

EQUIVARIANT γ -POSITIVITY OF CHOW RINGS AND AUGMENTED CHOW RINGS OF MATROIDS

HSIN-CHIEH LIAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the Chow ring and augmented Chow ring of a matroid is equivariant γ -positivity under the action of any group of automorphisms of the matroid. This verifies a conjecture of Angarone, Nathanson, and Reiner. Our method gives an explicit interpretation to the coefficients of the equivariant γ -expansion. Applying our theorem to uniform matroids, we extend and recover known results regarding the positivity of the equivariant Charney-Davis quantity of uniform matroids in the author's previous work and the Schur- γ -positivity of Eulerian and binomial Eulerian quasisymmetric functions first proved by Shareshian and Wachs. In the end, we answer a problem proposed by Athanasiadis about extending the γ -expansion of the binomial Eulerian polynomial.

CONTENTS

Notation	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Group actions on posets	3
3. Equivariant γ -positivity	4
4. Uniform matroids and q -uniform matroids	10
5. A problem proposed by Athanasiadis	15
6. Final remark	18
Acknowledgements	18
References	19

NOTATION

We fix some notations that we will use later. For any positive integer n and integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n$,

- $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $2^{[n]}$ is the collection of all subsets of $[n]$.
- $[a, b] := \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1, b\}$ if $a \leq b$, and $[a, b] := \emptyset$ if $a > b$.
- $[n]_t := 1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $[0]_t := 0$.

For $S \subseteq [n]$, let $\text{Stab}(S)$ denote the collection of subsets of S containing no consecutive integers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a finite sequence, there are many features that one can ask whether the sequence possesses. A sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ or a polynomial $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i t^i$ of degree d is said to be

palindromic if

$$a_j = a_{d-j} \quad \text{for all } j = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

It is said to be *unimodal* if there is some $0 \leq j \leq d$ such that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_j \geq \dots \geq a_{d-1} \geq a_d.$$

A polynomial $f(t)$ is palindromic and unimodal if and only if it can be expressed uniquely as

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k t^k (1+t)^{d-2k}.$$

Then we say the polynomial $f(t)$ is γ -*positive* (or γ -*nonnegative*) if $\gamma_k \geq 0$ for all k . It is not hard to see that a polynomial being γ -positive implies that it is both palindromic and unimodal. Although the γ -positivity is interesting to combinatorialists in its own right, this property has a connection to Gal's conjecture in discrete geometry, and hence has drawn much attention from researchers in combinatorics, discrete geometry, and commutative algebra. For more detail about γ -positivity, we refer the readers to the survey [3] by Athanasiadis.

Let M be a loopless matroid on the ground set E . The *Chow ring of the matroid* M encodes the information of the lattice of flats $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and is defined as

$$A(M) := \mathbb{R}[x_F : F \in \mathcal{L}(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}] / (I + J)$$

where $I = (x_F x_{F'} : F, F' \text{ not comparable})$ and $J = (\sum_{F:i \in x_F} x_F : i \in E)$. The *augmented Chow ring of* M is an extension of $A(M)$ encoding both information from $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and the independence complex $\mathcal{I}(M)$, and is defined as

$$\tilde{A}(M) := \mathbb{R}[\{x_F : F \in \mathcal{L}(M) \setminus \{[n]\}\} \cup \{y_i : i \in E\}] / (\tilde{I} + \tilde{J})$$

where $\tilde{I} = (x_F x_{F'} : F, F' \text{ not comparable}) + (y_i x_F : i \notin F)$ and $\tilde{J} = (y_i - \sum_{F:i \notin F} x_F : i \in E)$. Despite the seemingly complicated presentation, the Chow ring $A(M)$ has a very nice \mathbb{R} -basis called the *Feichtner-Yuzvinsky basis*

$$FY(M) := \left\{ x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} : \begin{array}{l} \emptyset = F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \text{ for } 0 \leq \ell \leq n \\ 1 \leq a_i \leq \text{rk}_M(F_i) - \text{rk}_M(F_{i-1}) - 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

which came from the Gröbner basis of the ideal $I + J$ found by Feichtner and Yuzvinsky [8]. There is a similar Feichtner-Yuzvinsky basis for the augmented Chow ring $\tilde{A}(M)$,

$$\widetilde{FY}(M) := \left\{ x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} : \begin{array}{l} \emptyset \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \text{ for } 0 \leq \ell \leq n \\ 1 \leq a_1 \leq \text{rk}_M(F_1), \\ 1 \leq a_i \leq \text{rk}_M(F_i) - \text{rk}_M(F_{i-1}) - 1 \text{ for } i \geq 2 \end{array} \right\},$$

found by the author [12, 14] and independently by Eur, Huh, and Larson [7]. The Chow rings and augmented Chow rings of matroids play important roles in the settlement of the long-standing Rota-Welsh conjecture and Dowling-Wilson top-heavy conjecture respectively. They are shown to satisfy the so-called Kähler package (see [1] for Chow rings and [4] for augmented Chow rings). In this package, the Poincaré duality implies that the Hilbert series of the two rings are palindromic, and the hard Lefschetz theorem implies that the Hilbert series are unimodal. The γ -positivity of the Hilbert series was shown by Ferroni, Matherne, Stevens, and Vecchi [9], and independently by Wang [9, p.33], using the semismall decomposition introduced in [5]. However, no interpretation of the γ_k coefficients is known. In [2], Angarone, Nathanson, and Reiner further conjectured that the matroid Chow rings, under the action of groups of automorphisms of the corresponding

matroid, are equivariant γ -positive (see Section 3 for the definition). In this paper, we give a proof of this conjecture using only the *Feichtner-Yuzvinsky bases* and a result in Stanley's classical paper [18] (see Theorem 2.1). Our method explicitly interprets the γ -coefficients in terms of the homology group of the rank-selected subposet of the lattice of flats. These are done in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the case of uniform matroids. We recover and extend several known results regarding the γ -expansion of the (augmented) Chow rings of uniform matroids and Eulerian quasisymmetric functions in the author's previous work [13], Shareshisan and Wachs [15, 16] and Athanasiadis [3]. In Section 5, we apply results in Section 4 to answer a problem raised by Athanasiadis [3, Problem 2.5] about finding certain (p, q) -analog of the γ -expansion of the binomial Eulerian polynomial.

