

Transverse momentum dependence of the T -even hadronic structure functions in the Drell-Yan process

Valery E. Lyubovitskij,^{1,2} Alexey S. Zhevlakov,^{3,4} and Iurii A. Anikin⁵

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen,
Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*

²*Millennium Institute for Subatomic Physics at the High-Energy Frontier (SAPHIR) of ANID,
Fernández Concha 700, Santiago, Chile*

³*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

⁴*Matrosov Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory SB RAS,
Lermontov str., 134, 664033, Irkutsk, Russia*

⁵*Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*

(Dated: August 5, 2024)

We present detailed analysis of the T -even lepton angular distribution in the Drell-Yan process including γ/Z^0 gauge boson exchange and using perturbative QCD based on the collinear factorization scheme at leading order in the α_s expansion. We focus on the study of the transverse momentum Q_T dependence of the corresponding hadronic structure functions and angular coefficients up to next-next-to-leading order in the Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion. We analyze Q_T dependence numerically and compare T -even angular coefficients integrated over rapidity with available data of the ATLAS Collaboration at LHC. Additionally, we present our results for the forward-backward asymmetry and compare it with data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Study of hadron structure is one of the most attractive topics during the last decades. In this vein, the Drell-Yan (DY) process [1] $H_1(P_1)H_2(P_2) \rightarrow \ell_1\bar{\ell}_2 X$ is one of the key tools for getting a new information on hadronic structure functions. In particular, theoretical analysis of data on angular distributions of leptonic pairs ($\ell_1\bar{\ell}_2$) gives direct access to these physical quantities. During last ten years, angular distributions were measured at LHC by the ATLAS [2], CMS [3], and LHCb [4] Collaborations in large interval of transverse momentum Q_T of gauge boson producing leptonic pair. Before LHC era, measurements of angular distributions in the DY processes have been done by the NA10 [5], NA3 [6], and CDF [7] Collaborations. Advantage of new measurements done by the ATLAS [2] and CMS [3] Collaborations consists an extension of the DY processes to the weak sector, i.e. to study weak boson production. Latest advanced calculations of the DY angular distributions/coefficients give important opportunity for high-precision test of electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM). In particular, a precision of the inclusive and full differential DY cross sections have been extended from NNLO [8–14] to N³LO [15–17]. Besides, part of calculation include also parton showers [18–20]. Also one should mention that the success of the parton Reggeization approach [21]–[23] for study DY processes at high energies. Careful and consistent inclusion of the electroweak corrections have been made in Refs. [24–28]. For a status of the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) precision predictions for the DY processes see, e.g., recent paper [29].

Current measurements give a chance for accurate check of theoretical predictions for behavior of angular distributions on substantial transverse momentum Q_T . In perturbative QCD (pQCD) one can systematically predict Q_T dependence of the structure functions in order-by-order in the strong coupling α_s expansion. Analysis of the Q_T dependence of the angular distributions in the electromagnetic DY process at order α_s in the collinear factorization scheme was made in Refs. [30–34]. For analysis of the angular distributions of the DY leptons in the TMD factorization approach see recent paper [35]. Studies of angular coefficients with comparison with existing data were presented [36–41]. Recent data of the ATLAS [2] and CMS [3] Collaborations were analyzed, in particular, at the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ order in strong coupling expansion by using DNNLO [12] and FEWZ [13] generators. These packages retain full kinematical information about the final state and allow for a direct comparison to data in the fiducial region. In particular, using these generators, and later on the NNLOJET [40] package, angular coefficients were extracted by using methods proposed in Ref. [42], based on orthogonality of harmonic polynomials and on connection to angular distributions. The method of Ref. [42] was based on integration over the full phase space of the angular distributions. It cannot be applied directly to data, but it was used to compute all the theoretical predictions, in particular, based on Monte-Carlo generators.

In this paper we analyze DY angular distributions based on collinear pQCD [43, 44]. In particular, we focus on the small Q_T limit, which is important due to several reasons: (1) for deeper understanding of this limit in the collinear pQCD; (2) for performing resummation of the hadronic structure functions at small Q_T proposed in Ref. [34]. We derive analytical results for the helicity hadronic structure functions up to next-next-to-leading power in the Q_T^2/Q^2

expansion. We also perform a comparison of our predictions for the angular coefficients with the ATLAS data [2] in the fiducial region with lepton pair produced in vicinity of the Z -boson mass. Note, analysis of the Q_T dependence of the angular distributions was proposed and developed before in Refs. [33, 34, 41, 43] in the leading order in the Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion and recently in Ref. [45] up to next-next-to-leading order in the Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion for the case of the T -odd angular distributions in the DY process. Comprehensive discussion of the formalism of the Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion up to arbitrary order of accuracy can be found in Ref. [46]. This is good starting point for our study in the present paper, where we focus on the: (1) performing the small Q_T expansion of the T -even hadronic structure functions up to next-next-to-leading order; (2) making numerical analysis of angular coefficients with data. In our consideration we will deal with the DY cross processes involving both photon and Z^0 -boson productions. Our numerical analysis includes a possible uncertainties of initial conditions, such as the ranges of measured Q or Q_T for invariant mass of lepton pair.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the definition of the hadronic structure functions and kinematics defining helicity structure functions. In Sec. III we present our results for all T -even structure functions in α_s order in the framework of collinear pQCD. In Sec. IV we discuss the Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion up next-next-to-leading order. In Sec. V we present our numerical results for the Q_T dependence of the hadronic structure functions and compare them with ATLAS data. We also discuss our predictions for the forward-backward (FB) asymmetry and for the convexity (transverse-longitudinal hadronic structure asymmetry) and compare it with available data. Finally, in Sec. VI we give our conclusion. In the Appendices we collect some calculation details. In particular, in Appendix A we discuss details of kinematic of the DY process. In Appendix B we include details regarding hadronic and leptonic helicity structure functions. In Appendix C we show relations between three different sets of hadronic structure functions. In Appendix D, we present perturbative coefficients parameterizing small Q_T^2/Q^2 expansion of the hadronic structure functions.

II. HADRONIC STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS IN THE DRELL-YAN PROCESS

The DY process is specified as $H_1(P_1) + H_2(P_2) \rightarrow \gamma^*(Z^0) + X \rightarrow \ell^-(q_1) + \ell^+(q_2) + X$, where H_1 and H_2 are the initial-state hadrons, $(\ell^+\ell^-)$ is leptonic pair, $q = q_1 + q_2$ is the vector boson momentum; $d\Omega = d\cos\theta d\phi$ is the solid angle of the lepton $\ell^-(q_1)$ in terms of its polar (θ) and azimuthal (ϕ) angles in the center-of-mass (CM) system of the leptonic pair. The details of the kinematics of the DY process are given in Appendix A. Leptonic CM frame is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} q &= q_1 + q_2 = Q(1, 0, 0, 0), \\ k &= q_1 - q_2 = Q(0, \cos\phi \sin\theta, \sin\phi \sin\theta, \cos\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The starting point for the study of the DY reaction is the differential cross section defined in the form of contraction of lepton $L_{\mu\nu}$ and hadronic $W^{\mu\nu}$ tensors,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega d^4q} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2(2\pi)^4 Q^4 s^2} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where $s = (P_1 + P_2)^2$ is the hadron-level total energy, $\alpha = 1/137.036$ is the electromagnetic fine structure constant, $Q^2 = q^2$ is the time-like vector boson momentum squared.

In the expansion of the hadronic tensor $W^{\mu\nu}$ it is convenient to use the helicity formalism proposed in Ref. [30] for reactions with photon exchange and extended in Ref. [41] to the electroweak case. In Ref. [45] we showed that the results of Ref. [41] for the expansion of $W^{\mu\nu}$ can be conveniently rewritten using a basis of orthogonal unit vectors $T^\mu = q^\mu/\sqrt{Q^2} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $X^\mu = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $Z^\mu = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, $Y^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} T_\nu Z_\alpha X_\beta = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, proposed in Ref. [30] and related to the hadron and virtual-boson momenta:

$$P_1^\mu = e^{-y} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left(T^\mu \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} + Z^\mu - \rho X^\mu \right), \quad (3)$$

$$P_2^\mu = e^y \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left(T^\mu \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} - Z^\mu - \rho X^\mu \right),$$

and polarization vectors for both photon and weak bosons ($G = W^\pm, Z^0$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_\pm^\mu(q) &= \frac{\mp X^\mu - iY^\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \epsilon_0^\mu(q) &= Z^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here the hadronic momenta are chosen in the Collins-Soper frame and related to the parton momenta $p_i = \xi_i P_i$, where ξ_i is the partonic momentum fraction, Q^+ , Q^- , Q_T are the gauge boson longitudinal and transverse momentum components, respectively, with $Q^\pm = x_{1,2} \sqrt{s}/2 = e^{\pm y} \sqrt{(Q^2 + Q_T^2)}/2$. We introduce the following notations: $\rho = Q_T/Q$ is the ratio of the transverse component and magnitude $Q = \sqrt{Q^2}$ of the vector boson momentum, $x_{1,2} = 2P_{1,2}q/s$ are the momentum fractions of the light-cone components of the final vector boson, $y = (1/2) \log(x_1/x_2)$ is the rapidity. We also define the x fraction factors at $Q_T^2 = 0$ as $x_{1,2}^0 = e^{\pm y}(Q/\sqrt{s})$. Tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the four-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor defined via $\text{tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) = 4i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, with $\epsilon^{0123} = -\epsilon_{0123} = -1$.

The gauge boson vectors satisfy to the Lorentz condition, orthonormality and completeness conditions:

$$q_\mu \epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q) = 0, \quad \epsilon_{\mu,\lambda}(q) \epsilon_{\lambda'}^\mu(q) = -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'},$$

$$\sum_{\lambda=0,\pm} \epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q) \epsilon_\lambda^{*\nu}(q) = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2} = -g^{\mu\nu} + T^\mu T^\nu = X^\mu X^\nu + Y^\mu Y^\nu + Z^\mu Z^\nu. \quad (5)$$

The expansion of the hadronic tensor the basis of unit vectors X, Y, Z reads [45]

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mu\nu} &= (X^\mu X^\nu + Y^\mu Y^\nu) W_T + i(X^\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu X^\nu) W_{T_P} + Z^\mu Z^\nu W_L \\ &+ (Y^\mu Y^\nu - X^\mu X^\nu) W_{\Delta\Delta} - (X^\mu Y^\nu + Y^\mu X^\nu) W_{\Delta\Delta_P} \\ &- (X^\mu Z^\nu + Z^\mu X^\nu) W_\Delta - (Y^\mu Z^\nu + Z^\mu Y^\nu) W_{\Delta_P} \\ &+ i(Z^\mu X^\nu - X^\mu Z^\nu) W_\nabla + i(Y^\mu Z^\nu - Z^\mu Y^\nu) W_{\nabla_P}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here five structure functions W_i ($i = T, L, \Delta\Delta, \Delta, \nabla$) are generated by parity-even part of the hadronic tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$, while the other four ones W_i ($i = T_P, \Delta\Delta_P, \Delta_P, \nabla_P$) by the parity-odd part of $W_{\mu\nu}$. They are classified as: two transverse functions — parity-even W_T and parity-odd W_{T_P} , one longitudinal function — W_L (it is parity-even), two transverse-interference (double-spin-flip) functions — parity-even $W_{\Delta\Delta}$ and parity-odd $W_{\Delta\Delta_P}$, four transverse-longitudinal-interference (single-spin-flip) functions — parity-even W_Δ , W_∇ and parity-odd W_{Δ_P} , W_{∇_P} .

