On the Density Hypothesis for the Selberg Class

by János Pintz^{*}

1 Introduction

The Selberg class S of $\mathcal L$ -functions was introduced by Atle Selberg in 1992 [\[9\]](#page-9-0). The elements of the class S are Dirichlet series $F(s)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $F(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$;
- (ii) $(s-1)^m F(s)$ is an entire function of finite order with an integer $m \geq 0$;
- (iii) $F(s)$ satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$
\Phi(s) = \omega \overline{\Phi}(1-s),
$$

where $|\omega| = 1$, $\overline{f}(s) = \overline{f(s)}$ and

$$
\Phi(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) F(s), \quad Q > 0, \ \lambda_j > 0, \text{ Re } \mu_j \ge 0;
$$

(iv) the Dirichlet coefficients $a(n)$ of $F(s)$ satisfy the Ramanujan condition $a(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$;

(v) $\log F(s)$ is a Dirichlet series, $\log F(s) = \sum$ $\sum\limits_p \log F_p(s),$

$$
\log F_p(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}}, \quad b(p^m) \ll (p^m)^{\vartheta} \text{ for some } \vartheta < 1/2.
$$

[∗]Supported by the National Research Development and Innovation Office of Hungary, NKFIH, K133819 and K147153.

Keywords and phrases: Selberg class, density hypothesis.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M41.

One of the most important notions is the degree d_F of a function $F \in S$ defined by

$$
d_F = 2\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j,\tag{1.1}
$$

which is, in fact, an invariant of F .

One of the main goals of the theory would be to characterize elements of the Selberg class if their degree d_F is given. Selberg conjectured that the degree is always a non-negative integer. One of the other main goals (clearly hopeless at present) would be to show the Riemann Hypothesis for all $F \in S$, i.e., that all non-trivial zeros of F lie on the line Re $s = 1/2$.

Concerning the characterization problem Conrey and Ghosh [\[2\]](#page-9-1) showed that there is no element F with a degree $d_F \in (0,1)$ while the only function with $d_F = 0$ (i.e. without Γ-factors) is $F = 1$.

Very deep results were reached by Kaczorowski and Perelli([\[6\]](#page-9-2) and [\[8\]](#page-9-3)). In [\[6\]](#page-9-2) they showed that the only functions with $d_F = 1$ are the Riemann zeta and ordinary Dirichlet $\mathcal{L}\text{-functions}$. In [\[8\]](#page-9-3) they showed that there are no elements $F \in S$ with $1 < d_F < 2$.

For the number $N_F(T)$ of non-trivial zeros of F with $0 \leq Im s \leq T$ Selberg showed that

$$
N_F(T) = d_F \frac{T(\log T + C)}{2\pi} + O(\log T),
$$
\n(1.2)

similarly to the case of Riemann's $\zeta(s)$.

As an approximation to the Riemann Hypothesis Carlson proved more than hundred years ago [\[1\]](#page-8-0) that

$$
N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{4\sigma(1-\sigma)} \log^c T. \tag{1.3}
$$

The best possible (eventually uniform) conjecture of type

$$
N(1 - \eta, T) \ll T^{A(\eta)\eta} \log^c T, \quad (\eta \le 1/2)
$$
 (1.4)

or

$$
N(1 - \eta, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{A(\eta)\eta + \varepsilon} \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0 \tag{1.5}
$$

is by [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) with $A(\eta) \leq A = 2$. It is called the Density Hypothesis.

Although there were many improvements of Carlson's result in the past hundred years, the Density Hypothesis is still open. A breakthrough result was shown half a century later by Halász and Turán $[3]$ who could show its validity in a fixed strip $\eta < c_1$ of the critical strip. Several mathematicians

showed Carlson type density theorems for elements of the Selberg class, including Kaczorowski and Perelli [\[7\]](#page-9-5) who showed for any $F \in S$

$$
N_F(1-\eta, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{A_F(\eta)\eta+\varepsilon} \tag{1.6}
$$

with

$$
A_F(\eta) = 4(d_F + 3) \quad \text{for} \quad \eta < 1/4. \tag{1.7}
$$

Other works proved even the corresponding Density Hypothesis for several elements $F \in S$.