In a recent paper, Stump [21] also independently proves the (non-equivariant) γ -positivity for Chow rings and augmented Chow rings of matroids using certain evaluation of the *Poincaré extended \mathbf{ab} -index*, which Dorpalen-Barry, Maglione, and Stump introduced in [6]. He interprets the γ -coefficient as the number of maximal chains in $\mathcal{L}(M)$ with fixed descent set under some R -labeling. It agrees with the result in this paper, following from Stanley [17, Theorem 3.1]. In future work, we would like to study the relationship between the Feichtner-Yuzvinsky basis and the Poincaré extended \mathbf{ab} -index, and this extended \mathbf{ab} -index in the equivariant setting.

In [9], the Hilbert series of Chow rings and augmented Chow rings of matroids are called Chow and augmented Chow polynomial of matroids. In an upcoming paper by Ferroni, Matherne, and Vecchi [10], they generalize Chow and augmented Chow polynomials to arbitrary graded posets. They show that Chow and augmented Chow polynomials are γ -positive for Cohen-Macaulay posets using a method similar to this paper.

2. GROUP ACTIONS ON POSETS

Let P be a finite poset with a unique minimal element $\hat{0}$ and a unique maximal element $\hat{1}$. If P is graded of rank n with rank function $\text{rk}_P : P \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, then for $S \subseteq [n-1]$, the *rank selected subposet* of P is

$$P_S := \{x \in P : \text{rk}_P(x) \in S\} \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}.$$

Consider a group G of automorphisms of P acting on the poset P . The action of G preserves the rank of the elements in P ; hence for any $S \subseteq [n-1]$, the group G permutes the maximal chains in P_S . Let us denote $\alpha_P(S)$ the permutation representation of G generated by the maximal chains in P_S . Consider the virtual representation

$$\beta_P(S) := \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S|-|T|} \alpha_P(T).$$

By Möbius inversion, we also have

$$\alpha_P(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} \beta_P(T).$$

Theorem 2.1 (Stanley [18]). *If P is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\beta_P(S)$ is a genuine representation of G and*

$$\beta_P(S) \cong_G \tilde{H}_{|S|-1}(P_S).$$

Throughout this paper, the poset P we care about is always the lattice of flats of a matroid. It is well known that the lattice of flats of a matroid is always Cohen-Macaulay.

3. EQUIVARIANT γ -POSITIVITY

Let G be a finite group. For a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module M , let $[M]$ be the isomorphism class of $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules containing M .

Definition 3.1. The *Grothendieck ring* $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ of $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules is a free abelian group having the transversal of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules $\{[S_1], \dots, [S_{cc(G)}]\}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -basis, where $cc(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of G , with the addition and multiplication relations given by

$$[S_i] + [S_j] := [S_i \oplus S_j] \quad \text{and} \quad [S_i] \cdot [S_j] := [S_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S_j]$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq cc(G)$ and extended linearly over \mathbb{C} . Now every element A in $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ has a unique expression as $a = \sum_{i=1}^{cc(G)} a_i [S_i]$. We say A is a *genuine representation* of G if $a_i \geq 0$ for all i , denoted by $A \geq_{R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)} 0$.

Given a graded $\mathbb{C}G$ -module $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$, define the *equivariant Hilbert series* of V to be the formal power series

$$\text{Hilb}_G(V, t) = \sum_i [V_i] t^i \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)[[t]].$$

Definition 3.2. For a finitely dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}G$ -module $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d V_i$, we say that V is $(G-)$ equivariant γ -positive if its equivariant Hilbert series can be expressed as

$$\text{Hilb}_G(V, t) = \sum_{i=0}^d [V_i] t^i = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k t^k (1+t)^{d-2k}$$

and the uniquely defined coefficient $\gamma_k \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is a class of a genuine representation of G over \mathbb{C} for all k , i.e. $\gamma_k \geq_{R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)} 0$ for all k .

Let M be a loopless matroid of rank r on the ground set E with the lattice of flats $\mathcal{L}(M)$. Write $A(M)_{\mathbb{C}} := A(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}} := \tilde{A}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

From now on, we let G be a group of automorphisms of matroid M . Consider the action of G acting on the Chow ring $A(M)_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} A_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ which gives each graded piece $A_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module structure. The following conjecture was proposed by Angarone, Nathanson, and Reiner [2].

Conjecture 3.3 ([2, Conjecture 5.2]). *For any (loopless) matroid M of rank r and any group G of automorphisms of M , the matroid Chow ring $A(M)_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} A_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ is $(G-)$ equivariant γ -positive.*

In the following, we will prove Conjecture 3.3 and also the equivariant γ -positivity of the augmented Chow ring $\tilde{A}(M)$. It is not hard to check that under the action of G , the Feichtenr-Yuzvinsky bases $FY(M)$ and $\widetilde{FY}(M)$ are permutation bases for $A(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively.

Theorem 3.4. *For any loopless matroid M of rank r on the ground set E with the lattice of flats $\mathcal{L}(M)$, the Chow ring $A(M)$ is equivariant γ -positive with the following*

γ -expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_G(A(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_S)] t^{|S|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|S|} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{S \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1]) \\ |S|=k}} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_S)] \right) t^k (1+t)^{r-1-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.4 generalizes Theorem 4.9, the (-1) -evaluation, in the author's previous work [13].

