

# Global regularity and optimal decay estimates of large solutions to the compressible FENE system

Zhaonan Luo<sup>1\*</sup>, Zhiying Meng<sup>2†</sup> and Zhaoyang Yin<sup>3‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Finance,  
Guangzhou, 510521, PR China

<sup>3</sup>School of Science, Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University,  
Shenzhen 518107, China

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with the compressible FENE dumbbell model. By virtue of the dissipative structure and the interpolation method, we firstly prove global regularity in  $H^2$  framework for the compressible FENE system with some large data. Then, we obtain optimal decay estimates of large solutions in  $H^1$  and remove the smallness assumption of low frequencies by virtue of the Fourier splitting method and the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory. Furthermore, we establish optimal decay rate for the highest derivative of the solutions by a different method combining time frequency decomposition and the time weighted energy estimate. These obtained results generalize and cover the classical results of the incompressible FENE dumbbell model.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35Q30, 35Q84, 76B03, 76D05.

*Keywords:* The compressible FENE dumbbell model; Large solutions; Global regularity; Optimal decay estimates.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Notations and main results</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Notations and functional spaces . . . . .	5
2.2	Main results . . . . .	6

---

\*Email: luozhn@fudan.edu.cn

†E-mail: 47-368@gdudf.edu.cn

‡E-mail: mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn

<b>3</b>	<b>Global regularity and optimal decay estimates with large data</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Interpolation inequality and Hardy type inequality . . . . .	7
3.2	Local existence and uniqueness . . . . .	8
3.3	Global regularity . . . . .	16
3.4	Optimal decay estimates . . . . .	22
<b>References</b>		<b>32</b>

## 1 Introduction

The paper is devoted to the study of the following compressible FENE system [1, 14]:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) = 0, \\ (\varrho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}\Sigma(u) + \nabla P(\varrho) = \operatorname{div}\tau, \\ \psi_t + u \cdot \nabla \psi = \operatorname{div}_R[-\sigma(u) \cdot R\psi + \nabla_R \psi + \nabla_R \mathcal{U}\psi], \\ \tau_{ij} = \int_B (R_i \nabla_{R_j} \mathcal{U}) \psi dR, \\ \varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0, \\ (\nabla_R \psi + \nabla_R \mathcal{U}\psi) \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B(0, R_0). \end{cases}$$

In (1.1), the unknown of this model  $(\varrho, u) = (\varrho, u)(t, x)$ ,  $\psi = \psi(t, x, R)$  denote respectively the density of the solvent, velocity of the polymeric liquid and distribution function of the internal configuration, where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = \{2, 3\}$  and  $R \in B \triangleq B(0, R_0)$  denotes the dumbbell extension. Here the stress tensor  $\Sigma(u)$ , the pressure  $P(\varrho)$ , the potential of dumbbell force  $\mathcal{U}$  and the additional stress tensor  $\sigma(u)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(u) &= \mu(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) + \mu' \operatorname{div} u \cdot Id, \\ P(\varrho) &= a\varrho^\gamma \text{ with } \gamma \geq 1, a > 0, \\ \mathcal{U}(R) &= -k \log(1 - (\frac{|R|}{|R_0|})^2) \text{ for } k > 0, \\ \sigma(u) &= \frac{\nabla u - (\nabla u)^T}{2} \text{ (co-rotational case) or } \sigma(u) = \nabla u \text{ (general case),} \end{aligned}$$

with the viscosity coefficient  $\mu, \mu'$  satisfy the condition  $2\mu + \mu' > 0, \mu > 0$ . For notational simplicity, we take  $a = \gamma = R_0 = 1$  in this article. For a detailed introduction of physical and mechanical background for (1.1), one can refer to [1, 5].

The system (1.1) has a trivial solution  $(\varrho, u, \psi)$  with  $(\varrho, u) = (1, 0)$  and

$$\psi_\infty(R) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{U}(R)}}{\int_B e^{-\mathcal{U}(R)} dR} = \frac{(1 - |R|^2)^k}{\int_B (1 - |R|^2)^k dR}.$$

Therefore, the purpose of this article is the study of the perturbations near the global equilibrium. Let  $(\rho, u, g) = (\varrho - 1, u, \frac{\psi - \psi_\infty}{\psi_\infty})$  and  $h(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1}$ .

In general case, the system (1.1) is now reduced to the following system for  $(\rho, u, g)$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div} u = F, \\ u_t + \nabla \rho - \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u) - \operatorname{div} \tau = H, \\ g_t + \mathcal{L}g + \operatorname{div} u - \nabla u R \nabla_R \mathcal{U} = G, \\ \tau_{ij}(g) = \int_B (R_i \nabla_{R_j} \mathcal{U}) g \psi_\infty dR, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad g|_{t=0} = g_0, \\ \psi_\infty \nabla_{Rj} g \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B(0, 1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\operatorname{div}(\rho u), \quad H = -u \cdot \nabla u - h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u) + h(\rho) \nabla \rho - h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \tau, \\ G &= -u \cdot \nabla g - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_{Rj} \cdot (\sigma(u) R g \psi_\infty), \quad \mathcal{L}g = -\frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_{Rj} \cdot (\psi_\infty \nabla_{Rj} g). \end{aligned}$$

### (1) Short reviews for the incompressible FENE model

With potential  $\mathcal{U}(R) = (1 - |R|^2)^{1-\sigma}$  for  $\sigma > 1$ , the first local well-posedness result in Sobolev spaces was established by M. Renardy [21]. For the co-rotation case in two dimensions, F. Lin, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang [9] obtained a global existence results  $k > 6$ . In [15], N. Masmoudi proved the global well-posedness of the general FENE model near equilibrium with  $k > 0$ . In the co-rotation case with  $d = 2$ , the author [15] studied a global result for  $k > 0$  without any small conditions. However, the global existence result with large initial data to the general FENE dumbbell model is still open (see [8, 17]). In [16], N. Masmoudi established the global existence of weak solutions in  $L^2$  under some entropy conditions.

In a recent work, the  $L^2$  decay of the velocity for the co-rotation FENE dumbbell model was proved by M. Schonbek [23] and she established the decay rate  $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $d \geq 2$  with initial data  $u_0 \in L^1$ . On the other hand, she gave a conjecture with the sharp decay rate should be  $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . By reason of the additional stress tensor, see [22], she could not verify the conjecture by using the bootstrap argument. Based on M. Schonbek's work, W. Luo and Z. Yin [10, 11] improved Schonbek's result and showed that the decay rate is  $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$  with  $d \geq 2$ . This result showed that M. Schonbek's conjecture is true when  $d \geq 2$ . The optimal time decay rate was proved by [6] for a different method.

### (2) Short reviews for the compressible Navier-Stokes (CNS) equations

When  $\psi \equiv 0$ , the system becomes the CNS equations. Now, we present some results about the CNS equations. J. Nash firstly proved the local existence and uniqueness [19] for smooth initial data without vacuum. The global well-posedness near equilibrium in three dimension was established by A. Matsumura and T. Nishida [18] for smooth data. Scaling invariance plays a fundamental role in the study of the CNS equations. R. Danchin [2, 3] established a lot of global existence and uniqueness results in the "critical spaces".

On the other hand, the long time behaviour of solutions for the CNS equations has many mathematical results. A. Matsumura and T. Nishida obtained the large time behaviour of the global solutions  $(\rho, u)$  of the  $3d$  CNS equations, see [18]. Recently, for  $d = 3$ , H. Li and T. Zhang [7] proved the optimal time decay rate, which depends on spectrum analysis in Sobolev spaces. For  $d = 2$ , the large time behaviour in the critical Besov space was presented recently by R. Danchin and J. Xu [4]. J. Xu [25] obtained the optimal time decay rate in some Besov spaces with negative index, which requires the

smallness of low-frequency. Very recently, Z. Xin and J. Xu [24] studied the large time behaviour without the smallness restriction of low frequencies.

### (3) The compressible FENE system

For the co-rotation case, Z. Luo, W. Luo and Z. Yin [14] obtained the global existence ( $d \geq 2$ ) and the  $L^2$  optimal decay rate of strong solutions, which requires small initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in H^s \times H^s \times H^s(\mathcal{L}^2)$ ,  $s > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$ . On the other hand, the authors [12] also studied the optimal  $H^1$  decay rate of global strong solutions for the general compressible FENE model with small data and  $d \geq 2$ . It is worth noting that the condition in [12] of the optimal  $L^2$  decay rate of solutions is weaker than the condition in [14].

To our best knowledge, global critical regularity and optimal decay estimates for the compressible FENE system with large initial data have not been studied yet. This problem is interesting and more difficult than the case with small data. In this paper, we are devoting to the study of the global regularity and optimal decay estimates of the compressible FENE system with some large data in the framework of  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \in \{2, 3\}$ . For the case  $d = 2$ , the key point is to prove a new global priori estimate for (1.2) with some large data. Using interpolation method and the cancellation relation between the CNS equations and Fokker-Planck equation, we obtain a global priori estimate. To obtain optimal time decay rate in  $H^2$ , we give a more precise higher order derivatives estimate in the proof of global existence for strong solutions to (1.2). Similarly, for the case  $d = 3$ , we can obtain the global existence results of the solutions (see Remark 2.4) and the optimal decay rate of each derivative of the solutions in  $L^2$  (see Remark 2.7).

For the critical case  $d = 2$ , optimal decay estimates for the compressible FENE system (1.2) with large data has not been studied yet. We now develop a universal method to obtain optimal  $H^1$  decay rate with large data. Firstly, by using the method in [10], we prove logarithmic decay rate of solutions. The main obstacle is obtaining the initial polynomial decay rate. By virtue of the time weighted energy estimate and logarithmic decay rate, we improve initial polynomial decay rate for the solutions in  $H^1$ . This new Fourier splitting method plays a key role in the proof of optimal time decay rate. By using the time decay rate and the estimate of the stress tensor  $\tau$  with  $k > 0$ , we obtain  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-1})} \leq C$ . Finally, we obtain optimal time decay estimates for the solutions in  $H^1$ . Furthermore, we establish optimal decay rate of the solutions in  $\dot{H}^2$  by a different method combining time frequency decomposition and the time weighted energy estimate. On the other hand, by applying the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}$ , we improve the decay rate of  $\|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  to  $(1+t)^{-\frac{2+s}{2}}$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ . For the case  $d = 3$ , the proof of optimal decay rate of solutions with large data is simpler, so we omit it.