Our present goal is to show a density theorem, namely the following Theorem. Under the above notations [\(1.6\)](#page-2-0) holds with

$$
A_F(\eta) = \max(d_F, 2) \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \le 1/10. \tag{1.8}
$$

In particular, we obtain the Density Hypothesis if $d_F \leq 2$. We do not use the deep fact (resp. conjecture) that there are no elements of the Selberg class, if d_F is not a non-negative integer.

2 Notation. Proof of Theorem. Preparation

We begin with some notation and a definition.

Let us assume that we have zeros $\varrho_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j$ of $F(S)$ with $\gamma_j \in [T/2, T]$, T large, $\beta_j = 1 - \eta_j$, $n_j \leq \eta \leq 1/4$, $\sigma = 1 - \eta$. Suppose further that for $j \neq \nu$

$$
|\gamma_j - \gamma_\nu| \ge 3\mathcal{L}^3 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L} = \log T. \tag{2.1}
$$

Let ε be a generic arbitrary small positive constant which might be different at different occurrences.

Let us choose the parameters X and Y as

$$
X = T^{\varepsilon},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
Y = T^{d_F/2 + \varepsilon}.\tag{2.3}
$$

Definition. The implicit constants in the ϑ and \ll symbols might depend on ε and $F(s)$. A non-trivial zero $\rho = \beta_j + i\gamma_j = 1 - \eta_j + i\gamma_j$ of $F(S)$ will be called an extreme right hand (eRH) zero if the rectangle (for $H = \mathcal{L}$)

$$
R_H(\varrho) := \left\{ \sigma + it; \ \sigma \ge \beta_j + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}}, \ |t - \gamma_j| \le H^2 \right\} \tag{2.4}
$$

is free of zeros of $F(s)$.

Starting from any zero ϱ_0 with $\beta_0 \geq 1/2$ we have two possibilities:

(i) ϱ_0 is an eRH zero;

(ii) we can find another zero $\varrho_1 = \beta_1 + i\gamma_1$ with $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0 + 1/\mathcal{L}$, $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_0| \leq$ \mathcal{L}^2 .

In case (i) we are ready, in case (ii) we continue the same procedure with ϱ_1 in place of ϱ_0 . In such a way we arrive after at most $\lceil \mathcal{L}/2 \rceil$ steps at an eRH zero ϱ' with

$$
\beta' \ge \beta_0, \quad |\gamma' - \gamma_0| \le \mathcal{L}^3. \tag{2.5}
$$

The advantage of using an eRH zero ϱ' instead of an arbitrary ϱ in our counting procedure will be clear from the following

Lemma 1. If for a point $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0 = 1 - \eta_0 + it_0$ with $\sigma_0 \geq 1/2$, $|t_0| \leq 2T$ the rectangle $R_H(s_0)$ defined by $H = \mathcal{L}$ and [\(2.4\)](#page-2-1) with ϱ replaced by s_0 is zero-free, then

$$
F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll T^{\sigma_0 - 1/2 + \varepsilon} \quad \text{for} \quad |t - t_0| \le \mathcal{L}^2/2. \tag{2.6}
$$

Proof. Let $\frac{3}{L} < \delta$ be a sufficiently small parameter to be determined later. Let us use the Borel–Carathéodory theorem for $\log F(z)$ with the circles of radius $r = 2 - \sigma_0 - \delta/2$ and $2 - \sigma_0 - \delta$ and centre $2 + it_0$. Then we have on the larger circle

$$
Re \log F(z) = \log |F(z)| \ll \mathcal{L}.
$$
 (2.7)

