

SIMPLICITY OF $*$ -ALGEBRAS OF NON-HAUSDORFF \mathbb{Z}_2 -MULTISPINAL GROUPOIDS

C. FARSI, N. S. LARSEN, J. PACKER, AND N. THIEM

ABSTRACT. We study simplicity of C^* -algebras arising from self-similar groups of \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal type, a generalization of the Grigorchuk case whose simplicity was first proved by L. Clark, R. Exel, E. Pardo, C. Starling, and A. Sims in 2019, and we prove results generalizing theirs. Our first main result is a sufficient condition for simplicity of the Steinberg algebra satisfying conditions modeled on the behavior of the groupoid associated to the first Grigorchuk group. This closely resembles conditions found by B. Steinberg and N. Szakács. As a key ingredient we identify an infinite family of $2 - (2q - 1, q - 1, q/2 - 1)$ -designs, where q is a positive even integer. We then deduce the simplicity of the associated C^* -algebra, which is our second main result. Results of similar type were considered by B. Steinberg and N. Szakács in 2021, and later by K. Yoshida, but their methods did not follow the original methods of the five authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groupoids and their C^* -algebras have attracted a lot of attention in recent years, and the particular case of étale groupoids has especially been a topic of focus. Étale groupoids have been associated to foliations and other geometric settings. While the Hausdorff groupoid case has been the object of many of the first papers, there has also been a lot of recent interest in non-Hausdorff groupoids with Hausdorff unit space, see e.g. [7].

Here we consider in detail the groupoids associated to a class of multispinal groups. Multispinal groups were introduced by Steinberg and Szakács, who studied simplicity of their Nekrashevych algebra over fields of varying characteristic and showed that (non-)simplicity varies with the value of the characteristic, [26]. Later, Yoshida provided alternative characterisations of the simplicity of the associated groupoid C^* -algebras using methods based on ideas in [26] and a characterization of KMS states, [31].

Multispinal groups provide valuable models of self-similar actions, and we here concentrate our attention on the class of Šunić groups constructed from primitive polynomials of arbitrary degree $n \geq 2$ over the field \mathbb{F}_2 with two elements; we call them \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups, see [28] for the general construction. Of particular note is the polynomial $f_2(x) = x^2 + x + 1$, leading to the Grigorchuk group.

We proceed with a quick review of self-similar actions and their associated groupoids. Self-similar groups play an important role in geometric group theory. One of the main examples of self-similar groups is the Grigorchuk group constructed from an action based on the rooted tree on the alphabet $X = \{0, 1\}$. The Grigorchuk group is the first known example of a finitely generated group of intermediate growth [14]; see Nekrashevych [18] [19],[20],[21] among others. Roughly speaking, self-similar actions of groups are defined in the following way. Let X be a finite set with more than one element, called the alphabet, and let X^* denote the set of finite words over X . One may view X^* as a rooted tree, with

Date: June 28, 2024.

the empty word as root, and an edge between η and ηx for every word η in X^* and letter x . If we have a faithful length-preserving action of G on X^* , written $(g, \eta) \rightarrow g \cdot \eta$, such that for all $g \in G, x \in X$, there exists a unique element of G , denoted $g|_x$ such that for all $\eta \in X^*$,

$$g \cdot (x\eta) = (g \cdot x)(g|_x \cdot \eta),$$

the pair (G, X) is called a *self-similar action*. The map $G \times X \rightarrow G, (g, x) \rightarrow g|_x$, is called the *restriction*. This property gives the action an additional structure that parallels the self-similarity of X^* given by the shift map $x\eta \rightarrow \eta$ which projects first-level subtrees to the whole tree.

Nekrashevych defined a C^* -algebra associated to self-similar groups, see [18]. One can also construct a groupoid naturally associated to self-similar actions with Hausdorff unit space but which is not necessarily Hausdorff. This provides another starting point for constructions of C^* -algebras associated to self-similar actions. Steinberg defined an algebraic C^* -algebra associated to an ample (and étale) groupoid \mathcal{G} with coefficients in a field \mathbb{K} , henceforth referred to as its *Steinberg algebra*, [25]. In the case of groupoids from self-similar actions, the Steinberg algebra is an algebraic version of the Nekrashevych C^* -algebra, see e.g. [4], [26] and the references therein. We refer to Section 2.1 for details on both of these algebras. Moreover, for ample non-necessarily Hausdorff groupoids, in the paper [6] they give an alternative way to construct from the Steinberg algebra the groupoid C^* -algebra.

The starting point motivating this research was the study of simplicity of the Steinberg algebra and the C^* -algebra of the groupoid associated with the self similar action of the first Grigorchuk group on $\{0, 1\}$ from [4]. The main abstract result for a not necessarily Hausdorff \mathcal{G} with Hausdorff unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is [4, Theorem 4.10]. In particular, for a second-countable, locally compact, étale groupoid such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff, if $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is simple, then $C^*(\mathcal{G}) = C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, \mathcal{G} is effective and for every nonzero $a \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, $\text{supp}(j(a))$ has non-empty interior. In [4], an element $a \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is *singular* if $\text{supp}(j(a))$ has empty interior.

Trying to understand the work of [4] quickly lead us to wonder whether other self-similar groups apart from the Grigorchuk group displayed the key features of singular functions expressed as combinations of characteristic functions in a family depending on the nucleus of the self-similar group, cf. [4, Section 5]. Of course, similar questions were asked by other authors. Steinberg and Szakács provided a sweeping generalization of the simplicity result in the case of Nekrashevych algebras of self-similar actions, and that in particular covered the case of multispinal groups, see [26, Section 7] and especially their Theorems 7.6 and 7.10. Their methods of proof build on an algebraic approach in two main parts, one identifying a simplicity graph, more precisely a Schreier graph, associated to the self-similar action, with the second step being a representation theoretic characterisation of conditions for (non)-simplicity.

In this work, we study simplicity of C^* -algebras of non-Hausdorff groupoids, here denoted \mathcal{G}_n , arising from self-similar groups of \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal type, here denoted \mathfrak{G}_n where $n \geq 2$, a generalization of the Grigorchuk case, and prove results generalizing those of [4], see Sections 4 and 5. The proofs of our main simplicity results, for the Steinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ and the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ follow closely the thread laid out in [4] in a series of lemmas. This approach relies more on topology and linear algebra than group theory.

The key contribution of the present work is that it provides a bridge, via considerations of matrix ranks related to 2-designs in [13], [30] and [1], between the methods of proofs for [4, Theorem 5.22(1)] and for [26, Theorem 7.10] in case of the prime $p = 2$. Perhaps most worthy of attention is the distinction in simplicity depending on the characteristic of the underlying field. As we read from [13] and [30], this distinction has appeared elsewhere in a different context. A natural question is whether similar considerations of matrix ranks are true for other prime values, but here we do not have an answer, as we have not identified analogous p -designs for odd values of the prime p .

Another approach to simplicity of groupoid Steinberg and C^* -algebras associated to multispinal groups is due to Yoshida, see [31]. The necessary and sufficient condition for simplicity of the universal C^* -algebra associated to an amenable self-similar action of a multispinal group in [31, Theorem 3.13] is given in terms of invertibility of a certain matrix associated to the group. Since the first Grigorchuk group is such an important construction, with ramifications in different fields, we think it is worthwhile to have access to different perspectives on the (non)-simplicity of Steinberg and groupoid C^* -algebras of this and similar groups.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we first review C^* -algebras from self-similar actions and how they can be viewed as groupoid C^* -algebras, where the groupoids are associated to inverse semigroups arising from self-similar actions. In Section 3 we start by describing the construction of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups \mathfrak{G}_n of interest here. We identify an explicit family of full rank matrices associated to a

$$2 - (2^n - 1, 2^{n-1} - 1, 2^{n-2} - 1) - \text{design},$$

which we then show to be a special case of a $2 - (2q - 1, q - 1, q/2 - 1)$ -design, where q is a positive even integer. We prove simplicity of the Steinberg algebra of \mathcal{G}_n over a field of characteristic zero in Section 4, and in Section 5 we present our results on the simplicity of the full C^* -algebra associated to \mathcal{G}_n . The main bulk of the two last sections consists of versions of the lemmas obtained in [4, Section 5] by working with the specific generators of the first Grigorchuk group (which we recall is the multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_2) that are the elements of the nucleus fixing the letters of the alphabet X . So our effort goes into lifting these results to an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n with $n \geq 3$. We hope that some of the methods developed here may extend to study simplicity, and even nosimplicity, in the case of other self-similar groups. Moreover, according to very recent research just posted on the arxiv (see [2]), our methods also imply simplicity of the associated groupoid L^p -operator algebras (similarly to the case of the Grigorchuk group, see [2, Example]).

Acknowledgments: This research was partly supported by: Simon Foundation Collaboration Grant #523991 (C.F), Simon Foundation Collaboration Grant #1561094 (J.P.) and the Trond Mohn Research Foundation through the project "Pure Mathematics in Norway" (N.L.). We thank Zahra Afsar and Lisa O. Clark for useful discussions at the very early stages of this project, when the first three named authors participated in the workshop "Women in Operator Algebras" at BIRS (Banff International Research Centre), 4-9 November 2018, meeting # 18w5168. We acknowledge fruitful discussions with Nora Szakács at the late stages of this research.

2. BACKGROUND ON AMPLE GROUPOIDS AND THEIR *-ALGEBRAS

In this section we recall some basic facts about self-similar actions and their associated groupoids. We also recall their connection with groupoids associated to inverse

semigroups. Then we introduce the self-similar actions groupoid and their Steinberg and C^* -algebras. The ideal of singular functions plays an important role in simplicity settings.

At the end of this section we list a criterion for a set to have non-empty interior in the non-Hausdorff setting.

