandable networks," in *ICLR*, 2018.
- [26] Ching-Yi Hung, Cheng-Hao Tu, Cheng-En Wu, Chien-Hung Chen, Yi-Ming Chan, and Chu-Song Chen, "Compacting, picking and growing for unforgetting continual learning," *NeurIPS*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [27] Shuhuan Wen, Tao Wang, and Sheng Tao, "Hybrid cnn-lstm architecture for lidar point clouds semantic segmentation," *RA-L*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 5811–5818, 2022.
- [28] Baochen Yao, Hui Xiao, Jiayan Zhuang, and Chengbin Peng, "Weakly supervised learning for point cloud semantic segmentation with dual teacher," *RA-L*, 2023.
- [29] Minsoo Kang, Jaeyoo Park, and Bohyung Han, "Class-incremental learning by knowledge distillation with adaptive feature consolidation," in CVPR, 2022, pp. 16071–16080.
- [30] Charles Ruizhongtai Qi, Li Yi, Hao Su, and Leonidas J Guibas, "Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space," *NeurIPS*, vol. 30, pp. 5105–5104, 2017.
- [31] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," 2015.
- [32] Iro Armeni, Ozan Sener, Amir R Zamir, Helen Jiang, Ioannis Brilakis, Martin Fischer, and Silvio Savarese, "3d semantic parsing of large-scale indoor spaces," in CVPR, 2016, pp. 1534–1543.
- [33] Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias Nießner, "Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes," in CVPR, 2017, pp. 5828–5839.