Hence, on the smaller circle by $F(s) = t^{0(1)}$ and axiom (v)

$$
|\log F(z)| \ll \frac{4 - 2\sigma_0 - \delta}{\delta/2} \mathcal{L} + \frac{4 - 2\sigma_0 - 3\delta/2}{\delta/2} |\log F(2 + it_0)| \ll \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\delta}.
$$
 (2.8)

Afterwards apply Hadamard's three circle theorem with circles C_1, C_2, C_3 , centered at $1/\delta + it_0$ passing through the points $2 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0$, $\sigma_0+\delta+it_0$, i.e. with radii $r_1 = 1/\delta-2$, $r_2 = 1/\delta-\sigma_0-10\delta$, $r_3 = 1/\delta-\sigma_0-\delta$. Let us denote the maximum of $F(z)$ on these circles by M_1 , M_2 , M_3 . We have then

$$
M_2 \le M_1^{1-a} \cdot M_3^a \tag{2.9}
$$

where by $\log(1 + x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2}$ $\frac{c^2}{2}(1+o(1))$ for $x \to 0$

$$
a = \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} / \log \frac{r_3}{r_1} = \log \left(1 + \frac{2 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta}{1/\delta - 2} \right) / \log \left(1 + \frac{2 - \sigma_0 - \delta}{1/\delta - 2} \right) \quad (2.10)
$$

$$
\leq \left(\frac{2 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta}{1/\delta - 2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta}{5} \right) \right) / \left(\frac{2 - \sigma_0 - \delta}{1/\delta - 2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2-\sigma_0-10\delta}{2-\sigma_0-\delta}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{3}\right).
$$

For the elements F of the Selberg class we have by axiom (v)

$$
M_1 = \max_{z \in C_1} |\log F(z)| \ll 1,
$$
\n(2.11)

while the argument of the Borel–Carathéodory theorem, yields (2.8) for every point of the circle C_3 (not only for $\sigma_0 + \delta + it_0$)

$$
M_3 = \max_{z \in C_3} |\log F(z)| \ll \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\delta}.
$$
 (2.12)

Taking into account (2.9) – (2.10) we obtain

$$
\left| \log F(\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0) \right| \le M_2 \ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^a \tag{2.13}
$$
\n
$$
\ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^{(1 - \frac{9\delta}{2 - \sigma_0})(1 + \frac{\delta}{3})}
$$
\n
$$
\ll \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{L}^{1 - 6\delta}.
$$

Choosing $\delta = 1/\sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}}$

$$
|\log F(\sigma_0 + 10\delta + it_0)| \ll \sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}} \cdot \mathcal{L} e^{-6\sqrt{\log \mathcal{L}}} = o(\mathcal{L}). \tag{2.14}
$$

Hence, from the functional equation we obtain

$$
|\log F(1 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta + it_0)| \le \frac{d_F}{2} (2\sigma_0 - 1 + o(1)) \log T.
$$
 (2.15)

If we replace in the definition $R_{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ the parameter \mathcal{L} by $\mathcal{L}/2$ then the whole argument yielding (2.14) – (2.15) remains valid. Therefore we have (2.14) – (2.15) if t_0 is replaced by an arbitrary t^* with

$$
|t^* - t_0| \le \mathcal{L}^2/4. \tag{2.16}
$$

So, we can now use Hadamard's three lines theorem for the function

$$
f(z) = F(z)e^{(z - it^*)^2}
$$
\n(2.17)

on the lines $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0 + 10\delta$, $\sigma_2 = 1/2$, $\sigma_3 = 1 - \sigma_0 - 10\delta$.

