

CLIFFORD'S THEOREM FOR COHERENT SYSTEMS ON SURFACES

L. COSTA, I. MACÍAS TARRÍO, AND L. ROA-LEGUIZAMÓN

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth irreducible projective surface. The aim of this paper is to establish a version of Clifford's theorem for coherent systems on X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth irreducible projective variety. A coherent system on X is a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ where \mathcal{E} is a coherent sheaf on X , and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$. These objects were introduced in the 90's by Le Potier in [13]. Associated to coherent systems there is a notion of stability which allows the construction of the moduli spaces. Many interesting results have been proved regarding these moduli spaces when the underlying variety is a curve (see for instance [2, 3, 4, 5]), but very little is known if the variety has dimension greater than or equal to two.

There is a useful relation between moduli spaces of stable coherent systems and the Brill-Noether loci inside moduli spaces of semistable bundles with fixed rank and Chern classes. Roughly speaking, a k -Brill-Noether subvariety is a subvariety of the moduli space of semistable vector bundles whose points correspond to bundles having at least k independent global sections. The main goal of Brill-Noether theory is the study of these subvarieties. In fact, Brill-Noether theory focuses in questions concerning non-emptiness, connectedness, irreducibility, dimension, singularities, topological and geometric structures, among others, of these subvarieties. For line bundles on curves (Classical Brill-Noether theory), many of these questions have been answered when the curve is generic (see [1]) but, in spite of the increasing interest in recent years, much less is known about vector bundles of higher rank on curves, surfaces, 3-folds and on varieties of higher dimension (see for instance [9, 6, 15], among others).

On the other hand, Clifford's theorem for semistable bundles E on curves or on surfaces determines an upper bound for the number of independent sections of E in terms of their invariants and of the variety. Hence, Clifford's theorem can be seen as a first step in order to determine when Brill-Noether loci are nonempty. Since coherent systems have played an important role in the development of the Brill-Noether theory, it is natural to

Date: August 2, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H60, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Coherent Systems on surfaces, Clifford's Theorem.

* Partially supported by PID2020-113674GB-I00.

** Partially supported by PID2020-113674GB-I00.

look for some sort of Clifford's theorem for coherent systems. The first to consider this point were Lange and Newstead in the context of coherent systems on curves ([11]). In fact, Clifford's theorem for coherent systems on curves states that, for any α -semistable coherent system (E, V) of type (n, d, k) where $n = \text{rank}(E)$, $d = \text{deg}(E)$ and $k = \dim(V)$ on a smooth projective curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, we have

$$k \leq \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} + n, & \text{if } 0 \leq d \leq 2gn, \quad \text{and} \\ d + n(1 - g) & \text{if } d \geq 2gn. \end{cases}$$

(See [11, Theorem 2.1]).

The aim of this paper is to determine a Clifford's theorem for coherent systems on a smooth irreducible surface. More precisely we will prove the following result:

Theorem Let X be a smooth projective surface, denote by K_X its canonical divisor and let H be an ample divisor on X such that $K_X \cdot H \leq 0$. Let (E, V) be an α -semistable coherent system on X for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. Let a be an integer such that

$$\text{deg}(X) \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{\text{rank}(E)^2 - 1}{4}, 1 \right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

If

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{\text{rank}(E)} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

then

$$\dim(V) \leq \text{rank}(E) + a \cdot \frac{c_1(E)H}{2}.$$

Next we outline the structure of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the basic facts on coherent systems and we prove technical results about semistable coherent systems that we will need later. In Section 3, we establish the Clifford's theorem for coherent systems on irreducible smooth surfaces and we end the section with some applications. Through all the paper we will work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and X is a smooth irreducible projective surface.

2. COHERENT SYSTEMS

We start the section with a brief summary on coherent systems on surfaces (for further treatment of the subject see [13, 10]). Then, we will get some results concerning semistable coherent systems that will be key ingredients to obtain our main result.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a smooth irreducible projective surface.*

- (1) *A coherent system of dimension d on X is a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ where \mathcal{E} is a coherent sheaf of dimension d on X , and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$.*
- (2) *A morphism of coherent systems $(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{V}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{V}_2)$ is a morphism of coherent sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ such that $H^0(\phi)(\mathcal{V}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2$.*
- (3) *A coherent subsystem of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W})$ where $0 \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a proper subsheaf of \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$.*

(4) A quotient coherent system of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ is a coherent system $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Z})$ together with a morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Z})$ of coherent systems such that the morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is surjective and $H^0(\phi)(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{Z}$.

