On the Density Hypothesis for the Selberg Class

by János Pintz*

1 Introduction

The Selberg class S of \mathcal{L} -functions was introduced by Atle Selberg in 1992 [9]. The elements of the class S are Dirichlet series F(s) satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) F(s) is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$;
- (ii) $(s-1)^m F(s)$ is an entire function of finite order with an integer $m \ge 0$;
- (iii) F(s) satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$\Phi(s) = \omega \overline{\Phi}(1-s),$$

where $|\omega| = 1$, $\overline{f}(s) = \overline{f(s)}$ and

$$\Phi(s) = Q^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma(\lambda_{j}s + \mu_{j})F(s), \quad Q > 0, \ \lambda_{j} > 0, \ \text{Re } \mu_{j} \ge 0;$$

(iv) the Dirichlet coefficients a(n) of F(s) satisfy the Ramanujan condition $a(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$;

(v) $\log F(s)$ is a Dirichlet series, $\log F(s) = \sum_{p} \log F_{p}(s)$,

$$\log F_p(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}}, \quad b(p^m) \ll (p^m)^{\vartheta} \text{ for some } \vartheta < 1/2.$$

^{*}Supported by the National Research Development and Innovation Office of Hungary, NKFIH, K133819 and K147153.

Keywords and phrases: Selberg class, density hypothesis.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M41.

One of the most important notions is the degree d_F of a function $F \in S$ defined by

$$d_F = 2\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j,\tag{1.1}$$

which is, in fact, an invariant of F.

One of the main goals of the theory would be to characterize elements of the Selberg class if their degree d_F is given. Selberg conjectured that the degree is always a non-negative integer. One of the other main goals (clearly hopeless at present) would be to show the Riemann Hypothesis for all $F \in S$, i.e., that all non-trivial zeros of F lie on the line Re s = 1/2.

Concerning the characterization problem Conrey and Ghosh [2] showed that there is no element F with a degree $d_F \in (0, 1)$ while the only function with $d_F = 0$ (i.e. without Γ -factors) is F = 1.

Very deep results were reached by Kaczorowski and Perelli ([6] and [8]). In [6] they showed that the only functions with $d_F = 1$ are the Riemann zeta and ordinary Dirichlet \mathcal{L} -functions. In [8] they showed that there are no elements $F \in S$ with $1 < d_F < 2$.

For the number $N_F(T)$ of non-trivial zeros of F with $0 \leq Ims \leq T$ Selberg showed that

$$N_F(T) = d_F \frac{T(\log T + C)}{2\pi} + O(\log T),$$
(1.2)

similarly to the case of Riemann's $\zeta(s)$.

As an approximation to the Riemann Hypothesis Carlson proved more than hundred years ago [1] that

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{4\sigma(1-\sigma)} \log^c T.$$
(1.3)

The best possible (eventually uniform) conjecture of type

$$N(1 - \eta, T) \ll T^{A(\eta)\eta} \log^c T, \quad (\eta \le 1/2)$$
 (1.4)

or

$$N(1-\eta, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{A(\eta)\eta+\varepsilon} \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0$$
(1.5)

is by (1.2) with $A(\eta) \leq A = 2$. It is called the Density Hypothesis.

Although there were many improvements of Carlson's result in the past hundred years, the Density Hypothesis is still open. A breakthrough result was shown half a century later by Halász and Turán [3] who could show its validity in a fixed strip $\eta < c_1$ of the critical strip. Several mathematicians showed Carlson type density theorems for elements of the Selberg class, including Kaczorowski and Perelli [7] who showed for any $F \in S$

$$N_F(1-\eta,T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{A_F(\eta)\eta+\varepsilon} \tag{1.6}$$

with

$$A_F(\eta) = 4(d_F + 3)$$
 for $\eta < 1/4.$ (1.7)

Other works proved even the corresponding Density Hypothesis for several elements $F \in S$.

Our present goal is to show a density theorem, namely the following **Theorem.** Under the above notations (1.6) holds with

$$A_F(\eta) = \max(d_F, 2) \quad for \ \eta \le 1/10.$$
 (1.8)

In particular, we obtain the Density Hypothesis if $d_F \leq 2$. We do not use the deep fact (resp. conjecture) that there are no elements of the Selberg class, if d_F is not a non-negative integer.

2 Notation. Proof of Theorem. Preparation

We begin with some notation and a definition.