Let us denote the corresponding maximums by M_1 , M_2 , M_3 . First we note that as (2.14) – (2.15) are valid for t_0 replaced by t^* we have

$$
M_1 = \sup_t |f(\sigma_1 + it)| \ll |F(\sigma_1 + it^*)| \ll T^{\varepsilon}
$$
\n(2.18)

and

$$
M_3 = \sup_t |f(\sigma_3 + it)| \ll |F(\sigma_3 + it^*)| \ll T^{d_f(2\sigma_0 - 1)/2 + \varepsilon}.
$$
 (2.19)

Consequently, by Hadamard's three lines theorem we have

$$
M_2 = \sup_t |f(1/2 + it)| \ll (M_1 M_3)^{1/2} \ll T^{d_F(\sigma_0 - 1/2)/2 + \varepsilon}.
$$
 (2.20)

In particular we have for $t^* \in [t_0 - \mathcal{L}^2/2, t_0 + \mathcal{L}^2/2]$

$$
\left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it^* \right) \right| = \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2} + it^* \right) \right| e^{-1/4} \ll T^{d_F(\sigma_0 - 1/2)/2 + \varepsilon}.
$$
 (2.21)

3 The zero detection method

We will use the now standard method of Montgomery to detect the zeros of $F(s)$ with $\beta \geq 3/4$ with slight modifications applied by Kaczorowski and Perelli [\[7\]](#page-9-5) to prove (1.6) – (1.7) . We will closely follow [7], so we will be brief. Until the end of (3.5) these zeros can be arbitrary with (2.1) , later on we suppose that they are eRH zeros (see Section [2\)](#page-2-4).

Denoting the p-th Euler factor of $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ $n=1$ $a(n)n^{-s}$ by $F_p(s)$ with a $z = z(\varepsilon)$ to be chosen later we write

$$
F(s, z) := \prod_{p > z} F_p(s), \quad M_X(s, z) := \sum_{\substack{n \le X \\ (n, P(z)) = 1}} a^{-1}(n) n^{-s}.
$$
 (3.1)

Since $F_p(s) \neq 0$ for $\sigma \geq 1/2$ (see Section 2 of [\[6\]](#page-9-2)), the zeros of $F(s)$ and $F(s, z)$ coincide in the halfplane $\sigma \geq 1/2$. For $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$
F(s,z)M_X(s,z) = 1 + \sum_{n>X} c(n,z,X)n^{-s},
$$
\n(3.2)

where by Lemma 1 of [\[7\]](#page-9-5)

$$
c(n) = c(n, z, X) \ll n^{\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(3.3)

By the well-known Mellin transform we have for a zero ρ of $F(s)$

$$
I(\varrho) := e^{-1/Y} + \sum_{n>X} c(n)n^{-\varrho}e^{-n/Y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} F(\varrho + s, z)M_X(\varrho + s, z)Y^s \Gamma(s)ds
$$
\n(3.4)

$$
= r(X,Y,\varrho) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{(1/2-\beta)} F(\varrho+s,z) M_X(\varrho+s,z) Y^s \Gamma(s),
$$

where $r(X, Y, \rho)$ denotes the residue of the integrand at $s = 1 - \rho$, since the integrand is regular at $s = 0$. We have

$$
r(X, Y, \varrho) \ll (M_X(\varrho + s, z)Y^s \Gamma(s))_{s=1-\varrho}^{(m-1)} \ll T^{\varepsilon} XY^{1-\beta} e^{-T} = o(1). \quad (3.5)
$$

Further, we have by $b(n) \ll n^{\vartheta}$, $\vartheta < 1/2$, in case of an eRH zero ρ for $|u| \leq \mathcal{L}^2/2$ by (2.21)

$$
\left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)z\right) \right| \leq \prod_{p \leq z} F_p^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)\right) F\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(u+\gamma)\right) \quad (3.6)
$$

$$
\ll (\left| u + \gamma \right| + 2)^{(d_F/2)(\beta - 1/2) + \varepsilon}.
$$

Due to the exponential decay of the Γ-function we can restrict the inte-gral on the RHS of [\(3.4\)](#page-5-1) to the interval $\left[1/2 - \beta - i\mathcal{L}^2/2, 1/2 - \beta + i\mathcal{L}^2/2\right]$ and so we obtain from (3.4) – (3.6) and (2.1)

$$
1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) + \sum_{x < n < Y\mathcal{L}^2} c(n)n^{-\varrho} e^{-n/Y} \ll T^{(d_F/2)(\beta - 1/2) + \varepsilon} Y^{1/2 - \beta} + o(1) \tag{3.7}
$$