Remark 2.2. In general, a subsystem $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}) \subset (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ does not define a quotient system. However, whenever $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cap H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ we have a corresponding quotient system $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Z}) := (\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})$ which fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this paper, we restrict our attention to coherent systems $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ of dimension 2, that is, on pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ where \mathcal{E} is a torsion-free sheaf. Let us denote by (E, V) the coherent systems with E a torsion-free sheaf.

Remark 2.3. If (E, V) is a coherent system with E a torsion-free sheaf, then for any coherent subsystem $(F, W) \subset (E, V)$, F is torsion-free.

Definition 2.4. A coherent system of type (n, c_1, c_2, k) is a coherent system (E, V) where E is a rank n torsion-free sheaf with Chern classes $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, for $i = 1, 2$ and $V \subset H^0(X, E)$ is a subspace of dimension k .

Notation 2.5. For simplicity of notation we will denote the dimension of a vector space V by the corresponding lowercase letter v and given a coherent torsion-free sheaf E we denote by n_E its rank.

Associated to the coherent systems there is a notion of stability which depends on a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$. To introduce it, it is convenient to fix some notation.

Notation 2.6. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}[m]$ the space of polynomials on m with coefficients on \mathbb{Q} . Given a couple of polynomials $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$, we write $p_1 \leq p_2$ if and only if $p_1(m) - p_2(m) \leq 0$ for $m \gg 0$ and we say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ if $\alpha > 0$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ and H an ample divisor on X . Given a coherent system (E, V) of type (n, c_1, c_2, k) on X , we define its reduced Hilbert polynomial by

$$p_{H, (E, V)}^\alpha(m) = \frac{k}{n} \cdot \alpha + \frac{P_{H, E}(m)}{n},$$

where $P_{H, E}(m)$ denotes the Hilbert polynomial of E .

Notice that, since X is a smooth projective surface, the Hilbert polynomial with respect to H of a rank n torsion-free sheaf E on X with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{H, E}(m)}{n} &= \frac{H^2 m^2}{2} + \left[\frac{c_1 H}{n} - \frac{K_X H}{2} \right] m + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{c_1^2 - (c_1 K_X)}{2} - c_2 \right) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= \frac{H^2 m^2}{2} + \left[\frac{c_1 H}{n} - \frac{K_X H}{2} \right] m + \frac{\chi(E)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

where K_X denotes the canonical divisor of X and $\chi(F)$ the Euler Characteristic of a sheaf F .

Definition 2.8. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$ and H an ample divisor on X . We say that (E, V) is α -stable (resp. α -semistable) if for any proper coherent subsystem $0 \neq (F, W) \subset (E, V)$ the following inequality holds;

$$(2.1) \quad p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m), \quad (\text{resp. } \leq).$$

It is not hard to check that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ is a necessary condition for the existence of α -semistable coherent systems (see [12, Lemma 1.3]). Moreover, note that in order to check the stability of a coherent system (E, V) , it is enough to verify the inequality (2.1) for proper coherent subsystems $(F, W) \subset (E, V)$ such that $W = V \cap H^0(X, F)$. In addition we will see that we can characterize the stability in terms of torsion-free quotients. To this end, we need to introduce some technical results.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ and H be an ample divisor on X . Consider the following exact sequence of coherent systems

$$0 \rightarrow (F, W) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (G, Z) \rightarrow 0.$$

The following holds:

- (1) If $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$, then $p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m)$,
- (2) If $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) \geq p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$, then $p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) \geq p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m)$, and
- (3) If $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$, then $p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m)$,

Proof. We will prove (1). The proof of (2) and (3) follows exactly in the same way. Observe that $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ if and only if

$$\frac{c_1(F)H}{n_F}m + \frac{\chi(F)}{n_F} + \alpha \frac{w}{n_F} \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{n_E}m + \frac{\chi(E)}{n_E} + \alpha \frac{v}{n_E}.$$

Using the fact that by additivity on short exact sequences, $\chi(E) = \chi(F) + \chi(G)$, $n_E = n_F + n_G$, $c_1(E) = c_1(F) + c_1(G)$ and $v = w + z$, this inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{(c_1(E) - c_1(G))H}{n_E - n_G}m + \frac{\chi(E) - \chi(G)}{n_E - n_G} + \alpha \frac{v - z}{n_E - n_G} \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{n_E}m + \frac{\chi(E)}{n_E} + \alpha \frac{v}{n_E}.$$

Hence,

$$-n_E(c_1(Q)Hm + \chi(G) + \alpha z) \leq -n_G(c_1(E)Hm + \chi(E) + \alpha v)$$

which is equivalent to $p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m)$. \square

Let us now recall the following well known fact (see for instance [14, Lemma 1.1.17]).