Proof. Recall that the permutation basis $FY(M)$ consisting of monomials $x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell}$ for any chains (including empty chain)

$$\emptyset \neq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \subseteq E$$

such that $1 \leq a_j \leq \text{rk}(F_j) - \text{rk}(F_{j-1}) - 1$ for all j . For a subset $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_\ell\} \subseteq [r-1]$, the permutation $\mathbb{C}G$ -module generated by chains in $\mathcal{L}(M)$ whose rank set is S is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S) &= \mathbb{C}G\{F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell : \text{rk}(F_i) = s_i \ \forall i\}. \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}G\{F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \subsetneq E : \text{rk}(F_i) = s_i \ \forall i\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A(M)$ as a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module is the direct sum of $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)$ with some multiplicities for $S \subseteq [r-1]$. Hence we have

$$\text{Hilb}_G(A(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) = \sum_{S \subseteq [r-1]} \phi_{S,r}(t) [\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)] \quad (1)$$

for some polynomials $\phi_{S,r}(t)$. To see what the polynomial $\phi_{S,t}(t)$ is, we consider a map $f : FY(M) \rightarrow 2^{[r-1]}$ defined by $f(x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} x_E^i) = \{\text{rk}(F_1), \text{rk}(F_2), \dots, \text{rk}(F_\ell)\}$ where all flats F_i are distinct and are not E . Since for any $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \subseteq [r-1]$,

$$f^{-1}(S) = \left\{ x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} x_E^i : \begin{array}{l} \emptyset = F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \subsetneq E \text{ with } \text{rk}(F_j) = s_j \\ 1 \leq a_j \leq s_j - s_{j-1} - 1 \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, \ell \\ 0 \leq i \leq r - s_\ell - 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

the polynomials that count the multiplicities are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{S,r}(t) &= (t + t^2 + \dots + t^{s_1-1}) \dots (t + t^2 + \dots + t^{s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1}) (1 + t + \dots + t^{r-s_\ell-1}) \\ &= t^{|S|} [s_1 - 1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [r - s_\ell]_t. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi_{S,r}(t) = 0$ if $s_j - s_{j-1} = 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and $[r - s_\ell]_t = 1$ if $r - 1 \in S$. Therefore, $\phi_{S,r}(t)$ takes nonzero value when $S \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])$. The identity (1) can be

rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hilb}_G(A(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} \phi_{S,r}(t) [\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)] \\
&= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} \phi_{S,r}(t) \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)] \\
&= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} \left(\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [r-1]} \phi_{S,r}(t) \right) [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)].
\end{aligned}$$

Surprisingly, it turns out that

$$\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [r-1]} \phi_{S,r}(t) = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|T|}.$$

This fact and its proof will be present later in Lemma 3.5. Combining with Theorem 2.1, which states that $\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{H}_{|T|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_T)$ as $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hilb}_G(A(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)] t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|T|} \\
&= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} [\tilde{H}_{|T|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_T)] t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|T|}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. For $n \geq 2$ and any subset $T \in \text{Stab}([2, n-1])$,

$$\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [n-1]} \phi_{S,n}(t) = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|T|}, \quad (2)$$

where $\phi_{S,n}(t) = 0$ if $S \notin \text{Stab}([2, n-1])$ and for $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \in \text{Stab}([2, n-1])$,

$$\phi_{S,n}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{|S|} [s_1 - 1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t & \text{if } n-1 \in S \\ t^{|S|} [s_1 - 1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [n - s_\ell]_t & \text{if } n-1 \notin S \end{cases}$$

To prove Lemma 3.5, we will use a combinatorial model motivated by Angarone, Nathanson, and Reiner's combinatorial proof of the permutation representation lift of the Poincaré duality and the Hard Lefschetz theorem in [2, Theorem 1.1].

Definition 3.6. For $n \geq 2$, consider sequences $\mathbf{w} = w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}$ in three symbols \bullet , \times , and a blank space, defined as follows:

(W1) If $w_i = \bullet$, then $w_{i-1} = \times$ (hence $w_1 \neq \bullet$ and there is no consecutive \bullet in \mathbf{w}).

Say $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_\ell\} \subseteq \text{Stab}([2, n-1])$ is the set of indices s with $w_s = \bullet$.

(W2) If there is $s_i < j < s_{i+1}$ such that $w_j = \times$, then $w_j = w_{j+1} = \dots = w_{s_{i+1}-1} = \times$.

(W3) If there is $s_\ell < j < n$ such that $w_j = \times$, then $w_j = w_{j+1} = \dots = w_{n-1} = \times$.

Denote by \mathcal{W}_n the set of such sequences of length $n-1$. For $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_n$, let $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) = \{i \in [n-1] : w_i = \bullet\}$ and $\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})$ be the number of \times in \mathbf{w} .

proof of Lemma 3.5. We show that (2) counts in two ways the sum of $t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})}$ for sequences \mathbf{w} in \mathcal{W}_n satisfying $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T$.

First, for fixed $S \supseteq T$, $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \in \text{Stab}([2, n-1])$, a sequence $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \dots w_{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ with $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) = S$ has $w_{s_j} = \bullet$ for all j . Between $w_{s_{j-1}}$ and w_{s_j} , we have $w_{s_{j-1}} = \times$ and we can choose to place from 0 to $s_j - s_{j-1} - 1$ consecutive \times s on the left of $\times = w_{s_{j-1}}$. Hence the section between $w_{s_{j-1}}$ and w_{s_j} contributes $t[s_j - s_{j-1} - 1]_t$. In total, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) = S}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} &= \begin{cases} t^{|S|} [s_1 - 1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [n - s_{\ell-1} - 2]_t & \text{if } n-1 \in S \\ t^{|S|} [s_1 - 1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [n - s_\ell]_t & \text{if } n-1 \notin S \end{cases} \\ &= \phi_{S,n}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = \sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [n-1]} \phi_{S,n}(t).$$

On the other hand, for any sequence $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \dots w_{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ with $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T$, since $w_i = \bullet$ for $i \in T$, by the definition of the sequence we have $w_{i-1} = \times$ for $i \in T$. These \times contribute the factor $t^{|T|}$ on the right hand side of (2). In the remaining $n-1-2|T|$ positions, we can choose to place a \times or not, which gives the factor $(1+t)^{n-1-2|T|}$ on the right-hand side of (2). After this process, there is a unique way to add \bullet so that the sequence is in \mathcal{W}_n . This implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|T|}.$$

□

Example 3.7. Here is an example of the procedure in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $n = 12$, $T = \{3, 9\} \subseteq \text{Stab}([2, 11])$.