 $= o(1).$

This implies by partial summation by $\beta \geq 1 - \eta$

$$
\sum_{X < n < Y\mathcal{L}^2} c(n)n^{-(1-\eta)-i\gamma}e^{-n/Y} \gg 1. \tag{3.8}
$$

Hence, by a dyadic subdivision of the interval $[X, YL^2]$ we obtain an interval

$$
[M, M'] \subseteq [M, 2M] \subset [X, Y\mathcal{L}^2]
$$
\n(3.9)

with

$$
\left| \sum_{M < n \le M'} c(n) n^{-(1-\eta) - i\gamma_j} e^{-n/Y} \right| \gg 1/\mathcal{L} \tag{3.10}
$$

if $\rho = 1 - \eta_j - i\gamma_j$ was an eRH zero of $F(s)$ with $\eta_j \leq \eta$.

We will now use Halász's idea for a suitable k th power of the LHS of [\(3.9\)](#page-6-2) in a version of Heath-Brown [\[5\]](#page-9-6) which incorporates the twelfth power moment estimate of the Riemann zeta function due to Heath-Brown [\[4\]](#page-9-7).

Lemma 2. Suppose t_1, \ldots, t_R are real numbers, a_n arbitrary complex numbers, $\varepsilon > 0$

$$
|t_i - t_j| \ge 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i, j \in [1, R], \quad i \ne j, \quad |t_i| \le T. \tag{3.11}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{r \le R} \left| \sum_{N}^{2N} a_n n^{-it_r} \right|^2 \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N + R^{11/12} T^{1/6} N^{1/2} \right) \sum_{N}^{2N} |a_n|^2. \tag{3.12}
$$

Corollary 1. Suppose that $s_r = 1 - \eta + it_r$ $(1 \le r \le R)$ with t_r satisfying [\(3.11\)](#page-7-0), b_n arbitrary complex with $b_n \ll n^{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$
\sum_{r \le R} \left| \sum_{N}^{2N} b_n n^{-s_r} \right|^2 \ll T^{\varepsilon} N^{2\varepsilon} \left(N^{2\eta} + R^{11/12} T^{1/6} N^{-(1/2 - 2\eta)} \right). \tag{3.13}
$$

Now, if

$$
\left|\sum_{N}^{2N} b_n n^{-s_r}\right|^2 \gg T^{-\varepsilon},\tag{3.14}
$$

then we obtain from [\(3.13\)](#page-7-1)

$$
R \ll T^{3\varepsilon} N^{2\eta + 2\varepsilon} \tag{3.15}
$$

or

$$
R \ll R^{11/12} T^{1/6 + 3\varepsilon} N^{-(1/2 - 2\eta) + 2\varepsilon};
$$
\n(3.16)

consequently

$$
R \ll T^{2+36\varepsilon} N^{24\eta - 6 + 24\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(3.17)

Now, [\(3.15\)](#page-7-2) and [\(3.17\)](#page-7-3) mean that in case of

$$
N_0 := T^{\frac{2-2\eta}{6-24\eta} + C\varepsilon} \le N \le T^C \tag{3.18}
$$

we have (we remind that ε is a generic constant)

$$
R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (N^{2\eta} + T^{2\eta}) \quad \text{for any} \ \varepsilon > 0. \tag{3.19}
$$

We consider now two cases according to the size of $N = M^k$: (i) If $N_0 \leq N \ll Y^{1+\varepsilon}$ then by [\(3.19\)](#page-7-4)

$$
R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (Y^{2\eta} + T^{2\eta}) = T^{d_F \eta + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta + \varepsilon};
$$
\n(3.20)

(ii) if
$$
N_0 \ll N \ll N_0^{3/2}
$$
 then by (3.19)

$$
R \ll T^{\varepsilon} (N_0^{3\eta} + T^{2\eta}) = T^{\frac{(1-\eta)\eta}{(1-4\eta)} + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta + \varepsilon} \ll T^{2\eta + \varepsilon}
$$
 (3.21)

since $\eta \leq 1/7$.