Lemma 2.10. Let H be an ample divisor on X . If $F \rightarrow F'$ is a monomorphism of torsion-free sheaves of the same rank, then $c_1(F)H \leq c_1(F')H$.

Now we are ready to state the characterization of stability by means of torsion-free quotients.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ and H be an ample divisor on X . Let (E, V) be a coherent system on X . Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (E, V) is α -stable.
- (2) $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ for all coherent subsystem $(F, W) \subset (E, V)$ with $0 < \text{rank } F < \text{rank } E$ whose quotient (G, Z) has G torsion-free.
- (3) $p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m) > p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ for all quotients (G, Z) of (E, V) with G torsion-free and $0 < \text{rank } G < \text{rank } E$.

Proof. By definition, (1) implies (2). Let us see that (2) implies (1). Let (F, W) be a coherent subsystem of (E, V) with $W = V \cap H^0(X, F)$. We are going to see that $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$. To this end, let $(\mathcal{G}, Z) := (E/F, V/W)$ be the corresponding quotient system. Define

$$F_E := \ker(E \rightarrow (\mathcal{G})/T(\mathcal{G}))$$

where $T(\cdot)$ denotes the torsion of a sheaf. Note that $F \rightarrow F_E$ is a monomorphism of torsion-free sheaves of the same rank n_F hence, by Lemma 2.10, we have $c_1(F)H \leq c_1(F_E)H$. Finally, since $W = V \cap H^0(X, F) \subseteq V \cap H^0(X, F_E)$, define the coherent system (F_E, W) . It follows from (2) that $p_{H,(F_E,W)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$. Let us see that

$$p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(F_E,W)}^\alpha(m).$$

If $c_1(F)H < c_1(F_E)H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) &= \frac{w}{n_F}\alpha + \frac{H^2m^2}{2} + \left[\frac{c_1(F)H}{n_F} - \frac{K_X H}{2} \right] m + \frac{\chi(F)}{n_F} \\ &< \frac{w}{n_F}\alpha + \frac{H^2m^2}{2} + \left[\frac{c_1(F_E)H}{n_F} - \frac{K_X H}{2} \right] m + \frac{\chi(F_E)}{n_F} \\ &= p_{H,(F_E,W)}^\alpha(m). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $c_1(F)H = c_1(F_E)H$, since F_E/F is a torsion sheaf, $c_2(F_E/F) \leq 0$ and from the exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_E \rightarrow F_E/F \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain $c_2(F_E) = c_2(F) + c_2(F/F_E) < c_2(F)$ which implies that $\chi(F) \leq \chi(F_E)$ and hence $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) \leq p_{H,(F_E,W)}^\alpha(m)$.

Let us now see that (2) implies (3). Let (G, Z) be a quotient coherent system of (E, V) with G torsion-free. Let (F, W) be the corresponding coherent subsystem which fits the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (F, W) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (G, Z) \rightarrow 0.$$

By hypothesis $p_{H,(F,W)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$, and thus from Lemma 2.9 we conclude that $p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(G,Z)}^\alpha(m)$. The converse follows exactly in the same way. \square

Definition 2.12. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. We say that α is a regular value if there exists $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ with $\beta_1 < \alpha < \beta_2$ such that (E, V) is γ -stable for any $\gamma \in (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. If α is not a regular value we say that it is a critical value.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ and H be an ample divisor on X . Let (E, V) be an α -semistable coherent system of type (n_E, c_1, c_2, v) . Then α is a critical value if and only if there exists a coherent subsystem $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ with $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} \neq \frac{v}{n_E}$ such that $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a coherent subsystem $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ of type $(n_{E'}, c_1, c_2, v')$, with $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} \neq \frac{v}{n_E}$, such that $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$. Note that $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ is equivalent to $\alpha = \frac{1}{\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E}} \left(\frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} - \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} \right)$. Let us assume that $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} > 0$, and consider $\beta > \alpha$. Notice that

