

Perfect Wave Transfer in Continuous Quantum Systems

Per Moosavi,^{1,*} Matthias Christandl,^{2,†} Gian Michele Graf,^{3,‡} and Spyros Sotiriadis^{4,5,§}

¹*Department of Physics, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden*

²*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

³*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 27, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

⁴*Institute of Theoretical and Computational Physics, Department of Physics, University of Crete, 71003 Heraklion, Greece*

⁵*Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany*

(Dated: August 1, 2024)

We study the perfect transfer of information in 1+1D continuous quantum systems. This includes effective descriptions of inhomogeneous spin chains, for which the notion of perfect state transfer in quantum information was introduced, and here phrased in terms of waves. We show that reflection symmetry is necessary for perfect wave transfer (PWT) in any inhomogeneous conformal field theory, and even sufficient when restricted to one-particle excitations. To determine if or when it is sufficient more generally, we first break conformal invariance and study a broad class of 1+1D bosonic theories. We show that the question can then be posed as an inverse Sturm-Liouville problem that determines when the bosonic theory exhibits PWT. We demonstrate how to uniquely solve this problem, which also shows that reflection symmetry is sufficient for the special case with conformal invariance. Using bosonization, our continuum results extend these notions to interacting quantum field theories.

Introduction.—Non-photonic quantum communication enables the efficient transmission of information from one quantum register to another [1]. This is desirable for quantum networks and computation [2, 3], not least since it allows for the perfect transfer of states even without dynamical control [4, 5]. First shown theoretically for communication channels in the form of a spin chain with spatially varying hopping, such *perfect state transfer* (PST) was extended to spins on graphs and other networks [6–14] and demonstrated experimentally [15–20]. However, the notion has remained squarely in the discrete realm.

In this paper, we extend PST to continuous systems in one space and one time dimension (1+1D). In so doing, a rephrasing in terms of waves becomes natural, motivating the term *perfect wave transfer* (PWT). As for states in PST, a system on the interval $[-L/2, L/2]$ of length L with suitable boundary conditions (BCs) exhibits PWT if the propagation of any initial wave, after some time T , amounts to its reflection; see Fig. 1. Concretely: *The system enjoys PWT if*

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x, 0) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}(-x, T) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

for all time-evolved observables $\mathcal{O}(x, t)$ and any expectation value $\langle \cdot \rangle$. We focus on realizations that do not need dynamical control, but the same problem is also interesting for driven systems [18–20].

Related recent advances in the continuum include non-equilibrium studies of inhomogeneous versions of conformal field theory (CFT). These are conformally-invariant quantum field theories deformed so that the usual constant propagation velocity becomes a profile $v(x)$ [21–26]. Among their applications, such *inhomogeneous CFTs* effectively describe gapless quantum many-body systems with mesoscopic inhomogeneities, such as spin chains with spatially varying hopping, trapped ultracold atoms, and arrays of quantum circuits; cf. [27]. Special cases in-

clude the square-root profile $v(x) = v\sqrt{1 - (2x/L)^2}$ for some constant $v > 0$ [28, 29]—the continuum counterpart of the inhomogeneous spin chain in [4] that first exhibited PST—and profiles produced by Möbius transformations [30–37]. Note that for PWT, as for other transport properties of finite systems, the BCs [29, 38–40] are crucial. Furthermore, inhomogeneous CFT can be viewed as a theory in curved spacetime. As such, besides applications to quantum communication in solid-state devices [41, 42], our work also relates to relativistic quantum information [43, 44]. Since the same theories are also natural to study on the half or full infinite line, our results may likewise be relevant for long-distance quantum communication [45].




Figure 1. (a) Illustration of PWT with Neumann BCs showing an initial wave that evolves to its reflection at a certain time T . (b) Its manifestation in an inhomogeneous CFT with a given profile $v(x)/v_0$ (inset), showing a localized initial wave propagating along curved light-cone trajectories that recombine at the reflected point at $t = T \equiv L/v_0$ given by Eq. (7). Specifically, the magnitude of boson two-point correlations (17) at the free-fermion point is plotted, which by bosonization encodes the same information as $\log F(t)$ in Eq. (5) for delta-like waves ξ_1 centered at $-3L/8$ and ξ_2 at x (setting $k_F = 0$ for simplicity and $t' = 0$).

Engineering PST/PWT is at heart a spectral problem, amounting to whether the propagator coincides with the parity operator at certain times. We address this engineering question for quantum field theories describing a continuous quantum communication channel. Remarkably, for bosonic theories [46–53], we arrive at an inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators, which we solve and whose solution determines if the channel enjoys PWT. Using bosonization [54–59], our results extend the notion of PST/PWT to a large class of systems, including interacting fermionic quantum field theories.

Inhomogeneous CFT.—To begin with, we study the continuum limit of the inhomogeneous spin chains in [1, 4]. In the gapless regime, the continuous counterpart is an *inhomogeneous CFT* with a velocity profile $v(x) > 0$, $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$ [21–26]. The simplest example is that of inhomogeneous free fermions, given by the Hamiltonian (up to an overall constant and setting $\hbar = 1$)

$$H_{\text{IFF}} \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \frac{v(x)}{2} \left[: \psi_+^\dagger(-i) \partial_x \psi_+ : + : \psi_-^\dagger i \partial_x \psi_- : + \text{h.c.} \right] \quad (2)$$

with fields $\psi_\pm(x)$ of right(+)/left(-) fermions satisfying $\{\psi_\pm(x), \psi_\pm^\dagger(x')\} = \delta(x - x')$ and $\{\psi_\pm(x), \psi_\mp^\dagger(x')\} = 0$. Here, $:\dots:$ denotes Wick (normal) ordering with respect to the ground state $|\Omega\rangle$ (which for bilinears in the fields amounts to an additive renormalization). For simplicity, we focus on the theory (2), while general CFTs are discussed in the Supplementary Material (SM) [60].

We assume open (Neumann) BCs at $x = \pm L/2$. These can be incorporated by standard unfolding methods; cf. [38, 57, 58, 61–63]: Couple right and left movers by identifying $\psi_-(x) = \psi_+(L - x)$, giving a chiral theory of only right-moving fermions on $[-L/2, 3L/2]$ with periodic BCs and velocity $v(x)$ for $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$ and $v(L - x)$ for $x \in [L/2, 3L/2]$. This implies zero particle flow at $x = \pm L/2$ since the two fermion densities $\rho_\pm(x) = : \psi_\pm^\dagger(x) \psi_\pm(x) :$ are equal at each boundary and the current is $\propto \rho_+ - \rho_-$.

PST was probed in [4] using a time-dependent overlap between one-particle states that are reflections of each other. Its continuum counterpart is to study states created by fields

$$\psi(x) \equiv e^{ik_F x} \psi_+(x) + e^{-ik_F x} \psi_-(x), \quad (3)$$

where k_F denotes the Fermi momentum. Eq. (3) corresponds to the low-energy linearization of the spin-chain dispersion relation around its Fermi points $\pm k_F$, followed by recentering the resulting fields so they describe right/left-moving excitations around zero momentum. As a preparatory subset of possible states, consider

$$|\Psi_j\rangle \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \xi_j(x) \psi^\dagger(x) |\Omega\rangle \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (4)$$

for smooth enough functions $\xi_j(x)$, interpreted as distri-

butions or “wave packets” of fermionic excitations in each state, and study the time-dependent overlap

$$F(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_2 | e^{-iH_{\text{IFF}} t} | \Psi_1 \rangle. \quad (5)$$

The states (4) form a restricted class as only one-particle excitations are possible and as right/left movers have the same particle distributions; cf. the more general treatment in the SM [60] where we lift the latter restriction.

To probe PWT for one-particle excitations as done in [4], we restrict to specular waves, $\xi_2(x) = \xi_1(-x)$, and compare $F(t)$ in Eq. (5) with $\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle$. We say that: *There is one-particle PWT if there exists $T > 0$ so that*

$$|F(T)| = |\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle| \quad (6)$$

for all initial waves $\xi_1(x)$ in Eq. (4) [64].

Eq. (6) amounts to a comparison between two-point correlation functions at equal and non-equal times. Such can be computed in any inhomogeneous CFT by mapping them to the corresponding homogeneous correlations [26]. The details are given in the SM [60] and boil down to comparing spacetime points after conformal transformations to coordinates given by

$$y = y(x) \equiv \int_0^x ds \frac{v_0}{v(s)}, \quad \frac{1}{v_0} \equiv \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{ds}{v(s)}. \quad (7)$$

The outcome of the comparison shows that reflection symmetry is essential:

An inhomogeneous CFT exhibits one-particle PWT if and only if $v(x)$ is even.

The corresponding times are odd multiples, $(2m + 1)T$ for any integer m , of the time $T = L/v_0$ that excitations take to cross the entire system’s length. For later usage, we note that $y \in [-L/2, L/2]$ if $v(x)$ is even.

As an example, consider the square-root profile $v(x) = v\sqrt{1 - (2x/L)^2}$, which gave the effective low-energy theory of the spin chain in [4]. This continuum theory exhibits PWT, just like for any even profile $v(x)$, in contrast to [4] where that profile was exceptional in this respect. Notably, the square-root profile is positive everywhere except at $x = \pm L/2$. Thus, strictly speaking, $v(x)$ should be regularized before using the tools in [26], but since the integral defining $1/v_0$ in Eq. (7) converges, it has no consequence for PWT. Oppositely, the limiting case of inhomogeneous CFT given by Möbius transformations so that $v(x)$ decays to zero at the boundaries cannot exhibit PWT since $1/v_0$ diverges.