Let us assume that we have zeros $\rho_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j$ of F(S) with $\gamma_j \in [T/2, T]$, T large, $\beta_j = 1 - \eta_j$, $n_j \le \eta \le 1/4$, $\sigma = 1 - \eta$. Suppose further that for $j \ne \nu$

$$|\gamma_j - \gamma_\nu| \ge 3\mathcal{L}^3 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L} = \log T.$$
 (2.1)

Let ε be a generic arbitrary small positive constant which might be different at different occurrences.

Let us choose the parameters X and Y as

$$X = T^{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$Y = T^{d_F/2 + \varepsilon}.$$
(2.3)

Definition. The implicit constants in the ϑ and \ll symbols might depend on ε and F(s). A non-trivial zero $\varrho = \beta_j + i\gamma_j = 1 - \eta_j + i\gamma_j$ of F(S) will be called an extreme right hand (eRH) zero if the rectangle (for $H = \mathcal{L}$)

$$R_H(\varrho) := \left\{ \sigma + it; \, \sigma \ge \beta_j + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}}, \, |t - \gamma_j| \le H^2 \right\}$$
(2.4)

is free of zeros of F(s).

Starting from any zero ρ_0 with $\beta_0 \ge 1/2$ we have two possibilities:

(i) ρ_0 is an eRH zero;

(ii) we can find another zero $\rho_1 = \beta_1 + i\gamma_1$ with $\beta_1 \ge \beta_0 + 1/\mathcal{L}, |\gamma_1 - \gamma_0| \le \mathcal{L}^2$.

In case (i) we are ready, in case (ii) we continue the same procedure with ρ_1 in place of ρ_0 . In such a way we arrive after at most $\lceil \mathcal{L}/2 \rceil$ steps at an eRH zero ρ' with

$$\beta' \ge \beta_0, \quad |\gamma' - \gamma_0| \le \mathcal{L}^3.$$
 (2.5)

The advantage of using an eRH zero ρ' instead of an arbitrary ρ in our counting procedure will be clear from the following

Lemma 1. If for a point $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0 = 1 - \eta_0 + it_0$ with $\sigma_0 \ge 1/2$, $|t_0| \le 2T$ the rectangle $R_H(s_0)$ defined by $H = \mathcal{L}$ and (2.4) with ϱ replaced by s_0 is zero-free, then

$$F\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right) \ll T^{\sigma_0-1/2+\varepsilon} \quad for \quad |t-t_0| \le \mathcal{L}^2/2.$$
(2.6)

Proof. Let $\frac{3}{\mathcal{L}} < \delta$ be a sufficiently small parameter to be determined later. Let us use the Borel–Carathéodory theorem for $\log F(z)$ with the circles of radius $r = 2 - \sigma_0 - \delta/2$ and $2 - \sigma_0 - \delta$ and centre $2 + it_0$. Then we have on the larger circle

Re
$$\log F(z) = \log |F(z)| \ll \mathcal{L}.$$
 (2.7)

Hence, on the smaller circle by $F(s) = t^{0(1)}$ and axiom (v)

$$\left|\log F(z)\right| \ll \frac{4 - 2\sigma_0 - \delta}{\delta/2} \mathcal{L} + \frac{4 - 2\sigma_0 - 3\delta/2}{\delta/2} \left|\log F(2 + it_0)\right| \ll \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\delta}.$$
 (2.8)

Afterwards apply Hadamard's three circle theorem with circles C_1, C_2, C_3 , centered at $1/\delta + it_0$ passing through the points $2 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0$, $\sigma_0 + \delta + it_0$, i.e. with radii $r_1 = 1/\delta - 2$, $r_2 = 1/\delta - \sigma_0 - 10\delta$, $r_3 = 1/\delta - \sigma_0 - \delta$. Let us denote the maximum of F(z) on these circles by M_1, M_2, M_3 . We have then

$$M_2 \le M_1^{1-a} \cdot M_3^a \tag{2.9}$$

where by $\log(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2}(1+o(1))$ for $x \to 0$

$$a = \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} / \log \frac{r_3}{r_1} = \log \left(1 + \frac{2 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta}{1/\delta - 2} \right) / \log \left(1 + \frac{2 - \sigma_0 - \delta}{1/\delta - 2} \right)$$
(2.10)
$$\leq \left(\frac{2 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta}{1/\delta - 2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta}{5} \right) \right) / \left(\frac{2 - \sigma_0 - \delta}{1/\delta - 2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta}{2 - \sigma_0 - \delta} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{3} \right).$$