The lepton angular distribution, which encodes the information about the polar and azimuthal asymmetries can be expanded in terms of the nine helicity structure functions W_i corresponding to the specific polarization of gauge boson [30, 33, 34, 41, 45, 48] (see details in Appendix B)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{d\Omega} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega d^4q} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d^4q} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{3}{8\pi(2W_T + W_L)} \left[g_T W_T + g_L W_L + g_\Delta W_\Delta + g_{\Delta\Delta} W_{\Delta\Delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_{T_P} W_{T_P} + g_{\nabla_P} W_{\nabla_P} + g_\nabla W_\nabla + g_{\Delta\Delta_P} W_{\Delta\Delta_P} + g_{\Delta_P} W_{\Delta_P} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $g_i = g_i(\theta, \phi)$ are the angular coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} g_T &= 1 + \cos^2 \theta, & g_L &= 1 - \cos^2 \theta, & g_{T_P} &= \cos \theta, \\ g_{\Delta\Delta} &= \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi, & g_\Delta &= \sin 2\theta \cos \phi, & g_{\nabla_P} &= \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\ g_{\Delta\Delta_P} &= \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi, & g_{\Delta_P} &= \sin 2\theta \sin \phi, & g_\nabla &= \sin \theta \sin \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note, the six angular coefficients g_i ($i = T, L, \Delta\Delta, \Delta, \Delta\Delta_P, \Delta_P$) are invariant under P -parity transformation $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi + \phi$, while the other three coefficients g_i ($i = T_P, \nabla, \nabla_P$) change the sign in that case [41]. Hence, the six partial lepton angular distributions $dN_i/d\Omega$ ($i = T, L, \Delta\Delta, \Delta, T_P, \nabla_P$) are the P -parity invariant, while the other three distributions $dN_i/d\Omega$ ($i = \Delta\Delta_P, \Delta_P, \nabla$) are the P - and also T -parity odd, which are generated at next-to-leading order by the absorptive part of the parton scattering amplitude [41, 45]. Recently in Ref. [45] we studied in detail T -odd angular distributions in the case of the DY reactions. In present paper we focus on the T -even angular distributions.

There are two other commonly employed, and equivalent, parameterizations of the lepton angular distribution in literature [30, 33, 34, 41, 48]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{d\Omega} &= \frac{3}{16\pi} \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta + \frac{A_0}{2} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + A_1 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + \frac{A_2}{2} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A_3 \sin \theta \cos \phi + A_4 \cos \theta + A_5 \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi + A_6 \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + A_7 \sin \theta \sin \phi \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{d\Omega} = & \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\lambda + 3} \left(1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta + \mu \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + \frac{\nu}{2} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right. \\ & \left. + \tau \sin \theta \cos \phi + \eta \cos \theta + \xi \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi + \zeta \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The relations between the three sets of hadronic structure functions are shown in Appendix C.

One of the interesting relations between the angular coefficients is the so-called Lam-Tung (LT) relation [31], which was originally discovered in the naive parton model [31] and then confirmed in the collinear factorization approach at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ (see Refs. [33, 34, 43, 49]). The essence of the LT relation is that the difference of the A_0 and A_2 angular coefficients is equal to zero, i.e. the LT combination $A_{LT} = A_0 - A_2$ vanishes in the parton model. Note, the LT relation is also not affected by leading-order (LO) QCD corrections. On the other hand, at this order the A_0 and A_2 coefficients on magnitude are equal to $A_0 = A_2 = \rho^2/(1 + \rho^2)$, where $\rho^2 = Q_T^2/Q^2$, and therefore they vanish at small Q_T limit. A violation of the LT relation, i.e. $A_{LT} \neq 0$ occurs starting with the second order in the α_s expansion [40]. The explanation of the violation of the LT relation has been done in Ref. [49]. This phenomena was related to a presence of a nonzero component of the quark-antiquark axis in the direction normal to the plane of colliding hadrons. Such a noncomplanarity between the partonic and hadronic planes in the rest frame of the gauge boson occurs starting the second-order in the α_s , when two or more gluons are radiated.

The angular coefficient A_4 is related to another important quantity, the forward-backward (FB) asymmetry A_{FB} . In particular, the FB asymmetry A_{FB} is the property of the DY angular $\cos \theta$ distribution in the Collins-Soper frame

$$\rho_N = \frac{dN}{d \cos \theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \left[1 + \cos^2 \theta + \frac{A_0}{2} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + A_4 \cos \theta \right]. \quad (11)$$

The latter is integrated in the forward (+) and backward (-) directions

$$N_{\pm} = \pm \int_0^{\pm 1} d \cos \theta \rho_N \quad (12)$$

leading to the quantity of the interest, A_{FB} , which is also expressed through A_4 as

$$A_{FB} = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-} = \frac{3}{8} A_4. \quad (13)$$

In Refs. [50, 51] it was proposed the quantity *convexity* parameterizing the $\cos^2 \theta$ term in the angular distributions of the exclusive decays of heavy hadrons. In particular, it was suggested to isolate the $\cos^2 \theta$ term from the linear $\cos \theta$ term by taking the second derivative of angular distribution with respect to $\cos \theta$. We propose to derive the quantity convexity A_{conv} relevant for the DY process following the idea of Refs. [50, 51]:

$$A_{\text{conv}} = \frac{\rho_N^{(2)}}{N_+ + N_-}, \quad (14)$$

where $\rho_N^{(2)} = d^2 \rho_N / (d \cos \theta)^2$. One can see that the convexity A_{conv} is related to the asymmetry parameter A_0 and it parametrizes the $W_T - W_L$ asymmetry of the transverse and longitudinal hadronic structure functions in terms of the parameter $\lambda = (W_T - W_L)/(W_T + W_L)$ defined above in Eq. (10) and see also Appendix C:

$$A_{\text{conv}} = \frac{3}{8} (2 - 3A_0) = \frac{3\lambda}{3 + \lambda}. \quad (15)$$

III. PERTURBATIVE RESULTS

Hadronic structure functions $W(x_1, x_2)$ characterizing DY process with colliding hadrons H_1 and H_2 are related to partonic-level structure functions $w^{ab}(x_1, x_2)$ by the QCD collinear factorization formula [45]

$$W(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 w^{ab}(z_1, z_2) f_{a/H_1} \left(\frac{x_1}{z_1} \right) f_{b/H_2} \left(\frac{x_2}{z_2} \right), \quad (16)$$

where $f_{a/H}(\xi)$ with $\xi = x_1/z_1$ is the PDF describing the collinear ξ distribution of partons of type a in a hadron H .

For our calculation of the T -even structure functions we use a convenient orthogonal basis of vectors P, R, K [47], defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu &= (p_1 + p_2)^\mu, \\ R^\mu &= (p_1 - p_2)^\mu, \\ K^\mu &= k_1^\mu - P^\mu \frac{P \cdot k_1}{P^2} - R^\mu \frac{R \cdot k_1}{R^2} = -q^\mu + P^\mu \frac{P \cdot q}{P^2} + R^\mu \frac{R \cdot q}{R^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which obey the conditions

$$P^2 = -R^2 = \hat{s}, \quad K^2 = -\frac{\hat{t}\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}, \quad P^2 R^2 K^2 = \hat{s}\hat{t}\hat{u}, \quad P \cdot R = P \cdot K = R \cdot K = 0. \quad (18)$$

Here p_1, p_2 , and k_1 are the momenta of the two initial partons and the final-state parton, respectively, satisfying the momentum conservation relation $p_1 + p_2 = k_1 + q$. Furthermore, $\hat{s} = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $\hat{t} = (p_1 - q)^2$, $\hat{u} = (p_2 - q)^2$, with $\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} = Q^2$ the parton-level Mandelstam variables.

The (P, R, K) and (T, X, Y, Z) bases are related by

$$\begin{aligned} X^\mu &= \frac{T^\mu \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\rho} - \frac{P^\mu z_{12}^+ + R^\mu z_{12}^-}{2Q\rho\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}} = \frac{\rho(P^\mu z_{12}^+ + R^\mu z_{12}^-)}{2Q\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}} - \frac{K^\mu \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{Q\rho}, \\ Z^\mu &= \frac{P^\mu z_{12}^- + R^\mu z_{12}^+}{2Q\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}, \\ Y^\mu &= -\epsilon^{\mu PRK} \frac{z_1 z_2}{Q^3 \rho(1 + \rho^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $z_{12}^\pm = z_1 \pm z_2$, $Q = \sqrt{Q^2}$, and $\epsilon^{\mu PRK} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} P_\nu R_\alpha K_\beta$.

Also we will use the perpendicular D -dimensional metric tensor $g_\perp^{\mu\nu}$ introduced in Ref. [47]

$$g_\perp^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{P^\mu P^\nu}{P^2} - \frac{R^\mu R^\nu}{R^2} - \frac{K^\mu K^\nu}{K^2}, \quad (20)$$

which obeys the conditions $g_\perp^{\mu\nu} V_\mu = 0$ with $V = P, R, K$ and $g_\perp^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu;\perp} = D - 3$.

We may project onto the parton-level T -even structure functions using the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} w_T &= \frac{1}{2}(X^\mu X^\nu + Y^\mu Y^\nu) w_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(P^\mu z_{12}^+ + R^\mu z_{12}^-)(P^\nu z_{12}^+ + R^\nu z_{12}^-)}{4Q^2 \rho^2 (1 + \rho^2)} - g_\perp^{\mu\nu} \right] w_{\mu\nu}, \\ w_L &= Z^\mu Z^\nu w_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{(P^\mu z_{12}^- + R^\mu z_{12}^+)(P^\nu z_{12}^- + R^\nu z_{12}^+)}{4Q^2 (1 + \rho^2)} w_{\mu\nu}, \\ w_{\Delta\Delta} &= \frac{1}{2}(Y^\mu Y^\nu - X^\mu X^\nu) w_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(P^\mu z_{12}^+ + R^\mu z_{12}^-)(P^\nu z_{12}^+ + R^\nu z_{12}^-)}{4Q^2 \rho^2 (1 + \rho^2)} + g_\perp^{\mu\nu} \right] w_{\mu\nu}, \\ w_\Delta &= -\frac{1}{2}(X^\mu Z^\nu + Z^\mu X^\nu) w_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{4Q^2 \rho (1 + \rho^2)} \left[(P^\mu P^\nu + R^\mu R^\nu) (z_1^2 - z_2^2) + (P^\mu R^\nu + P^\nu R^\mu) (z_1^2 + z_2^2) \right] w_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{T_P} &= -\frac{i}{2}(X^\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu X^\nu) w_{\mu\nu} \\
&= \frac{iz_1 z_2}{4Q^4 \rho^2 (1 + \rho^2)^{3/2}} \left[\epsilon^{\mu PRK} \left(P^\nu z_{12}^+ + R^\nu z_{12}^- \right) - \epsilon^{\nu PRK} \left(P^\mu z_{12}^+ + R^\mu z_{12}^- \right) \right] w_{\mu\nu}, \\
w_{\nabla_P} &= -\frac{i}{2}(Y^\mu Z^\nu - Z^\mu Y^\nu) w_{\mu\nu} \\
&= \frac{iz_1 z_2}{4Q^4 \rho (1 + \rho^2)^{3/2}} \left[\epsilon^{\mu PRK} \left(P^\nu z_{12}^- + R^\nu z_{12}^+ \right) - \epsilon^{\nu PRK} \left(P^\mu z_{12}^- + R^\mu z_{12}^+ \right) \right] w_{\mu\nu}. \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that in case of the DY processes the Q_T dependence of the partonic structure functions starts at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ in the α_s expansion of the angular distributions. At this order there are two types of subprocesses at the partonic level, which contribute: (a) quark-antiquark annihilation (Fig. 1) and (b) Compton quark-gluon scattering (Fig. 2). Also we should take into account the subprocesses, where quarks are replaced by antiquarks. We will comment on their contribution to the structure functions later.

Before we display the results for the partonic structure functions, we should specify the electroweak couplings, which occur in this quantities. First, we define the QCD color factors

$$C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} = \frac{4}{3}, \quad C_A = N_c = 3, \quad T_F = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{22}$$

which at large N_c scale as $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$, $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$, $\mathcal{O}(1)$, respectively.

The color factors contributing to the partonic subprocesses of the quark-antiquark annihilation ($C_{q\bar{q}}$) and quark-gluon scattering (C_{qg}) read

$$C_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{C_F}{N_c} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c^2} = \frac{4}{9}, \quad C_{qg} = \frac{T_F}{N_c} = \frac{1}{2N_c} = \frac{1}{6}. \tag{23}$$

The specific couplings, which occur in the partonic structure functions are

$$g_{q\bar{q};i} = (8\pi^2 e_q^2 \alpha_s) C_{q\bar{q}} g_{EW;i}^{Z\gamma/W} = G_i C_{q\bar{q}} \tag{24}$$

and

$$g_{qg;i} = (8\pi^2 e_q^2 \alpha_s) C_{qg} g_{EW;i}^{Z\gamma/W} = G_i C_{qg}, \tag{25}$$




FIG. 1: Partonic-level quark-antiquark annihilation diagrams contributing to the DY cross section at order α_s .