Bosonic theories.—The above result and observations should not come as a surprise. After all, if one tries to compare discrete and continuous theories, then an inhomogeneous CFT can only describe low-energy excitations of a given lattice model. Moreover, one can show [60] that any Hamiltonian (with pure point spectrum) must commute with the parity operator for PWT to be possible, i.e., $v(x)$ must be even. The general structure

of the spectrum due to conformal invariance in 1+1D [65] opens up the possibility that it might also be sufficient; e.g., the example (2) has a linear spectrum and is one-particle reducible, suggesting that if PWT holds for one-particle excitations then this should extend to many particles. The remainder of this paper concerns to what extent this is true more generally. Namely: *What are necessary and sufficient conditions for PWT (1) for any number of excitations?*

To answer this question, we first break conformal invariance. Examples include 1+1D fermions with non-local interactions [66–68], while here we focus on bosons, specifically inhomogeneous Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids (TLLs) [46–53]. This theory is formulated using a compactified bosonic field $\varphi(x)$ (modulo 2π) with conjugate $\Pi(x)$ satisfying $[\partial_x\varphi(x), \Pi(x')] = i\partial_x\delta(x-x')$. The Hamiltonian is (up to an overall constant)

$$H_{\text{TLL}} \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dx}{2\pi} v(x) : \left(\frac{[\pi\Pi(x)]^2}{K(x)} + K(x)[\partial_x\varphi(x)]^2 \right) :, \quad (8)$$

where, besides $v(x) > 0$, the so-called Luttinger parameter $K(x) > 0$ also depends on x . For simplicity, we assume that both functions are smooth. When $K(x) = K$ is constant, Eq. (8) is an inhomogeneous CFT, and when $K = 1$, it is the bosonized version of the free fermions (2) [54–59]. However, $K'(x) \neq 0$ locally couples right and left movers non-trivially, thus they do not commute and the theory is not conformal [53]. Again, we impose open BCs, namely zero current at the boundaries:

$$j(x)|_{x=\pm L/2} = 0, \quad \text{where } j(x) \equiv -\frac{v(x)K(x)}{\pi} \partial_x\varphi(x) \quad (9)$$

is the total particle current. Unless stated otherwise, we also assume that $K'(x)|_{x=\pm L/2} = 0$ so that right and left movers are only coupled via the BCs (9) at those points.

Sturm-Liouville (SL) theory.—Following [27, 69–74], we use SL theory to find eigenfunctions, using which the fields can be expanded and the Hamiltonian (8) diagonalized. (This generalizes [27] as $v(x), K(x)$ are unrelated and differs from the approach in [53].) Indeed, using integration by parts and Eq. (9),

$$H_{\text{TLL}} = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dx}{2\pi} w(x) : \left(\left[\frac{\pi\Pi(x)}{w(x)} \right]^2 + \varphi(x)\mathcal{A}\varphi(x) \right) : \quad (10)$$

with the SL operator

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv -\frac{1}{w(x)} [\partial_x p(x) \partial_x + q(x)], \quad (11)$$

$$w(x) \equiv \frac{K(x)}{v(x)}, \quad p(x) \equiv v(x)K(x), \quad q(x) \equiv 0, \quad (12)$$

where \mathcal{A} acts on the space \mathcal{D} of smooth [75] functions $u(x)$ on $[-L/2, L/2]$ that satisfy $v(x)K(x)\partial_x u(x)|_{x=\pm L/2} = 0$, i.e., Neumann BCs when $v(x), K(x) > 0$.

We want to solve the SL problem

$$\mathcal{A}u = \lambda u, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (13)$$

A distinction is warranted: Eq. (13) is regular if certain technical conditions are satisfied [76]; otherwise it is irregular. Its importance stems from properties that hold for regular problems [77]:

- (i) The spectrum consists of eigenvalues λ_n that are real and ordered: $0 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots$
- (ii) Each λ_n has a unique square-integrable eigenfunction $u_n(x)$ with n isolated zeros in $[-L/2, L/2]$.
- (iii) The $u_n(x)$ form a complete orthonormal basis for square-integrable functions on $[-L/2, L/2]$ with weight $w(x)$: $\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx w(x) u_n(x) u_{n'}(x) = \delta_{n,n'}$.

Furthermore, assuming that the integral defining $1/v_0$ in Eq. (7) converges:

- (iv) The λ_n can at most grow as n^2 for large n , i.e., $\lambda_n = O(n^2)$.

The last follows by Weyl's law; see the SM [60] and, e.g., [78, 79]. Simply put, it gives a semi-classical estimate of the number of eigenvalues below a given large $\lambda > 0$ for Laplace-type operators.

Unless otherwise stated, our SL problem will be regular. If irregular, the above properties are not guaranteed, while in certain cases they survive. Note also that, since $q(x) = 0$ here, $u_0(x) = \text{const}$ solves Eq. (13) with $\lambda_0 = 0$.

The stated properties allow us to expand

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n u_n(x), \quad \Pi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pi_n w(x) u_n(x), \quad (14)$$

whereby we obtain $[\varphi_n, \Pi_{n'}] = \delta_{n,n'}$ and can diagonalize H_{TLL} in Eq. (8). Indeed, the latter becomes

$$H_{\text{TLL}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\pi\Pi_0]^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n a_n^\dagger a_n \quad (15)$$

with eigenenergies

$$E_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n}, \quad (16)$$

where we expressed $\varphi_n = \sqrt{\pi/2E_n} (a_n + a_n^\dagger)$ and $\Pi_n = -i\sqrt{E_n/2\pi} (a_n - a_n^\dagger)$ for $n \geq 1$ in bosonic operators a_n^\dagger , a_n with $[a_n, a_{n'}^\dagger] = \delta_{n,n'}$. Note that φ_0 (and zero modes in general) should be treated separately, but this will have no consequence here. In the SM [60], we also discuss SL operators (11) with $q(x) \neq 0$, corresponding to an inhomogeneous (possibly imaginary) mass $M(x)$ by adding $v_0^2 M(x)^2 \varphi(x)^2$ inside the big parentheses in Eq. (8).

The time evolution of any observable, such as $\varphi(x)$, will contain terms like $a_n^\dagger e^{iE_n t} u_n(x)$ and $a_n e^{-iE_n t} u_n(x)$. Thus, as shown in the SM [60], to exhibit PWT as defined by Eq. (1), all $u_n(x)$ must have definite parity, i.e., be invariant under reflections $x \mapsto -x$ up to a sign, which must equal the value of each phase factor $e^{\pm iE_n T}$ for a

common $T > 0$. Moreover, the $u_n(x)$ have definite parity if and only if $v(x)$, $K(x)$ [and $q(x)$ if non-zero] are even, i.e., H_{TLL} is reflection symmetric. In conclusion, PWT boils down to requirements on the spectrum:

An inhomogeneous TLL exhibits PWT if and only if $v(x)$ and $K(x)$ are even and all eigenvalues are of the form $E_n = \pi m_n/T$ for certain integers m_n .

From Properties (i) and (ii) and since $\lambda_0 = 0$ here, these integers m_n satisfy $0 = m_0 < m_1 < \dots$ with m_n even (odd) if n is even (odd). Moreover, from Property (iv), they scale as $m_n \sim n$ for large n , i.e., $m_n = n + o(n)$.

Lastly, to probe PWT based on Eq. (5), we note that the results above allow one to compute correlation functions for inhomogeneous TLLs; see the SM [60]. In particular, the ground-state $\varphi\varphi$ correlation function is

$$\langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \varphi(x', t') | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{2E_n} u_n(x) u_n(x') e^{-iE_n(t-t')}. \quad (17)$$

Thus, for a spectrum satisfying the PWT requirements, $\langle \Omega | \varphi(x, T) \varphi(x', 0) | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | \varphi(-x, 0) \varphi(x', 0) | \Omega \rangle$, meaning that, for fixed x' , the correlations at $t = T$ are reflections of those at $t = 0$. In Fig. 1, we plot Eq. (17) for $K(x) = 1$, which, by bosonization, is related to $\log F(t)$ in Eq. (5), in that it encodes the same information; see the SM [60].

Inverse Sturm-Liouville problem.—Reversing the logic, the PWT requirements on the spectrum yield an inverse spectral problem for SL operators with Neumann BCs [and $q(x) = 0$]:

Given a spectrum $E_n = \pi m_n/T$ for integers m_n as above, determine the even functions $v(x)$ and $K(x)$.

A related prominent problem is the inverse scattering occurring in the theory of integrable PDEs, whose solution is given by the Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko equation. However, that case is formulated on an infinite line, while here we are interested in a finite interval.

Before a general discussion, we consider two examples:

The inverse SL problem has a known solution when the spectrum is exactly linear: For $K(x) = K > 0$ constant but $v(x) > 0$ general, the SL operator is $\mathcal{A} = -v(x)\partial_x v(x)\partial_x = -v_0^2 \partial_y^2$ using Eq. (7) with eigenenergies $E_n = \pi n v_0/L$ and eigenfunctions $u_{n>0}(x) = \sqrt{2v_0/L} \cos(n\pi[L+2y]/2L)$ and $u_0(x) = \sqrt{v_0/L}$. This is precisely the conformal case of non-interacting right/left movers, enjoying PWT for any even $v(x) > 0$.