In the first way of the two-way counting, say $S = \{3, 5, 9\} \supseteq T$, so we place \bullet at 3, 9 and \times at 2, 8; and \bullet at 5, \times at 4 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ & & \times & \bullet & \times & \bullet & & & \times & \bullet & \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ t[2]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ t[1]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ t[3]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ [3]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| \end{array}$$

Then for example, between $w_5 = \bullet$ and $w_9 = \bullet$, we can have either $w_6 = w_7 =$ blank spaces, $w_8 = \times$; or $w_6 =$ blank space, $w_7 = w_8 = \times$; or $w_6 = w_7 = w_8 = \times$. These three cases contribute $t + t^2 + t^3 = t[3]_t$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{12} \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) = \{3, 5, 9\}}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = t[2]_t \cdot t[1]_t \cdot t[3]_t \cdot [3]_t = t^3 [2]_t [1]_t [3]_t [3]_t.$$

Consider the other case that $S = \{3, 9, 11\} \supseteq T$. We place \bullet at 3, 9 and \times at 2, 8; and \bullet at 11 and \times at 10 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ & & \times & \bullet & & & & & \times & \bullet & \times & \bullet \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ t[2]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ t[5]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ t[1]_t \\ - \end{array} \right| \end{array}$$

In this case, since $11 = 12 - 1 \in S$, between $w_9 = \bullet$ and $w_{11} = \bullet$ there is no blank space, hence this section contributes the factor $t[1]_t$. In total, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{12} \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) = \{3,9,11\}}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = t[2]_t \cdot t[5]_t \cdot t[1]_t = t^3[2]_t[5]_t[1]_t.$$

In the second way of the two-way counting, we count sequences \mathbf{w} with $w_3 = w_9 = \bullet$ and $w_2 = w_8 = \times$. We can choose each of the remaining 7 positions to be either a \times or a blank space. For each choice there is exactly one way to add \bullet to complete the sequence in \mathcal{W}_{12} . Hence this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{12} \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq \{3,9\}}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = t^{|\{2,8\}|} (1+t)^{|\{1,4,5,6,7,10,11\}|} = t^2 (1+t)^{12-1-2 \cdot 2}.$$

For example, say we choose $w_1 = w_4 = w_5 = w_{10} = \times$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ \times & \times & \bullet & \times & \times & - & - & \times & \bullet & \times & - \end{array}.$$

The only way to complete the above sequence into a sequence in \mathcal{W}_{12} by adding \bullet is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ \times & \times & \bullet & \times & \times & \bullet & - & \times & \bullet & \times & \bullet \end{array}$$

A similar proof to the non-augmented case works for the augmented Chow rings.

Theorem 3.8. *For any loopless matroid M of rank r on the ground set E , the augmented Chow ring $\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equivariant γ -positive with*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_G(\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_S)] t^{|S|} (1+t)^{r-2|S|} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{S \in \text{Stab}([r-1]) \\ |S|=k}} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_S)] \right) t^k (1+t)^{r-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.8 generalizes Theorem 4.12, the (-1) -evaluation, in the author's previous work [13].

Proof. Similar to the non-augmented case, the permutation basis $\widetilde{FY}(M)$ consists of monomials $x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell}$ for any chains (including empty chain)

$$\emptyset \neq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \subseteq E$$

such that $1 \leq a_1 \leq \text{rk}(F_1)$, $1 \leq a_j \leq \text{rk}(F_j) - \text{rk}(F_{j-1}) - 1$ for all $j \geq 2$. Therefore, $\tilde{A}(M)$ as a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module is the direct sum of permutation modules $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)$ with some multiplicities for $S \subseteq [r-1]$, say

$$\text{Hilb}_G(\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) = \sum_{S \subseteq [r-1]} \psi_{S,r}(t) [\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)] \quad (3)$$

for some polynomial $\psi_{S,r}(t)$. Consider a map $g : \widetilde{FY}(M) \rightarrow 2^{[r-1]}$ defined by

$$g(x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} x_E^i) = \{\text{rk}(F_1), \text{rk}(F_2), \dots, \text{rk}(F_\ell)\},$$

where F_i are all distinct and not E . Since for $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \subseteq [r-1]$,

$$g^{-1}(S) = \begin{cases} \left\{ x_{F_1}^{a_1} x_{F_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{F_\ell}^{a_\ell} x_E^i : \begin{array}{l} \emptyset = F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_\ell \subsetneq E \text{ with } \text{rk}(F_j) = s_j \\ 1 \leq a_1 \leq s_1, 1 \leq a_j \leq s_j - s_{j-1} - 1 \text{ for } j=2,3,\dots,\ell \\ 0 \leq i \leq r - s_\ell - 1 \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ \{x_E^j : 0 \leq j \leq r\} & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

Hence the multiplicity polynomial for any $S \subseteq [r-1]$ is

$$\psi_{S,r}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{|S|} [s_1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [r - s_\ell]_t & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ [r+1]_t & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

Similar to the non-augmented case, the polynomial $\psi_{S,r}(t)$ vanishes when S contains consecutive integers, hence (4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_G(\tilde{A}(M), t) &= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} \psi_{S,r}(t) [\alpha_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(S)] \\ &= \sum_{S \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} \psi_{S,r}(t) \sum_{T \subseteq S} [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)] \\ &= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} \left(\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [r-1]} \psi_{S,r}(t) \right) [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)]. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that

$$\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [r-1]} \psi_{S,r}(t) = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-2|T|},$$

of which the proof will be presented after Lemma 3.9. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}_G(\tilde{A}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} [\beta_{\mathcal{L}(M)}(T)] t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-2|T|} \\ &= \sum_{T \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} [\tilde{H}_{|T|-1}(\mathcal{L}(M)_T)] t^{|T|} (1+t)^{r-2|T|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Lemma 3.9. For $n \geq 2$ and subset $T \in \text{Stab}([n-1])$,

$$\sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [n-1]} \psi_{S,n}(t) = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{n-2|T|} \quad (4)$$

where $\psi_{S,n}(t) = 0$ if $S \notin \text{Stab}([n-1])$ and for $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \in \text{Stab}([n-1])$,

$$\psi_{S,n}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{|S|} [s_1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t & \text{if } n-1 \in S \\ t^{|S|} [s_1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [n - s_\ell]_t & \text{if } n-1 \notin S \neq \emptyset \\ [n+1]_t & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

We use another combinatorial model slightly different from \mathcal{W}_n in the non-augmented case to prove Lemma 3.9.

Definition 3.10. For $n \geq 2$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ be the set of sequences $\mathbf{w} = w_0 w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}$ of length n in three symbols \bullet , \times , and a blank space, which also satisfy (W1), (W2), and (W3) in Definition 3.6.