FIG. 2: Partonic-level quark-gluon Compton scattering diagrams contributing to the DY cross section at order α_s .

where the index $i = 1, 2$ corresponds to two different cases. In particular, the couplings with index $i = 1$ are relevant for the calculation of the P -even W_T , W_L , $W_{\Delta\Delta}$, and W_{Δ} structure functions, while the couplings with index $i = 2$ are used in the calculation of the P -odd structure functions W_{T_P} and W_{∇_P} . In the above equations the subscripts $q\bar{q}$ and qg indicate the specific partonic subprocesses, e_q is the quark electric charge of flavor q , $g_{\text{EW};1}$ and $g_{\text{EW};2}$ are the specific electroweak couplings including the products of couplings of the gauge bosons (W^\pm , Z^0 , γ) with quarks and leptons. In the case of neutral gauge bosons Z^0 and γ we take into account their interference. In particular, for the calculation of the T -even structure functions we need the following couplings

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{EW};1}^{Z\gamma} &= 1 + 2 g_{Zq}^V g_{Zl}^V \text{Re}[D_Z(Q^2)] + \left((g_{Zq}^V)^2 + (g_{Zq}^A)^2 \right) \left((g_{Zl}^V)^2 + (g_{Zl}^A)^2 \right) |D_Z(Q^2)|^2, \\ g_{\text{EW};2}^{Z\gamma} &= 2 g_{Zq}^A \left[2 g_{Zq}^V \left(g_{Zl}^A g_{Zl}^V \right) |D_Z(Q^2)|^2 + g_{Zl}^A \text{Re}[D_Z(Q^2)] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

in case of the (Z^0 , γ) bosons and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{EW};1}^W &= \left((g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^V)^2 + (g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^A)^2 \right) \left((g_{Wl}^V)^2 + (g_{Wl}^A)^2 \right) |V_{q\bar{q}'}|^2 |D_W(Q^2)|^2, \\ g_{\text{EW};2}^W &= 4 \left(g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^A g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^V \right) \left(g_{Wl}^A g_{Wl}^V \right) |V_{q\bar{q}'}|^2 |D_W(Q^2)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

in case of the W^\pm gauge bosons, where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{Wl}^V &= g_{Wl}^A = g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^V = g_{Wq\bar{q}'}^A = \frac{1}{2 \sin \theta_W \sqrt{2}}, \\ g_{Zl}^V &= -\frac{1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W}{2 \sin 2\theta_W}, \quad g_{Zl}^A = -\frac{1}{2 \sin 2\theta_W}, \\ g_{Zu}^V &= \frac{1 - 8/3 \sin^2 \theta_W}{2 e_q \sin 2\theta_W}, \quad g_{Zd}^V = -\frac{1 - 4/3 \sin^2 \theta_W}{2 e_q \sin 2\theta_W}, \\ g_{Zu}^A &= \frac{1}{2 e_q \sin 2\theta_W}, \quad g_{Zd}^A = -\frac{1}{2 e_q \sin 2\theta_W} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

are the couplings of the weak gauge bosons with leptons, up (u), and down (d) quarks normalized by electric charge of lepton e and quark e_q , respectively. The gauge couplings g and g' of the electroweak theory are related with electric charge e accordingly: $e = g \sin \theta_W = g' \cos \theta_W$, where θ_W is the Weinberg angle. One should stress that $g_{\text{EW};1}^W \equiv g_{\text{EW};2}^W = |V_{q\bar{q}'}|^2 |D_W(Q^2)|^2 / (16 \sin^4 \theta_W)$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[D_G(Q^2)] &= \frac{(M_G^2 - Q^2)Q^2}{(M_G^2 - Q^2)^2 + M_G^2 \Gamma_G^2}, \\ \text{Im}[D_G(Q^2)] &= \frac{M_G \Gamma_G Q^2}{(M_G^2 - Q^2)^2 + M_G^2 \Gamma_G^2} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

are the real and imaginary parts of the Breit-Wigner propagator of the weak gauge boson $G = W^\pm, Z^0$, and $V_{q\bar{q}'}$ is the element of the Cabibbo-Kabayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. Masses M_G and total widths Γ_G of weak gauge bosons are taken from Particle Data Group [52]: $M_{W^\pm} = 80.377 \pm 0.012$ GeV, $M_{Z^0} = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021$ GeV, $\Gamma_{W^\pm} = 2.085 \pm 0.042$ GeV, $\Gamma_{Z^0} = 2.4955 \pm 0.0023$ GeV. For $\sin^2 \theta_W$ we use that $\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - m_W^2/m_Z^2$.

It was stressed in Ref. [45] the partonic structure functions with a single massless parton in the final state contain the delta function $\delta((\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} - Q^2)/\hat{s})$. Therefore, for convenience it was proposed to rewrite the partonic tensor $w^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2)$ as [45]

$$w^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2) = \tilde{w}^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2) \delta((\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} - Q^2)/\hat{s}). \quad (30)$$

As we mentioned before, detailed analysis of the partonic and hadronic structure functions including small Q_T expansion at order $O(\alpha_s)$ and focusing to the electromagnetic DY process has been performed before in Refs. [33, 34]. Here we extend it to the case of the electroweak DY. In particular, for the $q\bar{q}$ annihilation subprocess the expressions

for the partonic T -even structure functions read

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_T^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{Q^2 \hat{s}}{\hat{u}\hat{t}} \right) \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_L^{q\bar{q}} &= 2\tilde{w}_{\Delta\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} = g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_\Delta^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};1} \sqrt{\frac{Q^2 \hat{s}}{\hat{u}\hat{t}}} \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})^2 - (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_{TP}^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};2} \frac{Q^2 \hat{s}}{\hat{u}\hat{t}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{u}\hat{t}}{Q^2 \hat{s}}} \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_{\nabla P}^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};2} \sqrt{\frac{Q^2 \hat{s}}{\hat{u}\hat{t}}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{u}\hat{t}}{Q^2 \hat{s}}} \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})^2 - (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For the qg Compton scattering subprocess one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_T^{qg} &= g_{qg;1} \left[-\frac{(Q^2 - \hat{s})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{\hat{s}\hat{t}} + \frac{\hat{u}}{2\hat{s}} \frac{(Q^2 + \hat{s})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})} \right], \\
\tilde{w}_L^{qg} &= 2\tilde{w}_{\Delta\Delta}^{qg} = -g_{qg;1} \frac{\hat{u}}{2\hat{s}} \frac{(Q^2 + \hat{s})^2 + (Q^2 - \hat{t})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_\Delta^{qg} &= g_{qg;1} \sqrt{\frac{Q^2 \hat{u}}{\hat{s}\hat{t}}} \frac{2(Q^2 - \hat{t})^2 - (Q^2 - \hat{u})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_{TP}^{qg} &= g_{qg;2} \frac{Q^2}{\hat{t}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{u}\hat{t}}{Q^2 \hat{s}}} \frac{2Q^2(\hat{t} - \hat{u}) - (Q^2 - \hat{u})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}, \\
\tilde{w}_{\nabla P}^{qg} &= g_{qg;2} \sqrt{\frac{Q^2 \hat{u}}{\hat{s}\hat{t}}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{u}\hat{t}}{Q^2 \hat{s}}} \frac{2\hat{u}(Q^2 + \hat{s}) + (Q^2 - \hat{u})^2}{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For study of small Q_T behavior of the hadronic structure functions, it is convenient to express them in terms of the variables z_1 , z_2 , and $\rho^2 = Q_T^2/Q^2$. Using the following relations (see more details in Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s} &= \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_1 z_2} = \frac{Q_T^2}{(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)}, \\
\hat{t} &= Q^2 - \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_1} = -\frac{Q_T^2}{1 - z_2}, \\
\hat{u} &= Q^2 - \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_2} = -\frac{Q_T^2}{1 - z_1}, \\
\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}} &= z_1 - 1, \quad \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}} = z_2 - 1, \quad \frac{Q^2}{\hat{s}} = z_1 + z_2 - 1, \\
\frac{Q^2 - \hat{s}}{\hat{s}} &= z_1 + z_2 - 2, \quad \frac{Q^2 - \hat{t}}{\hat{s}} = z_2, \quad \frac{Q^2 - \hat{u}}{\hat{s}} = z_1, \\
\frac{\hat{u}\hat{t}}{Q^2 \hat{s}} &= \rho^2, \quad \frac{(Q^2 - \hat{u})(Q^2 - \hat{t})}{Q^2 \hat{s}} = 1 + \rho^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

we get for the $q\bar{q}$ annihilation

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_T^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\right) \frac{z_1^2 + z_2^2}{z_1 z_2}, \\
\omega_L^{q\bar{q}} &= 2\omega_{\Delta\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} = g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{z_1^2 + z_2^2}{z_1 z_2}, \\
\omega_{\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{z_1^2 - z_2^2}{z_1 z_2}, \\
\omega_{T_P}^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\rho^2} \frac{z_1^2 + z_2^2}{z_1 z_2}, \\
\omega_{\nabla_P}^{q\bar{q}} &= g_{q\bar{q};2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\rho} \frac{z_1^2 - z_2^2}{z_1 z_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

One can see that the $\omega^{q\bar{q}}$ partonic structure functions obey the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_L^{q\bar{q}} &= 2\omega_{\Delta\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} = \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2/2} \omega_T^{q\bar{q}} = \left(\frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}}\right) \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}} \omega_{T_P}^{q\bar{q}}, \\
\omega_{\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} &= \left(\frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}}\right) \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \omega_{\nabla_P}^{q\bar{q}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

For the qg subprocess we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_T^{qg} &= g_{qg;1} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{1 - z_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(z_2^2 + (1 - z_1 z_2)^2 + \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{z_1^2}{2} - z_1 z_2 (z_1 + z_2)\right) \right), \\
\omega_L^{qg} &= 2\omega_{\Delta\Delta}^{qg} = g_{qg;1} \frac{1 - z_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(z_2^2 + (z_1 + z_2)^2 \right), \\
\omega_{\Delta}^{qg} &= g_{qg;1} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{1 - z_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(z_1^2 - 2z_2^2 \right), \\
\omega_{T_P}^{qg} &= g_{qg;2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\rho^2} \frac{1 - z_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(z_2^2 + (1 - z_2)^2 - (1 - z_1)^2 \right), \\
\omega_{\nabla_P}^{qg} &= g_{qg;2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\rho} \frac{1 - z_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(1 - 2z_2^2 - (1 - z_1)^2 + 2z_2(1 - z_1) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Next following formalism of Ref. [45] we substitute the phase space formula (30) to the factorization formula (16)

$$W(x_1, x_2, \rho^2) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \tilde{w}^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2) \delta \left((1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) - \frac{\rho^2 z_1 z_2}{1 + \rho^2} \right) f_{a/H_1} \left(\frac{x_1}{z_1} \right) f_{b/H_2} \left(\frac{x_2}{z_2} \right). \tag{37}$$

In Ref. [45] we extrapolated hadronic structure functions to small values of Q_T by performing expansion in powers of $\rho^2 = Q_T^2/Q^2$ about $\rho = 0$ and up to order ρ^4 . Here we present exact analytical result without restricting to specific order in ρ^2 . More detailed discussion of the small Q_T expansion in the pQCD can be found in Refs. [45, 46].

Finally, we discuss behavior of the hadronic structure functions under interchange of the partons in the colliding hadrons. It leads to the interchange of the partonic momenta, structure and distribution functions, z_1 and z_2 variables as

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &\leftrightarrow p_2, \quad f_{a/H_1} \left(\frac{x_1}{z_1} \right) \leftrightarrow f_{b/H_2} \left(\frac{x_2}{z_2} \right), \quad z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2, \\
w_i(z_1, z_2) &\leftrightarrow w_i(z_2, z_1), \quad i = T, L, \Delta\Delta, \nabla_P, \\
w_i(z_1, z_2) &\leftrightarrow -w_i(z_2, z_1), \quad i = \Delta, T_P.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Note, the total contributions to the hadronic structure functions for each partonic subprocess (quark-antiquark or quark-gluon scattering) include the sum with taking into account of interchange of partons in two colliding hadrons.

