

## ON A PROBLEM OF PONGSRIIAM ON THE SUM OF DIVISORS, II

RUI-JING WANG

ABSTRACT. For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $\sigma(n)$  be the sum of all positive divisors of  $n$ . In this paper, it is proved that the set of positive integers  $n$  for which  $\sigma(30n+1) \geq \sigma(30n)$  has a density less than 0.0371813, which answers a recent problem of Pongsriiam in part.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $\sigma(n)$  and  $\phi(n)$  be the sum of divisors function and the Euler totient function, respectively. In this paper, we always assume that  $x$  denotes a real number,  $a, b, k, l, m, n$  are positive integers and  $p$  is a prime. In 1973, Jarden [7, p.65] observed that  $\phi(30n+1) > \phi(30n)$  for all  $n \leq 10^5$ . Though the inequality was calculated to be true up to  $10^9$ , Newman [11] proved that there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $\phi(30n+1) < \phi(30n)$  and Martin [10] gave the smallest one. The result of Newman [11] gave another example of the Chebyshev's bias [1]. For more relevant research, one may refer to [2, 4, 9, 13, 17]. Motivated by these results, it is natural to consider the parallel problem on the sum of divisors function. Recently, Pongsriiam [14, Theorem 2.4] found that  $\sigma(30n) > \sigma(30n+1)$  for all  $n \leq 10^7$  and proved that  $\sigma(30n) - \sigma(30n+1)$  has infinitely many sign changes. Pongsriiam [14] further considered quantitative versions of his result and posed the following two relevant problems.

**Problem 1.1.** ( [14, Problem 3.8(i)] ) *Is it true that*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq K \\ \sigma(30n+1) < \sigma(30n)}} 1 > \sum_{\substack{n \leq K \\ \sigma(30n+1) > \sigma(30n)}} 1$$

for all  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ?

**Problem 1.2.** ( [14, Problem 3.8(ii)] ) *Is it true that*

$$\sum_{n \leq K} \sigma(30n) > \sum_{n \leq K} \sigma(30n+1)$$

for all  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ?

Ding, Pan and Sun [3] studied several problems of Pongsriiam. Inspired by their ideas, the author [16] answered affirmatively the above Problem 1.2. For any set of positive integers  $A$ , let  $A(x) = |\{n \leq x : n \in A\}|$ . We use  $\mathbf{d}A$  to denote the density of set  $A$ . Namely,

$$\mathbf{d}A = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(x)}{x}.$$

Kobayashi and Trudgian [8] proved that the set of positive integers  $n$  for which  $\sigma(2n+1) \geq \sigma(2n)$  has a density between 0.0539171 and 0.0549445. Following their method, we solve Problem 1.1 in part.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A25, 11N25.

*Key words and phrases.* arithmetic functions, monotonicity, sum of divisors function.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Problem 1.1 is true for all sufficiently large  $K$ .*

In fact, we prove a slightly stronger result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $B = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma(30n + 1) \geq \sigma(30n)\}$ . Then  $B$  has a density and*

$$\mathbf{d}B < 0.0371813.$$

For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $\sigma_{-1}(n)$  be the sum of the reciprocal of all positive divisors of  $n$ . It is clear that  $\sigma_{-1}(n) = \sigma(n)/n$ . To give the existence of  $\mathbf{d}B$ , we will prove that the sets  $B$  and  $C$  have equal densities, where

$$C = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma_{-1}(30n + 1) \geq \sigma_{-1}(30n)\}.$$

## 2. EXISTENCE OF $\mathbf{d}C$

**Definition 2.1.** ([15, p.55]) For a real arithmetic function  $f(n)$  defined on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , let

$$F(\mathcal{S}, w) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{S}, f(n) \leq w\}|}{|\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{S}\}|},$$

where  $w$  is a given real number,  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$ . If the limit in the right hand exists except possibly at points of discontinuity of  $F(\mathcal{S}, w)$ , then  $F(\mathcal{S}, w)$  is called the distribution function of  $f(n)$  relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ . This limit will be called the  $\mathcal{S}$ -density of  $\{n : n \in \mathcal{S}, f(n) \leq w\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([15, Definition 3.1]) Given a sequence of integer-valued arithmetic functions  $\lambda_k(n)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). An arithmetic function  $f(n)$  is said to be of type  $\{\lambda_k\}$  relative to  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $f(\lambda_k(n))$  converges in  $\mathcal{S}$ -density to  $f(n)$  and each of the  $f(\lambda_k(n))$  has a distribution function relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ . In many applications,

$$\lambda_k(n) = \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \leq k}} p^{v_p(n)}.$$