In the previous example, the SL operator was regular. Relaxing this condition by taking $v(x) = v\sqrt{1 - (2x/L)^2}$ and $K(x) = K[1 - (2x/L)^2]^\alpha$ for $\alpha > -1/2$ and constants $v, K > 0$, we get an irregular problem for which Properties (i)–(iv) remain valid; the BCs being that a solution is sufficiently well-behaved for Eq. (9) to hold. The eigenfunctions are given by Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^{(\alpha)}(2x/L)$ [80, §18.3] up to normalizations, which have definite parity and satisfy Eq. (13) with $\lambda_n = (\pi v_0/L)^2 n(n+2\alpha)$ for $v_0 = 2v/\pi$. Clearly, only the case $\alpha = 0$ (Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind) exhibits PWT, while all $\alpha \neq 0$ do not, including Legendre polynomials ($\alpha = 1/2$) and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind ($\alpha = 1$) [80, §18.7]. The respective $\varphi\varphi$ correlations are plotted in Fig. 2.

To solve the inverse SL problem in general, we write the operator (11) in Liouville normal form, as is always possible for regular problems [80, §1.13(viii)]: Let $u(y) \equiv u(x)\sqrt{K(x)}$ for $y = y(x)$ given by Eq. (7) and define




Figure 2. Plot of $C(x, t) \equiv \text{Re}\langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \varphi(-3L/8, 0) | \Omega \rangle$ in Eq. (17) for eigenfunctions in the form of (a) Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, which exhibit PWT, contrasted to (b) Legendre polynomials and (c) Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, which do not. The upper row contains intensity plots of the correlations as functions of $x/L \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ and $t/T \in [0, 1]$, while the lower row compares their profiles at $t = 0$ and T . Note the asymmetry in (b) and (c). The velocity $v(x)$ (same in all cases) and the respective Luttinger parameter $K(x)$ are shown in (d).

$$\hat{q}(y) \equiv -\frac{q(x)}{w(x)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(x)}} v_0^2 \partial_y^2 \sqrt{K(x)}. \quad (18)$$

The eigenvalue problem (13) then becomes

$$v_0^2 \partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}(y) + [\lambda - \hat{q}(y)] \mathbf{u}(y) = 0 \quad (19)$$

with unchanged BCs [81] and if reflection symmetric in x , then so in y . Clearly, $\mathbf{u}_n(y)$ have the same eigenvalues as the respective $u_n(x)$ and similarly form a complete orthonormal basis but with identity weight.

Results in the literature often require two sets of eigenvalues for different BCs to get a unique solution to the inverse problem. However, one set suffices for reflection-symmetric SL operators and certain BCs, including ours. Indeed, [82] and [83, Theorem II] say that an even $\hat{q}(y)$ is uniquely determined by the spectrum for Neumann BCs. Thus, viewing $\hat{q}(y)$ as given and since $q(x) = 0$, Eq. (18) becomes a second-order differential equation for $\sqrt{K(x(y))}$. By general uniqueness results [assuming $\hat{q}(y)$ is continuous] and since $K(x)$ must be even, this determines $K(x)$ up to changing coordinates (7) and an overall rescaling (discarding solutions that are not positive).

The results [82, 83] do not reconstruct $\hat{q}(y)$. However, since we know that $K(x) = K$ gives an SL problem with $m_n = n$ and $\hat{q}(y) = 0$, a constant Luttinger parameter is the unique solution for the inverse problem given an exactly linear spectrum. Beyond this special case, nothing a priori prevents the spectrum from deviating from the leading n behavior as long as the requirements on the m_n remain satisfied. This would then give rise to a class of possible bosonic theories that exhibit PWT, where, by uniqueness, $\hat{q}(y)$ is non-zero, thus $K(x)$ non-constant. While not usually analytically tractable, there are constructive approaches to find $\hat{q}(y)$ [84–86].

Discussion.—We introduced the notion of PWT and showed that it is exhibited by one-particle excitations in inhomogeneous CFT whenever the velocity profile $v(x) > 0$ is even. Breaking conformal invariance, by considering inhomogeneous TLLs with a Luttinger-parameter profile $K(x) > 0$, we showed that PWT for general excitations amounts to an inverse spectral problem, which we solved. This continuum result for bosons is remarkably similar to earlier results: For spin chains with nearest neighbor hopping, the corresponding class of operators can be represented as Jacobi matrices, and the resulting inverse eigenvalue problem was studied [8, 87]. As in the continuum, two sets of eigenvalues are generally needed for uniqueness [86, 88], while one set suffices for matrices symmetric with respect to the anti-diagonal (corresponding to reflection symmetry) [89, Theorem 3].

By bosonization, our results for inhomogeneous TLLs cover fermionic theories with position-dependent interactions [53]. Indeed, even when $K(x) = K$ is constant, as long as $K \neq 1$, the theory describes an interacting quantum many-body system, albeit at low-energies.

Lastly, we showed that an inhomogeneous TLL with

constant $K(x) = K$ enjoys PWT for general excitations whenever $v(x) > 0$ is even. Such a theory is an example of an inhomogeneous CFT. It would be interesting to study PWT for any number of excitations in general CFTs, and also extend to systems on the half or full infinite line.

Acknowledgments. We are thankful to Pawel Caputa and Shinsei Ryu for motivating discussions on related topics. P.M. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Wenner-Gren Foundations (Grant No. FT2022-0002). M.C. acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (ERC Grant Agreement No. 818761), VILLUM FONDEN via the QMATH Centre of Excellence (Grant No. 10059) and the Novo Nordisk Foundation (Grant No. NNF20OC0059939 ‘Quantum for Life’), and thanks the NCCR SwissMAP of the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Section of Mathematics at the University of Geneva for their hospitality.

* per.moosavi@fysik.su.se

† christandl@math.ku.dk

‡ gmgraf@phys.ethz.ch

§ spyros.sotiriadis@physics.uoc.gr

- [1] S. Bose, Quantum communication through an unmodulated spin chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **91**, 207901 (2003).
- [2] G. M. Nikolopoulos and I. Jex, *Quantum State Transfer and Network Engineering* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014).
- [3] J. Nokkala, J. Piilo, and G. Bianconi, Complex quantum networks: A topical review, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* (2024).
- [4] M. Christandl, N. Datta, A. Ekert, and A. J. Landahl, Perfect state transfer in quantum spin networks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 187902 (2004).
- [5] C. Albanese, M. Christandl, N. Datta, and A. Ekert, Mirror inversion of quantum states in linear registers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 230502 (2004).
- [6] M. Christandl, N. Datta, T. C. Dorlas, A. Ekert, A. Kay, and A. J. Landahl, Perfect transfer of arbitrary states in quantum spin networks, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 032312 (2005).
- [7] S. Bose, Quantum communication through spin chain dynamics: An introductory overview, *Contemporary Physics* **48**, 13 (2007).
- [8] A. Kay, Perfect, efficient, state transfer and its application as a constructive tool, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **8**, 641 (2010).
- [9] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, Almost perfect state transfer in quantum spin chains, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 052319 (2012).
- [10] C. Godsil, State transfer on graphs, *Discrete Math.* **312**, 129 (2012).
- [11] M. Kempton, G. Lippner, and S.-T. Yau, Pretty good quantum state transfer in symmetric spin networks via magnetic field, *Quantum Inf. Process* **16**, 210 (2017).
- [12] F. Mei, G. Chen, L. Tian, S.-L. Zhu, and S. Jia, Robust quantum state transfer via topological edge states in superconducting qubit chains, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 012331 (2018).
- [13] M. Derevyagin, G. V. Dunne, G. Mograby, and A. Teplyaev, Perfect quantum state transfer on diamond