In particular, the corresponding sums for the $q\bar{q}$ and qg subprocesses read as $W^{q\bar{q}} + W^{\bar{q}q}$ and $W^{qg} + W^{gq}$. Following interchange transformation rules (38) we find that the total contributions to the T , L , $\Delta\Delta$, and ∇_P hadronic structure functions are symmetric under interchange of partons for both quark-antiquark and quark gluon subprocesses, while the Δ and T_P hadronic structure functions are antisymmetric. Symmetric and antisymmetric properties of structure function at interchange of partons are simple to see from Eq. (21). One should mention that such a property of the Δ hadronic structure function was discussed before in Ref. [34].

For the $i = T, L, \Delta\Delta$ hadronic structure functions the total contributions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_i^{q\bar{q}} + W_i^{\bar{q}q} &\propto \left[f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{\bar{q}/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) + f_{\bar{q}/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) \right] (z_1^2 + z_2^2), \\ W_i^{qg} + W_i^{gq} &\propto f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{g/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) v_i(z_1, z_2) + f_{g/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) v_i(z_2, z_1), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_T(z_1, z_2) &= (1 - z_2) \left(z_2^2 + (1 - z_1 z_2)^2 + \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{z_1^2}{2} - z_1 z_2 (z_1 + z_2) \right) \right), \\ v_L(z_1, z_2) &= 2 v_{\Delta\Delta}(z_1, z_2) = (1 - z_2) \left(z_2^2 + (z_1 + z_2)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

For the ∇_P structure functions the total contributions read as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\nabla_P}^{q\bar{q}} + W_{\nabla_P}^{\bar{q}q} &\propto \left[f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{\bar{q}/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) - f_{\bar{q}/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) \right] (z_1^2 - z_2^2), \\ W_{\nabla_P}^{qg} + W_{\nabla_P}^{gq} &\propto f_{g/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_2) \left(1 - 2z_2^2 - (1 - z_1)^2 + 2z_2(1 - z_1) \right) \\ &\quad + f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{g/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_1) \left(1 - 2z_1^2 - (1 - z_2)^2 + 2z_1(1 - z_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

For the total contributions to the Δ and T_P hadronic structure functions we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Delta}^{q\bar{q}} + W_{\Delta}^{\bar{q}q} &\propto \left[f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{\bar{q}/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) + f_{\bar{q}/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) \right] (z_1^2 - z_2^2), \\ W_{\Delta}^{qg} + W_{\Delta}^{gq} &\propto f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{g/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_2) (z_1^2 - 2z_2^2) \\ &\quad + f_{g/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_1) (2z_1^2 - z_2^2) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_{T_P}^{q\bar{q}} + W_{T_P}^{\bar{q}q} &\propto \left[f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{\bar{q}/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) - f_{\bar{q}/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) \right] (z_1^2 + z_2^2), \\ W_{T_P}^{qg} + W_{T_P}^{gq} &\propto f_{q/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{g/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_2) \left(z_2^2 + (1 - z_2)^2 - (1 - z_1)^2 \right) \\ &\quad - f_{g/H_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{z_1}\right) f_{q/H_2}\left(\frac{x_2}{z_2}\right) (1 - z_1) \left(z_1^2 + (1 - z_1)^2 - (1 - z_2)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

IV. SMALL- Q_T EXPANSION

As we pointed out in Refs. [45, 46] in the small Q_T expansion of hadronic structure functions presented in Eq. (37) we have three contributions: (1) the direct dependence of the partonic structure function on Q_T ; (2) the phase space delta function has nontrivial Q_T dependence; (3) the fraction variables x_1, x_2 have implicit Q_T dependence. Obviously, the first type of the contributions can be straightforwardly taken into account by simple Taylor expansion of the partonic structure functions:

$$\tilde{w}^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\rho^2)^n \tilde{w}^{ab;(n)}(z_1, z_2), \quad (44)$$

where $\tilde{w}^{ab;(n)}(z_1, z_2)$ is the n th order term in the ρ^2 expansion of the partonic structure function given by

$$\tilde{w}^{ab;(n)}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{n!} \partial_{\rho^2}^n \tilde{w}^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2) \Big|_{\rho^2=0}. \quad (45)$$

The expansion of the second and third contributions discussed in detail in Refs. [45, 46].

The small Q_T expansion of the phase space delta function was extensively discussed in literature (see, e.g., Refs. [33, 34, 45, 46, 53]). In particular, its expansion to leading order $\mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$ reads [33, 34, 45, 46, 53]

$$\delta\left((1-z_1)(1-z_2) - \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} z_1 z_2\right) = \frac{\delta(1-z_1)}{(1-z_1)_+} + \frac{\delta(1-z_2)}{(1-z_2)_+} - \delta(1-z_1)\delta(1-z_2) \log \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \quad (46)$$

where the ‘‘plus’’ distribution $1/(1-z)_+$ is defined by

$$\int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{(1-z)_+} \equiv \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z) - f(1)}{1-z}, \quad (47)$$

for a function $f(z)$ regular at $z = 1$. In Ref. [54] a general method for the expansion of the integrals containing generalized functions (like delta-function) was proposed and developed. It was based on the Mellin integral techniques. Following these ideas, in Ref. [46] an algorithm for the small Q_T expansion of arbitrary singular function valid to arbitrary order of ρ^2 and arbitrary number of radiated partons have been formulated.

Here we present the exact formula for the small Q_T expansion of the delta function derived in two steps. First, we performed integration over one of the variables z_1 or z_2 using delta function, e.g., over z_2 as:

$$I = \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \delta\left((1-z_1)(1-z_2) - \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} z_1 z_2\right) \varphi(z_1, z_2) = (1+\rho^2) \int_{x_1}^{\sigma(x_2)} \frac{dz_1}{1+\rho^2-z_1} \varphi(z_1, \sigma(z_1)), \quad (48)$$

where $\sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{\rho^2 x}{1+\rho^2-x}$ and $\varphi(z_1, \sigma(z_1))$ is a generic regular function. Second, in the remaining one-dimensional integral we write the second argument in the function $\varphi(z_1, \sigma(z_1))$ as $z_2 = \sigma(z_1) = 1 + \rho^2 - \frac{\rho^2(1+\rho^2)}{1+\rho^2-z_1}$ and make the Taylor-expansion of $\varphi(z_1, \sigma(z_1))$ around $z_2 = 1 + \rho^2$:

$$\int_{x_1}^{\sigma(x_2)} dz_1 \varphi(z_1, \sigma(z_1)) = (1+\rho^2) \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\rho^2(1+\rho^2))^N}{N!} \int_{x_1}^{\sigma(x_2)} dz_1 \frac{\varphi_{z_2}^{(N)}(z_1, 1+\rho^2)}{(1+\rho^2-z_1)^{N+1}}, \quad (49)$$

where $\varphi_{z_2}^{(N)}(z_1, 1+\rho^2) = \frac{\partial^N}{\partial z_2^N} \varphi(z_1, z_2) \Big|_{z_2=1+\rho^2}$.

After straightforward calculation we derive the desired formula for the small Q_T expansion of the delta function up to arbitrary order in ρ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \delta\left((1-z_1)(1-z_2) - \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} z_1 z_2\right) \varphi(z_1, z_2) \\ &= \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \left(\delta(1-z_2) G_1(z_1, z_2) + \delta(1-z_1) G_1(z_2, z_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta(1-z_1)\delta(1-z_2) G_2(z_1, z_2) \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho^2)^{N+M+K} (1+\rho^2)^{N+1}}{(N!)^2 M! K!} \frac{(-1)^{N+K} (N+K)!}{(1-z_1)_{+,N+K}^{N+K+1}} \partial_{z_2}^{N+M}, \\ G_2(z_1, z_2) &= -\log \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho^2)^{N+M+K} (1+\rho^2)^{N+1}}{(N!)^2 M! K!} \partial_{z_1}^{N+K} \partial_{z_2}^{N+M}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In particular, if we restrict to the accuracy $\mathcal{O}(\rho^6, \rho^6 \log \rho^2)$ as in Ref. [45], then the expansion of the functions G_1 and G_2 reads

$$G_1(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(1 + \rho^2)(1 + \rho^2 \partial_{z_2}) + \rho^4 \partial_{z_2}^2 / 2}{(1 - z_1)_+} - \frac{\rho^2(1 + \rho^2 + (1 + 3\rho^2)\partial_{z_2} + \rho^2 \partial_{z_2}^2)}{(1 - z_1)_{+,1}^2} + \frac{\rho^4(1 + 2\partial_{z_2} + \partial_{z_2}^2 / 2)}{(1 - z_1)_{+,2}^3} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^6, \rho^6 \log \rho^2), \quad (52)$$

$$G_2(z_1, z_2) = \rho^2 \left(1 + \rho^2 \left(1/2 + \partial_{z_1} + \partial_{z_2} + \partial_{z_1 z_2}^2 \right) \right) - \log \rho^2 \left(1 + \rho^2(1 - \rho^2)(1 + \partial_{z_1})(1 + \partial_{z_2}) + \rho^4 \left(1 + 2\partial_{z_1} + \partial_{z_1}^2 / 2 \right) \left(1 + 2\partial_{z_2} + \partial_{z_2}^2 / 2 \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^6, \rho^6 \log \rho^2). \quad (53)$$

See details in Ref. [45].

Here $1/(1 - z)_{+,m-1}^m$ is a generalized plus distribution of power m , defined by

$$\int_x^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{(1 - z)_{+,m-1}^m} = \int_x^1 dz \left[\frac{1}{(1 - z)_{+,m-1}^m} + \delta(1 - z) \log(1 - x) \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \partial_z^{m-1} - \delta(1 - z) \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{(j-1)(m-j)!} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^{j-1}} - 1 \right) \partial_z^{m-j} \right] f(z), \quad (54)$$

where $f(z)/(1 - z)_{+,m-1}^m$ is the x -plus distribution

$$\int_x^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{(1 - z)_{+,m-1}^m} \equiv \int_x^1 dz \frac{f(z) - \mathcal{T}_{z=1}^{m-1} f(z)}{(1 - z)^m}, \quad (55)$$

derived by subtraction from $f(z)$ its Taylor polynomial at $z = 1$ to order $m - 1$

$$\mathcal{T}_{z=1}^{m-1} f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k f^{(k)}(1)}{k!} (1 - z)^k. \quad (56)$$

One should stress that our method is very simple and useful. In particular, it can be straightforwardly applied for the expansion of the phase space integrals: (1) for the small Q_T expansion of delta functions occurring in other QCD processes, like semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering (SIDIS) and (2) for the small Q_T expansion of more complicated generalized functions, like Heaviside θ function.

E.g., the master integral for the SIDIS process involving delta function is given by [55]

$$I_{\text{SIDIS}} = \int_x^1 d\hat{x} \int_z^1 d\hat{z} \delta \left(R^2 \hat{x} \hat{z} - (1 - \hat{x})(1 - \hat{z}) \right) \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z}), \quad (57)$$

where x and z are the Bjorken variable and the momentum fraction variable that specifies the normalization of outgoing hadron, respectively, \hat{x} and \hat{z} are their partonic-level counterparts, $R^2 = q_T^2/Q^2$ is the ratio of the square of the transverse gauge boson momentum and Euclidean photon momentum squared. We introduce a different notation for this ratio to distinguish it from the DY ratio ρ^2 . Comparing the delta function occurring in the DY and SIDIS cases, we conclude that the small Q_T expansion in the SIDIS case can be derived using the DY result upon substitution $\rho^2 = R^2/(1 - R^2)$. In the master integral I_{SIDIS} for simplicity we restrict to the regular function $\varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z})$.