$$\beta > \alpha = \frac{1}{\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E}} \left(\frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} - \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} \right)$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} + \beta \frac{v'}{n_{E'}} > \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} + \beta \frac{v}{n_E}$$

which implies that (E, V) is β -unstable for $\beta > \alpha$. If $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} < 0$, we consider

$$\beta < \alpha = \frac{1}{\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E}} \left(\frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} - \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} \right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} + \beta \frac{v'}{n_{E'}} > \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} + \beta \frac{v}{n_E}$$

which implies that (E, V) is β -unstable for $\beta < \alpha$. Putting altogether we get by definition that α is a critical value.

Let us now assume that α is a critical value. Suppose that there is no a subsystem $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ such that $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$. Since (E, V) is α -semistable, we have $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ for any $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$. Let us now consider $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\epsilon \ll 1$. Define $\beta = \alpha + \epsilon$. Then $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\beta-\epsilon}(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^{\beta-\epsilon}(m)$ for any $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ which is equivalent to

$$\beta \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right) + \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} - \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} < \epsilon \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right).$$

Since $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we get

$$\beta \left(\frac{k'}{n'} - \frac{k}{n} \right) + \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} - \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} < 0$$

for any $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ and thus (E, V) is β -stable. Analogously, if we take $\beta = \alpha - \epsilon$, we get that (E, V) is β -stable.

Putting altogether, (E, V) is β -stable for any β such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon \leq \beta \leq \alpha + \epsilon,$$

which contradicts the fact that α is a critical value.

□

Remark 2.14. Notice that in Proposition 2.13 we assume that $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} \neq \frac{v}{n_E}$. If $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} = \frac{v}{n_E}$, the function $p_{H,(E',V')}^\beta(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\beta(m)$ is constant for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$, which implies that the notion of stability does not change and thus by definition α is not a critical value.

Notation 2.15. According to [10]; Theorem 4.2, Given (n, c_1, c_2, k) there is a finite number of critical values

$$0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_s$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ and it in addition $\deg(\alpha_i) < \dim(X)$.

Definition 2.16. Let $\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ be consecutive critical values and let (E, V) be a coherent system. We will say that (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable if (E, V) is β -stable for $\beta \in (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})$. We will say that (E, V) is α_i^- -stable if (E, V) is β -stable for $\beta \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i)$.

Remark 2.17. (1) If $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ is a critical value and (E, V) is a coherent system such that (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable but α_i^- -unstable, then by Proposition 2.13 (E, V) is strictly α_i -semistable. Analogously, if (E, V) is α_i^- -stable but α_i^+ -unstable, then (E, V) is strictly α_i -semistable.

(2) Let H be an ample divisor on X and (E, V) be a coherent system. The following holds:

- (a) If E is Gieseker H -stable and $\dim H^0(X, E) \geq k$, then (E, V) is 0^+ -stable.
- (b) If (E, V) is 0^+ -stable, then E is Gieseker H -semistable.

Lemma 2.18. Let (E, V) be a coherent system of type (n_E, c_1, c_2, v) and let $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ be a subsystem of type $(n_{E'}, c'_1, c'_2, v')$. Then $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$ is a linear function of α which is

- monotonically increasing if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} > 0$
- monotonically decreasing if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} < 0$
- constant if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} = 0$

In particular, if α_i is a critical value and $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$, then

- $(p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m))(\alpha - \alpha_i) > 0$, for all $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} > 0$,
- $(p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m))(\alpha - \alpha_i) < 0$, for all $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} < 0$,
- $p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) = 0$, for all α if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} = 0$.

Proof. Notice that by definition

$$(2.3) \quad f^\alpha := p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m) = \alpha \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right) + \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} - \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E}$$

is a linear function on α .

Let us now see whether this function f^α is monotonically decreasing or increasing. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f^\beta - f^\alpha &= \beta \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right) + \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} - \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} - \left[\alpha \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right) + \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}} - \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} \right] \\ &= (\beta - \alpha) \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} > 0$, we get $f^\beta > f^\alpha$ for $\beta > \alpha$ which means that f^α monotonically increases. Analogously, if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} < 0$ then f^α monotonically decreases. Finally, if $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} = 0$ then $f^\beta = f^\alpha$ for any β and hence f^α is constant.