For the elements F of the Selberg class we have by axiom (v)

$$M_1 = \max_{z \in C_1} |\log F(z)| \ll 1, \tag{2.11}$$

while the argument of the Borel–Carathéodory theorem, yields (2.8) for every point of the circle C_3 (not only for $\sigma_0 + \delta + it_0$)

$$M_3 = \max_{z \in C_3} |\log F(z)| \ll \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\delta}.$$
(2.12)

Taking into account (2.9)-(2.10) we obtain

$$\left|\log F(\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0)\right| \le M_2 \ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^a$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^{(1 - \frac{9\delta}{2 - \sigma_0})(1 + \frac{\delta}{3})}$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^{1 - 6\delta}.$$
(2.13)

Choosing $\delta = 1/\sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}}$

$$\left|\log F(\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0)\right| \ll \sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}} \cdot \mathcal{L}e^{-6\sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}}} = o(\mathcal{L}).$$
(2.14)

Hence, from the functional equation we obtain

$$\left|\log F(1 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta + it_0)\right| \le \frac{d_F}{2}(2\sigma_0 - 1 + o(1))\log T.$$
(2.15)

If we replace in the definition $R_{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ the parameter \mathcal{L} by $\mathcal{L}/2$ then the whole argument yielding (2.14)–(2.15) remains valid. Therefore we have (2.14)–(2.15) if t_0 is replaced by an arbitrary t^* with

$$|t^* - t_0| \le \mathcal{L}^2/4. \tag{2.16}$$

So, we can now use Hadamard's three lines theorem for the function

$$f(z) = F(z)e^{(z-it^*)^2}$$
(2.17)

on the lines $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0 + 10\delta$, $\sigma_2 = 1/2$, $\sigma_3 = 1 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta$.

Let us denote the corresponding maximums by M_1 , M_2 , M_3 . First we note that as (2.14)–(2.15) are valid for t_0 replaced by t^* we have

$$M_1 = \sup_t |f(\sigma_1 + it)| \ll |F(\sigma_1 + it^*)| \ll T^{\varepsilon}$$
(2.18)

and

$$M_3 = \sup_t |f(\sigma_3 + it)| \ll |F(\sigma_3 + it^*)| \ll T^{d_F(2\sigma_0 - 1)/2 + \varepsilon}.$$
 (2.19)

Consequently, by Hadamard's three lines theorem we have

$$M_2 = \sup_t |f(1/2 + it)| \ll (M_1 M_3)^{1/2} \ll T^{d_F(\sigma_0 - 1/2)/2 + \varepsilon}.$$
 (2.20)

In particular we have for $t^* \in [t_0 - \mathcal{L}^2/2, t_0 + \mathcal{L}^2/2]$

$$\left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it^*\right) \right| = \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2} + it^*\right) \right| e^{-1/4} \ll T^{d_F(\sigma_0 - 1/2)/2 + \varepsilon}.$$
 (2.21)

3 The zero detection method

We will use the now standard method of Montgomery to detect the zeros of F(s) with $\beta \geq 3/4$ with slight modifications applied by Kaczorowski and Perelli [7] to prove (1.6)–(1.7). We will closely follow [7], so we will be brief. Until the end of (3.5) these zeros can be arbitrary with (2.1), later on we suppose that they are eRH zeros (see Section 2).

Denoting the *p*-th Euler factor of $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s}$ by $F_p(s)$ with a $z = z(\varepsilon)$ to be chosen later we write

$$F(s,z) := \prod_{p>z} F_p(s), \quad M_X(s,z) := \sum_{\substack{n \le X \\ (n,P(z))=1}} a^{-1}(n)n^{-s}.$$
(3.1)

Since $F_p(s) \neq 0$ for $\sigma \geq 1/2$ (see Section 2 of [6]), the zeros of F(s) and F(s, z) coincide in the halfplane $\sigma \geq 1/2$. For $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$F(s,z)M_X(s,z) = 1 + \sum_{n>X} c(n,z,X)n^{-s},$$
(3.2)

where by Lemma 1 of [7]

$$c(n) = c(n, z, X) \ll n^{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.3)