Compared with previous work, the new ingredients in our paper are as follows:

(i) Expanding the range of initial value corresponding to the global solutions. Compared with the co-rotation incompressible FENE model, the difficulty of the compressible FENE model is the lack of conservation law. By using the dissipative structure of  $(\rho, u, g)$ , the continuity argument and the special interpolation method, we obtain global existence of the compressible FENE system. Let  $d = \{2, 3\}$  and the critical regularity with  $\beta = 2$ . Then the initial data satisfy the following conditions for  $\epsilon$  small enough

$$E_1(0) + E_2(0) \leq M, \quad E_0(0) + E_1(0) \leq \epsilon(M),$$

which implies that the  $H^2$  norm of initial data is large. It is worth mentioning that we obtain the first

result of global existence of the compressible FENE model with large initial value. Moreover, we get the following two closed energy estimates:

$$E_0(t) + E_1(t) + \eta(u, \nabla \rho) + \int_0^t D_0(t) + D_1(t) + 2\eta \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq C(E_0(0) + E_1(0)),$$

$$E_2(t) + \eta(\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) + \int_0^t D_2(t) + 2\eta \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq C(E_1(0) + E_2(0)).$$

(ii) Optimal decay rate for the highest derivative of the solutions. Owing to  $E_2 + \eta(\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) \not\cong E_2$  with  $\eta$  small enough, we can not obtain decay rate of  $(\rho, u)$  in  $\dot{H}^2$  by virtue of the same approach as in  $H^1$ . By the improved Fourier splitting method, the time weighted energy estimate and the optimal decay rate of  $(\rho, u)$  in  $H^1$ , we prove the optimal decay rate for the highest derivative of  $(\rho, u)$  in  $L^2$ . In addition, one also see that the decay rate of  $\nabla^\beta g$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$  with  $\beta \in [0, 2]$  is the same as that of  $\nabla^\beta \nabla u$ . Note that the result of optimal decay rate for the highest derivative is novel.

The article has the following structure. In Section 2, we give some notations and main results. In Section 3, we are devoted to the study of global regularity of solutions to (1.1) and  $H^2$  decay estimates for the compressible FENE dumbbell system with some large data.

## 2 Notations and main results

In this section we will introduce some notations that will be used in the sequel and present our main results as follows.

### 2.1. Notations and functional spaces

We give the following notations and some functional spaces.

- (1)  $\nabla u(\operatorname{div} u)$  represents the gradient(divergence) of  $u$  with respect to the variable  $x$ ;
- (2)  $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f)$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$  and  $\Lambda^s f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \hat{f})$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{L}^p = \{f \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} = \int_B \psi_\infty |f|^p dR < \infty\}$ ;
- (4)  $L^p(\mathcal{L}^q) = L^p[\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{L}^q] = \{f \mid \|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^q)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\int_B \psi_\infty |f|^q dR)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$ ;
- (5)  $H^s(\mathcal{L}^2) = \{f \mid \|f\|_{H^s(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_B (|f|^2 + |\Lambda^s f|^2) \psi_\infty dR dx < \infty\}$ ;
- (6) The energy and energy dissipation functionals for  $(\rho, u, g)$  as follows:

$$E_\beta(t) = \|\nabla^\beta(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^\beta g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2,$$

$$D_\beta(t) = \mu \|\nabla^\beta \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^\beta \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2,$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\delta(t) = \|\nabla^\delta(\rho, u)\|_{H^{2-\delta}}^2 + \|\nabla^\delta g\|_{H^{2-\delta}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + 2\eta(\nabla^\delta u, \nabla \nabla^\delta \rho)_{H^{1-\delta}},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\delta(t) = \mu \|\nabla^\delta \nabla u\|_{H^{2-\delta}}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\nabla^\delta \operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{2-\delta}}^2 + \|\nabla^\delta \nabla_R g\|_{H^{2-\delta}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla \nabla^\delta \rho\|_{H^{1-\delta}}^2.$$

where  $\beta \in [0, 2], \delta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta$  small enough. Next we recall the Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the annulus  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ . There exist radial functions  $\varphi$ , valued in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , belonging respectively to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ , and such that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j} \xi) = 1,$$

$$|j - j'| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j'} \cdot) = \emptyset.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) \leq 1.$$

Let  $u$  be a tempered distribution in  $\mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$\dot{\Delta}_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}u).$$

Then the Littlewood-Paley decomposition is given as follows:

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Now, we introduce the definition of homogenous Besov spaces as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ . The homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$  and  $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s(\mathcal{L}^q)$  are defined by*

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'_h : \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} = \left\| (2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p})_j \right\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty\},$$

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s(\mathcal{L}^q) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}'_h : \|\phi\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s(\mathcal{L}^q)} = \left\| (2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \phi\|_{L_x^q(\mathcal{L}^q)})_j \right\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty\}.$$

## 2.2. Main results

In this section, we state our main results.

**Theorem 2.2.** *(Global regularity) Let  $d = 2$ . Assume that the initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in H^2 \times H^2 \times H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$  and satisfy the conditions  $\int_B g_0 \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and  $1 + g_0 > 0$ . For any constant  $M$ , there exists a constant  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  is small enough and depends on  $M$ ) such that if*

$$(2.1) \quad E_1(0) + E_2(0) \leq M, \quad \text{and} \quad E_0(0) + E_1(0) \leq \epsilon,$$

then the system (1.2) admits a unique global solution  $(\rho, u, g)$  with  $\int_B g \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and  $1 + g > 0$ .

Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}_0(t) dt \leq C \mathcal{E}_0(0),$$

where  $C > 1$  is a constant.

**Remark 2.3.** *Taking  $\rho = 1$ , the system (1.2) reduces to the incompressible FENE dumbbell model. These results of the global regularity of strong solutions in the current manuscript improve the result of [15].*

**Remark 2.4.** *Under the conditions that the initial value satisfies Theorem 2.2, the above result of global regularity is also valid for the compressible co-rotational FENE model (1.1) with  $\sigma(u) = \frac{\nabla u - (\nabla u)^T}{2}$  and the compressible FENE model with  $d = 3$ . For the case  $d = 3$ , the proof of global existence is similar to  $d = 2$ , then we omit it in this paper.*

**Theorem 2.5.** (Optimal decay estimates) Let  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Assume further that  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^2)$ , then it holds that for  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|\nabla^s \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+s}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{2+s}{2}},$$

and

$$\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

**Remark 2.6.** initial condition Under the conditions that the initial value satisfies Theorem 2.5, the above results of optimal decay rate for  $(\rho, u)$  is also valid for the compressible co-rotational FENE model and the optimal decay rate of  $g$  satisfies  $\|g\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq Ce^{-ct}$ . In addition, if  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in H^3 \times H^3 \times H^3(\mathcal{L}^2)$  with  $d = 2$ , the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  is  $(1+t)^{-2}$ . These results of the optimal decay estimates of strong solutions in the current manuscript is an extension of [11, 13] to the compressible fluid.

**Remark 2.7.** For  $d = 3$ , let the initial value satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Assume further that  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathcal{L}^2)$ . Then, for any  $\beta \in [0, 2]$ , the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^\beta(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}$  is  $(1+t)^{-\frac{3+2\beta}{4}}$  and the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  is  $(1+t)^{-\frac{5+2s}{4}}$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ . In addition, if  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in H^3 \times H^3 \times H^3(\mathcal{L}^2)$ , the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  is  $(1+t)^{-\frac{9}{4}}$ . Note that the proof of optimal decay rate of solutions is simpler. Therefore, we omit the proof of the optimal decay rate for  $d = 3$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Together with the result in [7, 25], one can see that the  $H^2$  decay estimates of  $(\rho, u)$  is optimal in Theorem 2.5.

### 3 Global regularity and optimal decay estimates with large data

We start the proof of main results by the following lemmas.

#### 3.1. Interpolation inequality and Hardy type inequality

**Lemma 3.1.** [20] Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $p \in [2, +\infty)$  and  $0 \leq s, s_1 \leq s_2$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Lambda^{s_1} f\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\Lambda^{s_2} f\|_{L^2}^\theta,$$

where  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  and  $\theta$  satisfy

$$s + d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) = s_1(1-\theta) + \theta s_2.$$

Note that we require that  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s$ , when  $p = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** [15] If  $\int_B g \psi_\infty dR = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq C \|\nabla_R g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** [12, 15] If  $\int_B g \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and  $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq C \|\nabla_R g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that for any  $k > 0$ ,

$$|\tau(g)| \leq C \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_R g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

### 3.2. Local existence and uniqueness

The main part of this subsection is the proof of existence and uniqueness of local solutions. For notational simplicity, we will adopt the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} E_\beta^n(t) &= \|\nabla^\beta(\rho^n, u^n)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^\beta g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2, \\ D_\beta^n(t) &= \mu\|\nabla^{\beta+1}u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu')\|\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div}u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^\beta \nabla_R g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\beta \in [0, 2]$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** (Local existence) *Let  $d = 2$ . Let  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0) \in H^2 \times H^2 \times H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$  and satisfy the conditions  $\int_B g_0 \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and  $1 + g_0 > 0$ . For any constant  $M$ , there exists a constant  $\epsilon(M)$  such that*

$$(E_1 + E_2)(0) \leq M, \quad (E_0 + E_1)(0) \leq \epsilon,$$

where  $\epsilon$  small enough.

Then, the system (1.2) has a unique local solution

$$(\rho, u, g) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2) \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2) \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)),$$

with  $\int_B g \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and  $1 + g > 0$ .

Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_0(t) dt \leq C \mathcal{E}_0(0),$$

with  $C > 0$ .

#### Proof. Step 1: Constructing approximate solutions

Starting from  $(\rho^0(t, x), u^0(t, x), g^0(t, x, R)) = (0, 0, 0)$ , we define a sequence  $(\rho^n, u^n, g^n)$  of smooth solutions by solving the following equation:

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho^{n+1} + \operatorname{div}u^{n+1} = -\rho^n \operatorname{div}u^{n+1} - u^n \cdot \nabla \rho^{n+1} \triangleq F^{n+1}, \\ \partial_t u^{n+1} + \nabla \rho^{n+1} - \operatorname{div}\Sigma(u^{n+1}) - \operatorname{div}\tau^{n+1} = -u^n \cdot \nabla u^{n+1} - h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div}\Sigma(u^{n+1}) \\ \quad + h(\rho^n) \nabla \rho^{n+1} - h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div}\tau^{n+1} \triangleq H^{n+1}, \\ \partial_t g^{n+1} + \mathcal{L}g^{n+1} + \operatorname{div}u^{n+1} - \nabla u^{n+1} R \nabla_R \mathcal{U} = -u^n \cdot \nabla g^{n+1} \\ \quad - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_R \cdot (\sigma(u^n) R g^{n+1} \psi_\infty) \triangleq G^{n+1}, \\ (\rho^{n+1}, u^{n+1}, g^{n+1})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), g_0(x, R)). \end{array} \right.$$

#### Step 2: $(\rho^n, u^n, g^n)$ uniformly bounded in $H^2 \times H^2 \times H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$

For any constant  $M > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\epsilon(M) > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that for any  $n \in N$ , we have

$$(E_1^n + E_2^n)(0) \leq 2M, \quad (E_0^n + E_1^n)(0) \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Assume further that

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E_1^n + E_2^n)(t) + \int_0^T (D_1^n + D_2^n)(t) dt \leq 2M,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E_0^n + E_1^n)(t) + \int_0^T (D_0^n + D_1^n)(t) dt \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Then, we will prove the following estimates

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E_0^{n+1} + E_1^{n+1})(t) + \int_0^T (D_0^{n+1} + D_1^{n+1})(t) dt \leq 2\epsilon,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E_1^{n+1} + E_2^{n+1})(t) + \int_0^T (D_1^{n+1} + D_2^{n+1})(t) dt \leq 2M.$$

To begin with, for any  $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , by using the fact that  $\int_B g^{n+1} \psi_\infty dR = \int_B g_0 \psi_\infty dR = 0$  and integrating by parts, we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad (\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta \rho^{n+1}) + (\nabla^\beta \nabla \rho^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta u^{n+1}) = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad (\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta u^{n+1}) + \langle \nabla^{\beta+1} u^{n+1} R \nabla_R \mathcal{U}, \nabla^\beta g^{n+1} \rangle \\ = (\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta u^{n+1}) + (\nabla^{\beta+1} u^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta \tau^{n+1}) = 0,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \langle \nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \nabla^\beta g^{n+1} \rangle = (-1)^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^{2\beta} \operatorname{div} u^{n+1} \int_B g^{n+1} \psi_\infty dR dx = 0.$$

We now give the following estimates.

(i) **The energy estimate of  $E_0^{n+1}(t)$**

Multiplying (3.1)<sub>1</sub>, (3.1)<sub>2</sub>, (3.1)<sub>3</sub> by  $\rho^{n+1}$ ,  $u^{n+1}$  and  $g^{n+1}$ , respectively, and integrating the resulting equations. Then, using (3.6)-(3.8), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_0^{n+1}(t) + D_0^{n+1}(t) = (F^{n+1}, \rho^{n+1}) + (H^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) + \langle G^{n+1}, g^{n+1} \rangle,$$

where

$$(F^{n+1}, \rho^{n+1}) = -(u^n \cdot \nabla \rho^{n+1}, \rho^{n+1}) - (\rho^n \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \rho^{n+1}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^2 I_i, \\ (H^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) = -(u^n \cdot \nabla u^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) + (h(\rho^n) \nabla \rho^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) + (h(\rho^n) \Sigma(u^{n+1}), \nabla u^{n+1}) \\ + (\nabla(h(\rho^n)) \Sigma(u^{n+1}), u^{n+1}) - (h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) \triangleq \sum_{i=3}^7 I_i, \\ \langle G^{n+1}, g^{n+1} \rangle = -\langle u^n \cdot \nabla g^{n+1}, g^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \sigma(u^n) R g^{n+1}, \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle \triangleq \sum_{i=8}^9 I_i.$$

Using Lemmas 3.1-3.3, one has

$$(3.9) \quad \|f^n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\operatorname{div} \tau^n\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla \nabla_R g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}, \quad \|f^n\|_{L^4} \leq C \|f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using (3.9), there holds

$$|I_1| \leq C \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \|\rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C (E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_0^{n+1} E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(I_2 + I_3) + I_4 + I_5 \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( (E_0^{n+1} D_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E_0^{n+1} E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + D_0^{n+1} \right).$$

It follows from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_6| &\leq C \|\nabla \rho^n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^{n+1}\|_{L^4} \|u^{n+1}\|_{L^4} \leq C(E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (E_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\ |I_7| &\leq C \|\rho^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \|u^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_0^{n+1} D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The terms of  $I_8, I_9$  can be estimated as

$$|I_8| \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_0^{n+1} D_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |I_9| \leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_0^{n+1} E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Gathering the estimates of  $I_1 - I_9$  and using the conditions of (3.2), (3.3), we arrive at the following estimate

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_0^{n+1} + \left(1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\right) D_0^{n+1} \leq C(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) E_0^{n+1} + C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} E_1^{n+1} + C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) D_1^{n+1}.$$

(ii) **The energy estimate of  $E_1^{n+1}(t)$**

Applying  $\nabla$  to (3.1) and using (3.6)-(3.8), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1^{n+1}(t) + D_1^{n+1}(t) = (\nabla F^{n+1}, \nabla \rho^{n+1}) + (H^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1}) + (\nabla G^{n+1}, \nabla g^{n+1}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla F^{n+1}, \nabla \rho^{n+1}) &\triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 I'_i \\ &= -(\nabla u^n \cdot \nabla \rho^{n+1}, \nabla \rho^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} u^n, |\nabla \rho^{n+1}|^2) \\ &\quad - (\rho^n \nabla \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \nabla \rho^{n+1}) - (\nabla \rho^n \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \nabla \rho^{n+1}), \\ \langle \nabla G^{n+1}, \nabla g^{n+1} \rangle &\triangleq \sum_{i=5}^8 I'_i \\ &= -\langle \nabla u^n \cdot \nabla g^{n+1}, \nabla g^{n+1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} u^n, |\nabla g^{n+1}|^2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \sigma(u^n) R \nabla g^{n+1}, \nabla \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla \sigma(u^n) R g^{n+1}, \nabla \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$(3.11) \quad \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla^2 f^n\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\nabla f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^3 f^n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Using (3.11), we derive that

$$|I'_1| + |I'_2| \leq C \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} E_1^{n+1}.$$

It follows from (3.11) that

$$|I'_3| \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_1^{n+1} E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$|I'_4| \leq C \|\nabla \rho^n\|_{L^4} \|\nabla u^{n+1}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_0^{n+1} D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to Lemma 3.2 and (3.11), we derive that

$$|I'_5| + |I'_6| + |I'_7| \leq C \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla g^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_1^{n+1} D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using (3.11) and Lemma 3.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |I'_8| &\leq C \|\nabla^2 u^n\|_{L^4} \|g^{n+1}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \\ &\leq C(E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} (D_2^n)^{\frac{3}{8}} (E_0^{n+1} E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left( D_2^n (E_0^{n+1} + E_1^{n+1}) + D_1^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we give the estimate of  $(H^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1})$ . Integrating by parts and using Lemmas 3.1-3.3, one arrives at

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (H^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1}) &\leq C \|H^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (D_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with the estimates of  $I'_1 - I'_8$  and (3.2), (3.3), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1^{n+1} + \left( 1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}) \right) D_1^{n+1} \\ \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} D_2^n E_0^{n+1} + C \left( \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + (\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}) D_2^n \right) E_1^{n+1} + C \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} D_0^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$A_0^n(t) \triangleq (E_0^n + E_1^n)(t) + \int_0^t (D_0^n + D_1^n)(t) dt'.$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (E_0^{n+1} + E_1^{n+1}) + \left( 1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}) \right) \int_0^t (D_0^{n+1} + D_1^{n+1}) dt' \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (E_0^{n+1} + E_1^{n+1})(0) + C \left( T(1 + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}) + (\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}) \right) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} A_0^{n+1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $T \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{32C}$ , for any  $t \in [0, T]$ , one can get

$$(3.14) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} A_0^{n+1}(t) \leq \epsilon.$$

(iii) **The energy estimate of  $E_2^{n+1}(t)$**

Applying  $\nabla^2$  to (3.1) and multiplying the resulting equation by  $(\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1}, \nabla^2 g^{n+1})$  and applying (3.6)-(3.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_2^{n+1}(t) + D_2^{n+1}(t) = (\nabla^2 F^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) + (\nabla^2 H^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1}) + \langle \nabla^2 G^{n+1}, \nabla^2 g^{n+1} \rangle.$$