2.1. Preliminaries on groupoids. Suppose that \mathcal{G} is a topological groupoid with unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and source and range maps s, r . An *open bisection* Θ is an open subset $B \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that the source map (equivalently, the range map) restricts to a homeomorphism of B onto an open subset of \mathcal{G} . In this paper, all groupoids are assumed to be second countable and have a Hausdorff unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

The groupoid \mathcal{G} is *étale* if its topology admits a basis of open bisections and is *minimal* if for any $u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the orbit $[u] := s(r^{-1}(u))$ of u is dense in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. For each $u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, consider the isotropy group and form the isotropy bundle

$$\mathcal{G}_u^u := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : r(\gamma) = s(\gamma) \text{ and } \text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) := \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_u^u.$$

The groupoid \mathcal{G} is *effective* if the interior of $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. We have that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ embeds as an open subset of $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G})$. The groupoid \mathcal{G} is *topologically principal* if the collection of units with trivial isotropy group is dense in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. If the groupoid is étale and second countable, then being effective implies being topologically principal. It is known that the converse only holds for Hausdorff groupoids.

2.2. Tight groupoid associated to an inverse semigroup. We say a semigroup S is *regular* if for all $s \in S$ there exists an element $t \in S$ that satisfies $tst = t$ and $sts = s$. The element t is called an *inverse* of s . A regular semigroup is called an *inverse semigroup* if each element s has a unique inverse s^* . It is well-known that a regular semigroup is an inverse semigroup if and only if elements of $E(S) := \{e \in S : e^2 = e\}$ commute. Note that in this case $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication. Also $E(S)$ is a semi-lattice with order given by

$$e \leq f \iff ef = e.$$

Given an inverse semigroup S , let $s \in S$ and $e \in E(S)$ such that $e \leq s^*s$. Then e is *weakly fixed* by s if $sfs^*f \neq 0$ for every nonzero idempotent $f \leq e$.

Let S be an inverse semigroup. A subset $\xi \subset E(S)$ is *downwards directed* if whenever $e, f \in \xi$ then we have $ef \in \xi$. A subset $\xi \subset E(S)$ is *upwards closed* if $e \in \xi, f \in E(S)$ and $e \leq f$ implies that $f \in \xi$. A *filter* in $E(S)$ is a proper subset $\xi \subset E(S)$ that is both downwards directed and upwards closed. A filter is called an *ultrafilter* if it is not properly contained in another filter.

We write $\hat{E}_0(S)$ for the set of filters in $E(S)$. Then the subspace $\hat{E}_0(S) \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{E(S)}$ has the product topology. For finite sets $X, Y \subset E(S)$, let

$$U(X, Y) := \{\xi \in E^0(S) : x \in \xi \text{ for all } x \in X, y \notin \xi \text{ for all } y \in Y\}.$$

These sets are clopen and generate the subspace topology on $\hat{E}_0(S)$ as X and Y range over all finite subsets of $E(S)$. We also write $\hat{E}_\infty(S)$ for the subspace of ultrafilters. We will denote by $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ the closure of $\hat{E}_\infty(S)$ in $\hat{E}_0(S)$ and call this the space of *tight filters*.

Given an inverse semigroup S , there is a natural action of S on $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S)$: Let $D_e := \{\xi \in \hat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) : e \in \xi\}$, and define $\theta_s : D_{s^*s} \rightarrow D_{ss^*}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_s(\xi) = \overline{\{ses^* : e \in \xi\}}.$$

We write $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}} := \mathcal{G}(S, \hat{E}_{\text{tight}}, \theta)$ for the groupoid of germs of this action and call it the *tight groupoid* of S .

Let $(\{D_e\}_e \in E(S), \{\alpha_s\}_s \in S)$ be an action of an inverse semigroup S on a locally compact Hausdorff space X , and let $s \in S$. The set

$$F_s := \{x \in X : \alpha_s(x) = x\}$$

is called the set of *fixed points for s* . Also let

$$TF_s := \{x \in X : \text{there exists } e \in E(S) \text{ such that } 0 \neq e \leq s \text{ and } x \in D_e\} = \bigcup_{e \leq s} D_e.$$

and call this the set of *trivially fixed points for s* .

2.3. Groupoids arising from self-similar actions. Let X be a finite set with more than one element, let G be a group, and let X^* denote the set of all words in elements of X , including an empty word \emptyset . We denote by X^ω the Cantor set of one-sided infinite words in X , given the product topology. Recall that the cylinder sets $C(\eta) = \{\eta x : x \in X^\omega\}$ form a clopen basis for the topology on X^ω as η ranges over X^* .

If we have a faithful length-preserving action of G on X^* , written $(g, \eta) \rightarrow g \cdot \eta$, such that for all $g \in G, x \in X$, there exists a unique element of G , denoted $g|_x$ such that for all $\eta \in X^*$,

$$g \cdot (x\eta) = (g \cdot x)(g|_x \cdot \eta),$$

the pair (G, X) is called a *self-similar action*. The map $G \times X \rightarrow G, (g, x) \rightarrow g|_x$, is called the *restriction*, and extends to $G \times X^*$ via the formula

$$g|_{x_1 x_n} = g|_{x_1} |_{x_2} \cdots |_{x_n}.$$

For all $\eta, \mu \in X^*$, and all $g \in G$, it is the case that

$$g \cdot (\eta\mu) = (g \cdot \eta)(g|_\eta \cdot \mu).$$

The action of G on X^* extends to an action of G on X^ω defined by

$$g \cdot (x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots) = (g \cdot x_1)(g|_{x_1} \cdot x_2)(g|_{x_1 x_2} \cdot x_3) \dots$$

As defined in [21], the Nekrashevych C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{(G, X)}$ associated to a self similar action (G, X) is the universal C^* -algebra generated by isometries $\{s_x\}_{x \in X}$ and a unitary representation $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ satisfying expected Cuntz-like relations and the covariance equation $u_g s_x = s_{g \cdot x} u_{g|_x}, \forall g \in G$ and $x \in X$. It so happens that $\mathcal{O}_{(G, X)}$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra of the tight groupoid associated to the inverse semigroup $S_{G, X}$, where

$$S_{G, X} = \{(\eta, g, \mu) : \eta, \mu \in X^*, g \in G\} \cup \{0\},$$

and

$$(\eta, g, \mu)(\gamma, h, \nu) = \begin{cases} (\eta(g \cdot \epsilon), g|_\epsilon h, \nu) & \text{if } \gamma = \mu\epsilon, \\ (\eta, g(h^{-1}|_\epsilon)^{-1}, \nu(h^{-1} \cdot \epsilon)) & \text{if } \mu = \gamma\epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with

$$(\eta, g, \mu)^* = (\mu, g^{-1}, \eta).$$

The set of idempotents of $S_{G,X}$ is given by $E(S_{G,X})$ computed to be:

$$E(S_{G,X}) = \{(\mu, 1_G, \mu) : \mu \in X^*\} \cup \{0\}.$$

It is known that the tight spectrum of $E(S_{G,X})$ is homeomorphic to X^ω , and the standard action of $S_{G,X}$ on this tight spectrum has been determined to be as follows: $\theta(\eta, g, \mu) : C(\mu) \rightarrow C(\eta)$; for $\theta(\eta, g, \mu)(\mu\omega) = \eta(g \cdot \omega)$. See Section 2.2 for details.

As in the previous subsection, we thus obtain the ample, minimal groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{G,X}$ defined to be $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,X})$. The action of G on X^* is faithful, so that $\mathcal{G}_{G,X}$ is effective, and it is known that the Nekrashevych C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{(G,X)}$ is isomorphic to the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{G,X})$, [10]. We shall henceforth assume this fact.

2.4. The Steinberg algebra of an ample groupoid and the ideal of singular functions. Let \mathcal{G} be a topological groupoid. Following [4], the groupoid \mathcal{G} is *ample* if it is étale and admits a basis for the topology consisting of compact open bisections. If \mathcal{G} is an ample groupoid and \mathbb{K} is a field, then the Steinberg algebra of \mathcal{G} with coefficients in \mathbb{K} is the set

$$(2.2) \quad A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{span}\{1_B \mid B \text{ is a compact open bisection}\}$$

with the operation of convolution of functions on \mathcal{G} . An element f in $A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ has form $f = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}} a_B 1_B$, where \mathcal{F} is a finite collection of compact open bisections and $a_B \in \mathbb{K}$. As indicated in [4, Section 3.1], see also [5, Remark 2.5], there is an alternative description of f resulting from disjointifying \mathcal{F} . Moreover, sets of the form described below have appeared elsewhere, see in particular the proof of [20, Theorem 4.2]. Explicitly, for each $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ let

$$(2.3) \quad M_{\mathcal{J}} = \left(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{J}} B \right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{D \notin \mathcal{J}} D \right).$$

Then $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{\mathcal{J}} M_{\mathcal{J}}$, where we can express the latter by listing \mathcal{J} according to its size, $1 \leq |\mathcal{J}| \leq |\mathcal{F}|$. Further, for each $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ set

$$c_{\mathcal{J}} = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{J}} a_B = f|_{M_{\mathcal{J}}}.$$

In all, we have

$$(2.4) \quad f = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{F}} c_{\mathcal{J}} 1_{M_{\mathcal{J}}}.$$

It follows that a function in $A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ can be nonzero exactly on the union of finitely many sets given as in (2.3).

A function f in $A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ is *singular*, see [4, Section 3], provided that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{S_i}$$

where each S_i is a relatively closed subset of some open bisection O_i , and thus of the form $S_i = O_i \cap C_i$ with C_i a closed set, such that $\overset{\circ}{S}_i = \emptyset$.

Given $f \in A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$, its support is defined as $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathcal{G} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$. Note that the support is not necessarily closed. It is known that $f \in A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ is singular if and only if $\text{supp}(f)$ has empty interior, [4, Proposition 3.6]. Further, the set $S_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ of singular

functions is an ideal of $A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$, see [4] for the case that \mathcal{G} is second-countable étale and has Hausdorff unit space and [27] in general.