Taking into account above arguments the small Q_T expansion is given by

$$I_{\text{SIDIS}} = \int_x^1 d\hat{x} \int_z^1 d\hat{z} \left(\delta(1 - \hat{z}) V_1(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) + \delta(1 - \hat{x}) V_1(\hat{z}, \hat{x}) + \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \delta(1 - \hat{z}) V_2(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \right) \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z}), \quad (58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) &= \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(R^2)^{N+M+K}}{(1-R^2)^{2N+M+K+1}} \frac{1}{(N!)^2 M! K!} \frac{(-1)^{N+K} (N+K)!}{(1-\hat{x})_{+,N+K}^{N+K+1}} \partial_{\hat{z}}^{N+M}, \\
V_2(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) &= -\log R^2 \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(R^2)^{N+M+K}}{(1-R^2)^{2N+M+K+1}} \frac{1}{(N!)^2 M! K!} \partial_{\hat{x}}^{N+K} \partial_{\hat{z}}^{N+M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

In particular, if we restrict to the accuracy $\mathcal{O}(R^6, R^6 \log R^2)$, then the expansion of the functions G_1 and G_2 reads

$$V_1(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{(1-z_1)_+} + R^2 \hat{x} \frac{1 + \partial_{z_2}}{(1-\hat{x})_{+,1}^2} + R^4 \hat{x}^2 \frac{1 + 2\partial_{\hat{z}} + \partial_{\hat{z}}^2/2}{(1-\hat{x})_{+,2}^3} + \mathcal{O}(R^6, R^6 \log R^2), \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) &= -\log R^2 \left(1 + R^2(1 + \partial_{\hat{x}})(1 + \partial_{\hat{z}}) + R^4(1 + 2\partial_{\hat{x}} + \partial_{\hat{x}}^2/2)(1 + 2\partial_{\hat{z}} + \partial_{\hat{z}}^2/2) \right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(R^6, R^6 \log R^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

As we stressed before, as an example of application to other generalized functions we consider the small Q_T expansion involving Heaviside θ function in the DY process. Resulting formula reads

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\theta &= \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \theta \left((1-z_1)(1-z_2) - \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} z_1 z_2 \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \int_{x_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{x_2}^1 dz_2 \left(1 + \delta(1-z_2) F_1(z_1, z_2) + \delta(1-z_1) F_1(z_2, z_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \delta(1-z_1) \delta(1-z_2) F_2(z_1, z_2) \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{N,M=0}^{\infty} (-1)^N \frac{(\rho^2)^{N+M+1}}{(N+1)! M!} \left(1 - \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^K (N+K)! (\rho^2)^K (1+\rho^2)^{N+1}}{N! (K!)^2 (1-z_1)_{+,N+K}^{N+K+1}} \right) \partial_{z_2}^{N+M}, \\
F_2(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho^2)^{N+M+K+1}}{(N+1)! N! M! K!} \left(\log \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} - \frac{(1+\rho^2)^{N+1} - (\rho^2)^{N+1}}{N+1} \right) \partial_{z_1}^{N+M} \partial_{z_2}^{N+K} \\
&- \sum_{N,M,K=0}^{\infty} \sum_{L=N+1}^{\infty} (-1)^L (\rho^2)^{M+K+L+1} \frac{(1+\rho^2)^{N+1} - (\rho^2)^{N+1}}{(N+1)! (L+1)! M! K!} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{N+K} \partial_{z_2}^{L+M} + \partial_{z_1}^{L+K} \partial_{z_2}^{N+M} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Substituting the small- Q_T expansion of the parton-level structure functions $w^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2)$ for the various partonic channels into Eq. (16) we get for the contributions to the small- Q_T expansion of the hadronic structure function $W(x_1, x_2, \rho^2)$ including two contributions discussed above (from the direct expansion of the partonic-level structure function $\tilde{w}^{ab}(z_1, z_2, \rho^2)$ given by Eq. (44) and small Q_T expansion of the phase space delta function)

$$W_{\text{direct}+\delta}(x_1, x_2, \rho^2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\rho^2)^i W_i(x_1, x_2, L_\rho), \tag{64}$$

where following Ref. [45] we introduce the notation $L_\rho \equiv \log \rho^2$. It remains to take into account small Q_T expansion due to implicit Q_T dependence of the fraction variables x_1 and x_2 .

The expansion coefficients $W_i(x_1, x_2, L_\rho)$ have the structure

$$\begin{aligned}
W_i(x_1, x_2, L_\rho) &= \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \sum_{a,b} \left[R_{ab,i}(x_1, x_2, L_\rho) f_{a/H_1}(x_1) f_{b/H_2}(x_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(P_{ba,i} \otimes f_{b/H_2} \right)(x_2, x_1, L_\rho) f_{a/H_1}(x_1) + \left(P_{ab,i} \otimes f_{a/H_1} \right)(x_1, x_2, L_\rho) f_{b/H_2}(x_2) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where

$$(\mathcal{P} \otimes f)(x, y, L_\rho) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{P}(z, y, L_\rho) f\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \quad (66)$$

denotes a generalized convolution, $R_i(x_1, x_2, L_\rho)$, $P_{ba,i}(z_2, x_1, L_\rho)$, and $P_{ab,i}(z_1, x_2, L_\rho)$ are perturbative coefficient functions containing differential operators acting on the PDFs $f_{a/H_1}(x_1)$ and $f_{b/H_2}(x_2)$. We note that the generalized convolution (66) reverts to the ordinary one,

$$(\mathcal{P} \otimes f)(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{P}(z) f\left(\frac{x}{z}\right), \quad (67)$$

when $\mathcal{P}(z, y, L_\rho)$ does not depend on y and L_ρ . Details are given in Appendix D. We stress that, as indicated in Eq. (64), the functions W_i may carry dependence on $\log \rho^2$, on top of the overall power of ρ^2 that they multiply.

However, Eq. (64) is not yet the complete expansion. As mentioned above, we need to take into account that x_1 and x_2 are defined at finite Q_T and hence must also be expanded about their respective values at $Q_T = 0$, x_1^0 and x_2^0 . Therefore, we substitute $x_i = x_i^0 \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}$ as arguments of the structure functions W_i and perform the ρ^2 expansions of the latter. We now present our final result for the full small- Q_T expansion of the hadronic structure functions, including the leading-power (LP) term $W^{\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$, the next-to-leading-power (NLP) term $W^{\text{NLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$, and the next-next-to-leading-power (NNLP) term $W^{\text{NNLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned} W(x_1, x_2, \rho^2) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\rho^2)^m W^{\text{N}^m \text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= W^{\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + \rho^2 W^{\text{NLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + \rho^4 W^{\text{NNLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1, s_2=0}^{\infty} (\rho^2)^i (\sqrt{1 + \rho^2} - 1)^{s_1 + s_2} \frac{(x_1^0)^{s_1} (x_2^0)^{s_2}}{s_1! s_2!} \partial_{x_1^0}^{s_1} \partial_{x_2^0}^{s_2} W_i(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where $W^{\text{N}^m \text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$ denotes the i th order term in the small Q_T expansion of the structure function including all three types of the Q_T corrections discussed in the beginning of this section. Here $\text{N}^0 \text{LP} = \text{LP}$, $\text{N}^1 \text{LP} = \text{NLP}$, $\text{N}^2 \text{LP} = \text{NNLP}$, etc. $\partial_{x_1^0}^m \partial_{x_2^0}^n W_i(x_1, x_2, L_\rho)$ denotes the m th partial derivative with respect to x_1 and the n th partial derivative with respect to x_2 . The calculation techniques for taking these derivatives was discussed in detail in Ref. [45]. In Appendix D we present the complete formula for taking these derivatives including all possible singularities due to logarithms and $1/(1-z)$ poles.

As we stressed above the expressions for the $W^{\text{N}^m \text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$ give the final and full results (including all sources of the Q_T corrections) for the expansion of the hadronic structure functions to desired order in the small Q_T^2 expansion. To get the analytic expression for any $W^{\text{N}^m \text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$ term one should make the i th order partial derivative with respect to ρ^2 without touching the nonanalytical logarithmic term L_ρ using Eq. (68):

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\text{N}^m \text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \rho^2} W(x_1, x_2, \rho^2) \right|_{\rho^2=0} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{s_1, s_2=0}^{s_1 + s_2 \leq m-i} \sum_{k=0}^{s_1 + s_2} (-1)^{s_1 + s_2 - k} C_{s_1 + s_2}^k C_{k/2}^{m-i} \frac{(x_1^0)^{s_1} (x_2^0)^{s_2}}{s_1! s_2!} \partial_{x_1^0}^{s_1} \partial_{x_2^0}^{s_2} W_i(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

In particular, the LP, NLP, and NNLP hadronic functions follow from the above expression and are given by [45]

$$W^{\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) = W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho), \quad (70)$$

$$W^{\text{NLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) = W_1(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1^0 \partial_{x_1^0} W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + x_2^0 \partial_{x_2^0} W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \right), \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\text{NNLP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= W_2(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) + \frac{1}{4} x_1^0 x_2^0 \partial_{x_1^0} \partial_{x_2^0} W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left(x_1^0 \partial_{x_1^0} W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) - 4x_1^0 \partial_{x_1^0} W_1(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) - (x_1^0)^2 \partial_{x_1^0}^2 W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left(x_2^0 \partial_{x_2^0} W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) - 4x_2^0 \partial_{x_2^0} W_1(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) - (x_2^0)^2 \partial_{x_2^0}^2 W_0(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

The method for the calculation of the partial derivatives $\partial_{x_1^0}^{s_1} \partial_{x_2^0}^{s_2} W_i(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$ was proposed in Ref. [45]. The main task here to calculate the terms containing the convolution of the perturbative coefficient function and the PDF. In Appendix D we discuss a generalization of the method proposed in Ref. [45] to arbitrary perturbative coefficient function including both possible logarithmic and pole endpoints $z \rightarrow 1$ singularities.

Explicitly we obtain the following analytical results for the LP contributions for the $W_J^{\text{LP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho)$ to the T -even hadronic structure functions (here $ab = q\bar{q}, qg$ and $J = T, L, \Delta\Delta, \Delta, T_P, \nabla_P$):

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} W_L^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) = \frac{2}{\rho^2} W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}} W_{T_P}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{\rho^2 x_1^0 x_2^0} \frac{1}{C_F} \left[-C_F(2L_\rho + 3) q_1(x_1^0) \bar{q}_2(x_2^0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + q_1(x_1^0) \left(P_{q\bar{q}} \otimes \bar{q}_2 \right)(x_2^0) + \left(P_{q\bar{q}} \otimes q_1 \right)(x_1^0) \bar{q}_2(x_2^0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Delta}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}} W_{\nabla_P}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{\rho x_1^0 x_2^0} \frac{1}{C_F} \left[q_1(x_1^0) \left(\tilde{P}_{q\bar{q}} \otimes \bar{q}_2 \right)(x_2^0) - \left(\tilde{P}_{q\bar{q}} \otimes q_1 \right)(x_1^0) \bar{q}_2(x_2^0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

for quark-antiquark annihilation process and

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{g_{qg;1}}{g_{qg;2}} W_{T_P}^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{2g_{qg;1}}{\rho^2 x_1^0 x_2^0} q_1(x_1^0) \left(P_{qg}^+ \otimes g_2 \right)(x_2^0), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_L^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= 2W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{2g_{qg;1}}{x_1^0 x_2^0} q_1(x_1^0) \left(P_{qg}^- \otimes g_2 \right)(x_2^0), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Delta}^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{g_{qg;1}}{g_{qg;2}} W_{\nabla_P}^{\text{LP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) \\ &= \frac{2g_{qg;1}}{\rho x_1^0 x_2^0} q_1(x_1^0) \left(\tilde{P}_{qg} \otimes g_2 \right)(x_2^0) \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

for the quark-gluon Compton process, where we use the following notations for the partonic splitting functions

$$\begin{aligned} P_{q\bar{q}}(z) &= C_F \left[\frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{3}{2} \delta(1-z) \right], \\ P_{qg}^\pm(z) &= T_F [z^2 + (1 \mp z)^2], \\ \tilde{P}_{q\bar{q}}(z) &= C_F [1+z], \\ \tilde{P}_{qg}(z) &= T_F [1-2z^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

We should stress that the LP hadronic structure functions obey the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} W_L^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} = \frac{2}{\rho^2} W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} = \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}} W_{T_P}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}}, \\ W_{\Delta}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} &= \frac{g_{q\bar{q};1}}{g_{q\bar{q};2}} W_{\nabla_P}^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{\text{LP};qg} &= \frac{g_{qg;1}}{g_{qg;2}} W_{T_P}^{\text{LP};qg}, \\ W_L^{\text{LP};qg} &= 2W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{LP};qg}, \\ W_{\Delta}^{\text{LP};qg} &= \frac{g_{qg;1}}{g_{qg;2}} W_{\nabla_P}^{\text{LP};qg}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

These identities are important and, in particular, to fix the value of the angular coefficient A_4 at small Q_T . The coefficients A_i (see definition in Appendix C) vanish in the limit $Q_T \rightarrow 0$ except A_4 coefficient, because the LP $W_{T_P}^{\text{LP}}$ structure function has the same small Q_T behavior as the transverse structure function W_T^{LP} . The asymmetry coefficient A_4 is directly related to the FB asymmetry. In Appendix D we present analytical results for the NLP structure functions.