Note that (W1) implies that for any $\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$, $w_0 \neq \bullet$ and there is no consecutive \bullet in \mathbf{w} . We can define $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w})$ and $\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})$ for $\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ as in Definition 3.6.

Proof of Lemma 3.9. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5. We show that (4) is obtained by counting $\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})}$ in two ways.

First, for any fixed $S \supseteq T$, $S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_\ell\} \in \text{Stab}([n-1])$, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w})=S}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} &= \begin{cases} t^{|S|} [s_1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t & \text{if } n-1 \in S \\ t^{|S|} [s_1]_t [s_2 - s_1 - 1]_t \dots [s_\ell - s_{\ell-1} - 1]_t [n - s_\ell]_t & \text{if } n-1 \notin S \neq \emptyset \\ [n+1]_t & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases} \\ &= \psi_{S,n}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = \sum_{T \subseteq S \subseteq [n-1]} \psi_{S,n}(t).$$

On the other hand, to count $t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})}$ over $\mathbf{w} = w_0 \dots w_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ with $\text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T$, fix $w_i = \bullet$ and $w_{i-1} = \times$ for all $i \in T$ first. This gives the factor $t^{|T|}$ in the right-hand side of (4). Then in the remaining $n - 2|T|$ positions, we can choose to place a \times or not. Any such selection of the remaining $n - 2|T|$ spots, there is a unique way to add \times so that the resulting sequence is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$. These selections contribute the factor $(1+t)^{n-2|T|}$ in the right-hand-side of (4). Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n \\ \text{Dot}(\mathbf{w}) \supseteq T}} t^{\text{cro}(\mathbf{w})} = t^{|T|} (1+t)^{n-2|T|}.$$

□

4. UNIFORM MATROIDS AND q -UNIFORM MATROIDS


In this section, we consider the special case that the matroid M is a uniform matroid $U_{r,n}$ of rank r and G is the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . In this case, the lattice of flats is some rank-selected subposet of the Boolean lattice $B_n = (2^{[n]}, \subseteq)$. The equivariant γ -coefficient $\tilde{H}_{|R|-1}((B_n)_R)$ has a well-studied $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module structure for any $R \subseteq [n-1]$. For the terminology of representation of \mathfrak{S}_n , standard Young tableaux, and symmetric functions in this section, we refer the readers to Wachs [22] and Stanley [19]. For the introduction to the permutation statistics such as exc , inv , maj , etc., see Chapter 1 of Stanley [20].

Let ch be the *Frobenius characteristic map* that sends an isomorphism class of $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module to a symmetric function of degree n . Let ps_q be the *stable principle specialization* that replaces x_i by q^{i-1} in a symmetric function. When considering the representation of \mathfrak{S}_n , instead of the equivariant Hilbert series, we can also use the *graded Frobenius series* to encode a graded representation of \mathfrak{S}_n as a polynomial with symmetric function coefficients. For a graded $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$, the *graded Frobenius series* of V is defined to be $\text{grFrob}(V, t) := \sum_i \text{ch}(V_i) t^i$.

Let λ, μ be two partitions such that $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ (i.e. $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$ for all i). The skew shape λ/μ is said to be a *ribbon* (or a *skew hook* or a *border strip*) if its corresponding Ferrer diagram does not contain any 2×2 subdiagram. A ribbon $H_{R,n}$ can be described in

terms of the number n of its cells and its *descent set* $R \subseteq [n - 1]$. Given a ribbon of n cells, we label the its cells with numbers 1 through n , starting from the southwestern end cell, through the adjacent cell, ending at the northeastern end cell. We say a cell i is a *descent* of the ribbon if cell $i + 1$ is directly above cell i . Then the collection of all its descents is called its *descent set*.

Example 4.1. Let $n = 7$. Then the diagrams of $H_{\{2,4\},7}$ and $H_{\{1,3\},7}$ are



Let $S^{\lambda/\mu}$ be the *Specht module* of skew shape λ/μ . If λ/μ is a ribbon $H_{R,n}$, the corresponding Specht module $S^{H_{R,n}}$ is known as a *Foulkes representation*. We summarize some facts of this representation from [18, Theorem 4.3] and [22, Theorem 3.4.4] in the following theorem.

For a partition λ of n , denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$, let $SYT(\lambda)$ be the set of standard Young tableaux of shape λ . For $P \in SYT(\lambda)$, let $\text{DES}(P)$ be the set of entries (called descents) $i \in [n - 1]$ such that $i + 1$ appears in a lower row than i in P , and $\text{des}(P) = |\text{DES}(P)|$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $R \subseteq [n - 1]$.

(i) Then

$$\tilde{H}_{|R|-1}((B_n)_R) \cong_{\mathfrak{S}_n} S^{H_{R,n}} \cong_{\mathfrak{S}_n} \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} b_\lambda(R) S^\lambda,$$

where $b_\lambda(R)$ is the number of $P \in SYT(\lambda)$ for which $\text{DES}(P) = R$.

(ii) Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of positive integers and \mathbb{P}_n the set of words of length n over \mathbb{P} . For $w = w_1 \dots w_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, we say $i \in \text{DES}(w)$ if $w_i > w_{i+1}$ and write $\mathbf{x}_w = x_{w_1} \dots x_{w_n}$. Then the Frobenius characteristic is the ribbon Schur function

$$\text{ch}(\tilde{H}_{|R|-1}((B_n)_R)) = s_{H_{R,n}} = \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{P}_n \\ \text{DES}(w)=R}} \mathbf{x}_w,$$

Applying Theorem 3.4 to the uniform matroids $U_{r,n}$ together with Theorem 2.1, one obtains the graded Frobenius series of $A(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Applying the operator $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i) \text{ps}_q(-)$ to the graded Frobenius series gives the Hilbert series of q -Uniform matroid $U_{r,n}(q)$ (See Section 3 in [13] for the explanation). Note that the stable principle specialization on the ribbon Schur function gives

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i) \text{ps}_q(s_{R,n}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \text{DES}(\sigma)=R}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \text{DES}(\sigma)=R}} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

(see [16, Thm. 4.4] and its proof for detailed discussion of the above identity). We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *For any positive integer n and $1 \leq r \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grFrob}(A(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} s_{H_{R,n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|R|} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R,n}} \right) t^k (1+t)^{r-1-2k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Hilb}(A(U_{r,n}(q)), t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{r,n,k}(q) t^k (1+t)^{r-1-2k}$$

where

$$\xi_{r,n,k}(q) = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

and the sum runs through all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ for which $\text{DES}(\sigma) \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])$ has k elements.