We shall assume that \mathcal{G} is *countably* ample, in the sense that it is an étale groupoid that has a countable base of compact open bisections. In particular, for each $z \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ there is a neighborhood basis $(U_m(z))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ consisting of compact open bisections. In our examples we will have that $U_m(z) \subseteq U_n(z)$ when $m \geq n$ for each z in a finite subset of the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. (This does not follow automatically in the non-Hausdorff case.)

The authors of [4] identify important characterizations of when the support of a function in $A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$ is non-empty by means of the notion of *regular open set*. We next detail some material that explores the structure of non-Hausdorff groupoids. In particular the notion of regular open set is pivotal. The section below is not needed for the sequel of the paper, but we thought it interesting as it generalizes sufficient conditions for the existence of empty interior in Lemma 2.1.

2.5. Regular open sets. We recall from [12, Section 9] that an open set P in a topological space X is called *regular open* provided that P is equal to the interior of its own closure. For a subset A of X , we denote the complement of A by A' , the interior of A by A° and the closure of A by A^- . There are well-known identities:

$$A^- = ((A')^\circ)' \text{ and } A^\circ = ((A')^-)',$$

or, simply written $A^- = A'^{\circ'}$ and $A^\circ = A'^{-'}$. As in [12], we let $A^\perp = A^{-'}$. Given a subset P of \mathcal{X} , we have $P^- = P^{\perp\perp}$, so

$$P^{-\circ} = (P^{\perp'})^\circ = (P^{\perp-})' = P^{\perp\perp}.$$

In other words, an open set is regular open precisely when $P = P^{\perp\perp}$. Note that the forward implication is always true, thus the non-trivial claim for a regular open set is that $P^{\perp\perp} \subset P$.

An intersection of regular open sets is again regular open. By contrast, a *union of regular open sets need not be regular open*. The main reason this happens is that a typical open set that is not regular open arises as an open set with "cracks", cf. [12, Section 89, page 61]. For example, \mathbb{R}^2 with finitely many points (or a line) removed will be an open set that is not regular open. When taking the union of regular open sets, a nonregular set may arise if the sets have cracks between them, cf. [12, Section 10, page 67].

In the case that X is a topological groupoid \mathcal{G} that is second countable, ample and effective, then every compact open bisection is regular open, [4, Lemma 2.5]. In general, it can happen that a union of compact open bisections is not regular open, as shown for the case of the Grigorchuk group in [4, Section 5].

It was observed in [4, Lemma 2.1] that if B and D are regular open sets in X such that $B \setminus D$ is nonempty, then $B \setminus D$ has nonempty interior. This result is the main ingredient in providing the characterisation in [4, Lemma 3.1] of when a function in $A_K(\mathcal{G})$ has nonempty interior. Since in the application to (ample effective) groupoids the set B will be a finite intersection of compact open bisections, and thus regular open, while D will be a finite union of compact open bisections, and thus not necessarily regular open, it is natural to relax the assumptions in [4, Lemma 2.1] by dropping the requirement that D should be regular open.

To this end, note that we have the following identity for all open sets B and D in a (not necessarily Hausdorff) topological space X :

$$(2.5) \quad B \setminus D = \left(B \setminus D^{\perp\perp} \right) \bigsqcup \left(B \cap (D^{\perp\perp} \setminus D) \right).$$

Clearly, if D is regular open, then (2.5) is trivial. Thus the identity is interesting in the case that $D \subsetneq D^{\perp\perp}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let B be a regular open set, and D an open set such that $B \cap D$ is regular open. If $B \setminus D$ is nonempty, then $B \setminus D$ has nonempty interior. In fact, if $B \setminus D^{\perp\perp}$ is nonempty, then $B \setminus D^{\perp\perp}$ and hence $B \setminus D$ have nonempty interior.*

Proof. The proof of the first claim is verbatim as for [4, Lemma 2.1] once we observe that regular openness of B and $B \cap D$ implies that $\overline{D \cap B} \subsetneq \overline{B}$. This provides the open set O equal to the complement of $\overline{D \cap B}$ which satisfies $\emptyset \neq B \cap O \subset B \setminus D$. The last claim follows by an application of [4, Lemma 2.1] to the regular open sets B and $D^{\perp\perp}$. \square

We note that the requirement to have $B \cap D$ regular open when B is so in Lemma 2.1 reduces to $B \cap D = (B \cap D)^{\perp\perp} = B^{\perp\perp} \cap D^{\perp\perp} = B \cap D^{\perp\perp}$, by an application of [12, Lemma 4] about distributing $^{\perp\perp}$ over finite intersections of open sets.

3. \mathbb{Z}_2 -MULTISPINAL GROUPS AND THE STRUCTURE OF THEIR SUBGROUPS OF ORDER 2^{n-1}

In this section we will first recall the definition of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups, which are the focus of our study. In particular they are related to the finite groups associated to the additive structure of finite fields of order 2^n . After that, we will detail the structure of subgroups of order 2^{n-1} and associated inclusion matrices. An algebraic lemma at the end of this section shows these inclusion matrices to have full rank.

3.1. \mathbb{Z}_2 -Multispinal groups from primitive polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_2 . We now consider a class of examples of self-similar groups \mathfrak{G}_n introduced by [28] and shown to be multispinal groups from primitive polynomials by Steinberg and Szakács, see especially [26, Theorem 7.10] for the complete description of the (non-)simplicity pattern of the associated Nekrashevych algebra. We will call them *\mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups*.

Two useful examples to keep in mind arise from the low-degree primitive polynomials $f_2(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ and $f_3(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 ; the self-similar group associated to $f_2(x)$ is the first Grigorchuk group, see example 3.4. In all of these cases the groupoid \mathcal{G}_n associated to the group \mathfrak{G}_n lends itself to a characterisation of the simplicity pattern of its Steinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}_K(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ and full groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ using insight from combinatorial design theory, as we will explain in Sections 4 and 5.

In the following, let \mathbb{F}_2 be the field with two elements 0, 1 and $f_n(x)$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let α be a zero of $f_n(x)$ (note that we have not added the index n to α to make the notation simpler), and let $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_n(x) \rangle$ be the quotient field, identified with the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} in the standard way

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \{ \beta_0 + \beta_1\alpha + \cdots + \beta_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} \mid \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2 \}.$$

We remark that as an additive group, \mathbb{F}_{2^n} can be identified with the vector space $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_2 . Since $f_n(x)$ is primitive we have that α is a generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ on $2^n - 1$ elements. Let φ_n be the automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} determined by multiplication by α . Under the identification

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \mapsto \beta_0 + \beta_1\alpha + \dots + \beta_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}$$

of \mathbb{Z}_2^n with \mathbb{F}_2^n as additive groups, the map φ_n determines an automorphism ϕ_n of \mathbb{Z}_2^n whose effect on $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is to produce α^2 ; continued application of ϕ_n gives the table of all the powers α^j , $j = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. The map ϕ_n is known as a "feedback shift register". For $f_3(x)$ it is given by $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \mapsto (\beta_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2, \beta_1)$. Feedback shift registers associated with primitive polynomials of arbitrary degree $n \geq 1$ are of interest in generating pseudo-random numbers, and are used among others in error correcting codes, see for example [29, Section 5.6].

Consider the trace map $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, defined for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, by:

$$\text{Tr}(\beta) := \beta + \beta^2 + \dots + \beta^{2^{n-1}}.$$

(To simplify the notation, we will not add the index n to Tr as it will be clear from the context what n is.) It is well-known that Tr is a homomorphism of abelian groups, see e.g. [15, Chp. V, section 7].

In order to distinguish in an easier way between group elements and the space they act on, we let X be an alphabet on two letters $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$. Following [26, Section 7.1], form the multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n associated to $G_n := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ (under addition), $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ acting on itself by the left regular representation λ , with λ_1 swapping the basis elements δ_0 and δ_1 of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and the map $\Phi : \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_n) \cup \text{Hom}(G_n, H)$ given by $\Phi(\mathbf{0}) := \text{Tr}$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) := \varphi_n$. In the sequel, these will be called \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups. So \mathfrak{G}_n contains a copy of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , identified as \mathbb{Z}_2^n , and of \mathbb{Z}_2 in such a way that the identities 0_{G_n} and 0_H are identified as the identity e in \mathfrak{G}_n . Furthermore, we have that $\bigcap_{j=0}^{2^n-2} \ker(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_n^j) = \{0\}$.

We will now explain how these groups can be viewed as self-similar. Denote by a the image in \mathfrak{G}_n of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. In the self-similar group \mathfrak{G}_n the action of a on X replicates that of $1 \in H$ on itself, thus

$$a \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{1}, a \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

For every $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ let $\beta \cdot x = x$ for $x = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$ and define the restrictions in \mathfrak{G}_n by $g|_{\mathbf{0}} = g|_{\mathbf{1}} = e$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and

$$\beta|_{\mathbf{1}} := \varphi_n(\beta) = \alpha\beta \text{ and } \beta|_{\mathbf{0}} := \text{Tr}(\beta).$$

Let

$$\iota_n : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_n$$

be the embedding which identifies $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with its image in \mathfrak{G}_n . Let $\mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ be the set consisting of e and $\iota_n(\alpha^j)$, with $j = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$:

$$\mathcal{N}_{0,n} := \{e, \iota_n(\alpha^j), j = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}.$$

By [26], the *nucleus* of \mathfrak{G}_n is $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{0,n} \sqcup \{a\}$. Extend the restriction rules by setting, for $g = \iota_n(x) \in \mathfrak{G}$,

$$(3.1) \quad g|_{\mathbf{1}} := \iota_n(\varphi_n(x)) \text{ and } g|_{\mathbf{0}} := \iota_n(\text{Tr}(x)).$$

It is immediate that $g|_{\mathbf{1}^j} = \iota_n(\varphi_n^j(x))$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.1. It is natural to ask whether \mathfrak{G}_n depends on the primitive polynomial $f_n(x)$. Let us look at the case $n = 3$. There are two primitive polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 of degree dividing n , let us denote them $f_{3,1}(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ and $f_{3,2}(x) = x^3 + x^2 + 1$. Note that

$$x^8 - x = x(x - 1)(x^3 + x + 1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1).$$

If we let α be a zero of $f_{3,1}(x)$, then α^2, α^4 are the other zeros of this polynomial and $\alpha^3, \alpha^5, \alpha^6$ are the zeros of $f_{3,2}(x)$. In particular, the subset $\mathcal{N}_{0,3}$ of the nucleus of \mathfrak{G}_3 does not depend on the primitive polynomial, since it consists of the images of the zeros of $x^8 - x$. More generally, $x^{2^n} - x$ admits a factorization over \mathbb{F}_2 as the product of all the distinct irreducible polynomials of degrees that divide n , see e.g. [29]. This suggests that the simplicity of the Steinberg algebra of \mathfrak{G}_n over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero does not depend on the primitive polynomial that we pick at the start.