V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In this section we discuss our results for the T -even angular coefficients and compare our predictions with available data from the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations at CERN.

First, we illustrate the behavior of the hadronic structure functions at different orders of the small Q_T expansion. In Ref. [45] we studied small Q_T expansion of the T -odd hadronic structure functions. As example, we considered the $q\bar{q}$ contribution to the hadronic double-flip structure function, $W_{\Delta\Delta_P}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2)$. In particular, we compared the full expression without Q_T expansion with the LP, NLP, and NNLP results. We used the CTEQ 6.1M PDFs of Ref. [60], taken from LHAPDF [61], along with their ManeParse [62] Mathematica implementation. As representative of the kinematics in the ATLAS measurements [2] we chosen $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $Q = 100$ GeV, and the renormalization and factorization scales in the calculation are set to $\mu = \sqrt{Q^2 + Q_T^2}$. We showed, that the LP piece describes the full result only at low Q_T and rapidly departs from it for $Q_T > 10$ GeV or $\rho^2 > 0.01$. Indeed, inclusion of the NLP term led to excellent agreement with the full result out to $Q_T = 40$ GeV ($\rho^2 = 0.16$), only marginally further improved by the NNLP contribution. E.g., for $Q_T = 20$ GeV, the LP result deviated from the full one by about 20%, whereas at the NNLP the relative deviation is only $\sim 0.4\%$.

Here we present similar analysis restricting to the full result (without small Q_T expansion), LP and NLP contribution. As example, we consider transverse structure function W_T . In Fig. 3 we present our results for the Q_T dependence of the W_T structure function (total, LP, and NLP contributions) for the quark-antiquark (left panel) and quark-gluon (right panel) subprocesses. We consider the same kinematics ($\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $Q = 100$ GeV) as in the Ref. [45] and the ATLAS experiment [2]. Also we use the CTEQ 6.1M PDFs of Ref. [60] from LHAPDF [61] and ManeParse [62]. One can see that results for the W_T hadronic structure function are similar to one obtained for the T -odd hadronic structure functions. Again, the LP term is closed to the full results only at low Q_T and deviates from it at $Q_T > 10$ GeV or $\rho^2 > 0.01$. Inclusion of the NLP term gives good agreement with the full result.

Second, we show a comparison of our predictions for the T -even angular coefficients and data extracted by the ATLAS Collaboration [2] for the eight angular coefficients $A_{i=0,\dots,7}$. The measurement was made in the Z -boson invariant mass window $Q \in [80 \div 100]$ GeV, as a function of Q_T . ATLAS results for the angular coefficients were presented for the case of integration over specific rapidity areas and in two formats – unregularized and regularized by bias analysis. Besides, data presented for three areas of the rapidity y : (a) $|y| < 1$, (b) $1 < |y| < 2$, and (c) $2 < |y| < 3.5$. We note that our theoretical analysis of the rapidity dependence is in progress.

We obtained the results for the angular coefficients by direct calculation Eq. (16) for every helicity hadronic structures with taking into account quark-antiquark and (anti)quark-gluon contribution at the LO accuracy. For our purposes we used LHAPDF library [61], in particular, the CT18NLO [63] parametrization for PDFs including




FIG. 3: Comparison of the full analytical result for the W_T structure function (black solid line) with expansions to LP (red dashed) and NLP (green dot-dashed) for two partonic subprocesses: (a) quark-antiquark scattering (left panel), (b) quark-gluon scattering (right panel).




FIG. 4: Results for the angular coefficients A_0 , A_1 , and A_2 integrated in the specific region of the rapidity y for different values of Q_T and at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: (a) results for the A_0 at $|y| \in [0, 3.5]$ (left panel), (b) results for the A_1 at $|y| \in [0, 2]$ (central panel), (c) results for the A_2 at $|y| \in [0, 3.5]$ (right panel). Dots and triangles display unregularized and regularized data of the ATLAS Collaboration [2], respectively. Our results are indicated by the green shaded bands.




FIG. 5: Results for the angular coefficients A_3 and A_4 integrated in the specific region of the rapidity y for different values of Q_T and at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: (a) results for the A_3 at $|y| \in [0, 3.5]$ (left panel), (b) results for the A_4 at $|y| \in [0, 3.5]$ (right panel). Dots and triangles display unregularized and regularized data of the ATLAS Collaboration [2], respectively. Our results are indicated by the green shaded bands.

the scale evolution $Q \in [80 \div 100]$ GeV. We performed a numerical simulation of data by random selection of normal distribution in the same region of Q as in the ATLAS experiment and for every value of Q_T . This specifies the uncertainty range of our theoretical prediction for helicity structure functions and angular coefficients, which are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. For T -even angular coefficients these two sets have similar behavior. Our predictions are in good agreement with data (see Figs. 4 and 5).

In the LO of the α_s expansion, the LT relation is not violated and we see that data for the A_2 angular coefficient lie below a theoretical curve (see Fig. 4). Including NLO α_s^2 corrections to the hadronic structure function we should be able to produce a violation of the LT relation and it is clearly shown in the ATLAS paper [2] by using DYNLO package [12]. Besides, the same analysis is presented in Ref. [40]. Our analysis of the angular structure of the DY process at the α_s^2 order is in progress and will be completed in near future.

Results for the coefficient A_1 , which is related to the single-spin-flip hadronic helicity structure function W_{Δ} , should be corrected and improved by taking into account of the α_s^2 contributions. The growth of the A_1 at large $Q_T > Q$ should be studied directly by taking into account a possibility of fragmentation of quarks into virtual gauge bosons [64–66]. The Q_T behavior of the A_3 and A_4 angular coefficients have good agreement with data. Values of these coefficients are suppressed due to smallness of the weak coupling constant. At small Q_T , we can present a solution regarding relations involving transverse helicity structure functions $(2 - A_0)/2 = (G_1/G_2)A_4$ and single spin-flip structure functions $A_1 = (G_1/G_2)A_3$. With growth of Q_T the coefficients A_1 and A_3 are increased due to




FIG. 6: Results for the combination of the angular coefficients $A_3 + A_4$ integrated in the region of the rapidity $y \in [0, 3.5]$ for different values of Q_T , for $Q \in [80, 100]$ GeV and at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: (a) total results (left panel), (b) central values of the total and partial quark-antiquark and quark-gluon contributions to the combination $A_3 + A_4$ (right panel). Dots and triangles display unregularized and regularized data of the ATLAS Collaboration [2], respectively. Our results are indicated by the green shaded band.

the factor $\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}$.

Herewith, we want to note that the behavior of the combination $A_3 + A_4$ in the range of Q_T up to 100 GeV is stable nearly G_2/G_1 . We present the behavior for the combination $A_3 + A_4$ in Fig. 6, we can see that the contribution of the quark-antiquark subprocess decreases for this combination. It is connected to a decreasing of the A_4 with a growth of Q_T . From the other side, the quark-gluon contribution of A_3 angular coefficient is increasing with a growth of Q_T . Such combinations as $A_3 + A_4$ can be also used for analysis of experimental results.

The angular coefficient A_4 is related to the FB asymmetry $A_4 = \frac{8}{3} A_{\text{FB}}$ which is important for fixing of the weak coupling. As it was stressed in Refs. [56, 57], the center mass frame for the partonic level can be defined only for cases, where we have zero transverse momentum of the lepton pair. For nonzero values of the leptonic pair transverse momentum, the partonic level is approximated by the Collins-Soper frame [48]. Besides, the measurements are needed to recalculate FB asymmetry for the pp collision. This is connected with the fact that the quark is defined to be the direction of the hadron in the DY process. Direction of antiquarks are needed to be averaged. To simplify extraction, we need to include a weight factor, which connects rotation of lepton direction regarding hadron collision frame [56]. If angle $\theta = 0$, then we obtain that $A_{\text{FB}} = 3/4 A_4$. In the collinear factorization picture, we propose that all partons have the same direction as hadrons. Because of this, we can make a calculation in specific system where $\theta = 0$, which will be the case similar to the e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons.

We show results for the FB asymmetry in Fig. 7, where we present the behavior of the $A_{\text{FB}} = \frac{3}{4} A_4$ at different invariant masses of lepton pair. Experimental points correspond to data obtained by the CMS Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [58] and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [59] for rapidity ranges $1 < |y| < 1.25$, $1.25 < |y| < 1.5$. We also take into account that data were obtained for $Q_T > 20$ GeV. Upper limit for Q_T in our numerical analysis is 100 GeV.

We see that the FB asymmetry describes a behavior of the ratio of the couplings $\frac{G_2}{G_1}$ with an additional factor $\frac{3}{4}$ in front. We show the Q dependence of the $\frac{3}{4} \frac{G_2}{G_1}$ ratio on Fig. 8. At large Q and small Q_T , the helicity structure function $W_L \sim O(\rho^2)$ will be suppressed in comparison with W_T and W_{T_P} hadronic structure functions, which have additional factor $1/\rho^2 = Q^2/Q_T^2$. In this region, the W_T and W_{T_P} hadronic structure functions will be equal (see equations in Sec. IV) and A_{FB} will approach to the limit $\frac{3}{4} \frac{G_2}{G_1}$. Finally, in Fig. 9 for $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and at $|y| \in [0, 3.5]$, we show our predictions for the convexity A_{conv} , which encodes the $W_T - W_L$ asymmetry of the transverse and longitudinal hadronic structure functions. One can see that for given values of kinematical parameters the A_{conv} crosses zero at $Q_T \simeq 90$ GeV, which corresponds to the critical point, where $W_T = W_L$, $A_0 = 2/3$, and $\lambda = 0$.




FIG. 7: Results for the FB asymmetry A_{FB} as function of Q in comparison with data extracted by the CMS Collaboration: (a) for rapidity ranges $1 < |y| < 1.25$ and at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [58] (left panel), (b) for rapidity ranges $1 < |y| < 1.5$ and at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [58] (central panel), (c) for rapidity ranges $1 < |y| < 1.25$ and at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [59] (right panel).




FIG. 8: The $\frac{3}{4} \frac{G_2}{G_1}$ as function of Q .




FIG. 9: Results for the convexity A_{conv} for rapidity range $0 < |y| < 3.5$ as function of Q_T .

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented analytical results for the Drell-Yan T -even hadronic structure functions in the framework of the pQCD based on the collinear factorization scheme and at the leading order in the α_s expansion. We obtained exact and full analytical formula for the small Q_T expansion of the hadronic structure functions without referring to the specific order of such expansion. We also show how our formalism can be extended to the study of other QCD processes, e.g., such as the SIDIS process.

We demonstrated that our full results in leading order in the α_s expansion are in good agreement with presently available data from the ATLAS Collaboration [2] for the angular coefficients in the DY process at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Additionally we presented analysis for the FB asymmetry and comparison with data. We pointed out that the small Q_T/Q limit plays an important role for the FB asymmetry. In near future we plan to study full rapidity dependence of the angular coefficients occurring in the DY process and extend our analysis to the α_s^2 order in strong coupling expansion.

Acknowledgments

A.Z. thanks Tübingen University for warm hospitality during his visits in the period which lasted from 2017-2024, when a part of the work was done and supported by the DAAD scholarships No. 57314021 and No. 57693448. This work was funded by ANID–Millennium Program–ICN2019.044 (Chile). We thank Werner Vogelsang, Jürgen Körner, Sergey Kuleshov, Fabian Wunder, Vladimir Saleev, Andrey Arbuzov, and Arie Bodek for discussions and interest to our work.