- fractal graphs, *Quantum Inf. Process* **19**, 328 (2020).
- [14] L. Huang, Z. Tan, H. Zhong, and B. Zhu, Fast and robust quantum state transfer assisted by zero-energy interface states in a splicing Su-Schrieffer-Heeger chain, *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 022419 (2022).
- [15] J. Zhang, G. L. Long, W. Zhang, Z. Deng, W. Liu, and Z. Lu, Simulation of Heisenberg XY interactions and realization of a perfect state transfer in spin chains using liquid nuclear magnetic resonance, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 012331 (2005).
- [16] A. Perez-Leija, R. Keil, A. Kay, H. Moya-Cessa, S. Nolte, L.-C. Kwek, B. M. Rodríguez-Lara, A. Szameit, and D. N. Christodoulides, Coherent quantum transport in photonic lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 012309 (2013).
- [17] R. J. Chapman, M. Santandrea, Z. Huang, G. Corrielli, A. Crespi, M.-H. Yung, R. Osellame, and A. Peruzzo, Experimental perfect state transfer of an entangled photonic qubit, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11339 (2016).
- [18] X. Li, Y. Ma, J. Han, T. Chen, Y. Xu, W. Cai, H. Wang, Y. P. Song, Z.-Y. Xue, Z.-Q. Yin, and L. Sun, Perfect quantum state transfer in a superconducting qubit chain with parametrically tunable couplings, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **10**, 054009 (2018).
- [19] L. Xiang, J. Chen, Z. Zhu, Z. Song, Z. Bao, X. Zhu, F. Jin, K. Wang, S. Xu, Y. Zou, H. Li, Z. Wang, C. Song, A. Yue, J. Partridge, Q. Guo, R. Mondaini, H. Wang, and R. T. Scalettar, Enhanced quantum state transfer by circumventing quantum chaotic behavior, *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 4918 (2024).
- [20] F. A. Roy, J. H. Romeiro, L. Koch, I. Tsitsilin, J. Schirck, N. J. Glaser, N. Bruckmoser, M. Singh, F. X. Haslbeck, G. B. P. Huber, G. Krylov, A. Marx, F. Pfeiffer, C. M. F. Schneider, C. Schweizer, F. Wallner, D. Bunch, L. Richard, L. Södergren, K. Liegener, M. Werninghaus, and S. Filipp, [Parity-dependent state transfer for direct entanglement generation](#), arXiv:2405.19408 [quant-ph] (2024).
- [21] N. Allegra, J. Dubail, J.-M. Stéphan, and J. Viti, Inhomogeneous field theory inside the arctic circle, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2016**, 053108 (2016).
- [22] J. Dubail, J.-M. Stéphan, J. Viti, and P. Calabrese, Conformal field theory for inhomogeneous one-dimensional quantum systems: The example of non-interacting Fermi gases, *SciPost Phys.* **2**, 002 (2017).
- [23] J. Dubail, J.-M. Stéphan, and P. Calabrese, Emergence of curved light-cones in a class of inhomogeneous Luttinger liquids, *SciPost Phys.* **3**, 019 (2017).
- [24] K. Gawędzki, E. Langmann, and P. Moosavi, Finite-time universality in nonequilibrium CFT, *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, 353 (2018).
- [25] E. Langmann and P. Moosavi, Diffusive heat waves in random conformal field theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 020201 (2019).
- [26] P. Moosavi, Inhomogeneous conformal field theory out of equilibrium, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **25**, 1083 (2024).
- [27] M. Gluza, P. Moosavi, and S. Sotiriadis, Breaking of Huygens-Fresnel principle in inhomogeneous Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 054002 (2022).
- [28] X. Wen, S. Ryu, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Evolution operators in conformal field theories and conformal mappings: Entanglement Hamiltonian, the sine-square deformation, and others, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 235119 (2016).
- [29] X. Liu, A. McDonald, T. Numasawa, B. Lian, and S. Ryu, [Quantum quenches of conformal field theory with open boundary](#), arXiv:2309.04540 [cond-mat.stat-mech] (2023).
- [30] H. Katsura, Sine-square deformation of solvable spin chains and conformal field theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45**, 115003 (2012).
- [31] X. Wen and J.-Q. Wu, Quantum dynamics in sine-square deformed conformal field theory: Quench from uniform to nonuniform conformal field theory, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 184309 (2018).
- [32] I. MacCormack, A. Liu, M. Nozaki, and S. Ryu, Holographic duals of inhomogeneous systems: The rainbow chain and the sine-square deformation model, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 505401 (2019).
- [33] B. Lapierre, K. Choo, C. Tauber, A. Tiwari, T. Neupert, and R. Chitra, Emergent black hole dynamics in critical Floquet systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 023085 (2020).
- [34] R. Fan, Y. Gu, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wen, Emergent spatial structure and entanglement localization in Floquet conformal field theory, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031036 (2020).
- [35] D. Das, R. Ghosh, and K. Sengupta, Conformal Floquet dynamics with a continuous drive protocol, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2021**, 172 (2021).
- [36] P. Caputa and D. Ge, Entanglement and geometry from subalgebras of the Virasoro algebra, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2023**, 159 (2023).
- [37] K. Goto, M. Nozaki, K. T. Shinsei Ryu, and M. T. Tan, Scrambling and recovery of quantum information in inhomogeneous quenches in two-dimensional conformal field theories, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **6**, 023001 (2024).
- [38] K. Gawędzki and K. K. Kozłowski, Full counting statistics of energy transfers in inhomogeneous nonequilibrium states of (1+1)D CFT, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **377**, 1227 (2020).
- [39] M. Tajik, M. Gluza, N. Sebe, P. Schüttelkopf, F. Cataldini, J. Sabino, F. Möller, S.-C. Ji, S. Erne, G. Guarneri, S. Sotiriadis, J. Eisert, and J. Schmiedmayer, Experimental observation of curved light-cones in a quantum field simulator, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **120**, e2301287120 (2023).
- [40] A. Bernamonti, F. Gall, and D. Ge, [Boundary-induced transitions in Möbius quenches of holographic BCFT](#), arXiv:2402.16555 [hep-th] (2024).
- [41] S. Lloyd, Quantum information matters, *Science* **319**, 1209 (2008).
- [42] B. Zeng, X. Chen, D. L. Zhou, and X. G. Wen, *Quantum Information Meets Quantum Matter* (Springer, New York, 2019).
- [43] I. Fuentes-Schuller and R. B. Mann, Alice falls into a black hole: Entanglement in noninertial frames, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 120404 (2005).
- [44] E. Martín-Martínez, I. Fuentes, and R. B. Mann, Using Berry's phase to detect the Unruh effect at lower accelerations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 131301 (2011).
- [45] Kimble, The quantum internet, *Nature* **453**, 1023 (2008).
- [46] S. Tomonaga, Remarks on Bloch's method of sound waves applied to many-fermion problems, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **5**, 544 (1950).
- [47] J. M. Luttinger, An exactly soluble model of a many-fermion system, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1154 (1963).
- [48] D. C. Mattis and E. H. Lieb, Exact solution of a many-fermion system and its associated boson field, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 304 (1965).

- [49] F. D. M. Haldane, ‘Luttinger liquid theory’ of one-dimensional quantum fluids. I. Properties of the Luttinger model and their extension to the general 1D interacting spinless Fermi gas, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **14**, 2585 (1981).
- [50] D. L. Maslov and M. Stone, Landauer conductance of Luttinger liquids with leads, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R5539 (1995).
- [51] I. Safi and H. J. Schulz, Transport in an inhomogeneous interacting one-dimensional system, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R17040 (1995).
- [52] V. V. Ponomarenko, Renormalization of the one-dimensional conductance in the Luttinger-liquid model, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R8666 (1995).
- [53] P. Moosavi, Exact Dirac-Bogoliubov-de Gennes dynamics for inhomogeneous quantum liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 100401 (2023).
- [54] J. Voit, One-dimensional Fermi liquids, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **58**, 977 (1995).
- [55] J. von Delft and H. Schoeller, Bosonization for beginners — refermionization for experts, *Ann. Phys.* **7**, 225 (1998).
- [56] H. J. Schulz, G. Cuniberti, and P. Pieri, Fermi liquids and Luttinger liquids, in *Field Theories for Low-Dimensional Condensed Matter Systems*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 131, edited by G. Morandi, P. Sodano, A. Tagliacozzo, and V. Tognetti (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000) p. 9, [arXiv:cond-mat/9807366](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9807366) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [57] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [58] M. A. Cazalilla, Bosonizing one-dimensional cold atomic gases, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **37**, S1 (2004).
- [59] E. Langmann and P. Moosavi, Construction by bosonization of a fermion-phonon model, *J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 091902 (2015).
- [60] See Supplemental Material. (It has three parts. Part A gives details for two-point correlations in inhomogeneous 1+1D CFT with open BCs. Part B describes how to diagonalize general inhomogeneous TLLs using SL theory, leading to a formulation of PWT as an inverse SL problem, including extension to massive TLLs. Part C contains details on correlations in inhomogeneous TLLs.)
- [61] S. Eggert and I. Affleck, Magnetic impurities in half-integer-spin Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 10866 (1992).
- [62] M. Fabrizio and A. O. Gogolin, Interacting one-dimensional electron gas with open boundaries, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 17827 (1995).
- [63] V. Chua, K. Laubscher, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Majorana zero modes and their bosonization, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 155416 (2020).
- [64] If Eq. (6) holds for any state $|\Psi_1\rangle$ in the Fock space, then general PST/PWT (i.e., for any number of excitations) would be enjoyed.
- [65] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer, New York, 1997).
- [66] V. Mastropietro and Z. Wang, Quantum quench for inhomogeneous states in the nonlocal Luttinger model, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 085123 (2015).
- [67] E. Langmann, J. L. Lebowitz, V. Mastropietro, and P. Moosavi, Steady states and universal conductance in a quenched Luttinger model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **349**, 551 (2017).
- [68] E. Langmann, J. L. Lebowitz, V. Mastropietro, and P. Moosavi, Time evolution of the Luttinger model with nonuniform temperature profile, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 235142 (2017).
- [69] S. Stringari, Collective excitations of a trapped Bose-condensed gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2360 (1996).
- [70] T.-L. Ho and M. Ma, Quasi 1 and 2d dilute Bose gas in magnetic traps: Existence of off-diagonal order and anomalous quantum fluctuations, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **115**, 61 (1999).
- [71] C. Menotti and S. Stringari, Collective oscillations of a one-dimensional trapped Bose-Einstein gas, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 043610 (2002).
- [72] T. K. Ghosh, Quantized hydrodynamic theory of one-dimensional hard core bosons, [arXiv:cond-mat/0402080](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0402080) [cond-mat.soft] (2004).
- [73] D. S. Petrov, D. M. Gangardt, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Low-dimensional trapped gases, *J. Phys. IV France* **116**, 5 (2004).
- [74] R. Citro, S. De Palo, E. Orignac, P. Pedri, and M. L. Chiofalo, Luttinger hydrodynamics of confined one-dimensional Bose gases with dipolar interactions, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 045011 (2008).
- [75] More precisely, twice continuously differentiable.
- [76] Here, these amount to $v(x)$, $K(x)$ being strictly positive and $v(x)$, $K(x)$, $\partial_x p(x)$ [and $q(x)$ if non-zero] continuous on the whole interval $[-L/2, L/2]$.
- [77] A. Zettl, *Sturm-Liouville theory* (American Mathematical Society, 2010).
- [78] F. V. Atkinson and A. B. Mingarelli, Asymptotics of the number of zeros and of the eigenvalues of general weighted Sturm-Liouville problems, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **1987**, 380 (1987).
- [79] H.-D. Niessen and A. Zettl, Singular Sturm-Liouville problems: The Friedrichs extension and comparison of eigenvalues, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **s3-64**, 545 (1992).
- [80] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, <https://dlmf.nist.gov/> (Release 1.2.0 of 2024-03-15), F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [81] For even $v(x) > 0$, they are $v_0 \partial_y u(y) - v(x) \Lambda(x) u(y) = 0$ at $y = \pm L/2$ with $\Lambda(x) \equiv \partial_x \ln \sqrt{K(x)}$ [53], which imply Neumann BCs if $K'(x)|_{x=\pm L/2} = 0$.
- [82] G. Borg, Eine Umkehrung der Sturm-Liouvilleschen Eigenwertaufgabe, *Acta Math.* **78**, 1 (1946).
- [83] N. Levinson, The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem, *Matematisk Tidsskrift. B* **1949**, 25 (1949).
- [84] O. H. Hald, The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with symmetric potentials, *Acta Math.* **141**, 263 (1978).
- [85] H. Hochstadt, Well-posed inverse spectral problems, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **72**, 2496 (1975).
- [86] G. M. L. Gladwell, *Inverse Problems in Vibration*, Mechanics: Dynamical Systems, Vol. 9 (Springer, Dordrecht, 1986).
- [87] M. Christandl, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, Analytic next-to-nearest-neighbor XX models with perfect state transfer and fractional revival, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 032335 (2017).
- [88] H. Hochstadt, On the construction of a Jacobi matrix from spectral data, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **8**, 435446 (1974).
- [89] O. H. Hald, Inverse eigenvalue problems for Jacobi matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **14**, 63 (1976).