3.2. Structure of subgroups of order 2^{n-1} . We will now detail the structure of subgroups of order 2^{n-1} of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups \mathfrak{G}_n .

For each $j = 0, \dots, 2^n - 2$, define

$$(3.2) \quad H_{j,n} := \ker(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_n^j);$$

note that these are $2^n - 1$ distinct subgroups of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} of order 2^{n-1} . Also note that viewing \mathbb{F}_{2^n} as the vector space $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_2 , then the $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-2}$ are precisely the $2^n - 1$ distinct subspaces of dimension $(n-1)$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . Another way of parametrizing these subspaces is to take all non zero vectors $\{\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \mid b_i \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$ in $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$. Let $D_{\vec{b}}$ be the homomorphism from $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ onto \mathbb{F}_2 given by the dot product: $D_{\vec{b}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{b}$. Then the subspaces of dimension $n-1$, $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-2}$, each of order 2^{n-1} , are in one-to-one correspondence with the subgroups $\{\ker(D_{\vec{b}}) : \vec{b} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\}\}$, which are subgroups of index 2 so also each have order 2^{n-1} .

Lemma 3.2. *Consider all the subgroups $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-2}$ of order 2^{n-1} of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} described above. Let $q = 2^{n-1}$ and $k = 2^n - 1$ so that $k = 2q - 1$. Let $\{\alpha^0 = 1, \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^n-2} = \alpha^{2q-2} = \alpha^{k-1}\}$ denote all the non-zero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. Fix any two distinct integers $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2q - 2 = k - 1 = 2^n - 2\}$. Then*

$$|\{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 2 = k - 1 \mid \{\alpha^{\ell_1}, \alpha^{\ell_2}\} \subset H_{j,n}\}| = 2^{n-2} - 1 = \frac{q}{2} - 1.$$

Proof. To simplify the notation in this proof we call $H_{j,n}$ simply H_j . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &:= |\{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 2 = k - 1\} \mid \{\alpha^{\ell_1}, \alpha^{\ell_2}\} \subset H_j\}| \\ &= |\{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \{\alpha^{\ell_1}, \alpha^{\ell_2}\} \subset \ker(D_{\vec{\beta}})\}| \end{aligned}$$

since we have set up a one-to-one correspondence between the additive subgroups $\{H_j\}_{j=0}^{2^n-2}$ of F_{2^n} and the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces $\ker(D_{\vec{\beta}})_{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\}}$. But now note that letting $\vec{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n$, and $\vec{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &= |\{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \{\alpha^{\ell_1}, \alpha^{\ell_2}\} \subset \ker(D_{\vec{\beta}})\}| \\ &= |\{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\} \subset \ker(D_{\vec{\beta}})\}|. \end{aligned}$$

This is by symmetry of $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$: for $n \geq 2$, we can find a linear transformation $T \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ that takes α^{ℓ_1} to \vec{e}_1 and α^{ℓ_2} to \vec{e}_2 . The effect of the linear transformation T on the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces is to permute them amongst themselves. Therefore, we get the equality of cardinality amongst $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &= |\{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\} \subset \ker(D_{\vec{\beta}})\}| \\ &= |\{\vec{\beta} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{e}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{e}_2 = 0\}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |\{\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{e}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{e}_2 = 0\}| \\
&= |\{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \mid \beta_1 = 0 \text{ and } \beta_2 = 0\}| = 2^{n-2} - 1 \\
&= \frac{q}{2} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the claim of the Lemma. \square

The following lemma will be key in showing some of our simplicity claims.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the field with two elements 0, 1 and $f_n(x)$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let α be a zero of $f_n(x)$, and let $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle f_n(x) \rangle$ be the quotient field, identified with the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} in the standard way*

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \{\beta_0 + \beta_1\alpha + \dots + \beta_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} \mid b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2\}.$$

Let $q = 2^{n-1}$ and $k = 2^n - 1$ so that $k = 2q - 1$. Let $\{0, 1 = \alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{k-1} = \alpha^{2q-2}\}$ denote an enumeration of $(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})^* \cup \{0\} = (\mathbb{F}_2)^n$. Let $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-1}$ denote the subgroups defined in equation (3.2). Let W_n be the $(2q = 2^n) \times (2k = 2(2^n - 1))$ inclusion matrix whose rows are indexed by elements of $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ and whose columns are indexed by the subsets $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-1}$ and their complements $\{H_{j,n}^c = (\mathbb{F}_2)^n \setminus H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-1}$, with the subgroups listed first and their respective complements indexing the column k units later, i.e.

$$(W_n)_{\vec{x}, H_{j,n}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \vec{x} \in H_{j,n} \\ 0, & \text{if } \vec{x} \notin H_{j,n}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(W_n)_{\vec{x}, (H_{j,n})^c} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \vec{x} \in H_{j,n}^c \\ 0, & \text{if } \vec{x} \notin H_{j,n}^c \end{cases}$$

for $\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n$. Then W_n is a $2q \times 2k$ matrix that has full rank $2q$.

Proof. Lemma 3.2 and the recursive construction of the subgroups $\{H_{j,n}\}_{j=0}^{2^n-1=k-1}$ shows that the matrix W_n satisfies the conditions (R1) through (R5) of Lemma 3.5, which we postpone to Section 3.3 because of its algebraic nature. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that W_n has rank $2q$. \square

We shall also need the images of the groups $H_{j,n}$ inside the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n . Explicitly, for $j = 0, \dots, 2^n - 2$ let

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{H}_{j,n} = \iota_n(H_{j,n}) \subset \mathfrak{G}_n.$$

We illustrate the above concepts in the case of the first Grigorchuk group $G := \mathfrak{G}_2$.

Example 3.4. *The first Grigorchuk group as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group.*

Let e, b, c, d, a denote the generators of this group, cf. [14], see also [20], [4], [26] and [31] for assertions on simplicity. We use here the realisation of the first Grigorchuk group as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group associated with $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and \mathbb{F}_4 , see comment after [26, Theorem 7.10]. As already mentioned, this case corresponds to the irreducible polynomial $f_2(x) = x^2 + x + 1$.

Then with $b := \alpha$ a zero of f_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b|_1 &= \varphi(\alpha) = \alpha^2 = 1 + \alpha, \\
b|_{1^2} &= \varphi(1 + \alpha) = \alpha(1 + \alpha) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We have three order-two subgroups $H_{0,2} = \ker(\text{Tr}) = \{0, 1\}$, $H_{1,2} = \ker(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_2) = \{0, 1 + \alpha\}$ and $H_{2,2} = \ker(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_2^2) = \{0, \alpha\}$ in \mathbb{F}_4 , with corresponding images in \mathfrak{G}_2 given by $\mathfrak{H}_{0,2} = \{e, d\}$, $\mathfrak{H}_{1,2} = \{e, c\}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{2,2} = \{e, b\}$. The matrix W_2 from Lemma 3.3 is given by

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

A direct calculation shows that if we let

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & -1/6 & -1/6 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & -1/6 & 1/3 & -1/6 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & -1/6 & -1/6 \\ -1/6 & 1/3 & 1/3 & -1/6 \\ -1/6 & 1/3 & -1/6 & 1/3 \\ -1/6 & -1/6 & 1/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $W_2 T_2 = I_4$, showing that W_2 has full rank equal to 4. Alternatively, we can apply Lemma 3.3 with $q = 2^{n-1} = 2$.

3.3. A general form of the inclusion matrix. We give here the calculations of the rank of the inclusion matrix by proving an extended result that applies to the matrix in Lemma 3.3, besides additional matrices of similar form. Indeed, Lemma 3.3 follows from the next result by choosing $q = 2^{n-1}$ and $k = 2^n - 1$ for a fixed integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let q be a positive integer and let $k = 2q - 1$. Define a $(0, 1)$ -matrix $W = [W_{i,j}]$ of size $2q \times 2k$ by the prescription:*

(R1) $W_{1,j} = 1, W_{1,j+k} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$.

(R2) There exist $j_1, \dots, j_{q-1} \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ so that

$$W_{2,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = j_1, \dots, j_{q-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}\}. \end{cases}$$

(R3) For all $2 \leq i \leq 2q$, $0 \leq \ell \leq 2q - i$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ we have

$$W_{i+\ell, j(\text{mod } k)} = W_{i, j+\ell(\text{mod } k)}.$$

(R4) For all $2 \leq i \leq 2q$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ we have

$$W_{i, j+k} = W_{i, j} + 1 \pmod{2}.$$

(R5) Let $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$. Then setting $K_r = \{j_1 - r \pmod{k}, j_2 - r \pmod{k}, \dots, j_{q-1} - r \pmod{k}\}$, we have

$$|K_{r_1} \cap K_{r_2}| = \frac{q}{2} - 1, \forall 0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq q - 1.$$

Then W has rank $2q$.