Appendix A: Kinematics

Here we specify kinematics of the DY process on both hadronic and partonic level. Hadronic center of mass (CM) frame is specified by the following choice of hadronic P_1, P_2 and the finale vector boson q momenta

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^\mu &= \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} n_+^\mu, & P_2^\mu &= \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} n_-^\mu, \\ q^\mu &= Q^+ n_+^\mu + Q^- n_-^\mu + Q_T n_T^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where n_i^μ are unit vectors specifying the light-cone coordinates in CM frame

$$\begin{aligned} n_\pm^\mu &= \delta^{\mu\pm}, & n_T^\mu &= \delta^{\mu T}, \\ n_\pm^2 &= 0, & n_T^2 &= -1, & n_+ \cdot n_- &= 1, & n_\pm \cdot n_T &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$Q^\pm = x_{1,2} \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} = e^{\pm y} \sqrt{\frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{2}}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where y is the rapidity

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x_1}{x_2} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q^0 + q^3}{q^0 - q^3}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Current conserving Minkowski tensor $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and hadronic momenta $\tilde{P}_{1,2}^\mu$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2}, & q^\mu \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ \tilde{P}_{i\mu} &= \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \frac{P_i^\nu}{\sqrt{s}}, & q^\mu \tilde{P}_{i\mu} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Next we introduce the set of invariant variables independent on the frame

$$\begin{aligned} q_{P_1} &= \frac{P_1 q}{\sqrt{s}} = x_2 \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}, & q_{P_2} &= \frac{P_2 q}{\sqrt{s}} = x_1 \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}, \\ q_P &= q_{P_1} + q_{P_2} = \frac{P q}{\sqrt{s}} = (x_1 + x_2) \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}, \\ q_p &= -q_{P_1} + q_{P_2} = \frac{p q}{\sqrt{s}} = (x_1 - x_2) \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $P = P_1 + P_2$ and $p = -P_1 + P_2$.

Hadron-level Mandelstam variables:

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (P_1 + P_2)^2, \\ t &= (P_1 - q)^2 = P_1^2 + Q^2 - 2P_1 q = Q^2 - x_2 s, \\ u &= (P_2 - q)^2 = P_2^2 + Q^2 - 2P_2 q = Q^2 - x_1 s, \\ s + t + u &= s + 2Q^2 - 2P q = s(1 - x_1 - x_2) + 2Q^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Parton-level Mandelstam variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s} &= (p_1 + p_2)^2 = \xi_1 \xi_2 s, \\
\hat{t} &= (p_1 - q)^2 = Q^2 - \xi_1 x_2 s = Q^2 - \xi_1 (Q^2 - t), \\
\hat{u} &= (p_2 - q)^2 = Q^2 - \xi_2 x_1 s = Q^2 - \xi_2 (Q^2 - u), \\
\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} &= \xi_1 \xi_2 s + \xi_1 t + \xi_2 u + Q^2 (2 - \xi_1 - \xi_2) \\
&= s(\xi_1 - x_1)(\xi_2 - x_2) - s x_1 x_2 + 2Q^2 = Q^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

where in hadronic CM frame we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2 &= x_1 x_2 s - Q_T^2, \\
Q_T^2 &= s(\xi_1 - x_1)(\xi_2 - x_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

Using the fraction parameters $z_i = x_i/\xi_i$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s} &= \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_1 z_2} = \frac{Q_T^2}{(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)}, \\
\hat{t} &= Q^2 - \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_1} = -\frac{Q_T^2}{1 - z_2}, \\
\hat{u} &= Q^2 - \frac{Q^2 + Q_T^2}{z_2} = -\frac{Q_T^2}{1 - z_1}, \\
\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} &= Q^2 = Q_T^2 \frac{z_1 + z_2 - 1}{(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

Appendix B: Helicity hadronic and leptonic structure functions

Covariant hadronic $W_{\mu\nu}$ and leptonic $L_{\mu\nu}$ and leptonic tensors can be related to the corresponding helicity tensors $W_{\lambda\lambda'}$ and $L_{\lambda\lambda'}$ and [30, 33, 34, 41, 45, 48] with the use of the gauge boson polarization vectors $\epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q)$:

$$F_{\lambda\lambda'} = \epsilon_\lambda^{\dagger\mu} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\lambda'}^\nu, \quad F = W, L. \tag{B1}$$

Both covariant tensors have the similar expansion in terms of helicity tensors:

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\mu\nu} &= W_T \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} \right) + W_{T_P} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} \right) + W_L \epsilon_0^\mu \epsilon_0^{*\nu} \\
&+ W_{\Delta\Delta} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} \right) + iW_{\Delta\Delta_P} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} \right) \\
&+ W_\Delta \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu - \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} + \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + iW_{\Delta_P} \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu + \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} - \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\
&+ iW_\nabla \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu - \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} - \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + W_{\nabla_P} \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu + \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} + \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{\mu\nu} &= L_T \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} \right) + L_{T_P} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} \right) + L_L \epsilon_0^\mu \epsilon_0^{*\nu} \\
&+ L_{\Delta\Delta} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} \right) + iL_{\Delta\Delta_P} \left(\epsilon_+^\mu \epsilon_-^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^\mu \epsilon_+^{*\nu} \right) \\
&+ L_\Delta \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu - \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} + \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + iL_{\Delta_P} \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu + \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} - \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\
&+ iL_\nabla \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu - \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} - \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} - \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + L_{\nabla_P} \left(\frac{\epsilon_+^\mu + \epsilon_-^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_0^{*\nu} + \epsilon_0^\mu \frac{\epsilon_+^{*\nu} + \epsilon_-^{*\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

where lepton helicity structure functions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
L_T &= L_{++} + L_{--} = L_{\mu\nu} (X^\mu X^\nu + Y^\mu Y^\nu) = Q^2(1 + \cos^2 \theta), \\
L_L &= L_{00} = L_{\mu\nu} Z^\mu Z^\nu = Q^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta), \\
L_{\Delta\Delta} &= i(L_{+-} - L_{-+}) = L_{\mu\nu} (Y^\mu Y^\nu - X^\mu X^\nu) = Q^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi, \\
L_\Delta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(L_{+0} - L_{-0} + L_{0+} - L_{0-}) = -L_{\mu\nu} (X^\mu Z^\nu + Z^\mu X^\nu) = Q^2 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi, \\
L_\nabla &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(L_{+0} - L_{-0} - L_{0+} + L_{0-}) = i L_{\mu\nu} (Z^\mu X^\nu - X^\mu Z^\nu) = Q^2 \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\
L_{TP} &= L_{++} - L_{--} = i L_{\mu\nu} (X^\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu X^\nu) = Q^2 \cos \theta, \\
L_{\Delta\Delta P} &= -L_{\mu\nu} (X^\mu Y^\nu + Y^\mu X^\nu) = Q^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi, \\
L_{\Delta P} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(L_{+0} + L_{-0} - L_{0+} - L_{0-}) = -L_{\mu\nu} (Y^\mu Z^\nu + Z^\mu Y^\nu) = Q^2 \sin 2\theta \sin \phi, \\
L_{\nabla P} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(L_{+0} + L_{-0} + L_{0+} + L_{0-}) = i L_{\mu\nu} (Y^\mu Z^\nu - Z^\mu Y^\nu) = Q^2 \sin \theta \cos \phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

Appendix C: Relations between different sets of the structure functions

Three sets of the structure functions $\{A_i\}$, $\{W_i\}$ and $\{\lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots\}$ are related as [30, 33, 34, 41, 45, 48]

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \frac{W_T - W_L}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2 - 3A_0}{2 + A_0}, \quad \mu = \frac{W_\Delta}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_1}{2 + A_0}, \quad \nu = \frac{2W_{\Delta\Delta}}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_2}{2 + A_0}, \\
\tau &= \frac{W_{\nabla P}}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_3}{2 + A_0}, \quad \eta = \frac{W_{TP}}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_4}{2 + A_0}, \quad \xi = \frac{W_{\Delta\Delta P}}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_5}{2 + A_0}, \\
\zeta &= \frac{W_{\Delta P}}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_6}{2 + A_0}, \quad \chi = \frac{W_\nabla}{W_T + W_L} = \frac{2A_7}{2 + A_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{C1}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \frac{2W_L}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{3 + \lambda}, \quad A_1 = \frac{2W_\Delta}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\mu}{3 + \lambda}, \quad A_2 = \frac{4W_{\Delta\Delta}}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\nu}{3 + \lambda}, \\
A_3 &= \frac{2W_{\nabla P}}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\tau}{3 + \lambda}, \quad A_4 = \frac{2W_{TP}}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\eta}{3 + \lambda}, \quad A_5 = \frac{2W_{\Delta\Delta P}}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\xi}{3 + \lambda}, \\
A_6 &= \frac{2W_{\Delta P}}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\zeta}{3 + \lambda}, \quad A_7 = \frac{2W_\nabla}{2W_T + W_L} = \frac{4\chi}{3 + \lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

Appendix D: Small Q_T expansion of the helicity hadronic structure functions

In this Appendix we present some additional details and results for the Q_T expansion of the helicity hadronic structure functions.

In particular, we present the complete and universal formula for the partial x derivatives of the hadronic/partonic structures functions. As we stressed before, the main task here is to make the partial derivative of desired order acting

on the convolution of the perturbative coefficient function and PDF. Such perturbative function could contain the product of the regular function $\tau(z)$ and possible singularities due to logarithms $\log^k(1-z)$ and $1/(1-z)^m$ poles. The regular function $\tau(z)$ can be expanded in the Taylor series around $z = 1$ in order to reduce the perturbative function to the sum of the terms containing only log-terms and distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\log^k(1-z)}{(1-z)^m} \right]_{+,m-1} \tau(z) &= \left[\frac{\log^k(1-z)}{(1-z)^m} \right]_{+,m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{s!} (z-1)^s \partial_z^s \tau(1) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} \partial_z^s \tau(1) \left[\frac{\log^k(1-z)}{(1-z)^{m-l}} \right]_{+,m-l-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1})$$

Therefore, our task is reduced to calculation of the following generic integral over z

$$I(k, m) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left[\frac{\log^k(1-z)}{(1-z)^m} \right]_{+,m-1} f(x/z), \quad (\text{D2})$$

where $f(x/z)$ is the PDF. Then, the master formula for the n th partial derivative of the integral $I(k, m)$ and for $n \leq k$ reads

$$\frac{\partial^n I(k, m)}{\partial x^n} = \frac{1}{x^n} \int_x^1 dz \left[\frac{D_1(k, m, n; z)}{(1-z)^{m+n}} \right]_{+,m+n-1} \left[f(x/z) z^{n-1} \right], \quad (\text{D3})$$

where

$$D_1(k, m, n; z) = \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \log^{k-i}(1-z) T_i \quad (\text{D4})$$

and

$$T_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ \sum_{k_r > \dots > k_1 = 0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{m+k_j}, & i \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{D5})$$

For the $n > k$ the master formula reads

$$\frac{\partial^n I(k, m)}{\partial x^n} = \frac{1}{x^n} \int_x^1 dz \left[\frac{D_2(k, m, n; z)}{(1-z)^{m+n}} \right]_{+,m+n-1} \left[f(x/z) z^{n-1} \right] + \Delta(k, m, n), \quad (\text{D6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(k, m, n; z) &= \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \log^{k-i}(1-z) T_i \\ &= \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-1)!} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \log^{k-i}(1-z) T_i + (-1)^k T_k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D7})$$

and

$$\Delta(k, m, n) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^n \left(\frac{(-1)^{m+l}}{x^l (m-1)! (m+l-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m+l-1}}{\partial z^{m+l-1}} \left[z^{l-2} f\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \right] \right)_x^{(n-l)}. \quad (\text{D8})$$

Now we present the analytical results for the NLP hadronic structure functions. In the case of the quark-antiquark annihilation and quark-gluon Compton scattering subprocesses we get the same relations between hadronic structure functions as for LP functions:

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{g_{ab;1}}{g_{ab;2}} W_{TP}^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho), \\ W_L^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= 2W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho), \\ W_\Delta^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{g_{ab;1}}{g_{ab;2}} W_{\nabla P}^{\text{NLP};ab}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D9})$$

where $ab = q\bar{q}, qg$.