Let us now assume that there exists α_i a critical value such that $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$. This is equivalent to

$$\alpha_i \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right) = \frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{n_E} - \frac{P_{H,E'}(m)}{n_{E'}}.$$

Hence, substituting in (2.3) and multiplying both sides by $(\alpha - \alpha_i)$ we get

$$(\alpha - \alpha_i)(p_{H,(E',V')}^\alpha(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)) = (\alpha - \alpha_i)^2 \left(\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} - \frac{v}{n_E} \right)$$

and the remaining conclusions easily follow from this equality. \square

Corollary 2.19. *Let $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ be a critical value and let (E, V) be a coherent system of type (n_E, c_1, c_2, v) such that (E, V) is strictly α_i -semistable. For any coherent subsystem $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ of type $(n_{E'}, c'_1, c'_2, v')$ such that $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$, the following statements holds:*

- (1) *If (E, V) is α_i^+ -(semi)stable, then $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} < (\leq) \frac{v}{n_E}$.*
- (2) *If (E, V) is α_i^- -(semi)stable, then $\frac{v}{n_E} < (\leq) \frac{v'}{n_{E'}}.$*

Proof. We will consider the case in which (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable. The other cases can be drawn using the same arguments. Let us assume that $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} \geq \frac{v}{n_E}$. Then, by Lemma 2.18, we get that $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) - p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) \geq 0$, what contradicts the α_i^+ -(semi)stability of (E, V) . Hence $\frac{v'}{n_{E'}} < \frac{v}{n_E}$. \square

Proposition 2.20. *Let $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$ be a critical value and let (E, V) be a coherent system. Assume that (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable but α_i^- -unstable. Then, (E, V) can be written in the following exact sequence of coherent systems*

$$0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow 0$$

where (E_i, V_i) are coherent systems of type $(n_{E_i}, c_1(E_i), c_2(E_i), v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that

- (a) $(E_1, V_1), (E_2, V_2)$ are α_i^+ -stable, with $p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i^+}(m) < p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i^+}(m)$,
- (b) $(E_1, V_1), (E_2, V_2)$ are α_i^- -semistable, with $p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i^-}(m) = p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i^-}(m)$,

- (c) $\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}$ is a maximum among all proper subsystems $(E_1, V_1) \subset (E, V)$ which satisfy (b),
- (d) n_{E_1} is a minimum among all subsystems which satisfy (c).

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, the coherent system (E, V) is strictly α_i -semistable. In particular, there exists a proper subsystem $(E_1, V_1) \subsetneq (E, V)$ such that

$$p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m).$$

Consider the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{(E_1, V_1) \subset (E, V) \mid p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m)\}.$$

Notice that, for any $(E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1$, $n_{E_1} < n_E$ and $V_1 = V \cap H^0(X, E_1)$. In fact, if V_1 is strictly contained in $W := V \cap H^0(X, E_1)$, we can consider the subsystem $(E_1, W) \subset (E, V)$ which satisfies $p_{H,(E_1,W)}^{\alpha_i}(m) > p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$, but this contradicts the α_i -semistability of (E, V) . Hence, $V_1 = V \cap H^0(X, E_1)$.

Since (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable, by Corollary 2.19, for any $(E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ we have $\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} < \frac{v}{n_E}$. Thus, since the values for $\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}$ are limited by the constraints $0 < n_{E_1} < n_E$ and $0 \leq v_1 \leq v$, we can define

$$\lambda_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} \mid (E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \right\}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \left\{ (E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \mid \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} = \lambda_0 \right\}.$$

Let (E_1, V_1) be a coherent subsystem in \mathcal{F}_2 . Since $V_1 = V \cap H^0(X, E_1)$, we can consider the exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow 0$$

for some coherent system (E_2, V_2) with E_2 torsion-free (see Proposition 2.11). Moreover, since $p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$ from (2.4) we have

$$(2.5) \quad p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m).$$

Therefore since (E, V) is α_i -semistable, (E_1, V_1) and (E_2, V_2) are both α_i -semistable.