Note that (R1)-(R5) imply that

(R6) For row 1, the matrix W had exactly k entries in the first k columns that are equal to 1, and in every subsequent row $i = 2, \dots, 2q$, the matrix W has exactly $q - 1$ entries in the first k columns which are equal to 1, i.e. $|\{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j} = 1\}| = q - 1$.

- (R7) In the first row, there are 0 entries in the second k columns that are equal to 1, and in every subsequent row $i = 2, \dots, 2q$, there are exactly $k - (q - 1) = (2q - 1) - q + 1 = q$ entries in the second k columns which are equal to 1, i.e. $|\{j \mid k + 1 \leq j \leq 2k, W_{i,j} = 1\}| = q$.
- (R8) In every row $i = 1, \dots, 2q$, there are exactly $q - 1 + k - (q - 1) = k = 2q - 1$ entries in all the $2k$ columns which are equal to 1, i.e. $|\{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2k, W_{i,j} = 1\}| = k$.
- (R9) In every column $j = 1, \dots, 2k$, there are $k - q + 1 = q$ entries which are equal to 1, i.e. if j is fixed, $|\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2q, W_{i,j} = 1\}| = q$. Note that this is true because for fixed r with $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$, $W_{1,1+r} = 1$ by construction, and for $2 \leq i \leq 2q$, $W_{i,1+r} = 1$ if and only if $i \in K_r$. So for fixed j with $1 \leq j \leq k$, $|\{1 \leq i \leq 2q : W_{i,j} = 1\}| = 1 + (q - 1) = q$. For $j \in \{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\}$, by construction, $W_{1,j} = 0$, and by mirroring, for $2 \leq i \leq 2q$, $W_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus K_{j-(k+1)}$. So for fixed j with $k + 1 \leq j \leq 2k$ we have

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq 2q : W_{i,j} = 1\}| = |\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus K_{j-(k+1)}| = k - (q - 1) = q.$$

It then follows that for $1 \leq j \leq k$, the j^{th} column has exactly $q - 1$ entries equal to 1 in rows 2 through $2q$ (this is because all of the entries in row 1 in columns $j = 1$ through k are equal to 1).

We remark that examples of matrices W as in the lemma will arise from the simplicity analysis of Steinberg algebras associated to the multispinal groups from [26], see section 4.

Proof. After we complete our direct proof, we will remark on how the result also follows from combinatorial design theory.

To prove W has full rank, it suffices to find a $2k \times 2q$ matrix T so that $WT = I$, the identity of size $2q \times 2q$. We define T as follows:

$$T_{j,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & \text{if } W_{i,j} = 1 \\ -\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)}, & \text{if } W_{i,j} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_{j+k,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & \text{if } W_{i,j+k} = 1 \\ -\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)}, & \text{if } W_{i,j+k} = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq 2q, 1 \leq j \leq k$. By our hypotheses, for each fixed $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have the following:

- (1) For every $1 \leq i \leq 2q$, there are $k = 2q - 1$ columns with label $\{j_{i,1} < j_{i,2} < \dots < j_{i,k}\}$ where $W_{i,j_{i,\ell}} = 1$, corresponding to row entries “ $j_{i,\ell}, i$ ” in T so that $T_{j_{i,\ell},i} = 1/(2q - 1)$, and there are $k - q + 1 = q$ columns with label “ j ” where $W_{i,j} = 0$, leading to rows in T so that $T_{j,i} = -(q - 1)[(2q - 1)(q)]^{-1}$.
- (2) For every $1 \leq i \leq 2q$, there are $k - q + 1 = q$ columns with label “ $j + k$ ” where $W_{i,j+k} = W_{i,j} + 1 \pmod{2} = 1$, corresponding to rows “ $j + k$ ” in T where $T_{j+k,i} = 1/k$, and there are $q - 1$ columns with label “ $j + k$ ” where $W_{i,j+k} = W_{i,j} + 1 \pmod{2} = 0$, leading to corresponding rows in T where $T_{j+k,i} = -(q - 1)[k(k - q + 1)]^{-1}$.

We then have

$$(WT)_{i,i} = \sum_{j=1}^k W_{i,j} T_{j,i} + \sum_{j=1}^k W_{i,j+k} T_{j+k,i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (q-1) \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{k} + (k-q+1) \cdot 0 \cdot \left(-\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)}\right) \\
&+ (q-1) \cdot 0 \cdot \left(-\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)}\right) + (k-q+1) \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{k} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq 2q$.

For the purposes of the next calculation, we denote

$$a = \frac{1}{k} \text{ and } b = -\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)} = -\frac{q-1}{(2q-1) \cdot q}.$$

Let $i, l \in \{1, \dots, 2q\}$ with $i \neq l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(WT)_{i,l} &= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1\}} T_{j,l} + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j+k}=1\}} T_{j+k,l} \\
&= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, T_{j,l}=a\}} a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, T_{j,l}=b\}} b \\
&+ \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j+k}=1, T_{j+k,l}=a\}} a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j+k}=1, T_{j+k,l}=b\}} b \\
&= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, T_{j,l}=a\}} a + 0 + 0 + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, T_{j,l}=b\}} b
\end{aligned}$$

There are now three cases to consider: we first consider $i = 1 < l \leq 2q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(WT)_{1,l} &= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k\}} T_{j,l} \\
&= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, T_{j,l}=a\}} a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, T_{j,l}=b\}} b \\
&= (q-1) \cdot a + q \cdot b \\
&= (q-1) \cdot \frac{1}{k} + q \cdot \left(-\frac{q-1}{k(k-q+1)}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $(WT)_{1,l} = 0$, $2 \leq l \leq 2q$.

We now consider the case where $i, l \in \{2, \dots, 2q\}$, $i \neq l$. Before proceeding, we define four sets that are of interest in our calculations, and make some remarks about their cardinalities. For $i, l \in \{2, 3, \dots, 2q\}$ with $i \neq l$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i,l} &= \{j : 1 \leq j \leq k : W_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } W_{l,j} = 1\}, \quad G_{i,l} = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq k : W_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } W_{l,j} = 0\}, \\
H_{i,l} &= \{j : 1 \leq j \leq k : W_{i,j} = 0 \text{ and } W_{l,j} = 0\}, \quad \text{and } I_{i,l} = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq k : W_{i,j} = 0 \text{ and } W_{l,j} = 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have, for $2 \leq i \neq l \leq 2q$, using (R7) and (R9),

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_{i,l}| + |G_{i,l}| &= (q-1), \quad |H_{i,l}| + |I_{i,l}| = [k - (q-1)] = q, \\
|F_{i,l}| + |I_{i,l}| &= (q-1), \quad \text{and } |G_{i,l}| + |H_{i,l}| = k - (q-1) = q.
\end{aligned}$$

From two of the equations, we see that $|G_{i,l}| = |I_{i,l}|$. From Condition (R5), we see that $|F_{i,l}| = |K_{i-1} \cap K_{l-1}| = \frac{q}{2} - 1$. It follows from our cardinality equations again that $|G_{i,l}| = |I_{i,l}| = |H_{i,l}| = \frac{q}{2}$.

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(WT)_{i,l} &= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1\}} T_{j,l} + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j+k}=1\}} T_{j+k,l} \\
&= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, W_{l,j}=1\}} a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=1, W_{l,j}=0\}} b \\
&+ \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=0, W_{l,j}=0\}} a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k, W_{i,j}=0, W_{l,j}=1\}} b \\
&= |F_{i,l}| \cdot a + |I_{i,l}| \cdot b + |H_{i,l}| \cdot a + |G_{i,l}| \cdot b \\
&= \left(\frac{q}{2} - 1\right)a + \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)b + \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)a + \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)b \\
&= (q - 1) \cdot a + q \cdot b \\
&= (q - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{k} + q \cdot \left(-\frac{q - 1}{k(k - q + 1)}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $(WT)_{i,l} = 0$, for $i, l \in \{2, \dots, 2q\}$, $i \neq l$.

Finally, for $2 \leq i \leq 2q$, and $l = 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(WT)_{i,1} &= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k\}} W_{i,j} T_{j,1} + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k\}} W_{i,j+k} T_{j+k,1} \\
&= \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k\}} W_{i,j} \cdot a + \sum_{\{j|1 \leq j \leq k\}} W_{i,j+k} \cdot b \\
&= (q - 1) \cdot a + q \cdot b \\
&= (q - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{k} + q \cdot \left(-\frac{q - 1}{k(k - q + 1)}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

These are all the results we need to conclude $WT = I$, which finishes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Remark 3.6. We note that if we set A to be the $(2q - 1) \times k = (2q - 1) \times (2q - 1)$ square matrix whose $(2q - 1)$ rows are exactly the 2^{nd} through $2q^{\text{th}}$ rows of W , and whose $(2q - 1) = k$ columns are the first k columns of W , then a little linear algebra shows that W has full rank $2q$ if and only if A has full rank $2q - 1 = k$. But Hypotheses (R1) through (R5) and deductions (R6) through (R9) show that A is the incidence matrix of a $2 - (k = 2q - 1, q - 1, \frac{q}{2} - 1)$ -design in the sense of Assmus and Key [1], Definition 1.2.1, with underlying set $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, 2q - 1 = k\}$. We can then deduce that the matrix A has full rank $2q - 1 = k$ from [1], Theorem 1.4.1.

4. \mathbb{Z}_2 -MULTISPINAL GROUPOIDS AND SIMPLICITY OF THE STEINBERG ALGEBRA

We now consider the groupoids \mathcal{G}_n associated to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups \mathfrak{G}_n of Section 3.1. The construction of these groupoids is described in the general case of self-similar actions in Section 2. The goal of this section is to show that the Steinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ of \mathcal{G}_n over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero is simple.