Integrating by parts, we get the following equalities

$$(\nabla^2 F^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) = -(\rho^n \nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) - 2(\nabla \operatorname{div} u^{n+1} \nabla \rho^n, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - (\nabla^2 u^n \cdot \nabla \rho^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) - (\operatorname{div} u^{n+1} \nabla^2 \rho^n, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) \\
 & - 2(\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1} \nabla u^n, \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u^n, |\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}|^2) \\
 & \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i'', \\
 (\nabla^2 H^{n+1}, \nabla^2 u^{n+1}) & = (u^n \cdot \nabla^2 u^{n+1} + h(\rho^n) \nabla^2 \rho^{n+1} + h(\rho^n) \nabla \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u^{n+1}) \\
 & + h(\rho^n) \nabla \operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}, \nabla^3 u^{n+1}) \\
 & + (\nabla u^n \cdot \nabla u^{n+1} - \nabla h(\rho^n) \nabla \rho^{n+1} + \nabla h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u^{n+1}) \\
 & + \nabla h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div} \tau^{n+1}, \nabla^3 u^{n+1}) \\
 & \triangleq I_7'' + I_8'', \\
 \langle \nabla^2 G^{n+1}, \nabla^2 g^{n+1} \rangle & = - \langle \nabla^2 u^n \cdot \nabla g^{n+1}, \nabla^2 g^{n+1} \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla \sigma(u^n) R \nabla g^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & - 2 \langle \nabla u^n \cdot \nabla^2 g^{n+1}, \nabla^2 g^{n+1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} u^n, |\nabla^2 g^{n+1}|^2 \rangle \\
 & - \langle \sigma(u^n) R \nabla^2 g^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla^2 \sigma(u^n) R g^{n+1}, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & \triangleq \sum_{i=9}^{14} I_i''
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1''| & \leq C \|\nabla^3 u^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \|\rho^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
 |I_2''| & \leq C \|\nabla^2 u^{n+1}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \rho^n\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{3}{4}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|I_3''| \leq C(E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} (D_2^n)^{\frac{3}{8}} (E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

According to (3.9) and (3.14) with  $(E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_4''| & \leq C \|\operatorname{div} u^{n+1}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 \rho^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \rho^n\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C(E_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^{n+1} E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^n + E_2^{n+1} + D_2^{n+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, one can derive that

$$|I_5''| + |I_6''| \leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} E_2^{n+1},$$

and

$$|I_7''| \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (D_1^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

The estimates of  $\sum_{i=8}^{10} I_i''$ ,  $(\sum_{i=11}^{13} I_i'')$  can be handled as  $I_7''$ ,  $(I_2'')$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_8''| & \leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_1^{n+1} E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} + (D_1^{n+1} D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} \right), \\
 |I_9''| + |I_{10}''| & \leq C(E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} (D_2^n)^{\frac{3}{8}} (E_1^{n+1} E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=11}^{13} |I_i''| \leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E_2^{n+1} D_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, we obtain

$$|I_{14}''| \leq C \|g^{n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla^3 u^n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \nabla_R g^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(E_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (D_2^{n+1} D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (E_2^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Combining the estimates of  $I_1'' - I_{14}''$  and (3.2),(3.3), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_2^{n+1} + \left(1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}})\right) D_2^{n+1} \leq C \left( \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} + (\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}) D_2^n \right) E_2^{n+1} \\ + C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} D_2^n) E_1^{n+1} + C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} E_2^n + C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1^{n+1}.$$

Denote

$$B^{n+1}(t) = (E_1^{n+1} + E_2^{n+1})(t) + \int_0^t (D_1^{n+1} + D_2^{n+1})(t') dt'.$$

According to (3.13)-(3.15) and using the conditions of (3.2),(3.3) yields

$$(3.16) \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} - C[T(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}) + (\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}})] \right) B^{n+1}(t) \leq \frac{M}{2} + CT\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

with  $T \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{32C}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} B^{n+1}(t) \leq M.$$

Furthermore, combining (3.14) and (3.17), we arrive at (3.4),(3.5).

**Step 3:**  $(\rho^n, u^n, g^n) \rightarrow (\rho, u, g)$  in  $L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Denote

$$\bar{\rho}^{n+1} = \rho^{n+1} - \rho^n, \quad \bar{u}^{n+1} = u^{n+1} - u^n, \quad \bar{g}^{n+1} = g^{n+1} - g^n.$$

Then  $(\bar{\rho}^{n+1}, \bar{u}^{n+1}, \bar{g}^{n+1})$  satisfy the following equations

$$(3.18) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\rho}_t^{n+1} + \operatorname{div} \bar{u}^{n+1} = -\bar{\rho}^n \operatorname{div} u^n - u^n \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}^{n+1} - \rho^n \operatorname{div} \bar{u}^{n+1} - \bar{u}^n \cdot \nabla \rho^n \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 l_i, \\ \bar{u}_t^{n+1} + \nabla \bar{\rho}^{n+1} - \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}^{n+1}) - \operatorname{div} \bar{\tau}^{n+1} = -(h(\rho^n) - h(\rho^{n-1})) \operatorname{div} \tau^n - (h(\rho^n) \\ - h(\rho^{n-1})) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u^n) + (h(\rho^n) - h(\rho^{n-1})) \nabla \rho^n - u^n \cdot \nabla \bar{u}^{n+1} \\ - \bar{u}^n \cdot \nabla u^n - h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}^{n+1}) + h(\rho^n) \nabla \bar{\rho}^{n+1} - h(\rho^n) \operatorname{div} \bar{\tau}^{n+1} \triangleq \sum_{i=5}^{12} l_i, \\ \bar{g}_t^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} \bar{g}^{n+1} + \operatorname{div} \bar{u}^{n+1} - \nabla \bar{u}^{n+1} R \nabla_R \mathcal{U} = -u^n \cdot \nabla \bar{g}^{n+1} \\ - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_R \cdot (\sigma(u^n) R \bar{g}^{n+1} \psi_\infty) - \bar{u}^n \cdot \nabla g^n - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_R \cdot (\sigma(\bar{u}^n) R g^n \psi_\infty) \triangleq \sum_{i=13}^{16} l_i. \end{array} \right.$$

Multiplying (3.18)<sub>1</sub>,(3.18)<sub>2</sub>,(3.18)<sub>3</sub> by  $\bar{\rho}^{n+1}, \bar{u}^{n+1}, \bar{g}^{n+1}$  and using (3.6)-(3.8) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{E}_0^{n+1} + \bar{D}_0^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle l_i, \bar{\rho}^{n+1} \rangle + \sum_{i=5}^{12} \langle l_i, \bar{u}^{n+1} \rangle + \sum_{i=13}^{16} \langle l_i, \bar{g}^{n+1} \rangle \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{16} L_i.$$

Using (3.11) and integrating by parts with  $L_2 = (\operatorname{div} u^n, |\bar{\rho}^{n+1}|^2)$ , we have

$$|L_1| + |L_2| \leq C \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\bar{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} (\|\bar{\rho}^n\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2})$$

$$\leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (\bar{E}_0^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\bar{E}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

For  $L_3$ , we get

$$|L_3| \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using (3.9), one can obtain

$$|L_4| \leq C \|\nabla \rho^n\|_{L^4} \|\bar{u}^n\|_{L^4} \|\bar{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^n \bar{D}_0^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The terms of  $\sum_{i=5}^9 L_i$  can be estimated as follows.

$$\sum_{i=5}^7 |L_i| \leq C(\bar{E}_0^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (E_1^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} (D_2^n)^{\frac{3}{8}} + (E_1^n E_2^n D_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{8}} \right),$$

$$|L_8| + |L_9| \leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^n \bar{E}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Performing an integration by parts and making use of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |L_{10}| &\leq |(\nabla h(\rho^n) \Sigma(\bar{u}^{n+1}), \bar{u}^{n+1})| + |(h(\rho^n) \Sigma(\bar{u}^{n+1}), \nabla \bar{u}^{n+1})| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \bar{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \left( \|\nabla \rho^n\|_{L^4} \|\bar{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^4} + \|\rho^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \bar{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq C(\bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{4}} + (E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{11}| &\leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{E}_0^{n+1} + C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |L_{12}| &\leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{D}_0^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\sum_{i=13}^{16} L_i$ , we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{13}| + |L_{14}| &\leq C(E_1^n D_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{E}_0^{n+1} \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_2^n \bar{E}_0^{n+1} + \bar{E}_0^{n+1} + \bar{D}_0^{n+1}), \\ |L_{15}| &\leq C(E_1^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\bar{E}_0^n \bar{D}_0^n)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |L_{16}| \leq C(E_0^n E_2^n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\bar{D}_0^n \bar{D}_0^{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by adding up the estimates for  $L_1 - L_{16}$  and using again (3.2), (3.3), we conclude that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{E}_0^{n+1}(t) + \bar{D}_0^{n+1}(t) &\leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} \bar{E}_0^{n+1}(t) + C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} \bar{D}_0^{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad + C\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} (D_1^n + D_2^n)\right) \bar{E}_0^n(t) + C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{D}_0^n(t). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we give the following notation

$$\bar{A}^n(t) \triangleq \bar{E}_0^n(t) + \int_0^t \bar{D}_0^n(t') dt'.$$

It follows from (3.20) that

$$\sup_{t' \in [0, T]} \bar{A}^{n+1}(t) \leq C(T + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \bar{A}^n(t),$$

where we used the fact that  $\bar{E}_0^{n+1}(0) = 0$ .

Choosing  $\epsilon, T$  sufficiently small and making use of the compactness argument, we conclude that the system (1.2) exists a local solution with  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{E}_0^{n+1}(t) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_0^{n+1}(t) dt \leq 2M$ .