Remark 4.1. Corollary 4.3 generalizes Corollary 4.10 and 4.11 in the author's previous work [13]

Applying Theorem 3.8 and the similar procedures to $U_{r,n}$ gives the following:

Corollary 4.4. *For any positive integer n and $1 \leq r \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grFrob}(\tilde{A}(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t) &= \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([r-1])} s_{H_{R,n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{r-2|R|} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([r-1]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R,n}} \right) t^k (1+t)^{r-2k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Hilb}(\tilde{A}(U_{r,n}(q)), t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{\xi}_{r,n,k}(q) t^k (1+t)^{r-2k},$$

where

$$\tilde{\xi}_{r,n,k}(q) = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

and the sum runs through all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ for which $\text{DES}(\sigma) \in \text{Stab}([r-1])$ has k elements.

Remark 4.2. Corollary 4.4 generalizes Corollary 4.13 and 4.14 in the author's previous work in [13].

Recall from Corollary 4.2 and 4.4 in [13] that

$$\text{grFrob}(A(U_{n-1,n}), t) = t^{-1} Q_n^0(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{grFrob}(A(U_{n,n}), t) = \text{grFrob}(\tilde{A}(U_{n-1,n}), t) = Q_n(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{grFrob}(\tilde{A}(U_{n,n}), t) = \tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (7)$$

Here Q_n^0 , Q_n , \tilde{Q}_n are *Eulerian quasisymmetric functions* introduced by Shareshian and Wachs [15, 16], which can be defined as follows:

$$Q_n^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} F_{\text{DEX}(\sigma), n}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)}, \quad Q_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} F_{\text{DEX}(\sigma), n}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} F_{\text{DEX}(\sigma), n}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1}, \quad (9)$$

where $F_{S, n}(\mathbf{x})$ for $S \subseteq [n-1]$ is Gessel's *fundamental quasisymmetric function*¹

$$F_{S, n}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\substack{i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_n \\ j \in S \Rightarrow i_j > i_{j+1}}} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n} \quad \text{with } F_{\emptyset, 0} := 1,$$

and D_n is the subset of derangements of \mathfrak{S}_n , and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ is the set of *decorated permutations* satisfying $D_n \subset \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$. See [13] for the definition of the set statistic DEX over $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ and more detail of these symmetric functions. From Lemma 6.20 in [14], applying $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i) \text{ps}_q(-)$ to Q_n^0 , Q_n , and \tilde{Q}_n yields the q -analogs of the Eulerian type polynomials

$$d_n(q, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)}, \quad A_n(q, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)},$$

and

$$\tilde{A}_n(q, t) := 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(q, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1}.$$

Let NDD_n be the set of words $w \in \mathbb{P}_n$ with no double descents, i.e. $\text{DES}(w) \in \text{Stab}([n-1])$. Combining (5) and Corollary 4.3, we have:

Corollary 4.5 (cf. Shareshian and Wachs [15], Athanasiadis [3]). *For positive integer $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^0(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([2, n-2])} s_{H_{R, n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|R|} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_1 \leq w_2, w_{n-1} \leq w_n}} \mathbf{x}_w t^{\text{des}(w)+1} (1+t)^{n-2-2\text{des}(w)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{n, k}^0(\mathbf{x}) t^{k+1} (1+t)^{n-2-2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_{n, k}^0(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([2, n-2]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R, n}} = \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_1 \leq w_2, w_{n-1} \leq w_n \\ \text{des}(w)=k}} \mathbf{x}_w.$$

¹Note that our definition of the fundamental quasisymmetric function is the reverse of the usual one. The usual definition is summed over $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n$ with $j \in S$ implying $i_j < i_{j+1}$.

And

$$d_n(q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{n,k}^0(q) t^{k+1} (1+t)^{n-2-2k},$$

where

$$\xi_{n,k}^0(q) = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

and both of the sums run through $\sigma \in D_n$ which has k descents and no double descents, no first, no last descent.

Combining (6) with Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 yields the following:

Corollary 4.6 (cf. Shareshian and Wachs [15], Athanasiadis [3]). *For positive integer $n \geq 1$, we have the following expressions*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([2, n-1])} s_{H_{R,n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|R|} = \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([n-2])} s_{H_{R,n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|R|} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_1 \leq w_2}} \mathbf{x}_w t^{\text{des}(w)} (1+t)^{n-1-2\text{des}(w)} = \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_{n-1} \leq w_n}} \mathbf{x}_w t^{\text{des}(w)} (1+t)^{n-1-2\text{des}(w)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([2, n-1]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R,n}} = \sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([n-2]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R,n}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_1 \leq w_2 \\ \text{des}(w)=k}} \mathbf{x}_w = \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ w_{n-1} \leq w_n \\ \text{des}(w)=k}} \mathbf{x}_w. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$A_n(q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{n,k}(q) t^{k+1} (1+t)^{n-1-2k},$$

where

$$\xi_{n,k}(q) = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

and both of the sums run through either:

- $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with k descents and no double descents, no first descent.
- $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with k descents and no double descents, no last descent.

Remark 4.3. In [3, Theorem 2.1], Athanasiadis summarizes different interpretations of the γ -coefficients for the Eulerian polynomial. In particular, the interpretations are given by counting permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n related to descent set in $\text{Stab}([2, n-1])$ or in $\text{Stab}([n-2])$. This fact is lifted to the q -analog and the symmetric function analog in Corollary 4.6. The agreement of the two interpretations regarding $\text{Stab}([2, n-1])$ and $\text{Stab}([n-2])$ was shown algebraically by (6).