Supplemental Material

This supplemental material has three parts. Part A gives details for two-point correlations in inhomogeneous 1+1D CFT with open (Neumann) boundary conditions (BCs). Part B describes how to diagonalize general inhomogeneous Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids (TLLs) using Sturm-Liouville (SL) theory, leading to a formulation of perfect wave transfer (PWT) as an inverse SL problem, including extension to massive TLLs. Part C contains details for correlation functions in inhomogeneous TLLs.

PART A: CORRELATIONS IN INHOMOGENEOUS 1+1D CFT WITH OPEN BOUNDARIES

The general inhomogeneous CFT Hamiltonian is (setting $\hbar = 1$)

$$H_{\text{iCFT}} \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx v(x) [T_+(x) + T_-(x)], \quad (20)$$

where $T_{\pm}(x)$ are the right/left-moving components of the stress-energy tensor in light-cone coordinates, whose Fourier transforms yield two commuting copies of the Virasoro algebra. In position space, they satisfy the equal-time commutation relations

$$[T_{\pm}(x), T_{\pm}(x')] = \mp 2i\partial_x \delta(x - x') T_{\pm}(x') \pm i\delta(x - x') \partial_{x'} T_{\pm}(x') \pm \frac{c}{24\pi} i\partial_x^3 \delta(x - x') \quad (21)$$

and $[T_{\pm}(x), T_{\mp}(x')] = 0$. Note that, at non-equal time, T_{\pm} should be understood to only depend on the “right/left-moving coordinate” x^{\mp} ; for now, we only view these as labels, distinguishing dependencies on right/left components.

Besides the $T_{\pm}(x)$ fields, an important class of operators are Virasoro primary fields $\Phi(x^-, x^+)$, defined by how they behave under conformal transformations. The latter are given by orientation-preserving circle diffeomorphisms f_+ , f_- and primary fields transform as

$$\Phi(x^-, x^+) \rightarrow f'_+(x^-)^{\Delta_{\Phi}^+} f'_-(x^+)^{\Delta_{\Phi}^-} \Phi(f_+(x^-), f_-(x^+)), \quad (22)$$

where $(\Delta_{\Phi}^+, \Delta_{\Phi}^-)$ denote the field’s conformal weights. To impose open BCs at $x = \pm L/2$, as in the main text, we will assume that primary fields come in pairs Φ_+ , Φ_- with conformal weights satisfying $\Delta_{\Phi_+}^+ = \Delta_{\Phi_-}^-$, $\Delta_{\Phi_-}^- = \Delta_{\Phi_+}^+$, since this allows one to couple excitations so that right movers are reflected to left movers when reaching $x = L/2$, and vice-versa at $x = -L/2$. To ease notation, we will use $\Delta_{\Phi}^+ \equiv \Delta_{\Phi_+}^+$, $\Delta_{\Phi}^- \equiv \Delta_{\Phi_-}^-$ for each such pair.

Before continuing, we mention two examples:

Example 1: For the inhomogeneous free fermions in the main text, the components of the stress-energy tensor are $T_{\pm}(x) = (1/2)[:\psi_{\pm}^{\dagger}(x)(\mp i\partial_x)\psi_{\pm}(x): + \text{h.c.}] - \pi/12L^2$, and the primary fields of the theory are the fermionic fields $\psi_{\pm}(x)$ themselves, whose conformal weights are $\Delta_{\psi_+}^+ = \Delta_{\psi_-}^- = 1/2$, $\Delta_{\psi_-}^- = \Delta_{\psi_+}^+ = 0$.

Example 2: For an inhomogeneous TLL with constant Luttinger parameter $K(x) = K$, one has $T_{\pm}(x) = (1/4\pi K)[\pi\Pi(x) \mp K\partial_x\varphi(x)]^2 - \pi/12L^2$, and the primary fields include vertex operators constructed as exponentials of the bosonic fields. Applied to the Luttinger model [46–48], these vertex operators are (renormalized) fermionic fields ψ_{\pm} with conformal weights $\Delta_{\psi_+}^+ = \Delta_{\psi_-}^- = (1+K)^2/8K$, $\Delta_{\psi_-}^- = \Delta_{\psi_+}^+ = (1-K)^2/8K$. (Clearly, free fermions are recovered for $K = 1$.)

As in the main text, open BCs can be incorporated by standard unfolding methods; cf. [38, 57, 58, 61–63]: Couple right- and left-moving excitations by identifying

$$T_-(x) = T_+(L-x), \quad \Phi_-(x^-, x^+) = \Phi_+(L-x^+, L-x^-) \quad (23)$$

for all pairs Φ_+ , Φ_- of Virasoro primary fields. It follows that we can equivalently consider a chiral inhomogeneous CFT of only right movers on the interval $[-L/2, 3L/2]$ of length $2L$ with velocity

$$\underline{v}(x) \equiv \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } x \in [-L/2, L/2], \\ v(L-x) & \text{for } x \in [L/2, 3L/2] \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

and with every quantity satisfying periodic BCs. Note that the Hamiltonian (20) can then be written as

$$H_{\text{iCFT}} \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{3L/2} dx \underline{v}(x) T_+(x). \quad (25)$$

For later reference, we define

$$\underline{f}(x) \equiv \int_0^x ds \frac{v_0}{\underline{v}(s)}, \quad \frac{1}{\underline{v}_0} \equiv \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L/2}^{3L/2} \frac{ds}{\underline{v}(s)}, \quad \underline{x}_t^\pm(x) \equiv \underline{f}^{-1}(\underline{f}(x) \pm v_0 t). \quad (26)$$

Naturally, \underline{f} lies in the universal cover $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_+(S_{2L}^1)$ of the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the circle S_{2L}^1 with circumference $2L$. Given any $v(x)$, one can show the following properties:

$$\underline{v}(L-x) = \underline{v}(x), \quad \underline{f}(L-x) = \underline{f}(L) - \underline{f}(x), \quad \underline{f}^{-1}(\underline{f}(L) - y) = L - \underline{f}^{-1}(y), \quad \underline{x}_t^\pm(L-x) = L - \underline{x}_t^\mp(x). \quad (27)$$

Lastly, we introduce the undeformed Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \int_{-L/2}^{3L/2} dx v_0 T_+(x), \quad (28)$$

whose ground state we denote by $|\Omega_0\rangle$. Similarly, we let $|\Omega\rangle$ denote the ground state of H_{iCFT} . Following [24, 26], the latter are related to their undeformed counterparts by

$$U_+(\underline{f})H_{\text{iCFT}}U_+(\underline{f})^{-1} = H_0 + \text{const}, \quad |\Omega\rangle = U_+(\underline{f})^{-1}|\Omega_0\rangle, \quad (29)$$

where $U_+(\underline{f})$ is a projective unitary representation of \underline{f} on the Hilbert space of the CFT.

Similar to the main text, we define

$$|\Phi_j\rangle \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \left(e^{-ikx} \xi_j^+(x) \Phi_+^\dagger(x, x) + e^{ikx} \xi_j^-(x) \Phi_-^\dagger(x, x) \right) |\Omega\rangle \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (30)$$

for smooth enough functions $\xi_j^\pm(x)$, interpreted as distributions or ‘‘wave packets’’ of right/left-moving excitations in each state, and some $k \in \mathbb{R}$ in order to accommodate for k_F in Eq. (3). (The latter will have no effect on PWT, and one might as well set $k = 0$.) As in the main text, this is a restricted class of states which only allow for one-particle excitations, while we eased other restrictions in the sense that the excitations are not necessarily fermionic and the distributions of right/left movers can be different.