Let us now prove that (E_2, V_2) is α_i^+ -stable. Suppose it is not. In this case, by Proposition 2.13, (E_2, V_2) must be strictly α_i -semistable and in particular there exists a proper subsystem $(E'_2, V'_2) \subset (E_2, V_2)$ of type $(n_{E'_2}, c_1(E'_2), c_2(E'_2), v'_2)$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad p_{H,(E'_2,V'_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m).$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2.19, $\frac{v'_2}{n_{E'_2}} \geq \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}}$.

Therefore, there exists $(E'_2, V'_2) \subset (E_2, V_2)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad p_{H,(E'_2,V'_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v'_2}{n_{E'_2}} \geq \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}}.$$

Consider now the subsystem $(E', V') \subset (E, V)$ defined by the pull-back diagram

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E', V') \rightarrow (E'_2, V'_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

From (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$p_{H,(E'_2,V'_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m).$$

Therefore, using (2.8) we obtain

$$p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m).$$

Finally, since $p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$, we get that $p_{H,(E',V')}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i}(m)$.

Hence $(E', V') \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and since $(E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2$, by definition of \mathcal{F}_2 and the exact sequence (2.8) we get

$$\frac{v_1 + v'_2}{n_{E_1} + n_{E'_2}} = \frac{v'}{n_{E'}} \leq \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}$$

which is equivalent to $\frac{v'_2}{n_{E'_2}} \leq \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}$. On the other hand, we have seen that $\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} < \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}}$.

Putting altogether we get

$$\frac{v'_2}{n_{E'_2}} \leq \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} < \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}},$$

which contradicts (2.7). Therefore, (E_2, V_2) is α_i^+ -stable.

Let us now consider $(E_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ with minimum rank in \mathcal{F}_2 . Let us prove that (E_1, V_1) is α_i^+ -stable. If not, by the same argument than before, there exists a proper subsystem $(E'_1, V'_1) \subset (E_1, V_1)$ such that

$$p_{H,(E'_1,V'_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m) = p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i}(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v'_1}{n_{E'_1}} \geq \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}.$$

Since $v'_1 \leq v_1$ and $\frac{v'_1}{n_{E'_1}} \geq \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}}$, we get that $n_{E'_1} < n_{E_1}$, which contradicts the minimality of n_{E_1} . Therefore, (E_1, V_1) is α_i^+ -stable.

Finally, notice that since (E, V) is α_i^+ -stable, by (2.4) we must have

$$p_{H,(E_1,V_1)}^{\alpha_i^+}(m) < p_{H,(E,V)}^{\alpha_i^+}(m) < p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^{\alpha_i^+}(m).$$

□

3. CLIFFORD'S THEOREM FOR COHERENT SYSTEMS

In this section, pushing forward the ideas contained in [11] for the cases of curves, we establish the Clifford's Theorem for coherent systems on surfaces. One of the ingredients that we use is the following reformulation of a generalization of Clifford's Theorem for vector bundles on surfaces [7, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth algebraic surface, H an ample divisor on X such that $K_X \cdot H \leq 0$ and let E be a rank $n \geq 1$ semistable vector bundle on X . Let a be an integer such that*

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

If

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{n} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

then

$$h^0(E) \leq n + a \frac{c_1(E)H}{2}.$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that the proof of [7, Theorem 4.1] also includes the case $n = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a smooth algebraic surface, H an ample divisor on X such that $K_X \cdot H \leq 0$ and let E be a rank $n \geq 1$ semistable torsion-free sheaf on X . Let a be an integer such that*

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

If

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{n} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

then

$$h^0(E) \leq n + a \frac{c_1(E)H}{2}.$$

Proof. For any coherent sheaf E on X there is a natural homomorphism $\mu : E \rightarrow (E^*)^*$ of E into its double dual $(E^*)^*$. This morphism is injective if and only if E is torsion-free. Moreover, since X is a surface, $(E^*)^*$ is locally free. Therefore,

$$h^0(E) \leq h^0(E^*)^* \leq n + \frac{ac_1(E)H}{2},$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and H an ample divisor on X such that $K_X \cdot H \leq 0$. Let (E, V) be a coherent system on X of type (n_E, c_1, c_2, v) with $v > 0$ which is α -semistable for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. Let a be an integer such that*

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n_E^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

If

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1 H}{n_E} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

then

$$v \leq n_E + a \cdot \frac{c_1 H}{2}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n = n_E$. For the case $n = 1$, let $(L \otimes I_Z, V)$ be a coherent system of type $(1, L, |Z|, v)$ which is α -semistable for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. Let us take a an integer such that

$$\deg(X) < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

Since $L \otimes I_Z$ is a rank 1 torsion-free-sheaf, we have that $(L \otimes I_Z, V)$ is H -semistable. Therefore, from Corollary 3.2, it follows that if $0 \leq c_1(E)H < aH^2 + K_X H$ we get

$$v \leq h^0(L \otimes I_Z) \leq 1 + a \frac{LH}{2}.$$

Assume $n \geq 2$ and the theorem is proved for coherent systems of rank less than n .