Combining (7) and Corollary 4.4 gives the following:

Corollary 4.7 (cf. Shareshian and Wachs [16], Athanasiadis [3]). *For positive integer $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([n-1])} s_{H_{R,n}} t^{|R|} (1+t)^{n-2|R|} \\ &= \sum_{w \in NDD_n} \mathbf{x}_w t^{\text{des}(w)} (1+t)^{n-2\text{des}(w)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) t^k (1+t)^{n-2k},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{R \in \text{Stab}([n-1]) \\ |R|=k}} s_{H_{R,n}} = \sum_{\substack{w \in NDD_n \\ \text{des}(w)=k}} \mathbf{x}_w.$$

And

$$\tilde{A}_n(q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(q) t^k (1+t)^{n-2k},$$

where

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(q) = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} = \sum_{\sigma} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

and both of the sums run through $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with k descents and no double descents.

5. A PROBLEM PROPOSED BY ATHANASIADIS

In this section, we apply some results from Section 4 to solve a problem proposed by Athanasiadis [3, Problem 2.5].

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we set

$$\text{des}^*(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{des}(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma_1 = 1 \\ \text{des}(\sigma) - 1 & \text{if } \sigma_1 > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Recall the following (p, q) -analog of the γ -expansion of the Eulerian polynomial $A_n(t)$ in [3].

Theorem 5.1 (see [3, Theorem 2.3]). *For all positive integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{\text{des}^*(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{n,k}(p, q) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}$$

where

$$\xi_{n,k}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma} p^{\text{des}(\sigma^{-1})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})}$$

and the sum runs through $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with k descents and no double descents, no last descent.

Recall a similar q -analog of the γ -expansion of the binomial Eulerian polynomial $\tilde{A}_n(t)$ found by Shareshian and Wachs [16] is given in Corollary 4.7. Athanasiadis proposed the following problem.

Problem 5.2 ([3, Problem 2.5]). *Find a p -analog of the q -polynomial in Corollary 4.7 that is similar to Theorem 5.1.*

The idea of finding such a (p, q) -analog of $\tilde{A}_n(t)$ is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. But the key step is using (9)², which interprets the expansion of $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ into fundamental quasisymmetric functions in terms of decorated permutations $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$.

Before answering Athanasiadis' question, let us first generalize Corollary 2.41 in [3], which states the γ -positivity for the coefficient of Schur-expansions of $Q_n^0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $Q_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Note that Q_n and Q_n^0 are related to the graded Frobenius series of the Chow ring of $U_{n,n}$ and $U_{n-1,n}$ respectively. Here we generalize these results to $U_{r,n}$ for any $1 \leq r \leq n$ and also to the augmented case. Let

$$\text{grFrob}(A(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} P_{\lambda}^r(t) s_{\lambda} \text{ and } \text{grFrob}(\tilde{A}(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \tilde{P}_{\lambda}^r(t) s_{\lambda}.$$

Corollary 5.3. *For $\lambda \vdash n$, we have*

$$P_{\lambda}^r(t) = \sum_{\substack{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \\ \text{DES}(P) \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])}} t^{\text{des}(P)} (1+t)^{r-1-2\text{des}(P)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \xi_{r,\lambda,k} t^k (1+t)^{r-1-2k}$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_{\lambda}^r(t) = \sum_{\substack{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \\ \text{DES}(P) \in \text{Stab}([r-1])}} t^{\text{des}(P)} (1+t)^{r-2\text{des}(P)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{\xi}_{r,\lambda,k} t^k (1+t)^{r-2k}$$

where $\xi_{r,\lambda,k}$ (respectively, $\tilde{\xi}_{r,\lambda,k}$) is the number of tableaux $P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ for which $\text{DES}(P) \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])$ (respectively, $\text{Stab}([r-1])$) has k elements.

Proof. Consider the non-augmented case. Apply Corollary 4.3 and use Theorem 2.1 (i) to expand $s_{H_{R,n}}$ into Schur functions of regular shapes. We have

$$\text{grFrob}(A(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \left(\sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} b_{\lambda}(R) t^{|R|} (1+t)^{n-2|R|} \right) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Comparing the coefficient of $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain

$$P_{\lambda}^r(t) = \sum_{R \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])} b_{\lambda}(R) t^{|R|} (1+t)^{r-1-2|R|} = \sum_{\substack{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \\ \text{DES}(P) \in \text{Stab}([2, r-1])}} t^{\text{des}(P)} (1+t)^{r-1-2\text{des}(P)}.$$

The augmented can be worked out similarly. \square

Remark 5.1. For general $1 \leq r \leq n$, the symmetric function polynomials $\text{grFrob}(A(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t)$ and $\text{grFrob}(\tilde{A}(U_{r,n})_{\mathbb{C}}, t)$ each has two difference expressions as shown in [13, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3]. Unfortunately, we do not have nice combinatorial expressions as the cases $r = n-1$, n in (8) and (9).

²This interpretation is proved in the author's previous work [14, Theorem 6.21]. The original definition given by Shareshian and Wachs [16] is $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t) := h_n + t \sum_{k=1}^n h_{n-k} Q_k(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

In particular, when $r = n$ in the augmented case of Corollary 5.3, it states that the Schur-expansion of the binomial Eulerian quasisymmetric function $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ has γ -positive coefficients. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.4.

We used stable principle specialization to obtain many interesting q -analogs in the previous sections. To obtain a (p, q) -analog of $\tilde{A}_n(t)$, we need a different specialization. Given a formal power series $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and a positive integer $m \geq 1$, the *principal specialization* $\text{ps}_{q,m}(f)$ of f is obtained from f by setting

$$x_i = \begin{cases} q^{i-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq m+1 \end{cases}.$$

Then the principal specialization of $F_{S,n}$ (see [11, Lemma 5.2]) and $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ (see [19, Proposition 7.19.12]) are given by the formulas

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(F_{S,n}(\mathbf{x}))p^{m-1} = \frac{p^{|S|}q^{\sum_{i \in S} i}}{(1-p)(1-pq) \dots (1-pq^n)} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}))p^{m-1} = \frac{\sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} p^{\text{des}(P)} q^{\text{maj}(P)}}{(1-p)(1-pq) \dots (1-pq^n)}. \quad (11)$$

For any decorated permutation $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$, we set

$$\tilde{\text{des}}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{des}(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma_1 = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ \text{des}(\sigma) - 1 & \text{if } \sigma_1 > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Now we are ready to state our (p, q) -analog for $\tilde{A}_n(t)$.