To probe PWT for one-particle excitations, we seek to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_j | \Phi_j \rangle &= \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \langle \Omega | \left(e^{ikx_2} \overline{\xi_j^+(x_2)} \Phi_+(x_2, x_2) + e^{-ikx_2} \overline{\xi_j^-(x_2)} \Phi_-(x_2, x_2) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-ikx_1} \xi_j^+(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(x_1, x_1) + e^{ikx_1} \xi_j^-(x_1) \Phi_-^\dagger(x_1, x_1) \right) | \Omega \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\equiv \langle \Phi_2 | e^{-iH_{\text{iCFT}}t} | \Phi_1 \rangle = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \langle \Omega | \left(e^{ikx_2} \overline{\xi_2^+(x_2)} \Phi_+(x_2, x_2) + e^{-ikx_2} \overline{\xi_2^-(x_2)} \Phi_-(x_2, x_2) \right) e^{-iH_{\text{iCFT}}t} \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-ikx_1} \xi_1^+(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(x_1, x_1) + e^{ikx_1} \xi_1^-(x_1) \Phi_-^\dagger(x_1, x_1) \right) | \Omega \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

in order to eventually compare $|F(t)|$ with $|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle|$ for specular waves, $\xi_2^\pm(x) = \xi_1^\mp(-x)$. Using the unfolding relations (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_j | \Phi_j \rangle &= \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \langle \Omega | \left(e^{ikx_2} \overline{\xi_j^+(x_2)} \Phi_+(x_2, x_2) + e^{-ikx_2} \overline{\xi_j^-(x_2)} \Phi_+(L-x_2, L-x_2) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-ikx_1} \xi_j^+(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(x_1, x_1) + e^{ikx_1} \xi_j^-(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(L-x_1, L-x_1) \right) | \Omega \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= e^{-iCt} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \langle \Omega | e^{iH_{\text{iCFT}}t} \left(e^{ikx_2} \overline{\xi_2^+(x_2)} \Phi_+(x_2, x_2) + e^{-ikx_2} \overline{\xi_2^-(x_2)} \Phi_+(L-x_2, L-x_2) \right) e^{-iH_{\text{iCFT}}t} \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{-ikx_1} \xi_1^+(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(x_1, x_1) + e^{ikx_1} \xi_1^-(x_1) \Phi_+^\dagger(L-x_1, L-x_1) \right) | \Omega \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where we used that $H_{\text{iCFT}}|\Omega\rangle = C|\Omega\rangle$ for some real constant C that encodes the Casimir energy. (This only gives rise

to an overall phase that one could safely ignore.) Clearly, as stated in the main text, the above amounts to evaluating two-point correlations for primary fields, specifically those of right movers in a chiral CFT on $[-L/2, 3L/2]$.

First, as a preliminary step, for primary fields with periodic boundary conditions on the system with length $2L$, conformal invariance implies that the undeformed two-point correlations are

$$\langle \Omega_0 | \Phi_+(x_2^-, x_2^+) \Phi_+^\dagger(x_1^-, x_1^+) | \Omega_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(x_2^- - x_1^-)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(x_2^+ - x_1^+)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-}, \quad (35)$$

where $G_{\Phi\Phi}^\pm(x)$ are $2L$ -periodic functions. For example, as can be seen from, e.g., [55], the correlations for right-moving free fermions (cf. Example 1 above) on the system with length $2L$ and periodic BCs are

$$\langle \Omega_0 | \psi_+(x_2) \psi_+^\dagger(x_1) | \Omega_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} G_{\text{FF}}^+(x_2 - x_1) \quad (36)$$

with

$$G_{\text{FF}}^+(x) \equiv \frac{i\pi \exp(-i\frac{\pi}{2L}x)}{2L \sin(\frac{\pi}{2L}[x + i0^+])}, \quad (37)$$

where we used that $\Delta_\psi^+ = 1/2$, $\Delta_\psi^- = 0$. Similarly, for the Luttinger model (cf. Example 2 above), the correlations for the (renormalized) right-moving fermionic fields are

$$\langle \Omega_0 | \psi_+(x_2^-, x_2^+) \psi_+^\dagger(x_1^-, x_1^+) | \Omega_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} G_{\text{FF}}^+(x_2^- - x_1^-)^{(1+K)^2/4K} G_{\text{FF}}^+(x_1^+ - x_2^+)^{(1-K)^2/4K}, \quad (38)$$

where we used that

$$G_{\text{FF}}^-(x) \equiv \frac{-i\pi \exp(+i\frac{\pi}{2L}x)}{2L \sin(\frac{\pi}{2L}[x - i0^+])} = \frac{i\pi \exp(-i\frac{\pi}{2L}[-x])}{2L \sin(\frac{\pi}{2L}[-x + i0^+])} = G_{\text{FF}}^+(-x). \quad (39)$$

Second, by results spelled out in Proposition 3.1 in [26] for inhomogeneous CFT, the time dependence in $F(t)$ means that those correlations are evaluated at spacetime points given by $\underline{x}_t^\pm(x)$ in Eq. (27). In addition, the action of $U_+(f)$ from the expression (29) for $|\Omega\rangle$ also leads to a conformal transformation of the fields. It follows from this and the properties (27) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_j | \Phi_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \underline{f}'(x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\times \left(e^{ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_j^+(x_2)} \xi_j^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1))^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1))^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right. \\ &\quad + e^{ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_j^+(x_2)} \xi_j^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L))^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L))^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\quad + e^{-ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_j^-(x_2)} \xi_j^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L))^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L))^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_j^-(x_2)} \xi_j^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1))^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1))^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= e^{-iCt} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \underline{f}'(x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\times \left(e^{ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_2^+(x_2)} \xi_1^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right. \\ &\quad + e^{ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_2^+(x_2)} \xi_1^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\quad + e^{-ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_2^-(x_2)} \xi_1^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_2^-(x_2)} \xi_1^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where we used that $\underline{f}(\underline{x}_t^\pm(x)) = \underline{f}(x) \pm \underline{v}_0 t$ and $\underline{f}(\underline{x}_t^\pm(L-x)) = \underline{f}(L) - \underline{f}(\underline{x}_t^\mp(x)) = \underline{f}(L) - \underline{f}(x) \pm \underline{v}_0 t$.

Finally, for specular waves, $\xi_2^\pm(x) = \xi_1^\mp(-x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t) = & e^{-iCt} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_2 \underline{f}'(-x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^+} \underline{f}'(-x_2)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \underline{f}'(x_1)^{\Delta_\Phi^-} \\
& \times \left(e^{ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_1^+(x_2)} \xi_1^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(-\underline{f}(-x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(-\underline{f}(-x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{f}(L) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right. \\
& + e^{ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_1^+(x_2)} \xi_1^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(-\underline{f}(-x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(-\underline{f}(-x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\
& + e^{-ik(x_2+x_1)} \overline{\xi_1^-(x_2)} \xi_1^+(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(-x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(-x_2) - \underline{f}(x_1) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \\
& \left. + e^{-ik(x_2-x_1)} \overline{\xi_1^-(x_2)} \xi_1^-(x_1) G_{\Phi\Phi}^+(\underline{f}(-x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L) - \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^+} G_{\Phi\Phi}^-(\underline{f}(-x_2) + \underline{f}(x_1) - \underline{f}(L) + \underline{v}_0 t)^{2\Delta_\Phi^-} \right), \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

after a change of variable and rearranging.

By comparing the terms appearing in Eqs. (42) and (40), it follows that $|F(t)|$ for certain t equals $|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle|$ for all $\xi_1^\pm(x)$ if and only if $\underline{v}(x)$ is even, meaning $\underline{f}(x)$ must be odd. This in turn implies $\underline{f}(L) = L$ and $\underline{v}_0 = v_0$ given by Eq. (7), which means that equality holds for all $t = (2m+1)L/v_0$ due the $2L$ periodicity of $G_{\Phi\Phi}^\pm(x)$. [Moreover, for $\xi_2^\pm(x) = \xi_1^\mp(-x)$, one has $|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle| = |\langle \Phi_2 | \Phi_2 \rangle|$ when $\underline{v}(x)$ is even.] Since $\underline{v}(x) = v(x)$ for all $x \in [-L/2, L/2]$, this proves the claim that one-particle PWT is exhibited for all even inhomogeneous CFTs.

As a last remark, one can unfold in a different way to take the spin/statistics of the primary fields into account, so that, e.g., one gets anti-periodic BCs for fermions on the system of size $2L$, and twisted BCs for general anyonic fields. This can be used to obtain simple explicit formulas for the two-point correlations of any primary fields. However, since we are interested in recombinations of waves traveling in different directions, it is necessary to identify so as to always have periodic BCs. In any case, for our purposes, all we needed was the spacetime dependence of the correlations, which are fixed on general grounds in CFT, circumventing any need for explicit formulas.