Let (E, V) be a coherent system of type (n, c_1, c_2, v) which is α -semistable for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. Let a be an integer such that

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}$$

and assume that $0 \leq \frac{c_1(E) \cdot H}{n} < aH^2 + K_X H$.

First, we consider the case in which (E, V) is 0^+ -stable. This implies that E is H -semistable (see Remark 2.17) and hence by Corollary 3.2 we have

$$v \leq h^0(E) \leq n + a \frac{c_1(E)H}{2}.$$

Let us now assume that (E, V) is not 0^+ -stable. Then, by Proposition 2.13, (E, V) is strictly α -semistable for some $\alpha > 0$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.20, (E, V) sits on the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

where (E_1, V_1) and (E_2, V_2) are α -semistable coherent systems of type $(n_{E_i}, c_1(E_i), c_2(E_i), v_i)$ with $p_{H, (E_i, V_i)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H, (E, V)}^\alpha(m)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Claim 1: $\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} \leq \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}}$ and $\frac{c_1(E_1) \cdot H}{n_{E_1}} \geq \frac{c_1(E_2) \cdot H}{n_{E_2}}$.

Proof of Claim 1: Since $p_{H, (E_1, V_1)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H, (E, V)}^\alpha(m)$, by Corollary 2.19 we get

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} \leq \frac{v}{n}.$$

Since $v_1 + v_2 = v$ and $n_{E_1} + n_{E_2} = n$, this implies

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} \leq \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{v_1}{n_{E_1}} \leq \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}} \text{ and } p_{H, (E_1, V_1)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H, (E_2, V_2)}^\alpha(m),$$

we have

$$\frac{P_{H, E_1}(m)}{n_{E_1}} \geq \frac{P_{H, E_2}(m)}{n_{E_2}},$$

which implies

$$\frac{c_1(E_1)H}{n_{E_1}} \geq \frac{c_1(E_2)H}{n_{E_2}}.$$

Claim 2: $v_2 \leq r_2 + a \frac{c_1(E_2)H}{2}$.

Proof of Claim 2:

By (3.1) and the fact that $v = v_1 + v_2$, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{v_2}{n_{E_2}} \geq \frac{v}{n}.$$

Since $p_{H,(E_2,V_2)}^\alpha(m) = p_{H,(E,V)}^\alpha(m)$, the bound (3.3) implies that

$$\frac{P_{H,E}(m)}{r} \geq \frac{P_{H,E_2}(m)}{r_2}$$

and hence

$$\frac{c_1(E)H}{r} \geq \frac{c_1(E_2)H}{r_2}.$$

Moreover, since (E_2, V_2) is α -semistable, we have that $c_1(E_2)H \geq 0$.

Putting altogether,

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1(E_2)H}{n_{E_2}} \leq \frac{c_1(E)H}{r} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

where

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n_{E_2}^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

Thus we are under assumption of induction hypothesis and hence

$$v_2 \leq n_{E_2} + a \frac{c_1(E_2)H}{2}.$$

By (3.1), this implies that $v_1 \leq n_{E_1} + a \frac{c_1(E_1)H}{2}$.

Putting altogether,

$$v = v_1 + v_2 \leq n_{E_1} + n_{E_2} + a \cdot \frac{(c_1(E_1) + c_1(E_2))H}{2} = n + a \cdot \frac{c_1(E)H}{2}.$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and H an ample divisor on X such that $K_X \cdot H \leq 0$. Let (E, V) be a coherent system on X of type (n_E, c_1, c_2, v) with $v > 0$ which is α -semistable for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[m]_{>0}$. Set $a = \lceil \frac{(n_E^2 - 1)H^2}{2} \rceil$ or $a = 2H^2$ if $n_E = 1, 2$. If*

$$0 \leq \frac{c_1 H}{n_E} < aH^2 + K_X H,$$

then

$$v \leq n_E + a \cdot \frac{c_1 H}{2}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.3 since a is the integer satisfying

$$\deg(X) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{n_E^2 - 1}{4}, 1\right\} < \frac{\binom{a+2}{2} - a - 1}{a}.$$

□

Remark 3.5. The bound in Corollary 3.4 is not necessarily sharp, at least for 0^+ -semistable coherent systems as it we can shown be means of the next example.