Henceforth, we refer to these as the standard type functions. If  $f(n)$  is of  $\lambda$ -type with respect to these (relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ ), we shall say that  $f(n)$  is of standard type relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f(n)$  has a distribution function relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ , so does  $-f(n)$ . Both  $f(n)$  and  $-f(n)$  are of the same  $\lambda$ -type.*

Since the continuity of  $F(\mathcal{S}, w)$  at point  $w_0$  means the  $\mathcal{S}$ -density of  $\{n : n \in \mathcal{S}, f(n) = w_0\}$  is zero, Lemma 2.3 is trivial.

**Lemma 2.4.** ([15, p.62]) *An additive arithmetic function  $f^+(n)$  has a distribution function if and only if the series*

$$\sum_{|f^+(p)| > 1} \frac{1}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f^+(p)| \leq 1} \frac{f^+(p)}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f^+(p)| \leq 1} \frac{(f^+(p))^2}{p}$$

*all converge. Similarly, for multiplicative function  $f^*(n)$ , sufficient condition is that the series*

$$\sum_{|f^*(p)-1| > \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f^*(p)-1| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{f^*(p)-1}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f^*(p)-1| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(f^*(p)-1)^2}{p}$$

all converge. In the case of  $f^+(n)$ , continuity of the distribution function is equivalent to the divergence of

$$\sum_{f^+(p) \neq 0} \frac{1}{p}.$$

For  $f^*(n)$ , continuity of the distribution function is insured by the divergence of

$$\sum_{f^*(p) \neq 1} \frac{1}{p}.$$

In both the case of additive and multiplicative arithmetic functions described above, the functions are of standard  $\lambda$ -type.

**Definition 2.5.** ( [15, Definitions 3.4 and 4.3] ) A subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of positive integers is called progressive subset if and only if the intersection of  $\mathcal{S}$  with any given arithmetic progression  $an + b$  has an  $\mathcal{S}$ -density.

A progressive subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of positive integers is called multiplicative subset if and only if the  $\mathcal{S}$ -density of the intersection of two arithmetic progressions with relatively prime moduli is the product of their respective  $\mathcal{S}$ -densities.

**Lemma 2.6.** ( [15, Theorem 4.4] ) Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a multiplicative subset of positive integers such that for every prime  $p$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \rho(p^\alpha) = 0, \quad \sum_p \rho^2(p) < \infty,$$

where  $\rho(a) = \max_b \rho_{a,b}$ ,  $\rho_{a,b}$  is the  $\mathcal{S}$ -density of the arithmetic progression  $\{an + b\}$ . Consider linear forms  $a_i n + b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  such that  $a_i b_j - a_j b_i \neq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $a_i \neq 0$ , and given arithmetic functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s$  of standard type. Then, if the distribution function of  $f_1$  is continuous,

$$f_1(a_1 n + b_1) + f_2(a_2 n + b_2) + \dots + f_s(a_s n + b_s)$$

has a continuous distribution function.

Following the definitions and lemmas mentioned above, we can conclude that

**Lemma 2.7.** For given arithmetic functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.4 and linear forms  $a_i n + b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  satisfying  $a_i b_j - a_j b_i \neq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $a_i \neq 0$ , let

$$D = \{n \geq 1 : f_1(a_1 n + b_1) + f_2(a_2 n + b_2) + \dots + f_s(a_s n + b_s) \leq w\}.$$

Then  $D$  has a density for each given real number  $w$ .

By Lemma 2.3, each  $f_i$  can be replaced by  $-f_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

*Proof.* By the Chinese remainder theorem,  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is a multiplicative subset. For every prime  $p$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \rho(p^\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} = 0, \quad \sum_p \rho^2(p) = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s$  are of standard type and  $f_1$  has a continuous distribution function. Thus, by Lemma 2.6,  $D$  has a density.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. □

Let  $w = 0$ ,  $f(n) = \sigma_{-1}(30n) - \sigma_{-1}(30n + 1)$ . It follows from  $\sigma_{-1}(p) - 1 = 1/p$  that

$$\sum_{|\sigma_{-1}(p)-1|>\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{p} = 0, \quad \sum_{|\sigma_{-1}(p)-1|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma_{-1}(p)-1}{p} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2}, \quad \sum_{|\sigma_{-1}(p)-1|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\sigma_{-1}(p)-1)^2}{p} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^3}$$

are all converge. But

$$\sum_{\sigma_{-1}(p)\neq 1} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p}$$

diverges. Therefore,  $\sigma_{-1}$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.7,  $C$  has a density.