PART B: SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF INHOMOGENEOUS TLLS

In this part, we first show that reflection symmetry is a necessary condition for PWT for any theory; here under the assumption that the Hamiltonian has pure point spectrum. Second, we give the details for how to use SL theory to diagonalize the inhomogeneous TLL Hamiltonian (8) and to formulate the PWT requirements on the spectrum. Third, we review some details on Weyl's law applied to SL operators and, fourth, extend the previous discussion to TLL Hamiltonians with a mass term. Fifth, we comment on the Liouville normal form used to state the results for the inverse SL problem in the main text.

Necessity of reflection symmetry

Before considering TLL theory, we note that all models studied in the main text have pure point spectrum [as long as the integral defining $1/v_0$ in Eq. (7) converges]. Thus, we first consider a general Hamiltonian H with pure point spectrum and show that for such a system to exhibit PST/PWT, it is necessary that H commutes with the parity operator \mathcal{P} , which maps $x \mapsto -x$. In other words, we will show that reflection symmetry is a necessary condition.

To prove the statement, let E_n denote the eigenenergies of H with the corresponding energy eigenstates $|n\rangle$. On general grounds, the latter form a complete orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space \mathcal{F} of the theory. Let $|\Phi_1\rangle$ be an arbitrary state in \mathcal{F} and let $|\Phi_2\rangle \equiv \mathcal{P}|\Phi_1\rangle$. (We recall that \mathcal{P} is a unitary operator satisfying $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$, meaning that $\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}^\dagger = \mathcal{P}$.) As usual, define $F(t) \equiv \langle \Phi_2 | e^{-iHt} | \Phi_1 \rangle = \langle \Phi_1 | \mathcal{P} e^{-iHt} | \Phi_1 \rangle$. For general PST/PWT, we require that there exists $T > 0$ such that $|F(T)| = |\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle|$ for any state $|\Phi_1\rangle$. In particular, this must hold for any energy eigenstate $|n\rangle$, implying $|\langle n | \mathcal{P} | n \rangle| = 1$. If $|n\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of \mathcal{P} , it is straightforward to show that this equality cannot hold. Consequently, all energy eigenstates must also be eigenstates of \mathcal{P} , which implies that $[\mathcal{P}, H] = 0$.

Inhomogeneous TLLs and SL theory

We now turn to inhomogeneous TLLs studied in the main text. Inserting the expansions (14) into Eq. (10) yields

$$H_{\text{TLL}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\pi \Pi_0]^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} : ([\pi \Pi_n]^2 + \lambda_n \varphi_n^2) : , \tag{43}$$

where φ_0 is absent (when $E_0 = 0$), no different from the standard case with constant $K(x)$ [and $v(x)$]. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\varphi_n = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{1/4}} (a_n + a_n^\dagger), \quad \Pi_n = -i \frac{\lambda_n^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (a_n - a_n^\dagger) \quad (44)$$

in terms of bosonic creation and annihilation operators a_n^\dagger and a_n , satisfying $[a_n, a_{n'}^\dagger] = \delta_{n,n'}$, as in the main text. Inserting Eq. (44) into Eq. (43) yields Eqs. (15)–(16).

Using the above, one can compute the time evolution of any observable in the algebra of operators generated by the fields $\varphi(x)$ and $\Pi(x)$. For instance, for $\varphi(x, t) \equiv e^{iH_{\text{TLL}}t} \varphi(x) e^{-iH_{\text{TLL}}t}$ and $\Pi(x, t)$ defined similarly, we obtain

$$\varphi(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2E_n}} (a_n e^{-iE_n t} + a_n^\dagger e^{iE_n t}) u_n(x), \quad \Pi(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-i) \sqrt{\frac{E_n}{2\pi}} (a_n e^{-iE_n t} - a_n^\dagger e^{iE_n t}) w(x) u_n(x), \quad (45)$$

where we omitted the zero modes (as they have to be treated separately when $E_0 = 0$) and used $e^{iH_{\text{TLL}}t} a_n e^{-iH_{\text{TLL}}t} = a_n e^{-iE_n t}$ and $e^{iH_{\text{TLL}}t} a_n^\dagger e^{-iH_{\text{TLL}}t} = a_n^\dagger e^{iE_n t}$. Generally, any observable $\mathcal{O}(x, t)$ in this algebra will contain contributions of the form $a_n^\dagger e^{iE_n t} u_n(x)$ and $a_n e^{-iE_n t} u_n(x)$. Now, in order for PWT to be exhibited, Eq. (1) must hold for any state, which means that it holds true without expectation values, i.e., $\mathcal{O}(x, 0)$ must equal $\mathcal{O}(-x, T)$ at some later time $T > 0$ as an operator identity. Taking $\mathcal{O} = \varphi$ and using the linear independence of the generators a_n and a_n^\dagger , Eq. (45) yields

$$u_n(x) = u_n(-x) e^{\pm iE_n T} \quad \forall n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (46)$$

for a common $T > 0$, where we included the trivial case $n = 0$ [recall that $u_0(x) = \text{const}$ and $E_0 = 0$ when $q(x) = 0$ in Eq. (11)]. Since $\mathcal{P}u_n(x) = u_n(-x)$, Eq. (46) implies that each $u_n(x)$ must be an eigenfunction of the parity operator \mathcal{P} , whose eigenvalues are $+1$ or -1 (since $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$). It follows that all eigenfunctions must have definite parity, and the only possibility for PWT to hold is that the corresponding eigenenergies satisfy $E_n T = \pi m_n$ for integers m_n for each n , with m_n even (odd) if $u_n(x)$ has even (odd) parity.

Finally, it is straightforward to show the following statement: The eigenfunctions $u_n(x)$ of a regular SL operator \mathcal{A} have definite parity if and only if $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}] = 0$. For our operator in Eqs. (11)–(12), this means that both $v(x)$ and $K(x)$ must be even functions (using that they must be positive to exclude the possibility that both are instead odd).

Given the above, together with Properties (i)–(iv) for regular SL operators stated in the main text, we have derived our main result on the spectral requirements for an inhomogeneous TLL to exhibit PWT.

Moreover, as stated in the main text, reversing the logic, namely, given a spectrum that satisfy the spectral properties for PWT to be exhibited, our formulation of PWT for inhomogeneous TLLs as an inverse SL problem follows.

Weyl's law for SL operators

Weyl's law applied to SL operators, see, e.g., [78, 79], states that the number $N(\lambda)$ of eigenvalues below a given $\lambda > 0$ asymptotically behaves as

$$\frac{N(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \sqrt{\frac{w(x)}{p(x)}} \quad (47)$$

for large λ . This holds under certain technical conditions on the coefficients $w(x)$, $p(x)$ in Eq. (11) [which are satisfied assuming our $v(x)$, $K(x)$ are positive and smooth functions]. Using Eqs. (12) and (16) as well as Property (i) in the main text, it follows that

$$\frac{n}{E_n} \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dx}{v(x)} = \frac{L}{\pi v_0} \quad (48)$$

for large n , where in the last step we used Eq. (7). Thus, assuming that the integral defining $1/v_0$ converges, then this gives the stated asymptotic behavior, $E_n = \pi v_0 n / L + o(n)$, in the main text. (Another possibility would be if the integral diverges, in which case $E_n \sim \text{const} \times n^\gamma$ for $\gamma < 1$, but this is inconsistent with that the eigenenergies must be proportional to integers m_n with a common proportionality constant in order for the theory to exhibit PWT.)

“Massive” inhomogeneous TLLs

For massless TLL bosons, as discussed above and in the main text, given a spectrum satisfying the PWT requirements, we saw that $K(x)$ can be found uniquely up to rescaling and changing coordinates.

We now extend to SL operators (11) with $q(x) \neq 0$, corresponding to an inhomogeneous (possibly imaginary) mass $M(x) = \sqrt{-q(x)/v_0^2 v(x)}$ by adding $v_0^2 M(x)^2 \varphi(x)^2$ inside the big parentheses in Eq. (8):

$$H_{\text{TLL}} \equiv \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dx}{2\pi} v(x) : \left(\frac{[\pi\Pi(x)]^2}{K(x)} + K(x)[\partial_x \varphi(x)]^2 + v_0^2 M(x)^2 \varphi(x)^2 \right) :, \quad (49)$$

Indeed, it is straightforward to extend the previous discussion to such models. For instance, the PWT requirements on the spectrum are the same, except the spectrum does not start at zero: For $q(x) \neq 0$, a constant $u_0(x)$ no longer solves Eq. (13), thus $E_0 > 0$. Instead, we have a ‘‘massive’’ inhomogeneous TLL, for which one can show:

A ‘‘massive’’ inhomogeneous TLL exhibits PWT if and only if $v(x)$, $K(x)$, $q(x)$ are even and all eigenvalues are of the form $E_n = \pi \tilde{m}_n / T$ for certain integers \tilde{m}_n .

Here, these integers $\tilde{m}_n = m_n + c$ in terms of the integers m_n for the massless case in the main text, where we allow for an overall shift by a finite even integer c . [The latter must be even so that \tilde{m}_n is even (odd) if n is even (odd), as required due to Property (ii) in the main text.] Moreover, given such a spectrum, the results in [82, 83] still imply that $\hat{q}(y)$ is uniquely determined by the spectrum, but this can no longer uniquely determine $K(x)$ since the first term in Eq. (18) is now non-zero in general.