By the well-known Mellin transform we have for a zero ρ of F(s)

$$I(\varrho) := e^{-1/Y} + \sum_{n>X} c(n) n^{-\varrho} e^{-n/Y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} F(\varrho + s, z) M_X(\varrho + s, z) Y^s \Gamma(s) ds$$
(3.4)

$$= r(X, Y, \varrho) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2-\beta)} F(\varrho + s, z) M_X(\varrho + s, z) Y^s \Gamma(s),$$

where $r(X, Y, \varrho)$ denotes the residue of the integrand at $s = 1 - \varrho$, since the integrand is regular at s = 0. We have

$$r(X, Y, \varrho) \ll (M_X(\varrho + s, z)Y^s\Gamma(s))_{s=1-\varrho}^{(m-1)} \ll T^{\varepsilon}XY^{1-\beta}e^{-T} = o(1).$$
 (3.5)

Further, we have by $b(n) \ll n^{\vartheta}$, $\vartheta < 1/2$, in case of an eRH zero ρ for $|u| \leq \mathcal{L}^2/2$ by (2.21)

$$\left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)z\right) \right| \leq \prod_{p \leq z} F_p^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)\right) F\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)\right) \quad (3.6)$$
$$\ll (|u+\gamma|+2)^{(d_F/2)(\beta-1/2)+\varepsilon}.$$

Due to the exponential decay of the Γ -function we can restrict the integral on the RHS of (3.4) to the interval $\left[1/2 - \beta - i\mathcal{L}^2/2, 1/2 - \beta + i\mathcal{L}^2/2\right]$ and so we obtain from (3.4)–(3.6) and (2.1)

$$1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) + \sum_{x < n < Y\mathcal{L}^2} c(n) n^{-\varrho} e^{-n/Y} \ll T^{(d_F/2)(\beta - 1/2) + \varepsilon} Y^{1/2 - \beta} + o(1)$$
(3.7)

This implies by partial summation by $\beta \ge 1 - \eta$

$$\sum_{X < n < Y \mathcal{L}^2} c(n) n^{-(1-\eta) - i\gamma} e^{-n/Y} \gg 1.$$
(3.8)

= o(1).

Hence, by a dyadic subdivision of the interval $[X, Y\mathcal{L}^2]$ we obtain an interval

$$[M, M'] \subseteq [M, 2M] \subset [X, Y\mathcal{L}^2]$$
(3.9)

with

$$\left|\sum_{M < n \le M'} c(n) n^{-(1-\eta) - i\gamma_j} e^{-n/Y}\right| \gg 1/\mathcal{L}$$
(3.10)

if $\rho = 1 - \eta_j - i\gamma_j$ was an eRH zero of F(s) with $\eta_j \leq \eta$.

We will now use Halász's idea for a suitable kth power of the LHS of (3.9) in a version of Heath-Brown [5] which incorporates the twelfth power moment estimate of the Riemann zeta function due to Heath-Brown [4].

Lemma 2. Suppose t_1, \ldots, t_R are real numbers, a_n arbitrary complex numbers, $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|t_i - t_j| \ge 1 \text{ for } i, j \in [1, R], \ i \ne j, \ |t_i| \le T.$$
 (3.11)

Then

$$\sum_{r \le R} \left| \sum_{N}^{2N} a_n n^{-it_r} \right|^2 \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N + R^{11/12} T^{1/6} N^{1/2} \right) \sum_{N}^{2N} |a_n|^2.$$
(3.12)

Corollary 1. Suppose that $s_r = 1 - \eta + it_r$ $(1 \le r \le R)$ with t_r satisfying (3.11), b_n arbitrary complex with $b_n \ll n^{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\sum_{r \le R} \left| \sum_{N}^{2N} b_n n^{-s_r} \right|^2 \ll T^{\varepsilon} N^{2\varepsilon} \left(N^{2\eta} + R^{11/12} T^{1/6} N^{-(1/2 - 2\eta)} \right).$$
(3.13)

Now, if

$$\left|\sum_{N}^{2N} b_n n^{-s_r}\right|^2 \gg T^{-\varepsilon},\tag{3.14}$$

then we obtain from (3.13)

$$R \ll T^{3\varepsilon} N^{2\eta + 2\varepsilon} \tag{3.15}$$

or

$$R \ll R^{11/12} T^{1/6+3\varepsilon} N^{-(1/2-2\eta)+2\varepsilon};$$
(3.16)

consequently

$$R \ll T^{2+36\varepsilon} N^{24\eta - 6 + 24\varepsilon}.$$
(3.17)