### 3. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

**Lemma 3.1.** ( [5, p.253] ) For large  $x$ ,

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : \sigma(n+1) = \sigma(n)\}| \leq x/e^{(\log x)^{1/3}}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** ( [6, p.119] ) We have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(n)/n}{\log \log n} = e^\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler constant.

**Lemma 3.3.** ( [12, (5.10) and (5.12)] ) Let  $Q(\alpha) = (1 + \alpha) \log(1 + \alpha) - \alpha$ . Then for large  $x$ ,

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : \omega(n) \leq (1 - \alpha) \log \log x\}| \leq x/(\log x)^{Q(-\alpha)},$$

where  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Recall that

$$B = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma(30n + 1) \geq \sigma(30n)\}, \quad C = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma_{-1}(30n + 1) \geq \sigma_{-1}(30n)\}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.**  $B$  has a density and  $\mathbf{d}B = \mathbf{d}C$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\frac{\sigma_{-1}(30n + 1)}{\sigma_{-1}(30n)} = \frac{\sigma(30n + 1)}{\sigma(30n)} \cdot \frac{30n}{30n + 1},$$

we have  $C \subseteq B$ . It follows that

$$B \setminus C = \left\{ n \geq 1 : 0 \leq \sigma(30n + 1) - \sigma(30n) < \frac{\sigma(30n)}{30n} \right\}.$$

We will prove that the set  $B \setminus C$  has density 0. Let

$$D_0 = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma(30n + 1) = \sigma(30n)\}, \quad D_1 = \left\{ n \geq 1 : \frac{\sigma(30n)}{30n} > 2e^\gamma \log \log n \right\},$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ n \geq 1 : \omega(30n + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \log n \right\}, \quad D_3 = \left\{ n \geq 1 : \omega(30n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \log n \right\},$$

$$D_4 = \{n \geq 1 : p^2 \mid 30n \text{ for some } p > y\}, \quad D_5 = \{n \geq 1 : p^2 \mid 30n + 1 \text{ for some } p > y\}.$$

where  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler constant and  $y$  is a given real number. Let  $y = \frac{1}{4} \log \log x$ . Then by the definitions of  $D_1, \dots, D_5$ , for any positive integer  $n$  satisfying  $n \in (B \setminus C) \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3 \cup D_4 \cup D_5)$  and  $n \geq \sqrt{x}$ , either  $\sigma(30n + 1) = \sigma(30n)$  or

$$2^{\frac{1}{2} \log \log \sqrt{x} - \pi(\frac{1}{4} \log \log x)} \leq \sigma(30n + 1) - \sigma(30n) < \frac{\sigma(30n)}{30n} \leq 2e^\gamma \log \log x.$$

Therefore, for all sufficiently large  $x$ ,

$$(B \setminus C)(x) \leq \sqrt{x} + \sum_{i=0}^5 D_i(x).$$

Dividing by  $x$  and taking  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 that  $\mathbf{d}(B \setminus C) = 0$ . Therefore,  $B$  has a density and  $\mathbf{d}B = \mathbf{d}C$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

Let  $y \geq 5$ . Then a number  $n$  is  $y$ -smooth if its largest prime divisor  $p$  has  $p \leq y$ . Let  $Y(n)$  be the largest  $y$ -smooth divisor of  $n$ . In the following discussions, we always assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are  $y$ -smooth. Let

$$S(a, b) = \{n \geq 1 : Y(30n + 1) = a, Y(30n) = b\}.$$

It is clear that the sets  $S(a, b)$  partition  $\mathbb{N}_+$ .  $S(a, b) = \emptyset$  unless  $30 \mid b$  and  $(a, b) = 1$ . We partition  $C$  via  $C(a, b) = C \cap S(a, b)$ . Let  $P = P(y) = \prod_{p \leq y} p$ ,

$$S(a, b; t_1, t_2) = \{n \in S(a, b) : (30n + 1)/a \equiv t_1 \pmod{P}, \quad 30n/b \equiv t_2 \pmod{P}\},$$

where  $1 \leq t_1 \leq P$ ,  $1 \leq t_2 \leq P$  and  $(t_1 t_2, P) = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *We have*

$$\mathbf{d}S(a, b; t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{30}{abP} & P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2, \\ 0 & P \nmid 1 - at_1 + bt_2, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{d}S(a, b) = \frac{30}{ab} \prod_{p \mid ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid P \\ p \nmid ab}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