We will make use of a family of compact open bisections $U_m(z_g)$ (denoted by $U_{g,m}$ in [4]) where the points $z_g \in \mathcal{G}_n$, for $g \in \mathfrak{G}_n$, are defined by:

$$z_g := [(\emptyset, g, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty],$$

see also [4, Section 5]. Explicitly $U_m(z_g)$ is a neighborhood of z_g in \mathcal{G}_n , where we recall that we use Θ to denote bisections, and is defined as

$$U_m(z_g) := \Theta((\emptyset, g, \emptyset), C(\mathbf{1}^m)).$$

By construction, $U_\ell(z_g) \subseteq U_m(z_g)$ for $\ell \geq m$. We will use both notations, $U_m(z_g)$ and $U_{g,m}$, depending on whether we highlight the connection with elements in \mathfrak{G}_n or points in \mathcal{G}_n . Note that \mathcal{G}_n is countably ample with the choice of basis around points $z_g, g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ given by $\{U_m(z_g)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The following lemma details the structure of the support sets of bisections in terms of the sets $U_\ell(z_g)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f_n(x)$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 , identify $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/\langle f_n(x) \rangle$ with the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , and let α be a zero of $f_n(x)$ and a generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ on $2^n - 1$ elements. Form the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n corresponding to \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with its automorphism implemented by multiplication by α . Let $m \geq 1$ and set $\mathcal{F}_m := \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}\}$, where we recall that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{0,n} \sqcup \{a\}$ denotes the nucleus of \mathfrak{G}_n . With notation as in (3.3), let $K \in \{\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}, \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c \mid j = 0, \dots, 2^n - 2\}$, and let $\mathcal{J} = \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in K\} \subset \mathcal{F}_m$. Then with notation as in Equation (2.3) we have the following structure result for the compact open bisections $M_{\mathcal{J}}$:*

$$M_{\mathcal{J}} = \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g).$$

Proof. Let g_1, g_2 be distinct in $\mathcal{N}_{0,n}$. We claim that $U_m(z_{g_1}) \cap U_m(z_{g_2})$ is non-empty. To have $z \in U_m(z_{g_1}) \cap U_m(z_{g_2})$ requires that we can find $w \in C(\mathbf{1}^m)$ so that $z = [(\emptyset, g_1, \emptyset), w] = [(\emptyset, g_2, \emptyset), w]$. Thus, we need

$$(\emptyset, g_1, \emptyset)(\mathbf{1}^m \mu, e, \mathbf{1}^m \mu) = (\emptyset, g_2, \emptyset)(\mathbf{1}^m \mu, e, \mathbf{1}^m \mu)$$

for some $\mu \in X^*$ (where $X = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$) or, equivalently,

$$(4.1) \quad g_1|_{\mathbf{1}^m} \cdot \mu = g_2|_{\mathbf{1}^m} \cdot \mu \text{ and } (g_1 g_2^{-1})|_{\mathbf{1}^m \mu} = e.$$

Denote $x_1 := \iota_n^{-1}(g_1)$, $x_2 := \iota_n^{-1}(g_2)$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $x_1 \neq x_2$. Let $0 \leq j < 2^n - 2$ be such that $x_1 - x_2 \in H_{j,n}$ (by (R6) there are $q - 1 = 2^{n-1} - 1$ such indices). Then, by the definition of restrictions in (3.1) we obtain

$$((g_1 g_2^{-1})|_{\mathbf{1}^j})|_{\mathbf{0}} = e.$$

Now let $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m + m' \equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1}$. Then the identities in (4.1) are satisfied with $\mu := \mathbf{1}^{m'} \mathbf{0}$, so $U_m(z_{g_1}) \cap U_m(z_{g_2})$ is non-empty and consists of classes

$$(4.2) \quad \{[(\emptyset, g_i, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^{m+m'} \mathbf{0} w'] \mid w' \in X^\omega, m + m' \equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1}\},$$

with $i = 1, 2$.

Now fix $0 \leq j < 2^n - 2$, let $K = \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in K\} \subset \mathcal{F}_m$. With the notation of (2.3), consider

$$M_{\mathcal{J}} = \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g) \setminus \bigcup_{h \in K^c} U_m(z_h).$$

To prove the assertion of the lemma for this choice of K it suffices to establish that

$$(4.3) \quad \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g) \cap U_m(z_h) = \emptyset \text{ for all } h \in K^c.$$

Note that $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ for every pair $g_1, g_2 \in K$, and so the set $\bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g)$ is nonempty since for some $g \in K$ it consists of the classes

$$[(\emptyset, g, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^{(2^n-1)q+j} \mathbf{0}\mu'], q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ so that } (2^n - 1)q + j \geq m, \mu' \in X^\omega.$$

Let $h \in K^c$. Then $(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_n^j)(\iota_n^{-1}(h)) = 1$, thus $gh^{-1} \notin \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ for all $g \in K$ and so no extension $\mathbf{1}^{m'} \mathbf{1}^m$ of $\mathbf{1}^m$ can start with $\mathbf{1}^j$. In particular, no classes as in equation (4.2) exist for $h \in K^c$, which implies our claim in (4.3).

A similar argument proves the assertion of the lemma for $K = \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c$: first note that $\bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g)$ is nonempty because it contains $[(\emptyset, g, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^{(2^n-1)q+j} \mathbf{0}\mu']$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(2^n - 1)q + j \geq m$ and $\mu' \in X^\omega$ for some (and thus all) $g \in K$ (since $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ when g_1, g_2 are distinct elements of $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c$). Moreover, if $h \in K^c = \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$, then $(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_n^j)(\iota_n^{-1}(h)) = 0$ and so no classes as in (4.2) exist for this choice of h and all $g \in K$. \square

4.1. Simplicity of the Steinberg algebra. To prove simplicity of the Steinberg algebra of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groupoid over any field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero will require first a characterization of singular functions. We will address this point next. The following lemma is in fact the analogue of [4, Lemma 5.25] in the case of an arbitrary degree n primitive polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_2 and it characterizes singular elements of the Steinberg algebra. By 1_U we denote the characteristic function of a compact open set $U \subset \mathcal{G}_n$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and \mathcal{G}_n the ample groupoid associated to the multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n from a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Suppose that $f \in A_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is such that*

$$(4.4) \quad f = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} c_g 1_{U_m(z_g)} \text{ for } c_g \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then f belongs to the ideal of singular elements $S_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ precisely when it is the zero function.

Proof. Let f be given as in (4.4). Assume that $f \in S_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$. By equation (2.4), the support of f can be expressed as a disjoint union of sets $M_{\mathcal{J}}$ where \mathcal{J} runs over the non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{F}_m = \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}\}$.

We shall show that it suffices to restrict attention to the family of sets $M_{\mathcal{J}}$ corresponding to the choices $\mathcal{J} = \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in K\}$ where K is $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ or $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c$ for some j with $0 \leq j \leq 2^n - 2$. Indeed, using only these sets in the disjointified decomposition of the support of f we shall show that all c_g where $g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ vanish.

Let K be $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ or $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c$ for some j with $0 \leq j \leq 2^n - 2$, and let $\mathcal{J} = \{U_m(z_g) \mid g \in K\}$. By Lemma 4.1 we know that

$$M_{\mathcal{J}} = \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g),$$

thus $M_{\mathcal{J}}$ has non-empty interior, being a non-empty finite intersection of open sets. The assumption on f implies that when evaluated at $x \in M_{\mathcal{J}}$, the function vanishes, so

$$f|_{M_{\mathcal{J}}}(x) = \sum_{g \in K} c_g = 0.$$

Letting K and j vary, this gives rise to a system of $2k = 2(2^n - 1)$ equations

$$\sum_{g \in K} c_g = 0,$$

with one pair of equations for each choice of $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c$ and with $2q = 2 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ unknowns, corresponding to c_g where $g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$. The underlying matrix \tilde{W}_n of size $2(2^n - 1) \times 2^n$ is the transpose of the matrix W_n from Lemma 3.3, hence is of full rank 2^n . It follows that the system admits only the trivial solution $c_g = 0$, $g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$, which implies the claim $f \equiv 0$. \square

Remark 4.3. Let \mathcal{G}_n be the groupoid associated with a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n . Suppose that $D = \Theta((\gamma, g, \mu), C(\mu\eta))$ is a compact open bisection in \mathcal{G}_n . If $[(\mathbf{1}^s, h, \mathbf{1}^s), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$ where $h \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then by [4, Lemma 5.17] we have that:

- (a) There exists $\eta' \in X^*$ so that $\mathbf{1}^s = \mu\eta\eta' = \gamma(g \cdot (\eta\eta'))$.
- (b) For all $N \geq 1$ there exists $w \in X^*$ satisfying that

$$g|_{\eta'} \cdot (\mathbf{1}^N w) = h \cdot (\mathbf{1}^N w) \text{ and } (g|_{\eta'})|_{\mathbf{1}^N w} = h|_{\mathbf{1}^N w}.$$

Since h fixes both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{0}$, the first condition in (ii) becomes $g|_{\eta'} \cdot (\mathbf{1}^N w) = \mathbf{1}^N w$.

The next series of lemmas will eventually prove the simplicity of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ when \mathbb{K} has characteristic zero. The next result is the analogue of [4, Lemma 5.27] in the case of an arbitrary primitive polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and \mathcal{G}_n the ample groupoid associated to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n from a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Let $D = \Theta((\gamma, g, \mu), C(\mu\eta))$ be a compact open bisection, and recall that $\mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ is the image of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} under ι_n in \mathfrak{G}_n . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) For some $h \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ we have $[(\emptyset, h, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$.
- (2) For all $h \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ we have $[(\emptyset, h, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$.