**Step 4: Uniqueness**

Assume  $(\rho_1, u_1, g_1)$  and  $(\rho_2, u_2, g_2)$  are two solutions of (1.2) with the same initial data. Then  $\bar{\rho} = \rho_1 - \rho_2, \bar{u} = u_1 - u_2, \bar{g} = g_1 - g_2$  satisfy the following equations

$$(3.21) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\rho}_t + \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}) = -u_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho} - \operatorname{div} u_1 \bar{\rho} - \operatorname{div} \bar{u} \rho_2 - \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \rho_2 \triangleq \bar{F}, \\ \bar{u}_t + \nabla \bar{\rho} - \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}) - \operatorname{div} \bar{\tau} = -h(\rho_1) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}) + h(\rho_1) \nabla \bar{\rho} \\ \quad - h(\rho_1) \operatorname{div} \bar{\tau} - (h(\rho_1) - h(\rho_2)) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\bar{u}_2) + (h(\rho_1) - h(\rho_2)) \nabla \rho_2 \\ \quad - (h(\rho_1) - h(\rho_2)) \operatorname{div} \tau_2 + u_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{u} - \bar{u} \cdot \nabla u_2 \triangleq \bar{H}, \\ \bar{g}_t + \mathcal{L} \bar{g} + \operatorname{div} \bar{u} - \nabla \bar{u} R \nabla_R \mathcal{U} = -u_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{g} - \bar{u} \cdot \nabla g_2 - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_R \cdot (\sigma(u_1) R \bar{g} \psi_\infty) \\ \quad - \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_R \cdot (\sigma(\bar{u}) R g_2 \psi_\infty) \triangleq \bar{G}. \end{array} \right.$$

Taking  $L^2$  inner product of (3.21), we deduce that the following estimates:

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\operatorname{div} \bar{u}, \bar{\rho}) \leq C \left( \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho_2\|_{L^\infty} \right) \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^4} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^4},$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\nabla \bar{\rho}, \bar{u}) + \mu \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\tau}\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^4} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^4} + \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ & \quad + C \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^4} \left( \|\nabla^2 u_2\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla \rho_2\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla \tau_2\|_{L^4} \right) + C \left( \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \right) \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the third equation of (3.21), we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_R \bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 & \leq C \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla_R \bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla_R \bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \left( \|\bar{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla g_2\|_{L^4} + \|g_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_0(t) & = \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2, \\ \bar{D}_0(t) & = \mu \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_R \bar{g}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.22)-(3.24), one has

$$(3.25) \quad \bar{E}_0(t) + \int_0^t \bar{D}_0(t) dt \leq C \bar{E}_0(0) e^{\int_0^t C_1(t) dt},$$

where  $C_1(t) = C \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \rho_i\|_{L^4}) + \|\nabla \tau_2\|_{L^4} \right)$ .

According to **Step 1-Step 3**, we infer that (1.2) exists a solution  $(\rho, u, g) \in H^2 \times H^2 \times H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ . Then, using interpolation method and the fact that  $\bar{E}_0(0) = 0$ , we arrive at  $(\rho_1, u_1, g_1) = (\rho_2, u_2, g_2)$ .

Therefore, according to **Step 1-Step 4**, we infer that the system (1.2) has a unique local solution. The proof of Proposition 3.4 is completed.  $\square$

### 3.3. Global regularity

In this subsection, we give the proof of global solutions for (1.2) with large data by the dissipative term structure and the interpolation method. Note that the dissipative of  $(\rho, u, g)$  plays a key role and cannot be removed. Before giving the proof of the global solutions, we firstly give the global prior estimate of each derivative (see Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.8). Then, using the bootstrap argument, we can obtain that the solutions exists global in time.

Let the initial value satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $(\rho, u, g)$  is a local solution to the system (1.2). In addition, assume further that  $(\rho, u, g)$  satisfy the following conditions

$$(3.26) \quad E_1(t) + E_2(t) \leq 2M, \quad \text{and} \quad E_0(t) + E_1(t) \leq 2\epsilon(M).$$

For any  $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , from  $\int_B g\psi_\infty dR = 0$ , one can obtain the following facts

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} u, \nabla^\beta \rho) + (\nabla^{\beta+1} \rho, \nabla^\beta u) = -(\nabla^\beta u, \nabla^{\beta+1} \rho) + (\nabla^{\beta+1} \rho, \nabla^\beta u) = 0, \\ & \langle \nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} u, \nabla^\beta g \rangle = (-1)^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^{2\beta} \operatorname{div} u \int_B g\psi_\infty dR dx = 0, \\ & \langle \nabla^{\beta+1} u R \nabla_R u, \nabla^\beta g \rangle + (\nabla^\beta u, \nabla^\beta \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\nabla^{\beta+1} u, \nabla^\beta \tau) - (\nabla^{\beta+1} u, \nabla^\beta \tau) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we present the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(\rho, u, g)$  be a local solution for (1.2) with the initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  under the conditions of Theorem 2.2 and satisfy (3.26). Then, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_0(t) + D_0(t) \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})(D_1(t) + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u, \nabla \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})(D_0 + D_1)(t) + C(D_1(t) + \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2).$$

*Proof.* Taking the  $L^2$  inner product of (1.2) with  $(\rho, u, g)$ , using (3.27), we infer that

$$(3.28) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_0(t) + D_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^8 J_i,$$

with

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^8 J_i \triangleq & (\rho u, \nabla \rho) + (h(\rho) \nabla \rho, u) - (h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \tau, u) - (u \cdot \nabla u, u) - \langle u \cdot \nabla g, g \rangle \\ & + (\nabla(h(\rho)) \Sigma(u), u) + (h(\rho) \Sigma(u), \nabla u) + \langle \sigma(u) R g, \nabla R g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(3.30) \quad \|f\|_{L^4} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla f\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to (3.30) and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \leq C \|u\|_{L^4} \|\rho\|_{L^4} (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2}) + C \|u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq C E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + D_0^{\frac{3}{4}} \right),$$

and

$$J_5 \leq C\|u\|_{L^4}\|g\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)}\|\nabla\nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq CE_0^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For  $\sum_{i=6}^8 J_i$ , by (3.30) and Lemma 3.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} J_6 + J_7 &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\left(\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\right) \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}E_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}D_0^{\frac{1}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{4}} + C\|\rho\|_{L^2}D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ J_8 &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|g\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)}\|\nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq CE_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}D_0^{\frac{3}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates of  $J_1 - J_8$  and the condition of (3.26), we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_0 + \left(1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)D_0 \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})(D_1 + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Note that  $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2$  appears on the right of the energy estimate in  $E_0$ , and there is no  $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2$  in  $D_0$  to absorb it. In order to handle this difficulty, we multiply  $\nabla\rho$  to the second equation of (1.2) to generate a dissipative term on the density  $\rho$ . Then, we deduce that

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(u, \nabla\rho) + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\operatorname{div}u\|_{L^2}^2 + (u, \nabla F) + (H, \nabla\rho) + (\operatorname{div}\tau, \nabla\rho) + (\operatorname{div}\Sigma(u), \nabla\rho).$$

Integrating by parts and using (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \nabla F) &= (\operatorname{div}u, u \cdot \nabla\rho) + (|\operatorname{div}u|^2, \rho) \\ &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq CE_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}D_0^{\frac{1}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} + CE_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (H, \nabla\rho) + (\operatorname{div}\tau, \nabla\rho) \\ \leq C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}\left(E_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}D_0^{\frac{1}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{4}} + E_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_2^{\frac{1}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + E_0^{\frac{1}{4}}E_2^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}\right) + CD_1 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two estimates and (3.26), we derive that

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(u, \nabla\rho) + \left(\frac{3}{4} - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})(D_0 + D_1) + CD_1 + C\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Then, the proof of Lemma 3.5 is finished.  $\square$

In order to make  $E_0(t) + E_1(t) \leq 2\epsilon$  hold at global time, the estimate of  $E_1$  cannot involve  $E_2$  and  $D_2$ . For example, using the interpolation method and the condition of (3.26), we have

$$(\nabla u \cdot \nabla\rho, \nabla\rho) \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}D_1^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

To make  $E_1(t) + E_2(t) \leq 2M$  hold at global time, then the estimate of  $E_1(t)$  cannot involve  $E_0(t)$  and  $D_0(t)$ . Therefore, we give two different estimates of  $E_1(t)$  in following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(\rho, u, g)$  be a local solution for (1.2) and satisfy (3.26). Assume that the initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Then, we have*

$$(3.33) \quad \frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) + D_1(t) \leq C\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2.$$

and

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) + D_1(t) \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2.$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\nabla$  to (1.2), taking  $L^2$  inner product and applying (3.27), we obtain

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) + D_1(t) = \langle \nabla F, \nabla \rho \rangle + \langle \nabla H, \nabla u \rangle + \langle \nabla G, \nabla g \rangle.$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla F, \nabla \rho \rangle &= -(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u, |\nabla \rho|^2) - (\operatorname{div} u \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) - (\rho \nabla \operatorname{div} u, \nabla \rho) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 J'_i, \\ \langle \nabla H, \nabla u \rangle &= (u \cdot \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) + (h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^2 u) - (h(\rho) \nabla \rho, \nabla^2 u) + (h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^2 u) \triangleq \sum_{i=5}^8 J'_i, \\ \langle \nabla G, \nabla g \rangle &= \langle \nabla \sigma(u) R g, \nabla \nabla R g \rangle + \langle \sigma(u) R \nabla g, \nabla \nabla R g \rangle - \langle \nabla u \cdot \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} u, |\nabla g|^2 \rangle \triangleq \sum_{i=9}^{12} J'_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the above terms. Using Lemma 3.1, one has

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla f\|_{L^4} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}, \\ \|\nabla f\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|f\|_{L^4} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.30), we infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 J'_i \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

where we use  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C D_1$ .

It follows from (3.36) and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} J'_4 &\leq C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}, \\ J'_5 &\leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \leq C E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} D_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=6}^7 J'_i \leq C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right), \quad J'_8 + J'_9 \leq C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1.$$

Using (3.30) and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\sum_{i=10}^{12} J'_i \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla g\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)}\|\nabla \nabla R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_1,$$

where we use  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

Combining estimates of  $J'_1 - J'_{12}$  and (3.26), we conclude that

$$(3.37) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) + \left(1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\right) D_1(t) \leq C\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2.$$

On the other hand, using (3.36), we estimate  $J'_1 - J'_3$ ,  $J'_4$  and  $J'_7$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 J'_i &\leq C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} D_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ J'_4 + J'_7 &\leq C\|\rho\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(3.38) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) + \left(1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})\right) D_1(t) \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which will be useful to prove a different closed energy estimate by combining the estimate for  $E_2(t) + \eta(\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho)$ . Then, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

Making use of the dissipative structure of  $(\rho, u, g)$  and combining the energy of  $E_0(t)$ ,  $E_1(t)$  and  $(u, \nabla \rho)$ , we get the first closed energy estimate as follows.