As an example, we revisit the case with $v(x) = v\sqrt{1 - (2x/L)^2}$ and $K(x) = K[1 - (2x/L)^2]^\alpha$ for $\alpha > -1/2$ and constants $v, K > 0$ encountered in the main text, whose eigenfunctions were given by Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^{(\alpha)}(2x/L)$, but now we include a fine-tuned potential $q(x) = -(2v\alpha/L)^2 w(x)$, where we recall that $w(x) = K(x)/v(x)$. This fine-tuned potential allows us to complete the square so that the eigenvalues in Eq. (13) are $\lambda_n = (\pi v_0/L)(n + \alpha)^2$ with $v_0 = 2v/\pi$, meaning that $E_n = \pi(n + \alpha)v_0/L$. Consequently, any $c = \alpha = 0, 2, 4, \dots$ works, yielding a discrete family of models with fine-tuned inhomogeneous mass that exhibit PWT. We stress that the mass in this example satisfies $M(x) \propto 1/L$ and therefore vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. Thus, one should not expect hallmarks of massive theories, such as exponential decay of two-point correlations with respect to the spatial separation.

Liouville normal form and compactified free bosons

It is curious to note the following ‘‘shortcut’’ to writing our massless bosons (8) in Liouville normal form: Recall that an inhomogeneous TLL is a theory of compactified free bosons with a position-dependent compactification radius $R(x)$ in curved spacetime given by the metric $(h_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(v(x)^2/v_0^2, -1)$ for coordinates $(x^0, x^1) \equiv (v_0 t, x)$; see, e.g., [27, 53]. Given a Luttinger parameter profile $K(x)$, the compactification radius is $R(x) = \sqrt{2\alpha'} K(x)$ (α' has dimension length squared and is commonly set equal to 2). In terms of the bosonic field $\varphi(x)$ (with values taken modulo 2π), the action corresponding to the Hamiltonian (8) is

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2x \sqrt{-h} R(x)^2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi), \quad (50)$$

where we recall that $h \equiv \det(h_{\mu\nu})$. This can be viewed as a non-exactly marginal deformation of a theory with constant compactification radius; cf. [53]. The corresponding action for $X(x) \equiv R(x)\varphi(x)$ becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2x \sqrt{-h} [(\partial_\mu X)(\partial^\mu X) - \hat{q} X^2/v(x)^2] \quad (51)$$

with $\hat{q} = \hat{q}(y)$ in Eq. (18) for $y = y(x)$ given by Eq. (7), which agrees with Eq. (19) for $u(y) = X(x)/\sqrt{2\alpha'}$. I.e., as a theory for $X(x)$, even when $q(x) = 0$, the position-dependent $K(x)$ corresponds a (possibly imaginary) inhomogeneous mass $\sqrt{\hat{q}(y)}/v(x)$. This also extends to the case

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{-h} [K(x)(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) - v_0^2 M(x)^2 \varphi^2] \quad (52)$$

with a non-zero mass $M(x) = \sqrt{-q(x)/v_0^2 v(x)}$ for the bosonic field $\varphi(x)$, corresponding to the Hamiltonian (49), the only difference being that the first term in Eq. (18) is then no longer zero.

PART C: CORRELATION FUNCTIONS IN INHOMOGENEOUS TLLS

Consider the inhomogeneous TLL theory with Hamiltonian H_{TLL} in Eq. (8). Using the eigenfunctions obtained from SL theory, we can compute any ground-state two-point correlation functions for the fields $\varphi(x)$ and $\vartheta(x)$, where

the latter is defined by

$$\partial_x \vartheta(x) \equiv \pi \Pi(x). \quad (53)$$

Indeed, using the expansions (45), that a_n annihilates the ground state $|\Omega\rangle$ of H_{ITLL} , as well as the commutation relations $[a_n, a_{n'}^\dagger] = \delta_{n,n'}$, it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \varphi(x', t') | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{2E_n} u_n(x) u_n(x') e^{-iE_n(t-t')}, \\ \langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \vartheta(x', t') | \Omega \rangle &= i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{2} u_n(x) U_n(x') e^{-iE_n(t-t')}, \\ \langle \Omega | \vartheta(x, t) \vartheta(x', t') | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi E_n}{2} U_n(x) U_n(x') e^{-iE_n(t-t')}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where we introduced $U_n(x)$ defined by

$$\partial_x U_n(x) \equiv w(x) u_n(x). \quad (55)$$

Similar correlation functions can be computed for other objects, including vertex operators (i.e., normal-ordered exponentials of the bosonic fields) [54–59]. It is also straightforward to compute Green's functions; see, e.g., [27], the only difference with the present case being that one must account for the non-trivial weight function $w(x)$ that was simply a constant in [27].

As an important example, consider a theory with $K(x) = K > 0$ constant but $v(x) > 0$ general [and $q(x) = 0$]. We recall that the SL operator in this case is $\mathcal{A} = -v(x) \partial_x v(x) \partial_x = -v_0^2 \partial_y^2$ using Eq. (7) with eigenenergies $E_n = \pi n v_0 / L$ and eigenfunctions $u_{n>0}(x) = \sqrt{2v_0/L} \cos(n\pi[L + 2y]/2L)$ and $u_0(x) = \sqrt{v_0/L}$. Then, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \varphi(x', t') | \Omega \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\log \frac{i \exp\left(-i \frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) - f(x') - v_0(t - t')]\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) - f(x') - v_0(t - t') + i0^+]\right)} + \log \frac{i \exp\left(-i \frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) + f(x') + L - v_0(t - t')]\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) + f(x') + L - v_0(t - t') + i0^+]\right)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log \frac{-i \exp\left(i \frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) + f(x') + L + v_0(t - t')]\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) + f(x') + L + v_0(t - t') - i0^+]\right)} + \log \frac{-i \exp\left(i \frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) - f(x') + v_0(t - t')]\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2L} [f(x) - f(x') + v_0(t - t') - i0^+]\right)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where we included the necessary regularizations in order to interpret coincident spacetime points and used the identity $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\xi n} = -\log(1 - e^{-\xi})$ for any ξ such that $\text{Re } \xi > 0$. Eq. (56) is the analytical expression for what was plotted in Fig. 1 (assuming $K = 1$). Moreover, for delta-like waves, the terms appearing in $\log F(t)$ given by Eq. (41) for the free-fermion case (37) closely resemble those in Eq. (56). Indeed, the former agrees with $4\langle \Omega | \varphi(x, t) \varphi(x', t') | \Omega \rangle$ to leading order, and one can furthermore show that the agreement between the two ways of computing (CFT methods versus bosonization) is exact (as it should be) by evaluating and summing all combinations of two-point correlation functions of the vertex operators describing the right/left-moving free fermionic fields.

References

- [24] K. Gawędzki, E. Langmann, and P. Moosavi, Finite-time universality in nonequilibrium CFT, *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, 353 (2018).
- [26] P. Moosavi, Inhomogeneous conformal field theory out of equilibrium, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **25**, 1083 (2024).
- [27] M. Gluza, P. Moosavi, and S. Sotiriadis, Breaking of Huygens-Fresnel principle in inhomogeneous Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 054002 (2022).
- [38] K. Gawędzki and K. K. Kozłowski, Full counting statistics of energy transfers in inhomogeneous nonequilibrium states of (1+1)D CFT, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **377**, 1227 (2020).
- [46] S. Tomonaga, Remarks on Bloch's method of sound waves applied to many-fermion problems, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **5**, 544 (1950).
- [47] J. M. Luttinger, An exactly soluble model of a many-fermion system, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1154 (1963).
- [48] D. C. Mattis and E. H. Lieb, Exact solution of a many-fermion system and its associated boson field, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 304 (1965).

- [53] P. Moosavi, Exact Dirac-Bogoliubov-de Gennes dynamics for inhomogeneous quantum liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 100401 (2023).
- [54] J. Voit, One-dimensional Fermi liquids, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **58**, 977 (1995).
- [55] J. von Delft and H. Schoeller, Bosonization for beginners — refermionization for experts, *Ann. Phys.* **7**, 225 (1998).
- [56] H. J. Schulz, G. Cuniberti, and P. Pieri, Fermi liquids and Luttinger liquids, in *Field Theories for Low-Dimensional Condensed Matter Systems*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 131, edited by G. Morandi, P. Sodano, A. Tagliacozzo, and V. Tognetti (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000) p. 9, [arXiv:cond-mat/9807366](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9807366) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [57] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension*, International Series of Monographs on Physics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [58] M. A. Cazalilla, Bosonizing one-dimensional cold atomic gases, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **37**, S1 (2004).
- [59] E. Langmann and P. Moosavi, Construction by bosonization of a fermion-phonon model, *J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 091902 (2015).
- [61] S. Eggert and I. Affleck, Magnetic impurities in half-integer-spin Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 10866 (1992).
- [62] M. Fabrizio and A. O. Gogolin, Interacting one-dimensional electron gas with open boundaries, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 17827 (1995).
- [63] V. Chua, K. Laubscher, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Majorana zero modes and their bosonization, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 155416 (2020).
- [78] F. V. Atkinson and A. B. Mingarelli, Asymptotics of the number of zeros and of the eigenvalues of general weighted Sturm-Liouville problems, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **1987**, 380 (1987).
- [79] H.-D. Niessen and A. Zettl, Singular Sturm-Liouville problems: The Friedrichs extension and comparison of eigenvalues, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **s3-64**, 545 (1992).
- [82] G. Borg, Eine Umkehrung der Sturm-Liouvilleschen Eigenwertaufgabe, *Acta Math.* **78**, 1 (1946).
- [83] N. Levinson, The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem, *Matematisk Tidsskrift. B* **1949**, 25 (1949).