Proof. Assume that $[(\emptyset, h, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$ for some $h \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$. Since $2^n - 1$ is the period of restriction to $\mathbf{1}$, we have that $[(\emptyset, h, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] = [(\mathbf{1}^s, h, \mathbf{1}^s), \mathbf{1}^\infty]$ for all $s \in (2^n - 1)\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

By definition of the nucleus, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g|_v \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ whenever $v \in X^\omega$ satisfies $|v| \geq m_0$. Choose $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$s := m_0 + m_1 + |\mu\eta| = (2^n - 1)s', s' \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $r := m_0 + m_1$ and $\eta' := \mathbf{1}^r$. The assumption on h implies that condition (a) in Remark 4.3 holds, thus $\mu = \mathbf{1}^{|\mu|}$, $\eta = \mathbf{1}^{|\eta|}$, $\eta' = \mathbf{1}^r$, $\gamma = \mu$ and

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{1}^s = \mathbf{1}^{r+|\mu\eta|} = \mathbf{1}^{|\mu|}(g \cdot (\mathbf{1}^{r+|\eta|})), \text{ in particular } \mathbf{1}^{r+|\eta|} = g \cdot (\mathbf{1}^{r+|\eta|});$$

condition (b) likewise holds, thus for a given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $w \in X^*$ with

$$(4.6) \quad (g|_{\mathbf{1}^{r+|\eta|}}) \cdot \mathbf{1}^N w = \mathbf{1}^N w.$$

This last equality in conjunction with the definition of a in \mathfrak{G}_n and the choice of r imply that $g|_{\mathbf{1}^{|\eta|+r}} \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$. In particular, these considerations show that assuming condition (1) of the lemma, the set D has form

$$(4.7) \quad D = \Theta((\mathbf{1}^L, g, \mathbf{1}^L), C(\mathbf{1}^L \mathbf{1}^p)), \text{ with } g|_{\mathbf{1}^{p+r}} \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}, p+r+L \in (2^n - 1)\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

where L, p, r are positive integers (here $L = |\mu|, p = |\eta|$).

Let $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ be such that $g|_{\mathbf{1}^{|\eta|+r}} = \iota_n(\alpha^c)$. Take $h' \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ distinct from h . To show that $[(\emptyset, h', \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$, we must verify the conditions in [4, Lemma 5.17]. We can run the previous argument backwards with $\eta' = \mathbf{1}^r \in X^*$. Then condition (a) is

already satisfied in the form $\mathbf{1}^s = \mathbf{1}^{(2^n-1)s'} = \mathbf{1}^{m_0+m_1+|\eta\mu|} = \mu\eta\eta'$, and for condition (b) we let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$ and need to find $w \in X^*$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad ((h')^{-1}\iota_n(\alpha^c)) \cdot \mathbf{1}^N w = \mathbf{1}^N w \text{ and } \iota(\alpha^c)|_{\mathbf{1}^N w} = h'|_{\mathbf{1}^N w}.$$

If h' and $\iota_n(\alpha^c)$ are equal there is nothing to prove, so assume that $h' = \iota_n(\alpha^l)$ for some $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$, $l \neq c$. The first condition in (4.8) is satisfied since $\iota_n(\alpha^l)^{-1}\iota_n(\alpha^c)$ is in $\mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ and thus fixes both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{0}$. The second condition becomes

$$\iota_n(\varphi^N(\alpha^c - \alpha^l))|_w = e,$$

which means we can take $w = \mathbf{1}^{N'}\mathbf{0}$ for some $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\alpha^c - \alpha^l \in \ker(\text{Tr} \circ \varphi_n^{N+N'})$, similar to the proof of the assertion $U_N(z_{\iota_n(\alpha^c)}) \cap U_N(z_{\iota_n(\alpha^l)}) \neq \emptyset$ in Lemma 4.1. \square

The next result is an analog of [4, Lemma 5.28], whose proof we follow.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $D = \Theta((\gamma, g, \mu), C(\mu\eta))$ be a compact open bisection in the groupoid associated to \mathfrak{G}_n . If $[(\emptyset, e, \emptyset), \mathbf{1}^\infty] \in \bar{D}$, then there are $m_D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_D \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ such that*

$$D \cap \left(\bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} U_{m_D}(z_g) \right) = U_{m_D}(z_{g_D}).$$

Proof. Since $e \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$, we may assume that D is of the form given in equation (4.7). Let $t = r + p$. Then

$$\Theta((\mathbf{1}^{L+t}, g|_{\mathbf{1}^t}, \mathbf{1}^{L+t}), C(\mathbf{1}^{L+t})) \subset D.$$

Supposing now that $g|_{\mathbf{1}^t} = \iota_n(\alpha^c)$, choose $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ so that

$$(L+t) + l \equiv c \pmod{(2^n - 1)}.$$

Now set $g_D := \iota_n(\alpha^l) \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ and $m_D := L+t$. Exactly as in the previous inclusion above, we have that

$$U_{m_D}(z_{g_D}) \subset D \text{ since } g_D|_{\mathbf{1}^{L+t}} = g|_{\mathbf{1}^t}.$$

To conclude the proof of the lemma, it remains to prove that for every $g' \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ with $g' \neq g_D$ we have the inclusion

$$D \cap U_{L+t}(z_{g'}) \subset U_{L+t}(z_{g_D}).$$

Writing $g' = \iota_n(\alpha^s)$ where $\alpha^s \neq \alpha^l$, this reduces to showing that the nonzero element $\varphi_n^{L+t}(\alpha^s) - \varphi_n^t(\alpha^c)$ is in some subgroup $\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}$, which is true by the definition in (3.2). \square

Lemma 4.6. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{G}_n)$, then there is a non-empty open set U_m so that $f|_{U_m}$ is a linear combination, with coefficients in \mathbb{K} , of characteristic functions 1_C , with $C \in \mathcal{F}_m$ for some $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the first half of [4, Lemma 5.29], and we adopt some of their notation. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{G}_n)$, thus $f = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}} a_B 1_B$ for a finite set \mathcal{F} of compact open bisections and $a_B \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $U_m = \bigcup_{z_f \in \mathcal{Z}} U_m(z_f)$. We will show that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $x \notin U_m$ and

$$f|_{U_m} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{1_N \mid N \in \mathcal{F}_m\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} := \{z_g \mid g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}\}$. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{F}$ is so that $\bar{B} \cap \mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$. Then for every $g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ there is a positive integer $m_{g,B}$ such that $B \cap U_{m_{g,B}}(z_g) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $B \cap$

$U_l(z_g) = \emptyset$ for all $l \geq m_{z,B}$. With $m_B = \max_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} m_{g,B}$, we have that $B \cap \bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} U_{m_B}(z_g) = \emptyset$ for all $l \geq \max_B \{m_B\}$. Let $U_l = \bigcup U_l(z_g)$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{\{B | \overline{B} \cap \mathcal{Z} = \emptyset\}} a_B 1_B \right) |_{U_l} = \sum_B a_B 1_{B \cap U_l} = 0.$$

Now suppose that $D \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\overline{D} \cap \mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.4 we may assume that $z_e \in \overline{D}$. Then by Lemma 4.5, there are $f_D \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}$ and $m_D \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D \cap \left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} U_{m_D}(z_f) \right) = U_{f_D, m_D}$. Then also

$$D \cap \bigcup_f U_j(z_f) = U_{f_D, j} = U_j(z_{f_D}).$$

for all $j \geq m_D$. Set $m = \max\{m_B, m_D\}$. Let \mathcal{F}_g denote the subset of all $D \in \mathcal{F}$ with the property that $D \cap \bigcup_{z_f \in \mathcal{Z}} U_m(z_f) = U_m(z_g)$. It follows that

$$\left(\sum_{\{D | \overline{D} \cap \mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset\}} a_D 1_D \right) |_{U_m} = \sum_D a_D 1_{U_m(z_D)} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} \left(\sum_{D \in \mathcal{F}_g} a_D \right) 1_{U_m(z_g)},$$

which implies the lemma. \square

The following is an analog of [4, Lemma 5.29].

Lemma 4.7. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and \mathcal{G}_n the ample groupoid associated to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n from a primitive polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Then if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ with $f(z_e) \neq 0$, we have that $\text{supp}(f)$ has nonempty interior. Thus, $f \notin \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$.*

Proof. Take $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ with $f(z_e) \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.6, there is an open set U_m such that $f|_{U_m}$ is a linear combination as in equation (4.4). Since $z_e \in U_t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we infer that $f|_{U_m}(z_e) \neq 0$, so the support of $f|_{U_m}$ has non-empty interior by Lemma 4.2. But any open set inside U_m is also in the interior of the support of f , which proves the assertion of the Lemma. \square

The next result generalizes [4, Lemma 5.30]. The content is a sufficient condition for simplicity of the Steinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero and \mathcal{G}_n a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groupoid. If the support of every singular function on \mathcal{G}_n is disjoint from $\{z_e\}$, then the ideal $S_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ of singular functions is trivial.*

Proof. Having established Lemma 4.7, we note that the proof of [4, Lemma 5.30] makes use of the structure of the subset of the nucleus of the first Grigorchuk group determined by the image of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , for $n = 2$, and works in full generality for an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group. \square

We can now state the simplicity of the Steinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$.

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that \mathbb{K} is a field and \mathcal{G}_n is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groupoid with Hausdorff unit spaces as above. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is simple.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 in connection with [4, Theorem 3.14]. \square

5. SIMPLICITY OF THE C^* -ALGEBRA OF \mathbb{Z}_2 -MULTISPINAL GROUPS

We now complete the proof that the C^* -algebras corresponding to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal groups are simple, following the method outlined in [4] for the Grigorchuk group. First, we give an analog of Lemma 5.31 of [4]:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$, where \mathcal{G}_n is the groupoid coming from a self-similar action corresponding to any \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n , and suppose that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f takes the form*

$$f = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} c_g 1_{U_m(z_g)}, \quad \{c_g : g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

where recall that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{0,n} \sqcup \{a\}$ denotes the nucleus of \mathfrak{G}_n . If $f(z_e) \neq 0$, then $|f(z)| > \frac{|f(z_e)|}{2^n}$ on a set of nonempty interior, i.e. $f \notin \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$.