**Remark 3.7.** *Combining Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we have*

$$E_0(t) + E_1(t) + 2\eta(u, \nabla \rho) + \int_0^t \left(D_0 + D_1 + 2\eta\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^2\right)(t') dt' \leq E_0(0) + E_1(0).$$

To obtain the optimal decay rate in  $H^2$ , we give a more precise higher order derivatives estimate in the proof of global existence for strong solutions to (1.2). The following lemma is the energy estimate of  $E_2(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(\rho, u, g)$  be a local solution for (1.2) with the initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  under the conditions in Theorem 2.2 and satisfy (3.26). Then, we have*

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) + D_2(t) \leq C\left((E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + E_1^{\frac{3}{8}} E_2^{\frac{1}{8}} + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + D_1\right),$$

and

$$(3.40) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})(D_1 + D_2) + C\left(D_2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^1}^2\right).$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\nabla^2$  to (1.2), taking  $L^2$  inner product and using (3.27), we obtain the following estimate

$$(3.41) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) + D_2(t) = (\nabla^2 F, \nabla^2 \rho) + (\nabla^2 H, \nabla^2 u) + \langle \nabla^2 G, \nabla^2 g \rangle,$$

where

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 F, \nabla^2 \rho) &= -2(\nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 \rho, \nabla^2 \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u, |\nabla^2 \rho|^2) - (\rho \nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u, \nabla^2 \rho) \\ &\quad - 2(\nabla \rho \nabla \operatorname{div} u, \nabla^2 \rho) - (\nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla \rho, \nabla^2 \rho) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^5 J''_i. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we get  $(\nabla^2 H, \nabla^2 u) = -(\nabla H, \nabla^3 u)$ .

Hence, we have

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 H, \nabla^2 u) &= (h(\rho)\nabla \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^3 u) - (h(\rho)\nabla^2 \rho, \nabla^3 u) + (h(\rho)\nabla \operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^3 u) \\ &\quad + (u \cdot \nabla^2 u, \nabla^3 u) + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u, \nabla^3 u) - (\nabla(h(\rho))\nabla \rho, \nabla^3 u) \\ &\quad + (\nabla(h(\rho))\operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^3 u) + (\nabla(h(\rho))\operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^3 u) \triangleq \sum_{i=6}^{13} J_i'', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 G, \nabla^2 g \rangle &= -\langle \nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla g, \nabla^2 g \rangle + 2\langle \nabla \sigma(u) R \nabla g, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g \rangle + \langle \nabla^2 \sigma(u) R g, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\langle \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 g, \nabla^2 g \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \operatorname{div} u, |\nabla^2 g|^2 \rangle + \langle \sigma(u) R \nabla^2 g, \nabla^2 \nabla_R g \rangle \triangleq \sum_{i=14}^{19} J_i''. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the above terms. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$(3.45) \quad \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^3 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

According to (3.36), (3.45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1'' + J_2'' + J_3'' &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C D_2^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

Using (3.36), (3.45) again, we have

$$J_4'' + J_5'' \leq C\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C E_1^{\frac{3}{8}} E_2^{\frac{1}{8}} D_2^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{4}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=6}^9 J_i'' &\leq C\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \left[ \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \left( \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \right) + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \right] \\ &\leq C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \right) + C(E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} (D_1 D_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C E_1^{\frac{3}{8}} E_2^{\frac{1}{8}}$ .

By Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, one has

$$(3.46) \quad \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This together with (3.36), (3.45) and (3.46) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=10}^{13} J_i'' &\leq C\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4}\|\nabla \tau\|_{L^4} \right) \\ &\leq C D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}) + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_1 E_2 D_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + E_1^{\frac{3}{8}} E_2^{\frac{1}{8}} D_1^{\frac{1}{8}} D_2^{\frac{1}{8}} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_{14}'' + J_{15}'' \leq \|\nabla g\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2.$$

For  $\sum_{i=16}^{19} J_i''$ , we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=16}^{19} J_i'' &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \left( \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \right) \\ &\leq CD_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates of  $\sum_{i=1}^{19} J_i''$  into (3.41), we get

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) + \left( 1 - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{3}{8}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) D_2(t) \\ \leq C \left( (E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + E_1^{\frac{3}{8}} E_2^{\frac{1}{8}} + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + D_1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (3.33) holds.

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\nabla u, \nabla^2 F) + (\nabla H, \nabla^2 \rho) \\ &\quad + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^2 \rho) + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^2 \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 3.1-3.3 and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^3 u, F) &\leq C \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \left( \|u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\|_{L^4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \right) \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \\ &\leq CE_0^{\frac{1}{2}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( D_1^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + D_1^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla H, \nabla^2 \rho) &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \left[ \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \left( \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \tau\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \right) + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \right] \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \left[ \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4} \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^4} \right) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \right] \\ &\leq C (E_0 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \left( D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \left( (E_1 E_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} D_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$(3.51) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla \operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^2 \rho) + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^2 \rho) \\ \leq \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 \tau\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}) \leq C \left( D_2 + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.49)-(3.51) and (3.26), we arrive at

$$(3.52) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) + \left( \frac{3}{4} - C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) (D_1 + D_2) + C \left( D_2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^1}^2 \right).$$

Then, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

According to the energy  $E_1(t), E_2(t)$  and the dissipative structures of  $(\rho, u, g)$ , we obtain another closed energy estimate, which will be used to prove optimal decay rate of  $\dot{H}^1$  of  $(\rho, u)$ .

**Remark 3.9.** *Combining Lemmas 3.6, 3.8. Then, for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_1(t') dt' \leq C\mathcal{E}_1(0).$$

We now come to the proof of global existence of solutions for (1.2).

**Proof of Theorem 2.2:**

*Proof.* Combining Remarks 3.7, 3.9, we deduce that (3.26) is valid for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}_0(t) dt \leq C\mathcal{E}_0(0).$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is finished. □

The rest of this paper is devoted to proving the decay rate of  $\|\nabla^{s+1}(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}, \|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ , where optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2$  is a new result.

#### 3.4. Optimal decay estimates

In this subsection, we are devoted to studying the decay rate of the derivatives of each order of  $(\rho, u, g)$  in  $L^2$ , which mainly depends on Schonbek's strategy. Firstly, applying the Fourier splitting method and taking Fourier transform, one can get the initial logarithmic decay rate with  $\mathcal{E}_0 \leq C \ln^{-l}(e+t), l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, making use of the time weighted energy estimate and  $\mathcal{E}_0 \leq C \ln^{-l}(e+t)$ , we improve the decay rate to  $\mathcal{E}_0 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . By using the Fourier splitting method and the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory, we obtain the decay rate of  $\|\nabla^s(\rho, u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Since the decay rate of  $\nabla^s g$  is the same as that of  $\nabla^s \nabla u$ , we improve the decay rate of  $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  to  $(1+t)^{-1}$ . Owing to  $\|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta(\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) \neq \|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2$ , we fail to obtain optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}$  by applying the same method. To obtain the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ , we need to use the improved Fourier splitting method and the time weighted energy estimate. Finally, we obtain the optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$  of  $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ .

For the special dimension two, we fail to get the initial polynomial decay rate by using the bootstrap argument. However, we prove logarithmic decay rate by the method in [10]. The similar proof of the following Lemma 3.10 can be found in [12], we thus omit the details here.

**Lemma 3.10.** *[12] Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5, for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that*

$$(3.53) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C \ln^{-l}(e+t), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \ln^{-l}(e+t).$$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Under the conditions in Theorem 2.5, then there has a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.54) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Moreover, it holds

$$(3.55) \quad \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{D}_1(t') dt' \leq C,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$ .

*Proof.* We define  $S(t) = \{|\xi| : |\xi|^2 < C_2(1+t)^{-1}\}$  with  $C_2$  large enough. Making use of the Schonbek's strategy, we split the phase space into time-dependent domain as follows:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^2}^2 = \int_{S(t)} (1 + |\xi|^4)|\xi|^2|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{S^c(t)} (1 + |\xi|^4)|\xi|^2|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

It follows from the definition of  $S(t)$  and Theorem 2.5 that

$$(3.56) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0(t) + \frac{C_2\mu}{1+t}\|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{\eta C_2}{2(1+t)}\|\rho\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla_R g\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq \frac{CC_2}{1+t} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\rho}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Next, we focus on the estimate of  $(\rho, u)$  over  $S(t)$ . Applying Fourier transform to (1.2), we infer that

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_t + i\xi_k \hat{u}^k = \hat{F}, \\ \hat{u}_t^j + \mu|\xi|^2 \hat{u}^j + (\mu + \mu')\xi_j \xi_k \hat{u}^k + i\xi_j \hat{\rho} - i\xi_k \hat{\tau}^{jk} = \hat{H}^j, \\ \hat{g}_t + \mathcal{L}\hat{g} - i\xi_k \hat{u}^j R_j \partial_{R_k} \mathcal{U} + i\xi_k \hat{u}^k = \hat{G}. \end{cases}$$

According to the above inequalities and (3.57), we obtain the following estimate

$$(3.58) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\hat{\rho}\|^2 + \|\hat{u}\|^2 + \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2) + \mu|\xi|^2|\hat{u}|^2 + (\mu + \mu')|\xi \cdot \hat{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla_R \hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \mathcal{R}e[\hat{F}\bar{\hat{\rho}}] + \mathcal{R}e[\hat{H}\bar{\hat{u}}] + \mathcal{R}e[\hat{G}\bar{\hat{g}}] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use  $\mathcal{R}[i\xi \cdot \hat{u}\bar{\hat{\rho}}] + \mathcal{R}[i\xi \hat{\rho} \cdot \bar{\hat{u}}] = \mathcal{R}_e[i\xi \otimes \bar{\hat{u}} : \hat{\tau}] + \mathcal{R}_e[i\xi \otimes \hat{u} : \bar{\hat{\tau}}] = 0$ .