Theorem 5.4. *For all positive integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} p^{\tilde{\text{des}}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1} &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n \\ \text{DEX}(\sigma) \in \text{Stab}([n-1])}} p^{\text{des}(\sigma^{-1})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} t^{\text{des}(\sigma)} (1+t)^{n-2\text{des}(\sigma)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(p, q) t^k (1+t)^{n-2k} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma} p^{\text{des}(\sigma^{-1})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})}$$

and the sum runs through $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ with k descents and no double descents.

Proof. First applying principal specialization on (9) and by (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t))p^{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} \sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(F_{\text{DEX}(\sigma),n}(\mathbf{x}))p^{m-1} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} p^{\tilde{\text{des}}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1}}{(1-p)(1-pq) \dots (1-pq^n)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 6.20 in [14]}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)) p^{m-1} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \tilde{P}_\lambda^n(t) \sum_{m \geq 1} \text{ps}_{q,m}(s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})) p^{m-1} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \tilde{P}_\lambda^n(t) \sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} p^{\text{des}(P)} q^{\text{maj}(P)}}{(1-p)(1-pq) \dots (1-pq^n)} \quad (\text{by (11)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n} p^{\text{des}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)+1} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} \tilde{P}_\lambda^n(t) p^{\text{des}(P)} q^{\text{maj}(P)} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \\ \text{DES}(Q) \in \text{Stab}([n-1])}} p^{\text{des}(P)} q^{\text{maj}(P)} t^{\text{des}(Q)} (1+t)^{n-2\text{des}(Q)} \quad (\text{by Corollary 5.3}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n \\ \text{DES}(\sigma) \in \text{Stab}([n-1])}} p^{\text{des}(\sigma^{-1})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})} t^{\text{des}(\sigma)} (1+t)^{n-2\text{des}(\sigma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained by applying the RSK algorithm in Section 7.11 and Lemma 7.23.1 in Stanley [19]. \square

6. FINAL REMARK

Besides the uniform matroids, another class of matroids that our γ -coefficient interpretation seems to give some interesting results is the *Braid matroids* K_n . It is the matroid associated with the hyperplane arrangement whose intersection lattice is given by the partition lattice Π_n , or equivalently, the graphical matroid of the complete graph. To the best of our knowledge, there are no general formulas known for the Hilbert series of Chow ring $A(K_n)$ and augmented Chow ring $\tilde{A}(K_n)$. Applying Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\text{Hilb}_G(A(K_n), t) = \sum_{S \subseteq \text{Stab}([2, n-2])} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}((\Pi_n)_S)] t^{|S|} (1+t)^{n-2-2|S|}$$

and

$$\text{Hilb}_G(\tilde{A}(K_n), t) = \sum_{S \subseteq \text{Stab}([n-2])} [\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}((\Pi_n)_S)] t^{|S|} (1+t)^{n-1-2|S|}.$$

These give an explicit connection between the (augmented) Chow ring of the braid matroid K_n and the top reduced homology of the rank-selected partition lattice $(\Pi_n)_S$. Though the characterization of the structure of $\tilde{H}_{|S|-1}((\Pi_n)_S)$ is also an open problem proposed by Stanley [18], and still remains open for most of $S \subseteq [n-2]$ nowadays, any development on either of the problems might shed light on the other one.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Victor Reiner for suggesting the problem of extending the equivariant Charney-Davis quantity results in [13] which leads to the genesis of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Adiprasito, J. Huh, and E. Katz. Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries. *Annals of Mathematics*, 188(2):381–452, 2018.
- [2] R. Angarone, A. Nathanson, and V. Reiner. Chow rings of matroids as permutation representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14312*, 2023.
- [3] C. A. Athanasiadis. Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 77:Art. B77i, 64, [2016–2018].
- [4] T. Braden, J. Huh, J. P. Matherne, N. Proudfoot, and B. Wang. Singular Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06088*, 2020.
- [5] T. Braden, J. Huh, J. P. Matherne, N. Proudfoot, and B. Wang. A semi-small decomposition of the Chow ring of a matroid. *Advance in Mathematics*, 409:108646, 2022.
- [6] G. Dorpalen-Barry, J. Maglione, and C. Stump. The Poincaré-extended ab-index. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.05904*, 2023.
- [7] C. Eur, J. Huh, and M. Larson. Stellahedral geometry of matroids. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 11:e24, 2023.
- [8] E. Feichtner and S. Yuzvinsky. Chow rings of toric varieties defined by atomic lattices. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 155(3):515–536, 2004.
- [9] L. Ferroni, J. P. Matherne, M. Stevens, and L. Vecchi. Hilbert–Poincaré series of matroid Chow rings and intersection cohomology. *Advances in Mathematics*, 449:109733, 2024.
- [10] L. Ferroni, J. P. Matherne, and L. Vecchi. Chow functions for partially ordered sets. *personal communication*, in preparation.
- [11] I. M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer. Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 64(2):189–215, 1993.
- [12] H.-C. Liao. Stembridge codes and Chow rings. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 89B:Article #88, 12pp, 2023.
- [13] H.-C. Liao. Chow rings and augmented Chow rings of uniform matroids and their q -analogues. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.19660*, 2024.
- [14] H.-C. Liao. Stembridge codes, permutahedral varieties, and their extensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10577*, 2024.
- [15] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. Eulerian quasisymmetric functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 225(6):2921–2966, 2010.
- [16] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. Gamma-positivity of variations of Eulerian polynomials. *Journal of Combinatorics*, 11(1):1–33, 2020.
- [17] R. P. Stanley. Finite lattices and Jordan–Hölder sets. *Algebra Universalis*, 4:361–371, 1974.
- [18] R. P. Stanley. Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 32(2):132–161, 1982.
- [19] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics Volume 2*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 1999.
- [20] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics Volume 1*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 2011.
- [21] C. Stump. Chow and augmented Chow polynomials as evaluations of Poincaré-extended ab-indices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18932*, 2024.
- [22] M. L. Wachs. Poset topology: Tools and applications. In E. Miller, V. Reiner, and B. Sturmfels, editors, *Geometric Combinatorics*, volume IAS/Park City Mathematics Series 13, pages 497–615. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS, ONE BROOKINGS DRIVE, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63130, USA

Email address: liaoh@wustl.edu