Example 3.6. Let X be a ruled surface over a nonsingular curve C of genus $g \geq 0$ and with invariant $e > 0$. Denote by C_0 and f the generators of the Picard group of X such that any divisor D is numerically equivalent to $aC_0 + bf$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, where C_0 represents a section of $X \rightarrow C$ and f a class of a fiber. Take $H \equiv C_0 + \beta f$ with $\beta \gg 0$ an ample divisor on X and $c_2 \gg 0$ an integer. In [8], the authors proved that for all rank 2 H -stable vector bundles E on X with $c_1(E) = f$ and $c_2(E) = c_2$,

$$h^0(E) \leq 2.$$

Moreover, if E is H -stable then the pair (E, V) , with $V \subset H^0(E)$ of dimension 1, is 0^+ -semistable and satisfies

$$h^0(E) \leq 2$$

which is clearly a better bound than in Corollary 3.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ARBARELLO, M. CORNALBA, P. A. GRIFFITHS, J. HARRIS. — *Geometry of algebraic curves, Vol 1*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences), vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] S.B. BRADLOW. — *Coherent systems: a brief survey with an appendix by H. Lange*. Moduli spaces and vector bundles, 229-264, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [3] S.B. BRADLOW, O. GARCIA-PRADA, — *An application of coherent systems to a Brill-Noether problem*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 551 (2002), 123-143.
- [4] S.B. BRADLOW, O. GARCIA-PRADA, V. MERCAT, V. MUÑOZ, P.E. NEWSTEAD. — *On the geometry of moduli spaces of coherent systems on algebraic curves*. Internat. J. Math. 18 (2007), no. 4, 411-453.
- [5] S.B. BRADLOW, O. GARCIA-PRADA, V. MUÑOZ, P.E. NEWSTEAD. — *Coherent systems and Brill-Noether theory*. Internat. J. Math. 14 (2003), no. 7, 683-733.
- [6] L. COSTA, R.M. MIRO-ROIG. — *Brill-Noether theory for moduli spaces of sheaves on algebraic varieties*. Forum Math. 22 (2010), no. 3, 411-432.
- [7] L. COSTA, R. M. Miró-Roig: *Brill-Noether theory on Hirzebruch surfaces*; J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214, No. 9, 1612–1622 (2010).
- [8] L. COSTA AND I. MACÍAS TARRÍO.— *Brill-Noether Theory of stable vector bundles on ruled surfaces*, Mediterr. J. Math. 21, No. 3, Paper No. 118, 22 p. (2024; Zbl 07861958)
- [9] I. GRZEGORCZYK, M. TEIXIDOR I BIGAS. — *Brill-Noether theory for stable vector bundles*. Moduli spaces and vector bundles, 29?50, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 359, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [10] M. HE. — *Espaces de modules de systèmes cohérents*, International J. of Math, **9**, (1998), 545-598.
- [11] H. LANGE, P.E. NEWSTEAD. — *Clifford's theorem for coherent systems* Arch. Math. 90, 209–216 (2008).
- [12] P.E. NEWSTEAD. — *Existence of α -stable coherent systems on algebraic curves*. Grassmannians, moduli spaces and vector bundles, 121?139, Clay Math. Proc., 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

- [13] J. LE POTIER — Systemes coherents et structures de niveau. Asterisque 214 (1993).
- [14] C. OKONEK. *Vector-Bundles-on-Complex-Projective-Spaces* Progress in Mathematics, 3. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980. vii+389 pp.
- [15] L. ROA-LEGUIZAMÓN, H. TORRES-LÓPEZ, AND A. G. ZAMORA *On the Segre invariant for rank two vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2* . Advances in Geometry 2021.

FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA,
GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `costa@ub.edu`

FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA,
GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `irene.macias@ub.edu`

UNIVERSIDAD ANTONIO NARIÑO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
CALLE 58A BIS 37 - 94, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA.

Email address: `leonardo.roa@cimat.mx`