Proof. Generalizing Lemma 5.31 of [4], the function f is possibly nonzero on the following $2 \cdot (2^n)$ sets of \mathcal{G}_n , which as in the disjointification process of Lemma 4.1, we label by $M_{\mathcal{J}_K}$, for

$$M_{\mathcal{J}_K} = \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g) = \bigcap_{g \in K} U_m(z_g) \setminus \bigcup_{h \in K^c} U_m(z_h),$$

where $K \in \{\mathfrak{H}_{j,n}, \mathfrak{H}_{j,n}^c \mid j = 0, \dots, 2^n - 2\}$. Enumerating the complex values that f takes on these sets by $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{2 \cdot (2^n - 1)}$, we have, for $x_i \in M_{\mathcal{J}_{K_i}}$, a system of $2 \cdot (2^n - 1)$ equations of the form

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{N}_{0,n}} c_g \cdot 1_{U_m(z_g)}(x_i) = \kappa_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2(2^n - 1).$$

The $2(2^n - 1) \times 2(2^n - 1)$ matrix with entries $(1_{U_m(z_{g_j})}(x_i))$ is exactly W_n^t , the matrix transpose of that given in Lemma 3.3. So in matrix notation, we can write:

$$W_n^t(c_e = c_{g_0}, c_{g_2}, \dots, c_{g_{2^n-1}})^t = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{2(2^n-1)})^t,$$

where $\{g_0 = e, g_1, \dots, g_{2^n-1}\} = \mathcal{N}_{0,n} = \iota_n(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})$.

But we have shown in Lemma 3.5 that W_n has a right-inverse T_n , a $2(2^n - 1) \times 2^n$ matrix T_n with $W_n T_n = I_{2^n \times 2^n}$. Therefore $T_n^t W_n^t = I_{2^n \times 2^n}$. It follows that

$$(c_e, c_{g_1}, \dots, c_{g_{2^n-2}}, c_{g_{2^n-1}})^t = T_n^t W_n^t (c_e, c_{g_1}, \dots, c_{g_{2^n-1}})^t = T_n^t (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{2(2^n-1)})^t.$$

Now suppose that for all i , $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, we have $\kappa_i \leq \frac{|f(z_e)|}{2^n}$. Then, we have

$$f(z_e) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} (T_n^t)_{1,j} \kappa_j,$$

so that by the triangle inequality,

$$|f(z_e)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} (T_n^t)_{1,j} |\kappa_j| < \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|f(z_e)|}{2^n} < |f(z_e)|,$$

giving the desired contradiction. □

The next lemma is an analog of Lemma 5.32 of [4]. The notation $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ stands for the normed space of bounded complex valued functions on \mathcal{G}_n , endowed with the uniform norm, cf. [4, Section 4.1].

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}_n)$, $f(z_e) \neq 0$, that $f_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $f_j \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then $\text{supp}(f)$ has nonempty interior.*

Proof. Suppose $f(z_e) = R \neq 0$. Find N such that for all $j \geq N$, $\|f - f_j\|_{\infty} < \frac{R}{2^n}$. So $|f(z_e) - f_N(z_e)| = |R - f_N(z_e)| < \frac{|R|}{2^n}$, and by the reverse triangle inequality we obtain $\frac{(2^n-1)|R|}{2^n} < f_N(z_e)$. Note $|f_N(z) - 0| > \frac{(2^n-1)|R|}{2^n}$.

Now define $V = f_N^{-1}(f_N(z_e))$. If the set V has non-empty interior, we are done, since for all $z \in V$, we have f non-zero on V as in the proof of [4], Lemma 5.32. If the interior of V is empty, applying Lemma 4.6 shows that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_{g_1} = c_e, c_{g_2}, \dots, c_{g_{2^m-2}}$ with

$$(f_N)|_{U_m}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^m-2} c_{g_i} 1_{U_m(z_{g_i})}(z), \text{ for } U_m = \cup_{i=1}^{2^m-1} U_m(z_{g_i}).$$

The method of Lemma 5.1 then shows that there exists a set Z with non-empty interior such that

$$|f_N(z)| > \frac{|f_N(z_e)|}{2^n} > \frac{(2^n-1)|f(z_e)|}{2^{2n}}, \forall z \in Z,$$

thus completing the proof of the Lemma. \square

Here is the analog of Lemma 5.33 of [4].

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}_n)$, with f not equal to the zero function, and that $f_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, with $f_j \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then $\text{supp}(f)$ has nonempty interior.*

Proof. This proof is exactly the same as in Lemma 5.33 of [4], and we leave the details to the reader. \square

We are finally in a position to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ be the full groupoid C^* -algebra coming from the groupoid \mathcal{G}_n , arising from a self-similar action of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -multispinal group \mathfrak{G}_n . Then $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is simple.*

Proof. We know \mathcal{G}_n is amenable, see [28], so that $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$. We know that \mathcal{G}_n is minimal and effective from [11], Section 17. Lemma 5.3 has established that every non-zero element of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ has non-empty interior. Therefore by Theorem 4.10 part 3 of [4], $C^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is simple. \square

To conclude the paper we add a remark on the L^p case.

Remark 5.5. Our methods also imply simplicity of the associated groupoid L^p -operator algebras $F^p(\mathcal{G}_n)$ (with the notation of [2]). Indeed our proof also generalizes, as it is in the case of the Grigorchuk group, to the L^p setting, along the same lines; see [2, Example 7.44]).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Assmus, Jr. and J.D. Key, *Designs and Their Codes*, Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 102, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992.
- [2] K. Bardadyn, B. Kwaśniewski, A. McKee, *Banach algebras associated to twisted étale groupoids: simplicity and pure infiniteness*, arXiv:2406.05717.
- [3] J. H. Brown, L. O. Clark, C. Farthing and A. Sims, *Simplicity of algebras associated to étale groupoids*, Semigroup Forum **88** (2014), no.2, 433–452.
- [4] L. O. Clark, R. Exel, E. Pardo, C. Starling, and A. Sims, *Simplicity of algebras associated to non-Hausdorff groupoids*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372**(2019), no. 5, 3669–3712.
- [5] L. O. Clark, C. Farthing, A. Sims and M. Tomforde, *A groupoid generalization of Leavitt path algebras*, Semigroup Forum **89** (2014), no.3, 501–517.
- [6] L. O. Clark, and J. Zimmerman, *A Steinberg algebra approach to étale groupoid C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **91** (2024), no.2, 349–371.
- [7] R. Exel and D. Pitts, *Characterizing groupoid C^* -algebras of non-Hausdorff étale groupoids*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Cham, [2022], viii+156 pp.
- [8] R. Exel, *Non-Hausdorff étale groupoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 3, 897–907.
- [9] R. Exel, *Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **39** (2008), 191–313.
- [10] R. Exel and E. Pardo, *The tight groupoid of an inverse semigroup*, Semigroup Forum, **92** (2016), 274–303.
- [11] R. Exel and E. Pardo, *Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych C^* -algebras*, Adv. Math., **306** (2017), 1046–1129.
- [12] S. Givant and P. Halmos, *Introduction to boolean algebras*, Springer Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, 2009.
- [13] R.L. Graham, S.-Y. R. Li and W.-C. W. Li, *On the structure of t -designs*, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Math. 1 no. 1, (1980), 8–14.
- [14] R.I. Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 48 (1984), no. 5, 939–985. English transl., Math. USSR-Izv. 25 (1985), no. 2, 259–300.
- [15] T.W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate texts in Mathematics, Springer, Vol.73(1974), reprinted 2003.
- [16] N. Linial and B.L. Rothschild, *Incidence matrices of subsets - a rank formula*, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth. 2 no. 3 (1981), 333–340.
- [17] V. Nekrashevych, *Self-similar inverse semigroups and Smale spaces*, <https://www.math.tamu.edu/nekrash/Preprints/sss.pdf>, April 30, 2008.
- [18] V. Nekrashevych, *C^* -algebras and self-similar groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 630 (2009), 59–123.
- [19] V. Nekrashevych, *Self-similar groups and their geometry*, Sao Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences 1 (2007), 41–95.
- [20] V. Nekrashevych, *Growth of étale groupoids and simple algebras*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 26 (2016), no. 2, 375–397.
- [21] V. Nekrashevych, *Self-Similar Groups*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol.117, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2005.
- [22] M. Petrich. *Inverse semigroups*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984.
- [23] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin 61 (2008), 29–63.
- [24] C. Starling, *Boundary quotients of C^* -algebras of right LCM semigroups*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **268** (2015), 3326–3356.
- [25] B. Steinberg, *A groupoid approach to inverse semigroup algebras*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), 689–727.
- [26] B. Steinberg and N. Szakács, *On the simplicity of Nekrashevych algebras of contracting self-similar groups*, Math. Anal. **386** (2023), no. 3-4, 1391-1428.
- [27] B. Steinberg and N. Szakács, *Simplicity of inverse semigroup and étale groupoid algebras*, Adv. Math. **380** (2021), Paper No. 107611, 55 pp.
- [28] Z. Šunić, *Hausdorff dimension in a family of self-similar groups*, Geom. Dedicata **124** (2007), 213–236.
- [29] A. Terras, *Abstract algebra with Applications*, Cambridge Mathematical textbooks, 2019.

[30] R. M. Wilson, *A diagonal form for the incidence matrices of t -subsets vs. k -subsets*, European J. Comb. bf 11 (1990), no. 6, 609-615.

[31] K. Yoshida, *On the simplicity of C^* -algebras associated to multispinal groups*, preprint, arXiv:2102.02199[math.OA].

Email address: carla.farsi@colorado.edu

Email address: nadiasl@math.uio.no

Email address: packer@colorado.edu

Email address: nathaniel.thiem@colorado.edu