Therefore, we deduce that

$$(3.59) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{\rho}|^2 + |\hat{u}|^2 + \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi & \leq C \int_{S(t)} |\hat{\rho}_0|^2 + |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi + C \int_0^t \int_{S(t)} |\hat{F}\bar{\hat{\rho}}| + |\hat{H}\bar{\hat{u}}| d\xi ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t \int_{S(t)} \int_B \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 + \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u R g)|^2 dR d\xi dt'. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$(3.60) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{\rho}_0|^2 d\xi & \leq C \sum_{j \leq \log_2[\frac{4}{3}C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2\varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) |\hat{\rho}_0|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C \sum_{j \leq \log_2[\frac{4}{3}C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \rho_0\|_{L^2}^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \|\rho_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.61) \quad \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + |\hat{g}_0|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-1} (\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}}^2 + \|g_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2).$$

Thanks to  $|\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{div}(\rho u))\bar{\hat{\rho}}| \leq C|\xi| |\mathcal{F}(\rho u)\bar{\hat{\rho}}|$ , we have

$$(3.62) \quad \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{div}(\rho u))\bar{\hat{\rho}}| dt' d\xi \leq C \left( \int_{S(t)} |\xi|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t \|\rho\|_{L^2} \|\rho u\|_{L^1} dt' \right)$$

$$\leq C(1+t)^{-1} \int_0^t E_0^{\frac{3}{2}}(t') dt'.$$

According to the above estimate, we infer that

$$(3.63) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{S(t)} |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla u) \bar{u}| d\xi dt' &\leq C \left( \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} dt' \right) \left( \int_{S(t)} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t (E_0 D_0^{\frac{1}{2}})(t') dt', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.64) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u)) \bar{u}| + |\mathcal{F}(h(\rho) \nabla \rho) \bar{u}| + |\mathcal{F}(h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \tau) \bar{u}| dt' d\xi \\ \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t E_0 \left( D_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right) (t') dt'. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.2, one has

$$(3.65) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{S(t)} \int_B \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 dR d\xi dt' &\leq C \left( \int_{S(t)} d\xi \right) \left( \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt' \right) \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-1} \int_0^t E_0 D_1 dt' \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.66) \quad \int_0^t \int_{S(t)} \int_B \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u R g)|^2 dR d\xi dt' \leq C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Inserting (3.60)-(3.66) into (3.59), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \frac{\mu C_2}{2(1+t)} \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{\eta C_2}{2(1+t)} \|\rho\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla R g\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ \leq \frac{CC_2}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{CC_2}{(1+t)^2} \int_0^t E_0^{\frac{3}{2}}(t') dt' + \frac{CC_2}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^t E_0 \left( D_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t') + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right) (t') dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking  $C_2$  large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \right) + \frac{\mu C_2 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{\eta C_2 (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \|\rho\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \|\nabla R g\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \int_0^t E_0^{\frac{3}{2}}(t') dt' \right) + C \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_0(t') \left( D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(t') + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t') + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right) dt', \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(3.67) \quad (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(1+t) \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_0(t') \left( D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(t') + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t') + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right) dt'.$$

Define that

$$X(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} (1+t')^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0(t').$$

From (3.67), we obtain

$$X(t) \leq C + C \int_0^t X(t') (1+t')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( D_0 + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2} \right) dt'.$$

By virtue of Gronwall's inequality and Lemma 3.10 yields  $X(t) \leq C$ , which means that

$$\mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Analogously, from the above inequality and Remark 3.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \frac{C_2}{2(1+t)} \left( \mu \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta \|\nabla \rho\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{H^1(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{CC_2}{1+t} \int_{S(t)} |\xi|^2 \left( |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\rho}(\xi)|^2 \right) d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(1+t)^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t),$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence, we arrive at (3.54).

According to Remark 3.9 and the above estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{E}_1 + \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{D}_1 dt & \leq C \mathcal{E}_1(0) + C \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_1-1} \mathcal{E}_1 dt' \\ & \leq C \mathcal{E}_1(0) + C \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_1-\frac{5}{2}} dt' \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof of Lemma 3.11 is completed.  $\square$

The following energy estimates with negative Besov spaces are crucial in our paper. To simplify the presentation, we give the following notation:

$$Y(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} (\|(\rho, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} + \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)})(t').$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $0 < \alpha, \sigma \leq 1$  and  $\sigma < 2\alpha$ . Assume that  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.5. For any  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , if*

$$(3.68) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha-1},$$

then we have

$$(3.69) \quad (\rho, u) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}), \quad g \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)).$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  to (1.2), we get

$$(3.70) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\Delta}_j \rho_t + \operatorname{div} \dot{\Delta}_j u = \dot{\Delta}_j F, \\ \dot{\Delta}_j u_t - \operatorname{div} \Sigma(\dot{\Delta}_j u) + \nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \rho - \operatorname{div} \dot{\Delta}_j \tau = \dot{\Delta}_j H, \\ \dot{\Delta}_j g_t + \mathcal{L} \dot{\Delta}_j g + \operatorname{div} \dot{\Delta}_j u - \nabla \dot{\Delta}_j u R \nabla_R \mathcal{U} = \dot{\Delta}_j G, \end{cases}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.70) by  $2^{-2j\sigma}$  and taking  $l^\infty$  norm yields

$$(3.71) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} Y^2(t) + \mu \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}}^2 + \|\nabla_R g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \|\rho\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} + \|H\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \right) + C \left( \|\nabla u_R g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \|u \nabla g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemmas 3.1, 3.11, we arrive at the following two inequalities

$$(3.72) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} + \|h(\rho) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \\ & \leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2} \right) \\ & \leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^2}^\sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma} + \|\rho\|_{L^2}^\sigma \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \right) \\ & \leq C \left( (1+t)^{-(1+\alpha-\frac{\sigma}{2})} + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha-\sigma)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.73) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u_R g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \|u \nabla g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}}^2 \right) \leq C(1+t)^{-(2+2\alpha-\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.72), (3.73), we have

$$(3.74) \quad \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\alpha-\frac{\sigma}{2})},$$

$$(3.75) \quad \|H\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma}} \leq C \left( (1+t)^{-(1+\alpha-\frac{\sigma}{2})} + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha-\sigma)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \right).$$

Plugging the estimates of (3.73)-(3.75) into (3.71), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} Y^2(t) & \leq C \left( (1+t)^{-(1+\alpha-\frac{\sigma}{2})} + (1+t)^{-(2+2\alpha-\sigma)} \right) Y(t) \\ & \quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha-\sigma)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} + C(1+t)^{-(2+2\alpha-\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.55), Lemma 3.3 and the above estimate, we have

$$(3.76) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (1+t')^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha-\sigma)} \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} dt' \\ & \leq C \left( \int_0^t (1+t')^{-(1+2\alpha-\sigma)} dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t (1+t')^\alpha \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to  $t'$  over  $[0, t]$  and using (3.76) yields that

$$Y^2(t) \leq CY^2(0) + CY(t) + C,$$

from which one can deduce that  $Y(t) \leq C$ .

Then, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.12.  $\square$

The following lemma enables us obtain optimal decay rates. Moreover, after obtaining optimal decay rate of  $(\rho, u)$  in  $H^1$ , we can use it to obtain optimal decay rate of  $g$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$  and the time weighted integrability result of  $\mathcal{D}_1(t)$  with

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{D}_1(t') dt' \leq C,$$

which plays an important role in proving decay rate for the highest derivative of the solutions to (1.2).

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $(\rho_0, u_0, g_0)$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.5. For any  $s \in [0, 1]$ , there holds*

$$\|\nabla^s \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+s}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla^s g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, for any  $\alpha_2 \in [0, 2)$ , we have

$$(3.77) \quad \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{D}_1(t') dt' \leq C.$$

*Proof.* The combination of Lemmas 3.11, 3.12 with  $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  implies that

$$(3.78) \quad (\rho, u, g) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \times L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \times L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{4}}(\mathcal{L}^2)).$$

Recall that

$$(3.79) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \frac{\mu C_2}{1+t} \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{\eta C_2}{2(1+t)} \|\rho\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_{Rg}\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq \frac{CC_2}{1+t} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\rho}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

It follows from (3.78) that

$$(3.80) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} (|\hat{u}|^2 + |\hat{\rho}|^2) d\xi &\leq C \sum_{j \leq \log_2[\frac{4}{3} C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2\varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) (|\hat{u}|^2 + |\hat{\rho}|^2) d\xi \\ &\leq C \sum_{j \leq \log_2[\frac{4}{3} C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_j \rho\|_{L^2}^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left( \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{4}}}^2 + \|\rho\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{4}}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above estimate into (3.79), one can deduce that

$$(3.81) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_0(t) + \frac{\mu C_2}{1+t} \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{\eta C_2}{1+t} \|\rho\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_{Rg}\|_{H^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}.$$

According to Lemma 3.11, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

On the other hand, by using (3.80), we have the following estimate

$$(3.82) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \frac{C_2}{1+t} \left( \mu \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla \rho\|_{H^1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \nabla_{Rg}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ \leq \frac{CC_2}{1+t} \int_{S(t)} |\xi|^2 (|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\rho}(\xi)|^2) d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-2-\frac{3}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which one can deduce that

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-1}.$$

Lemma 3.12 with  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$  ensures that

$$(\rho, u, g) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}) \times L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}) \times L^\infty(0, \infty; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^2)).$$

Similar to (3.79) and (3.82), we have

$$(3.83) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-2}.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + c \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

from which one can deduce that

$$(3.84) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{ct} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) \leq C e^{ct} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

It follows from (3.83) and (3.84) that

$$(3.85) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 &\leq C e^{-ct} \|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\ &\leq C e^{-ct} \|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')} (1+t')^{-2} dt' \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Remark 3.9 and (3.83), for any  $\alpha_2 \in [0, 2)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{D}_1(t') dt' &\leq C \mathcal{E}_1(0) + C \int_0^t (1+t)^{\alpha_2-1} \mathcal{E}_1(t') dt' \\ &\leq C \mathcal{E}_1(0) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{\alpha_2-3} dt' \leq C \mathcal{E}_1(0) + C, \end{aligned}$$

which plays an important role in proving the highest order decay rate.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 3.13.  $\square$

Now we are devoting to studying the optimal decay rate for the highest derivative of  $(\rho, u)$  in  $L^2$  and the optimal decay rate of  $\nabla g$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ . Since the energy estimate is unclosed, we cannot obtain optimal decay rate for the highest derivative by using the same method in  $H^1$ . To overcome this difficulty, we adopt a new method which flexibly combines the Fourier splitting method and the time weighted energy estimate. Therefore, we obtain optimal decay rate of  $\|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}$ . Finally, using the optimal rate of highest derivative for  $(\rho, u)$  in  $L^2$ , we conclude that the optimal decay rate of  $\nabla g$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** *Under assumptions of Theorem 2.5, then it holds*

$$(3.86) \quad \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* For convenience, we firstly give the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2(t) &= \kappa(1+t) \left( \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) + (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho), \\ \mathcal{D}_2(t) &= \kappa(1+t) \left( \mu \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\kappa > 0$  is sufficiently small.