Either  $S(a, b; t_1, t_2) = \emptyset$  or  $n \in S(a, b; t_1, t_2)$  has the form

$$30n + 1 = a(t_1 + x_0 P \ell) + abP k, \quad 30n = b(t_2 + y_0 P \ell) + abP k,$$

where  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular solution for  $ax - by = 1$ ,  $P \ell = 1 - at_1 + bt_2$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We follow the method of Kobayashi and Trudgian [8, p.141] to show that  $S(a, b)$  is a finite and disjoint union of arithmetic progressions. By the definition of  $S(a, b; t_1, t_2)$ ,

$$30n + 1 = a(t_1 + xP), \quad 30n = b(t_2 + yP),$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence,

$$aPx - bPy = 1 - at_1 + bt_2.$$

If  $P \nmid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$ , then  $S(a, b; t_1, t_2) = \emptyset$ . If  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$ , write  $P \ell = 1 - at_1 + bt_2$ , then  $ax - by = \ell$ . It follows that

$$x = x_0 \ell + kb, \quad y = y_0 \ell + ka,$$

where  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular solution for  $ax - by = 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,

$$30n + 1 = a(t_1 + x_0 P \ell) + abP k, \quad 30n = b(t_2 + y_0 P \ell) + abP k.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{d}S(a, b; t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{30}{abP} & P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2, \\ 0 & P \nmid 1 - at_1 + bt_2. \end{cases}$$

In order to give  $\mathbf{d}S(a, b)$ , we will count the number of pairs  $(t_1, t_2)$  satisfying  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$ . For each prime  $p \mid P$ , if  $p \mid a$ , then  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$  if and only if  $t_2 \equiv -b^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , so  $t_1$  is free and  $t_2$  is completely determined modulo  $p$ . Thus, there are  $p - 1$  ordered pairs modulo  $p$ . Likewise, if  $p \mid b$ ,  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$  if and only if  $t_1 \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , so there are  $p - 1$  ordered pairs modulo  $p$ . Finally, if  $p \nmid ab$ ,  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$  if and only if  $t_2 \equiv b^{-1}(at_1 - 1) \pmod{p}$ . For each  $t_1$ , we fail to get a valid  $t_2$  only if  $t_1 \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}$ . Thus, there are  $p - 2$  valid ordered pairs modulo  $p$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem, the number of pairs  $(t_1, t_2)$  satisfying  $P \mid 1 - at_1 + bt_2$  is

$$\prod_{p \mid ab} (p - 1) \prod_{\substack{p \mid P \\ p \nmid ab}} (p - 2).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{d}S(a, b) = \frac{30}{ab} \prod_{p \mid ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid P \\ p \nmid ab}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** (*[8, Lemma 1]*) Let  $g(n) = (\sigma_{-1}(n))^r$  and let  $h(n)$  be the Möbius transform of  $g(n)$ . Namely,  $h(p^\alpha) = g(p^\alpha) - g(p^{\alpha-1})$ . If  $k$  and  $l$  are given coprime positive integers,  $r \geq 1$  and  $x \geq 2$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv l \pmod{k}}} g(n) = \frac{\Lambda_k(r)}{k} x + O\left(k^{1/2} \log k (\log x)^r\right),$$

where

$$\Lambda_k(r) = \prod_{p \nmid k} \left(1 + \frac{h(p)}{p} + \frac{h(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

*Proof.* By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S(a, b; t_1, t_2)}} g(30n + 1) &= g(a) \sum_{\substack{m \leq (30x+1)/a \\ m \equiv t_1 + x_0 P \ell \pmod{bP}}} g(m) \\ &= g(a) \Lambda_{bP}(r) \frac{30}{abP} x + O((\log x)^r) \\ &= g(a) \Lambda_P(r) \frac{30}{abP} x + O((\log x)^r). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all pairs  $(t_1, t_2)$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in S(a, b) \\ n \leq x}} g(30n + 1) \sim g(a) \Lambda_P(r) \mathbf{d}S(a, b) x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the definition of  $C$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in S(a,b) \\ n \leq x}} g(30n+1) \geq \sum_{\substack{n \in S(a,b) \\ n \leq x, n \in C}} g(30n) + \sum_{\substack{n \in S(a,b) \\ n \leq x, n \notin C}} g(30n+1).$$