Also there interesting relation between $W_T^{\text{NLP};ab}$ and $W_L^{\text{NLP};ab} = 2W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{NLP};ab}$ functions. In particular, one can express $W_L^{\text{NLP};ab} = 2W_{\Delta\Delta}^{\text{NLP};ab}$ through combination of $W_T^{\text{LP};ab}$ and $W_T^{\text{NLP};ab}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
W_L^{\text{NLP};q\bar{q}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \rho^2 \left(W_T^{\text{NLP};q\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} W_T^{\text{LP};q\bar{q}} \right), \\
W_L^{\text{NLP};qg}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \rho^2 \left(W_T^{\text{NLP};qg} - \frac{1}{2} W_T^{\text{LP};qg} \right) + 4g_{qq;1} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} + L_\rho - L_\rho x_1^0 \partial_{x_1^0} \right) q(x_1^0) g(x_2^0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{x_1^0}^1 \frac{dz_1}{(1-z_1)_+} q\left(\frac{x_1^0}{z_1}\right) g(x_2^0) + \int_{x_2^0}^1 \frac{dz_2}{(1-z_2)_+} \left(1 - \frac{1+z_2}{2} x_2^0 \partial_{x_2^0} \right) q(x_1^0) g\left(\frac{x_2^0}{z_2}\right) \right]. \quad (\text{D10})
\end{aligned}$$

We remind that the hadronic structure functions at any order of small Q_T expansion are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \frac{1}{x_1^0 x_2^0} \sum_{a,b} \left[R_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) f_{a/H_1}(x_1^0) f_{b/H_2}(x_2^0) \right. \\
&\quad + \left(P_{ba}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}} \otimes f_{b/H_2} \right)(x_2^0, x_1^0, L_\rho) f_{a/H_1}(x_1^0) \\
&\quad \left. + \left(P_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}} \otimes f_{a/H_1} \right)(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) f_{b/H_2}(x_2^0) \right]. \quad (\text{D11})
\end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to expand the perturbative functions $R_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}$, $P_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_1, x_2^0, L_\rho)$, and $P_{ba}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_2, x_1^0, L_\rho)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(x_1^0, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \sum_{s_1, s_2=0}^m R_{ab; s_1 s_2}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(L_\rho) T_{s_1 s_2}^R(x_1^0, x_2^0), \\
P_{ab}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_1, x_2^0, L_\rho) &= \sum_{s=0}^m P_{a,1s}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_1, L_\rho) T_s^P(x_2^0), \\
P_{ba}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_2, x_1^0, L_\rho) &= \sum_{s=0}^m P_{ab,2s}^{\text{N}^m\text{LP}}(z_2, L_\rho) T_s^P(x_1^0), \quad (\text{D12})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{s_1 s_2}^R(x_1^0, x_2^0) &= (x_1^0)^{s_1} (x_2^0)^{s_2} \partial_{x_1^0}^{s_1} \partial_{x_2^0}^{s_2}, \\
T_s^P(x^0) &= (x^0)^s \partial_{x^0}^s. \quad (\text{D13})
\end{aligned}$$

The results for the perturbative coefficients parameterizing the NLP hadronic structure functions are (we display only nonvanishing coefficients):

(1) for quark-antiquark annihilation

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{qq;00}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 R_{qq;00}^{\text{NLP},T} + g_{q\bar{q};1} L_\rho = 2g_{q\bar{q};1} (1 + L_\rho), \\
R_{qq;01}^{\text{NLP},L} &= R_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},L} = \rho^2 R_{qq;01}^{\text{NLP},T} = \rho^2 R_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},T} = \rho R_{qq;01}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{q\bar{q};1} (1 + L_\rho) \\
&= -\rho R_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{q\bar{q};1} (1 + L_\rho) = -g_{q\bar{q};1} (1 - L_\rho), \\
R_{qq;11}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 R_{qq;11}^{\text{NLP},T} = -2g_{q\bar{q};1} L_\rho, \\
P_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},L} &= P_{qq;20}^{\text{NLP},L} = \rho^2 P_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},T} - g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1+z^2}{2(1-z)_+} = -\rho^2 P_{qq;20}^{\text{NLP},T} - g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1+z^2}{2(1-z)_+} \\
&= \rho P_{qq;10}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{2+z}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = -\rho P_{qq;20}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{2+z}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = -g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{2+z+z^3}{2(1-z)_{+,1}^2}, \\
P_{qq;11}^{\text{NLP},L} &= P_{qq;21}^{\text{NLP},L} = \rho^2 P_{qq;11}^{\text{NLP},T} = \rho^2 P_{qq;21}^{\text{NLP},T} \\
&= \rho P_{qq;11}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} + g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1+z}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = -\rho P_{qq;21}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} + g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{1+z}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = g_{q\bar{q};1} \frac{(1+z)(1+z^2)}{2(1-z)_{+,1}^2}. \tag{D14}
\end{aligned}$$

(2) For quark-gluon Compton scattering process:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{qg;00}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 R_{qg;00}^{\text{NLP},T} - 2g_{qg;1} (1 - 2L_\rho) = \rho R_{qg;00}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{qg;1} (3 - 2L_\rho) = -g_{qg;1} \left(\frac{5}{2} - 4L_\rho \right), \\
R_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 R_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},T} - 4g_{qg;1} L_\rho = \rho R_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - 6g_{qg;1} L_\rho = -5g_{qg;1} L_\rho, \\
P_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 P_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},T} + g_{qg;1} \frac{4z^2}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = \rho P_{qg;10}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} + g_{qg;1} \frac{2z(2+z)}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = g_{qg;1} \frac{z(1+(1+z)^2)}{(1-z)_{+,1}^2}, \\
P_{qg;20}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 P_{qg;20}^{\text{NLP},T} - g_{qg;1} \frac{1+5z+4z^2-2z^3}{2(1-z)_{+,1}^2} = \rho P_{qg;20}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} - g_{qg;1} \frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)_+} = -g_{qg;1} \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1-z)_+}, \\
P_{qg;21}^{\text{NLP},L} &= \rho^2 P_{qg;21}^{\text{NLP},T} = -\rho P_{qg;21}^{\text{NLP},\Delta} + g_{qg;1} \frac{1+z}{(1-z)_+} = g_{qg;1} \frac{(1+z)(z^2+(1+z)^2)}{2(1-z)_+}. \tag{D15}
\end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] S. D. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 316 (1970) **25**, 902(E) (1970).
[2] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), JHEP **08**, 159 (2016).
[3] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **750**, 154 (2015).
[4] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 091801 (2022).
[5] S. Falciano *et al.* (NA10 Collaboration), Z. Phys. C **31**, 513 (1986).
[6] J. Badier *et al.* (NA3 Collaboration), Z. Phys. C **11**, 195 (1981).
[7] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 241801 (2011).
[8] R. Hamberg, W. L. van Neerven, and T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. B **359**, 343 (1991).
[9] W. L. van Neerven and E. B. Zijlstra, Nucl. Phys. B **382**, 11 (1992); Nucl. Phys. B **680**, 513(E) (2004).
[10] C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, K. Melnikov, and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 182002 (2003).
[11] K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 231803 (2006).
[12] S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian, and M. Grazzini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 082001 (2009).

- [13] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, and S. Quackenbush, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 2388 (2011).
- [14] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, and S. Quackenbush, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 208 (2013).
- [15] C. Duhr, F. Dulat, and B. Mistlberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 172001 (2020).
- [16] J. Baglio, C. Duhr, B. Mistlberger, and R. Szafron, *JHEP* **12**, 066 (2022).
- [17] S. Camarda, L. Cieri, and G. Ferrera, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 575 (2022).
- [18] S. Höche, Y. Li, and S. Prestel, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 074015 (2015).
- [19] A. Karlberg, E. Re, and G. Zanderighi, *JHEP* **09**, 134 (2014).
- [20] S. Alioli, C. W. Bauer, C. Berggren, F. J. Tackmann, and J. R. Walsh, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 094020 (2015).
- [21] M. A. Nefedov, N. N. Nikolaev, and V. A. Saleev, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 014022 (2013).
- [22] M. Nefedov and V. Saleev, *Phys. Lett. B* **790**, 551 (2019).
- [23] M. A. Nefedov and V. A. Saleev, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 114018 (2020).
- [24] U. Baur, O. Brein, W. Hollik, C. Schappacher, and D. Wackerroth, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 033007 (2002).
- [25] S. Dittmaier and M. Krämer, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 073007 (2002).
- [26] A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, G. Nanava, and R. Sadykov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **46**, 407 (2006); *Eur. Phys. J. C* **50**, 506(E) (2007).
- [27] A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, G. Nanava, and R. Sadykov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **54**, 451 (2008).
- [28] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and A. Vicini, *JHEP* **10**, 109 (2007).
- [29] S. Alekhin, S. Amoroso, L. Buonocore, A. Huss, S. Kallweit, A. Kardos, J. Michel, S. Moch, F. Petriello and L. Rottoli, *et al.* [arXiv:2405.19714 [hep-ph]].
- [30] C. S. Lam and W. K. Tung, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 2447 (1978).
- [31] C. S. Lam and W. K. Tung, *Phys. Lett. B* **80**, 228 (1979); *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 2712 (1980).
- [32] J. C. Collins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 291 (1979).
- [33] D. Boer and W. Vogelsang, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 014004 (2006).
- [34] E. L. Berger, J. W. Qiu, and R. A. Rodriguez-Pedraza, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 074006 (2007); *Phys. Lett. B.* **656**, 74 (2007).
- [35] S. Piloneta and A. Vladimirov, [arXiv:2407.06277 [hep-ph]].
- [36] R. Frederix and T. Vitos, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 939 (2020).
- [37] M. A. Ebert, J. K. L. Michel, I. W. Stewart, and F. J. Tackmann, *JHEP* **04**, 102 (2021).
- [38] L. Motyka, M. Sadzikowski, and T. Stebel, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 114025 (2017).
- [39] J. C. Peng and J. W. Qiu, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **76**, 43 (2014).
- [40] R. Gauld, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, and A. Huss, *JHEP* **11**, 203 (2017).
- [41] J. G. Körner and E. Mirkes, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **23**, 9 (1991); E. Mirkes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **387**, 3 (1992).
- [42] E. Mirkes and J. Ohnemus, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5692 (1994).
- [43] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, and G. F. Sterman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **250**, 199 (1985); *B* **261**, 104 (1985); *B* **308**, 833 (1988); *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **5**, 1 (1989).
- [44] J. Collins, *Foundations of Perturbative QCD* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2023); *Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys., Nucl. Phys., Cosmol.* **32**, 1 (2011),
- [45] V. E. Lyubovitskij, W. Vogelsang, F. Wunder and A. S. Zhevlakov, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 114023 (2024).
- [46] V. E. Lyubovitskij, W. Vogelsang, F. Wunder, and A. S. Zhevlakov, (to be published).
- [47] V. E. Lyubovitskij, F. Wunder, and A. S. Zhevlakov, *JHEP* **06**, 066 (2021).
- [48] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 2219 (1977).
- [49] J. C. Peng, W. C. Chang, R. E. McClellan, and O. Teryaev, *Phys. Lett. B* **758**, 384 (2016).
- [50] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, P. Santorelli, and N. Habel, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 074001 (2015); *D* **91**, 119907(E) (2015).
- [51] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, and C. T. Tran, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 114022 (2015).
- [52] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *PTEP* **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [53] R. Meng, F. I. Olness, and D. E. Soper, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 1919 (1996).
- [54] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions. Vol. 1. Properties and operations*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1964, 423 p.
- [55] M. Abele, M. Aicher, F. Piacenza, A. Schäfer, and W. Vogelsang, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 014020 (2022).
- [56] A. Bodek, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **67**, 321 (2010).
- [57] R. D. Ball, A. Candido, S. Forte, F. Hekhorn, E. R. Nocera, J. Rojo, and C. Schwan, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 1160 (2022).
- [58] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 752 (2013).
- [59] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 325 (2016).
- [60] D. Stump, J. Huston, J. Pumplin, W. K. Tung, H. L. Lai, S. Kuhlmann, and J. F. Owens, *JHEP* **10**, 046 (2003).
- [61] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr, and G. Watt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 132 (2015).
- [62] D. B. Clark, E. Godat, and F. I. Olness, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **216**, 126 (2017).
- [63] T. J. Hou, J. Gao, T. J. Hobbs, K. Xie, S. Dulat, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, and C. Schmidt, *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 014013 (2021).
- [64] Z. B. Kang, J. W. Qiu, and W. Vogelsang, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 054007 (2009).
- [65] J. W. Qiu and X. F. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 074007 (2001).
- [66] J. W. Qiu, R. Rodriguez, and X. F. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **506**, 254 (2001).