Now, (3.15) and (3.17) mean that in case of

$$N_0 := T^{\frac{2-2\eta}{6-24\eta} + C\varepsilon} \le N \le T^C \tag{3.18}$$

we have (we remind that ε is a generic constant)

$$R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (N^{2\eta} + T^{2\eta}) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$
(3.19)

We consider now two cases according to the size of $N=M^k$: (i) If $N_0\leq N\ll Y^{1+\varepsilon}$ then by (3.19)

$$R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (Y^{2\eta} + T^{2\eta}) = T^{d_F \eta + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta + \varepsilon}; \qquad (3.20)$$

(ii) if
$$N_0 \ll N \ll N_0^{3/2}$$
 then by (3.19)

$$R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (N_0^{3\eta} + T^{2\eta}) = T^{\frac{(1-\eta)\eta}{(1-4\eta)} + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta+\varepsilon} \ll T^{2\eta+\varepsilon}$$
(3.21)

since $\eta \leq 1/7$.

If the original value of M was less than $N_0^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ we can find k with $M^k \in [N_0^{1+\varepsilon}, N_0^{3/2+\varepsilon}]$. If $M \in [N_0^{1/2+\varepsilon}, N_0^{1+\varepsilon}]$, we choose k = 2 and obtain

$$R \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N_0^{4\eta} + T^{2\eta} \right) \ll T^{\frac{4(1-\eta)\eta}{3(1-4\eta)} + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta+\varepsilon} \ll T^{2\eta+\varepsilon}$$
(3.22)

since $\eta \leq 1/10$.

Finally, for $M \ge N_0^{1+\varepsilon}$ we have (3.20) by $M \le Y$ with the choice k = 1. For the sake of completeness we have to note that we used the fact that if $\sum_{M}^{2M} a_m m^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet polynomial with $|a_m| \le C(\delta) m^{\delta}$ then its kth power, i.e.,

$$\sum_{N}^{2^{k}N} b_{n} n^{-s} \tag{3.23}$$

satisfies

$$b_n = \left| \sum_{\substack{n=n_1 n_2 \dots n_k \\ n_i \in (M, 2M]}} a_{n_1} a_{n_2} \dots a_{n_k} \right| \le \tau_k(n) C(\delta)^k (n_1 \dots n_R)^{\delta}$$

$$\le C(\delta)^k n^{\delta} \tau^k(n)$$

$$\ll_{\delta,k} n^{2\delta}$$
(3.24)

where $\tau_k(n)$ is the generalized divisor function. In our case we have $\tau(n) \ll n^{c/\log \log n}$, $\delta = \varepsilon$ and $k \leq \log_2(1/\varepsilon) + 1$ ($\log_2 m$ denotes the logarithm of base 2).

We note that in the course of proof we used that the number of eRH zeros satisfying (2.1) is at most a factor $C\mathcal{L}^5$ times higher than the total number of all zeros with $|\gamma| \leq T$, $\beta \geq \sigma = 1 - \eta$.

References

[1] Carlson, F., Über die Nullstellen der Dirichletschen Reihen und der Riemannschen ζ -Funktion, Arkiv för Mat. Astr. och Fysik **15** (1920), No. 20.

- [2] Conrey, J. B. and Ghosh, A., On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees, *Duke Math. J.* 72 (1993), 673–693.
- [3] Halász, G. and Turán, P., On the distribution of roots of Riemann zeta and allied functions. I, J. Number Theory 1 (1969), 121–137.
- [4] Heath-Brown, D. R., The twelfth power moment of the Riemannfunction, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* (2) 29 (1978), no. 116, 443–462.
- [5] Heath-Brown, D. R., Zero density estimates for the Riemann zetafunction and Dirichlet L-functions, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 19 (1979), no. 2, 221–232.
- [6] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the structure of the Selberg class. I: $0 \le d \le 1$. Acta Math. 182 (1999), no. 2, 207–241.
- [7] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the prime number theorem for the Selberg class, Arch. Math. (Basel) 80 (2003), no. 3, 255–263.
- [8] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the structure of the Selberg class. VII: 1 < d < 2, Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 3, 1397–1441.
- [9] Selberg, A., Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, in: *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989)*, pp. 367–385. Univ. of Salerno, Salerno, 1992; Collected Papers, Vol. II, pp. 47–63. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

János Pintz HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13–15 H-1053 Hungary e-mail: pintz@renyi.hu