It is clear that  $g(n) \geq 1$ ,  $g(30n) = g(b)g(30n/b)$  and  $g(30n+1) = g(a)g((30n+1)/a)$ . By the definitions of  $S(a, b)$  and  $C(a, b)$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in S(a,b) \\ n \leq x}} g(30n+1) \geq g(b)|C(a, b) \cap [1, x]| + g(a)(|S(a, b) \cap [1, x]| - |C(a, b) \cap [1, x]|).$$

Dividing by  $x$  and taking  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$g(a)\Lambda_P(r)\mathbf{d}S(a, b) \geq g(b)\mathbf{d}C(a, b) + g(a)\mathbf{d}S(a, b) - g(a)\mathbf{d}C(a, b).$$

Since  $\Lambda_P(r) > 1$  and  $r \geq 1$ , for  $(\Lambda_P(r))^{1/r} < \sigma_{-1}(b)/\sigma_{-1}(a)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{d}C(a, b) \leq \frac{(\Lambda_P(r) - 1)g(a)\mathbf{d}S(a, b)}{g(b) - g(a)}.$$

We take  $r = 1$ . . Then by the Euler product formula,

$$\Lambda_P(1) = \zeta(2) \prod_{p|P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

In calculation, we fix the parameters  $y$  and  $z$ , then run through  $y$ -smooth numbers  $a$  and  $b$  satisfying  $ab \leq z$ ,  $30 \mid b$  and  $(a, b) = 1$ . After summing over every difference between  $\mathbf{d}S(a, b)$  and the above nontrivial bound of  $\mathbf{d}C(a, b)$  for each pair  $(a, b)$ , 1 minus the sum is an upper bound of  $\mathbf{d}C$ . The choice  $y = 157, z = 10^9$  yields the value 0.0371813.

Theorem 1.2 follows Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 1.1 then follows immediately.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Yong-Gao Chen and Yuchen Ding for their helpful suggestions.

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (Grant No. 24KJD110001).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Chebyshev, Lettre de M. le professeur Tchébyshev á M. Fuss, sur un nouveau théoreme relatif aux nombres premiers contenus dans la formes  $4n+1$  et  $4n+3$ , *Bull. Classe Phys. Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Petersburg* **11** (1853), 208.
- [2] M. Deléglise, Bounds for the density of abundant integers, *Exp. Math.* **7** (1997), 137–143.
- [3] Y. Ding, H. Pan, Y.-C. Sun, Solutions to some sign change problems on the functions involving sums of divisors, to appear in *Period. Math. Hungar.*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09842>
- [4] P. Erdős, On a problem of Chowla and some related problems, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **32** (1936), 530–540.
- [5] P. Erdős, C. Pomerance, A. Sárközy, On locally repeated values of certain arithmetic functions, II, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **49** (1987), 251–259.
- [6] T. H. Grönwall, Some asymptotic expressions in the theory of numbers, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **14** (1913), 113–122.
- [7] D. Jarden, *Recurring Sequences*, Riveon Lematematika, Jerusalem, 1973.

- [8] M. Kobayashi, T. Trudgian, On integers  $n$  for which  $\sigma(2n + 1) \geq \sigma(2n)$ , *J. Number Theory* **215** (2020), 138–148.
- [9] F. Luca, C. Pomerance, The range of the sum-of-proper-divisors function, *Acta Arith.* **168** (2015), 187–199.
- [10] G. Martin, The smallest solution of  $\phi(30n + 1) < \phi(30n)$  is  $\dots$ , *Amer. Math. Mon.* **106** (1999), 449–451.
- [11] D. J. Newman, Euler’s  $\phi$  function on arithmetic progressions, *Amer. Math. Mon.* **104** (1997), 256–257.
- [12] K. K. Norton, On the number of restricted prime factors of an integer. I, *Illinois J. Math.* **20** (1976), 681–705.
- [13] P. Pollack, C. Pomerance, Some problems of Erdős on the sum-of-divisors function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **3** (2016), 1–26.
- [14] P. Pongsriiam, Sums of divisors on arithmetic progressions, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **88** (2024), 443–460.
- [15] H. N. Shapiro, Addition of functions in probabilistic number theory, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1973), 55–84.
- [16] R.-J. Wang, On a problem of Pongsriiam on the sum of divisors, to appear in *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.07931>
- [17] R.-J. Wang, Y.-G Chen, On positive integers  $n$  with  $\sigma_l(2n + 1) < \sigma_l(2n)$ , *Period. Math. Hungar.* **85** (2022), 210–224.

(RUI-JING WANG) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JIANGSU SECOND NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210013, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* wangruijing271@163.com