If the original value of M was less than $N_0^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ we can find k with $M^k \in [N_0^{1+\varepsilon}, N_0^{3/2+\varepsilon}]$. If $M \in [N_0^{1/2+\varepsilon}]$ $\binom{1}{0}^{1+1+\epsilon}$, we choose $k=2$ and obtain

$$
R \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N_0^{4\eta} + T^{2\eta} \right) \ll T^{\frac{4(1-\eta)\eta}{3(1-4\eta)} + \varepsilon} + T^{2\eta + \varepsilon} \ll T^{2\eta + \varepsilon}
$$
 (3.22)

since $\eta \leq 1/10$.

Finally, for $M \ge N_0^{1+\varepsilon}$ we have [\(3.20\)](#page-7-5) by $M \le Y$ with the choice $k = 1$. For the sake of completeness we have to note that we used the fact that if \sum^{2M} M $a_m m^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet polynomial with $|a_m| \leq C(\delta) m^{\delta}$ then its kth power, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{N}^{2^k N} b_n n^{-s} \tag{3.23}
$$

satisfies

$$
b_n = \Big| \sum_{\substack{n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k \\ n_i \in (M, 2M]}} a_{n_1} a_{n_2} \dots a_{n_k} \Big| \le \tau_k(n) C(\delta)^k (n_1 \dots n_R)^\delta \tag{3.24}
$$

$$
\le C(\delta)^k n^\delta \tau^k(n)
$$

$$
\ll_{\delta, k} n^{2\delta}
$$

where $\tau_k(n)$ is the generalized divisor function. In our case we have $\tau(n) \ll$ $n^{c/\log\log n}$, $\delta = \varepsilon$ and $k \leq \log_2(1/\varepsilon) + 1$ (log₂ m denotes the logarithm of base 2).

We note that in the course of proof we used that the number of eRH zeros satisfying [\(2.1\)](#page-2-3) is at most a factor $C\mathcal{L}^5$ times higher than the total number of all zeros with $|\gamma| \leq T$, $\beta \geq \sigma = 1 - \eta$.

References

[1] Carlson, F., Über die Nullstellen der Dirichletschen Reihen und der Riemannschen ζ-Funktion, Arkiv för Mat. Astr. och Fysik 15 (1920), No. 20.

- [2] Conrey, J. B. and Ghosh, A., On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees, Duke Math. J. 72 (1993), 673–693.
- [3] Halász, G. and Turán, P., On the distribution of roots of Riemann zeta and allied functions. I, J. Number Theory 1 (1969), 121–137.
- [4] Heath-Brown, D. R., The twelfth power moment of the Riemannfunction, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 29 (1978), no. 116, 443–462.
- [5] Heath-Brown, D. R., Zero density estimates for the Riemann zetafunction and Dirichlet L-functions, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 19 (1979), no. 2, 221–232.
- [6] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the structure of the Selberg class. I: $0 \le d \le 1$. Acta Math. 182 (1999), no. 2, 207-241.
- [7] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the prime number theorem for the Selberg class, Arch. Math. (Basel) 80 (2003), no. 3, 255–263.
- [8] Kaczorowski, J. and Perelli, A., On the structure of the Selberg class. VII: $1 < d < 2$, Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 3, 1397-1441.
- [9] Selberg, A., Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, in: Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989), pp. 367–385. Univ. of Salerno, Salerno, 1992; Collected Papers, Vol. II, pp. 47–63. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

János Pintz HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13–15 H-1053 Hungary e-mail: pintz@renyi.hu