We firstly deduce that

$$(3.87) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_2(t) &= \kappa \left( \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa(1+t) \frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) + \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (3.41)-(3.44), (3.83) and Proposition 3.13, one can deduce that

$$(3.88) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa(1+t)(J_1'' + J_2'') &\leq C\kappa(1+t) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C\kappa \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C\kappa^4(1+t) \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{100} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.89) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa(1+t)J_3'' &\leq C\kappa(1+t) \|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \leq C\kappa^2(1+t) \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{100} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad \|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\mathcal{E}_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

In order to obtain optimal decay rate of solutions in  $\dot{H}^2$  with large data, we now give another the estimates of  $J_4'', J_5''$ . Using Lemma 3.1 with  $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}$  and Proposition 3.13, we have

$$J_4'' + J_5'' \leq C\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence, using the above estimate yields

$$(3.90) \quad \kappa(1+t)(J_4'' + J_5'') \leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C\kappa^2(1+t) \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\kappa^{\frac{2}{5}} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$(3.91) \quad \kappa(1+t)J_6'' \leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Similar to the estimate of  $\kappa(1+t)J_3''$ , we infer that

$$(3.92) \quad \kappa(1+t)(J_7'' + J_8'') \leq C\kappa^2(1+t) \left( \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{100} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For the estimates of  $\kappa(1+t)(\sum_{i=9}^{12} J_i'')$ , one has

$$(3.93) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa(1+t)(J_9'' + J_{10}'' + J_{11}'') &\leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq \left( C\kappa + \frac{1}{100} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.94) \quad \kappa(1+t)J_{12}'' \leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Making use of (3.83), we get

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consequently, using Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.95) \quad \kappa(1+t)J''_{13} &\leq C\kappa(1+t)\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\kappa\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\kappa\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{100}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{100}\mathcal{D}_2(t) + C(1+t)^{-4}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality

$$\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-4}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

According to (3.83), we deduce that

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C\mathcal{E}_0^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

from which one can have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.96) \quad \kappa(1+t)(J''_{14} + J''_{15}) &\leq C\kappa\left(\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\left(\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.97) \quad \kappa(1+t)J''_{16} \leq C\kappa(1+t)^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\left(\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\right).$$

For the estimates of  $\kappa(1+t)(\sum_{i=17}^{19} J''_i)$ , one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.98) \quad \kappa(1+t)\left(\sum_{i=17}^{19} J''_i\right) &\leq C\kappa\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{100}\left(\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.88)-(3.98), we obtain

$$\kappa(1+t)\frac{d}{dt}E_2(t) + \kappa(1+t)D_2 \leq \left(C\kappa + C\kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{4}\right)\mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-4}\|\nabla\nabla_Rg\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

According to (3.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.99) \quad (\nabla^3u, F) &\leq CE_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \\
 &\leq C\kappa^2(1+t)\|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C\kappa}{4}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\kappa\mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.50) and (3.51), for  $t$  large enough, we deduce that

$$(3.100) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \left( \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \right) &\leq C\kappa^2(1+t) \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C\kappa \mathcal{D}_2 + C\kappa \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.101) \quad \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} (\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 \tau\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}) \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C\kappa \mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$(3.102) \quad (\nabla \operatorname{div} \tau, \nabla^2 \rho) + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \Sigma(u), \nabla^2 \rho) \leq \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2} \left( \|\nabla^2 \tau\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C\kappa \mathcal{D}_2.$$

According to (3.99)-(3.102), we have

$$(3.103) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\kappa \mathcal{D}_2 + C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Making use of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.11, we obtain

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa(1+t)}{4} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, we get

$$(3.104) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_2(t) + 2\mathcal{D}_2(t) &\leq \kappa \left( \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left( C\kappa + C\kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{D}_2 + C(1+t)^{-3} + C(1+t)^{-4} \|\nabla \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_2(t) + \mathcal{D}_2(t) &\leq \kappa \left( \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{-3} + C(1+t)^{-4} \|\nabla \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Fourier splitting method and using Proposition 3.13, we have

$$(3.105) \quad \begin{aligned} (1+t)^3 \mathcal{E}_2 + \int_0^t \kappa(1+t')^3 \left( \|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa(1+t') \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) dt' \\ \leq C(1+t) + C \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1} \|\nabla \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt' + C \int_0^t (1+t')^2 (\nabla u, \nabla^2 \rho) dt' \\ \leq C(1+t) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_0^t (1+t')^3 \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 dt' + C \int_0^t (1+t') \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\ \leq C(1+t) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_0^t (1+t')^3 \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^2}^2 dt', \end{aligned}$$

from which one can deduce that

$$(1+t)^3 \mathcal{E}_2(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(1+t') \left( \|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa(1+t')^3 \|\nabla^2 \nabla_{Rg}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right) dt' \leq C(1+t).$$

That is

$$(3.106) \quad \|\nabla^2(\rho, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-3}.$$

Next we give the decay rate of  $\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_R g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 &\leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^4(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{ct}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2\right) \leq Ce^{ct}\left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + E_1 E_2 + E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} E_2^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} E_2^{\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$

It can deduce from (3.106) and Proposition 3.13 that

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq Ce^{-ct}\|\nabla g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')}(1+t')^{-3} dt',$$

from which one can arrive at

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-3}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 3.14.  $\square$

We now come to the proof of optimal decay estimates of solutions for (1.2).

**Proof of Theorem 2.5:**

*Proof.* Combining Propositions 3.13 and 3.14, we finish the proof of Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171493), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2022TQ0077 and No. 2023M730699) and Shanghai Post-doctoral Excellence Program (No. 2022062).

**Data Availability.** The data that support the findings of this study are available on citation. The data that support the findings of this study are also available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- [1] R. B. Bird, R. C. Armstrong, and O. Hassager. *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids*, volume 1. Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [2] R. Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 141(3):579–614, 2000.
- [3] R. Danchin and P. B. Mucha. A lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 65(10), 2012.
- [4] R. Danchin and J. Xu. Optimal time-decay estimates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical  $L^p$  framework. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224:53–90, 2017.
- [5] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards. *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1988.

- 
- [6] Y. Jia and H. Ye. Optimal decay rates of weak solutions for the 2D co-rotation FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 113:106860, 2021.
- [7] H.-L. Li and T. Zhang. Large time behavior of isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 34(6):670–682, 2011.
- [8] F. Lin. On current developments in partial differential equations. *Commun. Math. Res.*, 36(1):1–30, 2020.
- [9] F. Lin, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. On the global existence of smooth solution to the 2-D FENE dumbbell model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 277(2):531–553, 2008.
- [10] W. Luo and Z. Yin. The Liouville Theorem and the  $L^2$  Decay for the FENE Dumbbell Model of Polymeric Flows. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(1):209–231, 2017.
- [11] W. Luo and Z. Yin. The  $L^2$  decay for the 2D co-rotation FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Adv. Math.*, 343:522–537, 2019.
- [12] Z. Luo, W. Luo, and Z. Yin. Global existence and optimal decay rate to the compressible fene dumbbell model. *J. Differential Equations*, 404:130–181, 2024.
- [13] Z. Luo, W. Luo, and Z. Yin. The Optimal  $L^2$  Decay Rate of the Velocity for the General FENE Dumbbell Model. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 26(3):Paper No. 46, 2024.
- [14] Z. Luo, W. Luo, and Z. Yin. Global strong solutions and large time behavior to the compressible co-rotation FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows near equilibrium. arXiv:2104.10844.
- [15] N. Masmoudi. Well-posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(12):1685–1714, 2008.
- [16] N. Masmoudi. Global existence of weak solutions to the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Invent. Math.*, 191(2):427–500, 2013.
- [17] N. Masmoudi. Equations for polymeric materials. *Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids*, 2016.
- [18] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida. The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55:337–342, 1979.
- [19] J. Nash. Le probleme de cauchy pour les equations differentielles d’un fluide general. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.*, 90(4):487–497, 1962.
- [20] L. Nirenberg. On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 13(2):115–162, 1959.
- [21] M. Renardy. An existence theorem for model equations resulting from kinetic theories of polymer solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 22(2):313–327, 1991.
- [22] M. E. Schonbek.  $L^2$  decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 88(3):209–222, 1985.

- [23] M. E. Schonbek. Existence and decay of polymeric flows. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 41(2):564–587, 2009.
- [24] Z. Xin and J. Xu. Optimal decay for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations without additional smallness assumptions. *J. Differential Equations*, 274:543–575, 2021.
- [25] J. Xu. A low-frequency assumption for optimal time-decay estimates to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 371(2):525–560, 2019.